



Hazy Differential Inclusions

Aubin, J.-P.

IIASA Working Paper



Aubin, J.-P. (1988) Hazy Differential Inclusions. IIASA Working Paper. Copyright © 1988 by the author(s). http://pure.iiasa.ac.at/3123/

Working Papers on work of the International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis receive only limited review. Views or opinions expressed herein do not necessarily represent those of the Institute, its National Member Organizations, or other organizations supporting the work. All rights reserved. Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage. All copies must bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. For other purposes, to republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, permission must be sought by contacting repository@iiasa.ac.at

WORKING PAPER

HAZY DIFFERENTIAL INCLUSIONS

Jean-Pierre Aubin

September 1988 WP-88-082



HAZY DIFFERENTIAL INCLUSIONS

Jean-Pierre Aubin

September 1988 WP-88-082

Working Papers are interim reports on work of the International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis and have received only limited review. Views or opinions expressed herein do not necessarily represent those of the Institute or of its National Member Organizations.

INTERNATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR APPLIED SYSTEMS ANALYSIS A-2361 Laxenburg, Austria

Hazy Differential Inclusions

Jean-Pierre Aubin

CEREMADE, UNIVERSITÉ DE PARIS-DAUPHINE &
IIASA, INTERNATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR APPLIED SYSTEMS
ANALYSIS

FOREWORD

This paper is devoted to differential inclusions the right-hand sides of which are hazy subsets, which are fuzzy subsets whose membership functions are cost functions taking their values in $[0, \infty]$ instead of [0, 1]. By doing so, the concept of uncertainty involved in differential inclusions becomes more precise, by allowing the velocities not only to depend in a multivalued way upon the state of the system, but also in a fuzzy way. The viability theorems are adapted to hazy differential inclusions and to sets of state constraints which are either usual or hazy. The existence of a largest closed hazy viability domain contained in a given closed hazy subset is also provided.

Alexander B. Kurzhanski Chairman System and Decision Science Program

Contents

1	Hazy sets and set-valued maps	2
2	Hazy Differential Inclusions	3
3	Hazy Viability Domains	6
4	Largest Closed Hazy Viability Domains	10

Hazy Differential Inclusions

Jean-Pierre Aubin

Introduction

Instead of characterizing a given subset $K \subset X$ by its characteristic function χ_K taking its values in $\{0,1\}$, we represent it by its "indicator" ψ_K , which is the non negative extended function defined by

$$\psi_K(x) := \left\{ egin{array}{ll} 0 & ext{if} & x \in K \ +\infty & ext{if} & x \notin K \end{array}
ight.$$

Hence, instead of introducing fuzzy sets¹ which are (membership) functions χ taking their values in the closed interval [0,1], we shall use hazy sets which are extended nonnegative functions $V: X \to \mathbf{R}_+ \cup \{+\infty\}$. An element belongs to the hazy subset V if and only if $V(x) < \infty$. This slight modification in the definition of fuzzy sets allows us to represent convex (respectively, closed) hazy subsets by convex (respectively, lower semicontinuous) functions.

A hazy set-valued map from X to itself is then defined by its graph, which is a hazy subset of $X \times X$ described by a membership function $U: X \times X \to \mathbf{R}_+ \cup \{+\infty\}$. Since a usual differential inclusion $x' \in F(x)$ can be written in the form

for almost all
$$t \geq 0$$
, $(x(t), x'(t)) \in \operatorname{Graph}(F)$

hence a hazy differential inclusion can be written

for almost all
$$t \geq 0$$
, $U(x(t), x'(t)) < \infty$

since this says that (x(t), x'(t)) belongs to the hazy subset whose membership function is U, which is the graph of the hazy set-valued map.

We shall characterize first the usual closed subsets K which enjoy the viability property for hazy differential inclusion: for any initial state $x_0 \in$

¹We refer to [7] for a presentation of fuzzy sets and the bibliography of this book.

K, there exists a solution $x(\cdot)$ to the hazy differential inclusion starting at x_0 which is viable in K, in the sense that for all $t \geq 0$, x(t) belongs to K (see [3, Chapters 4,5 & 6] for a presentation of viability theory).

