

Climate forcing and air quality change due to regional emissions reductions by economic sector

D. Shindell¹, J.-F. Lamarque², N. Unger¹, D. Koch¹, G. Faluvegi¹, S. Bauer¹, M. Ammann³, J. Cofala³, and H. Teich¹

¹NASA Goddard Institute for Space Studies, New York, NY, USA

²National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, CO, USA

³International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis, Laxenburg, Austria

Received: 16 May 2008 – Published in Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.: 12 June 2008

Revised: 30 September 2008 – Accepted: 26 October 2008 – Published: 8 December 2008

Abstract. We examine the air quality (AQ) and radiative forcing (RF) response to emissions reductions by economic sector for North America and developing Asia in the CAM and GISS composition/climate models. Decreases in annual average surface particulate are relatively robust, with intermodel variations in magnitude typically <30% and very similar spatial structure. Surface ozone responses are small and highly model dependent. The largest net RF results from reductions in emissions from the North America industrial/power and developing Asia domestic fuel burning sectors. Sulfate reductions dominate the first case, for which intermodel variations in the sulfate (or total) aerosol optical depth (AOD) responses are ~30% and the modeled spatial patterns of the AOD reductions are highly correlated ($R=0.9$). Decreases in BC dominate the developing Asia domestic fuel burning case, and show substantially greater model-to-model differences. Intermodel variations in tropospheric ozone burden changes are also large, though aerosol changes dominate those cases with substantial net climate forcing. The results indicate that across-the-board emissions reductions in domestic fuel burning in developing Asia and in surface transportation in North America are likely to offer the greatest potential for substantial, simultaneous improvement in local air quality and near-term mitigation of global climate change via short-lived species. Conversely, reductions in industrial/power emissions have the potential to accelerate near-term warming, though they would improve AQ and have a long-term cooling effect on climate. These broad conclusions appear robust to intermodel differences.

1 Introduction

Changes in AQ and climate typically occur on different temporal and spatial scales. As climate change is by definition a long-term phenomenon, climate policy typically focuses on well-mixed greenhouse gases, as these have strong radiative forcing and are long-lived (residence times of ~10 years or longer) in the atmosphere. AQ, in contrast, is usually concerned with short-lived pollutants (residence times of months or less) which do not currently play as prominent a role in climate policy. The two issues are linked in several ways, however. Tropospheric ozone and aerosols, among the primary short-lived pollutants regulated for AQ purposes, influence global climate change, and conversely are affected by the impact of climate change on factors such as temperature and humidity. Though emissions of long-lived greenhouse gases, especially carbon dioxide, are the main driver of projected future climate change, AQ-related emissions have the potential to substantially affect 21st Century climate as well (Shindell et al., 2008). In addition, AQ-related emissions reductions may lead to substantial economic and health benefits (West et al., 2006; West et al., 2007).

AQ regulations are typically made without consideration of their effect on climate. Different regulatory choices potentially affect climate in opposite ways, however, as some AQ-related emissions lead to warming while others lead to cooling. Precipitation may also be either enhanced or suppressed by emissions changes resulting from AQ policy. Hence a carefully designed regulatory approach for short-lived pollutants could both mitigate some of the effects of global warming and improve AQ, while a poorly designed approach could improve AQ but accelerate warming.



Correspondence to: D. Shindell
(drew.t.shindell@nasa.gov)

To aid in formulation of policies to address both AQ and climate change, we performed a series of simulations examining the impact of specific emission sectors on radiatively active short-lived species using composition and climate models from the Goddard Institute for Space Studies (GISS) and the Community Atmosphere Model (CAM) model, developed in collaboration with the National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR). The use of two models allows us to characterize the robustness of the results to different plausible representations of chemical and physical processes, at least to a limited extent. In addition to calculating RF from short-lived species (in the GISS model), we also estimate the RF from long-lived species for comparison. These species were not simulated by the composition models, so their forcing estimates were instead based on the carbon dioxide (CO₂) and methane (CH₄) emissions from each sector. We concentrate here on the near-term forcing over the next two decades from potential present-day emissions perturbations. This timescale is chosen to be long enough that the climate system would have sufficient time to respond to a substantial portion of the forcing from short-lived species, which occurs virtually right away following emissions changes, but not to be so long that AQ-related emissions would likely diverge enormously from their current levels (the influence of the choice of time horizon is discussed further in Sect. 5).

These experiments were done in support of the US Climate Change Science Program (CCSP) Synthesis and Assessment Product 3.2: “Climate Projections Based on Emission Scenarios for Long-lived and Short-lived Radiatively Active Gases and Aerosols”. They were designed to examine the climate forcing due to short-lived species in a policy-relevant way by isolating the influence of economic activities subject to regulation and/or reduction in usage (e.g. by improved efficiency). We look at 30% reductions in total emissions from domestic fuel usage (residential and commercial fossil and biofuel burning), surface transportation, and from a combined industry and power generation sector. These three are the main anthropogenic sources for emission of short-lived species and their precursors. Note that the combined industry/power sector can be largely separated into its two components for aerosols, as sulfur emissions are largely from power generation while carbonaceous aerosol emissions are mostly from industrial processes (use of the combined sector saves computational resources). Since we consider across-the-board reductions in emissions from a given sector, our results are useful for assessing the potential impacts of reductions in total power/fuel usage rather than changes in the mix of power generation/transportation types or in emissions control technologies targeted at specific pollutants. However, we examine both the net forcing from each sector and the contribution from individual species, so that both the sectors and individual pollutants within sectors that are the most attractive climate mitigation targets can be determined. The responses to separate emissions perturbations in both

North America (60–130 W, 25–60 N) and developing Asia (60–130 E, 0–50 N) are studied. These two regions were chosen based on their being the focus of CCSP interest and being the largest current emitter of many pollutants, respectively. This work complements prior regional and sectoral perturbations studies examining the response of gas-phase species only (Berntsen et al., 2005; Fuglestedt et al., 1999; Derwent et al., 2001), aerosol species only (Koch et al., 2007), or both but with a subset of the species included here (Unger et al., 2008) or for a single sector for the entire globe (Fuglestedt et al., 2008).

2 Composition and climate models

The components of the GISS model for Physical Understanding of Composition-Climate INteractions and Impacts (G-PUCCINI) version used here include photochemistry from the surface to the mesosphere (Shindell et al., 2006), and sulfate, carbonaceous, sea salt, nitrate, and mineral dust aerosols (Koch et al., 2007; Bauer et al., 2006; Miller et al., 2006). Dust and sea-salt are segregated into size bins, nitrate and sulfate aerosols have fine and coarse modes, while only total mass is simulated for carbonaceous aerosols. The components interact with one another, with linkages including oxidants affecting sulfate, gas-phase nitrogen species affecting nitrate, sulfate affecting nitrogen heterogeneous chemistry, thermodynamic ammonium nitrate formation being affected by sulfate, sea salt and mineral dust (Metzger et al., 2006), and sulfate and nitrate being absorbed onto mineral dust surfaces (Bauer et al., 2006; Bauer et al., 2007). As in our prior CCSP simulations (Shindell et al., 2007), the enhanced convective scavenging of aerosols in Koch et al. (2007) was not included, leading to a higher burden of these species relative to that study but values still roughly consistent with observations. The simulations described here were run using a 4 by 5 degree horizontal resolution version of the ModelE climate model with 23-layers extending from the surface to ~0.01 hPa (Schmidt et al., 2006). Chemistry and dynamics are fully coupled in the model (i.e. composition is simulated online rather than using previously saved meteorological fields).

