

# JOURNAL OF THE ROYAL SOCIETY INTERFACE

## Tipping point and noise-induced transients in ecological networks

Journal:	<i>Journal of the Royal Society Interface</i>
Manuscript ID	rsif-2020-0645.R1
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Meng, Yu; University of Aberdeen, Institute for Complex Systems and Mathematical Biology Lai, Ying-Cheng; Arizona State University, Electrical Engineering Grebogi, Celso; University of Aberdeen, ICSMB
Categories:	Life Sciences - Mathematics interface
Subject:	Biomathematics < CROSS-DISCIPLINARY SCIENCES
Keywords:	Transients, Ecological networks, Stochasticity, Noise-induced collapse, Noise-induced recovery, Scaling law

SCHOLARONE™  
Manuscripts

1  
2  
3 **Author-supplied statements**  
4

5 Relevant information will appear here if provided.  
6

7  
8 ***Ethics***  
9

10 *Does your article include research that required ethical approval or permits?:*

11 This article does not present research with ethical considerations  
12

13 *Statement (if applicable):*

14 CUST\_IF\_YES\_ETHICS :No data available.  
15

16  
17 ***Data***  
18

19 *It is a condition of publication that data, code and materials supporting your paper are made publicly*  
20 *available. Does your paper present new data?:*

21 Yes  
22

23 *Statement (if applicable):*

24 CUST\_IF\_YES\_DATA :No data available.  
25

26  
27 ***Conflict of interest***  
28

29 I/We declare we have no competing interests  
30

31 *Statement (if applicable):*

32 CUST\_STATE\_CONFLICT :No data available.  
33

34  
35 ***Authors' contributions***  
36

37 This paper has multiple authors and our individual contributions were as below  
38

39 *Statement (if applicable):*

40 CG and YCL conceived the project. YM performed computations and analysis. All analyzed data. All  
41 wrote the paper.  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

# Tipping point and noise-induced transients in ecological networks

Yu Meng<sup>1</sup>, Ying-Cheng Lai<sup>2,3</sup> & Celso Grebogi<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Institute for Complex Systems and Mathematical Biology, School of Natural and Computing Sciences, King's College, University of Aberdeen, AB24 3UE, UK*

<sup>2</sup>*School of Electrical, Computer, and Energy Engineering, Arizona State University, Tempe, Arizona 85287, USA*

<sup>3</sup>*Department of Physics, Arizona State University, Tempe, Arizona 85287, USA*

**A challenging and outstanding problem in interdisciplinary research is to understand the interplay between transients and stochasticity in high-dimensional dynamical systems. Focusing on the tipping-point dynamics in complex mutualistic networks in ecology constructed from empirical data, we investigate the phenomena of noise-induced collapse and noise-induced recovery. Two types of noise are studied: environmental (Gaussian white) noise and state-dependent demographic noise. The dynamical mechanism responsible for both phenomena is a transition from one stable steady state to another driven by stochastic forcing, mediated by an unstable steady state. Exploiting a generic and effective two-dimensional reduced model for real-world mutualistic networks, we find that the average transient lifetime scales algebraically with the noise amplitude, for both environmental and demographic noise. We develop a physical understanding of the scaling laws through an analysis of the mean first passage time from one steady state to another. The phenomena of noise-induced collapse and recovery and the associated scaling laws have implications to managing high-dimensional ecological systems.**

**Key words:** transients, stochasticity, tipping point, mutualistic networks, species collapse, species recovery, scaling laws, nonlinear dynamics, complex networks

## Introduction

In ecology, to predict the state of the system in the future is critical to sustainable ecosystem management <sup>1</sup>. Long-term prediction is also of paramount importance to fields such as epidemiology and climate science. In the real world, the ability to predict the future of the system is often hindered by a number of factors, among which *transients*, *stochasticity*, and *high dimensionality* stand out as some of the most daunting challenges. To understand the complex interplay among the three factors is of fundamental importance to ecology and related fields, but this has remained to be an outstanding problem in interdisciplinary research. The purpose of this paper is to present a case

1  
2  
3 study to gain significant insights into the interplay among transients, stochasticity and high dimen-  
4 sionality. In particular, utilizing complex, high-dimensional ecological networks as a paradigmatic  
5 model, we investigate the phenomenon of noise induced transients associated with tipping-point  
6 dynamics and uncover the scaling laws characterizing the dependence of the average transient  
7 lifetime on the noise amplitude.  
8  
9

10  
11 *Transients in ecological systems.* Transient behaviors are ubiquitous in chaotic systems<sup>2,3</sup>,  
12 and their importance to ecology has been increasingly recognized<sup>4-10</sup>. In ecological systems, the  
13 phenomenon of “regime shift,” where a qualitative change in the dynamical state occurs suddenly  
14 with no warning<sup>11-13</sup>, is particularly devastating because, (1) any understanding of the system  
15 based on observations made before the regime shift would become irrelevant, (2) its time of occur-  
16 rence is highly unpredictable, and (3) it often results in population collapse and species extinction.  
17 On the dynamical origin of regime shift, the traditional view is that it is due to parameter drifting,  
18 but it has been proposed that regime shift can be the consequence of transient dynamics without  
19 requiring any parameter change<sup>9,10</sup>.  
20  
21  
22  
23

24  
25 *Stochasticity in ecological systems.* In the real-world ecological environment, the population  
26 dynamics are under inevitable and constant influences of random disturbances. It has been known  
27 for a long time that stochasticity can affect species abundance in terms of its size, dynamics and re-  
28 siliance<sup>9,14-28</sup>. As different species in an ecosystem interact with each other through a complicated  
29 pattern, the extinction of one species as caused by stochasticity can lead to the extinction of other  
30 species that are linked to it. Likewise, external perturbations leading to improved environmental  
31 conditions can make certain species to recover their abundance from near zero values which, in  
32 turn, can lead to the recovery of the mutually interacting species<sup>29</sup>.  
33  
34  
35  
36

37 There are two main types of stochastic perturbations in ecological systems: those due to  
38 changes in the environmental conditions (external) and those caused by variations in the popula-  
39 tions themselves (internal). The environmental stochasticity has a direct impact on the birth rate  
40 and mortality of the species and can be modeled as additive Gaussian white noise<sup>30,31</sup>, while the  
41 internal stochasticity is due to the inherent uncertainties related to individual reproduction, growth,  
42 death, competition and migration within the species and is thus demographic<sup>21,32-35</sup>, representing  
43 correlated or “colored” noises. Mathematically, a demographic stochastic process can be modeled  
44 as a type of multiplicative noise with strength proportional to the square root of the fluctuating  
45 abundance. In the present work, both types of stochasticity are studied.  
46  
47  
48  
49

50 *High dimensionality of ecological systems.* Ecosystems are typically high-dimensional and  
51 complex. To be concrete, we shall focus on mutualistic interaction networks<sup>29,36-45</sup>. In gen-  
52 eral, mutualism is referred to as a close, interdependent, mutually beneficial relationship between  
53  
54  
55

two species. Mutualistic interactions are one of the most important interspecific relationships in ecosystems. For instance, the corals and the single-celled zooxanthellae that form the huge coral reefs are in a mutualistic relationship, where the zooxanthellae provide nutrients to their host and in return receive essential nourishment in a process associated with reef-building corals. On land, mutualistic interactions are fundamental to species diversity, such as the network of pollinators and plants. Because of the typically large number of species involved in the mutualistic interactions, the underlying networked system is a high-dimensional nonlinear dynamical system.