The next natural step is to use hazy subsets for representing state constraints which are not of the form: either live or die. The idea is then to replace the closed subset K by a closed hazy subset V and to replace the viability property by the hazy viability property: for all initial state $x_0 \in \text{Dom}(V)$, there exists a solution to the hazy differential inclusion which is hazily viable in the sense that

(1)
$$\forall t \geq 0, \ V(x(t)) \leq w(t), \ w(0) = V(x_0)$$

where $w(\cdot)$ is a function (such as $w(t) := V(x_0)e^{-at}$) which describes a given upper estimate of the viability cost, so to speak. It will be convenient to provide these functions $w(\cdot)$ as solutions to usual differential equations

$$w'(t) = -\phi(w(t)), w(0) = V(x_0)$$

Finally, we shall prove the existence of a largest closed hazy viability domain of a hazy differential inclusion contained in a given hazy closed subset V (which can be empty; in this case, we shall prove that all solutions must eventually leave the hazy subset V). This may be as useful in further applications as the existence of a largest closed viability domain of a usual differential inclusion, which we use by the way for deriving the hazy case.

1 Hazy sets and set-valued maps

We recall that any subset $K \subset X$ can be characterized by its "indicator" ψ_K , which is the non negative extended function defined by:

$$\psi_K(x) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in K \\ +\infty & \text{if } x \notin K \end{cases}$$

It can be regarded as a "cost function" or a "penalty function", assigning to any element $x \in X$ an infinite cost when x is outside K, and no cost at all when x belongs to K.

We also recall that K is closed (respectively convex) if and only if its indicator is lower semicontinuous (respectively convex).

We are led to regard any non negative extended function U from X to $\mathbf{R}_+ \cup \{+\infty\}$ as another implementation of the idea underlying "fuzzy sets", in which indicators replace characteristic functions. Instead of using membership functions taking values in the interval [0,1], we shall deal with membership functions taking their values anywhere between 0 and $+\infty$.

Definition 1.1 We shall regard an extended nonnegative function $U: X \mapsto \mathbf{R}_+ \cup \{+\infty\}$ as a hazy set. Its domain is the domain of U, i.e., the set of elements x such that U(x) is finite, and the core of U is the set of elements x such that U(x) = 0. The complement of the hazy set U is the complement of its domain and the complement of its core is called the hazy boundary.

We shall say that the hazy set U is closed (respectively convex) if the extended function U is lower semicontinuous (respectively convex).

Hence the membership function of the empty set is the constant function equal to $+\infty$.

Definition 1.2 We shall say that a set-valued map $U: X \hookrightarrow Y$ associating to any $x \in X$ a hazy subset U(x) of Y is a hazy set-valued map. Its graph is the hazy subset of $X \times Y$ associated to the extended nonnegative function $(x,y) \mapsto U(x,y) := U(x)(y)$.

A hazy set-valued map U is said to be closed if and only if its graph is closed, i.e., if its membership function is lower semicontinuous. Its values are closed (respectively convex) if and only if the hazy subset U(x) are closed (respectively convex). It has linear growth if and only if, for some constant c > 0,

$$U(x,v)<+\infty \implies ||v|| \le c(||x||+1)$$

2 Hazy Differential Inclusions

By using indicators, we can reformulate the differential inclusion

(3) for almost all
$$t, x'(t) \in F(x(t))$$

as

for almost all
$$t$$
, $\psi_{F(x(t))}(x'(t))$ $<$ $+\infty)$

Then we are led to define "hazy dynamics" of a system by a hazy setvalued map U associating to any $x \in X$ a hazy set U(x) of velocities $\{v \mid U(x,v) < +\infty\}$. In this case, we can write the associated hazy differential inclusion in the form

(4) for almost all
$$t \ge 0$$
, $U(x(t), x'(t)) < +\infty$

or, equivalently, in the form

for almost all
$$t \geq 0$$
, $(x(t), x'(t)) \in Graph(\mathbf{U})$

which is a hazy subset instead of a usual subset.

We begin by characterizing usual subsets K enjoying the viability property for hazy differential inclusion: for any initial state $x_0 \in K$, there exists a solution $x(\cdot)$ to the hazy differential inclusion (4) which is viable in K.