Simulations were also performed using the Community Atmosphere Model CAM3 (Collins et al., 2006) coupled to the Model for Ozone and Related Tracers (MOZART) chemistry (Horowitz et al., 2003). MOZART tropospheric chemistry is an extension of the mechanism presented in Horowitz et al. (2003); changes include an updated terpene oxidation scheme and a better treatment of anthropogenic and natural non-methane hydrocarbons (NMHCs) treated up to isoprene, toluene and monoterpenes. Aerosols have been extended from the work by Lamarque et al. (2005) to include actively simulated sea-salt and dust aerosols (each segregated into 4 bin sizes, from fine mode to coarse mode) and a representation of ammonium nitrate that is dependent on sulfate

following the parameterization of gas/aerosol partitioning by Metzger et al. (2002). The chemical and physical processes included are similar to those in the GISS model, with the exception that there is no uptake of sulfate and nitrate onto mineral dust. CAM includes secondary organic aerosols, however, which GISS does not, and these are linked to the gas-phase chemistry through the oxidation of atmospheric NMHCs as in Chung and Seinfeld (2002). Only the bulk mass is calculated for aerosols other than sea-salt and dust, and all aerosols are radiatively active. The horizontal resolution is 2 by 2.5 degrees, with 26 levels from the surface to ~ 4 hPa, and this model also includes coupled chemistry and dynamics.

Present-day simulations of trace gases and aerosols in both models agree fairly well with observations over North America for all species, while sulfate, BC, OC and CO are all biased low over developing Asia (Shindell et al., 2006; Koch et al., 2007; Horowitz et al., 2003; Lamarque et al., 2005). Such biases are common among models, and have been linked to underestimates of developing Asian emissions in current inventories (e.g. Arellano et al., 2004; Pétron et al., 2004; Bergamaschi et al., 2000). Ratios of positive and negative forcing agents, e.g. BC/OC or SO_4/BC , are fairly reasonable in both regions, however. Neither model included indirect aerosol effects or radiative forcing from changes in black carbon deposition onto snow and ice surfaces.

3 Experimental setup

Six simulations were performed, each reducing present-day emissions by 30% in one sector for one region. Reductions are uniform for all species in a given sector, and we maintain the same temporal and spatial emission patterns. By using present-day emissions, the results are not tied to any particular future scenario. We use IIASA 2000 sectoral emissions (Table 1) in both models, based on the 1995 EDGAR3.2 inventory extrapolated to 2000 using national and sector economic development data (Dentener et al., 2005). The exception is biomass burning emissions, which are averages over 1997–2002 from (Van der Werf et al., 2003) with emission factors from (Andreae and Merlet, 2001) for aerosols (again in both models). The CAM model did not perform the transportation sector simulations.

All simulations kept long-lived greenhouse gases fixed at present day values as these were (generally) not part of the composition models that were used here, which instead focused on shorter-lived pollutants. Changes in CO_2 and CH_4 in response to changes in anthropogenic emissions of those gases are relatively straightforward to estimate to first-order, and do not require full 3-D chemical-transport models (though such estimates do not include all relevant feedbacks, such as the response of the carbon cycle to climate change). RF from changes in emissions of long-lived species based on such estimates is discussed in Sect. 5. Our primary goal,




Fig. 1. Annual average surface pollutant response to 30% reduction in Developing Asian domestic fuel burning sector emissions in the GISS (left) and CAM (right) models for the indicated pollutants.

however, is to use the composition-climate models to calculate the RF from all the short-lived species and hence to identify the relative contributions of the sectors and regions. As the forcings were expected to be small, we concentrate on the RF metric, which has little interannual variability, rather than the climate response (which would have a much lower signal-to-noise ratio).

We first compare changes in the surface concentrations relevant for AQ. We then examine burdens of radiatively active species, the optical depth for aerosols, and finally RF (though CAM did not calculate RF). To average out meteorological variability, we analyze the last 10 years of 11-year runs (use of the last 8 gives values with negligible differences to using the last 10) relative to an analogous control run. As these runs had constant present-day greenhouse gases and constant year 2000 emissions of short-lived species, they are representative of general present-day conditions rather than any particular years.

4 Response to reductions in emissions of short-lived species

4.1 Surface pollutants

Annual average regional reductions take place for all the major pollutants in both models in response to reductions in emissions from developing Asia domestic fuel burning (Fig. 1). The reductions have a similar spatial pattern in

Table 1. Regional annual average emissions by sector (Tg/yr).

Sector		NO _x	CO	SO ₂	BC	OC	CO ₂	CH ₄
Industrial/Power:	North America	2.7	7	8.8	0.003	0.003	3890	5.8
	Developing Asia	3.4	4	16.6	0.17	0.22	5285	6.0
Domestic:	North America	0.3	6	0.2	0.06	0.02	745	0
	Developing Asia	0.5	126	2.8	2.09	6.80	920	0
Surface	North America	3.5	70	0.5	0.18	0.24	1740	0
Transportation:	Developing Asia	3.4	38	1.1	0.43	0.60	693	0

Emissions are in Tg N/yr for NO_x, Tg S/yr for SO₂, in Tg C/yr for BC and OC, and Tg/yr of the species for others. CO₂ emissions are from the EDGAR 2000 inventory (updated from Olivier and Berdowski, 2001). The industry and power generation sector includes the EDGAR categories industrial, power generation, other transformation sector (refineries, coke ovens, gas works, etc.), non-energy use and chemical feedstocks, oil production, transmission and handling, and cement manufacturing. Surface transportation includes on and off road transport and international shipping. Domestic includes residential and commercial fossil fuel usage and residential biofuel usage. Methane emissions are based on the coal burning source from (Fung et al., 1991). Sources for other emissions are given in Sect. 3.




Fig. 2. As Fig. 1 but for 30% reduction in North American industrial and power generation emissions (decreases in BC are all less than 20 pptv, and hence are not shown).

the two models for both BC and sulfate, but the sulfate reductions are much larger in the CAM model than in the GISS model. The total change in particulate is dominated by BC, however, which shows decreases of similar magnitude ($\sim 20\%$ greater in the CAM model) and a nearly identical spatial structure in the two models. If we focus in on the $\sim 15\,000\text{ km}^2$ with the largest reductions (a scale more suitable for pollution studies, yet not so small as to overstate the abilities of comparatively coarse-grid global models), we find a similar result, with BC concentration reductions roughly an order of magnitude greater than those for sulfate, and an approximately 20% larger response in the CAM model (Table 2). Interestingly, the summer surface BC response is larger than the annual mean response in the GISS model, but smaller in the CAM model. Differences in seasonality may be related to variations in how the models simulate convective transport, planetary boundary layer

heights, or the transformation of BC from hydrophobic to hydrophilic. Reductions in surface ozone are generally small, with maxima of about 1–1.5 ppbv in both models but a response that extends over a larger, more spatially coherent area in the GISS model (Fig. 1). The surface ozone reduction in the GISS model also shows a distinct local maximum over the Yellow Sea co-located with the largest decreases in surface aerosols, while the CAM model does not. Local ozone reductions increase to levels of 1.5–3.5 ppbv during boreal summer over parts of India, China and Korea in the GISS model, but not in the CAM model. Both these annual and summer increases are statistically significant in the GISS model (all significance is based on the 95% confidence level derived from the interannual variability in the simulations). Reductions in surface pollution in areas far from the source region are not generally statistically significant in these simulations.

