Population Projections for Forty-Four European Countries: The Ongoing Population Ageing

Marija Mamolo Sergei Scherbov

Marija Mamolo is a researcher at the Vienna Institute of Demography of the Austrian Academy of Sciences.

Sergei Scherbov is head of the Research Group on Population Dynamics and Forecasting at the Vienna Institute of Demography of the Austrian Academy of Sciences and Senior research scholar in the World Population Program at the International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis, Laxenburg, Austria.

Abstract

In the current paper we present the population projections for fortyfour European countries. The dynamics of migration might prevent some European countries from experiencing population decline in the near future, although fertility has been below replacement for some time. Similarly, the EU-27 population is projected to further increase. Our results confirm that population ageing is underway all around Europe, albeit with clear differences across countries. According to the traditional measures of population age structure, the countries with the oldest populations are expected to be found within the EU. However, these measures do not take into account the longevity change: a man of 65 living in a country with a higher life expectancy might be at a different stage of the life cycle in comparison to a man of 65 living in a country with lower life expectancy. Relying on three alternative measures of age which consider explicitly changes in the remaining life expectancy, we find evidence that ageing will continue, but 1) it might be more severe in some countries where population is shrinking towards older ages but life expectancy is still rather low; 2) it might not be as fast as it appears when not adjusting for the longevity change. As an example, the former Soviet Union states and some countries of the Balkan region show the highest proportion of population with a remaining life expectancy of 15 years or less; in Italy and Germany the prospective median age and the proportion of population with a remaining life expectancy of 15 years or less might increase at a slower pace than the corresponding conventional measures, i.e. the population median age and the proportion of people aged 65+.

Editor: Maria Rita Testa. Head of the Research Group on Comparative European Demography: Dimiter Philipov

This material may not be reproduced without the written permission of the authors.

European Demographic Research Papers are working papers that deal with all-European issues or with issues that are important to a large number of countries. All contributions have received only limited review.

1 INTRODUCTION

Despite some differences in the adopted projection assumptions all population projections over the last few decades have shown that the European population is getting older. The fertility decline below replacement level is the principal actor in the process of population ageing in Europe accompanied by an increasing life expectancy that allows more and more people living a longer and healthier life. Despite a generalised shrinkage of the base of the population pyramid all across Europe, European countries find themselves at quite different stages of the ageing process, due to the heterogeneity in the pace and intensity of fertility decline, gains in life expectancy, and migration dynamics.

In the present study we develop population projections for fortyfour¹ European countries following the list of countries taken into account in demographic publications by the Council of Europe. On the basis of our projections, we try to get a unified picture of the ageing process around Europe relying on the projected dynamics of both conventional and alternative measures of population ageing. The latter take jointly into account changes in the population age composition and changes in life expectancy. Our paper is also intended as supporting material to the European Demographic Data Sheet 2008 (http://www.oeaw.ac.at/vid/).

Section 2 introduces the method and projections assumptions; Section 3 presents the results; concluding remarks are found in Section 4. More detailed projection assumptions and results are reported in Appendices A, B and C.

¹ We consider separately France and France métropolitaine, the latter excluding the French overseas departments.

2 POPULATION PROJECTIONS: METHOD AND ASSUMPTIONS

To project the population by age and sex from 2007 to 2050 we use the standard cohort-component model. The population data for the base year 2007 and the projection assumptions are mainly derived from Eurostat. The starting population by age and sex refers to 1 January 2007.² For the Russian Federation we obtained data from Rosstat.

Regarding the base-year fertility levels, the Total Fertility Rate (TFR) for 2007 relies mostly on the information derived from Eurostat for 2006, the last available year at the time of extraction. For Italy, Turkey and Montenegro the TFR is derived from the national statistical offices. For the Russian Federation the TFR is from Rosstat. For Albania and Belgium the last available TFR from Eurostat refers to 2005. For the fertility age schedule we rely on the last available age-specific fertility rates published by Eurostat, mainly referring to 2006 at the time of extraction. For Italy, Lithuania and Montenegro only the 2005 figures are available. For Belgium the last available information refers to 1999 and is derived from Direction générale Statistique et Information économique – Service Démographie. For Albania, Belarus, Moldova and Turkey we interpolate UN 2005-2010 data (UN 2007). For the Russian Federation data are from Rosstat. The fertility age distribution is kept constant throughout the projection period.

The life expectancy information for the base year is retrieved mostly from Eurostat referring to the year 2006. For Spain, UK, Iceland and Montenegro the last available year is 2005, while for Italy it is 2004. For Albania – 2002, Belarus – 2004, Moldova – 2004, the Russian Federation – 2004 and Turkey – 2003 data are from the Council of Europe (2006). For mortality information by age and sex we consider the probabilities of death and derive data mostly from Eurostat. The last available year at the time of extraction is 2006. For Albania, Belarus, Russia and Turkey we interpolate UN 2005-2010 data (UN 2007). For Moldova we obtained data from the

 $^{^{2}}$ For Iceland and Montenegro we start with the population on 1 January 2006, which was the last available at the time of data extraction.

National Bureau of Statistics. For Italy the last available year is 2004, while for Spain, UK, Iceland and Montenegro it is 2005.

The total number of net migrants by sex is derived from Eurostat and relies on the information for 2006.³ For Croatia, Serbia, Iceland and Montenegro, for which we do not have net migration scenarios from Eurostat 2004 projections and for which UN 2010 information (UN 2007) was not considered reliable, we preferred to use for the base year the Eurostat 2002-2006 average. For the 27 EU countries the net migration age distribution by sex for the starting projection year is taken from the Eurostat 2004 projections. For Bulgaria and Romania we rely on the elaborations on Eurostat 2004 projections by Dalkhat Ediev (unpublished, Vienna Institute of Demography 2007). The information for Russia was taken from Rosstat. For Switzerland, Norway, Macedonia FYR and Croatia we derive the oneyear age distribution by sex from Eurostat information on in- and outmigration by five-year age groups for 2004. For the other countries, for which migration information is not provided by Eurostat 2004 projections, we apply an average age profile estimated from the EU countries. This is the case for France (including the overseas departments), Albania, Armenia, Azerbaijan, Belarus, Georgia, Iceland, Moldova, Montenegro, Serbia, Turkey and Ukraine. The migration age distribution is assumed then constant for the future years.

The basic projection assumptions are summarised in Table 1 (for details see Appendix A). For fertility we assume that the current Total Fertility Rate (TFR) converges in 2030 to the tempo-adjusted TFR.⁴ From

³ For Bulgaria the available information was for the year 2007.

⁴ The tempo-adjusted TFR is calculated on the basis of the Bongaarts-Feeney formula (Bongaarts and Feeney 1998), which uses fertility data by birth order. When available, the data on the adjusted TFR refer to the mean of the adjusted TFR for the three-year period of 2003-2005. For countries where no such data are available the adjusted TFR is estimated either with the most recent available data or on the basis of an estimated relation of the observed change in the overall mean age of childbearing to the size of the tempo effect. For a detailed description of methods

that year onwards, the TFR is kept constant. Life expectancy at birth is assumed to increase by two years per decade (Lutz et al. 1997 and 2001; Sanderson and Scherbov 2004), an assumption supported also by Oeppen and Vaupel (2002). The Brass relational model is used to adjust the age specific mortality schedule. The total net migration is assumed to converge in 2010 to the 2004 Eurostat or UN projection assumptions on net migration for 2010. Thereafter the net migration figures follow the 2004 Eurostat projection assumptions or the UN assumptions. For the net migration scenarios for Romania and Bulgaria we use the elaborations on Eurostat 2004 projections by Dalkhat Ediev (unpublished, Vienna Institute of Demography 2007). We use the UN projection assumptions for the non-EU countries. For Croatia, Iceland, Montenegro and Serbia, for which migration projection scenarios were not available or they appeared to be unreliable, we keep constant the 2002-2006 average net migration figures based on Eurostat data.

In the next section we present the results of our projections. First, we focus on the conventional measures of population age composition. Second, we apply three alternative indicators of age in order to get a different insight into the process of population ageing.

and data see: www.populationeurope.org. For Albania and Azerbaijan the information available did not allow to calculate the adjusted TFR. Therefore, the TFR for these two countries is kept constant throughout the whole projection horizon.

| | Total | | | | | | | | <u> </u> |
|---|-----------------|------|-------------------|--------------|----------------|-------------|--------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| | popu- lation | T | FR | Fema (yea | ale e0 ars) | Mal (yea | e e0 ars) | Net mi (in tho | gration usands) |
| | (mio.) 2007 | 2007 | 2030 ^a | 2007 | 2030 | 2007 | 2030 | 2007 | 2030 |
| Albania | 3.2 | 1.78 | 1.78 | 79.6 | 84.2 | 73.1 | 77.7 | -12.9 | -10.0 |
| Armenia | 3.2 | 1.37 | 1.62 | 76.2 | 80.8 | 69.9 | 74.5 | -5.3 | -8.0 |
| Austria | 8.3 | 1.41 | 1.64 | 83.0 | 87.6 | 77.4 | 82.0 | 27.9 | 19.1 |
| Azerbaijan | 8.5 | 1.99 | 1.99 | 75.6 | 80.2 | 70.3 | 74.9 | -2.8 | -10.0 |
| Belarus | 9.7 | 1.29 | 1.47 | 76.6 | 81.2 | 63.8 | 68.4 | 3.7 | -2.0 |
| Belgium | 10.6 | 1.73 | 1.86 | 82.5 | 87.1 | 76.8 | 81.4 | 44.9 | 18.5 |
| Bulgaria | 7.7 | 1.39 | 1.70 | 76.5 | 81.1 | 69.4 | 74.0 | -33.8 | 2.1 |
| Croatia | 4.4 | 1.39 | 1.61 | 79.5 | 84.1 | 72.7 | 77.3 | 9.6 | 9.6 |
| Cyprus | 0.8 | 1.49 | 1.79 | 82.6 | 87.2 | 79.0 | 83.6 | 8.1 | 4.6 |
| Czech Rep. | 10.3 | 1.34 | 1.76 | 80.1 | 84.7 | 73.7 | 78.3 | 26.7 | 21.6 |
| Denmark | 5.4 | 1.84 | 2.00 | 80.9 | 85.5 | 76.3 | 80.9 | 9.4 | 6.6 |
| Estonia | 1.3 | 1.56 | 1.85 | 78.8 | 83.4 | 67.6 | 72.2 | -0.4 | 1.8 |
| Finland | 5.3 | 1.84 | 1.91 | 83.3 | 87.9 | 76.1 | 80.7 | 9.5 | 6.0 |
| France | 63.4 | 2.01 | 2.10 | 84.6 | 89.2 | 77.5 | 82.1 | 83.0 | 58.9 |
| France met- ropolitaine ^b | 61.5 | 1 99 | 2.07 | 84.6 | 89.2 | 77.6 | 82.2 | 85.2 | 58.9 |
| Georgia | 4 4 | 1 43 | 1.85 | 78.6 | 83.2 | 69.9 | 74.5 | -12.8 | -10.0 |
| Germany | 82.3 | 1.33 | 1.59 | 82.6 | 87.2 | 77.4 | 82.0 | 70.1 | 181.0 |
| Greece | 11.2 | 1.40 | 1.52 | 82.1 | 86.7 | 77.4 | 82.0 | 39.9 | 34.8 |
| Hungary | 10.1 | 1.36 | 1.75 | 78.0 | 82.6 | 69.4 | 74.0 | 19.3 | 21.2 |
| Iceland | 0.3 | 2.09 | 2.22 | 83.9 | 88.5 | 80.0 | 84.6 | 1.8 | 1.8 |
| Ireland | 4.3 | 1.94 | 2.17 | 82.3 | 86.9 | 77.5 | 82.1 | 55.5 | 12.9 |
| Italy | 59.1 | 1.36 | 1.48 | 84.4 | 89.0 | 78.5 | 83.1 | 312.6 | 113.8 |
| Latvia | 2.3 | 1.36 | 1.59 | 76.5 | 81.1 | 65.6 | 70.2 | -2.5 | 3.0 |
| Lithuania | 3.4 | 1.32 | 1.68 | 77.2 | 81.8 | 65.5 | 70.1 | -5.1 | 4.6 |
| Luxembourg | 0.5 | 1.66 | 1.82 | 82.1 | 86.7 | 77.0 | 81.6 | 4.7 | 2.8 |

Table 1Total population size in 2007, fertility, mortality and migrationfigures for 2007 and 2030.

