#### A review of a decade of lessons from one of the world's largest MPAs: 1 2 conservation gains and key challenges

3 4

Graeme C. Hays<sup>1\*</sup>, Heather J. Koldewey<sup>2,3</sup>, Samantha Andrzejaczek<sup>4</sup>, Martin J. Attrill<sup>5</sup>, Shanta 5

- Barley<sup>6,7</sup>, Daniel T.I. Bayley<sup>8</sup>, Cassandra E. Benkwitt<sup>9</sup>, Barbara Block<sup>4</sup>, Robert J. Schallert<sup>4</sup>, Aaron 6
- B. Carlisle<sup>10</sup>, Pete Carr<sup>3,11</sup>, Taylor K. Chapple<sup>12</sup>, Claire Collins<sup>3,11</sup>, Clara Diaz<sup>5</sup>, Nicholas Dunn<sup>11,13</sup>, 7
- Robert B. Dunbar<sup>14</sup>, Dannielle S. Eager<sup>5</sup>, Julian Engel<sup>15</sup>, Clare B. Embling<sup>5</sup>, Nicole Esteban<sup>16</sup>, 8 Francesco Ferretti<sup>17</sup>, Nicola L. Foster<sup>5</sup>, Robin Freeman<sup>11</sup>, Matthew Gollock<sup>2</sup>, Nicholas A.J. Graham<sup>9</sup>, 9
- Joanna L. Harris<sup>5,18</sup>, Catherine E.I. Head<sup>11,19</sup>, Phil Hosegood<sup>5</sup>, Kerry L. Howell<sup>5</sup>, Nigel E. Hussey<sup>20</sup>, 10
- David M.P. Jacoby<sup>11</sup>, Rachel Jones<sup>2</sup>, Sivajyodee Sannassy Pilly<sup>21</sup>, Ines D. Lange<sup>22</sup>, Tom B. 11
- Letessier<sup>11,23</sup>, Emma Levy<sup>2</sup>, Mathilde Lindhart<sup>24</sup>, Jamie M. McDevitt-Irwin<sup>4</sup>, Mark Meekan<sup>25</sup>, Jessica 12
- J. Meeuwig<sup>23</sup>, Fiorenza Micheli<sup>4,26</sup>, Andrew O.M. Mogg<sup>27,28</sup>, Jeanne A. Mortimer<sup>29,30</sup>, David A. 13
- 14
- Mucciarone<sup>14</sup>, Malcolm A. Nicoll<sup>11</sup>, Ana Nuno<sup>3,31</sup>, Chris T. Perry<sup>22</sup>, Stephen G. Preston<sup>19</sup>, Alex J. Rattray<sup>1</sup>, Edward Robinson<sup>5</sup>, Ronan C. Roche<sup>21</sup>, Melissa Schiele<sup>11</sup>, Emma V. Sheehan<sup>5</sup>, Anne 15
- Sheppard<sup>21,32</sup>, Charles Sheppard<sup>21,32</sup>, Adrian L. Smith<sup>19</sup>, Bradley Soule<sup>15</sup>, Mark Spalding<sup>33</sup>, Guy M.W. Stevens<sup>18</sup>, Margaux Steyaert<sup>11,19</sup>, Sarah Stiffel<sup>19</sup>, Brett M. Taylor<sup>25</sup>, David Tickler<sup>7</sup>, Alice M. 16
- 17
- Trevail<sup>34</sup>, Pablo Trueba<sup>15</sup>, John Turner<sup>21</sup>, Stephen Votier<sup>34</sup>, Bry Wilson<sup>19</sup>, Gareth J. Williams<sup>21</sup>, 18
- Benjamin J. Williamson<sup>35</sup>, Michael J. Williamson<sup>11,36</sup>, Hannah Wood<sup>11</sup>, David J. Curnick<sup>11</sup> 19
- 20

- 21 \*Correspondence g.hays@deakin.edu.au
- 23 <sup>1</sup>Deakin University, Centre for Integrative Ecology, Geelong, Australia
- <sup>2</sup>Zoological Society of London, Regent's Park, London NW1 4RY, UK 24
- <sup>3</sup>Centre for Ecology and Conservation, College of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of 25 Exeter, Penryn, Cornwall TR10 9FE, UK 26
- 27 <sup>4</sup>Hopkins Marine Station, Stanford University, Pacific Grove, CA, USA
- <sup>5</sup>School of Biological and Marine Sciences, University of Plymouth, Plymouth, PL4 8AA, UK 28
- 29 <sup>6</sup>Minderoo Foundation, 80 Birdwood Parade, Western Australia, 6009
- 30 <sup>7</sup>School of Biological Sciences, The University of Western Australia, Crawley, Western Australia, 31 6009
- <sup>8</sup>Centre for Biodiversity and Environment Research, University College London, Bloomsbury, 32 33 London WC1H 0AG
- 34 <sup>9</sup>Lancaster Environment Centre, Lancaster University, Lancaster, LA1 4YQ, UK
- 35 <sup>10</sup>School of Marine Science and Policy, University of Delaware, Lewes, DE, 19958, USA
- 36 <sup>11</sup>Institute of Zoology, Zoological Society of London, Regent's Park, London NW1 4RY, UK
- 37 <sup>12</sup>Hatfield Marine Science Center, Oregon State University, 2030 SE Marine Science Drive Newport, 38 OR 97365, USA
- 39 <sup>13</sup>Department of Life Sciences, Imperial College London, Silwood Park, Ascot, UK
- 40 <sup>14</sup>Earth System Science, Stanford University, Stanford CA 94305
- 41 <sup>15</sup>OceanMind Limited, Harwell Innovation Centre, Building 173 Curie Avenue, Harwell, Didcot 42 OX11 0QG
- <sup>16</sup>Department of Biosciences, Swansea University, Swansea SA2 8PP, Wales, UK 43
- 44 <sup>17</sup>Department of Fish and Wildlife Conservation, College of Natural Resources and Environment, 45 Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, VA, USA
- <sup>18</sup>The Manta Trust, Catemwood House, Norwood Lane, Corscombe, Dorset, DT2 0NT, UK 46
- <sup>19</sup>Department of Zoology, University of Oxford, Oxford OX1 3SZ, UK 47
- 48 <sup>20</sup>Department of Integrative Biology, University of Windsor, Ontario, N9B 3P4.Canada.
- <sup>21</sup>School of Ocean Sciences, Bangor University, Menai Bridge, Wales UK, LL59 5AB 49

- <sup>22</sup>Geography, College of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Exeter, EX4 4RJ,
   UK
- <sup>23</sup>School of Biological Sciences (M092), The University of Western Australia, Crawley, WA, 6009,
   Australia
- <sup>24</sup>Civil and Environmental Engineering, Stanford University, Stanford CA 94305
- <sup>25</sup>Australian Institute of Marine Science, Indian Ocean Marine Research Centre, The University of
   Western Australia, Crawley, 6009, Western Australia, Australia
- <sup>26</sup>Center for Ocean Solutions, Stanford University, 120 Ocean View Blvd, Pacific Grove, CA 93950,
   USA
- <sup>27</sup>NERC National Facility for Scientific Diving, Scottish Association for Marine Science, Oban, UK
- <sup>28</sup>Tritonia Scientific Ltd., Dunstaffnage Marine Laboratories, Oban, UK, PA37 1QA
- <sup>29</sup>Department of Biology, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida 32611, USA
- 62 <sup>30</sup>P.O. Box 1443, Victoria, Mahé, Seychelles
- <sup>31</sup>Interdisciplinary Centre of Social Sciences (CICS.NOVA), School of Social Sciences and
   Humanities (NOVA FCSH), NOVA University Lisbon, Avenida de Berna, 26-C, 1069-061
   Lisboa, Portugal
- <sup>32</sup>School of Life Sciences, University of Warwick, Coventry, CV4 7AL, UK
- <sup>33</sup>Conservation Science Group, Department of Zoology, University of Cambridge, CB2
   3QZ, UK
- <sup>34</sup>Environment and Sustainability Institute, University of Exeter, Penryn Campus, Penryn, Cornwall,
   TR10 9FE, UK
- <sup>35</sup>Environmental Research Institute, University of the Highlands and Islands, Ormlie Road, Thurso,
   KW14 7EE, UK
- <sup>36</sup>Department of Geography, King's College London, London, WC2B 4BG, UK
   74

#### 75 76 **Abstract**

Given the recent trend towards establishing very large marine protected areas (MPAs) and the high 77 78 potential of these to contribute to global conservation targets, we review outcomes of the last decade 79 of marine conservation research in the British Indian Ocean Territory (BIOT), one of the largest 80 MPAs in the world. The BIOT MPA consists of the atolls of the Chagos Archipelago, interspersed 81 with and surrounded by deep oceanic waters. Islands around the atoll rims serve as nesting grounds for sea birds. Extensive and diverse shallow and mesophotic reef habitats provide essential habitat 82 83 and feeding grounds for all marine life, and the absence of local human impacts may improve 84 recovery after coral bleaching events. Census data have shown recent increases in the abundance of 85 sea turtles, high numbers of nesting seabirds and high fish abundance, at least some of which is linked to the lack of recent harvesting. For example, across the archipelago the annual number of 86 87 green turtle nests (Chelonia mydas) is ~20,500 and increasing and the number of seabirds is ~1 88 million. Animal tracking studies have shown that some taxa breed and/or forage consistently within 89 the MPA (e.g. some reef fishes, elasmobranchs and seabirds), suggesting the MPA has the potential 90 to provide long-term protection. In contrast, post-nesting green turtles travel up to 4000 km to distant 91 foraging sites, so the protected beaches in the Chagos Archipelago provide a nesting sanctuary for 92 individuals that forage across an ocean basin and several geopolitical borders. Surveys using divers 93 and underwater video systems show high habitat diversity and abundant marine life on all trophic 94 levels. For example, coral cover can be as high as 40-50%. Ecological studies are shedding light on 95 how remote ecosystems function, connect to each other and respond to climate-driven stressors 96 compared to other locations that are more locally impacted. However, important threats to this MPA 97 have been identified, particularly global heating events, and Illegal, Unreported and Unregulated 98 (IUU) fishing activity, which considerably impact both reef and pelagic fishes.

100

Keywords: VLMPA, biologging, conservation, marine megafauna, shark, coral reefs, Aichi targets,seamounts

- 103
- 104
- 105

# 106 Introduction

107 The growing recognition that marine ecosystems are threatened by biodiversity declines and habitat 108 degradation (McCauley et al. 2015) has led to international calls for protecting the world's ocean, 109 including within Marine Protected Areas (MPAs) (Convention on Biological Diversity's Aichi Target 11 https://www.cbd.int/sp/targets/;Woodley et al. 2019). Negotiations at the United Nations 110 111 are also ongoing to establish a new international treaty within which MPAs would be established in Areas Beyond National Jurisdiction (ABNJs) (O'Leary et al. 2020). A large body of research 112 113 spanning over 50 years demonstrates that in general, MPAs lead to increases in biodiversity, 114 abundance, size and biomass (e.g. Ballantine 2014; Lester et al. 2009). Importantly, there is also clear evidence of fisheries benefits (Goñi et al. 2010; Harrison et al. 2012), well-being and social 115 116 benefits (Ban et al. 2019), and resilience afforded by protection in the face of climate change (Mellin 117 et al. 2016; Roberts et al. 2017). While there are recognised limitations (Devillers et al. 2015; Edgar et al. 2014; Giakoumi et al. 2018), impacts of protection are largely positive in coastal ecosystems. 118 119 Very Large Marine Protected Areas (VLMPAs), areas > 100,000 km<sup>2</sup>, are fundamental to 120 halting and reversing ocean health declines and to meeting global targets. The Aichi Target calls for 121 a minimum of 10% of the world's ocean to be protected by 2020, a target that will not be met with currently only 2.5% of the ocean's surface in highly protected MPAs (http://www.mpatlas.org/; Sala 122 123 et al. 2018). Additionally, the 30x30 initiative, supported by the analysis of O'Leary et al. (2016), 124 suggests that a minimum of 30% of the ocean should be in highly protected MPAs. Positive 125 conservation outcomes from large-scale protection are also expected to generate positive social, economic and equity outcomes with respect to food security and resource access (Sumaila et al. 126 127 2015). However, the benefits of VLMPAs remain debated and empirical studies evaluating their 128 effectiveness are essential. These studies have been limited due to the relatively young age of 129 VLMPAs; the first VLMPA to be established was the Pacific Remote Islands National Marine 130 Monument in 2009 (MPA Atlas, http://mpatlas.org/mpa/sites/7704395/). Significant challenge also exists in delivering conservation research in remote regions and on large spatial scales that include 131 132 offshore pelagic environments.

133 The British Indian Ocean Territory (BIOT) MPA was proclaimed by the UK Government in 134 April 2010. It is classified as a VLMPA at 640,000 km<sup>2</sup> and as an IUCN management category 1a strict nature reserve (Day et al. 2019), with effectively no permitted fishing. At the time of its 135 136 designation, it was the largest contiguous highly protected MPA. The MPA includes a range of 137 habitats with deep oceanic areas surrounding the shallow reef environments and reef islands of the 138 Chagos Archipelago. Its recognition as an important site for conservation (reviewed previously by 139 Sheppard et al. 2012) has helped drive a concerted programme of ongoing studies to understand the 140 outcomes of the MPA's creation and its importance for the species and ecosystems it hosts. At the 141 same time, the legality of this MPA has been challenged (Appleby 2015; United Nations 2019). Given both the ongoing challenges to the BIOT MPA and the wealth of recent studies, here we 142 assess the knowledge gains over the past decade regarding this MPA's conservation value. We also 143 144 discuss the ongoing conservation challenges facing the BIOT MPA that continue to require new and 145 innovative approaches and consider the implications of the lessons learnt for marine conservation 146 planning and management more broadly across the globe.

- 147
- 148
- 149

#### 150 Materials and methods

151

#### 152 Identifying Case Studies

153 Marine research in BIOT extends back to the 1970s but has increased rapidly in the last 15 years. 154 Recently, much of the research within the BIOT MPA has been coordinated through the Bertarelli 155 Programme in Marine Science (BPMS). At the annual BPMS meeting in London (18-20 September 156 2019), programme-supported scientists were asked to describe their key recent findings that highlight 157 either the conservation value or the challenges facing the MPA. Experts who attended this meeting 158 were also asked to identify other individuals from around the world who should be invited to 159 participate in writing a review summarizing the last decade of research on the BIOT MPA. The assembled authors were able to provide comprehensive coverage of the breadth of recent work that 160 161 has taken place concerning the BIOT MPA, including work on a range of habitats including shallow coral reefs and pelagic realms as well as a range of taxa including fishes, seabirds and turtles. Case 162 studies were identified by taxonomic group, by habitat, or by ecological question and then experts in 163 164 each area prepared text describing their recent discoveries, which are synthesised below.

