

## Editorial

Research on the concept of ‘flexibility’ has produced a substantive scholarly in recent decades and has evolved as a focal area of management research (Mønsted, 1991; Sushil, 1994; Skipper et al, 2014; Krishna, Prakash & Manikandan, 2015). According to Sushil (2001) ‘Flexibility offers freedom of choice and is highly context specific’. Here, ‘context specific’ refers to the role of contingencies within flexibility which might render it as a form of a firm’s dynamic capability. The dynamic capability scholarship argues that in order to achieve excellence, organizations should develop capabilities complementary to their competencies (Helfat *et al.*, 2007; Teece *et al.*, 1997). Thus, flexible HR practices can help organizations in achieving sustainable competitiveness through creating, integrating, reconfiguring, and building on its human resource base. For example, organizations can achieve competitive edge by customizing training and development programs.

Conventionally, flexibility has had a comparatively peripheral presence in human resource and organizational behavior research. Although, some pockets of research are able to be evidenced on a few areas related to HRM, for example: *behavioral flexibility* (Lindberg and Kaiser, 2004), *managerial/people flexibility* (Bamel et al., 2013, 2014; Jones et al., 2006; Verdú and Gómez-Gras, 2009), *flexible pay structure* (Riley and Lockwood, 1997), *labor flexibility* (Karuppan, 2004; Mihail, 2004) and *flexible human resource deployment* (Forrier and Sels, 2003), in general, this area is marginalized. In contrast, a wide span of HR functions and practices such as: *flexible recruitment and selection, flexible career and succession planning, flexible pay structure, creating organizational structure and design which incorporate flexible HR practices* have received considerably less attention. This special issue is therefore timely and this is underpinned by Prof. Sushil, Editor-In-Chief, Global Journal of Flexible Systems Management, who has commented (2015):

*“organizations need to customize their employee services and offerings so that these services and offerings would address the employees’ needs. This will certainly result in more satisfied, motivated and productive workforce. Therefore there is a need for an extended scholarly examination of flexibility in HR practices and functions i.e. forms of flexibility in HR practices, designing and employing flexible HR practices, significance of flexible HR practices to organizations and also to individuals, and other related issues”.*

Thus, in response to the idea of “Flexibility in HR Practices”, the Global Journal of Flexible Systems Management has developed this special issue in order to stimulate intellectual debate on concerns related to flexible HR practices. The agenda of this special issue is aimed at advancing the scholarly debate and discussion on flexible HR practices and, in response, presents six research papers which explore the various aspects of flexibility in human resource management. In addition, these papers are complemented by two short communications which present important and valuable practitioner perspectives on the scope of on flexible HR practices in organizations.

The first paper of the special issue is an empirical paper which examines the relationship between workplace flexibility and work family enrichment in an Indian context. The authors (Rastogi, Rangnekar and Rastogi) discuss the implications of operational and temporal flexibility on non-work domain i.e. family life. The paper suggests that the flexible workplace dimensions

exert positive influence between work and family interaction and thus these may help organizations in their talent management endeavor.

The second paper of the special issue is titled “Chinese Leadership and Its Impacts on Innovative Work Behavior of Thai Employees” (Piansoongnern). This paper investigates Thai employees’ perceptions on their Chinese superiors and seeks to comprehend how Chinese leadership impacts on innovative work behavior of the Thai employees. The paper also suggests the implications for practitioners.

The subsequent paper, authored by Yadav, is entitled “A Flexible Management Approach for Globally Distributed Software Projects”. This paper discusses the concerns and challenges related to managing the distributed work in globalized environment. It suggests flexibility bases managerial practice to address the challenges of distributed works and projects.

The fourth paper in this issue is “Workplace Flexibility dimensions as Enablers of Organizational Citizenship Behavior” by Yadav, Rangnekar and Bamel. This paper establishes six dimensions of workplace flexibility as enablers of organizational citizenship behavior in Indian organizations. The paper suggests potent ways of advancing the organizational citizenship behavior.