| | Table 1 (continued) | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|---------------------|------|-------------------|--------------|----------------|-------------|--------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| | popu- lation | T | FR | Fema (yea | ale e0 ars) | Mal (yea | e e0 ars) | Net mi (in tho | gration usands) |
| | 2007 | 2007 | 2030 ^a | 2007 | 2030 | 2007 | 2030 | 2007 | 2030 |
| Maaadania | | | | | | | | | |
| FYR | 2.0 | 1.48 | 1.88 | 76.4 | 81.0 | 71.9 | 76.5 | -0.9 | -2.0 |
| Malta | 0.4 | 1.41 | 1.58 | 82.1 | 86.7 | 77.2 | 81.8 | 2.2 | 2.4 |
| Moldova | 3.6 | 1.20 | 1.36 | 73.0 | 77.6 | 65.2 | 69.8 | -5.0 | -10.0 |
| Montenegro | 0.6 | 1.63 | 1.97 | 77.4 | 82.0 | 71.8 | 76.4 | -0.7 | -0.7 |
| Netherlands | 16.4 | 1.71 | 1.82 | 82.2 | 86.8 | 77.9 | 82.5 | -11.3 | 31.6 |
| Norway | 4.7 | 1.90 | 2.01 | 83.1 | 87.7 | 78.4 | 83.0 | 21.7 | 15.0 |
| Poland | 38.1 | 1.29 | 1.58 | 79.9 | 84.5 | 71.1 | 75.7 | -36.0 | 35.9 |
| Portugal | 10.6 | 1.36 | 1.65 | 82.5 | 87.1 | 75.7 | 80.3 | 24.1 | 15.0 |
| Romania | 21.6 | 1.33 | 1.75 | 76.4 | 81.0 | 69.4 | 74.0 | -8.5 | 4.7 |
| Russian Fed. | 142.2 | 1.30 | 1.52 | 72.9 | 77.5 | 59.5 | 64.1 | 128.4 | 50.0 |
| Serbia | 7.4 | 1.44 | 1.68 | 76.4 | 81.0 | 71.0 | 75.6 | 7.5 | 7.5 |
| Slovakia | 5.4 | 1.26 | 1.66 | 78.6 | 83.2 | 70.6 | 75.2 | 2.3 | 5.1 |
| Slovenia | 2.0 | 1.32 | 1.55 | 82.2 | 86.8 | 74.7 | 79.3 | 6.2 | 7.0 |
| Spain | 44.5 | 1.38 | 1.39 | 84.1 | 88.7 | 77.4 | 82.0 | 481.6 | 105.3 |
| Sweden | 9.1 | 1.86 | 1.96 | 83.3 | 87.9 | 79.0 | 83.6 | 44.1 | 21.8 |
| Switzerland | 7.5 | 1.46 | 2.19 | 84.4 | 89.0 | 79.4 | 84.0 | 32.4 | 20.0 |
| Turkey | 73.4 | 2.18 | 2.19 | 71.8 | 76.4 | 67.2 | 71.8 | -0.7 | -10.0 |
| UK | 60.9 | 1.84 | 1.98 | 81.5 | 86.1 | 77.5 | 82.1 | 189.4 | 99.2 |
| Ukraine | 46.5 | 1.31 | 1.43 | 74.0 | 78.6 | 62.5 | 67.1 | 5.7 | -20.0 |

Notes: a) The TFR for 2030 is the adjusted TFR calculated on the basis of the last available TFR levels. See also footnote 4. b) Excluding the French overseas departments.

3 RESULTS

3.1 Population size and age structure characteristics

Despite the below-replacement fertility all around Europe, our projections indicate that in the next forty years not all the European countries are expected to face the population shrinkage. There seems to be still space for population increase, possibly favoured by the population age structure and migration flows. On the one hand, we have countries which have been showing below-replacement fertility already for some time and for which a positive net migration plays the most important role, like for some EU countries (e.g. Greece, Spain). On the other hand, we find countries like Albania, Azerbaijan and Turkey, which until recently persisted in showing relatively high fertility. Finally, all the other countries are facing, or might face in the near future, a decline in total population though with a clear expansion of the elderly. The EU-27 is projected to face a positive population growth almost throughout the next forty years, mostly due to expected migration dynamics.

Considering the period up to 2030, out of forty-four considered European countries the total population is projected to rise in twenty-six of them and fall in eighteen. The strongest projected population growth rate is expected in Iceland (+1.30%), Ireland (+1.14%), Cyprus (+1.08%), Turkey (+0.98%) and Luxembourg (+0.93%), while Moldova (-0.56%), Latvia (-0.58%), the Russian Federation (-0.59%), Ukraine (-0.77%) and Bulgaria (-0.85%) could show the sharpest decline. For the EU-27, besides the three aforementioned countries, Malta (+0.63%) and Sweden (+0.49%) are projected to be on the top of the population growth ranking, while Estonia (-0.28%), Romania (-0.42%) and Lithuania (-0.44%) are accounted for at the bottom of the ranking. The overall EU-27 projected growth rate is +0.14%. Following our projection assumptions, the EU-27 total population⁵ is projected to increase from 493.3 millions in 2007 to 509.1 millions in 2030.

⁵ Excluding the French overseas departements.

Such a result actually underestimates the most recent Eurostat population projections of 519.9 millions in 2030⁶ which is based, however, on different projection assumptions especially regarding migration. In 2030 the countries with the largest populations within the EU-27 are expected to be Germany (81.6 millions), France métropolitaine (68.1 millions), the UK (67.3 millions), Italy (59.2 millions) and Spain (47.1 millions). In the same year, among all the considered European countries, the Russian Federation and Turkey could be leading with a total population of 124.2 million and 92.1 million inhabitants respectively.

Looking at the European regions,⁷ in 2007 eastern Europe registers the highest population size (202.0 millions), followed by western and southern Europe (Table 2). The population size in 2030 and the projected annual rate of population change clearly distinguish between in- and outmigration regions. Southern, western and northern Europe are still expected to show a population increase by 2030, while the whole of eastern Europe might face a population decline. The Caucasian region is also projected to experience a population increase. Similarly, the EU-27 population might increase in the next 20-25 years. Here the distinction in the future population change is between the old (EU-15) and the new EU members (EU-12), the former being characterised by a positive rate of change, the latter by a negative one.

⁶ Eurostat projections EUROPOP 2008 – Convergence Scenario.

⁷ Southern Europe (Italy, Spain, Portugal, Greece, Malta, Cyprus), western Europe (Ireland, UK, France métropolitaine, Belgium, Netherlands, Luxembourg), Germanspeaking countries (Austria, Switzerland, Germany), Nordic countries (Iceland, Norway, Sweden, Finland, Denmark), central-eastern Europe (Lithuania, Latvia, Estonia, Poland, Czech Rep., Slovak Rep., Hungary, Slovenia, Croatia), southeastern Europe (Bulgaria, Romania, Serbia, Montenegro, Albania, Macedonia FYR), eastern Europe (Russian Federation, Ukraine, Moldova, Belarus), Caucasus (Azerbaijan, Armenia, Georgia), EU-27, EU-15, EU-12.

| | Population size on 1 January 2007 (millions) | Projected population size, 2030 (millions) | Projected annual rate of population change, 2007-2030 (%) |
|---------------------------|--|--|---|
| Southern Europe | 126.6 | 130.0 | 0.12 |
| Western Europe | 154.1 | 170.8 | 0.45 |
| German-speaking countries | 98.1 | 98.9 | 0.04 |
| Nordic countries | 24.8 | 27.5 | 0.45 |
| Central-eastern Europe | 77.3 | 74.7 | -0.15 |
| South-eastern Europe | 42.5 | 38.9 | -0.38 |
| Eastern Europe | 202.0 | 175.0 | -0.62 |
| Caucasus | 16.2 | 17.5 | 0.35 |
| EU-27 | 493.3 | 509.1 | 0.14 |
| EU-15 | 390.0 | 411.5 | 0.23 |
| EU-12 | 103.3 | 97.7 | -0.25 |

Table 2 Population size and growth rate in European regions, 2007 and2030.

Considering the population age pyramid, Figure 1 indicates that the EU-27 population is projected to continue ageing in the next twenty years. The basis of the age pyramid could reduce further, but the advancement of the elderly population is what appears most clearly. Despite some differences, similar dynamics are projected to prevail in both old and new EU members.



Figure 1 EU-27, EU-15, EU-12 population age pyramids (%), 2007 and 2030.

Focusing next on some more detailed age structure indicators, the ongoing ageing process all around Europe is confirmed. In all the European countries under study the population median age is projected to increase in the next forty years. In 2007, Turkey (27.5 years), Azerbaijan (28.2 years), Albania (28.9 years), Armenia (31.8 years) and Moldova (33.2 years) show the lowest median ages, while Bulgaria and Serbia (41.0 years), Finland (41.3 years), Italy (42.2 years) and Germany (42.8 years) are expected to experience the highest ones (Table 3). In 2030 the country ranking does not change substantially, but we now find only EU-27 countries on the top of the ranking with the highest median ages. On the contrary, the bottom of the ranking is again occupied by non-EU countries only. The population age structure in some of these countries, the result of a high fertility which blew up the basis of the pyramid, might thus still prevent them from ageing profoundly.

| | | 2007 | | 2030 |
|-----|------------|------|------------|------|
| 1. | Germany | 42.8 | Italy | 50.8 |
| 2. | Italy | 42.2 | Spain | 49.7 |
| 3. | Finland | 41.3 | Greece | 49.3 |
| 4. | Serbia | 41.0 | Germany | 48.9 |
| 5. | Bulgaria | 41.0 | Slovenia | 48.8 |
| | | | | |
| 40. | Moldova | 33.2 | Norway | 41.1 |
| 41. | Armenia | 31.8 | Iceland | 39.3 |
| 42. | Albania | 28.9 | Albania | 38.7 |
| 43. | Azerbaijan | 28.2 | Azerbaijan | 37.6 |
| 44. | Turkey | 27.5 | Turkey | 34.0 |

 Table 3 Population median age. Country ranking, 2007 and 2030.

On the basis of this median age country ranking we show the population age pyramids for four European countries below: in 2030 Turkey and Azerbaijan are projected to have the lowest median ages, while Spain and Italy are expected to have the highest. In 2007 in particular the Turkish and to some extent the Azerbaijani population age structure figures still resemble a pure pyramid, with a larger population basis and limited proportion of people at older ages (Figure 2). Conversely, Italy and Spain show evidence of a population that has been characterised by the decline of the number of births for some time. This is clearly reflected in the reduced population at younger ages. By 2030 both Turkey and Azerbaijan are expected to start the advancement of the adult and older population, while the ageing process in Italy and Spain could determine almost a reversal of the classical pyramidal shape, with the predominance of the elderly population.

Other age structure indicators also highlight the population ageing. Let us first take a look at the elderly population and consider both the proportion of people aged 65+ and 80+. As shown in Figure 3, the proportion of people aged 65+ is projected to increase all over Europe, with the highest figures expected in the EU and the lowest ones in the non-EU countries. Already in 2007 the majority of the EU-27 countries shows between 15 and 20 per cent inhabitants aged over 65. For most of them the indicator is expected to be between 20 and 25 per cent by 2030, except for Finland, Germany, Greece and Italy where the levels for 2030 are higher than 25 per cent. In most of the non-EU countries the proportion of people aged 65+ might exceed the threshold of 15 per cent by 2030. In 2007 the EU figure is 17.0%. Italy (19.9%), Germany (19.8%), Greece (18.6%), Sweden (17.4%) and Serbia (17.3%) are the five European countries with the highest proportion of the population above age 65. In 2030 the picture does not change substantially with two countries being replaced. The ranking for the top five sees Germany first (28.2%), followed by Italy (27.9%), Finland (26.1%), Slovenia (25.9%) and Greece (25.8%). In the EU-27 as a whole almost one person out of four is projected to be more than 65 years old.



Figure 2 Population age pyramid (%): Turkey, Azerbaijan, Spain and Italy, 2007 and 2030.



Figure 3 Population aged 65+ (%), years 2007, 2020, 2030.

Similarly, the proportion of people aged 80+ might increase in all the considered European countries in the near future (Figure 4). Within the EU-27 the highest level in 2030 could be reached by Italy (9.4%), but also Finland, Germany and Sweden are expected to have figures above 8%. The projected level for the EU-27 is 7.3%. Conversely, in none of the non-EU countries do the projections indicate more than eight persons aged 80+ per

100 people, with Turkey and Azerbaijan showing the lowest levels around 1-1.5%. In Armenia, Azerbaijan, Belarus, Georgia, the Russian Federation and Ukraine the proportion of the elderly population might even decrease slightly between 2020 and 2030, which would be mostly due to the age composition dynamics in these countries, e.g. the advancement of the generations born during the war. This is also visible in their rather jagged age pyramids (see Appendix C).

Considering the European regions (Table 4), in 2007 the Germanspeaking countries and southern Europe show the highest figures for the 65+ aged population, namely 19.3% and 18.4% respectively. The lowest level is found in the Caucasus (9.9%). The EU-15 countries also have a somewhat higher proportion of people over 65 years of age (17.6%) in comparison to the new Member States (14.5%). In 2030 the German-speaking countries and southern Europe are expected to reach 27.6% and 26.2%, respectively, while in the Caucasus countries the population aged 65+ might represent a mere 15.8% of the total. The German-speaking countries and southern Europe also show the highest proportion of persons aged 80+, who could cover about 8% of the total population in 2030. In the Caucasus and eastern Europe that percentage is projected to stay still rather low between 2% and 4%. In 2030 the difference between the old and new EU Member States is 2.4 percentage points, with the latter countries showing the lower value.



Figure 4 Population aged 80+ (%), years 2007, 2020, 2030.

Non-EU countries



| | Proportion of the population above age 65, 2007 (%) | Projected proportion of the population above age 65, 2030 (%) | Proportion of the population above age 80, 2007 (%) | Projected proportion of the population above age 80, 2030 (%) |
|------------------|---|--|---|--|
| Southern Europe | 18.4 | 26.2 | 4.8 | 8.2 |
| Western Europe | 15.9 | 23.1 | 4.5 | 7.2 |
| German-speaking | | | | |
| countries | 19.3 | 27.6 | 4.6 | 8.2 |
| Nordic countries | 16.1 | 23.5 | 4.7 | 7.7 |
| Central-eastern | | | | |
| Europe | 14.3 | 22.5 | 3.0 | 5.6 |
| South-eastern | | | | |
| Europe | 15.1 | 20.5 | 2.7 | 4.6 |
| Eastern Europe | 14.5 | 19.4 | 2.5 | 3.4 |
| Caucasus | 9.9 | 15.8 | 1.4 | 2.4 |
| EU-27 | 17.0 | 24.6 | 4.3 | 7.3 |
| EU-15 | 17.6 | 25.2 | 4.6 | 7.8 |
| EU-12 | 14.5 | 22.0 | 3.0 | 5.4 |
| | | | | |

Table 4 Population aged 65+ and 80+ (%) in European regions, 2007 and2030.