Decreases in North American industrial/power emissions likewise lead to decreases in local pollutant levels (Fig. 2), with the exception of BC for which no significant changes are seen. Sulfate decreases exhibit very similar spatial structures in the two models, with maxima over the Ohio River valley and reductions of more than 125 pptv extending over most of the Eastern US. In contrast to the response to reduced developing Asia domestic emissions, in this case the GISS sulfate response is $\sim 20\%$ larger than its CAM counterpart. Ozone reductions are stronger, and extend over a larger area, in the GISS model as well, as they were in the developing Asia domestic case, with both models showing the greatest response near the western US Gulf Coast. Again the ozone reductions increase to 2–3.5 ppbv during summer over most of the eastern US in the GISS model, but not in the CAM results. Variations in the ozone response in the two models may be related to differences in incorporation of NMHCs and in gas-aerosol coupling (Sect. 2). There is also a significant remote response over Greenland in the GISS model (1.5–

Table 2. Local change in surface pollutants (pptv) due to 30% emissions reductions in the given region and sector.

Region and sector	summer SO ₄	summer BC	annual SO ₄	annual BC
North America domestic	[105]	[-62]	[18]	[-53]
	-198	[-70]	[-44]	[-14]
North America surface transportation	[75]	-235	[36]	-169
	NA	NA	NA	NA
North America industry/power	-383	[-47]	-268	[-14]
	-285	[21]	-164	[12]
Developing Asia domestic	-127	-2430	[-64]	-1630
	-264	-1815	-228	-2049
Developing Asia surface transportation	[-119]	-791	[-41]	-436
	NA	NA	NA	NA
Developing Asia industry/power	-558	-612	-328	-280
	-264	-178	-242	-309

Values are averages over comparable areas ($\sim 15\,000\text{ km}^2$) containing local maxima with the first row giving results from the GISS PUCINI model and the second from the CAM MOZART model for each sector. Values in brackets are not statistically significant (significance is based on the 95% confidence level derived from the interannual variability).

3.5 ppbv summertime decreases), consistent with the strong influence of North American emissions on surface pollution levels there (Stohl, 2006).

In all cases other than North America domestic fuel burning, substantial local reduction in both annual and summer surface particulate concentrations result from the regional sector emissions reductions (Table 2). They are especially large for the developing Asia domestic case, but are also clear in the response to industrial/power and transportation emissions reductions in both regions. The annual average reductions are generally quite similar in the two models, with differences of $<30\%$ for all but one of the statistically significant local responses (Table 2) and in the large-scale aerosol responses (Figs. 1 and 2). Differences between the two models are much greater for seasonal pollutant responses (Table 2). Shifts in oxidants in response to ozone precursor emission decreases can sometimes outweigh effects of reductions in sulfur-dioxide emissions, leading to increases in surface sulfate in these emission reduction experiments (Table 2). Increases in surface aerosols are not statistically significant, however. Nearly all the aerosols at the surface are in fine mode, so that the PM_{2.5} (particulate matter with a mean radius of <2.5 microns) concentration changes are approximately the sum of the BC and sulfate concentration changes given in Table 2 (additional contributions from OC and nitrate are comparatively small).

4.2 Burdens and aerosol optical depths

We now turn to global scale changes and their potential influence on climate. We begin by examining the global annual average change in the tropospheric burdens of radiatively active species in the two models (Table 3). The models' sulfate responses are quite consistent with one another. The

largest changes are decreases of the global sulfate burden by $\sim 5.5\%$ for reductions in developing Asia industrial/power emissions, by $\sim 3\text{--}4\%$ for reductions in North American industrial/power emissions, and by $0.6\text{--}0.8\%$ for reductions in developing Asia domestic fuel burning emissions. The two models' changes in the sulfate burden in Tg agree within $5\text{--}12\%$ (Table 3). Both models produce small decreases in oxidants which contribute to the decreased sulfate burdens. Changes in a particular oxidant can differ by a factor of two or more between the two models, but they are consistent in being small in both cases, with decreases typically about $2\text{--}3\%$ for ozone and less than 1% for OH and hydrogen peroxide. These reductions are clearly smaller than the sulfate burden decreases in the industrial/power sector experiments. Interestingly, the only case in which an oxidant increases is in the Developing Asia Domestic sector experiment, in which OH increases slightly in both models (0.3% in GISS, 0.5% in CAM). Nevertheless, the sulfate burdens decreased, indicating that as in the industrial/power sector runs, changes in sulfate precursor emissions were the primary driver of the burden changes. Changes in BC and ozone burdens are less consistent than those in sulfate between the two models. Responses are generally larger in the GISS model. This is especially so for BC burden changes in response to reductions in developing Asia emissions, in which cases the GISS model responses are about a factor of $2.5\text{--}3$ times greater than the CAM model responses.

The climate influence of aerosols is not determined solely by the change in concentration or mass, but by the resulting change in optical properties. To examine this, we compare the AOD changes in the two models. AOD calculations are based upon Mie scattering theory. Dry particle sizes are prescribed for each aerosol type, with actual sizes and scattering efficiencies dependent upon uptake of water in both models

Table 3. Tropospheric burden changes due to 30% emissions reductions in the given region and sector (Tg and %).

Region and sector	SO ₄	SO ₄	BC	BC	O ₃	O ₃
	GISS	CAM	GISS	CAM	GISS	CAM
North America domestic	[−0.0020] (−0.1%)	[−0.0006] (−0.1%)	−0.0029 (−1.1%)	−0.0017 (−0.8%)	[0.12] (−0.4%)	−0.65 (−2.3%)
North America surface transportation	[−0.0029] (−0.2%)	NA	−0.0015 (−0.6%)	NA	−0.69 (−2.2%)	NA
North America industry/power	−0.0460 (−2.9%)	−0.0484 (−3.7%)	[−0.0006] (−0.2%)	[0.0004] (0.2%)	−1.20 (−3.8%)	−0.55 (−2.0%)
Developing Asia domestic	−0.0091 (−0.6%)	−0.0101 (−0.8%)	−0.0302 (−11.6%)	−0.0093 (−4.4%)	−1.14 (−3.6%)	−0.65 (−2.3%)
Developing Asia surface transportation	−0.0068 (−0.4%)	NA	−0.0056 (−2.2%)	NA	−1.31 (−4.1%)	NA
Developing Asia industry/power	−0.0834 (−5.3%)	−0.0733 (−5.6%)	−0.0027 (−1.0%)	−0.0009 (−0.4%)	−0.87 (−2.8%)	−0.55 (−2.0%)

Values in brackets are not statistically significant (as in Table 2). Values in parentheses are the percent change relative to each model's own burden in its control run.