165 166

#### 167 **Background and overview of recent scientific work**

Of the 640,000 km<sup>2</sup> of the BIOT MPA, 19,120 km<sup>2</sup> is shallower than 100 m and the remainder is 168 deep oceanic water with maximum depths of >5,000 m. The Chagos Archipelago consists of discrete 169 170 atolls with around 58 associated islands, submerged banks, and an estimated 86 seamounts. The 171 Great Chagos Bank is described as the world's largest atoll structure, covering an area of 12,642 km<sup>2</sup> and water depths down to about 90 m (Fig. 1). The land area of the islands within the archipelago 172 173 totals only 56 km<sup>2</sup>. These islands are surrounded by shallow fringing coral reefs and encompass 174 lagoons with sheltered reefs, patch reefs, coral outcrops and seagrass meadows. The BIOT MPA 175 covers the entire Economic Exclusion Zone (EEZ) with the exception of Diego Garcia atoll and a three-nautical mile buffer around it, noting that large parts of this atoll and waters receive separate 176 177 protection under multiple legal and other regulatory controls (https://biot.gov.io/). From the 18<sup>th</sup> 178 century until the 1970s, the archipelago was managed as a coconut oil plantation. When the final 179 plantations closed, the archipelago was declared a military exclusion area, and the remaining 180 population was relocated (Wenban-Smith and Carter 2017). Since then, commercial fishing comprising licensed pelagic longline and purse seine fisheries and a relatively small-scale demersal 181 fishery - was allowed up until 2010 at which point all legal commercial fishing ceased. Local human 182 183 impacts on the reefs within the MPA have generally been minimal, but were significant on the islands when previously settled. Approximately half of Diego Garcia, which has the only current 184 185 human settlement in the archipelago, has been extensively altered for the creation of a large military 186 facility, with buildings and infrastructure, including coastal modification, ports and anchorages. 187



188 189

190

191

192

193

**Fig. 1 The Chagos Archipelago.** Inset shows the general location within the Indian Ocean and the MPA boundary (red). Main map shows the archipelago which lies at the heart of the MPA. The five atolls with land are in bold, versus selected submerged reefs and atolls not in bold. Islands on the Great Chagos Bank include Danger Island, Eagle Island, Three Brothers islands and Nelsons Island. Blue shading indicates water shallower than approximately 100 m.

194 195

196 The isolated and protected nature of the Chagos Archipelago means that many human influences are 197 minimal. This limited human presence and remote setting of the BIOT MPA provides a baseline to 198 other systems more impacted by anthropogenic pressures. All else being equal, it might be expected 199 that the MPA would result in positive species and habitat conservation outcomes. There have been 200 considerable recent efforts, documented below, to quantify species abundances for comparison with 201 other areas in the Indian Ocean, as well as assessing long-term changes within the archipelago. This 202 work has shown the value of the MPA for sea turtles, pelagic and reef-associated fishes, seabirds, 203 invertebrates and key habitats, such as coral reefs and seagrass beds (Fig. 2). To assess patterns of 204 movement in relation to the MPA, a range of turtles, fishes and seabirds have been tracked using 205 satellite (Argos and GPS), acoustic telemetry and archival biologging packages. Coral reef surveys 206 have been conducted for four decades, thus informing research on how climate change impacts these 207 ecosystems. Fish surveys on reefs and in pelagic areas with stereo Baited Remote Underwater Video 208 Systems (BRUVS) have been used to describe species assemblages and relative abundance. More 209 recently, detailed oceanographic studies have been undertaken to better understand the drivers 210 behind the biotic patterns and behaviours observed, while remotely operated vehicles (ROVs) have 211 been employed to study the health and diversity of mesophotic reefs and how they may act as refuges 212 for shallow reefs. The temporal, spatial and bathymetric extent of data is thus now significant and 213 increasing rapidly. In addition to these studies on abundance, trends and movements, the MPA has 214 allowed a range of questions to be addressed on ecosystem functioning, movement ecology and 215 animal behaviour in an environment relatively free of most human influences. At the same time,

- 216 patrols of the MPA provide indications of the extent of Illegal, Unreported and Unregulated (IUU)
- 217 fishing activity.
- 218
- 219



#### 220

221 222 Fig. 2 The breadth of recent studies in the BIOT MPA. Recent work in the BIOT MPA has used 223 electronic tags to track the movements of sea turtles, seabirds and fish. Pictured with tags attached a 224 a green turtle (*Chelonia mydas*) with a Fastloc-GPS Argos tag on the carapace, **b** a red-footed booby 225 (Sula sula) with a light-based geolocator tag on its leg, c a silvertip shark (Carcharhinus 226 albimarginatus) prior to being fitted with a long-term, internal acoustic transmitter. d Habitat 227 surveys using SCUBA and deployed instruments have shown long-term changes in reef 228 environments and water temperature. e Counting tracks on beaches has revealed long-term increases 229 in sea turtle nesting numbers. f Marine surveys have been extended using technology such as Baited 230 Remote Underwater Video Systems (BRUVS) deployed in the open ocean or in shallow coastal areas. Pictured in (f) silvertip sharks. Images courtesy (a,e) Nicole Esteban and Graeme Hays, (b) 231 232 Hannah Wood, (c) David Curnick, (d) Charles Sheppard, (f) Jessica Meeuwig.

- 233
- 234

### 235 **Review structure**

We begin by examining the importance of the BIOT MPA for coral reefs and coral reef research. We

- then consider work with taxa that has included tracking individuals and/or census surveys including
  coral reef fish, turtles, seabirds and pelagic fish. We then consider recent knowledge gains regarding
- coral reef fish, turtles, seabirds and pelagic fish. We then consider recent knowledge gains regarding
   invertebrate fauna and mesophotic reefs. We examine how the MPA has provided an environment
- for seminal work on natural behaviours and ecological relationships in the absence of anthropogenic
- influences and we consider the physical oceanography of the region may influence its ecological

value. Finally, we highlight the key threats the MPA faces, particularly climate warming impacts oncoral reefs and IUU fishing impacts on fish stocks.

#### 244 245

247

#### 246 **Results**

#### 248 Importance of the BIOT MPA for coral reefs and coral reef research

The BIOT MPA represents a valuable reference site for understanding coral community resilience in an ocean where most reefs have undergone significant and continuing declines in health. Although reefs in the Chagos Archipelago have not been spared from the effects of large climate driven stressors (i.e. temperature driven coral bleaching), the MPA has afforded protection from many of the local threats that reefs face in other parts of the world such as destructive fishing practices, local pollution, or sedimentation and eutrophication from anthropogenic land-based sources.

Data collected following the major coral bleaching event of 1998 showed that despite its 255 256 geographically isolated position, the Chagos Archipelago was not immune from widespread coral mortality, which extended to depths of > 40 m in some locations (Sheppard et al. 2012). However, 257 258 most of the reefs recovered quickly and by 2012 coral cover on reefs in the BIOT MPA averaged 40-259 50% (Fig. 3a,d), with juvenile coral densities of 20-60 colonies m<sup>-2</sup> (Fig. 3b) (Sheppard et al. 2017; Sheppard and Sheppard 2019). Thus, the reefs had largely regained coral cover levels consistent with 260 those documented prior to 1998 and coral recruitment was clearly prolific. This high coral cover and 261 return of dominant branching and tabular species on many fore reef sites supported high net positive 262 263 carbonate budgets, an important metric influencing reef growth potential and the maintenance of habitat complexity (Perry et al. 2015). Resultant estimates of average vertical reef accretion rates on 264 Acropora dominated reefs  $(4.4 \pm 1.0 \text{ mm yr}^{-1})$  were high in a global context, indicating that many of 265 the reefs would have the capacity to track projected future sea level rise (Perry et al. 2018). For 266 context it is important to note that not all reefs in the wider region recovered as well or as fast after 267 the 1997-1998 bleaching event. For example, shallow reefs in the Maldives recovered to pre-268 bleaching states by 2013-2014, albeit comparatively slowly and displaying subtle changes in 269 270 community composition (e.g. Morri et al. 2015), whilst in the Seychelles reefs followed more 271 divergent recovery trajectories. Some sites recovered well, while others regime-shifted to macroalgal 272 or rubble dominated states with coral cover <10% (e.g. Chong-Seng et al. 2014; Harris et al. 2014, Graham et al. 2015). Regime-shifted sites had negative carbonate budgets and reef accretion rates 273 274 (Perry et al. 2018).

275 It is clear that the absence of local impacts, provided by the remoteness of the Chagos 276 Archipelago and the presence of the MPA, aided relatively rapid recovery of many reefs compared to other Indian Ocean sites (Sheppard and Sheppard 2019). In particular, water quality is emerging as 277 278 an important factor shaping the response of corals and reefs to heat stress (Wooldridge and Done 279 2009; D'Angelo and Wiedenmann 2014; MacNeil et al. 2019; Lapointe et al. 2019; Donovan et al. 280 2020). Specifically, an increase in nitrogen (especially nitrate) coupled with phosphorous limitation, 281 which are typical of land-based pollution, exacerbate the effects of heat stress and prolongs recovery 282 time following bleaching events (Wiedenmann et al. 2013; Ezzat et al. 2016; Burkepile et al. 2020). The absence of such stressors within the Chagos Archipelago is likely a key contributor to the rapid 283 284 recovery observed on these reefs compared to other reefs within the region and within other MPAs 285 (e.g., the Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary and the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park) (MacNeil et al. 2019; Lapointe et al. 2019). 286

However, it is also relevant to note that these reefs have not been immune from repeated disturbances over the last decade. Localised outbreaks of crown-of-thorns starfish (*Acanthaster planci*) were observed in 2013, causing high mortality of branching *Acropora* spp. and White Syndrome disease was prevalent on many reefs in 2014 and 2015, causing widespread mortality of tabular *Acropora* colonies (Wright 2016; Sheppard et al. 2017). Most significantly, however, the reefs were again heavily impacted by the recent global heat stress event, which caused back-to-back coral bleaching and mortality in 2015 and 2016. Intensive research efforts in BIOT over the last five years are providing detailed insights into subsequent ecological changes across a wide range of depths and habitats.

296 As after the 1998 event, widespread coral mortality reduced average coral cover to around 297 10% in 2017, mainly affecting reefs to a depth of 15 m (Fig. 3a,e) (Sheppard et al. 2017; Head et al. 298 2019). This decline in coral cover was driven primarily by a ~90% decline in Acropora spp. cover in 299 shallow and mid depths, shifting community composition from competitive to stress-tolerant taxa 300 and leaving Porites spp. as the dominant coral genus post-bleaching (Head et al. 2019; Lange and 301 Perry 2019). In deeper water (20 m+), the largest losses were of foliacious forms. No evidence of 302 coral acclimation following 1998 can thus be inferred. Soft corals have also been lost, especially on 303 shallow reefs and seaward facing exposed reefs, and now occupy less than 4% in the 15-25 m depth range. Sponges showed an initial increase in 2018, especially in deep waters, but have declined to 304 305 about 12% cover in 2019 (Sannassy Pilly et al. unpubl. data). Despite the decrease in coral cover, 306 fleshy macroalgae are very rare, which may be attributed to absent nutrient stress from fertilizer and sewage runoff that negatively affect reefs in most coastal areas (Fabricius 2005; Lapointe et al. 307 308 2019). The only life form to show a mean increase across reefs are calcifying algae (especially 309 Halimeda spp.), which have increased from negligible values to 12% in shallow waters and to 15-310 16% in deeper waters. Crustose coralline algae cover has increased from 8% to around 25% in 311 shallow water and to around 20% in deeper waters in 2019 (Benkwitt et al. 2019; Sannassy Pilly et 312 al. unpubl. data). From a geo-ecological perspective, the main consequence of the above community 313 changes has been a major decline in carbonate production rates, which have dropped by an average 314 of 77% (Fig. 3c). At the same time, mean reef rugosity declined by 16% (Fig. 3c) and rubble cover 315 doubled between 2015 and 2018 (Lange and Perry 2019).

Critical questions at present are whether the reefs will follow the same recovery trajectories 316 317 as after 1998, or whether more divergent trajectories will occur in different sites and locations (see 318 section below on Key Ongoing Threats). The presence of the BIOT MPA guarantees that recovery 319 trajectories will not be impeded by local stressors such as anthropogenically-derived nitrogen 320 enrichment and altered nutrient ratios, which can exacerbate coral disease and bleaching and has led to reef degradation in other protected areas, e.g. the Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary 321 322 (Lapointe et al. 2019). Still, recovery potential will ultimately depend on recurrence intervals and 323 magnitudes of future heat stress events.



Fig. 3 Metrics of reef health on ocean-facing coral reefs across the Chagos Archipelago. a Live coral cover (%) at different depths 1995-2019; b Juvenile coral densities (individuals m<sup>-2</sup>) at different depths 2012-2019; c Coral carbonate production rate (kg m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>) and rugosity at 8-10 m depth 2015-2019. All values are means  $\pm$  SD. Shaded areas represent major coral bleaching events. Photographs show reef states in d 2015, e 2018 and F) an example of young *Acropora* spp. growing on a dead table coral in 2019. Note that 2020 data in c are based on a subset of survey locations. Photographs: (d) Chris Perry, (e,f) Ines Lange.

333

# 334

## 335

#### 336 Coral reef fishes are much more abundant than in other Indian Ocean locations

337 The first underwater visual surveys of fish biomass and community structure in the Chagos 338 Archipelago were conducted on the outer reef slopes of the atolls in 2010, the year the MPA was 339 established. The archipelago had also been a *de facto* MPA for reef fishes, with very limited reef 340 fishing since the 1970s (Koldewey et al. 2010). Fish biomass on these reefs was six times greater 341 than even the best-protected smaller MPAs surveyed across eight other countries in the WIO 342 (Graham and McClanahan 2013). Much of this biomass was made up of species targeted by fishing 343 elsewhere in the region, higher trophic level species and larger body-sized fishes (Graham et al. 344 2013). These species often have large home ranges (Green et al. 2015), making them vulnerable to 345 fishing pressures outside smaller MPAs. The trophic structure of fish communities across the Indian Ocean changes dramatically with fishing pressure (Barley et al. 2017; Barley et al. 2020) and in the 346 347 Chagos Archipelago forms a concave shape, with biomass accumulating at the top and bottom of the trophic structure, allowing for efficient energy transfer through the food-web (Graham et al. 2017). 348 349 The semi-pristine fish community allowed for baselines in a range of community-level life history 350 and functional metrics, including maximum length, length at maturity and abundance of top predators and grazers, to be benchmarked across the region (McClanahan and Graham 2015; 351 352 McClanahan et al. 2015), and regional-level management priorities to be set (McClanahan et al. 353 2016).