Also with regard to the old-age dependency ratio, which measures the level of support of the elderly based on the working-age population, we find some differences between those countries where the ageing process has been under way since some time, and countries where the population has started advancing towards higher ages only more recently. In 2007, countries at the top of the ranking have old-age dependency ratios between 26% and 30% (Table 5). The indicator is projected to increase to a level between 42% and 48% in 2030. Turkey, Azerbaijan, Albania, Moldova and Armenia show in both years the lowest old-age dependency ratios, although they are considerably increasing over time. In 2007 there are between 9 and 16 persons aged 65+ for every 100 persons in working age in these countries, while in 2030 we might already have one elderly for every four persons in working age in three of them.

| | Old-age dependency ratio, 2007 (%) | | Projected old- age dependency ratio, 2030 (%) |
|----------------|--|-------------|---|
| 1. Italy | 30.2 | Germany | 47.8 |
| 2. Germany | 29.9 | Italy | 46.3 |
| 3. Greece | 27.6 | Finland | 45.4 |
| 4. Sweden | 26.4 | Switzerland | 42.7 |
| 5. Belgium | 25.9 | Slovenia | 42.0 |
| 40. Armenia | 15.7 | Armenia | 27.0 |
| 41. Moldova | 14.4 | Moldova | 25.6 |
| 42. Albania | 13.3 | Albania | 25.6 |
| 43. Azerbaijan | 10.2 | Azerbaijan | 19.1 |
| 44. Turkey | 9.1 | Turkey | 14.5 |

Table 5 Old-age dependency ratio (%). Country ranking, 2007 and 2030.

According to the regional figures shown in Table 6, in 2007 there is about one person aged above 65 to be supported by four persons of working age in western and southern Europe, in German-speaking and Nordic countries as well as in the EU-27. In the whole of eastern Europe five persons of working age have to support one person aged over 65. By 2030 the indicator could increase in all the regions, with the German-speaking countries and southern Europe showing the highest levels of 46.7% and 42.4%, respectively.

| | Old-age dependency ratio 65+/15-64, 2007 (%) | Projected old-age dependency ratio 65+/15-64, 2030 (%) |
|---------------------------|--|--|
| Southern Europe | 27.3 | 42.4 |
| Western Europe | 24.1 | 38.6 |
| German-speaking countries | 29.0 | 46.7 |
| Nordic countries | 24.5 | 39.7 |
| Central-eastern Europe | 20.4 | 35.3 |
| South-eastern Europe | 21.8 | 31.5 |
| Eastern Europe | 20.5 | 28.9 |
| Caucasus | 14.4 | 23.7 |
| EU-27 | 25.2 | 40.3 |
| EU-15 | 26.5 | 41.8 |
| EU-12 | 20.7 | 34.3 |

Table 6 Old-age dependency ratio (%) in European regions, 2007 and 2030.

Considering the EU countries during the whole projection horizon, Figure 5 confirms the constantly increasing trend of the old-age dependency ratio for the EU-27, the EU-15 and the new Member States. The indicator might more than double during the period 2007-2050. It is likely to increase from 25.2% to 56.3% in the EU-27, and in particular from 26.5% to 57.3% in the EU-15 and from 20.7% to 52.0% in the EU-12. Conversely, the young-age dependency ratio is expected to show a rather stable trend between 20% and 25% during the whole period, basically because both the younger population and the working population are projected to shrink.



Figure 5 Old- and young-age dependency ratio (%) in the EU, 2007-2050.

Also focusing on the non-EU countries, excluding Iceland, Norway and Switzerland, the dependency ratio, i.e. the sum of the old and young age dependency ratios, is expected to increase during the projection horizon (Figure 6). However, in the near future a slight decrease is envisaged for the indicator, basically driven by the old-age dependency ratio, that is by the increase of the working age population and a slight decrease of the elderly population in some of the non-EU countries, or in other words by structural changes.





For further details, in Appendix B we report the country results for the age structure indicators for various years, while in Appendix C we include the population age pyramids for the years 2007 and 2030.

3.2 Changes in the population age structure and life expectancy: alternative indicators of age and ageing

In the previous paragraph we have considered the conventional population age structure indicators to investigate the future population dynamics, focusing in particular on the process of population ageing. Traditional measures of population ageing are based on chronological age and give us actually little insight into the changing conditions of human lives in terms of both health and longevity. Therefore, relying on the papers by Sanderson and Scherbov (2005; 2006), Hersch (1944), Panusch and Peritz (1996), Lutz et al. (2008), we included in the analysis some new indicators of population ageing in order to explicitly take into account the impact of the changes in life expectancy. We consider the following three measures: the proportion of the population in age groups that have a remaining life expectancy of 15 years or less (Prop. RLE 15-), the standardised or prospective median age (PMA) and the population average remaining years of life (PARYL). As Lutz et al. state (2008, p. 716), "if longevity increases, the minimum age of people included in Prop. RLE 15- increases". The prospective median age in any particular year is the age of a person in the year 2007 (in our case 2007 is selected as a standard year) who has the same remaining life expectancy as a person at the population median age in a year under consideration. The PARYL is the weighted average of age-specific remaining life expectancies, where the weights are the proportions of the population at each age. "Unlike the other measures, PARYL goes down as a population ages" (Lutz et al. 2008, p. 716). These three measures are alternative to the conventional measures of the proportion of the population aged 65+ (or similar), the median age of the population and its average age.

Map 1 shows the geographic pattern regarding the proportion of population with a remaining life expectancy of 15 or less years in 2007. An East/West divide appears with the countries of the former Soviet Union and some Balkan countries showing by far the highest proportions of population with life expectancies of 15 or less years.



Map 1 Proportion of the population that has a remaining life expectancy of 15 years or less (%), 2007.

Source: European Demographic Data Sheet 2008, http://www.oeaw.ac.at/vid/.

Such a result reflects the effect of two demographic dimensions: the age structure of the population and the current period life expectancy. Namely, countries with a rather old population and a low life expectancy have the highest proportions and countries with young populations and high life expectancy the lowest ones. Combinations of these two dimensions produce intermediate cases, such as young age structures with lower life expectancy and older age structures with a higher one.

Looking at both EU and non-EU countries and comparing years 2007 and 2030 (Figure 7), the proportion of the population in the age groups with a remaining life expectancy of 15 years or less is projected to increase in all the considered European countries by 2030. As suggested from the previous map, some countries of the Balkan region and the former Soviet

Union republics show both in 2007 and 2030 the highest proportions of population with average remaining life expectancies of 15 or less years (Table 7). However, these countries, while experiencing an increasing proportion of the elderly population, do not show the highest proportions of the population aged 65+. Such a result suggests that it is a lower life expectancy which determines higher values of the indicator rather than the increasing impact of the elderly.

Figure 7 Proportion of population that has a remaining life expectancy of 15 years or less (%), years 2007, 2020, 2030.



| | | Proportion of the population that has a remaining life expectancy of 15 years or less, 2007 (%) | | Proportion of the population aged 65+, 2007 (%) |
|-----|--------------|--|-----|---|
| 1. | Serbia | 17.7 | 5. | 17.3 |
| 2. | Ukraine | 17.4 | 16. | 16.4 |
| 3. | Bulgaria | 17.2 | 7. | 17.3 |
| 4. | Latvia | 15.9 | 9. | 17.1 |
| 5. | Belarus | 15.5 | 26. | 14.6 |
| 40. | Iceland | 8.0 | 37. | 11.6 |
| 41. | Ireland | 8.0 | 39. | 11.1 |
| 42. | Turkey | 7.2 | 44. | 6.0 |
| 43. | Azerbaijan | 7.1 | 43. | 7.1 |
| 44. | Albania | 6.9 | 42. | 8.8 |
| | | Projected proportion of the population that has a remaining life expectancy of 15 years or less, 2030 (%) | | Projected proportion of the population aged 65+, 2030 (%) |
| 1. | Bulgaria | 20.4 | 12. | 24.2 |
| 2. | Ukraine | 18.8 | 31. | 20.5 |
| 3. | Russian Fed. | 18.8 | 36. | 19.0 |
| 4. | Serbia | 18.6 | 24. | 22.0 |
| 5. | Belarus | 17.9 | 32. | 20.3 |
| 40. | Luxembourg | 10.4 | 33. | 19.8 |
| 41. | Ireland | 10.1 | 41. | 17.6 |
| 42. | Iceland | 9.9 | 35. | 19.2 |
| 43. | Turkey | 9.6 | 44. | 9.8 |
| 4.4 | A 1 ·· | 0.5 | 12 | 12.0 |

Table 7 Country ranking of the proportion of the population that has a remaining life expectancy of 15 years or less (%) and the ranking with respect to the proportion aged 65+: 2007 and 2030.

Looking further at the prospective median age, Figure 8 shows a generally increasing pattern of the indicator both in the EU and non-EU countries. In the period 2007-2020 the five countries with the largest increase are Albania (\pm 10.4%), Armenia (\pm 8.6%), Spain (\pm 8.3%), Slovakia (\pm 8.0%) and Azerbaijan (\pm 7.9%). Conversely, some countries show only a

slight increase or even a decrease of the prospective median age, like the UK and Sweden, among others. Since the change in the prospective median age over some time period can be roughly considered as the change in the median age minus the change in life expectancy at the median age (Sanderson and Scherbov 2006), in these countries the increase in life expectancy at the median age drives the decline in the prospective median age, suggesting maybe a slowdown of the ageing process if we consider also the conditions of human survival. On the contrary, the prospective median age increases in countries where no or little change in the life expectancy at the median age counterbalances the effect of a population shift towards older ages: hence, countries with a stronger increase in the conventional median age of the population with a moderate or no increase of life expectancy at the median age.

The last alternative measure of age introduced by Hersch and used by Lutz et al. (2008) is the population average remaining years of life (PARYL). Contrarily to the other two measures, in an ageing population this indicator declines over time, as the weight of the population who has less years left increases. Comparing the years 2007, 2020 and 2030 (Figure 9), in most of the considered European countries PARYL declines or remains relatively stable. The indicator partially reflects the results obtained for the prospective median age. In particular, it is worth noting that, among some other countries, Sweden and the UK show a slight increase of the PARYL.



Figure 8 Prospective median age, years 2007, 2020, 2030.



Figure 9 Population average remaining years of life, years 2007, 2020, 2030.

4 SUMMARY AND CONCLUDING REMARKS

Our projection results confirm that ageing will continue to characterise the near future of the European population. The basis of the age pyramid is shrinking, while the elderly population increases. There are still differences between those European countries that have been facing belowreplacement fertility for some time already and those countries where fertility has fallen only recently. Life expectancy levels are also not homogeneous around Europe. Moreover, the migration dynamics accelerate population losses in some countries while guaranteeing population gains in others and apparently helping to slow down the ageing process there.

The EU population is expected to continue increasing in the near future, as well as the population in southern and western Europe, the German-speaking and the Nordic countries and the Caucasus. Conversely, eastern Europe as a whole might experience a population decline. In 2030 Germany is projected to have the largest population of EU countries, followed by France métropolitaine and the UK. Among all the considered European countries, the Russian Federation could be leading in terms of population size. Regarding the ageing process, there are noticeable differences between the EU countries, Norway, Switzerland and Iceland, and the non-EU countries, the former being apparently the forerunners. The projected old-age dependency ratio for 2030 indicates that in Germany and Italy there might be two persons of working age supporting one elderly person over the age of 65. Conversely, in Azerbaijan and Turkey each elderly could still count on about six persons of working age.

The traditional measures of population age structure, which give an idea of the stage of the ageing process across the European countries, suggest that ageing is more advanced in the EU countries (including Norway, Iceland and Switzerland) compared to the rest of Europe. However, as mentioned above, the traditional measures of age do not take into account the gains in terms of longevity. A 65 years old person today is at a different stage of his/her life cycle in comparison to person of 65 some decades ago:

he/she has a better health status and more years to live. Similarly, a 65 years old person living in a country which has experienced considerable gains in life expectancy might not be directly comparable to a person of the same age living in a country performing worse in terms of life expectancy. According to this different point of view that combines both the effects of the population age structure and life expectancy changes, our results show that the countries of the former Soviet Union and some Balkan countries might be touched more severely by the ageing process, showing already in 2007 the highest proportions of population with life expectancies of 15 or less years. Similarly, the other two measures, i.e. PMA and PARYL, suggest that the stage of the ageing process should depend not only on the changes in the population age composition, but also on changes in people's quality of life in terms of health and life expectancy. Such a perspective might be useful also for policy makers, as it gives an idea not only of the proportion of people entering into more advanced ages but also of the conditions in which these people might live, e.g. to what extent they are in poor health and need assistance or if they live a healthy life and possibly can even give support to younger generations. Moreover, when increases in life expectancy are taken into account, the adjusted measures show a slower pace of change which would suggest a less severe advancement of the ageing process. As an example, Figure 10 shows the results for Italy and Germany which in 2007 experience the highest median ages and the highest proportions of persons aged 65+.



Figure 10 Conventional and alternative age structure indicators, Italy and Germany, 2007-2050.