Fig. 3. Annual average total aerosol optical depth change due to 30% reductions in emissions from the given region and economic sector in the GISS (top) and CAM (bottom) models. Changes greater than ~ 0.012 are statistically significant (95%). Values in the upper right give the global annual mean.

(Lamarque et al., 2005; Koch et al., 2007). The greatest reduction in AOD for the developing Asia perturbations is in the domestic case, while for North American it is in the industrial/power case. The GISS and CAM models show similar AOD decreases for these two perturbation experiments (Fig. 3). The two models' responses are highly correlated spatially ($R=0.8$) and the global mean values differ by only 20–30%. For North American industrial/power emissions, the main aerosol change is sulfate. The values of the global mean change in sulfate AOD in the two models are within 30%, and the spatial correlation of the AOD decreases is $R=0.9$ (the total AOD change is so strongly dominated by sulfate in this case that the response pattern and magnitude are virtually identical to those shown in Fig. 3). The models

are even consistent in showing a reduction in sulfate AOD over East Asia due to decreases in oxidants stemming from reduced emissions of ozone precursors in North America. Note that the $\sim 30\%$ difference in the AOD change between the models is larger than the $\sim 10\%$ difference in the burden change.

For developing Asia domestic sector emission reductions, BC is the largest aerosol change (in absolute or percentage terms). As noted, the total AOD changes in the two models are similar (Fig. 3). In this case, the contribution to AOD from BC was not available for the CAM model, though total carbonaceous aerosol AOD changes were recorded. The AOD change from BC+OC is -0.0013 in the GISS model and -0.0008 in the CAM model. Much of the 47% greater response in the GISS model appears to be due to a larger effect of OC on the AOD (the GISS AOD change due to OC is -0.0008 , as large as the total AOD change from BC+OC in the CAM model). However, there may be important differences in the AOD change due to BC as well, to which the greater BC burden change in the GISS model certainly contributes. Also in the developing Asia domestic case, the AOD change from sulfate is twice as large in the CAM model as in the GISS model (-0.0004 and -0.0002 , respectively). This is consistent with the sulfate burden changes (Table 3), though AOD shows a larger relative difference than burden. Conversely, the BC burden changes differ much more than the AOD changes due to carbonaceous aerosols. This highlights how AOD (and RF) depend on several factors, including aerosol optical properties, water uptake, and vertical distribution, as well as on aerosol mass.

Hence for industrial/power sector emissions reductions, where sulfate is the dominant aerosol change, the total aerosol response appears to be reasonably robust across these two models in terms of the burden change and the magnitude




Fig. 4. Annual average instantaneous tropopause radiative forcing (mW/m^2) from short-lived species in the GISS model due to 30% reductions in emissions from the given region and economic sector. Values include the direct forcing from ozone and aerosols, but not indirect aerosol or chemical effects (O_3 LT, methane indirect, and sulfate indirect in Table 4). Area weighted averages over 60–90 N, 28–60 N, and 28 S to 28 N are given on the edges. Significance thresholds (95%) are roughly 100 mW/m^2 , so that local responses are generally significant while of the “remote” responses only the negative forcing covering much of the NH in the developing Asia domestic sector simulation is significant.

and spatial pattern of AOD changes. For the domestic fuel burning sector emissions reductions, where BC has the greatest impact on climate, the aerosol changes are less robust between the two models for either the decrease in atmospheric mass of BC or the AOD reductions due to individual aerosol species. Nevertheless, the total AOD change due to reductions in emissions from this sector is fairly similar in both magnitude and spatial pattern (Fig. 3). Although decreases in absorbing and reflective aerosols will clearly have opposing influences on climate, both models find decreases in sulfate burdens of $<1\%$ and substantially greater percentage reductions in BC burdens. Combined with the larger forcing per Tg BC than sulfate, this indicates that RF will be dominated by BC changes and hence that the aerosol forcing is at least qualitatively consistent in these models, especially in its spatial structure (see also Sect. 4.3).

4.3 Radiative forcing

RF was calculated in the GISS model (Fig. 4), and is given by the difference between instantaneous tropopause radiative fluxes calculated with and without the presence of each individual species, compared to the same calculation in the control run. Unsurprisingly, the results are closely related to the AOD changes. The spatial pattern of the AOD changes is in fact highly correlated ($R=0.7$) with the areas of substantial (greater than $\pm 100 \text{ mW/m}^2$) RF in the GISS model in the

cases where the net RF from short-lived species emissions is greatest: developing Asia domestic and North American industrial/power (Fig. 3 versus Fig. 4). Correlation values either with total RF, as in Fig. 4, or with aerosol RF only are within 0.1 of each other, emphasizing the dominance of aerosol forcing over ozone forcing in the largest local RF changes. Hence the AOD serves as a useful rough indicator of RF, especially for regions where the forcing is greatest. In the industrial/power cases, where AOD changes are largely from sulfate and are in reasonable agreement in the models, both the magnitude and spatial pattern of the RF are also likely to be quite consistent. The standard deviation in global mean RF per unit global mean AOD was 32% in a recent model intercomparison (Schulz et al., 2006), however, so that the total GISS/CAM intermodel variation in RF from aerosols may be slightly greater than that for AOD. Part of the enhanced variability in RF versus AOD (and the discrepancy between contributions of individual species to AOD and RF) likely comes from the dependence of forcing on the vertical position of aerosols relative to clouds. As global AOD observations are largely clear-sky, it is difficult to evaluate this aspect of the simulations. Note that the overall aerosol RF uncertainty in both models would be substantially larger including aerosol indirect effects.

Table 4. Global mean radiative forcing due to 30% emissions reductions in the given region and sector in the GISS model (mW/m^2).

	North America domestic	North America surface transportation	North America industry and power	Developing Asia domestic	Developing Asia surface transportation	Developing Asia industry and power
<i>Short-lived Emissions</i>						
Sulfate, direct	0	-3	14	0	2	13
Sulfate, indirect	0	-5	24	0	3	21
Black carbon	-3	-5	-2	-42	-8	-4
Organic carbon	2	0	-1	13	1	0
Nitrate	1	1	0	1	2	-1
All aerosols	0	-12	35	-28	0	29
Ozone, ST	2	-5	5	-12	-5	-1
Ozone, LT	0	1	-2	-1	3	-1
CH ₄ , indirect	1	4	2	-2	8	6
All short-lived	4	-14	42	-41	1	34
<i>Long-lived Emissions</i>						
CO ₂ , 20 year	-8	-21	-48	-9	-8	-60
CH ₄ , direct	0	0	-8	0	0	-9
Total	-4	-35	-14	-50	-6	-35

Values are instantaneous tropopause forcings annually averaged and are grouped by the timescales for the emissions causing the indicated forcings. For methane, indirect forcing via changes in oxidants is included with ozone and aerosols in “all short-lived”, while direct forcing from reductions in methane emissions is given under long-lived. For ozone, short-term (ST) response is that due to changes in ozone precursor and aerosol emissions, while long-term (LT) is that due to changes in methane (see text for additional details). Significance thresholds (90%) for individual forcings are $\sim 4 \text{ mW}/\text{m}^2$.