354 The high biomass values and relatively intact community structure have also been 355 informative to global fish ecology and fisheries studies. Along with some remote locations in the Pacific, fish biomass and structure in the Chagos Archipelago enabled estimates of unfished biomass 356 357 for coral reefs globally (MacNeil et al. 2015) and the functional structure of semi-pristine fish 358 communities to be established (D'Agata et al. 2016). Globally, the reef fish biomass in the Chagos Archipelago stands out as a 'bright spot', being greater than would be expected based on the human 359 360 and environmental conditions experienced alone (Cinner et al. 2016), with indications that deepwater refuges and the natural flow of nutrients may contribute to this high biomass (Graham et al. 361 362 2018). Further, the biomass and proportion of reefs with top predators helped identify the key role of 363 distance to markets as a driver of resource condition inside and out of MPAs (Cinner et al. 2018), as 364 has been also observed for pelagic species (Letessier et al. 2019). Reef fish otolith studies in the 365 region have revealed the effects of fishing pressure on life spans and patterns of mortality of fishes in 366 other locations across the Indo-Pacific (Taylor et al. 2019). Biochronological reconstructions of growth histories of fish species have furthermore helped to refine ecological feedback loops between 367 368 parrotfishes and habitat disturbance (Taylor et al. 2020a) as well as decadal growth responses to 369 oceanographic conditions (Taylor et al. 2020b).

370371

372

373 A climate resilient nesting sanctuary for turtles from across the Western Indian Ocean (WIO)

374 Green (Chelonia mydas) and hawksbill (Eretmochelys imbricata) turtles nest in the Chagos 375 Archipelago with both species heavily exploited for two centuries prior to protection being 376 introduced in 1968-1970, with the creation of the MPA further reinforcing this protection (Mortimer 377 et al. 2020). Ongoing census data have highlighted both regionally important nesting populations as 378 well as upwards trends in abundance. For example, estimates of the annual number of clutches across 379 the archipelago for the period 2011-2018 are 6,300 and 20,500 for hawksbill and green turtles respectively, increasing 2-5 times for hawksbills and 4-9 times for green turtles since 1996 380 (Mortimer et al. 2020). These upward trends in nesting for both species presumably reflect, at least in 381 382 part, the fact that there has been no known human exploitation of eggs or adults in the Chagos 383 Archipelago for ~50 years. Regional estimates indicate that the Chagos Archipelago accounts for 39-384 51% of hawksbill and 14-20% of green turtle clutches laid across the entire south-western Indian 385 Ocean (Mortimer et al. 2020).

386 Satellite tracking of nesting green turtles in the Chagos Archipelago has shown that they disperse widely across the WIO at the end of their nesting season, which peaks during June to 387 388 October (Fig. 4) (Hays et al. 2020; Mortimer et al. 2020). While some individuals travel to foraging 389 grounds around 80 km away on the Great Chagos Bank, others travel to foraging grounds 1,000s of 390 km away, for example, in the Seychelles, Maldives and mainland Africa. The Chagos Archipelago thus provides a key nesting sanctuary for adult green turtles foraging across much of an ocean basin. 391 392 Ongoing work is assessing migration patterns in adult hawksbill turtles after their nesting season, 393 which peaks during October to February (Mortimer et al. 2020). These green and hawksbill turtle 394 tracking data are being used to inform marine spatial planning broadly across the WIO, helping, for 395 example, to determine boundaries of protected areas in the Seychelles. Investigation of foraging grounds within the MPA have led to discoveries of extensive, deep-water seagrass meadows across 396 397 the south-east Great Chagos Bank (Esteban et al. 2018). Little is known about these newly 398 discovered habitats, but they appear to support abundant and diverse fish communities (Esteban et al. 399 2018). As marine mega-herbivores can act as indicators of the presence of seagrass meadows (Hays 400 et al. 2018), future tracking of green turtles in BIOT may increase knowledge of the distribution of 401 these important habitats broadly across the entire WIO. In addition, immature hawksbill and green 402 turtles foraging at Diego Garcia are also being satellite tracked to assess their patterns of space use.

403 Sand temperature monitoring has shown that the nesting beaches at Diego Garcia are 404 particularly climate resilient with regard to incubation temperatures (Esteban et al. 2016). The sex of sea turtle hatchlings is determined by the temperature in the nest in the middle third of incubation. 405 406 Around the world there is concern that, with a warming climate, populations are becoming 407 increasingly feminised, as females are produced at warmer temperatures. A lack of male hatchlings 408 may ultimately lead to population extinction. At many sites globally, hatchling production is already 409 heavily female skewed (Hays et al. 2014). However, at Diego Garcia, the sand at nest depths is 410 relatively cool, most likely because of a combination of heavy rainfall and shading provided by vegetation behind the nesting beaches. As a consequence of these cool incubation temperatures, it is 411 412 estimated that hatchling sex ratios are currently balanced (Esteban et al. 2016). Hence, in scenarios 413 of climate warming, excessive feminisation of hatchlings will be much less likely to occur in the 414 Chagos Archipelago than at most other nesting sites around the world. The Chagos Archipelago also 415 supports immature foraging green and hawksbill turtles and ongoing work with drone surveys is 416 estimating the size of these populations and their regional importance (Schofield et al. 2019). 417

418



#### 419

420 Fig. 4 The value of the Chagos Archipelago for sea turtles. a The archipelago provides a nesting sanctuary for green turtles that forage at distant sites throughout the Western Indian Ocean. Tracks of 421 422 35 adult female green turtles are shown, with individuals equipped with tags on nesting beaches on 423 Diego Garcia and then dispersing widely at the end of the nesting season. The extent of the MPA is 424 indicated by the blue hatched area. Stars denote the foraging locations of turtles, i.e. the end-point of 425 migrations where turtles remained for many months before tags failed (modified from Hays et al. 2020). **b** The significant positive trend (p <0.01,  $r^2$ =0.88) in the estimated number of green turtle 426 clutches laid throughout the Chagos Archipelago. Numbers are scaled relative to those estimated in 427 428 1995, i.e. abundance in 1995 appears as one, to highlight the extent of the increase (modified from 429 Mortimer et al. 2020). Between 2001-2018, the estimated mean number of clutches per year 430 throughout the archipelago was 20,500 (Mortimer et al. 2020).

431 432

#### 433 The BIOT MPA protects globally significant seabird populations

434 Research in the Chagos Archipelago has reinforced the important role seabirds play in tropical

- 435 marine ecosystems. The WIO has been estimated to support ~19 million seabirds of 30 species, with
- 436 the Chagos Archipelago supporting ~1 million (or 5% of the WIO total) individuals (Danckwerts et
- 437 al. 2014). However, their status and distribution required updating, and until recently virtually

438 nothing was known about their at-sea distribution. A recent synthesis of seabird status and breeding 439 distribution across the Chagos Archipelago based on visits to all 55 islands, estimated 281,596 440 breeding pairs of 18 species (Fig. 5a). Of these, 96% comprised three species, the sooty tern 441 (Onychoprion fuscatus 70%), lesser noddy (Anous tenuirostris 18%) and red-footed booby (Sula sula 442 8%) (Carr et al. 2020). Assuming 50% breeding success, 281,596 breeding pairs (563,192 individuals) will produce 140,798 offspring, equating to ~704,000 breeding adults and immatures, or 443 444 ~4% of the regional total (Dankwerts et al. 2014). Current estimates are considerably lower than 445 those proposed by Danckwerts et al. (2014), and there is strong evidence from early visiting 446 naturalists (Bourne 1886) and guano mining records (Edis 2004, Wenban-Smith and Carter 2017) to 447 suggest this is a fraction of the historic breeding seabird populations. Yet, it is unclear whether trends 448 observed in BIOT are representative of the WIO. Therefore, updated estimates from across the WIO 449 are now needed to reassess the status of breeding seabirds for this region.

450 At-sea behaviour and distribution of one of the most widely distributed and abundant species 451 in the archipelago, the red-footed booby, is being revealed through the deployment of GPS loggers 452 on breeding adults. Tracking reveals adults commute long-distances over relatively straight paths to feed in deeper waters beyond the Great Chagos Bank (Fig. 5b) and suggests at-sea segregation as 453 454 seen elsewhere with seabirds from different colonies (Wakefield et al. 2013). As the vast majority of 455 individuals remained within the MPA (Fig. 5b), the lack of commercial fishing within the MPA may 456 help ensure high availability of forage fish and reduce threats from fisheries bycatch. The restriction 457 of suitable breeding habitat due to the persistence of introduced rats and associated abandoned 458 coconut plantations across 95% of the terrestrial landmass, remains a constraint to seabird recovery 459 and the MPA delivering its full potential as a seabird sanctuary, although a feasibility study for 460 eradicating rats across the archipelago has recently been completed. 461





**Fig. 5 Seabird abundance and movements. a** Seabird species richness and abundance varies across the Chagos Archipelago. Data are from breeding seabird counts on all 55 islands 2008-2018 (Carr et al. 2020). **b** Centrally placed red-footed boobies breeding on the Chagos Archipelago largely forage within the MPA and show evidence of colony-specific at-sea segregation. Data are from 192 individuals at three colonies (DG: Diego Garcia, 2016-18, n=99; DI: Danger island, 2019 n=30; NI: Nelson's Island, 2018-19, n=63). Study colony locations are marked with triangles and the grey line delineates the MPA.

469 470

### 471

### 472 The large no-take MPA encompasses important pelagic wildlife

- 473 The relatively recent establishment of very VLMPAs, combined with the logistical and
- 474 methodological challenges of sampling remote, expansive regions means that empirical data on the

effectiveness of these MPAs for pelagic species are currently limited and conclusions are sometimes
conflicting. Some studies suggest that MPAs are beneficial for mobile species, with the benefits of
MPAs increasing with size, remoteness and age (Edgar et al. 2014). The BIOT MPA therefore
represents an excellent reference site for such studies.

479 Since the establishment of the MPA, electronic tagging studies have reported, albeit with 480 relatively low numbers and limited durations, higher than expected residency of pelagic fish species, 481 such as silky sharks (Carcharhinus falciformis), sailfish (Istiophorus platypterus) and yellowfin tuna 482 (Thunnus albacares) (Carlisle et al. 2019). The historical fishing record shows that large yellowfin 483 tuna have also been reported to occur in the archipelago year-round (Curnick et al. 2020). Further, 484 activity spaces of all pelagic species tagged around the Chagos Archipelago were significantly 485 smaller than the extent of the MPA, suggesting it may be large enough to provide a refuge for 486 extended periods of time (Carlisle et al. 2019).

487 Increased understanding of large pelagic species around the Chagos Archipelago has also 488 been informed through the use of fisheries independent mid-water stereo-BRUVS (Fig. 2f). 489 Assessments of pelagic richness and biomass using mid-water stereo-BRUVs (in 2012, 2015 and 490 2016) showed variation among pelagic habitats associated with atolls, seamounts and a deep-sea 491 trench (Meeuwig unpubl. data). This is consistent with historical fisheries data that show high spatial 492 heterogeneity in the distributions of species such as yellowfin tuna (Dunn and Curnick 2019). 493 Pelagic richness and biomass around the Chagos Archipelago are also relatively high compared to 494 global averages (Letessier et al. 2019).

495 The BIOT MPA was established for biodiversity conservation and not as a fisheries 496 management tool. Studies elsewhere have shown benefits to adjacent tuna fisheries by VLMPA 497 establishment (Boerder et al. 2017) and residency behaviour in yellowfin tuna to remote locations 498 (Richardson et al. 2018). Yet a recent study of commercial catch data found no direct evidence that 499 indices of yellowfin tuna abundance have improved in the areas immediately surrounding the MPA 500 (Curnick et al. 2020). However, since the MPA's establishment, mismanagement of the yellowfin 501 tuna fishery and a failure to adhere to catch reduction measures (Andriamahefazafy et al. 2020) has resulted in the stock being downgraded to "overfished and subject to overfishing" since 2015 (IOTC-502 503 SC21, 2018). It is therefore not surprising that a single MPA one twelfth of the size of the fished region would be sufficient to turn around such declines, arguing the need for greater regional 504 505 protection.

506 All pelagic shark species evaluated by the Indian Ocean Tuna Commission (IOTC) – with the exception of the blue shark (Prionace glauca) - have no or uncertain stock assessments (IOTC-507 SC21 2018). Tracking studies have shown that pelagic sharks may travel across the Indian Ocean to 508 509 the BIOT MPA, providing further evidence that the MPA may provide an important sanctuary for 510 this group (Queiroz et al. 2019). So, while tracking data confirm sometimes protracted residence of 511 pelagic species within the BIOT MPA (Carlisle et al. 2019) and BRUVs data show high pelagic species richness (Letessier et al. 2019), benefits may also be partly negated by overfishing in the 512 513 surrounding region (IOTC-SC21, 2018, Curnick et al. 2020) and/or the ongoing IUU fishing activity 514 (see below). Combined, these initial studies suggest that the BIOT MPA and its habitats could have 515 considerable benefits for pelagic wildlife, particularly in the context of high fishing pressure in the 516 region (Kroodsma et al. 2018).

