

Citation:

Bischof, E and Oertelt-Prigione, S and Morgan, R and Klein, S (2020) Towards Precision Medicine: Inclusion of Sex and Gender Aspects in COVID-19 Clinical Studies—Acting Now before It Is Too Late—A Joint Call for Action. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 17 (10). p. 3715. ISSN 1660-4601 DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17103715

Link to Leeds Beckett Repository record: http://eprints.leedsbeckett.ac.uk/id/eprint/7222/

Document Version: Article

Creative Commons: Attribution 4.0

The aim of the Leeds Beckett Repository is to provide open access to our research, as required by funder policies and permitted by publishers and copyright law.

The Leeds Beckett repository holds a wide range of publications, each of which has been checked for copyright and the relevant embargo period has been applied by the Research Services team.

We operate on a standard take-down policy. If you are the author or publisher of an output and you would like it removed from the repository, please contact us and we will investigate on a case-by-case basis.

Each thesis in the repository has been cleared where necessary by the author for third party copyright. If you would like a thesis to be removed from the repository or believe there is an issue with copyright, please contact us on openaccess@leedsbeckett.ac.uk and we will investigate on a case-by-case basis.





Editorial

Towards Precision Medicine: Inclusion of Sex and Gender Aspects in COVID-19 Clinical Studies—Acting Now before It Is Too Late—A Joint Call for Action

Evelyne Bischof ^{1,2,3}, Sabine Oertelt-Prigione ^{4,5}, Rosemary Morgan ⁶, Sabra L. Klein ^{7,8,*}, The Sex and Gender in COVID19 Clinical Trials Working Group (SGC) [†] and Gender and COVID19 Working Group [‡]

- Department of Advanced Biomedical Sciences, Federico II University of Naples, Via Pansini, 5, 80131 Naples, Italy; bischofevelyne@gmail.com
- School of Clinical Medicine, Shanghai University of Medicine and Health Sciences, Shanghai 201318, China
- Women's Brain Project, 8357 Guntershausen, Switzerland
- Department of Primary and Community Care, Radboud University Medical Center, 6525 Nijmegen, The Netherlands; sabine.oertelt@radboudumc.nl
- ⁵ Institute of Legal and Forensic Medicine, Charité—Universitätsmedizin, 10117 Berlin, Germany
- Department of International Health, The Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, MD 21205, USA; Rosemary.Morgan@jhu.edu
- W. Harry Feinstone Department of Molecular Microbiology and Immunology, The Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, MD 21205, USA
- Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, The Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, MD 21205, USA
- * Correspondence: sklein2@jhu.edu
- † The Sex and Gender in COVID19 Clinical Trials Working Group members are listed in Appendix A.1
- ‡ The Gender and COVID19 Working Group members are listed in Appendix A.2

Received: 20 May 2020; Accepted: 21 May 2020; Published: 25 May 2020



The COVID-19 global pandemic is accelerating investigations for effective vaccines and repurposable validated therapeutics. Current data analyses strongly suggest that the disease mostly affects the elderly population and patients with pre-existing conditions [1,2]. Considerably less attention has been drawn towards the sex distribution of case fatalities, which is increasingly showing disparities in mortality rates that varies geospatially, and along socioeconomic factors [3,4]. Reported death estimates by sex vary greatly across contexts and population groups and may change over time. In addition, social factors, such as testing and reporting bias in females, or differences in exposure due to behavioral and risk differences, may play a role, e.g., due to comorbidities such as diabetes or differences in societal and gender norms. While the observed male dominance in COVID-19 prevalence and mortality across most countries and cultures may suggest a role for biological differences, the potential long-term impact of gender-related factors on mortality, especially in diverse socioeconomic contextS, cannot be underestimated [5,6].

The role of immunological differences between females and males in the responses to SARS-CoV-2 infection appears to be justified. There is ample evidence that antiviral immunity differs between the sexes [5]. These are caused by e.g., sex steroid hormone signaling (i.e., testosterone, estrogens, and progesterone), genetics (e.g., immune function genes that escape X inactivation), and sex-specific composition of the microbiome. Sex differences in immunosenescence and immune function not only impact immunity to viruses, but to vaccines and immunotherapies, as well [5–7]. In the context of SARS-CoV-2, these differences could impact susceptibility and initial response to the virus as well

as choice of acute and long-term therapy of the COVID-19 pathology. In current and future trials for COVID-19, sex as a biological variable should be factored in and understood, along with the wider gendered implications of the COVID-19 crises, with the broader concept of how biological factors intersect with gendered differences in exposure, transmission, and socio-economic means. Consequently, the pandemic may not just lead to differences in disease susceptibility and manifestation between men, women, and people with non-binary identities, but also exacerbate unequal access to treatment and long-term vulnerabilities.