References

- Bongaarts, J. and G. Feeney. 1998. "On the quantum and tempo of fertility." *Population and Development Review* 24(2): 271-291.
- Council of Europe. 2006. *Recent demographic developments in Europe* 2005. Council of Europe Publishing.
- Hersch, L. 1944. De la démographie actuelle à la démographie potentielle. Melange des Études Economiques Offertes a William Rappard.
- Lutz, W., W. Sanderson, and S. Scherbov. 1997. "Doubling of world population unlikely." *Nature* 387: 803-805.
- Lutz, W., W. Sanderson, and S. Scherbov. 2001. "The end of world population growth." *Nature* 412: 543-545.
- Lutz W., W. Sanderson, and S. Scherbov. 2008. "The coming acceleration of global population ageing." *Nature* 451: 716-719.
- Oeppen, J. and J. W. Vaupel. 2002. "Broken limits to life expectancy." *Science* 296: 1029-1031.
- Panusch, N. and E. Peritz. 1996. "Potential demography." *European Journal* of *Population* 12: 27-39.
- Sanderson, W. and S. Scherbov. 2004. "Putting Oeppen and Vaupel to work: On the road to new stochastic mortality forecasts." *IIASA Interim Report IR-04-049*, Laxenburg, IIASA.
- Sanderson, W. and S. Scherbov. 2005. "Average remaining lifetimes can increase as human populations age." *Nature* 435: 811-813.
- Sanderson, W. and S. Scherbov. 2006. "A new perspective on population ageing." *Demographic Research* 16: 27-58.
- UN. 2007. World Population Prospects: The 2006 Revision.

Appendix A. Projection assumptions.

| Table A.1 | Total fertility rate. |
|-----------|-----------------------|
| | |

| | 2007 | 2020 | 2030 ^a | 2040 | 2050 |
|-----------------------|------|------|-------------------|------|------|
| Albania | 1.78 | 1.78 | 1.78 | 1.78 | 1.78 |
| Armenia | 1.37 | 1.51 | 1.62 | 1.62 | 1.62 |
| Austria | 1.41 | 1.54 | 1.64 | 1.64 | 1.64 |
| Azerbaijan | 1.99 | 1.99 | 1.99 | 1.99 | 1.99 |
| Belarus | 1.29 | 1.39 | 1.47 | 1.47 | 1.47 |
| Belgium | 1.73 | 1.80 | 1.86 | 1.86 | 1.86 |
| Bulgaria | 1.39 | 1.56 | 1.70 | 1.70 | 1.70 |
| Croatia | 1.39 | 1.51 | 1.61 | 1.61 | 1.61 |
| Cyprus | 1.49 | 1.66 | 1.79 | 1.79 | 1.79 |
| Czech Rep. | 1.34 | 1.58 | 1.76 | 1.76 | 1.76 |
| Denmark | 1.84 | 1.93 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Estonia | 1.56 | 1.73 | 1.85 | 1.85 | 1.85 |
| Finland | 1.84 | 1.88 | 1.91 | 1.91 | 1.91 |
| France | 2.01 | 2.06 | 2.10 | 2.10 | 2.10 |
| France métropolitaine | 1.99 | 2.04 | 2.07 | 2.07 | 2.07 |
| Georgia | 1.43 | 1.67 | 1.85 | 1.85 | 1.85 |
| Germany | 1.33 | 1.48 | 1.59 | 1.59 | 1.59 |
| Greece | 1.40 | 1.47 | 1.52 | 1.52 | 1.52 |
| Hungary | 1.36 | 1.58 | 1.75 | 1.75 | 1.75 |
| Iceland | 2.09 | 2.16 | 2.22 | 2.22 | 2.22 |
| Ireland | 1.94 | 2.07 | 2.17 | 2.17 | 2.17 |
| Italy | 1.36 | 1.43 | 1.48 | 1.48 | 1.48 |
| Latvia | 1.36 | 1.49 | 1.59 | 1.59 | 1.59 |
| Lithuania | 1.32 | 1.53 | 1.68 | 1.68 | 1.68 |
| Luxembourg | 1.66 | 1.75 | 1.82 | 1.82 | 1.82 |
| Macedonia, FYR | 1.48 | 1.70 | 1.88 | 1.88 | 1.88 |
| Malta | 1.41 | 1.51 | 1.58 | 1.58 | 1.58 |
| Moldova | 1.20 | 1.29 | 1.36 | 1.36 | 1.36 |
| Montenegro | 1.63 | 1.82 | 1.97 | 1.97 | 1.97 |
| Netherlands | 1.71 | 1.77 | 1.82 | 1.82 | 1.82 |
| Norway | 1.90 | 1.97 | 2.01 | 2.01 | 2.01 |
| Poland | 1.29 | 1.45 | 1.58 | 1.58 | 1.58 |

| Table A.1 (continued) | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|------|------|-------------------|------|------|--|--|
| | 2007 | 2020 | 2030 ^a | 2040 | 2050 | | |
| Portugal | 1.36 | 1.52 | 1.65 | 1.65 | 1.65 | | |
| Romania | 1.33 | 1.57 | 1.75 | 1.75 | 1.75 | | |
| Russian Fed. | 1.30 | 1.42 | 1.52 | 1.52 | 1.52 | | |
| Serbia | 1.44 | 1.57 | 1.68 | 1.68 | 1.68 | | |
| Slovakia | 1.26 | 1.49 | 1.66 | 1.66 | 1.66 | | |
| Slovenia | 1.32 | 1.45 | 1.55 | 1.55 | 1.55 | | |
| Spain | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.39 | 1.39 | 1.39 | | |
| Sweden | 1.86 | 1.91 | 1.96 | 1.96 | 1.96 | | |
| Switzerland | 1.46 | 1.87 | 2.19 | 2.19 | 2.19 | | |
| Turkey | 2.18 | 2.19 | 2.19 | 2.19 | 2.19 | | |
| UK | 1.84 | 1.92 | 1.98 | 1.98 | 1.98 | | |
| Ukraine | 1.31 | 1.38 | 1.43 | 1.43 | 1.43 | | |

Note: a) The Total fertility rate is kept constant from 2030 on.

| Table A.2 | Life ex | pectancy | at | birth | 1. |
|-----------|---------|----------|----|-------|----|
|-----------|---------|----------|----|-------|----|

| | F | Μ | F | Μ | F | Μ | F | Μ | F | Μ |
|--------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| | 2007 | 2007 | 2020 | 2020 | 2030 | 2030 | 2040 | 2040 | 2050 | 2050 |
| Albania | 79.6 | 73.1 | 82.2 | 75.7 | 84.2 | 77.7 | 86.2 | 79.7 | 88.2 | 81.7 |
| Armenia | 76.2 | 69.9 | 78.8 | 72.5 | 80.8 | 74.5 | 82.8 | 76.5 | 84.8 | 78.5 |
| Austria | 83.0 | 77.4 | 85.6 | 80.0 | 87.6 | 82.0 | 89.6 | 84.0 | 91.6 | 86.0 |
| Azerbaijan | 75.6 | 70.3 | 78.2 | 72.9 | 80.2 | 74.9 | 82.2 | 76.9 | 84.2 | 78.9 |
| Belarus | 76.6 | 63.8 | 79.2 | 66.4 | 81.2 | 68.4 | 83.2 | 70.4 | 85.2 | 72.4 |
| Belgium | 82.5 | 76.8 | 85.1 | 79.4 | 87.1 | 81.4 | 89.1 | 83.4 | 91.1 | 85.4 |
| Bulgaria | 76.5 | 69.4 | 79.1 | 72.0 | 81.1 | 74.0 | 83.1 | 76.0 | 85.1 | 78.0 |
| Croatia | 79.5 | 72.7 | 82.1 | 75.3 | 84.1 | 77.3 | 86.1 | 79.3 | 88.1 | 81.3 |
| Cyprus | 82.6 | 79.0 | 85.2 | 81.6 | 87.2 | 83.6 | 89.2 | 85.6 | 91.2 | 87.6 |
| Czech Rep. | 80.1 | 73.7 | 82.7 | 76.3 | 84.7 | 78.3 | 86.7 | 80.3 | 88.7 | 82.3 |
| Denmark | 80.9 | 76.3 | 83.5 | 78.9 | 85.5 | 80.9 | 87.5 | 82.9 | 89.5 | 84.9 |
| Estonia | 78.8 | 67.6 | 81.4 | 70.2 | 83.4 | 72.2 | 85.4 | 74.2 | 87.4 | 76.2 |
| Finland | 83.3 | 76.1 | 85.9 | 78.7 | 87.9 | 80.7 | 89.9 | 82.7 | 91.9 | 84.7 |
| France | 84.6 | 77.5 | 87.2 | 80.1 | 89.2 | 82.1 | 91.2 | 84.1 | 93.2 | 86.1 |
| France me- | | | | | | | | | | |
| tropolitaine | 84.6 | 77.6 | 87.2 | 80.2 | 89.2 | 82.2 | 91.2 | 84.2 | 93.2 | 86.2 |
| Georgia | 78.6 | 69.9 | 81.2 | 72.5 | 83.2 | 74.5 | 85.2 | 76.5 | 87.2 | 78.5 |

Table continued on the next page

| | F | Μ | F | Μ | F | Μ | F | Μ | F | Μ |
|--------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| | 2007 | 2007 | 2020 | 2020 | 2030 | 2030 | 2040 | 2040 | 2050 | 2050 |
| Germany | 82.6 | 77.4 | 85.2 | 80.0 | 87.2 | 82.0 | 89.2 | 84.0 | 91.2 | 86.0 |
| Greece | 82.1 | 77.4 | 84.7 | 80.0 | 86.7 | 82.0 | 88.7 | 84.0 | 90.7 | 86.0 |
| Hungary | 78.0 | 69.4 | 80.6 | 72.0 | 82.6 | 74.0 | 84.6 | 76.0 | 86.6 | 78.0 |
| Iceland | 83.9 | 80.0 | 86.5 | 82.6 | 88.5 | 84.6 | 90.5 | 86.6 | 92.5 | 88.6 |
| Ireland | 82.3 | 77.5 | 84.9 | 80.1 | 86.9 | 82.1 | 88.9 | 84.1 | 90.9 | 86.1 |
| Italy | 84.4 | 78.5 | 87.0 | 81.1 | 89.0 | 83.1 | 91.0 | 85.1 | 93.0 | 87.1 |
| Latvia | 76.5 | 65.6 | 79.1 | 68.2 | 81.1 | 70.2 | 83.1 | 72.2 | 85.1 | 74.2 |
| Lithuania | 77.2 | 65.5 | 79.8 | 68.1 | 81.8 | 70.1 | 83.8 | 72.1 | 85.8 | 74.1 |
| Luxembourg | 82.1 | 77.0 | 84.7 | 79.6 | 86.7 | 81.6 | 88.7 | 83.6 | 90.7 | 85.6 |
| Macedonia, | | | | | | | | | | |
| FYR | 76.4 | 71.9 | 79.0 | 74.5 | 81.0 | 76.5 | 83.0 | 78.5 | 85.0 | 80.5 |
| Malta | 82.1 | 77.2 | 84.7 | 79.8 | 86.7 | 81.8 | 88.7 | 83.8 | 90.7 | 85.8 |
| Moldova | 73.0 | 65.2 | 75.6 | 67.8 | 77.6 | 69.8 | 79.6 | 71.8 | 81.6 | 73.8 |
| Montenegro | 77.4 | 71.8 | 80.0 | 74.4 | 82.0 | 76.4 | 84.0 | 78.4 | 86.0 | 80.4 |
| Netherlands | 82.2 | 77.9 | 84.8 | 80.5 | 86.8 | 82.5 | 88.8 | 84.5 | 90.8 | 86.5 |
| Norway | 83.1 | 78.4 | 85.7 | 81.0 | 87.7 | 83.0 | 89.7 | 85.0 | 91.7 | 87.0 |
| Poland | 79.9 | 71.1 | 82.5 | 73.7 | 84.5 | 75.7 | 86.5 | 77.7 | 88.5 | 79.7 |
| Portugal | 82.5 | 75.7 | 85.1 | 78.3 | 87.1 | 80.3 | 89.1 | 82.3 | 91.1 | 84.3 |
| Romania | 76.4 | 69.4 | 79.0 | 72.0 | 81.0 | 74.0 | 83.0 | 76.0 | 85.0 | 78.0 |
| Russian Fed. | 72.9 | 59.5 | 75.5 | 62.1 | 77.5 | 64.1 | 79.5 | 66.1 | 81.5 | 68.1 |
| Serbia | 76.4 | 71.0 | 79.0 | 73.6 | 81.0 | 75.6 | 83.0 | 77.6 | 85.0 | 79.6 |
| Slovakia | 78.6 | 70.6 | 81.2 | 73.2 | 83.2 | 75.2 | 85.2 | 77.2 | 87.2 | 79.2 |
| Slovenia | 82.2 | 74.7 | 84.8 | 77.3 | 86.8 | 79.3 | 88.8 | 81.3 | 90.8 | 83.3 |
| Spain | 84.1 | 77.4 | 86.7 | 80.0 | 88.7 | 82.0 | 90.7 | 84.0 | 92.7 | 86.0 |
| Sweden | 83.3 | 79.0 | 85.9 | 81.6 | 87.9 | 83.6 | 89.9 | 85.6 | 91.9 | 87.6 |
| Switzerland | 84.4 | 79.4 | 87.0 | 82.0 | 89.0 | 84.0 | 91.0 | 86.0 | 93.0 | 88.0 |
| Turkey | 71.8 | 67.2 | 74.4 | 69.8 | 76.4 | 71.8 | 78.4 | 73.8 | 80.4 | 75.8 |
| UK | 81.5 | 77.5 | 84.1 | 80.1 | 86.1 | 82.1 | 88.1 | 84.1 | 90.1 | 86.1 |
| Ukraine | 74.0 | 62.5 | 76.6 | 65.1 | 78.6 | 67.1 | 80.6 | 69.1 | 82.6 | 71.1 |