In this paper, employing complex mutualistic networks subject to environmental and demographic noises, we set out to unveil and decipher the interplay among transients, stochasticity, and high dimensionality. While this setting naturally has the elements of high dimensionality and stochasticity, where do transients come from? To generate transient dynamics, we focus on the parameter regime where the networked system exhibits a tipping point<sup>13,39,43,44,46–58</sup>. Especially, as a bifurcation parameter changes, the system can exhibit a transition from a survival state to an extinction state, or vice versa. To be concrete, we choose the normalized species decay rate  $\kappa$  as the bifurcation parameter. For a collapse leading to species extinction, e.g., caused by continuous deterioration of the environment so that the value of  $\kappa$  keeps increasing (the forward direction), the system remains in the survival state for  $\kappa < \kappa_c^0$  and becomes extinct for  $\kappa > \kappa_c^0$ , where  $\kappa_c^0$  is the critical point. Similarly, for the recovery process triggered by continuously improving the environment so that the value of  $\kappa$  keeps decreasing (the backward direction), the system is in an extinction state for  $\kappa > \kappa_r^0$  but the species abundances are recovered for  $\kappa < \kappa_r^0$ , where  $\kappa_r^0$  is the critical point. Note that the critical points  $\kappa_c^0$  and  $\kappa_r^0$  are often different, due to the emergence of a hysteresis loop. Stochasticity can change this deterministic picture: in the forward direction, even for  $\kappa < \kappa_c^0$ , a transition from the survival state to extinction can occur, whereas in the backward direction, the system can transition to a survival state from an extinction state even for  $\kappa > \kappa_r^0$ . These noise-induced transitions, of course, do not occur instantaneously but rather requires certain time to complete, leading to transients. The setting of our study is thus adequately suited for addressing the intricate interplay among transients, stochasticity, and high dimensionality in ecological systems.

Our main results are as follows. Firstly, utilizing the full, high-dimensional empirical mutualistic networks constructed from data from four geographical regions subject to stochastic influences as modeled by environmental and demographic noises, we demonstrate the phenomena of noise-induced collapse and recovery. Secondly, we search for any possible scaling relation between the average transient time and the noise amplitude. To render the task computationally feasible, we take advantage of an effective 2D model that was previously derived and demonstrated to capture the essential dynamical behaviors associated with tipping point transitions in mutualistic networks<sup>43</sup>. Extensive numerical simulations indicate that the scaling relation is algebraic for both

types of noise. Thirdly, exploiting the basic, saddle-node based nonlinear dynamical picture underlying the two noise-induced transition phenomena, we argue that the average transient lifetime is essentially the mean first passage time from one steady state to another driven by noise. We obtain formulas for this time and demonstrate that the formulas give the algebraic scaling as observed from direct numerical simulations.

The uncovered phenomena of noise-induced collapse and recovery and the associated algebraic scaling law of the average transient lifetime with the noise amplitude represent a quantitative characterization of the interplay between stochasticity and transients in high-dimensional ecological systems. In addition, our analysis reveals that demographic noise plays a dominant role in causing a system to collapse, while environmental noise is key to species recovery. These results have implications to managing high-dimensional ecosystems. For example, in order to prevent a healthy system from collapsing to extinction, reducing demographic noise would be effective. On the contrary, if the system is already in extinction, supplying an appropriate level of environmental noise could facilitate recovery.

## Model of stochastic mutualistic networks

We extend the deterministic model<sup>39–43</sup> for complex mutualistic networks of plant and pollinator species to include environmental white and demographic noises<sup>29</sup>:

$$\frac{dX_i}{dt} = \alpha_i^{(X)} X_i - \kappa_i^{(X)} X_i - \sum_{j=1}^{S_X} \beta_{ij}^{(X)} X_i X_j + \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{S_Y} \gamma_{ik}^{(X)} Y_k}{1 + h \sum_{k=1}^{S_Y} \gamma_{ik}^{(X)} Y_k} X_i + \mu_X \quad (1)$$

$$+ \sqrt{V(X_i)} dB_i(t),$$

$$\frac{dY_i}{dt} = \alpha_i^{(Y)} Y_i - \sum_{j=1}^{S_Y} \beta_{ij}^{(Y)} Y_i Y_j + \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{S_X} \gamma_{ik}^{(Y)} X_k}{1 + h \sum_{k=1}^{S_X} \gamma_{ik}^{(Y)} X_k} Y_i + \mu_Y \quad (2)$$

$$+ \sqrt{U(Y_i)} dB_i(t),$$

where  $X_i$  and  $Y_i$  are the abundances of the  $i$ th pollinator and  $i$ th plant, respectively,  $\alpha_i^{(X)}$  and  $\alpha_i^{(Y)}$  are the intrinsic growth rates in the absence of intraspecific competition and any mutualistic effect,  $\beta_{ii}$  and  $\beta_{ij}$  ( $i \neq j$ ) are parameters quantifying intraspecific and interspecific competitions, respectively, and the parameters  $\mu_X \gtrsim 0$  and  $\mu_Y \gtrsim 0$  characterize species migration. For the pollinator-plant system, intraspecific competition is typically stronger than interspecific competition<sup>39,40</sup>:  $\beta_{ii} \gg \beta_{ij}$ . The saturation effect is taken into account by the constant  $h$ , which is the half-saturation density of the Holling type-II functional response<sup>59</sup>. The beneficial effect of the interactions on the population growth saturates when the mutualistic partners have a high abundance. The parameters  $\gamma_{ik}^{(X)}$  and  $\gamma_{ik}^{(Y)}$  are the strengths of the mutualistic interactions, which depend on

the degree of the node as

$$\gamma_{ij} = a_{ij} \frac{\gamma}{(k_i)^\rho}, \quad (3)$$

where  $\gamma$  is the normalized strength and  $a_{ij}$ 's are the elements of the network adjacency matrix:  $a_{ij} = 1$  if there is an interaction between pollinator  $i$  and plant  $j$ ; otherwise  $a_{ij} = 0$ . The parameter  $k_i$  is the number of mutualistic links associated with species  $i$ , and  $\rho$  determines the strength of the trade off between the interaction strength and the number of interactions. If there is no trade-off (i.e.,  $\rho = 0$ ), the network topology has no effect on the strength of the mutualistic interactions. In contrast, a full trade off ( $\rho = 1$ ) means that the interaction strength is weighed by the nodal degree so the network topology affects the species gain from the interactions. To make a numerical study of the collapse and recovery processes in the presence of different types of stochastic processes feasible, we choose  $\kappa_i^{(X)} \equiv \kappa$ , the pollinator decay rate, as the bifurcation parameter while fixing the values of the other parameters as reported in the literature<sup>39-43</sup>.

We consider three cases of stochastic influences: environmental noise (EN) only, demographic noise (DN) only, and simultaneous presence of both types of noise (EDN). The process  $dB_i(t)$  in Eqs. (1) and (2) is a Brownian motion obeying the normal distribution with zero mean and variance  $dt$ . For EN, the noise strength terms are constants:

$$V(X_i) = \sigma^2 \text{ and } U(Y_i) = \sigma^2, \quad (4)$$

with  $\sigma$  being the noise amplitude. DN is modeled as<sup>21,35,60</sup>

$$V(X_i) = \zeta^2 X_i \text{ and } U(Y_i) = \zeta^2 Y_i \quad (5)$$

with noise amplitude  $\zeta$ . For EDN, we have

$$V(X_i) = \sigma^2 + \zeta^2 X_i \text{ and } U(Y_i) = \sigma^2 + \zeta^2 Y_i. \quad (6)$$

We simulate the stochastic dynamics of four empirical pollinator-plant mutualistic networks [Available from the Web of Life database (<http://www.web-of-life.es>)]. Network A is from Hickling, Norfolk, United Kingdom ( $S_X = 61$  and  $S_Y = 17$  with the number of mutualistic links  $L = 146$ ), where  $S_X$  and  $S_Y$  are the numbers of pollinator and plant species, respectively. Network B is from Tenerife, Canary Islands ( $S_X = 38$ ,  $S_Y = 11$ , and  $L = 106$ ). Network C is from North Carolina, USA ( $S_X = 44$ ,  $S_Y = 13$ , and  $L = 143$ ). Network D is from Hestehaven, Denmark ( $S_X = 42$ ,  $S_Y = 8$  and  $L = 79$ ). A graphic representation of the adjacency matrices for the four networks provides a better visualisation of the structure of the mutualistic interactions<sup>43</sup>, including nestedness that is often associated with the intrinsic ability of pollinators to overcome harsh conditions.