Given their non-negligible impact on health, sex and gender dimensions, along with other socio-economic stratifiers, need to inform the design, conduct, analysis, and reporting of current and forthcoming trials. Moving beyond sex-disaggregated data collection and including variables such as disability, age, ethnicity, migration status, socioeconomic status, or geographic location, will contribute to ensure health benefits from clinical trials for all. To better understand and respond to the burden posed by COVID-19, both on health systems and different segments of human populations, gender dimensions must be recognized as an intersecting component of wider structural inequalities [8]. Moreover, the COVID-19 pandemic is exposing, most acutely, the wider social inequalities that are based on gendered social, cultural, and economic faultiness, whether it is leaving a majority of frontline workers (in many contexts mostly women) without PPE, the disproportionate burden of unpaid care on women, or gender-based violence perpetuated within the household, apart from the economic devastations experienced by the poor and women in the poorest quintiles.

Equity in clinical trials starts with the consideration of both sex and gender dimensions in studies on novel and repurposed drugs [9,10]. Biomedical AI-researchers can assist in this effort to reconceptualize the human subgroups included for analysis, emphasizing the rigorous justification of exclusion and avoiding assumptions that may have serious implications in terms of generalizability of outcomes [9,11,12]. Ignoring aspects of sex and gender in data collection and analysis in clinical trials has had detrimental consequences in the past. Eight out of ten drugs withdrawn from the US market in the late 1990s had significantly more side effects in women than men, including fatal torsade-de-pointe after excessive QT interval prolongation. The, yet to be accurately tested, proposed therapeutic regimen of hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin for COVID-19 includes two QT-prolonging agents. Next to potential sex differences in side effects, gender-related aspects have to be considered. For example, despite the disproportionately high mortality of Ebola viral disease (EVD) among pregnant women, the rVSV-ZEBOV vaccine clinical trials excluded pregnant women. This impacted access to critical life-saving interventions during the subsequent Tenth EVD epidemic in DRC, when—due to lack of evidence because of the beforementioned exclusions—pregnant and lactating women did not partake in ring vaccination campaigns, until the decision was reversed 10 months later. This not only led to unnecessary mortality of this vulnerable group, but severely impacted women's right to decide on research participation and community trust in the intervention, just as did the approval of Truvada solely for cisgender males and transgender women [13,14].

The inclusion of sex and gender aspects in drug development and clinical trials is essential, not just for a thorough understanding of efficacy and safety aspects of drugs, but also to ensure there is equity in the distribution of innovation and discovery benefits of COVID-19 therapeutics and vaccines [3]. A group of clinicians, scientists and gender specialists working on global health, sex and gender research and human rights are thus calling for action towards the inclusion of sex and gender, and other socially relevant variables, into the methodology of COVID-19-related trials. Such an approach should become a universal and manifest part of future clinical studies, to allow more personalized patient care and contribute to universal health coverage.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization and methodology, E.B., S.O.-P., S.L.K. and R.M.; writing—original draft preparation E.B., S.O.-P., S.L.K., writing—review and editing, E.B., S.O.-P., S.L.K. and R.M., project administration, R.M. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Acknowledgments: We would especially like to thank Sulzhan Bali (Women in Global Health), Susan Bell (Drexel University), Chandani Kharel (HERD International), for their tremendous contribution to the manuscript. We would like to thank the entire Gender and COVID-19 Working Group for their thoughts, inputs and ongoing scientific support.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Appendix A

Appendix A.1. The Sex and Gender in COVID-19 Clinical Trials Working Group

Evelyne Bischof Shanghai University

Sabine Oertelt-Prigione Radboud University Medical Center

Rosemary Morgan Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health
Sabra Klein John Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health
Clare Wenham London School of Economics and Political Science (LSE)

Nazneen Damji UN Women Alison ROWE UN Women

Laura Mamo San Francisco State University lmamo@sfsu.edu Sulzhan Bali Women in Global Health sulzhan@gmail.com

Susan E. Bell Drexel University seb376@drexel.edu

Ann Keeling Women in Global Health Chandani Kharel HERD International

Ilana Löwy CERMES 3, (INSERM, CNRS, EHESS, Paris V)

Anna Coates Panamerican Health Organization

Shirin Heidari GENDRO's Health
Petra Verdonk Amsterdam UMC-VUmc
Arne Ruckert University of Ottawa
Luisa Enria University of Bath

Shelley Lees London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine (LHTM)

Amber Peterman University of North Carolina

Sean Hillier York University

Appendix A.2. Gender and COVID-19 Working Group

Clare Wenham London School of Economics
Rosemary Morgan John Hopkins University
Julia Smith Simon Fraser University

Evelyne Bischof Shanghai University of Medicine and Health Sciences, Federico II University,

Napoli, Italy, and Women's Brain Project

Sabra Klein John Hopkins University
Madeline Johnson Global Affairs Canada
Chris Berzins Global Affairs Canada
Sulzhan Bali Women in Global Health
Karen Grepin University of Hong Kong