For usual differential inclusion $x' \in F(x)$, the Viability Theorem (see [10], [3, Theorem 4.2.1]) states that under adequate assumptions, a closed subset K enjoys the viability property if and only if it is a viability domain of F, i.e., a subset satisfying

$$\forall x \in K, \quad F(x) \cap T_K(x) \neq \infty$$

where $T_K(x)$ is the Bouligand contingent cone (introduced in the 30's), defined in the following way:

$$T_K(x) := \{ v \in X \mid \liminf_{h \to 0+} d_K(x+hv)/h = 0 \}$$

This is always a closed cone, equal to the whole space X when x belongs to the interior of K, equal to the usual tangent space of differential geometry when K is a smooth manifold and to the tangent cone of convex analysis when K is convex. It is a very convenient way to implement the concept of tangency for arbitrary subsets, the price to pay being that the collection of contingent vectors is only a closed cone instead of a vector space.

Definition 2.1 We shall say that a subset $K \subset Dom(\mathbf{U})$ is a viability domain of the hazy set-valued map \mathbf{U} if and only if

(5)
$$\forall x \in K, \exists v \in T_K(x) \text{ such that } U(x,v) < +\infty$$

We begin by proving an extension to the Viability Theorem to hazy differential inclusions.

Theorem 2.2 (Hazy Viability Theorem) Let us consider a nontrivial hazy set-valued map U from a finite dimensional vector-space X to itself. Let us assume that it is upper semicontinuous with closed convex images and has linear growth. Any closed subset $K \subset \text{Dom}(U)$ enjoying the viability property with respect of U is a viability domain and the converse holds true if

(6)
$$\lambda := \sup_{x \in K} \inf_{v \in T_K(x)} U(x, v) < +\infty$$

Proof — Let us introduce the set-valued map $F: K \rightsquigarrow X$ defined by

(7)
$$F(x) := \{ v \in X \mid U(x,v) \leq \lambda \}$$

The subset K enjoys the viability property (is a viability domain) for the hazy differential inclusion (4) if and only if it does so for this set-valued map F. The set-valued map satisfies the assumptions of the Viability Theorem (see [3, Theorem 4.2.1]), because the graph of F is closed, its images are convex and its growth is linear. Then we infer that K enjoys the viability property if and only if it is a viability domain of F, and thus, of U. \Box

When the hazy set-valued map U is continuous, we can select a viable solution to the hazy differential inclusion (4) which is **sharpest**, in the sense that the cost of its velocity's membership is minimal:

(8) for almost all
$$t$$
, $U(x(t),x'(t))=\inf_{v\in T_K(x(t))}U(x(t),v)$

We say that a closed subset K is "sleek" the set-valued map $x \rightsquigarrow T_K(x)$ is lower semicontinuous. Closed convex subsets and smooth manifolds are sleek.

Theorem 2.3 We posit the assumptions of Theorem 2.2. We assume moreover that the restriction of the membership function U to its domain (the graph of U) is continuous and that the viability domain K is sleek. Then there exists a sharpest viable solution to the differential inclusion (4) (i.e., which satisfies condition (8)).

Proof — We introduce the function λ defined by

(9)
$$\lambda(x) := \inf_{v \in T_K(x)} U(x, v)$$

Since the set-valued map $x \sim T_K(x)$ is lower semicontinuous by assumption, the Maximum Theorem implies that the function λ is upper semicontinuous, because we have assumed that U is upper semicontinuous.

We then introduce the set-valued map G defined by

(10)
$$G(x) := \{ v \in X \mid U(x,v) \leq \lambda(x) \}$$

Then G has a closed graph, and the other assumptions of the Viability Theorem (see [3, Theorem 4.2.1]) are satisfied. There exist a viable solution to differential inclusion $x'(t) \in G(x(t))$, which is a sharpest viable solution to hazy differential inclusion (4.)

3 Hazy Viability Domains

Is it possible to speak of hazy subsets having the viability property?