Figure 1: Noise induced collapse through a tipping point transition. (a-d) Species abundances versus the normalized decay rate  $\kappa$  for networks A in the absence of noise, with EN, DN and EDN, respectively. The red and blue curves represent the pollinator and plant abundances. The collapse tipping points for the four cases in (a-d) are approximately  $\kappa_c \approx 1.8, 1.7, 1.4,$  and  $1.4,$  respectively. Other parameter values are  $\alpha_i^{(X)} = \alpha_i^{(Y)} = 0.3, \beta_{ii}^{(X)} = \beta_{ii}^{(Y)} = 1, \gamma = 1, h = 0.2, \rho = 0.5, \mu_X = 10^{-4},$  and  $\mu_Y = 10^{-4}.$  In (b,d), the environmental noise amplitude is  $\sigma = 0.1.$  In (c,d), the demographic noise amplitude is  $\zeta = 0.25.$  The time duration of each simulation run is  $T = 400,$  which is long enough to allow the species abundances to switch to the lower stable state after a transient. The initial conditions are randomly chosen from the basin of the high-abundance steady state.

## Numerical results

*Noise-induced collapse and recovery processes.* An increase in the pollinator decay rate  $\kappa,$  the



1  
2  
3 bifurcation parameter, can be viewed as a consequence of the deterioration of the environment.  
4 Due to the mutualistic interactions, plants are affected by the decay of pollinators, albeit indirectly.  
5 We present the simulation results from network A here, while leaving those with networks B-D  
6 in Supplementary Information (SI). For EN, the stochastic system is integrated using a standard  
7 second-order method<sup>61</sup>. When DN is present, we use a previously developed integration method  
8 for multiplicative noises<sup>60,62</sup>.  
9  
10

11  
12 A tipping point transition of the collapse type occurs when the system switches from a high  
13 to a low-abundance steady state as  $\kappa$  increases through a critical point, and noise can affect this  
14 transition by advancing its occurrence. Figure 1(a) shows such a transition in the absence of noise,  
15 where the transition point is  $\kappa_c \approx 1.8$ . For  $\kappa < \kappa_c$ , the system is in the high-abundance steady  
16 state. For  $\kappa > \kappa_c$ , the system approaches asymptotically an extinction state in which most species  
17 abundances are near zero. Similar transitions occur when noise is present, as shown in Figs. 1(b-d)  
18 for EN, DN, and EDN, respectively. The value of the critical transition point for the EN case is  
19  $\kappa_c \approx 1.7$ , while that for the DN or EDN case is  $\kappa_c \approx 1.4$ , indicating that environmental noise has  
20 caused the transition to occur at a slightly smaller value of the bifurcation parameter as compared  
21 with the deterministic case, but demographic noise has a more devastating effect, as it causes the  
22 transition to occur at a markedly smaller value of  $\kappa$ . Qualitatively, this can be understood by noting  
23 that when the system is in the high abundance state, the corresponding stochastic perturbation is  
24 stronger due to the dependence of the noise term on the abundance.  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

30  
31 When the system is in an extinction state where the species abundance is near zero, noise  
32 can induce an “early” recovery of the species, a phenomenon that was reported recently<sup>29</sup> but  
33 mainly for the case of EN. Dynamically, this occurs when noise induces a state transition of the  
34 system from a low to a high abundance state - a process that is opposite to noise-induced collapse.  
35 Representative results are shown in Fig. 2, where the panels (a-d) correspond to the deterministic  
36 case and the three cases with EN, DN, and EDN, respectively. In terms of the bifurcation parameter,  
37 the recovery point for cases (a) and (c) is  $\kappa_c \approx 1.2$  while that for cases (b,d) is  $\kappa_c \approx 1.5$ . Since  
38 case (c) involves DN only and cases (b,d) have EN, we see that DN has little effect on the recovery  
39 point. This is reasonable because, prior to the recovery, the system is in the low steady state with  
40 near zero abundance, so the stochastic perturbation due to DN is insignificant. The results in Fig. 2  
41 indicate that EN can be beneficial to species recovery, as it prompts the transition to occur “earlier”  
42 as the bifurcation parameter decreases from a value in the extinction regime<sup>29</sup>.  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48

49 Comparing the results in Figs. 1 and 2, we see that the value of the species recovery point is  
50 generally smaller than that for the collapse tipping point. This indicates that, once the system is  
51 in extinction, the environment needs to be more suited for the species than that at the collapse for  
52 recovery to occur<sup>44</sup>.  
53  
54  
55




Figure 2: Noise induced recovery process. (a-d) Species abundances versus the bifurcation parameter as its value continuously decreases from that in the extinction state for the four cases of absence of noise, EN, DN and EDN, respectively. The red and blue curves represent the pollinator and plant abundances, respectively. The recovery points for the four cases are approximately 1.2, 1.5, 1.2, and 1.5, respectively. Other parameter values are  $\alpha_i^{(X)} = \alpha_i^{(Y)} = 0.3$ ,  $\beta_{ii}^{(X)} = \beta_{ii}^{(Y)} = 1$ ,  $\gamma = 1$ ,  $h = 0.2$ ,  $\rho = 0.5$ ,  $\mu_X = 10^{-4}$ , and  $\mu_Y = 10^{-4}$ . In (b,d), the environmental noise amplitude is  $\sigma = 0.1$ . In (c,d), the demographic noise amplitude is  $\zeta = 0.025$ . The time duration of each simulation run is  $T = 400$ , which is sufficient for the transition from a low to a high abundance state to complete. The initial conditions are randomly chosen from the basin of the low abundance steady state.

*Noise induced transients and scaling.* The phenomena of noise-induced collapse and recovery both involve the transition from one steady state to another, which takes time to complete, leading to a transient behavior. The average transient time  $\tau$  depends on the type of noise and its

amplitude.

To make it feasible to numerically calculate and mathematically derive the noise scaling law of the average transient time, we exploit the effective 2D reduced model that has been demonstrated<sup>43</sup> to generate the dynamical behaviors associated with the tipping point transition in the full mutualistic networked system. The 2D model not only captures the essential behaviour of empirical mutualistic networks from different regions and climate across the Earth, but it also predicts correctly the onset of the tipping point in all 59 available network data from pollinator-plant habitats, even in presence of noise. Being much less complicated than the full network model, the reduced system can be used as a paradigm to gain insights into mutualism.




Figure 3: Algebraic scaling between the average transient time  $\tau$  and the environmental noise amplitude  $\sigma$ . (a,b) Dependence of  $\tau$  on  $\sigma$  on a logarithmic scale for the collapse and recovery processes, respectively. The values of  $\kappa$  are 1.65 for the collapse process and 1.5 for the recovery process. Other parameter values are  $\alpha = 0.3$ ,  $\beta = 1$ ,  $h = 0.2$ ,  $\gamma = 1$ , and  $\rho = 0.5$ . For each value of  $\sigma$ , simulations are carried out for a long time interval ( $T = 1000$ ), which guarantees that the system has approached the desired stable steady state by then. For each  $\sigma$  value, 100 random initial conditions are chosen from the basin of the stable steady state that the systems leaves.

