International Institute for
Applied Systems Analysis
IIASA www.iiasa.ac.at

On the Lyapunov Second Method for Data Measurable in Time

Frankowska, H. and Plaskacz, S.

IIASA Working Paper



September 1993

Working Paper

On the Lyapunov Second Method for Data Measurable in Time

Hélène Frankowska
Sławomir Plaskacz

WP-93-54
September 1993

IIASA International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis ▫ A-2361 Laxenburg ▫ Austria
Telephone: +43 2236 715210 ▫ Telex: 079 137 iiasa a ▫ Telefax: +43 2236 71313

On the Lyapunov Second Method for Data Measurable in Time

Hélène Frankowska
Sławomir Plaskacz

WP-93-54
September 1993

IIASA International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis ▫ A-2361 Laxenburg ▫ Austria
Telephone: +43 2236 715210 ▫ Telex: 079 137 iiasa a ▫ Telefax: +43 2236 71313

Foreword

In this paper the authors study time dependent Lyapunov functions for nonatonomous systems described by differential inclusions. In particular it is shown that Lyapunov functions are viscosity supersolutions of a Hamilton-Jacobi equation. For this aim a new viability theorem for differential inclusions with time dependent state constraints is proved:

$$x'(t) \in F(t, x(t)), \quad x(t) \in P(t)$$

where $t \rightsquigarrow P(t)$ is absolutely continuous and $(t,x) \rightsquigarrow F(t,x)$ is a Lebesgue-Borel measurable set-valued map which is upper semicontinuous with respect to x and has closed convex images. The viability conditions are formulated both using contingent cones and in a dual way, using subnormal cones (negative polar of contingent cone).

1 Introduction

Consider two functions $V : \mathbf{R}_+ \times \mathbf{R}^d \mapsto \mathbf{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$, $W : \mathbf{R}_+ \times \mathbf{R}^d \mapsto \mathbf{R}$ and a set-valued map $F : \mathbf{R}_+ \times \mathbf{R}^d \rightsquigarrow \mathbf{R}^d$. Our aim is to study necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a solution $x(\cdot)$ to the differential inclusion

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rcl} x'(t) & \in & F(t, x(t)) \text{ for a.e. } t \geq t_0 \\ x(t_0) & = & x_0 \end{array} \right. \tag{1}$$

such that for every $t \geq t_0$

$$V(t, x(t)) + \int_{t_0}^{t} W(\tau, x(\tau))d\tau \leq V(t_0, x_0)$$

for every choice of $t_0 \geq 0$, $x_0 \in \mathbf{R}^d$. This problem is important for the investigation of stability in the sense of Lyapunov and the asymptotic stability. We refer to [4, Chapter 6] and [3, Chapter 9] for several applications and the bibliography concerning this problem. In the difference with these earlier works we allow F to be only measurable with respect to the time.

In particular we show that a continuous function $V : \mathbf{R}_+ \times \mathbf{R}^d \mapsto \mathbf{R}$ such that $t \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{E}p(V(t, \cdot))$ is absolutely continuous enjoys the following monotonicity property: for every $(t_0, x_0) \in \mathbf{R}_+ \times \mathbf{R}^d$ there exists a solution x of the differential inclusion (1) such that $t \mapsto V(t, x(t))$ is *nondecreasing* if and only if it is a (generalized) supersolution of the Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equation

$$-\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + H\left(t,\, x,\, -\frac{\partial V}{\partial x}\right) = 0$$

Furthermore, V is a viscosity supersolution whenever either F is upper semicontinuous in both variables or when V is locally Lipschitz.

Our results are based on a new viability theorem for differential inclusions with dynamics measurable with respect to time and the state constraints, given by an *absolutely continuous* set-valued map $P : [0, T] \rightsquigarrow \mathbf{R}^d$ called *tube*.

We investigate the existence of solutions to the constrained problem

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rcl} x'(t) & \in & F(t, x(t)) \text{ a.e. in } [t_0, T] \\ x(t_0) & = & x_0 \\ x(t) & \in & P(t) \text{ for all } t \in [t_0, T] \end{array} \right. \tag{2}$$

for every $x_0 \in P(t_0)$ and all $t_0 \in [0, T[$.

The tube P is called *viable* if for every $t_0 \in [0,T[$, $x_0 \in P(t_0)$ there exists a solution to the above Cauchy problem. We refer to [3] for many results on the viability problem, applications of the viability theory and the historical comments. We prove here the following sufficient condition for viability:

$$\begin{array}{l} \exists\, A \subset [0,T] \text{ of full measure such that} \\ \forall\, t \in A,\ \forall\, x \in P(t) \quad (\{1\} \times F(t,x)) \cap \overline{co}\left(T_{\mathrm{Graph}(P)}(t,x)\right) \neq \emptyset \end{array}$$

where $\overline{co}$ stands for the closed convex hull and F has convex compact images, $F(t,\cdot)$ is upper semicontinuous, $F(\cdot,\cdot)$ is Lebesgue-Borel measurable and has a linear growth. For upper semicontinuous in both variables F similar conditions can be found in [3], [4] (without the convex hull $\overline{co}$) and in [11] (see also [3, Theorems 3.2.4, 3.3.4]) (with the convex hull $\overline{co}$). In the context of tubes and measurable in time dynamics the above condition was first proved in [9,10] under the additional hypothesis that $F(t,\cdot)$ is *locally Lipschitz*. In this way our result is a generalization of [10].