Table A.2 (continued)

| · · · · · | 2007 | 2020 | 2030 | 2040 | 2050 |
|----------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Albania | -12859 | -10000 | -10000 | -10000 | -10000 |
| Armenia | -5289 | -8000 | -8000 | -8000 | -8000 |
| Austria | 27946 | 20632 | 19134 | 19677 | 20325 |
| Azerbaijan | -2799 | -10000 | -10000 | -10000 | -10000 |
| Belarus | 3720 | -2000 | -2000 | -2000 | -2000 |
| Belgium | 44917 | 18908 | 18524 | 18524 | 18524 |
| Bulgaria | -33772 | -15130 | 2099 | 2049 | 842 |
| Croatia | 9640 | 9640 | 9640 | 9640 | 9640 |
| Cyprus | 8085 | 4548 | 4609 | 4762 | 4875 |
| Czech Rep. | 26695 | 9684 | 21644 | 20970 | 20010 |
| Denmark | 9375 | 6909 | 6642 | 6642 | 6575 |
| Estonia | -386 | -444 | 1774 | 1745 | 1689 |
| Finland | 9504 | 6115 | 6048 | 6048 | 6048 |
| France | 82990 | 60253 | 58860 | 58819 | 58718 |
| France | | | | | |
| métropolitaine | 85230 | 60253 | 58860 | 58819 | 58718 |
| Georgia | -12848 | -10000 | -10000 | -10000 | -10000 |
| Germany | 70149 | 194329 | 180996 | 179296 | 179196 |
| Greece | 39909 | 38691 | 34833 | 34834 | 34901 |
| Hungary | 19308 | 13772 | 21235 | 20754 | 20058 |
| Iceland | 1833 | 1833 | 1833 | 1833 | 1833 |
| Ireland | 55467 | 14048 | 12944 | 12624 | 12388 |
| Italy | 312627 | 118133 | 113800 | 113867 | 113800 |
| Latvia | -2499 | -682 | 2984 | 2913 | 2809 |
| Lithuania | -5133 | -1193 | 4560 | 4492 | 4322 |
| Luxembourg | 4715 | 2809 | 2777 | 2777 | 2777 |
| Macedonia, | | | | | |
| FYR | -896 | -2000 | -2000 | -2000 | -2000 |
| Malta | 2209 | 2275 | 2396 | 2474 | 2541 |
| Moldova | -4955 | -10000 | -10000 | -10000 | -10000 |
| Montenegro | -698 | -698 | -698 | -698 | -698 |
| Netherlands | -11272 | 32531 | 31638 | 31479 | 31096 |
| Norway | 21717 | 15000 | 15000 | 15000 | 15000 |
| Poland | -35950 | -10562 | 35913 | 35369 | 33665 |
| Portugal | 24118 | 15564 | 14964 | 14913 | 14906 |
| Domonio | -8519 | -42778 | 4722 | 9316 | 7643 |

Table A.3 Net migration.

| | 2007 | 2020 | 2030 | 2040 | 2050 |
|--------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Russian Fed. | 128362 | 50000 | 50000 | 50000 | 50000 |
| Serbia | 7548 | 7548 | 7548 | 7548 | 7548 |
| Slovakia | 2283 | 1166 | 5132 | 5001 | 4738 |
| Slovenia | 6170 | 5298 | 6998 | 6878 | 6653 |
| Spain | 481628 | 110333 | 105333 | 104467 | 101600 |
| Sweden | 44095 | 22705 | 21810 | 21542 | 21343 |
| Switzerland | 32392 | 20000 | 20000 | 20000 | 20000 |
| Turkey | -750 | -10000 | -10000 | -10000 | -10000 |
| UK | 189358 | 102776 | 99189 | 98661 | 98492 |
| Ukraine | 5684 | -20000 | -20000 | -20000 | -20000 |

Table A.3 (continued)

Appendix B. Projection results.

| Table B.1 Total population size (millions) | ;) |). |
|--|----|----|
|--|----|----|

| | 2007 | 2010 | 2020 | 2030 | 2040 | 2050 |
|--------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Albania | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.4 |
| Armenia | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 3.0 | 2.8 |
| Austria | 8.3 | 8.4 | 8.6 | 8.8 | 8.9 | 8.9 |
| Azerbaijan | 8.5 | 8.8 | 9.7 | 10.2 | 10.7 | 10.9 |
| Belarus | 9.7 | 9.6 | 9.2 | 8.6 | 8.1 | 7.4 |
| Belgium | 10.6 | 10.7 | 11.1 | 11.4 | 11.7 | 11.9 |
| Bulgaria | 7.7 | 7.5 | 6.9 | 6.3 | 5.9 | 5.5 |
| Croatia | 4.4 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| Cyprus | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| Czech Rep. | 10.3 | 10.3 | 10.3 | 10.2 | 10.1 | 10.0 |
| Denmark | 5.4 | 5.5 | 5.6 | 5.8 | 5.9 | 6.0 |
| Estonia | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| Finland | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.5 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 5.6 |
| France | 63.4 | 64.5 | 67.8 | 70.6 | 73.3 | 75.6 |
| France | | | | | | |
| métropolitaine | 61.5 | 62.6 | 65.6 | 68.1 | 70.7 | 72.8 |
| Georgia | 4.4 | 4.4 | 4.3 | 4.1 | 3.9 | 3.7 |
| Germany | 82.3 | 82.2 | 82.3 | 81.6 | 80.4 | 78.6 |
| Greece | 11.2 | 11.3 | 11.5 | 11.5 | 11.5 | 11.3 |
| Hungary | 10.1 | 10.0 | 9.8 | 9.6 | 9.3 | 9.1 |
| Iceland | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Ireland | 4.3 | 4.6 | 5.1 | 5.6 | 6.1 | 6.5 |
| Italy | 59.1 | 59.8 | 59.9 | 59.2 | 58.3 | 56.8 |
| Latvia | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.8 |
| Lithuania | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 2.9 |
| Luxembourg Macedonia, | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.7 |
| FYR | 2.0 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Malta | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Moldova | 3.6 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 3.1 | 2.8 | 2.5 |
| Montenegro | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| Netherlands | 16.4 | 16.5 | 17.2 | 17.8 | 18.3 | 18.5 |
| Norway | 4.7 | 4.8 | 5.1 | 5.5 | 5.9 | 6.2 |

| | | Table B. | | <i>a)</i> | • • • • | |
|--------------|-------|----------|-------|-----------|---------|-------|
| | 2007 | 2010 | 2020 | 2030 | 2040 | 2050 |
| Poland | 38.1 | 38.1 | 37.5 | 36.8 | 35.9 | 34.5 |
| Portugal | 10.6 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 10.6 | 10.3 |
| Romania | 21.6 | 21.4 | 20.5 | 19.6 | 18.9 | 18.1 |
| Russian Fed. | 142.2 | 140.3 | 133.0 | 124.2 | 115.6 | 106.4 |
| Serbia | 7.4 | 7.3 | 7.1 | 6.9 | 6.6 | 6.4 |
| Slovakia | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.3 | 5.2 | 5.1 |
| Slovenia | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Spain | 44.5 | 45.8 | 47.0 | 47.1 | 47.0 | 46.2 |
| Sweden | 9.1 | 9.3 | 9.7 | 10.2 | 10.6 | 10.9 |
| Switzerland | 7.5 | 7.6 | 8.0 | 8.5 | 8.9 | 9.3 |
| Turkey | 73.4 | 75.9 | 84.2 | 92.1 | 98.5 | 103.9 |
| UK | 60.9 | 61.9 | 64.8 | 67.3 | 69.5 | 71.5 |
| Ukraine | 46.5 | 45.6 | 42.5 | 38.9 | 35.6 | 32.1 |

 Table B.2
 Population median age.

| | | aluli uge. | | | | |
|----------------|------|------------|------|------|------|------|
| | 2007 | 2010 | 2020 | 2030 | 2040 | 2050 |
| Albania | 28.9 | 29.9 | 33.9 | 38.7 | 43.2 | 46.3 |
| Armenia | 31.8 | 32.8 | 36.7 | 42.2 | 47.6 | 51.0 |
| Austria | 40.5 | 41.7 | 44.5 | 46.4 | 48.3 | 49.5 |
| Azerbaijan | 28.2 | 29.1 | 32.7 | 37.6 | 39.8 | 40.7 |
| Belarus | 38.0 | 38.5 | 40.8 | 44.9 | 49.0 | 50.3 |
| Belgium | 40.5 | 41.0 | 42.7 | 43.8 | 44.9 | 45.2 |
| Bulgaria | 41.0 | 41.7 | 45.0 | 48.5 | 50.6 | 49.8 |
| Croatia | 40.7 | 41.4 | 43.4 | 46.0 | 48.2 | 49.7 |
| Cyprus | 35.5 | 36.5 | 40.0 | 44.3 | 47.8 | 49.5 |
| Czech Rep. | 39.1 | 39.7 | 43.8 | 47.4 | 49.0 | 48.5 |
| Denmark | 40.0 | 40.7 | 42.9 | 42.7 | 43.3 | 44.0 |
| Estonia | 39.1 | 39.5 | 41.2 | 43.7 | 45.7 | 44.3 |
| Finland | 41.3 | 42.1 | 43.4 | 44.8 | 45.8 | 45.7 |
| France | 39.0 | 39.7 | 41.4 | 42.7 | 43.4 | 43.7 |
| France | | | | | | |
| métropolitaine | 39.2 | 39.9 | 41.7 | 43.1 | 43.6 | 44.0 |
| Georgia | 35.8 | 36.8 | 40.0 | 43.8 | 48.0 | 49.9 |
| Germany | 42.8 | 44.2 | 47.7 | 48.9 | 50.7 | 51.7 |
| Greece | 40.6 | 41.8 | 45.6 | 49.3 | 52.1 | 53.3 |

| | 2007 | 2010 | 2020 | 2030 | 2040 | 2050 |
|--------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Hungary | 39.2 | 39.9 | 43.0 | 46.1 | 47.9 | 48.4 |
| Iceland | 34.5 | 35.2 | 37.3 | 39.3 | 41.3 | 42.4 |
| Ireland | 33.4 | 34.3 | 38.3 | 41.4 | 41.8 | 42.4 |
| Italy | 42.2 | 43.2 | 47.3 | 50.8 | 52.7 | 53.8 |
| Latvia | 39.5 | 40.1 | 42.0 | 44.7 | 47.9 | 47.3 |
| Lithuania | 38.3 | 39.2 | 41.1 | 43.6 | 46.7 | 47.1 |
| Luxembourg | 38.5 | 39.0 | 40.5 | 41.4 | 42.6 | 43.3 |
| Macedonia, | | | | | | |
| FYR | 34.9 | 35.8 | 38.6 | 41.8 | 44.5 | 45.1 |
| Malta | 38.7 | 39.5 | 42.6 | 45.9 | 48.9 | 50.8 |
| Moldova | 33.2 | 34.1 | 37.7 | 43.1 | 48.7 | 53.2 |
| Montenegro | 35.0 | 35.8 | 38.3 | 41.4 | 43.5 | 44.4 |
| Netherlands | 39.6 | 40.8 | 43.4 | 44.0 | 44.8 | 45.8 |
| Norway | 38.2 | 38.9 | 40.4 | 41.1 | 42.3 | 42.9 |
| Poland | 37.0 | 37.8 | 41.3 | 45.5 | 49.0 | 50.6 |
| Portugal | 39.8 | 40.8 | 44.6 | 48.4 | 50.8 | 51.9 |
| Romania | 37.3 | 38.3 | 42.0 | 45.6 | 48.6 | 48.5 |
| Russian Fed. | 37.5 | 37.9 | 40.1 | 44.0 | 47.2 | 46.8 |
| Serbia | 41.0 | 41.3 | 42.7 | 44.9 | 46.6 | 47.2 |
| Slovakia | 35.9 | 36.9 | 41.1 | 45.3 | 48.7 | 50.1 |
| Slovenia | 40.6 | 41.8 | 45.1 | 48.8 | 51.4 | 52.0 |
| Spain | 39.1 | 40.1 | 44.6 | 49.7 | 53.3 | 54.6 |
| Sweden | 40.5 | 41.0 | 42.2 | 42.8 | 44.5 | 44.2 |
| Switzerland | 40.8 | 41.9 | 44.6 | 45.7 | 46.4 | 45.7 |
| Turkey | 27.5 | 28.6 | 31.4 | 34.0 | 36.3 | 37.4 |
| UK | 39.0 | 39.7 | 41.0 | 42.8 | 44.2 | 44.4 |
| Ukraine | 39.0 | 39.4 | 41.4 | 45.0 | 48.8 | 50.0 |