In the developing Asia domestic case, the total AOD changes are again comparable in magnitude and distribution. However, the contribution from sulfate (a cooling agent) differs substantially, and given the large difference in BC burden changes and in carbonaceous aerosol optical depths (from warming BC and cooling OC), the net RF in the CAM model may have a substantial different magnitude than that in the GISS model. Nonetheless, the relatively consistent response of total AOD in the GISS and CAM models (Fig. 3) suggests that the spatial pattern of RF will be fairly consistent.

We have evaluated the RF due to reductions in the emissions of short-lived species and their precursors in the GISS model for each individual forcing agent (Table 4). In addition to the direct forcing due to ozone and aerosol changes, we include within the response to short-lived emissions several indirect effects. The indirect effects of aerosols on clouds are thought to be important, but the RF from these effects is quite uncertain, with recent model estimates differing by roughly a factor of five (Penner et al., 2006). Hence rather than calculate these forcings directly in the models (which is computationally expensive as well as uncertain), we estimate their magnitude as in Fuglestad et al. (2008) in which the indirect forcing is simply 1.5 times the direct sulfate forcing over land and 2 times the direct sulfate forcing over oceans, based on (Kvalevåg and Myhre, 2007).

We also perform an offline calculation of the steady-state methane response to changes in modeled oxidants for all six experiments. With methane prescribed at present-day values, changes in modeled methane oxidation are due solely to changes in oxidizing agents. Note that the model’s oxidation rate fully captures spatial and seasonal variations. We also include the feedback of methane on its own lifetime (using $\delta \ln(\text{OH}) = -0.32 \delta \ln(\text{CH}_4)$ as recommended in Prather et al., 2001). Finally, we calculate the RF from these indirect methane changes as in Ramaswamy et al. (2001). We consider the direct response of methane to methane emissions changes to be part of the response to emissions of long-lived species (Sect. 5), but include the indirect response of methane to oxidant changes as part of the RF due to short-lived species emissions (as it results from change in emissions of short-lived species and their precursors). Tropospheric ozone changes take place with two distinct timescales. A nearly instantaneous response, which we term O₃ short-term (ST) occurs as a result of changes in emissions of NO_x, CO, VOCs and SO₂, while a slower response, O₃ long-term (LT) occurs owing to the decadal timescale change in methane due to these same emission changes. The former is calculated by the composition model, while the latter is estimated based on the methane response to oxidant changes. As both effects result from change in emissions of short-lived species, both are included in the short-lived portion of Table 4.

We can now examine the contribution of individual species to the RF from emissions perturbations in the GISS model and compare the totals across sectors and regions. The effect of the perturbations is generally larger for developing Asian than North American emissions. The only RF from an individual species to reach 10 mW/m^2 from a North American perturbation is the sulfate forcing from industrial/power emissions. In contrast, forcings from sulfate, BC, OC and ozone exceed 10 mW/m^2 in response to perturbations in developing Asia, with the largest response due to decreased BC when domestic fuel burning emissions are reduced (-42 mW/m^2). The total RF due to reduced short-lived species emissions does not show such a simple relationship between the two regions. The net RF from short-lived species shows comparably large values for both regions for the industry/power generation sector ($\sim 30\text{--}40 \text{ mW/m}^2$), a larger response to surface transportation for North America, and larger response to domestic fuel burning emissions reductions from developing Asia. The largest positive forcing comes from the industrial/power sector, while the greatest negative forcing comes from developing Asia domestic fuel burning, whose net RF is almost exactly equal to the RF from BC alone. For this sector, the net short-lived emission RF for developing Asia is an order of magnitude larger than for North America, primarily owing to the much larger RF from BC decreases. Conversely, the net RF from short-lived emissions from the surface transportation sector is an order of magnitude larger for North America than for developing Asia, largely due to the difference in the sulfur content of fuels.

Coupling between aerosols and oxidants is clear in the responses. Ozone increases in response to reductions in North American emissions from the domestic or industrial/power sectors despite reduced emissions of ozone precursors, especially NO_x for which the industrial/power sector in particular is a large source. This response is due to reductions in SO_2 emissions, which lead to altered radiative fluxes and to reduced heterogeneous nitrogen chemistry on sulfate surfaces (Bell et al., 2005; Liao and Seinfeld, 2005). Analogous results were seen previously for the response of ozone to emissions changes in these sectors in 2030 (Unger et al., 2008). Similarly, sulfate abundances are enhanced in response to reductions in North American surface transportation emissions. This occurs because reductions in CO and VOC emissions shift the OH/ HO_2 ratio toward OH, enhancing gas-phase sulfate formation. This indirect influence of oxidant changes outweighs the direct impact of reduced SO_2 emissions for North American surface transportation, with low-sulfur fuels, but the opposite is true for developing Asia surface transportation emissions (Table 4).

The spatial pattern of the RF reveals that aside from developing Asia surface transportation and North America domestic, the RF in response to emissions reduction from the other four region/sector combinations all show substantial forcing extending over large parts of the Northern Hemisphere (NH)

(Fig. 4). This “remote” forcing is in addition to a strongly localized maximum near the source region.

Though forcings were calculated for the GISS model only, we have shown that values for RF from sulfate are likely to be fairly consistent in the two models given the similarity in modeled AOD changes, indicating that the response to industrial/power sector perturbations is relatively robust. Uncertainties in the response of BC are larger, and could have a substantial effect on RF. Given the dominance of BC in the RF from the domestic fuel burning sector, the net RF may be different in magnitude than that shown here but is likely to be a substantial negative value despite uncertainties. The RF from tropospheric ozone is also uncertain given the large differences in the burden changes in the two models. This will lead to additional uncertainty in the net RF, especially for the surface transportation sector for which the ozone response plays a substantial role, albeit still a small one compared to the influence of aerosols.

5 Relative importance of long-lived and short-lived emissions

To explore the total forcing in response to both short- and long-lived species emissions reductions from our three sectors, we calculate forcing based on the estimated atmospheric carbon dioxide response to 30% reductions in current emissions from each sector (Table 4). For these calculations, we use sectoral CO_2 emissions from a year 2000 inventory (updated from Olivier and Berdowski, 2001). Atmospheric concentration changes and the resulting forcing are calculated for the 20-year time horizon, as in the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change Third Assessment Report (Ramaswamy et al., 2001). We believe that using a longer time horizon, such as the 50 or 100 year values also used in that report, is not as useful for comparison with forcing from short-lived emissions. As short-lived species responses times are less than a year, use of a many decades long timescale would in a sense be assuming that changes in short-lived species precursor emissions took place and then were maintained without further modification for another 50 or 100 years, an implausible scenario for most emission changes. For example, mandating a shift from incandescent to more efficient compact fluorescent lighting (CFL) during the next 10 years would lead to a reduction in emissions from power generation relative to continued use of the less efficient technology. While the resulting decrease in CO_2 emissions would indeed still affect atmospheric concentrations a century later, short-lived species emissions resulting from power generation to provide lighting at that time will depend upon the power consumption of 22nd Century lighting equipment, which is unlikely to have any clear relationship with a present-day mandate for CFL technology. Hence the 50 and 100 year time horizons are not well-suited to short-lived species emissions. Conversely, using a very short timescale of only a

few years or less would overemphasize the response to short-lived species emissions. This response is much faster than the response to long-lived species emissions and can give opposite forcing to the longer term response when looking at all effects (Table 4) or even when looking only at the effect of short-lived precursor emissions (Wild et al., 2001; Fuglestedt et al., 1999). While the RF response to short-lived species emissions changes is nearly instantaneous (typically days to months for all but those forcing that take place via methane changes), we believe the 20-year time horizon provides a reasonable balance between the effects of long- and short-lived species, is a widely used time horizon in the literature, and is consistent with timescales for air quality policy. Using a longer time horizon would of course give larger relative importance to forcing from long-lived emissions, and vice-versa, and we stress that there is no “best” timescale.