The outline of the paper is as follows. In section 2 we recall some basic definitions. The viability theorem is given in section 3. Section 4 is devoted to an application to the Lyapunov second method.

2 Preliminaries

Let $K \subset \mathbf{R}^d$ be a nonempty subset and $x_0 \in K$. The *contingent cone* to K at x_0 is defined by

$$v \in T_K(x_0) \iff \liminf_{h \to 0+} \mathrm{dist}\left(v, \frac{K - x_0}{h}\right) = 0$$

where $\mathrm{dist}(a,A)$ denotes the distance from a point a to a set A. See [5, Chapter 4] for many properties of tangent cones.

The *subnormal cone* $N_K^0(x_0)$ to K at x_0 is the negative polar of the contingent cone:

$$N_K^0(x_0) \;:=\; \left\{p \in \mathbf{R}^d \mid \forall\, v \in T_K(x_0),\ \langle p, v\rangle \le 0\right\}$$

Consider an extended function $\varphi : \mathbf{R}^d \mapsto \mathbf{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$. The domain of φ, $\mathrm{Dom}(\varphi)$, is the set of all x_0 such that $\varphi(x_0) \neq +\infty$. The *subdifferential* of φ at $x_0 \in \mathrm{Dom}(\varphi)$ is given by

$$\partial_-\varphi(x_0) \;=\; \left\{p \in \mathbf{R}^d \mid \liminf_{x \to x_0} \frac{\varphi(x) - \varphi(x_0) - \langle p, x - x_0\rangle}{\|x - x_0\|} \ge 0\right\}$$

The *contingent epiderivative* of φ at $x_0 \in \mathrm{Dom}(\varphi)$ in the direction $u \in \mathbf{R}^d$ is defined by

$$D_{\uparrow}\varphi(x_0)(u) \;=\; \liminf_{h\to 0+,\, u'\to u} \frac{\varphi(x_0+hu')-\varphi(x_0)}{h}$$

Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a metric space and $\{A_\tau\}_{\tau\in\mathcal{T}}$ be a family of subsets of a metric space X. The upper limit *Limsup* of A_τ at $\tau_0 \in T$ is the closed set

$$\mathrm{Limsup}_{\;\tau\to\tau_0} A_\tau = \{v \in X \mid \liminf_{\tau\to\tau_0} \mathrm{dist}\,(v, A_\tau) = 0\}$$

For a set-valued map $F : [0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^d \rightsquigarrow \mathbf{R}^d$ the graph Graph(F) is given by

$$\mathrm{Graph}(F) \;=\; \Big\{(t,x,y) \mid (t,x) \in [0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^d,\; y \in F(t,x)\Big\}$$

We associate to it the differential inclusion

$$x' \;\in\; F(t,x) \tag{3}$$

Recall that an absolutely continuous function $x : [t_0,T] \mapsto \mathbf{R}^d$ is a solution of (3) if $x'(t) \in F(t,x(t))$ almost everywhere in $[t_0,T]$.

Proposition 2.1 ([10]) *Assume that* Graph$(F(t,\cdot))$ *are closed for almost all* $t \in [0,T]$ *and*

$$F(t,x) \text{ is closed and convex for almost all } t \in [0,T] \text{ and all } x \in \mathbf{R}^d \tag{4}$$

$$\exists\, \mu \in L^1(0,T),\ \|F(t,x)\| \le \mu(t) \text{ for a.e. } t \in [0,T] \text{ and all } x \in \mathbf{R}^d, \tag{5}$$

where $\|F(t,x)\| = \sup\{\|y\| \mid y \in F(t,x)\}$.

Then there exists a set $A \subset [0,T]$ *of full measure such that for every* $\tau \in A$ *and for every solution* x *to (3) defined on* $[0,T]$ *we have*

$$\emptyset \neq \mathrm{Limsup}_{\;h\to 0+} \left\{\frac{x(\tau+h)-x(\tau)}{h}\right\} \subset F(\tau, x(\tau))$$

Remark – The conclusion of Proposition 2.1 remains true if we replace (5) by the following linear growth assumption

$$\exists\, \mu \in L^1,\ \|F(t,x)\| \le \mu(t)(1+\|x\|) \text{ for a.e. } t \in [0,T] \text{ and all } x \in \mathbf{R}^d \tag{6}$$

Indeed, if F satisfies (6) and $x(\cdot)$ is a solution to (3) on $[0,T]$, then there exists an integer k such that $\|x(t)\| < k$ for $t \in [0,T]$. Let $A_k \subset [0,T]$ be a set of full measure given by Proposition 2.1 for the right hand side

$$F_k : [0,T] \times \mathbf{R}^d \rightsquigarrow \mathbf{R}^d$$

defined by

$$F_k(t,x) = \begin{cases} F(t,x) & \text{for} \quad \|x\| < k \\ \mu(t)(1+k)B & \text{for} \quad \|x\| \geq k \end{cases}$$

where B is the closed unit ball in $\mathbf{R}^d$. It is enough to take $A = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k$. □