Table B.2 (continued)

| | 2007 | 2010 | 2020 | 2030 | 2040 | 2050 | | |
|----------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|--|--|
| Albania | 8.8 | 9.6 | 11.9 | 16.6 | 19.9 | 23.7 | | |
| Armenia | 10.9 | 10.2 | 11.5 | 18.1 | 21.0 | 26.5 | | |
| Austria | 16.9 | 17.7 | 20.0 | 24.9 | 29.3 | 31.1 | | |
| Azerbaijan | 7.1 | 6.5 | 7.3 | 12.9 | 16.5 | 19.7 | | |
| Belarus | 14.6 | 13.8 | 15.1 | 20.3 | 23.2 | 28.0 | | |
| Belgium | 17.1 | 17.3 | 20.0 | 23.9 | 26.5 | 27.3 | | |
| Bulgaria | 17.3 | 17.8 | 21.2 | 24.2 | 27.0 | 31.1 | | |
| Croatia | 17.1 | 17.3 | 19.9 | 23.4 | 26.0 | 29.3 | | |
| Cyprus | 12.3 | 13.1 | 17.2 | 22.1 | 25.7 | 30.1 | | |
| Czech Rep. | 14.4 | 15.5 | 20.7 | 23.3 | 26.4 | 30.6 | | |
| Denmark | 15.3 | 16.5 | 20.4 | 23.2 | 25.6 | 25.7 | | |
| Estonia | 17.1 | 17.1 | 19.0 | 21.3 | 22.8 | 25.3 | | |
| Finland | 16.5 | 17.1 | 22.7 | 26.1 | 27.1 | 28.0 | | |
| France | 16.2 | 16.6 | 20.4 | 23.7 | 26.3 | 27.1 | | |
| France | | | | | | | | |
| métropolitaine | 16.4 | 16.8 | 20.6 | 24.0 | 26.6 | 27.4 | | |
| Georgia | 14.6 | 14.1 | 16.1 | 21.1 | 24.7 | 28.7 | | |
| Germany | 19.8 | 20.7 | 23.0 | 28.2 | 32.1 | 33.4 | | |
| Greece | 18.6 | 19.0 | 21.8 | 25.8 | 31.3 | 35.9 | | |
| Hungary | 15.9 | 16.7 | 20.0 | 22.1 | 25.0 | 29.1 | | |
| Iceland | 11.7 | 12.2 | 15.3 | 19.2 | 21.8 | 23.9 | | |
| Ireland | 11.1 | 11.4 | 14.2 | 17.6 | 21.4 | 26.4 | | |
| Italy | 19.9 | 20.4 | 23.5 | 27.9 | 34.0 | 37.0 | | |
| Latvia | 17.1 | 17.4 | 18.4 | 21.2 | 23.5 | 26.7 | | |
| Lithuania | 15.6 | 16.0 | 17.2 | 20.7 | 23.3 | 25.4 | | |
| Luxembourg | 14.0 | 14.2 | 16.2 | 19.8 | 22.9 | 24.2 | | |
| Macedonia, | | | | | | | | |
| FYR | 11.2 | 11.7 | 14.5 | 18.1 | 21.1 | 24.5 | | |
| Malta | 13.8 | 14.7 | 20.4 | 24.7 | 26.6 | 30.3 | | |
| Moldova | 10.3 | 10.2 | 12.6 | 17.6 | 20.4 | 26.8 | | |
| Montenegro | 12.9 | 13.0 | 15.4 | 18.8 | 21.0 | 24.2 | | |
| Netherlands | 14.5 | 15.4 | 19.9 | 24.3 | 27.5 | 27.5 | | |
| Norway | 14.6 | 15.2 | 18.3 | 21.3 | 24.1 | 24.7 | | |
| Poland | 13.4 | 13.6 | 18.3 | 22.6 | 24.8 | 30.0 | | |
| Portugal | 17.3 | 17.9 | 20.9 | 25.1 | 30.0 | 34.5 | | |

Table B.3 Proportion of population aged 65+ (%).

| | 2007 | 2010 | 2020 | 2030 | 2040 | 2050 |
|--------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Romania | 14.9 | 14.9 | 17.3 | 19.8 | 24.3 | 28.8 |
| Russian Fed. | 14.0 | 12.8 | 14.7 | 19.0 | 20.6 | 24.9 |
| Serbia | 17.3 | 17.0 | 19.7 | 22.0 | 23.5 | 26.6 |
| Slovakia | 11.9 | 12.3 | 16.3 | 20.6 | 23.8 | 29.4 |
| Slovenia | 15.9 | 16.8 | 21.0 | 25.9 | 29.9 | 33.7 |
| Spain | 16.7 | 16.9 | 19.4 | 24.6 | 31.8 | 38.1 |
| Sweden | 17.4 | 18.3 | 21.5 | 23.6 | 25.8 | 26.4 |
| Switzerland | 16.2 | 17.2 | 20.6 | 25.0 | 28.3 | 29.0 |
| Turkey | 6.0 | 6.0 | 7.2 | 9.8 | 13.2 | 16.6 |
| UK | 16.0 | 16.5 | 19.1 | 22.2 | 25.1 | 26.4 |
| Ukraine | 16.4 | 15.7 | 16.9 | 20.5 | 22.9 | 27.6 |

Table B.3 (continued)

Table B.4 Proportion of population aged 80+ (%).

| | 2007 | 2010 | 2020 | 2020 | 20.40 | 2050 |
|------------|------|------|------|------|-------|------|
| | 2007 | 2010 | 2020 | 2030 | 2040 | 2050 |
| Albania | 1.4 | 1.6 | 2.6 | 3.5 | 5.6 | 7.8 |
| Armenia | 1.4 | 1.9 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 5.3 | 7.0 |
| Austria | 4.5 | 4.9 | 5.6 | 7.4 | 9.5 | 13.5 |
| Azerbaijan | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 3.4 | 5.6 |
| Belarus | 2.6 | 3.2 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 6.2 | 7.8 |
| Belgium | 4.6 | 5.0 | 5.9 | 6.9 | 9.2 | 11.4 |
| Bulgaria | 3.5 | 3.8 | 4.6 | 5.9 | 7.4 | 8.6 |
| Croatia | 3.1 | 3.6 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 7.9 | 9.5 |

| | | Tuble D. | + (commue | u) | | |
|----------------|------|----------|-----------|------|------|------|
| | 2007 | 2010 | 2020 | 2030 | 2040 | 2050 |
| Cyprus | 2.8 | 2.8 | 3.8 | 5.9 | 8.6 | 10.9 |
| Czech Rep. | 3.3 | 3.6 | 4.2 | 6.7 | 8.4 | 9.3 |
| Denmark | 4.1 | 4.2 | 4.9 | 7.2 | 8.5 | 10.5 |
| Estonia | 3.5 | 4.1 | 5.4 | 5.7 | 7.2 | 8.0 |
| Finland | 4.2 | 4.7 | 5.8 | 8.5 | 10.8 | 11.9 |
| France | 4.8 | 5.3 | 6.3 | 7.7 | 10.2 | 11.9 |
| France | | | | | | |
| métropolitaine | 4.9 | 5.4 | 6.3 | 7.8 | 10.3 | 12.0 |
| Georgia | 2.3 | 3.0 | 4.6 | 4.5 | 7.1 | 9.5 |
| Germany | 4.6 | 5.1 | 7.3 | 8.3 | 11.0 | 15.4 |
| Greece | 3.9 | 4.6 | 6.7 | 7.6 | 10.1 | 13.6 |
| Hungary | 3.6 | 3.9 | 4.6 | 5.8 | 7.8 | 8.4 |
| Iceland | 3.1 | 3.3 | 3.6 | 5.1 | 7.5 | 9.2 |
| Ireland | 2.7 | 2.7 | 3.2 | 4.6 | 6.3 | 8.2 |
| Italy | 5.3 | 5.9 | 7.7 | 9.4 | 11.6 | 16.2 |
| Latria | 3.4 | 4.0 | 5.2 | 5.4 | 6.7 | 8.1 |
| Lithuania | 3.1 | 3.7 | 4.7 | 5.0 | 6.5 | 8.3 |
| Luxembourg | 3.3 | 3.8 | 4.6 | 5.3 | 7.1 | 9.6 |
| Macedonia, | | | | | | |
| FYR | 1.6 | 1.8 | 2.6 | 3.3 | 5.1 | 6.6 |
| Malta | 3.0 | 3.3 | 4.4 | 6.9 | 9.5 | 10.5 |
| Moldova | 1.8 | 2.0 | 2.4 | 2.7 | 5.1 | 6.3 |
| Montenegro | 1.9 | 2.3 | 3.4 | 3.8 | 5.8 | 6.9 |
| Netherlands | 3.7 | 4.0 | 4.8 | 7.1 | 9.4 | 11.9 |
| Norway | 4.7 | 4.7 | 4.6 | 6.5 | 8.3 | 10.1 |
| Poland | 2.9 | 3.3 | 4.4 | 5.5 | 8.9 | 9.2 |
| Portugal | 4.1 | 4.5 | 6.0 | 7.4 | 9.7 | 12.8 |
| Romania | 2.7 | 3.1 | 4.1 | 4.5 | 6.5 | 7.9 |
| Russian Fed. | 2.3 | 2.8 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 5.3 | 5.9 |
| Serbia | 2.9 | 3.4 | 4.3 | 4.6 | 6.6 | 7.2 |
| Slovakia | 2.5 | 2.7 | 3.1 | 4.4 | 6.9 | 8.0 |
| Slovenia | 3.4 | 4.0 | 5.5 | 6.9 | 10.2 | 12.7 |
| Spain | 4.5 | 4.9 | 5.9 | 7.2 | 10.0 | 14.5 |
| Sweden | 5.4 | 5.5 | 5.7 | 8.2 | 9.4 | 11.1 |
| Switzerland | 4.6 | 4.9 | 5.9 | 7.9 | 10.1 | 13.1 |
| Turkey | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 2.0 | 3.0 |
| UK | 4.5 | 4.7 | 5.2 | 6.8 | 8.3 | 10.7 |
| Ukraine | 2.9 | 3.4 | 4.2 | 4.1 | 6.0 | 7.1 |

Table B.4 (continued)

| | 2007 | 2010 | 2020 | 2030 | 2040 | 2050 |
|----------------|--------------|------|--------------------------|------|------|------|
| Albania | 2007 | 2010 | 2020 | 18 7 | 15.9 | 15.2 |
| Armenia | 27.9 197 | 18.1 | 20. 4 17.5 | 14.7 | 13.9 | 12.2 |
| Austria | 15.7 | 14 9 | 143 | 14.7 | 13.2 | 13.3 |
| Azerbaijan | 23.8 | 223 | 23.8 | 19.6 | 18.0 | 18.4 |
| Relarus | 23.0 14 9 | 14.5 | 15.1 | 13.0 | 12.4 | 10.4 |
| Belgium | 17.0 | 16.8 | 16.6 | 16.2 | 12.5 | 15.8 |
| Bulgaria | 13.4 | 13.3 | 13.8 | 12.4 | 13.1 | 13.3 |
| Croatia | 15.4 | 15.5 | 13.0 14.4 | 12.4 | 13.1 | 12.9 |
| Cyprus | 17.0 | 16.6 | 16.4 | 15.7 | 13.2 | 12.7 |
| Czech Ren | 14.4 | 10.0 | 14.9 | 13.4 | 13.7 | 14.3 |
| Denmark | 18.6 | 18.1 | 16.7 | 17.2 | 17.4 | 16.7 |
| Estonia | 14.9 | 15.1 | 173 | 15.8 | 15.4 | 16.7 |
| Finland | 17.1 | 16.6 | 16.6 | 16.3 | 15.4 | 15.2 |
| France | 18.6 | 18.6 | 18.3 | 17.6 | 17.0 | 17.4 |
| France | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.5 | 17.0 | т, т | т,т |
| métropolitaine | 18.4 | 18.5 | 18.1 | 17.4 | 17.3 | 17.3 |
| Georgia | 17.7 | 16.7 | 16.5 | 15.3 | 14.5 | 14.4 |
| Germany | 13.9 | 13.4 | 12.7 | 12.9 | 12.5 | 12.3 |
| Greece | 14.3 | 14.2 | 13.8 | 12.2 | 11.8 | 11.7 |
| Hungary | 15.2 | 14.8 | 14.9 | 14.3 | 14.0 | 14.1 |
| Iceland | 21.5 | 20.8 | 19.8 | 18.9 | 17.8 | 17.3 |
| Ireland | 20.3 | 20.8 | 21.4 | 18.8 | 18.0 | 18.7 |
| Italy | 14.1 | 14.0 | 13.0 | 11.8 | 11.7 | 11.4 |
| Latvia | 14.0 | 13.7 | 15.6 | 14.1 | 13.4 | 13.8 |
| Lithuania | 15.9 | 14.9 | 15.5 | 15.1 | 14.0 | 14.4 |
| Luxembourg | 18.3 | 17.9 | 16.9 | 17.0 | 16.8 | 16.3 |
| Macedonia, | | | | | | |
| FYR | 18.9 | 17.8 | 17.1 | 16.8 | 15.8 | 15.9 |
| Malta | 16.7 | 15.5 | 14.7 | 14.1 | 12.9 | 12.7 |
| Moldova | 18.2 | 16.5 | 15.6 | 13.5 | 11.4 | 10.8 |
| Montenegro | 19.8 | 19.0 | 18.0 | 17.7 | 16.8 | 16.6 |
| Netherlands | 18.1 | 17.5 | 15.8 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 15.3 |
| Norway | 19.4 | 18.9 | 18.0 | 18.1 | 17.7 | 17.3 |
| Poland | 15.8 | 15.0 | 15.2 | 13.8 | 12.7 | 12.7 |
| Portugal | 15.5 | 15.3 | 14.1 | 13.0 | 12.9 | 12.5 |

Table B.5 Proportion of population aged 0-14 (%).