A way to capture this idea is to introduce a continuous function ϕ : $\mathbf{R}_+ \to \mathbf{R}$ with linear growth (which is used as a parameter in what follows) and the associated differential equation

(11)
$$w'(t) = -\phi(w(t)), \ w(0) = V(x_0)$$

whose solutions $w(\cdot)$ set an upper bound to the membership of a hazy subset when time elapses. (The main instance of such a function ϕ is the affine function $\phi(w) := aw - b$, the solutions of which are $w(t) = (w(0) - \frac{b}{a})e^{-at} + \frac{b}{a}$).

We shall say that a hazy set $V \subset \text{Dom}(\mathbf{U})$ enjoys the "hazy viability property" (with respect to ϕ) if and only if for all initial state $x_0 \in \text{Dom}(V)$, there exist solutions to the hazy differential inclusion (4) and to the differential equation (11) which are hazily viable in the sense that

(12)
$$\forall t \geq 0, \ V(x(t)) \leq w(t), \ w(0) = V(x_0)$$

In order to extend the concept of contingent cone to a hazy subset, we need to adapt the concept of directional derivative to membership functions,

which are only lower semicontinuous. Among the many possibilities, we choose the contingent epiderivative $D_{\uparrow}V(x)(v)$ of V at x in the direction v, which is defined by

$$D_{\uparrow}V(x)(v) := \liminf_{h \to 0+, u \to v} \frac{V(x+hu) - V(x)}{h}$$

because the epigraph of the function $v \to D_{\uparrow}V(x)(v)$ is the contingent cone to the epigraph of V at (x, V(x)) (see [1], [3, Chapter VII] for further information).

We say that V is contingently epidifferentiable if for all $x \in Dom(V)$,

$$\forall v \in X, \ D_{\uparrow}V(x)(v) > -\infty \ \& \ D_{\uparrow}V(x)(v) < \infty \ ext{for at least a} \ v \in X$$

We introduce now the "contingent set" $T_V^{\phi}(x)$ (also denoted $T_V(x)$), the closed subset defined by:

$$(13) T_V^{\phi}(x) := \{ v \in X \mid D_{\uparrow}V(x)(v) + \phi(V(x)) \leq 0 \}$$

Definition 3.1 (Hazy Viability Domain) Let the continuous function ϕ with linear growth be given. We shall say that a hazy subset V is a hazy viability domain of a hazy set-valued map U (with respect to ϕ) if and only if

(14)
$$\forall x \in \text{Dom}(V), \exists v \in T_V^{\phi}(x) \text{ such that } U(x,v) < +\infty$$

Theorem 2.2 can be extended to hazy viability domains:

Theorem 3.2 The hazy set-valued map U satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 2.2. We assume that $V \subset Dom(U)$ is a closed hazy subset which is contingently epidifferentiable. If a closed hazy subset V enjoys the viability property, then it is a closed hazy viability domain of U and the converse holds true if

$$\lambda := \sup_{x \in K} \inf_{v \in T_{\mathcal{V}}^{\phi}(x)} U(x,v) < +\infty$$

Proof — Let us consider the set-valued map F defined by (7) and associate with it the system of differential inclusions

(15)
$$\begin{cases} i) & x'(t) \in F(x(t)) \\ ii) & w'(t) = -\phi(w(t)) \end{cases}$$

We first observe that the epigraph $\mathcal{E}pV$ of V (which is closed) is a viability domain of the set-valued map $(x,w) \leadsto F(x) \times -\phi(w)$ if and only if V is a hazy viability domain.

Indeed, if $v \in F(x)$ is such that $(v, -\phi(V(x)))$ belongs to the contingent cone $T_{\mathcal{E}_{p}(V)}(x, V(x))$ to the epigraph of V at (x, V(x)), which is equal to the epigraph $\mathcal{E}_{p}D_{\uparrow}V(x)$ of the contingent epiderivative, we deduce that $D_{\uparrow}V(x)(v) + \phi(V(x)) \leq 0$.