Let $P : [0,T] \rightsquigarrow \mathbf{R}^d$ be a set-valued map with closed values. In this paper we call it a tube (of constraints). We say that P is *absolutely continuous* on $[0,T]$ if the following property holds true:

$$\begin{cases} \forall \varepsilon > 0\,,\ \forall \text{compact } K \subset \mathbf{R}^d\,,\ \exists \delta > 0\,,\ \forall 0 \leq t_1 < \tau_1 \leq \ldots \leq t_m < \tau_m \leq T, \\ \sum(\tau_i - t_i) \leq \delta \implies \sum \max\{e(P(t_i) \cap K,\, P(\tau_i))\,,\, e(P(\tau_i) \cap K,\, P(t_i))\} \leq \varepsilon \end{cases}$$

where $e(U,V) = \inf\{\varepsilon > 0 \mid U \subset V + \varepsilon B\}$.

We get the definition of *left absolute continuity* by replacing

$$\max\{e(P(t_i) \cap K,\, P(\tau_i))\,,\, e(P(\tau_i) \cap K,\, P(t_i))\}$$

by $e(P(t_i) \cap K,\, P(\tau_i))$. For a tube $P : [0,\infty[\rightsquigarrow \mathbf{R}^d$ with closed images we say that it is *locally absolutely continuous* (respectively *locally left absolutely continuous*) if the restriction of P to any finite time interval $[0,T]$ is absolutely continuous (respectively left absolutely continuous).

The Hamiltonian $H : [0,T] \times \mathbf{R}^d \times \mathbf{R}^d \mapsto \mathbf{R}$ associated to F is given by

$$H(t,x,p) = \sup_{v \in F(t,x)} \langle p, v \rangle$$

3 Viability Theorem

In this section we obtain a new viability theorem for unbounded set-valued maps. Consider the viability problem (2).

Theorem 3.1 *Let $\mu \in L^1(0,T)$ be a nonnegative function. Assume that a closed valued map $P : [0,T] \rightsquigarrow \mathbf{R}^d$ is absolutely continuous, that $F : [0,T] \times \mathbf{R}^d \rightsquigarrow \mathbf{R}^d$ has nonempty closed convex values and*

$$x \rightsquigarrow F(t,x) \text{ is upper semicontinuous for almost all } t \; ; \tag{7}$$

$$F(\cdot,\cdot) \text{ is } \mathcal{L} \times \mathcal{B} \text{ (Lebesgue-Borel) measurable} \; ; \tag{8}$$

Then the following statements are equivalent:

i) There exists $C \subset [0,T]$ of full measure such that for all $t \in C$, $x \in P(t)$

$$(\{1\} \times F(t,x) \cap \mu(t)(1 + \|x\|)B) \cap \overline{co}\left(T_{\text{Graph}(P)}(t,x)\right) \neq \emptyset$$

ii) For all $t_0 \in [0,T[$ and $x_0 \in P(t_0)$ there exists a solution $x(\cdot)$ of (2) satisfying $\|x'(t)\| \leq \mu(t)(1 + \|x(t)\|)$ almost everywhere in $[t_0,T]$.

Corollary 3.2 *Let P, F be as in Theorem 3.1 and assume that (6) holds true. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

i) There exists $C \subset [0,T]$ of full measure such that for all $t \in C$, $x \in P(t)$

$$(\{1\} \times F(t,x)) \cap \overline{co}\left(T_{\text{Graph}(P)}(t,x)\right) \neq \emptyset$$

ii) For all $t_0 \in [0,T[$ and $x_0 \in P(t_0)$ there exists a solution $x(\cdot)$ of (2).

iii) There exists $D \subset [0,T]$ of full measure such that for all $t \in D$, $x \in P(t)$

$$\forall\, (p_t, p_x) \in N^0_{\text{Graph}(P)}(t,x), \quad -p_t \; + \; H(t,x,-p_x) \geq 0$$

Proof of Theorem 3.1 – By Proposition 2.1 applied to the map

$$(t,x) \rightsquigarrow F(t,x) \cap \mu(t)(1 + \|x\|)B$$

and the Remark following it, *ii)* $\Rightarrow$ *i)*. To prove the converse, without any loss of generality, we may restrict our attention to the case $t_0 = 0$ and $x_0 \in P(0)$. By the Gronwall inequality, there exists $r > 0$ such that if an absolutely continuous function $x : [0,t_1] \to \mathbf{R}^d$ satisfies $\|x'(t)\| \leq$

$\mu(t)(1+\|x(t)\|)$ a.e. in $[0,t_1]$ and $x(0)=x_0$, then $\|x\|_\infty < r$. Hence it is sufficient to prove the existence of a solution to the problem

$$\begin{cases} x'(t) \in \tilde{F}(t,x(t)) \text{ a.e. in } [0,T] \\ x(0) = x_0 \\ x(t) \in \tilde{P}(t) \text{ for every } t \in [0,T] \end{cases}$$

where $\tilde{P}(t) = P(t) \cup \{x \in \mathbf{R}^d : \|x\| \geq r\}$ and

$$\tilde{F}(t,x) = \begin{cases} F(t,x) \cap \mu(t)(1+\|x\|)B & \text{if } \|x\| < r \\ \mu(t)(1+r)B & \text{if } \|x\| \geq r \end{cases}$$