- 517
- 518
- 519

#### 520 The BIOT MPA hosts exceptionally high cryptofauna diversity

521 First estimates of the decapods in the Chagos Archipelago, one of the most speciose cryptofauna

- 522 groups on coral reef microhabitats (Stella et al. 2011), recorded 1,868 individuals across 164 nominal
- 523 species on 54 dead coral colony microhabitats (Head et al. 2018). This number of species is
- 524 exceptionally high relative to similar studies in other locations (e.g. Preston and Doherty 1990;

Plaisance et al. 2009; Enochs and Moanzello 2012; Head et al. 2018) and community structure is
unusual due to a prevalence of obligate coral-dwelling decapods, such as Trapezia crabs (Head et al.
2015). Studies are now being undertaken across the archipelago to identify the most important

- 528 environmental drivers of cryptofauna communities.
- 529
- 530 531

#### 532 The BIOT MPA protects diverse mesophotic coral ecosystems

533 Mesophotic coral ecosystems (MCEs) are typically found at depths of 30m to >150m (Turner et al. 534 2017). Much of our knowledge of MCEs in BIOT is based on diver surveys from the 1970s 535 (Sheppard 1980) and a small number of brief ROV surveys in 2016 (Andradi-Brown 2019). Building 536 on these studies, in late 2019, high-resolution multibeam and a sophisticated ROV fitted with a HD 537 camera were used to conduct extensive surveys of both upper and lower mesophotic communities 538 from 30-150 m at seven sites around Egmont Atoll and Sandes Seamount. Preliminary analysis has 539 revealed diverse and abundant MCEs at all locations surveyed, hosting communities of zooxanthellate scleractinian corals, soft corals, sea fans and sponges. A number of scleractinian coral 540 541 specimens were also sampled at multiple sites and depths during the surveys. Using molecular 542 techniques, work is ongoing to identify the species of corals sampled and to assess genetic 543 connectivity among shallow and mesophotic reefs. Preliminary observations indicate that the MCEs 544 of BIOT offer huge potential in the level of diversity they encompass and the extension of the 545 shallow-water reefs into deeper waters, which is especially pertinent given recent bleaching events in 546 the region (Head et al. 2019). Thus, the BIOT MPA has significant value in protecting extensive 547 areas of diverse mesophotic coral ecosystems, which have the potential to support both local and 548 regional shallow-water reefs in the face of climate change.

549 550

# Long-term protection preserves habitat connectivity, natural behaviours and ecological relationships

553 Remote areas like the BIOT MPA can act as natural laboratories that deepen our ecological 554 understanding of reef ecosystems. The BIOT MPA is home to numerous species of seabirds and 555 mobile teleost and elasmobranch fishes that play an important role in connecting discrete habitats. Due to their proximity to deeper waters, the atoll ecosystems are spatially heterogeneous and 556 557 temporally dynamic with resource availability continually shifting under the influence of diel and seasonal cycles, as well as oceanographic processes. Quantifying connectivity across these seascapes 558 559 is important for understanding the degree to which populations should be treated and managed as 560 distinct units (Jacoby and Freeman 2016) and to uncover the functional role that mobile species play in nutrient transfer (Williams et al. 2018a), predation pressure (Heupel et al. 2014) or local measures 561 of biodiversity (Benkwitt et al. 2020). 562

563 Seabirds in the Chagos Archipelago forage in the open ocean, far from the islands on which 564 they roost and breed (Fig. 5). In doing so, they transfer large quantities of nutrients from pelagic food 565 webs to terrestrial systems. This pathway of nutrient flow from seabird guano to coral reefs is 566 illustrated by elevated nitrogen signatures in terrestrial soils and plants, benthic marine organisms, such as sponges and algae, and marine consumers, including herbivorous damselfish (Graham et al. 567 568 2018). These nutrient subsidies, in turn, bolster the growth rates of individual coral-reef fishes, and 569 lead to enhanced biomass and ecosystem functioning (including secondary productivity, grazing and 570 bioerosion rates) of entire fish assemblages (Graham et al. 2018; Benkwitt et al. 2020). Contrary to anthropogenically-derived nutrient inputs, which negatively affect coral physiology and increase 571 572 susceptibility to bleaching (Wooldridge and Done 2009; Wiedenmann et al. 2013; D'Angelo and Wiedenmann 2014; MacNeil et al. 2019; Donovan et al. 2020), naturally-derived nutrients provide 573 574 nitrogen and phosphorous in optimal ratios and can thus increase coral growth (Shantz and Burkepile

2014; Savage 2019) and may reduce susceptibility to heat stress (Ezzat et al. 2016). Indeed, nutrient
inputs from seabirds can also alter the response of coral reefs to marine heatwaves, as demonstrated
in part by the proliferation of calcifying algae (e.g., crustose coralline algae) around islands with
abundant seabirds following the 2015/2016 mass coral bleaching event in the Chagos Archipelago
(Benkwitt et al. 2019) (Fig. 6).

Since 2013, a large network of acoustic receivers installed across the archipelago, and annual 580 581 deployments of both acoustic and satellite tags, are beginning to reveal the extent to which large 582 mobile fishes utilise and link different areas across atoll archipelagos (Carlisle et al. 2019; Jacoby et al. 2020). Acoustic tracking of grey reef and silvertip sharks, both of which are a principal target of 583 584 IUU fishing activity in the BIOT MPA, has revealed a few key locations where connectivity is 585 unexpectedly high (Jacoby et al. 2020). A closer look at the reef shark assemblage, using network 586 analyses of the telemetry data, reveals how these species play different roles in connectivity across the MPA, with grey reef sharks exhibiting more residential/site-attached behaviour, while silvertip 587 588 sharks have considerably more dynamic movements (Carlisle et al. 2019; Jacoby et al. 2020). 589 Interestingly, the movement patterns, and thus connectivity of these sympatric species, vary both 590 diurnally and seasonally suggesting both spatial and temporal segregation within the reef shark 591 assemblage, corroborating patterns observed through stable isotope analyses in BIOT (Curnick et al. 592 2019).

593 For large-bodied, wide-ranging planktivores like reef manta rays (Mobula alfredi), habitat 594 selection is strongly influenced by prey availability (Stewart et al. 2018). Telemetry and biologging 595 approaches are beginning to show that the reef manta rays found in the BIOT MPA frequently utilise 596 atoll ecosystems, sometimes with long-term site fidelity and aggregation sites, such as at Egmont and 597 Salomon atolls (Carlisle et al. 2019; Harris 2019; Andrzejaczek et al. 2020). Connectivity is greatly 598 facilitated by dynamic reef manta movements over frequent short-distances (<10 km) and infrequent 599 long-distance (>200 km) horizontal movements as well as dives recorded as deep as 500 m 600 (Andrzejaczek et al. 2020). Characterising the portion of the population that is highly mobile will 601 enable us to better understand drivers of connectivity across the archipelago.

602 A range of unusual or rarely observed behaviours have been studied in the Chagos 603 Archipelago, which are likely linked to its isolation. Examples include moray eels (Gymnothorax 604 pictus) diurnally hunting shore crabs on land (Graham et al. 2009), day octopus (Octopus cyanea) 605 hunting cooperatively with fishes (Bayley and Rose 2020) and coconut crabs (*Birgus latro*) predating 606 on adult seabirds (Laidre 2017). All such behaviours are rarely seen, if at all, in highly human-607 impacted systems elsewhere (Graham and McClanahan 2013). Furthermore, parrotfish and 608 surgeonfish in the archipelago exhibit reduced 'flight' behaviour compared to fished areas, showing 609 either an inherited or learned effect of wariness in response to fishing pressure (Januchowski-Hartley 610 et al. 2015). Protected or wilderness areas can therefore provide a valuable window into the natural 611 ecological interactions and behaviours, which have otherwise disappeared or been modified.

In remote systems such as the Chagos Archipelago, characterised by high consumer biomass 612 613 (Graham and McClanahan 2013), general ecological theories can be tested about relationships and 614 behaviours. Such locations are ideal for investigating what mechanisms maintain trophic structure, 615 drive variation in structure and complexity, and what the implications are for individual behaviours, species interactions, or food web stability and productivity (McCauley et al. 2012, 2018; Woodson et 616 al. 2018). Current work in the Chagos Archipelago has just begun to test such broader ecological 617 618 theories, for example, the biodiversity-ecosystem function relationship (Benkwitt et al. 2020). Thus, not only can remote MPAs like the Chagos Archipelago inform conservation, but also contribute to 619 620 broader basic ecology research.



622 623

Fig. 6 Benefits of rat-free islands to coral reefs. On rat-free islands in the Chagos Archipelago, 624 seabird guano supplies nutrients to the adjacent coral reefs. These nutrient subsidies, in turn, bolster 625 the growth rates of individual coral-reef fishes, leading to enhanced biomass and ecosystem functioning. Additionally, these nutrient inputs from seabirds can also alter the response of coral 626 627 reefs to marine heatwaves, as demonstrated by responses to the 2015/2016 mass coral bleaching 628 event. Even though seabird nutrients did not enhance community-wide resistance to bleaching, they may still promote recovery of these reefs through their positive influence on a calcifying algae (e.g., 629 crustose coralline algae) and **b** herbivorous fishes (modified after Benkwitt et al. 2019). 630

631 632

#### 633 Understanding the physical oceanography driving biodiversity across the archipelago

Deep oceanic flushing of cold water into the atolls across the Chagos archipelago drives plankton 634 distributions and ecosystem functioning within the sheltered lagoons (Sheehan et al. 2019). 635 Seamounts are also particularly important features within BIOT and include relatively shallow 636 637 features such as the Sandes and Swartz seamounts west of Diego Garcia. Their biological significance has been suggested from acoustic surveys during which backscatter indicated 100x 638 639 higher biomass in close proximity to seamounts and a "halo" influence of the seamount of 640 approximately 1.8 km (Letessier et al. 2016). Recognised as a hotspot for pelagic sharks (Tickler et 641 al. 2017), studied seamounts exhibit internal lee waves that flush the summits with nutrient rich, cool 642 water (Hosegood et al. 2019). The steep and narrow seamounts found throughout the archipelago, 643 however, prohibit the formation of Taylor Columns that are frequently cited as the mechanism 644 causing the local retention of nutrients and the subsequent primary production over seamounts 645 (Genin, 2004). Instead, the local generation of turbulent and energetic currents associated with the 646 lee waves are proposed to encourage schooling behaviour of lower trophic levels upon which sharks 647 prey and thereby explain the corresponding acoustic signature in biomass over the drop-off where the internal wave impacts are most pronounced. Acoustic surveys during 2019 over the slopes 648 649 surrounding Egmont Island, further confirmed that the intensification of biomass is not limited to 650 seamounts but extends to the steep slopes surrounding islands and atolls throughout the archipelago 651 (Fig. 7).





**Fig. 7 Use of sonar and cameras to reveal mid-water fauna.** 38 kHz raw Sv echograms of submerged banks at **a** Sandes and **b** Egmont (lower). Dense dark red echogram returns show the seabed and second echo at Sandes, with aggregations of biomass (fish and zooplankton) in shallower water, confirmed opportunistically using camera drops. **c** and **d** cruise tracks showing seabed depth (with red showing echogram portion. **e** and **f** camera validation of targets (Hosegood, Williamson & Embling, unpublished data, 2019).

- 662
- 663

#### 664 Key ongoing threats

665

#### 666 Illegal fishing poses a major threat to vulnerable habitats and species in the BIOT MPA

IUU fishing activity is a considerable challenge inside the BIOT MPA. Historically, IUU occurred
alongside a licensed tuna fishery and it has persisted since the fishery closure in 2010 (Fig. 8). From
2002 to 2018, the majority (78%) of vessels have originated from Sri Lanka, although vessels from
south-west India are also active (12% of sightings). The Sri Lankan vessels are medium-sized (10-15
m) operating both gill-net and long-line gears, often using illegal wire trace to target sharks (MRAG,
2015) (Fig. 8).

673 Enforcement occurs primarily through use of the BIOT Patrol Vessel, which is responsible 674 for the detection and apprehension of IUU fishing vessels within the MPA. Ferretti et al. (2018) 675 estimated that 20 to 120 boats enter the area annually. However, determining the actual level of IUU threat is complicated by temporal and spatial variation in patrolling effort. Although patrolling has 676 677 occurred since 1996, patrol effort data have only been logged consistently since December 2013. 678 That notwithstanding, trends in IUU vessel encounters suggest that the MPA's implementation has 679 had little discernible impact on the IUU activity (Fig. 8). Spatial and temporal analyses of all vessel 680 encounters suggest that suspected IUU is focused on the shallow reefs and northern sectors (Fig. 8) with peaks in activity in the months of May-June and December (MRAG, unpublished data). 681

IUU fishing appears to have driven declines in some shark populations within the MPA
(Ferretti et al. 2018; Tickler et al. 2019) and so may impair the MPA's function as a refuge for these
species (Letessier et al. 2019). From the catch data, Ferretti et al. (2018) estimated that between

1,745 and 23,195 sharks were caught between 1996 and 2015 within the MPA. The number of sharks
seen per scientific dive in the archipelago reduced from ~4 in the 1970s to ~1 since the mid-1990s
(Graham et al. 2010). Recent re-surveys (2018-2019) of the reef fish community structure and
biomass on the outer reef slopes at the same sites, using the same methods, and by the same
observer, have indicated substantial declines in biomass (Graham et al. unpubl. data) that have also
been linked to a reported increase in reef fish within confiscated catches (MRAG, 2015).

691 Similar to the temporal surveys on the outer reef slopes, substantial declines in reef fish and 692 sharks were observed in BRUVS surveys within the atoll lagoons between 2012 and 2016 (Meeuwig 693 unpubl. data). Important exploited families, such as serranids and lethrinids, decreased by 74% and 694 53%, while coral feeding groups, such as chaetodontids, declined by 37% (Meeuwig unpubl. data). 695 Among the shark species, whitetip reef sharks (Triaenodon obesus) declined by 81% and 60% in relative abundance and size, respectively. The grey reef shark declined by 76% in relative abundance 696 697 and by 4% in size. The tawny nurse shark (*Nebrius ferrugineus*) reduced in relative abundance and size by 37% and 60% (Meeuwig unpubl. data). These declines in relative abundance and size were 698 699 coincident with recorded poaching incidents (MRAG 2015).

700 Currently, the BIOT Patrol Vessel has to balance patrol activities, border protection, 701 scientific research support, as well as refuelling and crew changes outside the territory. As such, 702 there have been recent efforts to improve enforcement capacity through the trialling of additional 703 technologies within the MPA through the UK's Blue Belt Programme with a Technology Roadmap 704 under development. Importantly, the continued threat from IUU fishing highlights the need to 705 improve monitoring and understanding of the human dimensions (e.g. socio-economic drivers of 706 illegal fishing) of large MPAs which, although remote, are interconnected within wider socio-707 ecological systems (Gruby et al. 2015). Concerns have also been raised about the adequacy and 708 effectiveness of punitive measures, whereby risks of capture combined with low costs associated 709 with any arrest may still leave IUU fishing as a viable option for some fishers.