Susan Mackay GAVI

Denise Nacif Pimenta Oswaldo Cruz Foundation

Niyati Shah USAID

Kelly Thompson independent consultant Sabine Oertelt-Prigione Radboud University

Amber Peterman University of North Carolina and UNICEF Innocenti

Ruth Kutalek Medizinischen Universität Wien

Sophie Harman QMUL

Ilana Lowy French National Centre for Scientific Research

Nazeen Damji UN Women

Ann Keeling Women in Global Health Kate Hawkins Pamoja Communications Myra Betron Jhpiego

Susan Bell Drexel University
Manasee Mishra IIHMR University, India

Sean Hillier York University

Yara M. Asi University of Central Florida

Shelley Lees London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine

Alan White Leeds Beckett University
Nigel Mxolisi Landa Great Zimbabwe University
Pavitra Kotini-Shah University of Illinois at Chicago
Megan O'Donnell Center for Global Development

Jelke BoestenKing's College LondonGoleen SamariColumbia UniversityAlexa YakubovichUniversity of Toronto

Liana R Woskie Harvard Global Health Institute
Peter Baker Global Action on Men's Health

Camila Pimentel Oswaldo Cruz Foundation/Aggeu Magalhães Institute

Derek M. Griffith Vanderbilt University
Sara Davies Griffith University

Elena Marbán-Castro Barcelona Institute for Global Health

Claudia Abreu Lopes United Nations University, International Institute for Global Health

References

- 1. Leung, C. Clinical features of deaths in the novel coronavirus epidemic in China. *Rev. Med. Virol.* **2020**. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zhavoronkov, A. Geroprotective and senoremediative strategies to reduce the comorbidity, infection rates, severity, and lethality in gerophilic and gerolavic infections. Aging (Albany NY) 2020. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Bischof, E.; Wolfe, J.; Klein, S.L. Clinical trials for COVID-19 should include sex as a variable. *J. Clin. Investig.* **2020.** [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Bali, S.; Dhatt, R.; Lal, A.; Jama, A.; Van Daalen, K.; Sridhar, D.; Gender and COVID-19 Working Group; Women in Global Health, and Gender and COVID-19 Working Group. Off the back burner: Diverse and gender—Inclusive decision—Making for COVID-19 response and recovery. BMJ Glob. Health 2020, 5, e002595.
 [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 5. Klein, S.L.; Flanagan, K.L. Sex differences in immune responses. Nat. Rev. Immunol. 2016. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 6. Flanagan, K.L.; Fink, A.L.; Plebanski, M.; Klein, S.L. Sex and Gender Differences in the Outcomes of Vaccination over the Life Course. *Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol.* **2017**, *33*, 577–599. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 7. Caruso, C.; Accardi, G.; Virruso, C.; Candore, G. Sex, gender and immunosenescence: A key to understand the different lifespan between men and women? *Immun. Ageing* **2013**, *10*, 20. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 8. Lokot, M.; Avakyan, Y. Intersectionality as a lens to the COVID-19 pandemic: Implications for sexual and reproductive health in development and humanitarian contexts. *Sex. Reprod. Heal. Matters* **2020**, 1–5. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 9. Zhavoronkov, A.; Vanhaelen, Q.; Oprea, T.I. Will Artificial Intelligence for Drug Discovery Impact Clinical Pharmacology? *Clin. Pharmacol. Ther.* **2020**, *107*, 780–785. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 10. Tannenbaum, C.; Ellis, R.P.; Eyssel, F.; Zou, J.; Schiebinger, L. Sex and gender analysis improves science and engineering. *Nature* **2019**. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 11. Mbuagbaw, L.; Aves, T.; Shea, B.; Jull, J.; Welch, V.; Taljaard, M.; Yoganathan, M.; Greer-Smith, R.; Wells, G.; Tugwell, P. Considerations and guidance in designing equity-relevant clinical trials. *Int. J. Equity Health* **2017**, *16*, 1–9. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 12. O'Neill, J.; Tabish, H.; Welch, V.; Petticrew, M.; Pottie, K.; Clarke, M.; Evans, T.; Pardo, J.P.; Waters, E.; White, H.; et al. Applying an equity lens to interventions: Using PROGRESS ensures consideration of socially stratifying factors to illuminate inequities in health. *J. Clin. Epidemiol.* **2014**. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 13. Gomes, M.F.; De La Fuente-Núñez, V.; Saxena, A.; Kuesel, A.C. Protected to death: Systematic exclusion of pregnant women from Ebola virus disease trials. *Reprod. Health* **2017**. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Voelker, R. PrEP Drug Is Approved for Some Patients but Not for Others. JAMA 2019. [CrossRef] [PubMed]



© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).