In the same time, $x(\cdot)$ is a solution to the differential inclusion (2). Furthermore, $\tilde{F}$ is integrably bounded, because for almost all $t \geq 0$

$$\forall\, x \in \mathbf{R}^d,\ \ \|\tilde{F}(t,x)\| \leq \mu(t)(1+r) := \tilde{\mu}(t)$$

and the viability condition holds true for almost all $t \geq 0$ and all $x \in \mathbf{R}^d$:

$$\left(\{1\} \times \tilde{F}(t,x)\right) \cap \overline{co}\left(T_{\mathrm{Graph}(\tilde{P})}(t,x)\right) \neq \emptyset$$

To simplify the presentation of the proof we shall rather use the initial notations, i.e. F for $\tilde{F}$ and P for $\tilde{P}$, and μ for $\tilde{\mu}$.

Step 1. – Using [12, Theorem 2.4], we construct an increasing sequence $\{K_k\}$ of closed subsets of $[0,T]$ such that $\bigcup_1^\infty K_k$ is of full measure, for every k, the restriction $F\,|_{K_k \times \mathbf{R}^d}$ is upper semicontinuous and the function

$$\nu := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sup\{\mu(t) |\, t \in K_k\}\, \chi_{(K_k \setminus K_{k-1})}$$

is integrable, where $\chi_{(K)}$ denotes the characteristic function of $K \subset [0,T]$.

Step 2. – Fix k. By [4, Theorem 1.13.1] there exists a sequence $\{F_m^k\}_{m=1}^\infty$ of convex compact valued maps from $K_k \times \mathbf{R}^d$ into $\mathbf{R}^d$ such that

a) $\forall\, t \in K_k,\ \forall\, x \in \mathbf{R}^d,\ \forall\, m,\ \ F_{m+1}^k(t,x) \subset F_m^k(t,x)$,

b) $\forall\, t \in K_k,\ \forall\, x \in \mathbf{R}^d,\ \ F(t,x) = \bigcap_{m=1}^\infty F_m^k(t,x)$,

c) $\forall\, m,\ \ F_m^k$ is locally Lipschitz,

d) $\forall t \in K_k,\ \forall x \in \mathbf{R}^d,\ \forall m,\ \ F_m^k(t,x) \subset \overline{co}\, F(K_k \times \mathbf{R}^d) \subset \sup_{t \in K_k} \mu(t)\, B$

We define the set-valued map $F_k : [0,T] \times \mathbf{R}^d \rightsquigarrow \mathbf{R}^d$ by:

$$F_k(t,x) = \begin{cases} \nu(t)B & \text{if } \ t \notin K_k \\ F_k^m(t,x) & \text{if } \ t \in K_m \setminus K_{m-1} \text{ and } m \in \{1,2,...,k\} \end{cases}$$

and denote by $\mathcal{S}_k$ the set of all solutions to the following viability problem

$$\begin{cases} x'(t) \in F_k(t,x(t)) \ \text{ a.e. in } \ [0,T] \\ x(0) = x_0 \\ x(t) \in P(t) \ \text{ for all } \ t \in [0,T] \end{cases}$$

It is easy to check that F_k satisfies all the assumptions of Theorem 4.7 from [10]. Thus the set $\mathcal{S}_k$ is nonempty and compact.

It follows directly from the construction that

$$\begin{cases} F_{k+1}(t,x) \subset F_k(t,x), \ \ \forall\, t \in [0,T],\ \forall\, x \in \mathbf{R}^d \\ \\ F(t,x) = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} F_k(t,x), \ \ \forall\, t \in \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} K_k,\ \forall\, x \in \mathbf{R}^d \end{cases}$$

Thus $\mathcal{S}_{k+1} \subset \mathcal{S}_k$, for every k, which in turn implies that $\mathcal{S} = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{S}_k$ is nonempty, where $\mathcal{S}$ denote the set of solutions to (2) with $t_0 = 0$ defined on $[0,T]$. □

Using the same construction as in the above proof we obtain the following generalization of [10, Theorem 4.2]:

Theorem 3.3 *Assume that a closed valued map $P : [0,T] \rightsquigarrow \mathbf{R}^d$ is left absolutely continuous, that $F : [0,T] \times \mathbf{R}^d \rightsquigarrow \mathbf{R}^d$ has nonempty closed convex values and satisfies (7), (8). Let $\mu \in L^1(0,T)$ be a nonnegative function.*

Then the following statements are equivalent:

i) There exists $C \subset [0,T]$ of full measure such that for all $t \in C,\ x \in P(t)$

$$(\{1\} \times F(t,x) \cap \mu(t)(1 + \|x\|)B) \cap DP(t,x)(1) \neq \emptyset$$

ii) For all $t_0 \in [0,T[$ and $x_0 \in P(t_0)$ there exists a solution $x(\cdot)$ to (2) satisfying $\|x'(t)\| \leq \mu(t)(1 + \|x(t)\|)$ almost everywhere in $[t_0,T]$.