Under noise, the 2D model is written as

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = \alpha x - \kappa x - \beta x^2 + \frac{\langle \gamma_x \rangle y}{1 + h \langle \gamma_x \rangle y} x + \mu + \sqrt{v(x)} dB_t, \quad (7)$$

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = \alpha y - \beta y^2 + \frac{\langle \gamma_y \rangle x}{1 + h \langle \gamma_y \rangle x} y + \mu + \sqrt{u(x)} dB_t, \quad (8)$$




Figure 4: Algebraic scaling between the average transient time  $\tau$  and the demographic noise amplitude  $\zeta$ . (a,b) Dependence of  $\tau$  on  $\zeta$  on a logarithmic scale for the collapse and recovery processes, respectively. The values of  $\kappa$  are 1.0 for the collapse process and 1.5 for the recovery process. Other parameter values are  $\alpha = 0.3$ ,  $\beta = 1$ ,  $h = 0.2$ ,  $\gamma = 1$ , and  $\rho = 0.5$ . The simulation setting is the same as that in Fig. 3.

where  $x$  and  $y$  are the effective or average abundances of pollinators and plants, respectively,  $\alpha$  is the effective growth rate in the reduced model,  $\beta$  stands for the combined effects of intraspecific and interspecific competitions,  $\kappa$  is the bifurcation parameter that accounts for the decay rate of the pollinator, and  $\mu$  represents the migration effect of the species. The two effective mutualistic interaction parameters,  $\langle \gamma_x \rangle$  and  $\langle \gamma_y \rangle$ , are obtained<sup>43</sup> through properly weighed averages of the quantities  $\gamma_{ik}^{(X)}$  and  $\gamma_{ik}^{(Y)}$  based on the empirical complex networks, Eqs. (1) and (2). The terms in Eqs. (7) and (8) that involve the Brownian motion dynamics  $dB(t)$  represent the stochastic perturbations. For EN, we have  $v(x) = \sigma^2$  and  $u(y) = \sigma^2$ . For DN, we have  $v(x) = \zeta^2 x$  and  $u(y) = \zeta^2 y$ . For EDN, we have  $v(x) = \sigma^2 + \zeta^2 x$  and  $u(y) = \sigma^2 + \zeta^2 y$ .

Suppose the system is in the high abundance state, i.e., the value of  $\kappa$  is smaller than that associated with the deterministic tipping point of collapse. The presence of noise can induce a transition to the low abundance state. Figure 3(a) shows, for EN, the average transient time  $\tau$  required for the collapse transition to complete versus the noise amplitude  $\sigma$  on a logarithmic scale. As  $\sigma$  increases,  $\tau$  decreases, and the scaling relation is algebraic:

$$\tau \sim \sigma^{-p}, \quad (9)$$

with the scaling exponent  $p \approx 2.45$ . Likewise, when the system is in the low abundance state, noise can induce a transition to the high abundance state and the scaling of the average transient time

with the noise amplitude is also algebraic, as exemplified in Fig. 3(b), where  $p \approx 2.40$ . Similar algebraic scaling relations have been obtained with DN:

$$\tau \sim \zeta^{-q}, \quad (10)$$

as shown in Figs. 4(a) and 4(b) for the processes of noise induced collapse and recovery, respectively.




Figure 5: Loss of mutualism in the forward (collapse) direction. Shown are the steady state species abundances of network A versus the bifurcation parameter  $\kappa$  as it increases from zero for six values of  $\zeta$ , the amplitude of DN. Mutualism is lost for  $\zeta > \zeta_c$ , where  $4.5 < \zeta_c < 5.0$ . The network parameter values are  $\alpha_i^{(X)} = \alpha_i^{(Y)} = 0.3$ ,  $\beta_{ii}^{(X)} = \beta_{ii}^{(Y)} = 1$ ,  $\gamma = 1$ ,  $h = 0.2$ ,  $\rho = 0.5$ ,  $\mu_X = 10^{-4}$ , and  $\mu_Y = 10^{-4}$ . The initial conditions are randomly chosen from the basin of the high abundance steady state.

*Loss of mutualism induced by demographic noise.* Stochastic processes of the DN type, if its amplitude is sufficiently large, can have a devastating effect on the system: loss of mutualism. Dynamically, this occurs when the basins of the high and low abundance steady states overlap so significantly that these states can no longer be distinguished from each other. A consequence is that the movements of the individual species populations are effectively independent stochastic processes, as the mutualistic interactions are completely overwhelmed by the noise. An example

1  
2  
3 is shown in Fig. 5, where the variations in the species abundances as the bifurcation parameter  $\kappa$   
4 increases are displayed for six values of  $\zeta$ , the amplitude of DN. It can be seen that, for  $\zeta < \zeta_c$ ,  
5 where  $\zeta_c \approx 5.0$ , there is still mutualism but it is completely lost for  $\zeta > \zeta_c$ . This phenomenon of  
6 loss of mutualism also occurs during the species recovery process, i.e., as  $\kappa$  decreases continuously  
7 from a relatively high value for which the deterministic system is in the low abundance steady state,  
8 as shown in Fig. 6 for six values of  $\zeta$ . The critical value  $\zeta_c$  obtained from the recovery (“backward”)  
9 process is approximately the same as that from the collapse (“forward”) process in Fig. 5.  
10  
11  
12  
13

14 Large environmental noise, analogous to demographic noise, can cause the survival and ex-  
15 tinction basins of attraction to overlap, resulting in the loss of mutualism. The mathematical reason  
16 is that the environmental and demographic noise amplitudes enter the system equations on an equal  
17 footing. Extraordinarily strong environmental noise in which the stochastic terms are much larger  
18 than the others, including the mutualistic interaction terms, may be unrealistic. The situation with  
19 demographic noise is somewhat different because its amplitude is inversely proportional to the  
20 habitat size, so effectively large fluctuations can arise in small habitats, implying that such a sys-  
21 tem is more vulnerable to collapse. By the same token, from the point of view of recovery, small  
22 population clusters corresponding to larger noise strength are advantageous for the population to  
23 cross over the unstable equilibrium to recover.  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

## 29 **Physical theory for the scaling law of average transient time**

30  
31  
32 We develop a physical theory to understand the algebraic scaling law of the average transient time  
33 for the phenomena of noise-induced collapse and recovery. We base our analysis of the stochastic  
34 tipping point dynamics on the effective 2D reduced model<sup>43</sup> for mutualistic networks. Figure 7  
35 schematically illustrates the deterministic as well as the noise-induced collapse and recovery pro-  
36 cesses. In the deterministic case, these processes are the result of saddle-node bifurcations, where  
37 the collapse tipping point is due to a reverse saddle-node bifurcation and recovery is the result of a  
38 forward saddle-node bifurcation. For  $\kappa$  to the left of the forward saddle-node bifurcation point, the  
39 system possesses only one stable equilibrium corresponding to the high abundance steady state.  
40 For  $\kappa$  to the right of the reverse saddle node bifurcation point, there is only the low abundance  
41 equilibrium, corresponding to extinction. However, for  $\kappa$  in-between the two saddle-node bifur-  
42 cation points, the system exhibits multistability<sup>63–66</sup> with three equilibria: two stable equilibria  
43 and one unstable equilibrium between them. The two stable equilibria are two attractors with their  
44 own basins of attraction, while the stable manifold of the unstable equilibrium is the basin bound-  
45 ary<sup>3,67</sup>. Under the influence of noise, the dynamical trajectory of the system can cross the basin  
46 boundary<sup>64,68,69</sup>. In particular, as the value of  $\kappa$  increases, the system can have a transition from the  
47 high- to the low-abundance equilibrium at  $\kappa_c$ , as indicated by the green arrow in Fig. 7. This is the  
48 phenomenon of noise-induced collapse. Conversely, when the system is already in the extinction  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55




Figure 6: Loss of mutualism in the backward (recovery) direction for network A. Shown are, for six values of  $\zeta$ , the steady state species abundances versus  $\kappa$  as it decreases from high value for which the deterministic network system is in the extinction state. The value of the critical noise amplitude  $\zeta_c$  is approximately the same as that obtained from the forward process in Fig. 5. The initial conditions are chosen randomly from the basin of the low abundance steady state. Other parameter values are the same as those in Fig. 5.

state, noise can trigger a transition from the low- to the high-abundance state at  $\kappa_r$ , as illustrated by the orange arrow in Fig. 7. This is the phenomenon of noise-induced species recovery.