We also calculate the methane response to 30% reductions in regional fossil fuel methane emissions. Emissions are taken from (Fung et al., 1991), with the coal burning source assigned to the power generation sector. As noted previously, simulations did not include interactive methane. We instead calculate the response of methane to the reduced emissions offline, again including the feedback of methane on its own lifetime. We also include the longer-term response of ozone to the methane changes, the O₃ LT response discussed previously. While strictly speaking this portion of the O₃ LT response should be included under long-term emissions, the values are so small that we group all the O₃ responses together in Table 4. As with the indirect methane response to short-lived precursor emissions, RF is calculated as in Ramaswamy et al. (2001).

Looking at the response to long-lived emissions alone, reductions in North American industrial/power generation sector emissions are more than twice as effective in mitigating RF as reductions in the surface transportation sector. The inclusion of the response to emissions of short-lived species alters the comparison markedly, with net total RF from North American surface transportation emissions reductions now more than double that for the North American industrial/power generation sector. For developing Asia, the industrial/power generation sector is by far the most effective for CO₂, and long-lived emissions in general, but the inclusion of the response to short-lived species emissions makes the total net RF from the domestic fuel burning sector larger. Hence the inclusion of RF due to short-lived species emissions reductions strongly shifts the relative importance of emissions from the different economic sectors when looking at the 20-year time horizon. Relative to the values due to CO₂ and CH₄ (direct), adding the effects of short-lived pollutants increases the forcing from the developing Asia domestic fuel burning sector several times over, enhances the forcing from North American transportation sector emissions by $\sim 2/3$, and reduces the net forcing from industrial/power emissions by $\sim 50\text{--}75\%$. We reiterate that the timescales for these species differ dramatically, so that short-term and long-

term total forcings can be substantially different from one another. This is especially important in the case of industrial/power generation emissions reductions, for which the short and long term forcings are of the opposite sign. They would hence lead to near-term warming but long-term cooling. In the cases where emissions reductions lead to substantial negative forcing via short-lived emissions, developing Asia domestic fuel burning and North America surface transportation, inclusion of the short-lived pollutants dramatically enhances near-term climate change mitigation potentials of these sectors relative to consideration of the effects of long-lived emissions alone.

6 Discussion and conclusion

The key results for radiative forcing can be summarized as follows. Reductions in surface transportation emissions in North America have a net cooling effect (negative forcing). Negative forcing from long-lived emissions is compounded by negative forcing from short-lived emissions, which results from the net effect of several factors of comparable magnitude: (1) reductions in BC (which is largely from diesel in this sector), (2) reduction in ozone precursors, which leads to direct reductions in ozone and indirect increases in methane (via reduced oxidation capacity), and (3) decreases in CO and VOC emissions which shift the OH/HO₂ ratio toward OH, thereby indirectly leading to increased sulfate (Table 4), as noted previously. Note that the contrasting influence of OH changes on sulfate and methane reflects the difference between the more global methane oxidation and the more regional sulfate oxidation, and implies that these indirect effects are quite sensitive to the NO_x/(CO+VOCs) emission ratio. Direct impacts on sulfate via SO₂ emission changes are small owing to the low-sulfur fuel used in North America. Reductions in developing Asia domestic emissions also have a substantial cooling effect via short-lived species emissions which results primarily from reductions in BC and ozone. There is a net decrease in surface particulate and ozone in both cases (Table 2), and hence reducing emissions from these regional sectors offers a way to simultaneously improve human health and mitigate climate warming. In contrast, industrial/power generation emissions have their largest effect on climate via short-lived emissions through sulfate, and hence the net near-term effect of reductions in short-lived species emissions is a positive forcing.

Overall, developing Asia domestic emissions offer the strongest leverage on near-term climate forcing via short-lived species emissions. This is partially a result of their magnitude, and also because they yield a much greater effect via BC changes than via sulfate changes. It also stems from their occurrence at lower latitudes than North American (or European) emissions, which enhances their photochemical and radiative impacts as incoming radiation is more abundant at lower latitudes. The GISS and CAM results differ in

the magnitude of the total AOD change resulting from the developing Asia domestic sector perturbations by 22%, and this sector/region has the largest influence in both models for both AOD and surface pollution. However, substantial differences are seen in the two models' BC change, which dominates the RF in this case, and further work to understand the differences in the aerosol simulations in these models, which are substantial for present-day climatologies as well as for many aspects of the response to perturbations (Shindell et al., 2008), would clearly be useful.

Identical emissions inventories were used in the two models. Emissions can be difficult to quantify, however, especially for carbonaceous aerosols. The inventory of Bond et al. (2004), for example, has anthropogenic non-biomass burning emissions that are 46% greater for BC and 57% greater for OC for North America than those used here. Similarly, for developing Asia it has 17% less BC and 19% less OC. The 1984 emissions inventory of Cooke et al. (1999) has much larger (26–77%) carbonaceous aerosol emissions for both regions from fossil fuels than Bond et al. (2004). Thus the magnitude of the BC and OC forcings calculated here might be fairly different using one of these other inventories. The sign of the net BC+OC effect appears robust, however, as the ratio of emissions of warming BC to cooling OC is within 10% in the three inventories. The lone exception is that the BC/OC ratio is 40% more for North America in Cooke et al. (1999) compared with Bond et al. (2004). Even this would simply enhance the net cooling effect seen here in response to reduced emissions from the surface transportation sector there, however, and would not dramatically alter our conclusions. Uncertainties in emissions of sulfate and ozone precursors are generally smaller.

The spatial pattern of RF varies substantially between the regional sectors. The RF reduction from decreases in developing Asia domestic emissions extends over much of the NH (Fig. 4). Hence emission changes from this region could in some cases have a larger near-term effect on the RF in other parts of the NH via short-lived species emissions than changes in those areas' own local emissions. Additionally, reductions in transportation or domestic sector emissions cause zonal mean RF due to short-lived species emissions of the same sign throughout the NH, but reductions in industrial/power emissions induce a substantial negative RF in the Arctic while yielding positive RF at lower latitudes in the GISS model (Fig. 4). In this instance, sulfate reductions dominate the forcing closer to the emissions at lower latitudes, while BC and ozone are the most important (and roughly comparable) in the Arctic.