710 711



715 Fig. 8 The threat of Illegal, Unreported and Unregulated fishing, a Heat-map of AIS activity from fishing fleets operating in the British Indian Ocean Territory area of interest (BIOT AOI) 716 between 1 January 2014 and 31 December 2019. Fishing vessel identities were confirmed and the 717 718 activity shown is restricted to AIS transmissions associated with speeds between 0.5-5 knots, speeds typically associated with fishing operations and fishing activity at sea. The extension and level of 719 720 fishing activity is represented by positional densities that vary from: black = no activity, transparent-721 green = lower activity (low positional densities) to red/higher activity (hotspots). Legal activity 722 within 3 nautical miles of Diego Garcia (white cross) and slow transits to and from port are not 723 shown. The activity in the northern MPA is produced by small scale commercial fishing vessels 724 (fleet) transiting regularly at slow speed and shaping these lanes between the northeast and northwest 725 boundaries. However, these vessels very frequently deploy fishing gears inside the MPA while on 726 transit and need to be accounted for within the overall fishing activity. Overall, fishing activity is high and widespread through the adjacent high seas. The east and west boundaries of the MPA show 727 728 high risk due to fishing activity encroaching and entering the marine protected area, with short and 729 repetitive incursions. Additionally, low positional densities inside the southwest MPA are produced from infrequent longer incursions. **b** Vessels suspected of IUU activity that were either detained by 730 731 authorities or escaped capture from 2002-2020. The dashed line indicates MPA implementation (2010). Flag of origin indicated in legend, other = Indonesia, Mauritius, Japan, Taiwan. Source: 732 733 MRAG, unpublished data, 2020. c Location of detained or escaped vessels suspected of IUU from 734 2002-2020. Numbers represent the number of vessels from that same site. The cross indicates the 735 location of Diego Garcia. Source: MRAG, unpublished data, 2020. d An example of a confiscated 736 catch in the BIOT MPA (photo Tom B Letessier). 737

#### 739 Coral reefs in the Chagos Archipelago are not immune to bleaching events

740 Reefs in the Chagos Archipelago have repeatedly been impacted by global coral bleaching events, 741 and the current ecological condition of the reefs suggests they are presently at a critical recovery 742 stage. While coral cover is starting to increase, structural complexity changes are likely to continue 743 for several years, as the remaining reef continues to degrade due to intense external and internal bio-744 physical erosion. Shallow reefs are increasingly covered by the bioeroding sponge Cliona spp., 745 decreasing the area suitable for new coral settlement. Additionally, an outbreak of coralline fungal 746 disease has been observed in 2018, potentially impacting coral recruitment further (Williams et al. 747 2018b). Indeed, data from 2017 indicates that the density of newly settled coral recruits (<1 year-old) has reduced by approximately 90% since 2013 (Fig. 3b). Larger young corals (>1 year) are present in 748 749 greater numbers, though most are located on unstable dead table corals or mobile rubble (Fig. 3f), 750 and therefore are likely to experience high mortality rates (Sheppard et al. 2017). Measured growth 751 rates for several coral species were also comparatively low in 2018-2019, suggesting prolonged 752 effects of heat stress on coral physiology (Lange & Perry 2020). Since the late 1970s, several coral 753 species and key species assemblages in the Chagos Archipelago have gone regionally or functionally extinct. Although species diversity remains high at present, local extinctions may increase in the 754 755 future, following a spiral of positive feedback through low recruitment and lack of suitable 756 settlement substrate (Sheppard et al. 2020).

Importantly, the remote and protected nature of the BIOT MPA has previously supported 757 758 rapid coral community recovery following widespread mortality in 1997-1998, giving hope for 759 future recovery (Sheppard et al. 2008). However, it is unclear whether all reefs will restructure in the 760 same way that they did after 1998, whether recovery will be as fast at all sites, or whether some sites 761 may regime-shift to other states. The return of Acropora spp. dominated communities will be crucial 762 to restore the key geo-ecological functions of habitat complexity and carbonate production that local reefs delivered pre-bleaching (Lange & Perry 2019). Ultimately, the primary control on coral reef 763 764 recovery in the Chagos Archipelago will be the recurrence intervals and magnitudes of future heat 765 stress events. Unfortunately, BIOT is predicted to see a large increase in the frequency of annual severe bleaching events in the coming decades, even under conservative emission scenarios (van 766 767 Hooidonk et al. 2016). Additionally, atmospheric nitrogen deposition is projected to increase in the 768 future, negatively affecting even remote coral reefs (Chen et al. 2019). 769

# 770

771

# 772 **Discussion**

#### 773

#### 774 Future research directions for large MPA science

775 Here, we have shown how recent research in the BIOT MPA has helped to identify not only its 776 conservation benefits, such as increased abundance of various species, habitat diversity and 777 resilience, but also the physical and ecological processes that drive these benefits. Fundamental to 778 these findings has been the multi-year monitoring that has identified important conservation 779 successes, such as the increase in nesting turtle numbers, the recovery of coral reefs following 780 bleaching and mortality, or the preservation of natural processes such as seabird subsidies improving 781 reef vigour. Global climate change remains a huge threat to coral reefs, both within the BIOT MPA and elsewhere (e.g. Bates et al. 2019), with the frequency of temperature anomalies and extent of 782 783 ocean acidification likely to play key roles in dictating the type of shallow reefs that survive into the 784 future. Such monitoring needs to be continued and expanded. Long-term monitoring of mesophotic 785 reefs will help identify if they are more resilient than shallow reefs to global heat waves and if these 786 deep reefs help the recovery of bleached areas. It will also identify if the encouraging trends of increased sea turtle nesting continue in the future as well as the impact of potential threats to sea 787 788 turtle and seabird nesting posed by rising sea levels. Finally, long-term monitoring of pelagic species at BIOT will also demonstrate the degree to which the MPA generates conservation benefits for
 mobile exploited species that contribute to regional fisheries.

791 The BIOT MPA houses regionally significant fish assemblages that play an important role in 792 the resilience of its coral reefs to climate threats but that continue to be impacted by IUU fishing. 793 Future research should focus on improving the understanding of the scale and nature of IUU fishing 794 in the MPA, as well as its drivers to assist with improved enforcement and compliance. Targeted 795 research is also needed to develop efficient mechanisms to combat IUU fishing given the huge area 796 of the BIOT MPA poses significant logistical challenges. Innovative methods to combat IUU fishing 797 have started to be implemented, often with methods tailored to target the specific IUU fishery (e.g. 798 Tickler et al. 2020) and need expanding.

799 It is important to assess the extent of animal movements in relation to MPAs so that threats to 800 mobile species can be identified and benefits of different sized protected areas can be objectively assessed (Dwyer et al. 2020). Given that many marine species may travel many thousands of km 801 802 (Hays and Scott 2013), even the largest protected areas, such as the BIOT MPA, may sometimes not 803 encompass the full extent of marine animal movements. While a number of species have been tracked (e.g. green turtles and red-footed boobies) important knowledge gaps remain. For seabirds, 804 805 their movements outside the breeding season remain unknown. Initial studies suggest that the BIOT 806 MPA and its habitats could have considerable benefits for pelagic fish. Yet, a challenge remains to 807 humanely capture and equip a large enough number of individuals to assess the overall patterns of 808 movement for pelagic fish species. Interestingly, some pelagic sharks equipped with tags 1000s of 809 km away off southern Africa, have travelled across the Indian Ocean to the BIOT MPA (Queiroz et 810 al. 2019). So, for some taxa, tagging studies conducted within the BIOT MPA might usefully be 811 blended with studies being conducted elsewhere to assess patterns of space use across the Indian 812 Ocean and more broadly (Barkely et al. 2019). The huge value of such data-sharing in animal tracking studies has recently been emphasised (Sequeira et al. 2019). In some areas, such as marine 813 814 animal tracking, routes by which data can drive conservation outcomes have been identified (Hays et 815 al. 2019) and the tracks of turtles equipped in the Chagos Archipelago that migrate broadly are already being used to help direct marine spatial planning both in BIOT and the Seychelles. 816

817 Little is known about some important habitats in the BIOT MPA. While coral reefs have been 818 a focal habitat for concerted research for some time, a depth limit of 25 m is placed on diving 819 activities to minimise the risks in such a remote location. Yet most of the Great Chagos Bank, the 820 world largest atoll structure, is between 25 to 100 m deep. Deeper areas are only starting to be explored with, for example, the use of drop-down cameras and ROVs (remotely operated vehicles). 821 Furthermore, research in the BIOT MPA to date has also been focussed on returning to sites 822 823 previously surveyed, in order to build a robust, long-term time-series. Yet this has resulted in the 824 majority of the archipelago remaining unexplored and under-studied, such as the seagrass beds on 825 the Great Chagos Bank. Here, there may be a very useful synergy between animal tracking studies and habitat surveys, with hot-spots of space use identified in tracking studies, being used to direct in-826 827 situ habitat surveys, i.e. tracking animals helps identify areas of particular interest (Jacoby et al. 828 2020). An example here is the use of green turtles to identify the location of seagrass beds on the 829 Great Chagos Bank that were hitherto unknown (Esteban et al. 2018).

830

### 831 Lessons learned of relevance to other VLMPAs

832 While the number of MPAs across the world is increasing, their benefits continue to be debated

833 (Edgar et al. 2014, Bruno et al. 2019). Set against this backdrop, case studies showing the value of

MPAs are important (Murray and Hee 2019). One feature that is evident from much of the recent

research is the importance of long-term monitoring throughout the system. It is well established how

- the value of ecological time-series grows as the time-series lengthen (e.g. see Edwards et al. 2010), allowing the drivers of long-term changes and inter-annual variability to be more clearly identified. It
- allowing the drivers of long-term changes and inter-annual variability to be more clearly identified. It
   is therefore important for long-term monitoring to occur in VLMPAs and that it embraces new

technology. Such monitoring allows assessment of the success of conservation actions and
identification of emerging threats. For instance, in the Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary,
whilst highly protected zones have benefited fishes relative to partially protected zones, this high
level of protection has had no impact on the rate of coral decline (Toth et al. 2014) which is driven
both by large scale factors such as poor water quality and climate-related storms and bleaching.

That the BIOT MPA, despite its extreme remoteness, remains subject to incursions of IUU 844 845 fishing with a demonstrable impact on biodiversity demonstrates the need for more efficient 846 mechanisms to combat IUU fishing. This may be a common issue with remote MPAs and 847 necessitates the need for innovative methods to combat IUU fishing (Park et al. 2020). For example, 848 in the territorial waters around French Islands in the Southern Ocean, radar detecting tags carried by 849 albatrosses are being used to detect large ships operating illegally (Weimerskirch et al. 2020). 850 Further, interactions between large static MPAs and mobile fishing gears, such as fish aggregation 851 devices (FADS) (Bucaram et al. 2018) and industrial fishing fleets around their perimeters 852 (Kroodsma et al. 2018; Curnick et al. 2020) need to be better understood. Given the huge fishing pressures in unregulated high seas fisheries outside protected areas, the importance of large MPAs 853 for pelagic species protection has been stressed (Queiroz et al. 2019). Yet, we emphasise that large 854 855 protected areas, such as the BIOT MPA, should not be considered as a silver bullet, but rather in conjunction with wider sustainable and effective fishery management regulations to provide the 856 urgent conservation and management benefits needed for pelagic predators. The recent developments 857 858 to expand the UN Convention on the Law of the Sea (UNCLOS) to include a new legally binding 859 instrument on the conservation and sustainable use of marine life in Areas Beyond National 860 Jurisdiction (General Assembly resolution 72/249) are therefore encouraging.

861 In addition to studying a range of marine habitats within MPAs, another important research 862 direction is to better quantify the connections between terrestrial and marine environments. 863 Although this research will take different forms in the BIOT MPA and other remote VLMPAs 864 compared to smaller MPAs located closer to human population centres, prioritizing research and encouraging management across land-sea boundaries applies to all MPAs. Specifically, land-based 865 866 nutrient pollution plays a large role in declining coral health, especially when coupled with 867 increasing warming events (Wooldridge and Done 2009; Donovan et al. 2020). As a result, there 868 have been recent calls to better regulate run-off from land adjacent to MPAs to mitigate continuing 869 coral loss and enhance recovery following bleaching events (Lapointe et al. 2019; MacNeil et al. 2019). In contrast to these human-derived nutrients, natural nutrient subsidies, such as those provided 870 871 by seabirds nesting on islands, may benefit coral reefs and enhance their resilience to global heat waves (Graham et al. 2018; Benkwitt et al. 2019). Thus, while one research and management priority 872 873 within BIOT is the restoration of such natural nutrients (e.g., by eradicating invasive rats and 874 restoring seabird populations), less remote MPAs will likely need to simultaneously reduce human-875 derived nutrient run-off to have similar benefits for coral reefs. Still, jointly managing terrestrial systems in conjunction with MPAs may be broadly applicable, and may increase the effectiveness of 876 MPAs at conserving coral reefs and other nearshore habitats. 877

878 Cutting across all the marine science work in the BIOT MPA, an important goal is to 879 maximise the translation of the accumulated data into positive conservation outcomes, a theme that 880 pervades across MPAs more broadly (Lubchenco and Grorud-Colvert 2015). The BIOT MPA was one of the early wave of no-take VLMPAs implemented from 2006-2010 (with Papahānaumokuākea 881 882 Marine National Monument, USA and Phoenix Islands Protected Area, Kiribati) as countries worked to meet Aichi Target 11 of 10% ocean protection by 2020 under the United Nations' (UN) 883 Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD), later endorsed under Sustainable Development Goal 14. 884 Today, only 5.3% of the world's ocean is protected with 2.5% highly protected in no-take MPAs 885 886 (http://mpatlas.org/, accessed 26 May 2020). However, the UK government is leading the 30-by-30 887 initiative, pushing for at least 30% of the global ocean to be protected by 2030 with the hope that this goal will be ratified at the 2020 CBD Conference of the Parties, now rescheduled for 2021. Research 888

- 889 from the BIOT MPA therefore provides important insights to inform policy commitments around
- ocean protection, including the need for greater regional protection, as part of the actions identified
- to rebuild ocean life (Duarte et al. 2020). Mechanisms to effectively achieve this science to policy
- interface will be aided by the UN Decade of Ocean Science for Sustainable Development (2021-
- 893 2030). The wealth of new information from ongoing work in the BIOT MPA promises to help drive 894 marine conservation both within the MPA and more broadly, which is, perhaps the most important
- marine conservation both within the MPA and more broadly, which is, perhaps the most importa legacy this work can leave.
- 896
- 897
- 898

# 899 Author contributions

This manuscript was conceived by GCH and ideas discussed and modified at a workshop led by HK and DC and held in London during September 2019. GCH, DC, IDL, CTP, DMPJ, HK, JJM, NG, NE, NLF and CEIH led the writing with all authors contributing. GCH and DC assembled the text and led the initial editing and all authors contributed to the final manuscript editing.