For both the noise-induced collapse and recovery phenomena, the dynamical mechanism is a noise-induced transition between two stable equilibria (attractors). There is a competition between the attractiveness of the dynamics in the neighbourhood of the stable equilibria, which is controlled by the negative eigenvalues of the Jacobian matrix, and the stochastic random jumps that take the trajectory out of the open neighbourhood possibly into the other attractor<sup>64,68,70,71</sup>. To enable a transition, the noisy “kicking” must be sufficiently large to bring the system trajectory across the unstable equilibrium. Our analysis of the 2D model reveals that, for the collapse process, the Euclidean distance between the upper stable equilibrium and the unstable equilibrium varies in the interval (2.7, 3.4) for  $\kappa \in [1.0, 2.0]$ . For the recovery process, the Euclidean distance between the




Figure 7: A schematic illustration of the dynamical mechanisms of the noise induced collapse and recovery processes. The species abundance is plotted versus the environmental deterioration parameter  $\kappa$  (the bifurcation parameter). The deterministic tipping point corresponds to the birth of a reverse saddle-node bifurcation, while the recovery process in the backward direction is the result of a forward saddle-node bifurcation. When the system is initially in the high abundance state, noise-induced collapse occurs at  $\kappa_c$ , before the system reaches the reverse saddle-node bifurcation point. When the system is in the low abundance state, noise induced recovery can occur at  $\kappa_r$  as  $\kappa$  decreases, before the systems reaches the forward saddle-node bifurcation point.

lower stable equilibrium and the unstable equilibrium is in  $(0.5, 1.0)$  for  $\kappa \in [1.0, 2.0]$ . The sizable difference in the distance range implies that, for the same noise, recovery can occur more readily, where a noise of relatively small amplitude is able to drive the system to overcome the distance to the basin boundary, allowing the trajectory to enter the basin of the upper equilibrium. For an ensemble of trajectories, the transient time required for the transition is exponentially distributed<sup>65,72</sup> with the mean transient lifetime  $\tau$  that depends on the noise amplitude.

In terms of the underlying stochastic process, the average transient time  $\tau$  is nothing but the first passage time<sup>73</sup> for the transition, which decreases with the noise amplitude. To derive the algebraic scaling relations (9) and (10), we note that, for population stochastic processes, the equilibrium distribution is typically stationary<sup>74,75</sup>. We thus assume that the distributions of the



pollinators and plants have the following respective stationary probability density functions <sup>76,77</sup>:

$$p(x) = \frac{C}{v(x)} e^{2 \int \frac{d(x)}{v(x)} dx}, \quad (11)$$

$$p(y) = \frac{C}{u(y)} e^{2 \int \frac{d(y)}{u(y)} dy}, \quad (12)$$

where  $C$  is a normalization constant. The integral in the exponent is an antiderivative, and the constants produced by the integration are grouped into  $C$ . The functions

$$d(x) = \alpha x - \beta x^2 - \kappa x + \frac{\langle \gamma_x \rangle y}{1 + h \langle \gamma_x \rangle y} x + \mu$$

$$d(y) = \alpha y - \beta y^2 + \frac{\langle \gamma_y \rangle x}{1 + h \langle \gamma_y \rangle x} y + \mu$$

are from Eqs. (7) and (8), respectively, of the 2D model. In principle, for a population that goes extinct, we should consider a quasi-stationary distribution characterised by a transient, typically fluctuating about a stable equilibrium before becoming extinct <sup>78</sup>. In this case, we would deal with a truncated portion of a stationary distribution, which would be appropriate for our problem. However, since our goal is to obtain the scaling dependence of the first passage time on the noise amplitude, we exploit stationary distributions for both the pollinator and plant species.

We first treat demographic noise. Substituting  $v(x) = \zeta^2 x^2$ ,  $u(y) = \zeta^2 y^2$ ,  $d(x)$ , and  $d(y)$  into Eqs. (11) and (12) yields,

$$p(x) = \frac{C}{\zeta^2 x^2} e^{\frac{2}{\zeta^2} \int [(\alpha - \kappa + \frac{\langle \gamma_x \rangle y}{1 + h \langle \gamma_x \rangle y}) \frac{1}{x} - \beta + \frac{\mu}{x^2}] dx} = \frac{C}{\zeta^2 x^2} e^{\frac{2}{\zeta^2} \phi(x)}, \quad (13)$$

$$p(y) = \frac{C}{\zeta^2 y^2} e^{\frac{2}{\zeta^2} \int [(\alpha + \frac{\langle \gamma_y \rangle x}{1 + h \langle \gamma_y \rangle x}) \frac{1}{y} - \beta + \frac{\mu}{y^2}] dy} = \frac{C}{\zeta^2 y^2} e^{\frac{2}{\zeta^2} \phi(y)}, \quad (14)$$

where

$$\phi(x) = -\frac{\mu}{x} - \beta x + \left( \alpha - \kappa + \frac{\langle \gamma_x \rangle y}{1 + h \langle \gamma_x \rangle y} \right) \ln(x), \quad (15)$$

$$\phi(y) = -\frac{\mu}{y} - \beta y + \left( \alpha + \frac{\langle \gamma_y \rangle x}{1 + h \langle \gamma_y \rangle x} \right) \ln(y). \quad (16)$$

For an ergodic variable  $x$  with a stationary distribution, the mean first passage time is given by <sup>76</sup>

$$\tau_x = 2 \int_x^n \frac{\int_0^n p(x) dx}{v(x)p(x)} dx, \quad (17)$$

where  $x < n$  and  $n$  is the final abundance. The mean first passage time  $\tau$  for the pollinator species is

$$\tau = 2 \int_{x_0}^{x_f} \frac{\int_0^{x_f} p(x) dx}{\zeta^2 C x^2 p(x)} dx, \quad (18)$$

where the area under  $p(x)$  between  $x_0$  and  $x_f$  gives the fraction of time that the process  $x$  spends in the interval  $(x_0, x_f)$ ,  $x_0$  is the initial value and  $x_f$  is the final value. Substituting Eq. (13) into Eq. (18), we get

$$\tau = 2 \int_{x_0}^{x_f} \frac{\frac{2C}{\zeta^2} \left( -\frac{e^{-\frac{2\phi(x)}{\zeta^2}}}{x} + \frac{2\phi(x)Ei(x)}{\zeta^2} \right)}{e^{\frac{2}{\zeta^2}\phi(x)}} dx, \quad (19)$$

where  $Ei(x) \equiv -\int_{-x}^{\infty} (e^{-t}/t) dt$  is the error function. Carrying out the integration, we get

$$\tau = \frac{1}{\zeta^2} \left( \frac{2C}{x_f} - \frac{2C}{x_0} \right) + \frac{1}{\zeta^4} 2C \left[ e^{-\frac{2\phi(x_f)}{\zeta^2}} Ei(x_f) - e^{-\frac{2\phi(x_0)}{\zeta^2}} Ei(x_0) \right]. \quad (20)$$

Equation (20) gives dependence of  $\tau$  on the noise amplitude  $\zeta$ . While the dependence appears complicated, numerical test of Eq. (20) in Fig. 8(b) reveals the scaling relation (10).




Figure 8: Numerical test of Eq. (20) and Eq. (26). (a,b) are the numerical simulation of the formulas of scaling law for environmental noise and demographic noise, respectively. The constant  $C$  is set as 1.