The response of AQ, especially particulate, appears to be more robust than the climate forcing across models. This is perhaps not surprising given that RF depends not only on concentrations, but also on such things as water uptake of aerosols, the vertical distribution of aerosols and ozone, and the position of ozone and aerosols relative to clouds. Surface ozone amounts are determined by complex, non-linear

photochemistry involving an assortment of NMHCs, so that differences in the models' chemical mechanisms may play a role in the greater uncertainties in surface ozone than in surface aerosols. Additionally, surface pollution levels are particularly important to human health in highly polluted areas, which often cover small areas and are difficult to simulate correctly in relatively low-resolution global models. Thus although the responses are similar in these two models, they may suffer from biases common to both. Hence our ability to predict both the AQ and RF responses to emissions perturbations has important limitations due to the relatively coarse resolution and simplified aerosol and cloud physics used in current global models.

Our analyses suggest that changes in aerosol emissions often have the largest potential leverage on near-term climate forcing via short-lived species emissions. Due to large inter-model differences, the role of ozone changes cannot yet be as clearly assessed, although it is likely that these will have a smaller but still important effect both on air quality and climate. Further work is required to more thoroughly characterize the robustness of these conclusions across a larger number of models and emissions inventories, to explore the impact of aerosol mixing and aerosol indirect effects on clouds more fully, to explore additional sectors such as agriculture or sub-sectors such as diesel transportation, and to examine alternative emissions scenarios considering changes in the mix of sources constituting a given sector and the influence of potential technological changes. The latter could be designed to reduce emissions of particular pollutants, while not affecting others. Our results for the RF from individual species provide a guide to the potential impact of such technologies. However, we note that these technologies could also have effects on overall fuel consumption by altering the efficiency of a particular process.

While there are many difficulties associated with inclusion of short-lived species emissions in international treaties (Rypdal et al., 2005; Bond and Sun, 2005), there are also many tangible near-term benefits in human health, agriculture and forestry that result from improved AQ. Hence a strategy with a dual focus on both AQ and climate change mitigation may present a unique opportunity to engage parties and nations not yet fully committed to climate change mitigation for its own sake. Although this is only an initial study, this work suggests that reducing emissions from the developing Asia domestic fuel burning and North America surface transportation sectors may be among the best options for pursuing such a strategy, providing substantial benefits for air quality while simultaneously contributing to climate change mitigation.

Acknowledgements. We thank the NASA Atmospheric Chemistry Modeling and Analysis Program (ACMAP). JFL was supported by the SciDAC project from the Department of Energy. This research used resources of the National Energy Research Scientific Computing Center, which is supported by the Office of Science of the US Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC03-

76SF00098. The National Center for Atmospheric Research is operated by the University Corporation for Atmospheric Research under sponsorship of the National Science Foundation.

Edited by: R. Vautard

References

- Andreae, M. O. and Merlet, P.: Emission of trace gases and aerosols from biomass burning, *Global Biogeochem. Cy.*, 15, 955–966, 2001.
- Arellano, A. F. J., Kasibhatla, P. S., Giglio, L., van der Werf, G. R., and Randerson, J. T.: Top-down estimates of global CO sources using mopitt measurements, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 31, L01104, doi:10.1029/2003GL018609, 2004.
- Bauer, S. E., Mishchenko, M. I., Lacis, A., Zhang, S., Perlwitz, J., and Metzger, S. M.: Do sulfate and nitrate coatings on mineral dust have important effects on radiative properties and climate modeling?, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 112, D06307, doi:10.1029/2005JD006977, 2006.
- Bauer, S. E., Koch, D., Unger, N., Metzger, S. M., Shindell, D. T., and Streets, D.: Nitrate aerosols today and in 2030: Importance relative to other aerosol species and tropospheric ozone, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 7, 5043–5059, 2007, <http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/7/5043/2007/>.
- Bell, N., Koch, D., and Shindell, D.: Impacts of chemistry-aerosol coupling on tropospheric ozone and sulfate simulations in a general circulation model, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 110, D14305, doi:10.1029/2004JD005538, 2005.
- Bergamaschi, P., Hein, R., Heimann, M., and Crutzen, P. J.: Inverse modeling of the global CO cycle. I. Inversion of co mixing ratios, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 105, 1909–1928, 2000.
- Berntsen, T. K., Fuglested, J. S., Joshi, M. M., Shine, K. P., Stuber, N., Ponater, M., Sausen, R., Hauglustaine, D. A., and Li, L.: Response of climate to regional emissions of ozone precursors: Sensitivities and warming potentials, *Tellus B*, 57, 283–304, 2005.
- Bond, T., Streets, D., Yarber, K. F., Nelson, S. M., Woo, J.-H., and Klimont, Z.: A technology-based global inventory of black and organic carbon emissions from combustion, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 109, D14203, doi:10.1029/2003JD003697, 2004.
- Bond, T. C. and Sun, H.: Can reducing black carbon emissions counteract global warming?, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 39, 5921–5926, 2005.
- Chung, S. H. and Seinfeld, J. H.: Global distribution and climate forcing of carbonaceous aerosols, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 107, 4407, doi:10.1029/2001JD001397, 2002.
- Collins, W. D., Rasch, P. J., Boville, B. A., Hack, J. J., McCaa, J. R., Williamson, D. L., Briegleb, B. P., Bitz, C. M., Lin, S.-J., and Zhang, M.: The formulation and atmospheric simulation of the Community Atmosphere Model: CAM3, *J. Climate*, 19, 2144–2161, 2006.
- Cooke, W. F., Liousse, C., Cachier, H., and Feichter, J.: Construction of a 1 x 1 fossil fuel emission data set for carbonaceous aerosol and implementation and radiative impact in the ECHAM4 model, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 104, 22 137–22 162, 1999.
- Dentener, F. D., Stevenson, D. S., Cofala, J., Mechler, R., Amann, M., Bergamaschi, P., Raes, F., and Derwent, R. G.: The impact of air pollutant and methane emissions controls on tropospheric ozone and radiative forcing: CTM calculations for the period 1990–2030, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 5, 1731–1755, 2005, <http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/5/1731/2005/>.
- Derwent, R. G., Collins, W. J., Johnson, C. E., and Stevenson, D. S.: Transient behaviour of tropospheric ozone precursors in a global 3-D CTM and their indirect greenhouse effects, *Climatic Change*, 49, 463–487, 2001.
- Fuglested, J., Berntsen, T., Myhre, G., Rypdal, K., and Skeie, R. B.: Climate forcing from the transport sectors, *P. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 105, 454–458, 2008.
- Fuglested, J. S., Berntsen, T. K., Isaksen, I. S. A., Mao, H., Liang, X.-Z., and Wang, W.-C.: Climatic forcing of nitrogen oxides through changes in tropospheric ozone and methane; global 3-D model studies, *Atmos. Environ.*, 33, 961–977, 1999.
- Fung, I., John, J., Lerner, J., Matthews, E., Prather, M., Steele, L. P., and Fraser, P. J.: Three-dimensional model synthesis of the global methane cycle, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 96, 13 033–13 065, 1991.
- Horowitz, L. W., Walters, S., Mauzerall, D. L., Emmons, L. K., Rasch, P. J., Granier, C., Tie, X. X., Lamarque, J.-F., Schultz, M. G., Tyndall, G. S., Orlando, J. J., and Brasseur, G. P.: A global simulation of tropospheric ozone and related tracers: Description and evaluation of MOZART, version 2, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108(D24), 4784, doi:10.1029/2002JD002853, 2003.
- Koch, D., Bond, T., Streets, D., Bell, N., and van der Werf, G. R.: Global impacts of aerosols from particular source regions and sectors, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 112, D02205, doi:10.1029/2005JD007024, 2007.
- Kvalevåg, M. M. and Myhre, G.: Human impact on direct and diffuse solar radiation during the industrial era, *J. Climate*, 20, 4874–4883, 2007.
- Lamarque, J.-F., Kiehl, J. T., Hess, P. G., Collins, W. D., Emmons, L. K., Ginoux, P., Luo, C., and X., T. X.: Response of a coupled chemistry-climate model to changes in aerosol emissions: Global impact on the hydrological cycle and the tropospheric burdens of OH, ozone and NO_x, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 16, L16809, doi:10.1029/2005GL023419, 2005.
- Liao, H. and Seinfeld, J. H.: Global impacts of gas-phase chemistry-aerosol interactions on direct radiative forcing by anthropogenic aerosols and ozone, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 110, D18208, doi:10.1029/2005JD005907, 2005.
- Metzger, S., Dentener, F., Pandis, S., and Lelieveld, J.: Gas/aerosol partitioning: 1. A computationally efficient model, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 107, 4312, doi:10.1029/2001JD001102, 2002.
- Metzger, S., Mihalopoulos, N., and Lelieveld, J.: Importance of mineral cations and organics in gas-aerosol partitioning of reactive nitrogen compounds: Case study based on MINOS results, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 6, 2549–2567, 2006, <http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/6/2549/2006/>.
- Miller, R. L., Cakmur, R. V., Perlwitz, J., Geogdzhayev, I. V., Ginoux, P., Koch, D., Kohfeld, K. E., Prigent, C., Ruedy, R., Schmidt, G. A., and Tegen, I.: Mineral dust aerosols in the NASA Goddard Institute for Space Studies model E AGCM, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 111, D0208, doi:10.1029/2005JD005796, 2006.
- Olivier, J. G. J. and Berdowski, J. J. M.: Global emissions sources and sinks, in: *The climate system*, edited by: Berdowski, J., Guicherit, R., and Heij, B. J., A. A. Balkema Publishers/Swets & Zeitlinger Publishers, Lisse, The Netherlands, 33–78, 2001.
- Penner, J. E., Quaas, J., Storelvmo, T., Takemura, T., Boucher, O.,