When P does not satisfy the viability condition $i)$ of Theorem 3.3, then we may look for the largest subtube of P, which is viable under F. In the stationary case such subset was introduced and studied by Aubin in [2].

Definition 3.4 *Consider a tube* $P : [0,T] \rightsquigarrow \mathbf{R}^d$, $t_1 \geq 0$ *and a left absolutely continuous set-valued map* $\mathcal{P} : [t_1,T] \rightsquigarrow \mathbf{R}^d$ *with closed images such that for every* $t \in [t_1,T]$, $\mathcal{P}(t) \subset P(t)$. $\mathcal{P}$ *is called a viability subtube of* P *with respect to* F *if there exists* $A \subset [t_1,T]$ *with* $m([t_1,T] \setminus A) = 0$ *such that*

$$\forall\, t \in A,\ \forall\, x \in \mathcal{P}(t),\ \ F(t,x) \cap D\mathcal{P}(t,x)(1) \neq \emptyset$$

The largest viability subtube of P *with respect to* F *is called the viability kernel of* P *with respect to* F.

Theorem 3.5 *Let* $P : [0,T] \rightsquigarrow \mathbf{R}^d$ *be closed valued and* $F : [0,T] \times \mathbf{R}^d \rightsquigarrow \mathbf{R}^d$ *satisfy (4), (6), (7) and (8). Then the set of all initial conditions* $(t_0, x_0) \in \mathrm{Graph}(P)$ *such that the constrained Cauchy problem (2) has a solution is the* closed viability kernel *of* P *with respect to* F.

Proof — For every $t_0 \in [0,T]$, consider the set $K(t_0)$ of all initial conditions $x_0 \in P(t_0)$ such that the constrained Cauchy problem (2) has a solution. From our assumptions, using the same arguments as in the convergence theorem [5, p.271], we deduce that the set $\{(t,x) \mid x \in K(t)\}$ is closed. From (6) we deduce that K is left absolutely continuous. Theorem 3.3 implies that every viability subtube $\mathcal{P}$ of P is smaller than K.

4 Lyapunov Functions

Consider a lower semicontinuous function $V : \mathbf{R}_+ \times \mathbf{R}^d \mapsto \mathbf{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$, an $\mathcal{L} \times \mathcal{B}$ measurable function $W : \mathbf{R}_+ \times \mathbf{R}^d \mapsto \mathbf{R}$ and a set-valued map $F : \mathbf{R}_+ \times \mathbf{R}^d \rightsquigarrow \mathbf{R}^d$. Let $t_0 \geq 0$, $x_0 \in \mathbf{R}^d$. A function $x : [t_0, \infty[\mapsto \mathbf{R}^d$ is called locally absolutely continuous if its restriction to any finite time interval is absolutely continuous. A locally absolutely continuous function $x : [t_0, \infty[\mapsto \mathbf{R}^d$ is a solution to (1) if $x'(t) \in F(t, x(t))$ almost everywhere in $[t_0, \infty[$ and $x(t_0) = x_0$.

Throughout the whole section we impose the following assumptions:

- For almost all $t \geq 0$, $W(t, \cdot)$ is lower semicontinuous and for some $k \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbf{R}_+, \mathbf{R}_+)$ we have

$$|W(t,x)| \leq k(t)(1 + \|x\|) \text{ for a.e. } t \geq 0 \text{ and all } x \in \mathbf{R}^d$$

- F has nonempty convex compact values, satisfies (7), (8), (6), where $\mu \geq 0$ is a locally integrable function.

Definition 4.1 $V : \mathbf{R}_+ \times \mathbf{R}^d \mapsto \mathbf{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ *is called a* Lyapunov function *for F with respect to W if there exists a set $D \subset \mathbf{R}_+$ of full measure such that*

$$\forall\, (t,x) \in \mathrm{Dom}(V) \cap D \times \mathbf{R}^d, \quad \inf_{v \in F(t,x)} D_\uparrow V(t,x)(1,v) \leq -W(t,x)$$

Theorem 4.2 *If the set-valued map $t \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{E}p(V(t,\cdot))$ is locally absolutely continuous, then the following three statements are equivalent:*

i) $\forall\, (t_0, x_0) \in \mathbf{R}_+ \times \mathbf{R}^d$ there exists a solution $x(\cdot)$ to (1) such that

$$\forall\, t \geq t_0, \quad V(t, x(t)) + \int_{t_0}^{t} W(\tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \ \leq \ V(t_0, x_0)$$

ii) V is a Lyapunov function for F with respect to W

iii) $\exists\, C \subset \mathbf{R}_+$ of full measure such that for all $(t,x) \in \mathrm{Dom}(V) \cap C \times \mathbf{R}^d$

$$\forall\, (p_t, p_x, q) \in N^0_{\mathcal{E}p(V)}(t, x, V(t,x)), \ -p_t + H(t, x, -p_x) \geq q\, W(t,x)$$

If in addition V is locally Lipschitz, then iii) is equivalent to

iii)' $\exists\, C \subset \mathbf{R}_+$ of full measure such that for all $(t,x) \in C \times \mathbf{R}^d$

$$\forall\, (p_t, p_x) \in \partial_- V(t,x), \quad -p_t + H(t, x, -p_x) \ \geq \ -W(t,x)$$

Theorem 4.3 *Assume that F is upper semicontinuous in both variables and that at least one of the following two assumptions holds true:*

H_1) $k \equiv const$ and W is lower semicontinuous in both variables

H_2) W is continuous.