We next consider environmental noise. With  $v(x) = \sigma^2$  and  $v(y) = \sigma^2$ , we can rewrite the probability density function as

$$p(x) = \frac{C}{\sigma^2} e^{\frac{2}{\sigma^2} \int [(\alpha x - \beta x^2 - \kappa x + \frac{\langle \gamma x \rangle y}{1+h(\gamma x)y}) x + \mu] dx} = \frac{C}{\sigma^2} e^{\frac{2}{\sigma^2} \phi(x)}, \quad (21)$$

$$p(y) = \frac{C}{\sigma^2} e^{\frac{2}{\sigma^2} \int [(\alpha y - \beta y^2 + \frac{\langle \gamma y \rangle x}{1+h(\gamma y)x}) y + \mu] dy} = \frac{C}{\sigma^2} e^{\frac{2}{\sigma^2} \phi(y)}, \quad (22)$$

where

$$\phi(x) = \mu x - \frac{\beta x^3}{3} + \frac{x^2}{2} \left( \alpha - \kappa + \frac{\langle \gamma_x \rangle y}{1 + h \langle \gamma_x \rangle y} \right), \quad (23)$$

$$\phi(y) = \mu y - \frac{\beta y^3}{3} + \frac{y^2}{2} \left( \alpha + \frac{\langle \gamma_y \rangle x}{1 + h \langle \gamma_y \rangle x} \right). \quad (24)$$

For initial condition  $x_0$  and final state  $x_f$ , the mean first passage time  $\tau$  for pollinators is

$$\tau = 2 \int_{x_0}^{x_f} \frac{\int_0^{x_f} p(x) dx}{\sigma^2 p(x)} dx. \quad (25)$$

Substituting Eq. (21) into Eq. (25), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \tau &= 2 \int_{x_0}^{x_f} \frac{\frac{2C}{\sigma^2} \left( -e^{-\frac{2\phi(x)}{\sigma^2}} + \frac{2\phi(x) Ei(x)}{\sigma^2} \right)}{e^{\frac{2\phi(x)}{\sigma^2}}} dx = 2 \int_{x_0}^{x_f} \frac{2C}{\sigma^2} \left( \frac{2\phi(x) Ei(x)}{\sigma^2 e^{\frac{2\phi(x)}{\sigma^2}}} - 1 \right) dx \\ &= \frac{4C}{\sigma^2} \left[ \frac{e^{\frac{2\phi(x_f)}{\sigma^2}} Ei(x_f)}{2\phi(x_f)^2} - \frac{e^{\frac{2\phi(x_0)}{\sigma^2}} Ei(x_0)}{2\phi(x_0)^2} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

where  $Ei(x) = -\int_{-x}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t}}{t} dt$  is the error function. Numerical test of Eq. (26) shown in Fig. 8(a) attests to the scaling law (9).

A remark about the generality of the scaling laws is in order. Our calculation of the equilibria and derivation of the scaling laws of noise induced transients rely on the reduced 2D model that is mathematically amenable to analysis. The 2D model was developed based on data from 59 empirical real world networks and was shown to capture the essential behaviour of the real networks from a wide geographical range across continents and climate zones<sup>43</sup>. The 2D model can accurately predict the occurrence of the tipping point, even in presence of stochastic disturbances. These features of the 2D model suggest that it can serve as a general paradigm to study the dynamics of complex stochastic mutualistic networks and, consequently, the scaling results obtained here are expected to be general as well.

## Discussion

Transients, stochasticity, and high dimensionality represent the three main obstacles to long term forecasting of ecological systems. To understand the interplay among the three is thus of paramount importance and broad interest. In this paper, we take a step forward to addressing this challenging issue by investigating the transient dynamics associated with species collapse and recovery in a generic class of mutualistic networked systems subject to stochastic influences. Such a networked system is high-dimensional<sup>29,36-45</sup>, whose dynamics are described by the interactions of two groups of species, e.g., pollinators and plants, in a mutualistic manner.

1  
2  
3 How do transients manifest themselves in a mutualistic networked system? According to the  
4 current understanding, the deterministic dynamical picture of a mutualistic network is dominated  
5 by a tipping point transition <sup>44</sup>. In particular, as a parameter characterizing the ecological condi-  
6 tions varies in the direction of environmental deterioration, at a critical point (tipping point), the  
7 populations of all species can collapse. As the environment is gradually improved so as to cause  
8 the parameter to vary in the opposite direction, at another critical point the species populations  
9 begin to recover. The values of the two critical points typically differ, leading to a hysteresis loop  
10 with the implication that the environment needs to be significantly more improved for the recov-  
11 ery to take place <sup>44</sup>. In the presence of stochasticity, this deterministic picture is replaced by the  
12 phenomena of noise-induced collapse and recovery. For example, at a parameter value prior to the  
13 deterministic tipping point where the system would be in a survival state with healthy populations,  
14 noise can induce a collapse. Likewise, in the parameter regime where the deterministic system is  
15 in an extinction state, noise can induce recovery of the species populations. For both noise induced  
16 phenomena, the basic dynamical mechanism is a transition between two stable steady states: one  
17 corresponding to survival and another to extinction, and it takes time for the transition to complete.  
18 This naturally brings transients into the picture and provides a paradigmatic setting for gaining  
19 insights into the complex interplay of transients, stochasticity, and high dimensionality.  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

27 Our approach is to utilize the full high-dimensional networked system to demonstrate the  
28 phenomena of noise-induced collapse and recovery. Simulations reveal the ubiquity of transient be-  
29 haviors for both environmental (Gaussian white) noise and demographic (state-dependent, colored)  
30 noise. However, to obtain a quantitative understanding of the transients, the full high-dimensional  
31 system becomes infeasible both computationally and theoretically. We thus take advantage of the  
32 2D reduced model that was previously demonstrated to be effective at capturing the essential tip-  
33 ping point dynamics <sup>43</sup> to numerically obtain the scaling laws quantifying the two noise-induced  
34 phenomena. The 2D model also enables a theoretical analysis of the underlying transient behav-  
35 iors in terms of the mean first passage time. In particular, the tipping point transition between the  
36 steady states created by saddle-node bifurcations, where the low- and high-abundance steady states  
37 correspond to extinction and survival, respectively, and the unstable state determines the boundary  
38 that separates the basins of the two steady states. Depending on the initial and final states, the  
39 transition can be a collapse process (a reverse saddle-node bifurcation) or a recovery process (a  
40 forward saddle-node bifurcation). The phenomena of noise-induced collapse and recovery occur  
41 in the parameter regime in between the two saddle-node bifurcations, which also depend on the  
42 nature of the noise. Especially, the influence of environmental noise on the transition behaviors  
43 is simply of the additive nature, but the effect of demographic noise depends on the species abun-  
44 dance. If the initial state of the system is in the high-abundance steady state, demographic noise  
45 is strong, making it the dominant stochastic source to induce a system collapse. In contrast, the  
46 strength of the demographic noise becomes small when the initial state is in the basin of low-  
47 abundance steady state, so it has little effect on the dynamics, leaving room for the environmental  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55

1  
2  
3 noise to play a dominant role in affecting the recovery dynamics. For both types of noise, the  
4 associated average transient time is found to scale with the amplitude algebraically, which is es-  
5 tablished numerically with support from a physical theory based on the mean first passage time of  
6 the underlying stochastic dynamical system.  
7  
8  
9

10 In nonlinear dynamical systems in general, transients can have a deterministic origin or they  
11 can be induced by noise. In the high-dimensional ecological networks studied in this paper, both  
12 types of transients can occur. In particular, if the bifurcation parameter  $\kappa$  is below the tipping point,  
13 the noiseless deterministic dynamics are governed by stable survival and extinction states. For a  
14 fixed value of  $\kappa$  (and other system parameters too) in this regime, neither a collapse from the sur-  
15 vival state nor a recovery from the extinction state can occur. The two transitions are possible only  
16 if either or both environmental and demographic noises are present. Dynamically, we have then,  
17 what we call, noise-induced transients, whose duration is exponentially distributed and the average  
18 transient time follows an algebraic scaling with the noise amplitude. However, if  $\kappa$  is beyond the  
19 deterministic tipping point, transient dynamics can occur before the system finally collapses into  
20 the extinction state. A tipping point transition or a regime shift can occur after a period of relative  
21 stasis without noise, even in the absence of further deterioration of the environment. The timing  
22 of the eventual collapse is difficult to be predicted because of the random nature of the transient  
23 time. Taken together, in the pre-tipping point regime, transients are induced by noise but, in the  
24 post-tipping point regime, deterministic transients arise.  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30

31 In the present work, we have used mutualistic networks as a gateway to study high-dimensional  
32 ecological networks. Because of the mutualistic interactions, when a group of pollinators or plants  
33 becomes extinct, the abundances of other species that are in mutualistic relationship with the ex-  
34 tinct species are also greatly affected. Under external driving such as improved environmental  
35 conditions and incubation of pollinators, the extinct species may gradually recover their abun-  
36 dances and the corresponding species with the mutualistic relationship are also recovered. This  
37 kind of dynamics is at the core of our work, and its general principles, ideas and methods can be  
38 extended to complex networks in other disciplines to address critical issues such as resilience and  
39 sustainability.  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44