| | 2007 | 2010 | 2020 | 2030 | 2040 | 2050 |
|--------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Romania | 15.4 | 15.2 | 15.2 | 14.0 | 13.9 | 14.0 |
| Russian Fed. | 14.7 | 14.8 | 15.7 | 13.8 | 13.5 | 13.4 |
| Serbia | 15.5 | 15.2 | 14.9 | 14.5 | 14.3 | 14.1 |
| Slovakia | 16.1 | 15.2 | 15.2 | 14.0 | 13.2 | 13.2 |
| Slovenia | 14.0 | 13.8 | 13.7 | 12.4 | 12.1 | 12.5 |
| Spain | 14.5 | 14.9 | 14.3 | 11.5 | 10.9 | 10.9 |
| Sweden | 17.0 | 16.5 | 17.3 | 17.2 | 16.4 | 16.5 |
| Switzerland | 15.8 | 15.2 | 15.3 | 16.5 | 16.6 | 16.7 |
| Turkey | 27.9 | 26.8 | 23.8 | 22.6 | 21.2 | 20.5 |
| UK | 17.6 | 17.3 | 17.6 | 17.0 | 16.4 | 16.4 |
| Ukraine | 14.2 | 14.0 | 15.0 | 12.9 | 12.2 | 12.1 |

Table B.5 (continued)

Table B.6 Proportion of population aged 15-64 (%).

| | 2007 | 2010 | 2020 | 2030 | 2040 | 2050 |
|----------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Albania | 66.3 | 67.3 | 67.7 | 64.7 | 64.2 | 61.1 |
| Armenia | 69.4 | 71.7 | 70.9 | 67.2 | 65.8 | 60.6 |
| Austria | 67.5 | 67.4 | 65.7 | 60.9 | 57.1 | 55.6 |
| Azerbaijan | 69.1 | 71.2 | 68.9 | 67.5 | 65.1 | 61.9 |
| Belarus | 70.5 | 71.7 | 69.8 | 66.7 | 64.5 | 59.9 |
| Belgium | 65.9 | 65.9 | 63.3 | 59.9 | 57.6 | 56.8 |
| Bulgaria | 69.3 | 68.9 | 65.1 | 63.3 | 59.9 | 55.6 |
| Croatia | 67.3 | 67.5 | 65.7 | 62.7 | 60.8 | 57.8 |
| Cyprus | 69.8 | 70.3 | 66.3 | 62.4 | 60.7 | 56.2 |
| Czech Rep. | 71.2 | 70.5 | 64.4 | 63.0 | 59.9 | 55.1 |
| Denmark | 66.1 | 65.4 | 62.9 | 59.7 | 56.9 | 57.6 |
| Estonia | 68.0 | 67.8 | 63.7 | 62.9 | 61.8 | 58.5 |
| Finland | 66.5 | 66.3 | 60.6 | 57.6 | 57.1 | 56.2 |
| France | 65.2 | 64.8 | 61.3 | 58.6 | 56.2 | 55.5 |
| France | | | | | | |
| métropolitaine | 65.2 | 64.7 | 61.2 | 58.6 | 56.1 | 55.3 |
| Georgia | 67.7 | 69.2 | 67.3 | 63.6 | 60.8 | 56.9 |
| Germany | 66.3 | 65.9 | 64.2 | 58.9 | 55.4 | 54.3 |
| Greece | 67.1 | 66.8 | 64.4 | 62.0 | 56.9 | 52.4 |
| Hungary | 68.9 | 68.5 | 65.1 | 63.6 | 61.0 | 56.8 |

| | | 1 <i>uoic b</i> . | o (commu | u) | | |
|--------------------------|------|-------------------|----------|------|------|------|
| | 2007 | 2010 | 2020 | 2030 | 2040 | 2050 |
| Iceland | 66.7 | 67.1 | 64.9 | 61.9 | 60.4 | 58.8 |
| Ireland | 68.6 | 67.8 | 64.4 | 63.6 | 60.6 | 55.0 |
| Italy | 66.0 | 65.6 | 63.5 | 60.3 | 54.4 | 51.6 |
| Latvia | 68.9 | 68.9 | 66.0 | 64.7 | 63.2 | 59.5 |
| Lithuania | 68.5 | 69.1 | 67.3 | 64.2 | 62.6 | 60.2 |
| Luxembourg Macedonia, | 67.6 | 67.9 | 66.9 | 63.3 | 60.3 | 59.5 |
| FYR | 69.9 | 70.5 | 68.4 | 65.1 | 63.1 | 59.6 |
| Malta | 69.5 | 69.8 | 64.9 | 61.2 | 60.4 | 57.1 |
| Moldova | 71.5 | 73.2 | 71.8 | 68.9 | 68.2 | 62.4 |
| Montenegro | 67.4 | 68.0 | 66.6 | 63.5 | 62.1 | 59.2 |
| Netherlands | 67.4 | 67.1 | 64.2 | 59.7 | 56.5 | 57.3 |
| Norway | 66.0 | 65.9 | 63.6 | 60.6 | 58.1 | 58.0 |
| Poland | 70.8 | 71.3 | 66.4 | 63.7 | 62.5 | 57.2 |
| Portugal | 67.3 | 66.8 | 65.0 | 61.9 | 57.2 | 53.0 |
| Romania | 69.8 | 69.9 | 67.5 | 66.2 | 61.7 | 57.2 |
| Russian Fed. | 71.3 | 72.4 | 69.6 | 67.2 | 65.9 | 61.7 |
| Serbia | 67.2 | 67.8 | 65.4 | 63.5 | 62.2 | 59.4 |
| Slovakia | 72.0 | 72.5 | 68.5 | 65.4 | 63.0 | 57.4 |
| Slovenia | 70.1 | 69.4 | 65.3 | 61.7 | 58.0 | 53.9 |
| Spain | 68.8 | 68.2 | 66.2 | 63.9 | 57.3 | 50.9 |
| Sweden | 65.6 | 65.2 | 61.2 | 59.2 | 57.8 | 57.1 |
| Switzerland | 68.0 | 67.6 | 64.1 | 58.5 | 55.1 | 54.3 |
| Turkey | 66.1 | 67.2 | 68.9 | 67.6 | 65.6 | 62.9 |
| UK | 66.4 | 66.2 | 63.3 | 60.8 | 58.5 | 57.2 |
| Ukraine | 69.4 | 70.3 | 68.2 | 66.6 | 64.9 | 60.3 |

Table B.6 (continued)

| | 2007 | 2010 | 2020 | 2030 | 2040 | 2050 |
|----------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Albania | 13.3 | 14.3 | 17.6 | 25.6 | 31.0 | 38.8 |
| Armenia | 15.7 | 14.2 | 16.2 | 27.0 | 31.9 | 43.7 |
| Austria | 25.0 | 26.3 | 30.4 | 40.9 | 51.3 | 55.9 |
| Azerbaijan | 10.2 | 9.2 | 10.6 | 19.1 | 25.3 | 31.8 |
| Belarus | 20.7 | 19.3 | 21.7 | 30.4 | 36.0 | 46.7 |
| Belgium | 25.9 | 26.2 | 31.6 | 39.9 | 45.9 | 48.1 |
| Bulgaria | 24.9 | 25.8 | 32.6 | 38.3 | 45.2 | 55.9 |
| Croatia | 25.4 | 25.7 | 30.2 | 37.3 | 42.8 | 50.6 |
| Cyprus | 17.6 | 18.6 | 26.0 | 35.5 | 42.3 | 53.5 |
| Czech Rep. | 20.2 | 22.0 | 32.1 | 37.0 | 44.1 | 55.5 |
| Denmark | 23.2 | 25.3 | 32.3 | 38.9 | 45.0 | 44.6 |
| Estonia | 25.1 | 25.2 | 29.7 | 33.8 | 36.9 | 43.3 |
| Finland | 24.8 | 25.9 | 37.5 | 45.4 | 47.5 | 49.9 |
| France | 24.9 | 25.7 | 33.3 | 40.5 | 46.8 | 48.7 |
| France | | | | | | |
| métropolitaine | 25.2 | 26.0 | 33.7 | 40.9 | 47.4 | 49.5 |
| Georgia | 21.6 | 20.4 | 23.9 | 33.2 | 40.7 | 50.5 |
| Germany | 29.9 | 31.4 | 35.9 | 47.8 | 58.0 | 61.4 |
| Greece | 27.6 | 28.4 | 33.9 | 41.5 | 55.0 | 68.4 |
| Hungary | 23.2 | 24.4 | 30.7 | 34.7 | 41.0 | 51.3 |
| Iceland | 17.5 | 18.1 | 23.5 | 31.1 | 36.1 | 40.5 |
| Ireland | 16.2 | 16.8 | 22.0 | 27.6 | 35.3 | 48.0 |
| Italy | 30.2 | 31.1 | 37.0 | 46.3 | 62.5 | 71.7 |
| Latvia | 24.8 | 25.3 | 27.9 | 32.8 | 37.1 | 44.8 |
| Lithuania | 22.7 | 23.2 | 25.5 | 32.2 | 37.3 | 42.2 |
| Luxembourg | 20.7 | 20.9 | 24.3 | 31.2 | 37.9 | 40.6 |
| Macedonia, | | | | | | |
| FYR | 16.0 | 16.6 | 21.1 | 27.8 | 33.4 | 41.0 |
| Malta | 19.8 | 21.1 | 31.4 | 40.3 | 44.1 | 53.0 |
| Moldova | 14.4 | 13.9 | 17.5 | 25.6 | 29.9 | 43.0 |
| Montenegro | 19.1 | 19.1 | 23.1 | 29.6 | 33.8 | 41.0 |
| Netherlands | 21.5 | 23.0 | 31.0 | 40.8 | 48.6 | 48.0 |
| Norway | 22.2 | 23.0 | 28.8 | 35.1 | 41.5 | 42.6 |
| Poland | 19.0 | 19.1 | 27.6 | 35.5 | 39.6 | 52.4 |
| Portugal | 25.6 | 26.8 | 32.2 | 40.6 | 52.4 | 65.1 |

 Table B.7
 Old-age dependency ratio.

| | 2007 | 2010 | 2020 | 2030 | 2040 | 2050 |
|--------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Romania | 21.3 | 21.3 | 25.6 | 29.8 | 39.4 | 50.4 |
| Russian Fed. | 19.7 | 17.7 | 21.1 | 28.3 | 31.3 | 40.4 |
| Serbia | 25.7 | 25.1 | 30.1 | 34.6 | 37.7 | 44.7 |
| Slovakia | 16.5 | 17.0 | 23.8 | 31.4 | 37.8 | 51.1 |
| Slovenia | 22.7 | 24.1 | 32.1 | 42.0 | 51.5 | 62.5 |
| Spain | 24.2 | 24.8 | 29.4 | 38.4 | 55.6 | 74.8 |
| Sweden | 26.4 | 28.1 | 35.1 | 39.9 | 44.6 | 46.2 |
| Switzerland | 23.8 | 25.4 | 32.2 | 42.7 | 51.3 | 53.3 |
| Turkey | 9.1 | 9.0 | 10.5 | 14.5 | 20.1 | 26.4 |
| UK | 24.1 | 25.0 | 30.1 | 36.5 | 42.9 | 46.2 |
| Ukraine | 23.6 | 22.4 | 24.7 | 30.8 | 35.4 | 45.9 |

Table B.7 (continued)

Table B.8 Prospective median age.

| | - | - | | | | |
|----------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| | 2007 | 2010 | 2020 | 2030 | 2040 | 2050 |
| Albania | 28.9 | 29.5 | 31.9 | 35.2 | 38.2 | 39.7 |
| Armenia | 31.8 | 32.2 | 34.5 | 38.3 | 42.1 | 43.8 |
| Austria | 40.5 | 41.1 | 42.2 | 42.3 | 42.3 | 41.6 |
| Azerbaijan | 28.2 | 28.5 | 30.4 | 33.6 | 34.1 | 33.2 |
| Belarus | 38.0 | 38.0 | 38.6 | 40.9 | 43.4 | 43.0 |
| Belgium | 40.5 | 40.5 | 40.3 | 39.7 | 38.9 | 37.2 |
| Bulgaria | 41.0 | 41.2 | 42.8 | 44.6 | 45.0 | 42.4 |
| Croatia | 40.7 | 40.9 | 41.0 | 41.9 | 42.3 | 41.9 |
| Cyprus | 35.5 | 36.0 | 37.6 | 40.2 | 41.8 | 41.7 |
| Czech Rep. | 39.1 | 39.2 | 41.4 | 43.2 | 42.9 | 40.5 |
| Denmark | 40.0 | 40.2 | 40.5 | 38.4 | 37.2 | 35.9 |
| Estonia | 39.1 | 39.0 | 38.9 | 39.6 | 39.8 | 36.5 |
| Finland | 41.3 | 41.6 | 41.0 | 40.6 | 39.7 | 37.8 |
| France | 39.0 | 39.1 | 39.0 | 38.5 | 37.3 | 35.7 |
| France | | | | | | |
| métropolitaine | 39.2 | 39.3 | 39.4 | 38.8 | 37.5 | 35.9 |
| Georgia | 35.8 | 36.3 | 37.8 | 40.0 | 42.6 | 42.8 |
| Germany | 42.8 | 43.6 | 45.4 | 44.7 | 44.6 | 43.8 |
| Greece | 40.6 | 41.3 | 43.3 | 45.3 | 46.3 | 45.6 |
| Hungary | 39.2 | 39.4 | 40.6 | 41.7 | 41.7 | 40.3 |