Then the following three statements are equivalent:

i) $\forall\,(t_0, x_0) \in \mathbf{R}_+ \times \mathbf{R}^d$ there exists a solution $x(\cdot)$ to (1) such that

$$\forall\, t \geq t_0, \quad V(t, x(t)) + \int_{t_0}^{t} W(\tau, x(\tau))d\tau \;\leq\; V(t_0, x_0)$$

ii) $\forall\,(t, x) \in \mathrm{Dom}(V)$, $\inf_{v\in F(t,x)} D_{\uparrow}V(t, x)(1, v) \leq -W(t, x)$

iii) $\forall\,(t, x) \in \mathrm{Dom}(V)$, $\forall\,(p_t, p_x) \in \partial_- V(t, x)$, $-p_t + H(t, x, -p_x) \geq -W(t, x)$, i.e. V is a viscosity supersolution to the Hamilton-Jacobi equation $-\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + H(t, x, -\frac{\partial V}{\partial x}) + W(t, x) = 0$.

Proof of Theorem 4.2 — We first show that $ii) \Longrightarrow iii)$. Let D be as in the Definition 4.1. By [5, p.226,228] for every $(t, x, z) \in \mathcal{E}p(V)$ such that $t \in D$

$$(\{1\} \times F(t, x) \times \{-W(t, x)\}) \cap \overline{co}\, T_{\mathcal{E}p(V)}(t, x, z) \neq \emptyset \tag{9}$$

Applying the separation theorem we deduce $iii)$.

To prove that $iii) \Longrightarrow i)$ it is enough to consider $(t_0, x_0) \in \mathrm{Dom}(V)$. Using the time translation, we may restrict our attention to the case $t_0 = 0$. Consider the set-valued map $\widetilde{F} : \mathbf{R}_+ \times \mathbf{R}^d \times \mathbf{R} \rightsquigarrow \mathbf{R}^{d+1}$ with nonempty convex compact images defined by

$$\widetilde{F}(t, x, y) \;=\; F(t, x) \times [-k(t)(1 + \|x\|), -W(t, x)]$$

Then

a) for almost every $t \geq 0$, $\widetilde{F}(t, \cdot)$ is upper semicontinuous

b) $\widetilde{F}$ is $\mathcal{L} \times \mathcal{B}$ measurable ;

c) For a.e. t and all $(x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$, $\|\widetilde{F}(t, x, y)\| \leq (\mu(t)+2k(t))(1+\|x\|)$

By $iii)$, (9) holds true for all $(t, x, z) \in \mathcal{E}p(V) \cap (C \times \mathbf{R}^d \times \mathbf{R})$. According to Theorem 3.1 there exist $(x_n, y_n) : [0, n] \mapsto \mathbf{R}^d$ solving the problem

$$\begin{cases} x' \;\in\; F(t, x) \quad , \quad x(0) = x_0 \\ y' \;\in\; [-k(t)(1 + \|x\|), -W(t, x)] \quad , \quad y(0) = V(0, x_0) \end{cases}$$

such that $y_n(t) \geq V(t, x_n(t))$ for all $t \in [0, n]$. Hence for every $t \in [0, n]$,

$$V(t, x_n(t)) + \int_0^t W(\tau, x_n(\tau))d\tau \;\leq\; V(0, x_0)$$

We extend x_n on $\mathbf{R}_+$ by setting $\forall\, t \geq n,\;\; x_n(t) = x_n(n)$. We can find a subsequence $x_{n_k} : [0, n_k] \mapsto \mathbf{R}^d$ and a locally absolutely continuous $x : \mathbf{R}_+ \mapsto \mathbf{R}^d$ such that $x_{n_k} \to x$ uniformly on compact sets and for every $r > 0$, x'_{n_k} restricted to $[0, r]$ converge weakly in $L^1(0, r; \mathbf{R}^d)$ to x'. Exactly in the same way as in [5, p.271] we check that x is a solution to (1) with $t_0 = 0$. Finally $i)$ yields $ii)$ in view of Proposition 2.1 applied to $\tilde{F}$. When in addition V is locally Lipschitz, we deduce the equivalence of $iii)$ and $iii)'$ using [7]. □

Proof of Theorem 4.3 — By the Mean Value Theorem [4, p.21], if F is upper semicontinuous and W is lower semicontinuous, then $i)$ yields $ii)$. By [7], $ii) \Longrightarrow iii)$. Conversely, if $iii)$ is satisfied, then, exactly as in [8], the upper semicontinuity of the Hamiltonian H imply

$$\forall\, (p_t, p_x, q) \in N^0_{\mathcal{E}p(V)}(t, x, V(t, x)),\;\; -p_t + H(t, x, -p_x) \geq q\, W(t, x)$$

for all $(t, x) \in \mathrm{Dom}(V)$. From the separation theorem we deduce (9) at every $(t, x, z) \in \mathcal{E}p(V)$. If the assumption $H_1)$ is verified, then consider the upper semicontinuous set-valued map $\tilde{F}$ as in the proof of Theorem 4.2. By [3, Theorem 3.3.6] the viability problem