45 Our results suggest possible management strategies for high-dimensional ecological systems  
46 under stochastic influences. For example, in view of the detrimental effect of demographic noise  
47 in causing an ecosystem to collapse, as analyzed in this paper, it is of critical importance to devise  
48 methods to reduce the level of demographic noise to keep the system in the survival state. In  
49 contrary, when the system is already in extinction, a suitable amount of environmental noise may  
50 facilitate recovery<sup>29</sup>. The algebraic scaling law of the average transient lifetime uncovered here  
51 stipulates that the recovery process can be expedited with stronger noise.  
52  
53  
54  
55

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

There has been large-scale extinction of many species of pollinators, such as wild bees, while other species are in trouble as well. The collapse of pollinators has unimaginable consequences for biodiversity and food production. Their protection is vital for our survivability. The pollinator ecosystems are affected by a host of perturbations, such as climate change caused by global warming, the excessive use of pesticides, diseases and bacterial infections, and loss of habitats due to pollution, fragmentation and destruction. A reliable understanding of the tipping point dynamics in ecological networks has profound implications to address critical issues, such as resilience and sustainability, for nature conservation and ecosystem management.

**Author Contributions** CG and YCL conceived the project. YM performed computations and analysis. All analyzed data. All wrote the paper.

**Acknowledgements and Funding Statement** YM was partially supported by the University of Aberdeen Elphinstone Fellowship. YCL would like to acknowledge support from the Vannevar Bush Faculty Fellowship Program sponsored by the Basic Research Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering and funded by the Office of Naval Research through Grant No. N00014-16-1-2828.

**Data Accessibility** All data and computer codes are available from the authors upon request.

**Competing Interests** The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

**Correspondence** To whom correspondence should be addressed:  
Ying-Cheng.Lai@asu.edu; grebogi@abdn.ac.uk

1. Dietze, M. C. Prediction in ecology: a first-principles framework. *Ecol. Appl.* **27**, 2048–2060 (2017).
2. Grebogi, C., Ott, E. & Yorke, J. A. Crises, sudden changes in chaotic attractors and chaotic transients. *Physica D* **7**, 181–200 (1983).
3. Lai, Y.-C. & Tél, T. *Transient Chaos - Complex Dynamics on Finite Time Scales* (Springer, New York, 2011).
4. Hastings, A. & Higgins, K. Persistence of transients in spatially structured ecological models. *Science* **263**, 1133–1136 (1994).
5. Hastings, A. Transient dynamics and persistence of ecological systems. *Ecol. Lett.* **4**, 215–220 (2001).
6. Dhamala, M., Lai, Y.-C. & Holt, R. D. How often are chaotic transients in spatially extended ecological systems? *Phys. Lett. A* **280**, 297–302 (2001).

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4 7. Hastings, A. Transients: the key to long-term ecological understanding? *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **19**, 39–45 (2004).
- 5
- 6
- 7 8. Hastings, A. Timescales and the management of ecological systems. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. (USA)* **113**, 14568–14573 (2016).
- 8
- 9
- 10 9. Hastings, A. *et al.* Transient phenomena in ecology. *Science* **361**, eaat6412 (2018).
- 11
- 12 10. Morozov, A. *et al.* Long transients in ecology: Theory and applications. *Phys. Life Rev.* **32**,
- 13 1–40 (2020).
- 14
- 15 11. Scheffer, M., Straile, D., van Nes, E. H. & Hosper, H. Climatic warming causes regime shifts
- 16 in lake food webs. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **46**, 1780–1783 (2001).
- 17
- 18 12. Carpenter, S. R. *et al.* Early warnings of regime shifts: A whole-ecosystem experiment. *Sci-*
- 19 *ence* **332**, 1079–1082 (2011).
- 20
- 21 13. Boettiger, C. & Hastings, A. Quantifying limits to detection of early warning for critical
- 22 transitions. *J. R. Soc. Interface* **9**, 2527–2539 (2012).
- 23
- 24 14. Roughgarden, J. A simple model for population dynamics in stochastic environments. *Ame.*
- 25 *Naturalist* **109**, 713–736 (1975).
- 26
- 27 15. Lande, R. Risks of population extinction from demographic and environmental stochasticity
- 28 and random catastrophes. *Ame. Naturalist* **142**, 911–927 (1993).
- 29
- 30 16. Yao, Q. & Tong, H. On prediction and chaos in stochastic systems. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc.*
- 31 *Lond. A* **348**, 357–369 (1994).
- 32
- 33 17. Ludwig, D. The distribution of population survival times. *Ame. Naturalist* **147**, 506–526
- 34 (1996).
- 35
- 36 18. Ripa, J., Lundberg, P. & Kaitala, V. A general theory of environmental noise in ecological
- 37 food webs. *Ame. Naturalist* **151**, 256–263 (1998).
- 38
- 39 19. Lande, R. Demographic stochasticity and allee effect on a scale with isotropic noise. *Oikos*
- 40 **83**, 353–358 (1998).
- 41
- 42 20. Dennis, B. Allee effects in stochastic populations. *Oikos* **96**, 389–401 (2002).
- 43
- 44 21. Bonsall, M. B. & Hastings, A. Demographic and environmental stochasticity in predator–prey
- 45 metapopulation dynamics. *J. Animal Ecol.* **73**, 1043–1055 (2004).
- 46
- 47 22. Ellner, S. P. & Turchin, P. When can noise induce chaos and why does it matter: A critique.
- 48 *Oikos* **111**, 620–631 (2005).
- 49
- 50
- 51
- 52
- 53
- 54
- 55
- 56
- 57
- 58
- 59
- 60

23. Lai, Y.-C. & Liu, Y.-R. Noise promotes species diversity in nature. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94**, 038102 (2005).
24. Lai, Y.-C. Beneficial role of noise in promoting species diversity through stochastic resonance. *Phys. Rev. E* **72**, 042901 (2005).
25. Guttal, V. & Jayaprakash, C. Impact of noise on bistable ecological systems. *Ecol. Model.* **201**, 420–428 (2007).
26. Doney, S. C. & Silliman, S. F. When an ecological regime shift is really just stochastic noise. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. (USA)* **110**, 2438–2439 (2013).
27. Bjornstad, O. N. Nonlinearity and chaos in ecological dynamics revisited. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. (USA)* **112**, 6252–6253 (2015).
28. O'Regan, S. M. How noise and coupling influence leading indicators of population extinction in a spatially extended ecological system. *J. Biol. Dyn.* **12**, 211–241 (2018).
29. Meng, Y., Jiang, J., Grebogi, C. & Lai, Y.-C. Noise-enabled species recovery in the aftermath of a tipping point. *Phys. Rev. E* **101**, 012206 (2020).
30. Heino, M. Noise colour, synchrony and extinctions in spatially structured populations. *Oikos* **83**, 368–375 (1998).
31. Benton, T. G., Lapsley, C. & Beckerman, A. P. The population response to environmental noise: population size, variance and correlation in an experimental system. *J. Animal Ecol.* **71**, 320–332 (2002).
32. Grenfell, B. T., Bjornstad, O. N. & Finkenstädt, B. F. Dynamics of measles epidemics: Scaling noise, determinism, and predictability with the tsir model. *Ecol. Monographs* **72**, 185–202 (2002).
33. Bonsall, M. B. & Hastings, A. Demographic and environmental stochasticity in predator-prey metapopulation dynamics. *J. Animal Ecol.* **73**, 1043–1055 (2004).
34. Martín, P. V., Bonachela, J. A., Levin, S. A. & Muñoz, M. A. Eluding catastrophic shifts. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. (USA)* **112**, E1828–E1836 (2015).
35. Constable, G. W. A., Rogers, T., McKane, A. J. & Tarnita, C. E. Demographic noise can reverse the direction of deterministic selection. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. (USA)* **113**, E4745–E4754 (2016).
36. Bascompte, J., Jordano, P., Melián, C. J. & Olesen, J. M. The nested assembly of plant-animal mutualistic networks. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (USA)* **100**, 9383–9387 (2003).



- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4 37. Guimaraes, P. R., Jordano, P. & Thompson, J. N. Evolution and coevolution in mutualistic
- 5 networks. *Ecol. Lett.* **14**, 877–885 (2011).
- 6
- 7 38. Nuismer, S. L., Jordano, P. & Bascompte, J. Coevolution and the architecture of mutualistic
- 8 networks. *Evolution* **67**, 338–354 (2013).
- 9
- 10 39. Lever, J. J., Nes, E. H., Scheffer, M. & Bascompte, J. The sudden collapse of pollinator
- 11 communities. *Ecol. Lett.* **17**, 350–359 (2014).
- 12
- 13 40. Rohr, R. P., Saavedra, S. & Bascompte, J. On the structural stability of mutualistic systems.
- 14 *Science* **345**, 1253497 (2014).
- 15
- 16 41. Dakos, V. & Bascompte, J. Critical slowing down as early warning for the onset of collapse in
- 17 mutualistic communities. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (USA)* **111**, 17546–17551 (2014).
- 18
- 19 42. Guimaraes, P. R., Pires, M. M., Jordano, P., Bascompte, J. & Thompson, J. N. Indirect effects
- 20 drive coevolution in mutualistic networks. *Nature* **550**, 511–514 (2017).
- 21
- 22 43. Jiang, J. *et al.* Predicting tipping points in mutualistic networks through dimension reduction.
- 23 *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (USA)* **115**, E639–E647 (2018).
- 24
- 25 44. Jiang, J., Hastings, A. & Lai, Y.-C. Harnessing tipping points in complex ecological networks.
- 26 *J. R. Soc. Interface* **16**, 20190345 (2019).
- 27
- 28 45. Ohgushi, T., Schmitz, O. & Holt, R. D. *Trait-Mediated Indirect Interactions: Ecological and*
- 29 *Evolutionary Perspectives* (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge UK, 2012).
- 30
- 31 46. Scheffer, M. *Ecology of Shallow Lakes* (Springer Science & Business Media, 2004).
- 32
- 33 47. Scheffer, M. *et al.* Early-warning signals for critical transitions. *Nature* **461**, 53–59 (2009).
- 34
- 35 48. Scheffer, M. Complex systems: foreseeing tipping points. *Nature* **467**, 411–412 (2010).
- 36
- 37 49. Wysham, D. B. & Hastings, A. Regime shifts in ecological systems can occur with no warning.
- 38 *Ecol. Lett.* **13**, 464–472 (2010).
- 39
- 40 50. Drake, J. M. & Griffen, B. D. Early warning signals of extinction in deteriorating environ-
- 41 ments. *Nature* **467**, 456–459 (2010).
- 42
- 43 51. Dai, L., Vorselen, D., Korolev, K. S. & Gore, J. Generic indicators for loss of resilience before
- 44 a tipping point leading to population collapse. *Science* **336**, 1175–1177 (2012).
- 45
- 46 52. Ashwin, P., Wieczorek, S., Vitolo, R. & Cox, P. Tipping points in open systems: bifurcation,
- 47 noise-induced and rate-dependent examples in the climate system. *Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. A*
- 48 **370**, 1166–1184 (2012).
- 49
- 50
- 51
- 52
- 53
- 54
- 55
- 56
- 57
- 58
- 59
- 60

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60
53. Lenton, T. M., Livina, V. N., Dakos, V., van Nes, E. H. & Scheffer, M. Early warning of climate tipping points from critical slowing down: comparing methods to improve robustness. *Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. A* **370**, 1185–1204 (2012).
  54. Barnosky, A. D. *et al.* Approaching a state shift in earths biosphere. *Nature* **486**, 52–58 (2012).
  55. Boettiger, C. & Hastings, A. Tipping points: From patterns to predictions. *Nature* **493**, 157–158 (2013).
  56. Tylianakis, J. M. & Coux, C. Tipping points in ecological networks. *Trends. Plant. Sci.* **19**, 281–283 (2014).
  57. Lontzek, T. S., Cai, Y.-Y., Judd, K. L. & Lenton, T. M. Stochastic integrated assessment of climate tipping points indicates the need for strict climate policy. *Nat. Clim. Change* **5**, 441–444 (2015).
  58. Gualdia, S., Tarziaa, M., Zamponic, F. & Bouchaud, J.-P. Tipping points in macroeconomic agent-based models. *J. Econ. Dyn. Contr.* **50**, 29–61 (2015).
  59. Holling, C. S. Some characteristics of simple types of predation and parasitism. *Can. Entomol.* **91**, 385–398 (1959).
  60. Weissmann, H., Shnerb, N. M. & Kessler, D. A. Simulation of spatial systems with demographic noise. *Phys. Rev. E* **98**, 022131 (2018).
  61. Van den Broeck, C., Parrondo, J. M. R., Toral, R. & Kawai, R. Nonequilibrium phase transitions induced by multiplicative noise. *Phys. Rev. E* **55**, 4084–4094 (1997).
  62. Pechenik, L. & Levine, H. Interfacial velocity corrections due to multiplicative noise. *Phys. Rev. E* **59**, 3893 (1999).
  63. Feudel, U. & Grebogi, C. Multistability and the control of complexity. *Chaos* **7**, 597–604 (1997).
  64. Kraut, S., Feudel, U. & Grebogi, C. Preference of attractors in noisy multistable systems. *Phys. Rev. E* **59**, 5253–5260 (1999).
  65. Sommerer, J. C., Ott, E. & Grebogi, C. Scaling law for characteristic times of noise-induced crises. *Phys. Rev. A* **43**, 1754 (1991).
  66. Lai, Y.-C. & Grebogi, C. Quasiperiodicity and suppression of multistability in nonlinear dynamical systems. *Euro. Phys. J. Spec. Top.* **226**, 1703–1719 (2017).
  67. McDonald, S. W., Grebogi, C., Ott, E. & Yorke, J. A. Fractal basin boundaries. *Physica D* **17**, 125–153 (1985).

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60
68. Liu, Z., Lai, Y.-C., Billings, L. & Schwartz, I. B. Transition to chaos in continuous-time random dynamical systems. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88**, 124101 (2002).
  69. Lai, Y.-C., Liu, Z., Billings, L. & Schwartz, I. B. Noise-induced unstable dimension variability and transition to chaos in random dynamical systems. *Phys. Rev. E* **67**, 026210 (2003).
  70. Poon, L. & Grebogi, C. Controlling complexity. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **75**, 4023–4026 (1995).
  71. Lai, Y.-C. Driving trajectories to a desirable attractor by using small control. *Phys. Lett. A* **221**, 375–383 (1996).
  72. Grebogi, C., Ott, E., Romeiras, F. & Yorke, J. A. Critical exponents for crisis-induced intermittency. *Phys. Rev. A* **36**, 5365–5380 (1987).
  73. Talkner, P. Mean first passage time and the lifetime of a metastable state. *Zeit. Physik B Cond. Matt.* **68**, 201–207 (1987).
  74. Dennis, B. & Patil, G. P. The gamma distribution and weighted multimodal gamma distributions as models of population abundance. *Math. Biosci.* **68**, 187–212 (1984).
  75. Dennis, B. & Costantino, R. F. Analysis of steady-state populations with the gamma abundance model: application to *Tribolium*. *Ecology* **69**, 1200–1213 (1988).
  76. Dennis, B., Assas, L., Elaydi, S., Kwessi, E. & Livadiotis, G. Allee effects and resilience in stochastic populations. *Theo. Ecol.* **9**, 323–335 (2016).
  77. Karlin, S. & Taylor, H. E. *A Second Course in Stochastic Processes* (Elsevier, 1981).
  78. Allen, L. J. *An Introduction to Stochastic Processes with Applications to Biology* (CRC Press, 2010).