| | | Tuble D. | o (commue | u) | | |
|--------------|------|----------|-----------|------|------|------|
| | 2007 | 2010 | 2020 | 2030 | 2040 | 2050 |
| Iceland | 34.3 | 34.4 | 34.7 | 34.9 | 35.0 | 34.1 |
| Ireland | 33.4 | 33.8 | 36.0 | 37.3 | 35.8 | 34.4 |
| Italy | 42.2 | 42.7 | 45.0 | 46.8 | 46.8 | 46.0 |
| Latvia | 39.5 | 39.5 | 39.6 | 40.6 | 42.0 | 39.5 |
| Lithuania | 38.3 | 38.7 | 38.7 | 39.5 | 40.9 | 39.4 |
| Luxembourg | 38.5 | 38.4 | 38.1 | 37.1 | 36.3 | 35.1 |
| Macedonia, | | | | | | |
| FYR | 34.9 | 35.2 | 36.3 | 37.8 | 38.7 | 37.5 |
| Malta | 38.7 | 38.9 | 40.2 | 41.7 | 42.8 | 42.9 |
| Moldova | 33.2 | 33.5 | 35.4 | 39.0 | 43.0 | 45.9 |
| Montenegro | 34.9 | 35.1 | 35.9 | 37.1 | 37.5 | 36.6 |
| Netherlands | 39.6 | 40.3 | 41.0 | 39.7 | 38.7 | 37.8 |
| Norway | 38.2 | 38.3 | 38.1 | 36.9 | 36.3 | 34.9 |
| Poland | 37.0 | 37.3 | 38.9 | 41.3 | 43.0 | 42.8 |
| Portugal | 39.8 | 40.3 | 42.3 | 44.3 | 44.9 | 44.2 |
| Romania | 37.3 | 37.8 | 39.8 | 41.7 | 43.0 | 41.1 |
| Russian Fed. | 37.5 | 37.4 | 38.0 | 40.3 | 42.0 | 39.8 |
| Serbia | 41.0 | 40.8 | 40.4 | 40.8 | 40.6 | 39.4 |
| Slovakia | 35.9 | 36.4 | 38.8 | 41.2 | 42.7 | 42.4 |
| Slovenia | 40.6 | 41.2 | 42.7 | 44.6 | 45.4 | 44.1 |
| Spain | 39.1 | 39.5 | 42.3 | 45.6 | 47.4 | 46.9 |
| Sweden | 40.5 | 40.4 | 39.8 | 38.6 | 38.4 | 36.2 |
| Switzerland | 40.8 | 41.3 | 42.2 | 41.6 | 40.4 | 37.8 |
| Turkey | 27.5 | 28.1 | 29.6 | 30.7 | 31.5 | 31.1 |
| UK | 39.0 | 39.2 | 38.6 | 38.6 | 38.2 | 36.5 |
| Ukraine | 39.0 | 38.8 | 39.1 | 41.1 | 43.3 | 42.8 |

Table B.8 (continued)

| | 2007 | 2010 | 2020 | 2030 | 2040 | 2050 |
|----------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Albania | 6.9 | 7.4 | 8.4 | 10.5 | 13.0 | 13.9 |
| Armenia | 11.3 | 10.2 | 10.4 | 15.1 | 16.9 | 17.9 |
| Austria | 11.1 | 11.1 | 12.4 | 13.4 | 16.1 | 17.9 |
| Azerbaijan | 7.1 | 6.4 | 6.2 | 9.5 | 12.4 | 12.9 |
| Belarus | 15.5 | 14.2 | 14.5 | 17.9 | 19.1 | 20.3 |
| Belgium | 12.4 | 12.5 | 12.4 | 13.7 | 15.4 | 15.7 |
| Bulgaria | 17.2 | 17.3 | 19.0 | 20.4 | 20.6 | 22.5 |
| Croatia | 15.3 | 15.4 | 15.3 | 17.1 | 18.1 | 18.2 |
| Cyprus | 8.4 | 8.6 | 10.1 | 12.3 | 14.5 | 15.0 |
| Czech Rep. | 11.9 | 12.1 | 14.9 | 16.8 | 16.5 | 18.2 |
| Denmark | 11.4 | 11.5 | 13.6 | 14.5 | 15.2 | 15.4 |
| Estonia | 14.7 | 15.1 | 14.8 | 15.6 | 15.7 | 15.8 |
| Finland | 11.1 | 11.4 | 13.2 | 15.9 | 16.6 | 15.4 |
| France | 10.3 | 10.5 | 10.3 | 12.3 | 13.6 | 14.0 |
| France | | | | | | |
| métropolitaine | 10.4 | 10.6 | 10.4 | 12.4 | 13.7 | 14.1 |
| Georgia | 12.7 | 13.1 | 12.4 | 14.7 | 17.4 | 18.5 |
| Germany | 12.9 | 13.9 | 14.7 | 15.7 | 18.5 | 19.9 |
| Greece | 13.6 | 14.1 | 14.5 | 15.5 | 17.5 | 19.9 |
| Hungary | 14.3 | 14.5 | 15.6 | 17.3 | 17.0 | 19.1 |
| Iceland | 8.0 | 7.8 | 8.1 | 9.9 | 11.6 | 11.8 |
| Ireland | 8.0 | 7.8 | 8.6 | 10.1 | 11.4 | 12.6 |
| Italy | 13.0 | 13.3 | 14.1 | 15.2 | 17.1 | 20.1 |
| Latvia | 15.9 | 16.2 | 15.8 | 16.8 | 17.4 | 18.0 |
| Lithuania | 14.0 | 14.3 | 14.1 | 15.3 | 17.0 | 17.1 |
| Luxembourg | 9.7 | 9.7 | 9.6 | 10.4 | 12.1 | 13.0 |
| Macedonia, | | | | | | |
| FYR | 11.5 | 11.7 | 12.9 | 14.9 | 16.2 | 17.0 |
| Malta | 10.5 | 10.7 | 13.0 | 15.4 | 16.3 | 15.7 |
| Moldova | 12.0 | 11.5 | 13.5 | 17.0 | 18.0 | 20.8 |
| Montenegro | 12.3 | 12.2 | 12.6 | 14.6 | 15.4 | 15.7 |
| Netherlands | 10.3 | 10.5 | 12.2 | 14.1 | 15.8 | 16.5 |
| Norway | 10.3 | 10.0 | 10.6 | 12.0 | 12.8 | 13.5 |
| Poland | 11.0 | 11.2 | 12.3 | 15.8 | 16.3 | 16.7 |

Table B.9 Proportion of persons that have a remaining life expectancy of 15 years or less (%).

| | 2007 | 2010 | 2020 | 2030 | 2040 | 2050 |
|--------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Portugal | 12.7 | 13.0 | 13.7 | 15.1 | 17.2 | 19.2 |
| Romania | 14.4 | 14.1 | 14.8 | 16.5 | 17.8 | 20.2 |
| Russian Fed. | 15.2 | 14.3 | 15.9 | 18.8 | 18.9 | 20.4 |
| Serbia | 17.7 | 17.0 | 17.6 | 18.6 | 18.1 | 18.5 |
| Slovakia | 11.0 | 11.1 | 12.8 | 15.6 | 16.5 | 18.4 |
| Slovenia | 11.7 | 12.1 | 13.0 | 15.8 | 17.9 | 18.6 |
| Spain | 11.8 | 11.6 | 11.8 | 13.1 | 15.9 | 19.5 |
| Sweden | 11.9 | 11.7 | 12.9 | 13.8 | 13.9 | 14.2 |
| Switzerland | 10.2 | 10.3 | 11.4 | 12.7 | 14.3 | 15.5 |
| Turkey | 7.2 | 7.1 | 7.9 | 9.6 | 11.7 | 13.8 |
| UK | 11.6 | 11.6 | 12.2 | 12.8 | 14.1 | 14.8 |
| Ukraine | 17.4 | 16.3 | 16.6 | 18.8 | 19.5 | 21.2 |

Table B.9 (continued)

 Table B.10
 Population average remaining years of life.

| | 2007 | 2010 | 2020 | 2030 | 2040 | 2050 |
|----------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Albania | 47.1 | 46.6 | 45.4 | 44.1 | 42.8 | 42.0 |
| Armenia | 41.4 | 41.0 | 40.1 | 38.4 | 37.2 | 36.5 |
| Austria | 41.6 | 41.5 | 41.2 | 41.2 | 41.3 | 41.9 |
| Azerbaijan | 44.5 | 44.4 | 43.9 | 42.5 | 42.0 | 42.0 |
| Belarus | 35.6 | 35.6 | 35.4 | 34.7 | 34.3 | 34.4 |
| Belgium | 41.5 | 41.6 | 41.9 | 42.4 | 43.0 | 44.1 |
| Bulgaria | 35.4 | 35.2 | 34.9 | 34.6 | 35.3 | 36.1 |
| Croatia | 38.1 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 38.1 | 38.2 | 38.8 |
| Cyprus | 45.1 | 44.8 | 43.9 | 43.0 | 42.5 | 42.7 |
| Czech Rep. | 39.1 | 39.0 | 38.5 | 38.2 | 38.9 | 39.9 |
| Denmark | 41.1 | 41.1 | 41.2 | 42.0 | 43.1 | 44.3 |
| Estonia | 37.0 | 37.1 | 37.7 | 38.0 | 38.8 | 39.8 |
| Finland | 41.4 | 41.3 | 41.4 | 41.7 | 42.5 | 43.9 |
| France | 43.9 | 43.9 | 44.2 | 44.5 | 45.2 | 46.3 |
| France | | | | | | |
| métropolitaine | 43.8 | 43.9 | 44.1 | 44.4 | 45.1 | 46.3 |
| Georgia | 40.5 | 40.2 | 39.5 | 38.8 | 38.3 | 38.4 |
| Germany | 39.7 | 39.4 | 39.1 | 39.2 | 39.5 | 40.2 |
| Greece | 40.5 | 40.3 | 39.7 | 39.2 | 38.9 | 39.0 |

| Tuble D.10 (commund) | | | | | | |
|----------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| | 2007 | 2010 | 2020 | 2030 | 2040 | 2050 |
| Hungary | 36.7 | 36.7 | 36.7 | 36.8 | 37.2 | 38.0 |
| Iceland | 47.1 | 47.1 | 47.2 | 47.1 | 47.2 | 47.9 |
| Ireland | 45.9 | 46.0 | 45.9 | 45.3 | 45.4 | 46.1 |
| Italy | 40.9 | 40.8 | 40.1 | 39.7 | 39.6 | 39.8 |
| Latvia | 35.3 | 35.3 | 35.6 | 35.7 | 36.0 | 36.6 |
| Lithuania | 36.6 | 36.4 | 36.5 | 36.6 | 36.8 | 37.4 |
| Luxembourg | 42.9 | 43.1 | 43.4 | 44.0 | 44.6 | 45.5 |
| Macedonia, | | | | | | |
| FYR | 40.4 | 40.1 | 39.8 | 39.5 | 39.5 | 40.1 |
| Malta | 42.2 | 41.8 | 41.1 | 40.6 | 40.5 | 41.0 |
| Moldova | 37.4 | 37.1 | 36.2 | 34.9 | 33.6 | 32.5 |
| Montenegro | 40.7 | 40.5 | 40.4 | 40.4 | 40.5 | 41.1 |
| Netherlands | 42.6 | 42.3 | 42.0 | 42.3 | 43.0 | 44.0 |
| Norway | 43.8 | 44.0 | 44.3 | 44.9 | 45.6 | 46.6 |
| Poland | 39.9 | 39.6 | 39.0 | 38.3 | 38.0 | 38.3 |
| Portugal | 40.8 | 40.6 | 40.0 | 39.5 | 39.3 | 39.5 |
| Romania | 37.8 | 37.7 | 37.2 | 36.7 | 36.8 | 37.3 |
| Russian F. | 33.8 | 33.8 | 33.8 | 33.3 | 33.4 | 33.8 |
| Serbia | 36.1 | 36.1 | 36.6 | 36.9 | 37.5 | 38.3 |
| Slovakia | 39.6 | 39.3 | 38.5 | 37.7 | 37.5 | 37.7 |
| Slovenia | 40.0 | 39.7 | 39.0 | 38.4 | 38.4 | 39.1 |
| Spain | 42.2 | 42.2 | 41.4 | 40.1 | 39.2 | 38.8 |
| Sweden | 42.3 | 42.5 | 43.1 | 43.8 | 44.7 | 45.9 |
| Switzerland | 43.1 | 42.9 | 42.8 | 43.4 | 44.3 | 45.8 |
| Turkey | 44.1 | 43.9 | 43.1 | 42.4 | 42.0 | 42.2 |
| UK | 42.2 | 42.3 | 42.7 | 43.1 | 43.6 | 44.5 |
| Ukraine | 33.8 | 33.8 | 34.0 | 33.5 | 33.3 | 33.4 |

Table B.10 (continued)

Appendix C. Projection results. Population age pyramids, years 2007 and 2030.



Figure C.1 Population age pyramids: Nordic countries (2007, 2030).

0

1.0

0.5

0.0

% Population

0.5

1.0

1.5



Figure C.2 Population age pyramids: Western Europe (2007, 2030).



Figure C.3 Population age pyramids: German-speaking countries (2007, 2030).





Figure C.4 Population age pyramids: Southern Europe (2007, 2030).



Figure C.5 Population age pyramids: Central-eastern Europe (2007, 2030).

Figure continued on the next page



Figure C.5 (continued)



Figure C.6 Population age pyramids: South-eastern Europe (2007, 2030).



Figure C.7 Population age pyramids: Eastern Europe (2007, 2030).



Figure C.8 Population age pyramids: Caucasus (2007, 2030).

% Population