#### 904 905 **Fun**

- 905 Funding
  906 Major support came from the Bertarelli Foundation as part of the Bertarelli Programme in Marine
- 907 Science. The Darwin Foundation supported BRUVS work by JJM and TBL. TeachGreen supported

science. The Datwin Foundation supported BKOVS work by JJM and TBL. TeachOreen supported
 seabed BRUVS work (JJM). The Garfield Weston Foundation supported work on the oceanography
 and mesophotic reefs by NLF, CD, KLH, PH, CBE, BJW, EVS, MJA. Early coral reef and atoll

909 and mesophotic reers by NLF, CD, KLH, FH, CBE, BJW, EVS, MJA. Early coral reer and atom 910 work was supported by the Overseas Territories Environment Programme (CS, JT, MS), and Darwin

- 911 Initiative Project 19-027 (JT, HK, CS) and Selfridges & Co. (HK). Additional funding for tags was
- provided by the JSF Pollitzer Charitable Trust, The Rufford Foundation and the Ernest Kleinwort
- 913 Charitable Trust through the Chagos Conservation Trust (DC).
- 914
- 915 **Conflict of interest** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.
- 916
- 917
- 918

# 919 **References**

- Andriamahefazafy M, Bailey M, Sinan H, Kull CA (2020) The paradox of sustainable tuna fisheries
   in the Western Indian Ocean: between visions of blue economy and realities of accumulation.
   Sustainability Science 15:75-89. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11625-019-00751-3</u>
- Andradi-Brown DA, Dinesen Z, Head CEI, Tickler DM, Rowlands G, Rogers AD (2019) The
   Chagos Archipelago. In: Loya Y, Puglise K, Bridge T (eds) Mesophotic Coral Ecosystems.
   Coral Reefs of the World, vol 12. Springer. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-92735-0\_12</u>
- Andrzejaczek S, Chapple TK, Curnick DJ, Carlisle AB, Castleton M, Jacoby DMP, Peel LR,
   Schallert RJ, Tickler DM, Block BA (2020) Individual variation in residency and regional
   movements of reef manta rays *Mobula alfredi* in a large marine protected area. Mar Ecol Prog
   Ser 639:137-53. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps13270
- Appleby T (2015) The Chagos marine protected arbitration a battle of four losers? Environmental
   Law 27:529-540. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/jel/eqv027</u>
- Ballantine B (2014) Fifty years on: Lessons from marine reserves in New Zealand and principles for
   a worldwide network. Biol Cons 176:297-307. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2014.01.014</u>
- Ban NC, Gurney GG, Marshall NA, Whitney CK, Mills M, Gelcich S, Bennett NJ, Meehan MC,
   Butler C, Ban S, Tran TC, Cox ME, Breslow SJ (2019) Well-being outcomes of marine
- protected areas. Nature Sustainability 2:524-532.

937 Barkely AN, Gollock M, Samoilys M, Llewellyn F, Shivji M, Wetherbee B, Hussey NE (2019) 938 Complex transboundary movements of marine megafauna in the Western Indian Ocean. Anim 939 Conserv 22:420-431. https://doi.org/10.1111/acv.12493 940 Barley SC, Meekan MG, Meeuwig JJ (2017) Species diversity, abundance, biomass, size and trophic 941 structure of fish on coral reefs in relation to shark abundance. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 565:163-79. 942 https://doi.org/10.3354/meps11981 943 Barley SC, Clark TD, Meeuwig JJ (2020) Ecological redundancy between coral reef sharks and 944 predatory teleosts. Rev Fish Biol Fisheries 30: 153-172. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11160-019-945 09588-6 946 Bates AE, Cooke RSC, Duncan MI, Edgar GJ, Bruno JF, Benedetti-Cecchi L, Cote IM, Lefcheck JS, 947 Costello MJ, Barrett N and Bird TJ (2019) Climate resilience in marine protected areas and the 948 'Protection Paradox'. Biol Cons 236:305-314. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2019.05.005 949 Bayley DTI, Rose A (2020) Multi-species co-operative hunting behaviour in a remote Indian Ocean 950 reef system. Mar Freshw Behav Physiol 53:35-42. 951 https://doi.org/10.1080/10236244.2020.1746658 952 Benkwitt CE, Wilson SK, Graham NAJ (2019) Seabird nutrient subsidies alter patterns of algal 953 abundance and fish biomass on coral reefs following a bleaching event. Glob Change Biol 954 25:2619-2632. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14643 955 Benkwitt CE, Wilson SK, Graham NAJ (2020) Biodiversity increases ecosystem functions despite 956 multiple stressors on coral reefs. Nat Ecol Evol. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-020-1203-9 957 Boerder K, Bryndum-Buchholz A, Worm B (2017) Interactions of tuna fisheries with the Galápagos 958 marine reserve. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 585:1-15. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps12399 959 Bourne GC (1886) General observations on the fauna of Diego Garcia. Proc Zool Lond 1886:331-960 334. 961 Bruno JF, Côté IM, Toth LT (2019) Climate change, coral loss, and the curious case of the parrotfish 962 paradigm: Why don't marine protected areas improve reef resilience? Ann Rev Mar Sci 963 11:307-334. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-marine-010318-095300 964 Bucaram SJ, Hearn A, Trujillo AM, Rentería W, Bustamante RH, Morán G, Reck G, García JL 965 (2018) Assessing fishing effects inside and outside an MPA: The impact of the Galapagos 966 Marine Reserve on the Industrial pelagic tuna fisheries during the first decade of operation. 967 Mar Pol 87:212-225. 968 Burkepile DE, Shantz AA, Adam TC, Munsterman KS, Speare KE, Ladd MC, Rice MM, Ezzat L, 969 McIlroy S, Wong JCY, Baker DM, Brooks AJ, Schmitt RJ, Holbrook SJ (2020) Nitrogen 970 identity drives differential impacts of nutrients on coral bleaching and mortality. Ecosystems 971 23:798-811. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-019-00433-2 972 Carlisle AB, Tickler D, Dale JJ, Ferretti F, Curnick DJ, Chapple TK, Schallert RJ, Castleton M, 973 Block BA (2019) Estimating space use of mobile fishes in a large Marine Protected Area with 974 methodological considerations in acoustic array design. Front Mar Sci 6:256. 975 https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2019.00256 976 Carr P, Votier S, Koldewey H, Godley B, Wood H, Nicoll MAC (2020) Status and phenology of 977 breeding seabirds and a review of Important Bird and Biodiversity Areas in the British Indian 978 Ocean Territory. BirdLife Conservation International 1-21. 979 https://doi.org/10.1017/S0959270920000295 980 Chen X, Yu K, Huang X, Wang Y, Liao Z, Zhang R, Yao Q, Wang J, Wang W, Tao S, Zhang H 981 (2019) Atmospheric nitrogen deposition increases the possibility of macroalgal dominance on remote coral reefs. J Geophy Res: Biogeosciences 124:1355-69. 982 983 https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JG005074 984 Chong-Seng KM, Graham NAJ, Pratchett MS (2014) Bottlenecks to coral recovery in the Seychelles. 985 Coral Reefs 33:449-461. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-014-1137-2

- Cinner JE, Marie E, Huchery C, MacNeil MA, Graham NAJ, Mora C, McClanahan TR, Barnes ML,
  Kittinger JN, Hicks CC, D'Agata S, Hoey A, Gurney GG, Feary DA, Williams ID, Kulbicki
  M, Vigliola L, Wantiez L, Edgar G, Stuart-Smith RD, Sandin SA, Green AL, Hardt MJ, Beger
  M, Friedlander A, Wilson SK, Brokovich E, Brooks AJ, Cruz-Motta JJ, Booth DJ, Chabanet P,
  Gough C, Tupper M, Ferse SCA, Sumaila UR, Perdede S, Mouillot D (2018) The gravity of
- human impacts mediates coral reef conservation gains. Proc Nat Acad Sci USA 115:E6116 E6125. <u>https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1708001115</u>
- Cinner JE, Huchery C, MacNeil MA, Graham NAJ, McClanahan TR, Maina J, Maire E, Kittinger
  JN, Hicks CC, Mora C, Allison EH, D'Agata S, Hoey A, Feary DA, Crowder L, Williams ID,
  Kulbicki M, Vigliola L, Wantiez L, Edgar G, Stuart-Smith RD, Sandin SA, Green AL, Hardt
  MJ, Beger M, Friedlander A, Campbell SJ, Holmes KE, Wilson SK, Brokovich E, Brooks AJ,
  Cruz-Motta JJ, Booth DJ, Chabanet P, Gough C, Tupper M, Ferse SCA, Sumaila UR, Mouillot
  D (2016) Bright spots among the world's coral reefs. Nature 535:416-419.
  https://doi.org/10.1038/nature18607
- Curnick DJ, Gollock M, Schallert R, Hussey N (2019) Evidence of dynamic resource partitioning
   between two sympatric reef shark species. J Fish Biol 94:680-685.
   https://doi.org/10.1111/jfb.13938
- Curnick DJ, Collen B, Koldewey HJ, Jones KE, Kemp KM and Ferretti F (2020) Interactions
   between a large Marine Protected Area, pelagic tuna and associated fisheries. Front Mar Sci 7:318. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2020.00318</u>
- D'Agata S, Vigliola L, Graham NAJ, Wantiez L, Parravicini V, Villéger S, Mou-Tham G, Frolla P,
   Friedlander AM, Kulbicki M, Mouillot D (2016) Unexpected high vulnerability of functions in
   wilderness areas: evidence from coral reef fishes. Proc Roy Soc Lond B 283:20160128.
   <u>https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2016.0128</u>
- D'Angelo C, Wiedenmann J (2014) Impacts of nutrient enrichment on coral reefs: new perspectives
   and implications for coastal management and reef survival. Current Opinion in Environmental
   Sustainability 7:82-93. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cosust.2013.11.029</u>
- Danckwerts DK, McQuaid CD, Jaeger A, McGregor GK, Dwight R, Le Corre M, Jaquemet S,
   (2014) Biomass consumption by breeding seabirds in the western Indian Ocean: indirect
   interactions with fisheries and implications for management. ICES J Mar Sci 71:2589–2598.
   <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsu093</u>
- Day J, Dudley N, Hockings M, Holmes G, Laffoley D, Stolton S, Wells S, Wenzel L. (eds.) (2019)
   Guidelines for applying the IUCN protected area management categories to marine protected areas. Second edition. Gland. Switzerland: IUCN. <u>https://doi.org/10.25607/OBP-694</u>
- Devillers R, Pressey RL, Grech A, Kittinger JN, Edgar GJ, Ward T, Watson R (2015) Reinventing
   residual reserves in the sea: Are we favouring ease of establishment over need for protection?
   Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems 25:480–504.
   https://doi.org/10.1002/aqc.2445
- 1024 Donovan MK, Adam TC, Shantz AA, Speare KE, Munsterman KS, Rice MM, Schmitt RJ, Holbrook
   1025 SJ, Burkepile DE (2020) Nitrogen pollution interacts with heat stress to increase coral
   1026 bleaching across the seascape. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 117:5351-5357.
   1027 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1915395117
- Duarte CM, Agusti S, Barbier E, Britten GL, Castilla JC, Gattuso J-P, Fulweiler RW, Hughes TP,
  Knowlton N, Lovelock CE, Lotze HK, Predragovic M, Poloczanska E, Roberts C, Worm B.
  (2020) Rebuilding marine life. Nature 580:39-51. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2146-7</u>
- 1031 Dunn N, Curnick D (2019) Using historical fisheries data to predict tuna distribution within the
   1032 British Indian Ocean Territory Marine Protected Area, and implications for its management.
- 1032Diffusi induit Occur ferritory infinite inforced filed, and implications for a1033Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems 29:2057-2070.1034https://doi.org/10.1002/aqc.3204

- 1035 Dwyer RG, Krueck NC, Udyawer V, Heupel MR, Chapman D, Pratt HL, Garla R, Simpfendorfer
   1036 CA (2020) Individual and population benefits of marine reserves for reef sharks. Curr Biol 30:
   1037 480-489. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2019.12.005</u>
- Edgar GJ, Stuart-Smith RD, Willis TJ, Kininmonth S, Baker SC, Banks S, Barrett NS, Becerro MA,
   Bernard ATF, Berkhout J, Buxton CD, Campbell SJ, Cooper AT, Davey M, Edgar SC,
- Forsterra G, Galvan DE, Irigoyen AJ, Kushner DJ, Moura R, Parnell PE, Shears NT, Soler G,
  Strain EME, Thomson RJ (2014) Global conservation outcomes depend on marine protected
  areas with five key features. Nature 506:216-220. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13022
- Edis R (2004) Peak of Limuria. The story of Diego Garcia and the Chagos Archipelago. Second
   edition. Chagos Conservation Trust, London.
- Edwards M, Beaugrand G, Hays GC, Koslow JA, Richardson AJ (2010) Multi-decadal oceanic
   ecological datasets and their application in marine policy and management. Trends in Ecology
   and Evolution 25:602–610. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2010.07.007
- Enochs IC, Manzello DP (2012) Responses of cryptofaunal species richness and trophic potential to
   coral reef habitat degradation. Diversity 4:94-104. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/d4010094</u>
- Esteban N, Laloë JO, Mortimer JA, Guzman AN, Hays GC (2016) Male hatchling production in sea turtles from one of the world's largest marine protected areas, the Chagos Archipelago. Sci Rep 6:20339. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/srep20339</u>
- Esteban N, Unsworth RKF, Gourlay J, Hays GC (2018) The discovery of deep-water seagrass
   meadows in a pristine Indian Ocean wilderness revealed by tracking green turtles. Mar Poll
   Bull 134:99-105. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2018.03.018</u>
- Ezzat L, Maguer J-F, Grover R, Ferrier-Pagès C (2016) Limited phosphorus availability is the
   Achilles heel of tropical reef corals in a warming ocean. Sci Rep 6:31768.
   <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/srep31768</u>
- Fabricius KE (2005) Effects of terrestrial runoff on the ecology of corals and coral reefs: review and
   synthesis. Mar Poll Bull 50:125-146.
- Ferretti F, Curnick D, Romanov EV, Block BA (2018) Shark baselines and the conservation role of
   remote coral reef ecosystems. Sci Adv 4:eaaq0333. <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aaq0333</u>
- Genin A (2004) Bio-physical coupling in the formation of zooplankton and fish aggregations over
   abrupt topographies. J Mar Syst 50:3-20. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2003.10.008</u>
- Giakoumi S, McGowan J, Mills M, Beger M, Bustamante RH, Charles A, Christie P, Fox M, GarciaBorboroglu P, Gelcich S, Guidetti P, Mackelworth P, Maina JM, McCook L, Micheli F,
  Morgan LE, Mumby PJ, Reyes LM, White A, Grorud-Colvert K, Possingham HP (2018)
  Revisiting "success" and "failure" of Marine Protected Areas: a conservation scientist
  perspective. Front Mar Sci 5:223. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2018.00223
- Goñi R, Hilborn R, Díaz D, Mallol S, Adlerstein S (2010) Net contribution of spillover from a marine reserve to fishery catches. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 400:233–243.
   https://doi.org/10.3354/meps08419
- 1073Graham NAJ, Purkis SJ, Harris A (2009) Diurnal, land-based predation on shore crabs by moray eels1074in the Chagos Archipelago. Coral Reefs 28:397–397. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-009-0488-6">https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-009-</a>10750488-6
- Graham NAJ, Spalding MD, Sheppard CR (2010) Reef shark declines in remote atolls highlight the
   need for multi-faceted conservation action. Aquatic Conservation: marine and freshwater
   ecosystems 20: 543-548. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/aqc.1116</u>
- Graham NAJ, McClanahan TR (2013) The last call for marine wilderness? BioScience 63:397-402.
   <u>https://doi.org/10.1525/bio.2013.63.5.13</u>
- Graham NAJ, Pratchett MS, McClanahan TR, Wilson SK (2013) The status of coral reef fish
   assemblages in the Chagos Archipelago, with implications for protected area management and
   climate change. In: Sheppard CRC (ed) Coral Reefs of the United Kingdom Overseas
   Territories. Springer, London. pp. 253-270. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-5965-7