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcl} t'(s) & = & 1 \;\;,\;\; t(0) = 0 \\ x'(s) & \in & F(s, x(s)) \;\;,\;\; x(0) = x_0 \\ y'(s) & \in & [-k(1 + \|x(s)\|), -W(s, x(s))] \;\;,\;\; y(0) = V(0, x_0) \\ (t, x(t), y(t)) & \in & \mathcal{E}p(V) \end{array}\right.$$

has a solution defined on $[0, \infty[$. This yields $i)$ and completes the proof in this case. If $H_2)$ is verified then the viability problem

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcl} t'(s) & = & 1 \;\;,\;\; t(0) = 0 \\ x'(s) & \in & F(s, x(s)) \;\;,\;\; x(0) = x_0 \\ y'(s) & = & -W(s, x(s)) \;\;,\;\; y(0) = V(0, x_0) \\ (t, x(t), y(t)) & \in & \mathcal{E}p(V) \end{array}\right.$$

has a solution defined on $[0, \infty[$, which again implies $i)$. □

Theorem 4.2 allows, using an approximation procedure, to prove

Theorem 4.4 *In Theorem 4.2 assume in addition that V is continuous. Then the equivalent statements* i) – *iii) are equivalent to*

iv) For all $(t_0, x_0) \in \mathbf{R}_+ \times \mathbf{R}^d$ there exists a solution $x(\cdot)$ to (1) such that for all $t \geq s \geq t_0$,

$$V(t, x(t)) + \int_s^t W(\tau, x(\tau))d\tau \leq V(s, x(s)) \tag{10}$$

In particular, if $W > 0$, then $V(t, x(t)) < V(s, x(s))$ for all $t \geq s \geq t_0$, i.e., V is strictly decreasing along the trajectory x.

Corollary 4.5 *In Theorem 4.2 assume that V is nonnegative, locally Lipschitz and that for some $\alpha \geq 0$, $W \geq \alpha V$. Then for every $(t_0, x_0) \in \mathbf{R}_+ \times \mathbf{R}^d$ there exists a solution x of (1) such that*

$$\forall\, t_2 \geq t_1 \geq t_0, \quad V(t_2, x(t_2)) \leq e^{-\alpha(t_2 - t_1)} V(t_1, x(t_1))$$

If in addition V does not depend on time, i.e., $V(t, x) = V(x)$,

$$\forall\, x \neq 0, \quad V(x) > 0 \quad \& \quad V(0) = 0$$

and for some $r > 0$ the connected component Ω_r of the level set $\{x \mid V(x) \leq r\}$ containing zero is compact, then $x(t) \to 0$ whenever $x_0 \in \Omega_r$.

We next prove an existence theorem for the lower semicontinuous Lyapunov functions.

Theorem 4.6 *Consider a lower semicontinuous extended function $V_1 : \mathbf{R}_+ \times \mathbf{R}^d \mapsto \mathbf{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ and assume that for a.e. $t > 0$, $W(t, \cdot)$ is continuous and the set-valued map $x \rightsquigarrow F(t, x)$ is continuous.*

Then there exists the smallest lower semicontinuous Lyapunov function V of F with respect to W satisfying $t \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{E}p(V(t, \cdot))$ is locally left absolutely continuous such that $V \geq V_1$.

In particular there exists the smallest nonnegative lower semicontinuous Lyapunov function V of F with respect to W satisfying $t \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{E}p(V(t, \cdot))$ is locally left absolutely continuous.

Remark — If there is no lower semicontinuous Lyapunov function V of F with respect to W larger than V_1 satisfying $t \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{E}p(V(t,\cdot))$ is left absolutely continuous, then $V \equiv +\infty$. □

Proof — We consider the set-valued map $t \rightsquigarrow P(t) := \mathcal{E}p(V_1(t,\cdot))$. For every $t_0 \geq 0$, let $K(t_0)$ be the set of all $x_0 \in P(t_0)$ such that the constrained Cauchy problem

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rcl} x'(t) & \in & F(t,x(t)) \text{ for a.e. } t \geq t_0 \\ x(t_0) & = & x_0 \\ x(t) & \in & P(t) \text{ for all } t \geq t_0 \end{array} \right.$$

has a solution (defined on $[t_0, \infty[$). The graph of the set-valued map K is closed and K is locally left absolutely continuous. Define V by

$$V(t,x) = \inf\{r \in \mathbf{R} \mid (x,r) \in K(t)\}$$

Then V is lower semicontinuous and $t \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{E}p(V(t,\cdot))$ is left absolutely continuous. From Theorem 4.2 we deduce that V is the smallest Lyapunov function of F with respect to W such that $V \geq V_1$ and: $t \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{E}p(V(t,\cdot))$ is locally left absolutely continuous.

5 Stabilizing Selections

We extend here the sufficiency part of [1, Theorem 3.1] to the time dependent case.