Conversely, since F(x) is compact and $v\mapsto D_{\uparrow}V(x)(v)$ is lower semi-continuous, there exists $v\in F(x)$ such that the pair $(v,-\phi(V(x)))$ belongs to $T_{\mathcal{E}_{P}(V)}(x,V(x))$. Hence $(v,-\phi(V(x)))$ belongs to the intersection of $F(x)\times -\phi(V(x))$ and the contingent cone $T_{\mathcal{E}_{P}(V)}(x,V(x))$. When w>V(x), we deduce also that the pair $(v,-\phi(w))$, which belongs to $\mathrm{Dom}(D_{\uparrow}V(x))\times \mathbf{R}$, is contained in the intersection of $F(x)\times -\phi(w)$ and the contingent cone $T_{\mathcal{E}_{P}(V)}(x,w)$ because if w>V(x),

$$\mathrm{Dom}(D_{\uparrow}V(x)) imes \mathbf{R}_{+} \ \subset \ T_{\mathcal{E}p(V)}(x,w) \ \subset \ T_{\mathrm{Dom}(V)} imes \mathbf{R}$$

Then the epigraph of V enjoys the viability property: there exists a solution $(x(\cdot), w(\cdot))$ to the system of differential inclusions (15) which is viable in $\mathcal{E}p(V)$, i.e., which is hazily viable. \square

For $\phi \equiv 0$, we obtain the following consequence:

Corollary 3.3 We posit the assumptions of Theorem 3.2. Then a closed hazy subset V is a hazy viability domain (with respect to $\phi \equiv 0$) if and only if for all initial state $x_0 \in \text{Dom}(V)$, the membership function V decreases along a solution $x(\cdot)$ to the hazy differential inclusion (4).

Remark — Given an closed hazy subset V, we can associate with it affine functions $w \to aw - b$ for which V is a hazy viability domain.

For that purpose, we consider the convex function b defined by

$$m{b}(a) \ := \ \sup_{m{z} \in \mathrm{Dom}(\mathbf{U})} (\inf_{\{v \mid U(m{z}, m{v}) \leq \lambda(m{z})\}} D_{\uparrow} V(m{x})(v) + a V(m{x}))$$

Then it is clear that V is a hazy viability domain:

$$orall \ x \in \mathrm{Dom}(F), \ \inf_{v \in F(x)} D_{\uparrow}V(x)(v) + aV(x) - b(a) \leq 0$$

Therefore, we deduce that there exists a solution to the hazy differential inclusion satisfying

$$\forall t \geq 0, \ V(x(t)) \leq (V(x_0) - \frac{b(a)}{a})e^{-at} + \frac{b(a)}{a}$$

A reasonable choice of a is the largest of the minimizers of $a \in]0, \infty[\to \max(0, b(a)/a)$, for which V(x(t)) decreases as fast as possible to the smallest level set $V^{-1}(]-\infty, \frac{b}{a}]$ of V. \square

We proceed by extending Theorem 2.3 on selection of hazy viable solutions to hazy differential inclusion which are sharpest, in the sense that

(16) for almost all
$$t$$
, $U(x(t), x'(t)) = \inf_{v \in T_v^{\phi}(x(t))} U(x(t), v)$

Theorem 3.4 We posit the assumptions of Theorem 2.2. We assume moreover that the restriction of the membership function U to its domain (the graph of U) is continuous and that the hazy viability domain V satisfies

(17)
$$x \sim T_V^{\phi}(x)$$
 is lower semicontinuous

Then there exists a sharpest viable solution to the differential inclusion (4) (which satisfies condition (16)).

Proof — The proof is the same than the one of Theorem 2.3, where the function λ is now defined by

$$\lambda(x) \ := \ \inf_{v \in T_V^{\phi}(x)} U(x,v) \ \Box$$

We then need a sufficient condition for the set-valued map $x \rightsquigarrow T_V^{\phi}(x)$ to be lower semicontinuous:

Lemma 3.5 Let us assume that the epigraph of V is sleek, (i.e., that the set-valued map $x \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{E}p(D_{\uparrow}V(x))$ is lower semicontinuous) and that the restriction of V to its domain is continuous. If for any x, there exists \bar{v} such that

$$D_{\uparrow}V(x)(\bar{v}) + \phi(V(x)) < 0$$

then $x \rightsquigarrow T_V^{\phi}(x)$ is lower semicontinuous at x.