- Graham NAJ, Jennings S, MacNeil MA, Mouillot D, Wilson SK (2015) Predicting climate-driven
   regime shifts versus rebound potential in coral reefs. Nature 518:94-97.
   <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14140</u>
- Graham NAJ, McClanahan TR, MacNeil MA, Wilson SK, Cinner JE, Huchery C, Holmes TH
   (2017) Human disruption of coral reef trophic structure. Curr Biol 27:231-236.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2016.10.062
- Graham NAJ, Wilson SK, Carr P, Hoey AS, Jennings S, MacNeil MA (2018) Seabirds enhance coral
   reef productivity and functioning in the absence of invasive rats. Nature 559:250-253.
   https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0202-3
- Green AL, Maypa AP, Almany GR, Rhodes KL, Weeks R, Abesamis RA, Gleason MG, Mumby PJ,
   White AT (2015) Larval dispersal and movement patterns of coral reef fishes, and implications
   for marine reserve network design. Biol Rev 90:1215-1247. https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12155
- Gruby RL, Gray NJ, Campbell LM, Acton L (2015) Toward a social science research agenda for
   large Marine Protected Areas. Cons Lett 9:153–163. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/conl.12194</u>
- Harris A, Wilson S, Graham NAJ, Sheppard C (2014) Scleractinian coral communities of the inner
   Seychelles 10 years after the 1998 mortality event. Aquatic Conservation: Marine and
   Freshwater Ecosystems 24:667-679. https://doi.org/10.1002/aqc.2464
- Harris JL (2019) Reef manta rays, *Mobula afredi*, of the Chagos Archipelago: Habitat use and the
   effectiveness of the region's marine protected area. MRes Thesis. University of Plymouth.
- Harrison HB, Williamson DH, Evans RD, Almany GR, Thorrold SR, Russ GR, Feldheim KA, van
  Herverden L, Planes S, Srinivasan M, Berumen NL, Jones GP (2012) Larval export from
  marine reserves and the recruitment benefit for fish and fisheries. Curr Biol 22:1023–1028.
  <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2012.04.008</u>
- Hays GC, Scott R (2013) Global patterns for upper ceilings on migration distance in sea turtles and comparisons with fish, birds and mammals. Funct Ecol 27:748–756.
   <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.12073</u>
- Hays GC, Mazaris AD, Schofield G (2014) Different male vs. female breeding periodicity helps
   mitigate offspring sex ratio skews in sea turtles. Front Mar Sci 1:43.
   https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2014.00043
- Hays GC, Alcoverro T, Christianen MJ, Duarte CM, Hamann M, Macreadie PI, Marsh HD, Rasheed
  MA, Thums M, Unsworth RK, York PH (2018) New tools to identify the location of seagrass
  meadows: marine grazers as habitat indicators. Front Mar Sci 5:9.
  https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2018.00009
- 1118 Hays GC, Bailey H, Bograd SJ, Bowen WD, Campagna C, Carmichael RH, Casale P, Chiaradia A,
- Costa DP, Cuevas E, de Bruyn PJN, Dias MP, Duarte CM, Dunn DC, Dutton PH, Esteban N,
   Friedlaender A, Goetz KT, Godley BJ, Halpin PN, Hamann M, Hammerschlag N, Harcourt R,
   Harrison A-L, Hazen EL, Heupel MR, Hoyt E, Humphries NE, Kot CY, Lea JSE, Marsh H,
- 1121 Harnson A-L, Hazen EL, Heuper MR, Hoyt E, Humphries NE, Kot C Y, Lea JSE, Marsh H, 1122 Maxwell SM, McMahon CR, Notarbartolo di Sciara G, Palacios DM, Phillips RA, Righton D,
- 1123 Maxwell SM, McMalon CK, Notarbartolo di Sciala G, Palacios DM, Philips KA, Righton D, Schofield G, Seminoff JA, Simpfendorfer CA, Sims DW, Takahashi A, Tetley MJ, Thums M,
- 1124 Trathan PN, Villegas-Amtmann S, Wells RS, Whiting SD, Wildermann NE, Sequeira AMM (2019) Translating marine animal tracking data into conservation policy and management.
- 1126 Trends in Ecology and Evolution 34:459-473. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2019.01.009
- Hays GC, Cerritelli G, Esteban N, Rattray A, Luschi P (2020). Open ocean reorientation and
  challenges of island finding by sea turtles during long-distance migration. Curr Biol 30:3236–
  3242. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2020.05.086</u>

# Head CEI, Bonsall MB, Koldewey H, Pratchett MS, Speight M, Rogers AD (2015) High prevalence of obligate coral-dwelling decapods on dead corals in the Chagos Archipelago, central Indian

1132 Ocean. Coral Reefs 34:905–915. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-015-1307-x</u>

1133 Head CEI, Bonsall MB, Koldewey H, Jenkins TL, Pratchett MS, Rogers AD (2018) Exceptional 1134 biodiversity of the cryptofaunal decapods in the Chagos Archipelago, central Indian Ocean. 1135 Mar Poll Bull 135:636-647. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2018.07.063 1136 Head CE, Bayley DTI, Rowlands G, Roche RC, Tickler DM, Rogers AD, Koldewey H, Turner JR, Andradi-Brown DA (2019) Coral bleaching impacts from back-to-back 2015–2016 thermal 1137 anomalies in the remote central Indian Ocean. Coral Reefs 38:605-618. 1138 1139 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-019-01821-9 1140 Heupel M, Knip D, Simpfendorfer C, Dulvy N (2014) Sizing up the ecological role of sharks as predators. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 495:291-298. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps10597 1141 1142 Hosegood PJ, Nimmo-Smith WAM, Proud R, Adams K, Brierley AS (2019) Internal lee waves and 1143 baroclinic bores over a tropical seamount shark 'hot-spot'. Prog Oceanogr 172:34-50. 1144 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2019.01.010 1145 IOTC-SC21 (2018). Report of the 21st Session of the IOTC Scientific Committee. Seychelles, 3-7 December 2018. IOTC-2018-SC21-R[E]: 250 pp 1146 1147 Jacoby DMP, Ferretti F, Freeman R, Carlisle AB, Chapple TK, Curnick DJ, Dale JJ, Schallert RJ, Tickler DM, Block BA (2020) Shark movement strategies influence poaching risk and can 1148 1149 guide enforcement decisions in a large, remote Marine Protected Area. J Appl Ecol 00:1-11. 1150 https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.13654 1151 Jacoby DMP, Freeman R (2016) Emerging network-based tools in movement ecology. Trends in 1152 Ecology and Evolution 31:301-14. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2016.01.011 1153 Januchowski-Hartley FA, Graham NAJ, Cinner JE, Russ GR (2015) Local fishing influences coral 1154 reef fish behavior inside protected areas of the Indo-Pacific. Biol Conserv 182:8-12. 1155 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2014.11.024 1156 Koldewey H, Curnick D, Harding S, Harrison L, Gollock M (2010) Potential benefits to fisheries and biodiversity of the Chagos Archipelago/British Indian Ocean Territory as a no-take marine 1157 1158 reserve. Mar Poll Bull 60:1906-1916. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2010.10.002 Kroodsma D, Mayorga J, Hochberg T, Millers N, Boerder K, Ferretti F, Wilson A, Bergman B, 1159 1160 White T, Block B, Woods P, Sullivan B, Costello C, Worm B (2018) Tracking the global 1161 footprint of fisheries. Science 359:904-908. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aao5646 Laidre ME (2017) Ruler of the atoll: the world's largest land invertebrate. Front Ecol Environ 1162 15:527-8. https://doi.org/10.1002/fee.1730 1163 1164 Lange ID, Perry CT (2019) Bleaching impacts on carbonate production in the Chagos Archipelago: 1165 influence of functional coral groups on carbonate budget trajectories. Coral Reefs 38:619-624. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-019-01784-x 1166 Lange ID, Perry CT (2020) A quick, easy and non-invasive method to quantify coral growth rates 1167 1168 using photogrammetry and 3D model comparisons. Methods Ecol Evol 00:1-13. 1169 https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.13388 Lapointe BE, Brewton RA, Herren LW, Porter JW, Hu C (2019) Nitrogen enrichment, altered 1170 1171 stoichiometry, and coral reef decline at Looe Key, Florida Keys, USA: a 3-decade study. Mar 1172 Biol 166:108. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-019-3538-9 1173 Lester SE, Halpern BS, Grorud-Colvert K, Lubchenco J, Ruttenberg BI, Gaines SD, Airamé S, 1174 Warner RR (2009) Biological effects within no-take marine reserves: a global synthesis. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 384:33-46. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps08029 1175 1176 Letessier TB, Cox MJ, Meeuwig JJ, Boersch-Supan PH, Brierley AS (2016) Enhanced pelagic 1177 biomass around coral atolls. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 546:271-276. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps11675 1178 1179 Letessier TB, Mouillot D, Bouchet PJ, Vigliola L, Fernandes MC, Thompson C, Boussarie G, Turner 1180 J, Juhel JB, Maire E, Caley MJ (2019) Remote reefs and seamounts are the last refuges for 1181 marine predators across the Indo-Pacific. PLoS Biology 17:e3000366. 1182 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3000366

1183 Lubchenco J, Grorud-Colvert K (2015) Making waves: The science and politics of ocean protection 1184 Science 350:382-383. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aad5443 MacNeil MA, Graham NAJ, Cinner JE, Wilson SK, Williams ID, Maina J, Newman S, Friedlander 1185 1186 AM, Jupiter S, Polunin NVC, McClanahan TR (2015) Recovery potential of the world's coral reef fishes. Nature 520:341-344. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14358 1187 MacNeil MA, Mellin C, Matthews S, Wolff NH, McClanahan TR, Devlin M, Drovandi C, 1188 1189 Mengersen K, Graham NAJ (2019) Water quality mediates resilience on the Great Barrier 1190 Reef. Nat Ecol Evol 3:620. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-019-0832-3 McClanahan TR, Graham NAJ (2015) Marine reserve recovery rates towards a baseline are slower 1191 1192 for reef fish community life histories than biomass. Proc Roy Soc B 282:20151938. 1193 https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2015.1938 McClanahan TR, Graham NAJ, MacNeil MA, Cinner JE (2015) Biomass-based targets and the 1194 1195 management of multispecies coral reef fisheries. Cons Biol 29:409-417. https://doi.org/10.1111/cobi.12430 1196 1197 McClanahan TR, Maina JM, Graham NAJ, Jones KR (2016) Modeling reef fish Biomass, recovery 1198 potential, and management priorities in the western Indian Ocean. PLoS ONE 11:e0154585. 1199 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0154585 1200 McCauley DJ, Young HS, Dunbar RB, Estes JA, Semmens BX, Micheli F (2012) Assessing the 1201 effects of large mobile predators on ecosystem connectivity. Ecol Appl 22:1711-7. 1202 https://doi.org/10.1890/11-1653.1 1203 McCauley DJ, Pinsky ML, Palumbi SR, Estes JA, Joyce FH, Warner RR (2015) Marine defaunation: 1204 animal loss in the global ocean. Science 347:1255641. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1255641 1205 McCauley DJ, Gellner G, Martinez ND, Williams RJ, Sandin SA, Micheli F, Mumby PJ, McCann 1206 KS (2018) On the prevalence and dynamics of inverted trophic pyramids and otherwise topheavy communities. Ecol Lett 21:439-54. https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12900 1207 1208 Mellin C, Macneil AM, Cheal AJ, Emslie MJ, Caley JM (2016) Marine protected areas increase 1209 resilience among coral reef communities. Ecol Lett 19:629-637. 1210 https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12598 1211 Morri C, Montefalcone M, Lasagna R, Gatti G, Rovere A, Parravicini V, Baldelli G, Colantoni P, 1212 Bianchi CN (2015) Through bleaching and tsunami: Coral reef recovery in the Maldives. Mar 1213 Poll Bull 98: 188-200. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2015.06.050 1214 Mortimer JA, Esteban N, Guzman AN, Hays GC (2020) Estimates of sea turtle nesting populations 1215 in the south-western Indian Ocean indicate the importance of the Chagos Archipelago. Oryx 54:332-343. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0030605319001108 1216 1217 MRAG (2015) IUU Provisional List For 2015. IOTC 1218 https://iotc.org/sites/default/files/documents/2015/04/AllEF\_20150413.pdf 1219 Murray S, Hee TT (2019) A rising tide: California's ongoing commitment to monitoring, managing and enforcing its marine protected areas. Ocean and Coastal Management 182:104920. 1220 1221 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2019.104920 1222 O'Leary BC, Winther-Janson M, Bainbridge JM, Aitken J, Hawkins JP, Roberts CM (2016) 1223 Effective coverage targets for ocean protection. Cons Lett 9:1-7. 1224 https://doi.org/10.1111/conl.12247 O'Leary B, Hoppit G, Townley A, Allen H, McIntyre C, Roberts CM (2020) Options for managing 1225 1226 human threats to high seas biodiversity. Ocean & Coastal Management. 187:105110. 1227 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2020.105110 Park J, Lee J, Seto K, Hochberg T, Wong BA, Miller NA, Takasaki K, Kubota H, Oozeki Y, Doshi 1228 1229 S, Midzik M, Hanich Q, Sullivan B, Woods P, Kroodsma DA (2020) Illuminating dark fishing 1230 fleets in North Korea. Sci Adv 6:eabb1197. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abb1197