Consider a continuously differentiable $V : \mathbf{R}_+ \times \mathbf{R}^d \mapsto \mathbf{R}_+$ such that

$$V(t,0) = 0 \quad \& \quad \forall\, x \neq 0, \;\; V(t,x) > 0$$

Theorem 5.1 *Assume that F measurable with respect to t and satisfies (4), (6) with $T = +\infty$ and $\mu \in L^1(0,+\infty)$, that for almost all $t \geq 0$ the set-valued map $x \rightsquigarrow F(t,x)$ is continuous, $0 \in F(t,0)$ and*

$$\forall\, x \in \mathbf{R}^d \setminus \{0\}, \quad \alpha(t,x) \;:=\; -\frac{\partial V}{\partial t}(t,x) + H\left(t,x,-\frac{\partial V}{\partial x}(t,x)\right) \;>\; 0$$

For every $r > 0$ set $\gamma_r(t) \;:=\; \inf_{\|x\| \geq r} \alpha(t,x)$. If for all $r > 0$,

$$\forall\, t \geq 0, \quad \int_t^{\infty} \gamma_r(s)ds \;=\; \infty$$

then there exists a selection $f(t,x) \in F(t,x)$ *which is Carathéodory on* $\mathbf{R}_+ \times \mathbf{R}^d \backslash \{0\}$ *such that* $\forall\, t \geq 0,\ f(t,0) = 0$ *and every solution* $x(\cdot)$ *to*

$$x'(t) \ = \ f(t, x(t)) \text{ for a.e. } t \tag{11}$$

converges to zero as $t \to +\infty$.

Proof — Define a new set-valued map

$$G(t,x) \ = \ \left\{ y \in F(t,x) \mid \ \frac{\partial V}{\partial t}(t,x) + \left\langle \frac{\partial V}{\partial x}(t,x), y \right\rangle \leq -\frac{1}{2}\alpha(t,x) \right\}$$

Then G has convex compact images and is measurable with respect to t. Furthermore, it is not difficult to realize (see for instance [1, Lemma 2.1]) that for almost all $t \geq 0$, $G(t,\cdot)$ is continuous on $\mathbf{R}^d \backslash \{0\}$. By [5, p.374] there exists a Carathéodory selection

$$\mathbf{R}_+ \times (\mathbf{R}^d \setminus \{0\}) \ni (t,x) \mapsto f(t,x) \in G(t,x)$$

We set $f(t,0) = 0$, $\alpha(t,0) = 0$. Clearly the growth of f is at most linear. Consider any solution $x(\cdot)$ of (11) on $[0,\infty[$. Then, differentiating $V(t,x(t))$, we prove that for all $t \geq s \geq 0$

$$V(t,x(t)) + \frac{1}{2}\int_s^t \alpha(\tau, x(\tau))d\tau \leq V(s,x(s))$$

From assumptions of theorem we deduce that for some $t_n \to +\infty$ $x(t_n) \to 0$. Since $\mu \in L^1$ and $\|f(t,x)\| \leq \mu(t)(1 + \|x\|)$, using the Gronwall inequality, we end the proof.

References

[1] ARTSTEIN Z. (1984) *On Liapunov functions for time-varying and for controlled equations*, Colloquia Math. Soc. Janos Bolyai, 47. diff. eq., Szeged (Hungary).

[2] AUBIN J.-P. (1989) *Smallest Lyapunov Functions of Differential Inclusions*, J. Differential and Integral Equations, 2

[3] AUBIN J.-P. (1991) VIABILITY THEORY, Birkhäuser, Boston, Basel, Berlin.

[4] AUBIN J.-P. & CELLINA A. (1984) DIFFERENTIAL INCLUSIONS, Springer-Verlag.

[5] AUBIN J.-P. & FRANKOWSKA H. (1990) SET-VALUED ANALYSIS, Birkhäuser, Boston, Basel, Berlin.

[6] CRANDALL M.G. & LIONS P.-L. (1983) *Viscosity solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi equations*, Transactions of A.M.S., 277, 1-42

[7] FRANKOWSKA H. (1989) *Optimal trajectories associated to a solution of contingent Hamilton-Jacobi equations*, Applied Mathematics and Optimization, 19, 291-311

[8] FRANKOWSKA H. (1993) *Lower semicontinuous solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equation*, SIAM J. on Control and Optimization, 31, 257-272

[9] FRANKOWSKA H., PLASKACZ S. & RZEŻUCHOWSKI T. (1992) *Théorèmes de viabilité mesurables et l'équation d'Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman*, Comptes-Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences, PARIS, Série 1, t.315, 131-134

[10] FRANKOWSKA H., PLASKACZ S. & RZEŻUCHOWSKI T. (to appear) *Measurable viability theorems and Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equation*, J. Diff. Eqs.

[11] GUSEINOV H. G., SUBBOTIN A. I. & USHAKOV V. N. (1985) *Derivatives for multivalued mappings with applications to game theoretical problems of control*, Problems of Control and Information Theory, Vol.14, 155-167.

[12] PLASKACZ S. (1990) *Periodic solutions of differential inclusions on compact subsets of* $\mathbf{R}^n$, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 148, 202-212.