Proof — We set $g(x) := -\phi(V(x))$, which is continuous by assumption. Let v belong to $T_V^{\phi}(x)$ be chosen and a sequence $x_n \in \text{Dom}(D_{\uparrow}(V))$ converge to x. Since the set-valued map $\mathcal{E}\,p(D_{\uparrow}V(\cdot))$ is lower semicontinuous, and since (v,g(x)) belongs to $\mathcal{E}\,p(D_{\uparrow}V(x))$, there exist a subsequence (again denoted x_n), a sequence v_n converging to v and a sequence $v_n \in \mathcal{E}$ 0 converging to 0 such that

$$(v_n,g(x_n)+arepsilon_n)\in \mathcal{E}\,p(D_{\uparrow}V(x_n))$$

Since by assumption the pair $(\bar{v}, g(x) - a_0)$ belongs also to $\mathcal{E}p(D_{\uparrow}V(x))$, where $a_0 := g(x) - D_{\uparrow}V(x)(\bar{v}) > 0$, we deduce that there exist sequences \bar{v}_n converging to \bar{v} and $a_n > 0$ converging to a_0 such that

$$(\bar{v}_n, g(x_n) - a_n) \in \mathcal{E} p(D_{\uparrow}V(x_n))$$

We introduce now $\theta_n := \frac{\epsilon_n}{2(\epsilon_n + a_n)} \in [0,1]$ converging to 0 and $u_n := (1-\theta_n)v_n + \theta_n\bar{v}_n$ converging to v. The lower semicontinuity of the contingent cone to the epigraph of V, which is the epigraph of $D_{\uparrow}V(\cdot)$, implies that these cones are convex. Hence

$$(u_n,g(x_n)-\varepsilon_n/2)=(1-\theta_n)(v_n,g(x_n)+\varepsilon_n)+\theta_n(v_n,g(x_n)-a_n) \stackrel{-}{\in} \mathcal{E}\,p(D_\uparrow V(x_n))$$

which can be written

$$D_{\uparrow}V(x_n)(u_n) \leq g(x_n) - \varepsilon_n/2 < g(x_n)$$

Hence u_n belongs to $T_V^{\phi}(x_n)$ and converges to v. \Box

4 Largest Closed Hazy Viability Domains

Let us consider now any closed hazy subset of the domain of U, which is not necessarily a hazy viability domain. The functions ϕ being given, we shall construct the largest closed hazy viability domain V_{ϕ} contained in V.

Theorem 4.1 The hazy set-valued map satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 2.2. We assume that $V \subset \text{Dom}(\mathbf{U})$ is a closed hazy subset which is contingently epidifferentiable.

Then for any $\lambda > 0$, there exists a largest closed hazy viability domain V_{ϕ} contained in V, which enjoys furthermore the property:

for almost all
$$t \geq 0$$
, $U(x(t), x'(t)) \leq \lambda$

Proof — We know that there exists a largest closed viability domain $K \subset \mathcal{E}p(V)$ of the set-valued map $(x,w) \leadsto F(x) \times -\phi(w)$. If it is empty, it is the epigraph of the constant function equal to $+\infty$, and in this case, the largest closed hazy viability domain is empty.

If not, we have to prove that it is the epigraph of the nonnegative lower semicontinuous function V_{ϕ} defined by

$$V_\phi(x) := \inf_{(x,\lambda) \in \mathcal{K}} \lambda$$

we are looking for. Indeed, the epigraph of any membership function of a hazy viability domain W being a closed viability domain of the set-valued map $(x,w) \rightsquigarrow F(x) \times -\phi(w)$, is contained in the epigraph of V_{ϕ} , so that V_{ϕ} is the largest closed viability domain contained in V.

For that purpose, assume for a while that the following claim is true:

if $M \subset \mathrm{Dom}(F) \times \mathbf{R}_+$ is a closed viability domain of the setvalued map $(x, w) \rightsquigarrow F(x) \times -\phi(w)$, then so is the subset

$$M + \{0\} \times \mathbf{R}_+$$

If this is the case, K is contained in the closed viability domain $K + \{0\} \times \mathbb{R}_+$, so that, being the largest one, is equal to it. Let us prove this claim.