- Perry CT, Murphy GN, Graham NA, Wilson SK, Januchowski-Hartley FA, East HK (2015) Remote
   coral reefs can sustain high growth potential and may match future sea-level trends. Sci Rep
   5:18289. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/srep18289</u>
- Perry CT, Alvarez-Filip L, Graham NA, Mumby PJ, Wilson SK, Kench PS, Januchowski-Hartley F
   (2018) Loss of coral reef growth capacity to track future increases in sea level. Nature
   558:396-400. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0194-z
- Plaisance L, Knowlton N, Paulay G, Meyer C (2009) Reef-associated crustacean fauna: biodiversity
   estimates using semi-quantitative sampling and DNA barcoding. Coral Reefs 28:977–986.
   https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-009-0543-3
- Preston NP, Doherty PJ (1990) Cross-shelf patterns in the community structure of coral-dwelling
   crustacea in the central region of the great barrier reef. I. Agile shrimps. Mar Ecol Prog Ser
   66:47–61. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps066047
- Queiroz N, Humphries NE, Couto A, Vedor M, da Costa I, Sequeira AMM, Mucientes G, Santos 1243 AM, Abascal FJ, Abercrombie DL, Abrantes K, Acuña-Marrero D, Afonso AS, Afonso P, 1244 1245 Anders D, Araujo G, Arauz R, Bach P, Barnett A, Bernal D, Berumen ML, Bessudo Lion S, Bezerra NPA, Blaison AV, Block BA, Bond ME, Bonfil R, Bradford RW, Braun CD, Brooks 1246 1247 EJ, Brooks A, Brown J, Bruce BD, Byrne ME, Campana SE, Carlisle AB, Chapman DD, Chapple TK, Chisholm J, Clarke CR, Clua EG, Cochran JEM, Crochelet EC, Dagorn L, Daly 1248 R, Devia Cortés D, Doyle TK, Drew M, Duffy CAJ, Erikson T, Espinoza E, Ferreira LC, 1249 1250 Ferretti F, Filmalter JD, Fischer GC, Fitzpatrick R, Fontes J, Forget F, Fowler M, Francis MP, 1251 Gallagher AJ, Gennari E, Goldsworthy SD, Gollock MJ, Green JR, Gustafson JA, Guttridge 1252 TL, Guzman HM, Hammerschlag N, Harman L, Hazin FHZ, Heard M, Hearn AR, Holdsworth 1253 JC, Holmes BJ, Howey LA, Hoyos M, Hueter RE, Hussey NE, Huveneers C, Irion DT, Jacoby 1254 DMP, Jewell OJD, Johnson R, Jordan LKB, Jorgensen SJ, Joyce W, Keating CA, Ketchum JT, Klimley AP, Kock AA, Koen P, Ladino F, Lana FO, Lea JSE, Llewellyn F, Lvon WS, 1255 1256 MacDonnell A, Macena BCL, Marshall H, McAllister JD, McAuley R, Meÿer MA, Morris JJ, 1257 Nelson ER, Papastamatiou YP, Patterson TA, Peñaherrera-Palma C, Pepperell JG, Pierce SJ, Poisson F, Quintero LM, Richardson AJ, Rogers PJ, Rohner CA, Rowat DRL, Samoilys M, 1258 Semmens JM, Sheaves M, Shillinger G, Shivji M, Singh S, Skomal GB, Smale MJ, Snyders 1259 1260 LB, Soler G, Soria M, Stehfest KM, Stevens JD, Thorrold SR, Tolotti MT, Towner A, Travassos P, Tyminski JP, Vandeperre F, Vaudo JJ, Watanabe YY, Weber SB, Wetherbee BM, 1261 White TD, Williams S, Zárate PM, Harcourt R, Hays GC, Meekan MG, Thums M, Irigoien X, 1262 Eguiluz VM, Duarte CM, Sousa LL, Simpson SJ, Southall EJ, Sims DW (2019) Global spatial 1263 risk assessment of sharks under the footprint of fisheries. Nature 572:461-466. 1264 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1444-4 1265
- Richardson AJ, Downes KJ, Nolan ET, Brickle P, Brown J, Weber N, Weber SB (2018) Residency
   and reproductive status of yellowfin tuna in a proposed large-scale pelagic marine protected
   area. Aquat Conserv Mar Freshw Ecosyst 28:1308-16 <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/aqc.2936</u>
- Roberts CM, O'Leary BC, McCauley DJ, Cury PM, Duarte CM, Lubchenco J, Pauly D, SáenzArroyo A, Sumaila UR, Wilson RW, Worm B, Castilla JC (2017) Marine reserves can mitigate
  and promote adaptation to climate change. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 114:6167-6175.
  https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1701262114
- Sala E, Lubchenco J, Grorud-Colvert K, Novelli C, Roberts C, Sumaila UR (2018) Assessing real
   progress towards effective ocean protection. Marine Policy 91:11-13.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpol.2018.02.004
- Savage C (2019) Seabird nutrients are assimilated by corals and enhance coral growth rates. Sci Rep
   9:1-10. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-41030-6
- Sequeira AMM, Hays GC, Sims DW, Eguíluz VM, Rodriguez J, Heupel M, Harcourt R, Callich H,
   Queiroz N, Costa DP, Fernández-Gracia J, Ferreira LC, Goldsworthy SD, Hindell M, Lea M A, Meekan M, Pagano A, Shaffer SA, Reisser J, Thums M, Weise M, Duarte CM (2019)

1281 Overhauling ocean spatial planning to improve marine megafauna conservation Front Mar Sci. 1282 6:639. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2019.00639 Schofield G, Esteban N, Katselidis KA, Hays GC (2019) Drones for research on sea turtles and other 1283 1284 marine vertebrates - a review. Biol Cons 238:108214. 1285 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2019.108214 Shantz AA, Burkepile DE (2014) Context-dependent effects of nutrient loading on the coral-algal 1286 1287 mutualism. Ecology 95:1995-2005. Sheehan E, Hosegood P, Game C, Attrill M, Tickler D, Wootton M, Johns D, Meeuwig J (2019) The 1288 effect of deep oceanic flushing on water properties and ecosystem functioning within atolls in 1289 1290 the British Indian Ocean Territory. Front. Mar. Sci 6:512. https://10.3389/fmars.2019.00512 1291 Sheppard CRC (1980) Coral cover, zonation and diversity on reef slopes of Chagos Atolls, and 1292 population structures of the major species. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 2:193-205. 1293 https://doi.org/10.3354/meps002193 1294 Sheppard CR, Ateweberhan M, Bowen BW, Carr P, Chen CA, Clubbe C, Craig MT, Ebinghaus R, 1295 Eble J, Fitzsimmons N, Gaither MR (2012) Reefs and islands of the Chagos Archipelago, Indian Ocean: why it is the world's largest no-take marine protected area. Aquat Conserv Mar 1296 1297 Freshw Ecosyst 22:232-61. https://doi.org/10.1002/aqc.1248 1298 Sheppard CRC, Sheppard A, Mogg A, Bayley D, Dempsey AC, Roche, R, Turner J, Purkis S (2017) 1299 Coral bleaching and mortality in the Chagos Archipelago. Atoll Res Bull 613:1-26. 1300 https://doi.org/10.5479/si.0077-5630.613 Sheppard CRC, Sheppard ALS (2019) British Indian Ocean Territory (Chagos Archipelago). In: 1301 CRC, Sheppard (Ed.), 2019. World Seas: An Environmental Assessment. vol. 3. Academic 1302 1303 Press, pp. 237-252. https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-08-100853-9.00015-4 1304 Sheppard C, Sheppard A, Fenner D (2020) Coral mass mortalities in the Chagos Archipelago over 40 years: regional species and assemblage extinctions and indications of positive feedbacks. Mar 1305 1306 Poll Bull 154:111075. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2020.111075 Stella JS, Pratchett MS, Hutchings PA, Jones GP (2011) Coral-associated in- vertebrates: diversity, 1307 ecological importance and vulnerability to disturbance. Oceanogr Mar Biol 49:43-104. 1308 1309 https://doi.org/10.1201/b11009-3 1310 Stewart JD, Jaine FRA, Armstrong AJ, Armstrong AO, Bennett MB, Burgess KB, Couturier LIE, 1311 Croll DA, Cronin MR, Deakos MH, Dudgeon CL, Fernando D, Froman N, Germanov ES, Hall MA, Hinojosa-Alvarez S, Hosegood JE, Kashiwagi T, Laglbauer BJL, Lezama-Ochoa N, 1312 1313 Marshall AD, McGregor F, Notarbartolo di Sciara G, Palacios MD, Peel LR, Richardson AJ, Rubin RD, Townsend KA, Venables SK, Stevens GMW (2018) Research priorities to support 1314 effective manta and devil ray conservation. Front Mar Sci 5:314. 1315 1316 https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2018.00314 1317 Sumaila R, Lam V, Miller D, Teh L, Watson R, Zeller D, Cheung WWL, Côté IM, Rogers AD, Roberts C, Sala E, Pauly D (2015) Winners and losers in a world where the high seas is closed 1318 1319 to fishing. Sci Rep 5:8481. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep08481 1320 Taylor BM, Choat JH, DeMartini EE, Hoey AS, Marshell A, Priest MA, Rhodes KL, Meekan MG 1321 (2019) Demographic plasticity facilitates ecological and economic resilience in a commercially important reef fish. J Anim Ecol 88:1888-1900. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2656.13095 1322 Taylor BM, Benkwitt CE, Choat H, Clements KD, Graham NA, Meekan MG. (2020a) Synchronous 1323 1324 biological feedbacks in parrotfishes associated with pantropical coral bleaching. Glob Chang 1325 Biol 26:1285-1294. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14909 Taylor BM, Chinkin M, and Meekan MG (2020b) Teleconnections reveal that drivers of inter-annual 1326 growth can vary from local to ocean basins in tropical snappers. Coral Reefs 39:397-407. 1327 1328 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-020-01903-z

1329	Tickler DM, Carlisle AB, Chapple TK, Curnick DJ, Dale JJ, Schallert RJ, Block BA (2020) Potential
1330	detection of illegal fishing by passive acoustic telemetry. Animal Biotelemetry 7:1.
1331	https://doi.org/10.1186/s40317-019-0163-9
1332	Tickler DM, Letessier TB, Koldewey HJ, Meeuwig JJ (2017) Drivers of abundance and spatial
1333	distribution of reef-associated sharks in an isolated atoll reef system. PloS One 12: e0177374.
1334	https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0177374
1335	Toth LT, van Woesik R, Murdoch TJT, Smith SR, Ogden JC, Precht WF, Aronson RB (2014) Do
1336	no-take reserves benefit Florida's corals? 14 years of change and stasis in the Florida Keys
1337	National Marine Sanctuary. Coral Reefs 33:565-577. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-014-
1338	<u>1158-x</u>
1339	Turner JA, Babcock RC, Hovey R, Kendrick GA (2017) Deep thinking: a systematic review of
1340	mesophotic coral ecosystems. ICES J Mar Sci 74:2309-2320.
1341	https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsx085
1342	United Nations (2019). Meetings coverage and press releases.
1343	https://www.un.org/press/en/2019/ga12146.doc.htm
1344	van Hooidonk R, Maynard J, Tamelander J, Gove J, Ahmadia G, Raymundo L, Williams G, Heron
1345	SF, Planes S (2016) Local-scale projections of coral reef futures and implications of the Paris
1346	Agreement. Sci Rep 6:39666. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep39666
1347	Wakefield ED, Bodey TW, Bearhop S, Blackburn J, Colhoun K, Davies R, Dwyer RG, Green JA,
1348	Grémillet D, Jackson AL, Jessopp MJ, Kane A, Langston RHW, Lescroël A, Murray S, Nuz M
1349	Le, Patrick SC, Péron C, Soanes LM, Wanless S, Votier SC, Hamer KC (2013) Space
1350	partitioning without territoriality in gannets. Science 341:68-70.
1351	https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1236077
1352	Weimerskirch H, Collet J, Corbeau A, Pajot A, Hoarau F, Marteau C, Filippi D, Patrick SC (2019)
1353	Ocean sentinel albatrosses locate illegal vessels and provide the first estimate of the extent of
1354	nondeclared fishing. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 117:3006-3014.
1355	https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1915499117
1356	Wenban-Smith N, Carter M (2017) Chagos: A History. Chagos Conservation Trust, London.
1357	Wiedenmann J, D'Angelo C, Smith EG, Hunt AN, Legiret F-E, Postle AD, Achterberg EP (2013)
1358	Nutrient enrichment can increase the susceptibility of reef corals to bleaching. Nat Clim
1359	Change 3:160-164. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate1661</u>
1360	Williams JJ, Papastamatiou YP, Caselle JE, Bradley D, Jacoby DMP (2018a) Mobile marine
1361	predators: An understudied source of nutrients to coral reefs in an unfished atoll. Proc Roy Soc
1362	Lond B 285:20172456. <u>https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2017.2456</u>
1363	Williams GJ, Roche RC, Turner JR (2018b) First record of coralline fungal disease (CFD) in the
1364	Indian Ocean. Coral Reefs 37:1243. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-018-1704-z</u>
1365	Woodley S, Baillie JE, Dudley N, Hockings M, Kingston N, Laffoley D, Locke H, Lubchenco J,
1366	MacKinnon K, Meliane I, Sala E (2019) A bold successor to Aichi Target 11. Science
1367	365:649-650. <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aay2768</u>
1368	Woodson CB, Schramski JR, Joye SB (2018) A unifying theory for top-heavy ecosystem structure in
1369	the ocean. Nat Commun 9:1–8. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-02450-y</u>
1370	Wooldridge SA, Done TJ (2009) Improved water quality can ameliorate effects of climate change on
1371	corals. Ecol Appl 19:1492–1499. <u>https://doi.org/10.1890/08-0963.1</u>
1372	Wright J (2016) Analysing the relationship between senescing <i>Acropora</i> tables and disease
1373	prevalence in the absence of anthropogenic pressures. Master of Marine Biology Thesis.
1374	School of Ocean Sciences, Bangor University, UK. 31 pp.