The next paper: “A study of HR flexibility and firm performance: A perspective from IT industries” is authored by Sekhar, Patwardhan and Vyas. This paper assesses causal relationships between the dimensions of HR-flexibility and firm performance in Indian IT industry using DEMATEL method. HR flexibility appreciates diversity and individuality, shared responsibility, and wholeness at workplace. It also helps organization in work scheduling and career management.

The sixth paper of the special issue is “Workflow Development Effort Estimation as Applied to Web Human Resource Management”. The authors (Raheem and Altmann) suggest the proximity scoring measurement method to determine workflow size and to estimate workflow development effort with its knowledge retention effort. Potential implications of precise measurement of workflow are also discussed.

The first short communication is by Peter Shaw CB of Praesta Partners, UK which talks about focused individual and team coaching as exemplars of flexible HR practices. This communication reveals the implications of focused coaching during crises or adverse times. The second short communication is by Prashant Srivastava, President Human Capital, Reliance Group (ADA). Mr. Srivastava emphasizes that in order to cater to meet the changing demands of markets and customers and to align the businesses with dynamic environment, HR has to enable the strategies and business plans i.e. it has to be dynamic and flexible.

Conclusively, the six research papers and the two practitioner’s short communication provide new perspectives on flexibility in HR practices. These papers work to extend our understanding on the implications of flexible HR practices in contemporary work environments.

## References

- Bamel, U. K., Rangnekar, S., & Rastogi, R. (2014). Do Gender, Position and Type of Organization Shape Human Resource Flexibility? in M. K. Nandakumar et al. (eds.), *Organisational Flexibility and Competitiveness, Flexible Systems Management*, Springer India. DOI 10.1007/978-81-322-1668-1\_9
- Bamel, U. K., Rangnekar, S., Rastogi, R., & Kumar, S. (2013). Organizational process as antecedent of managerial flexibility. *Global Journal of Flexible Systems Management*, 14(1), 3-15.
- Forrier, A., & Sels, L. (2003). Flexibility, turnover and training, *International Journal of Manpower*, 24(2), 148-168.
- Helfat, C. E., & Peteraf, M. A. (2009). Understanding dynamic capabilities: progress along a developmental path. *Strategic Organization*, 7(1), 91-102
- Jones, R. A., Rafferty, A. E., & Griffin, M. A. (2006). The executive coaching trend: towards more flexible executives. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 27(7), 584-596.
- Karuppan, C. M. (2004). Strategies to foster labor flexibility. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*, 53(6), 532-547.
- Krishna, V. M., Prakash, G., & Manikandan, M. (2015). Congregating or Swerving?- Developmental Trends and Changing role of Indian Organizational Flexibility along Globalization Process. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 189, 64-80.
- Lindberg, J. T. and Kaiser, R. B. (2004). Assessing the Behavioral Flexibility of Managers: A Comparison of Methods, Poster session presented at the 19th annual meeting of the Society for Industrial and Organizational Psychology in Chicago, IL, April 2004.
- Mihail, D. M. (2004). Labour flexibility in Greek SMEs, *Personnel Review*, 33(5), 549-560.
- Mønsted, M. (1991). Flexibility and skills in small enterprises. *Entrepreneurship & Regional Development*, 3(2), 101-110.
- Riley, M., & Lockwood, A. (1997). Strategies and measurement for workforce flexibility: an application of functional flexibility in a service setting, *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 17(4), 413-419.
- Skipper, J. B., Hall, D. J., Hazen, B. T., & Hanna, J. B. (2014). Achieving Flexibility via Contingency Planning Activities in the Supply Chain. *International Journal of Information Systems and Supply Chain Management (IJISSCM)*, 7(2), 1-21.
- Sushil (1994). Flexible systems methodology. *Systems Practice*, 7(6), 633-652.
- Sushil (2001). Demythifying flexibility. *Management Decision*, 39(10), 860-865.
- Teece, D. J., Pisano, G., & Shuen, A. (1997). Dynamic Capabilities and Strategic Management. *Strategic Management Journal*, 18(7), 509-533.
- Verdú, A. J., & Gómez-Gras, J-M (2009). Measuring the organizational responsiveness through managerial flexibility. *Journal of Organizational Change Management*, 22(6), 668-690.