- Guo, H., Kirkevåg, A., Kristjansson, J. E., and Seland, Ø.: Model intercomparison of indirect aerosol effects, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 6, 3391–3405, 2006, <http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/6/3391/2006/>.
- Pétron, G., Granier, C., Khattatov, B., Yudin, V., Lamarque, J.-F., Emmons, L., Gille, J., and Edwards, D. P.: Monthly CO surface sources inventory based on the 2000–2001 MOPITT satellite data, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 31, L21107, doi:10.1029/2004GL020560, 2004.
- Prather, M. J., Ehhalt, D., and Dentener, F., et al.: Atmospheric chemistry and greenhouse gases, in: *Climate change 2001*, edited by: Houghton, J. T., Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 239–287, 2001.
- Ramaswamy, V., Boucher, O., Haigh, J., et al.: Radiative forcing of climate change, in: *Climate change 2001*, edited by: Houghton, J. T., Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 349–416, 2001.
- Rypdal, K., Berntse, T., Fuglestad, J. S., Aunan, K., Torvanger, A., Stordal, F., Pacyna, J. M., and Nygaard, L. P.: Tropospheric ozone and aerosols in climate agreements: Scientific and political challenges, *Environ. Sci. Policy*, 8, 29–43, 2005.
- Schmidt, G. A., Ruedy, R., Hansen, J. E., Aleinov, I., Bell, N., Bauer, M., Bauer, S., Cairns, B., Canuto, V., Cheng, Y., Del Genio, A., Faluvegi, G., Friend, A. D., Hall, T. M., Hu, Y., Kelley, M., Kiang, N. Y., Koch, D., Lacis, A. A., Lerner, J., Lo, K. K., Miller, R. L., Nazarenko, L., Oinas, V., Perlwitz, J., Perlwitz, J., Rind, D., Romanou, A., Russell, G. L., Sato, M., Shindell, D. T., Stone, P. H., Sun, S., Tausnev, N., Thresher, D., and Yao, M.-S.: Present day atmospheric simulations using GISS modelE: Comparison to in-situ, satellite and reanalysis data, *J. Climate*, 19, 153–192, 2006.
- Schulz, M., Textor, C., Kinne, S., Balkanski, Y., Bauer, S. E., Berntsen, T., Berglen, T., Boucher, O., Dentener, F., Grini, A., Guibert, S., Iversen, T., Koch, D., Kirkevåg, A., Liu, X., Montanaro, V., Myhre, G., Penner, J., Pitari, G., Reddy, S., Seland, Ø., Stier, P., and Takemura, T.: Radiative forcing by aerosols as derived from the AeroCom present-day and pre-industrial simulations, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 6, 5225–5246, 2006, <http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/6/5225/2006/>.
- Shindell, D. T., Faluvegi, G., Unger, N., Aguilar, E., Schmidt, G. A., Koch, D., Bauer, S. E., and Miller, R. L.: Simulations of preindustrial, present-day, and 2100 conditions in the NASA GISS composition and climate model G-PUCCINI, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 6, 4427–4459, 2006, <http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/6/4427/2006/>.
- Shindell, D. T., Faluvegi, G., Bauer, S. E., Koch, D. M., Unger, N., Menon, S., A., Miller, R. L., Schmidt, G. A., and Streets, D. G.: Climate response to projected changes in short-lived species under an A1B scenario from 2000–2050 in the GISS climate model, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 112, D20103, doi:10.1029/2007JD008753, 2007.
- Shindell, D. T., Levy II, H., Schwarzkopf, M. D., Horowitz, L. W., Lamarque, J.-F., and Faluvegi, G.: Multi-model projections of climate change from short-lived emissions due to human activities, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 113, D11109, doi:10.1029/2007JD009152, 2008.
- Stohl, A.: Characteristics of atmospheric transport into the Arctic troposphere, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 111, D11306, doi:10.1029/2005JD006888, 2006.
- Unger, N., Shindell, D. T., Koch, D. M., and Streets, D. G.: Air pollution radiative forcing from specific emissions sectors at 2030, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 113, D02306, doi:10.1029/2007JD008683, 2008.
- Van der Werf, G. R., Randerson, J. T., Collatz, G. J., and Giglio, L.: Carbon emissions from fires in tropical and subtropical ecosystems, *Glob. Change Biol.*, 9, 547–562, 2003.
- West, J. J., Fiore, A. M., Horowitz, L. W., and Mauzerall, D. L.: Global health benefits of mitigating ozone pollution with methane emission controls, *P. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 103, 3988–3993, 2006.
- West, J. J., Szopa, S., and Hauglustaine, D. A.: Human mortality effects of future concentrations of tropospheric ozone, *C. R. Geosci.*, 339, 775–783, doi:10.1016/j.crte.2007.08.005, 2007.
- Wild, O., Prather, M., and Akimoto, H.: Indirect long-term global radiative cooling from NO_x emissions, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 28, 1719–1722, 2001.