First, $M + \{0\} \times \mathbf{R}_+$ is closed. Indeed, let a sequence (x_n, λ_n) of this subset converges to some (x, λ) . Then there exists a sequence of elements $(x_n, \mu_n) \in M$ with $0 \le \mu_n \le \lambda_n$. A subsequence (again denoted) μ_n does converge to some $\mu \in [0, \lambda]$ because the sequence remains in a compact interval of \mathbf{R}_+ . Therefore (x, μ) belongs to M (which is closed) and (x, λ) belongs to $M + \{0\} \times \mathbf{R}_+$.

Second, $M + \{0\} \times \mathbf{R}_+$ is a viability domain. Let (x, w) belong to $M + \{0\} \times \mathbf{R}_+$. Hence $w \geq V_M(x)$ defined by

$$V_{M}(x) := \inf_{(x,\lambda) \in M} \lambda$$

We set $d := -\phi(V_M(x))$. By assumption, there exists $v \in F(x)$ such that (v,d) belongs to the contingent cone to M at the point $(x,V_M(x)) \in M$. We shall check that the pair $(v,-\phi(w))$ does belong to the contingent cone to

 $M + \{0\} \times \mathbb{R}_+$ at (x, w). Indeed, there exist sequences $h_n > 0$ converging to 0, v_n converging to v and d_n converging to d such that

$$\forall n \geq 0, (x + h_n v_n, V_M(x) + h_n d_n) \in \mathcal{M}$$

This proves the claim when $w = V_M(x)$. If not, $\varepsilon := w - V_M(x)$ is strictly positive, so that, for h_n sufficiently small,

$$(x + h_n v_n, w - h_n \phi(w)) = (x + h_n v_n, V_M(x) + h_n d_n) + (0, \varepsilon + h_n (\phi(w) - d_n) \in \mathcal{M} + \{0\} \times \mathbf{R}_+$$

because d_n converges to d and $\varepsilon + h_n(\phi(w) - d_n)$ is nonnegative for small enough h_n . \square

Remark — If the initial state $x_0 \in \text{Dom}(V)$ does not belong to the domain of V_{ϕ} , then for any solution $(x(\cdot), w(\cdot))$ to the system (15) starting at (x_0, w_0) , the state leaves eventually the hazy subset V:

$$\exists T > 0 \mid w(T) < V(x(T))$$

This happens for all initial state $x_0 \in \mathrm{Dom}(V)$ whenever the largest closed hazy viability domain contained in V is empty, i.e., when $V_{\phi} \equiv +\infty$.

References

- [1] AUBIN J.-P. (1981) Contingent derivatives of set-valued maps and existence of solutions to nonlinear inclusions and differential inclusions. Advances in Mathematics, Supplementary studies, Ed. Nachbin L., 160-232
- [2] AUBIN J.-P. & CELLINA A. (1984) DIFFERENTIALIN-CLUSIONS. Springer-Verlag (Grundlehren der Math. Wissenschaften, Vol.264, 1-342)
- [3] AUBIN J.-P. & EKELAND I. (1984) APPLIED NONLINEAR ANALYSIS. Wiley-Interscience
- [4] DUBOIS D. PRADE H. (1984) Fuzzy number: an overview in Tech. Rep. nx 219. The Analysis of Fuzzy Information, 1: Mathematics and Logic
- [5] DUBOIS D. & PRADE H. (1985) Combination and propagation of uncertainty with belief functions A Reexamination.
- [6] DUBOIS D. & PRADE H. (1985) Dealing with Imprecision and Uncertainty in Expert systems.
- [7] DUBOIS D. & PRADE H. (1988) THÉORIE DES POSSIBILITÉS
- [8] FRANKOWSKA H. (1987) L'équation d'Hamilton-Jacobi contingente. Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences, PARIS,
- [9] FRANKOWSKA H. (to appear)

 Hamilton-Jacobi Equations: viscosity solutions and generalized gradients. J. Math. Anal. Appli.
- [10] HADDAD G. (1981) Monotone trajectories of differential inclusions with memory. Israel J. Maths, 39, 38-100
- [11] ZADEH L.A. (1965) Fuzzy sets. Information and Control, 8, 338-353

[12] ZADEH L.A. (1978) Fuzzy sets as a basis for a theory of possibility. Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 1, 3