



DOI:	10.1016/j.ejon.2015.03.002
Please cite as:	Flynn, S., Hulbert-Williams, L., Bramwell, R., Stevens-Gill, D., and Hulbert-Williams, N. (2015). Caring for cancer patients with an
	intellectual disability: Attitudes and care perceptions of UK oncology nurses. <i>European Journal of Oncology Nursing</i> .

20

- 21 This is a PDF file of a manuscript that has been accepted for publication.
- 22 Whilst all reasonable care has been taken to ensure that the content of this file
- 23 matches that which appears in the journal, formatting differs, and there
- 24 remains some possibility of other discrepancies.

26	Caring for cancer patients with an intellectual disability: Attitudes and care perceptions of UK
27	oncology nurses
28	
29	Miss Samantha Flynn ^a , Dr Lee Hulbert-Williams ^a , Prof Ros Bramwell ^a , Ms Debbie Stevens-Gill ^b
30	and Dr Nicholas Hulbert-Williams ^a
31	
32	^a Chester Research Unit for the Psychology of Health, Department of Psychology, University of
33	Chester, Chritchley Building, Parkgate Road, Chester, CH1 4BJ
34	^b Institute of Psychology, Faculty of Education, Health and Wellbeing, University of Wolverhampton,
35	Mary Seacole Building, Nursery Street, Wolverhampton, WV1 1AD
36	
37	Correspondence to:
38	Miss Samantha Flynn, Chester Research Unit for the Psychology of Health, Department of
39	Psychology, University of Chester, Chritchley Building, Parkgate Road, Chester, CH1 4BJ

Tel: +44 1244 513479

40

41 Email: samantha.flynn@chester.ac.uk 42 Abstract

Background: Caring for people with cancer or an intellectual disability (ID) is stressful: little is
known about the combined impact of caring for cancer patients with an ID, though this is expected to
be especially challenging.

46 Method: Eighty-three nurses, working in oncology or a related field (i.e. palliative care) were

47 recruited. Perceptions of caring for patients with and without an ID were measured, alongside

48 potentially confounding information about participant demographic characteristics and perceived

49 stress.

50 **Results:** Participants felt less comfortable communicating with patients with an ID about their illness

51 (F(1,82) = 59.52, p < 0.001), more reliant on a caregiver for communication (F(1,82) = 26.29, p < 0.001)

52 0.001), and less confident that the patient's needs would be identified (F(1,82) = 42.03, p < 0.001) and

53 met (F(1,81) = 62.90, p < 0.001). Participants also believed that caring for this patient group would

induce more stress, compared with patients without an ID (F(1,81) = 31.592, p < 0.001). Previous

experience working with ID patient groups appears to mitigate some perceptions about providing care

to this population.

57 Conclusions: Caring for cancer patients with an ID may intensify this, already difficult, role. Through
58 training and knowledge exchange, oncology nurse's confidence in communication, providing

appropriate care, and positivity towards this patient group may be improved.

60

61 Keywords: Oncology nurses, intellectual disabilities, cancer, stress, knowledge, communication

62 Introduction

63 Providing nursing care for cancer patients can be emotionally demanding (Emold et al., 64 2011). Nurses are at an elevated risk of stress, job dissatisfaction and burnout, heightened by perceived staff shortages (Toh et al., 2012). Caring for individuals with an intellectual disability (ID) 65 can also be particularly challenging for healthcare professionals (Mutkins et al., 2011; Skirrow and 66 Hatton, 2007) with high potential for stress and burnout (Lin & Lin, 2013); and is associated with 67 68 incidents of challenging behaviour (Hensel et al., 2012; Mills & Rose, 2011) and perceived role conflict or ambiguity (Vassos & Nankervis, 2012). Over time, more people with an ID are being 69 70 diagnosed with cancer, in part due to increased life expectancy (Hanna et al., 2011); thus oncology nurses, among other healthcare professionals, are supporting more patients with additional needs and 71 72 communication challenges. Given that caregiver stress is prevalent for cancer and ID professionals, it 73 stands to reason that additional difficulties will arise when providing care for a cancer patient with an 74 ID. 75 Successful communication is vital when caring for cancer patients (Arora, 2003; Kissane et 76 al., 2012); however research demonstrates that when caring for a patient with complex communication needs, nurses find their ability inhibited by time constraints (Hemsley et al., 2012). 77 78 Discussions about consequences of cancer treatment may include intimate care concerns; Turk et al. 79 (2012a) report discomfort for both the interviewer and interviewee (with an ID) when discussing such 80 issues. Communication difficulties may, therefore, lead some healthcare professionals to 81 communicate with a caregiver rather than the patient directly (Gibbs et al., 2008; Ryan et al., 2011); although, this information is not always accurate and congruent with information otherwise provided 82 83 by the patient (Turk et al., 2012b), potentially leading to misinformation and miscommunication. 84 Communication is just one area of cancer care: healthcare professionals may feel unprepared 85 to provide other aspects of care to people with an ID (Stein, 2008), and be unaware of best practice 86 guidelines in ID care (Ryan et al., 2011). Strategies to facilitate multi-disciplinary working have been suggested, however, Ryan et al. (2010) report communication between ID and palliative care staff to 87 be infrequent and ineffective. 88

89	This research aimed to investigate the previously unexplored perceptions of oncology nurses
90	regarding the provision of cancer care for patients with and without an ID; it was hypothesised that
91	participants would feel more positively about providing care for patients without an ID. As secondary
92	research questions we explored whether (a) providing care for patients with an ID would be more
93	stressful than for patients without an ID, and (b) there were any differences in perceptions of patient
94	communication between patients with and without an ID. Exploratory analysis was undertaken to
95	discover any interaction effects, whereby participant demographic characteristics (e.g. previous ID
96	experience) impacted participant perceptions following the ID and non-ID vignettes.
97	
98	Method
99	Participants
100	Participants were nurses working in oncology or a related field (i.e. palliative care), and were
101	members of the UK Oncology Nursing Society (UKONS). All 2,309 UKONS members were invited
102	to participate; 138 people responded, with 83 participants (Table 1) fully completing the
103	questionnaires.
104	
105	Table 1. Participant demographic details

	N (%)
Gender	
Female	81 (97.6)
Male	2 (2.4)
Age	
18-24	1 (1.2)
25-34	9 (10.8)
35-44	27 (32.5)
45-54	39 (47)
55-64	7 (8.4)
Highest Qualification	

Diploma	9 (10.8)	
BSc/BA Degree	40 (48.2)	
Graduate Diploma	9 (10.8)	
MSc/MA Degree	20 (24.1)	
PhD	3 (3.6)	
Missing data	2 (2.4)	
Employment Type		
Full-time	68 (81.9)	
Part-time	14 (16.9)	
Missing	1 (1.2)	
Employment Sector		
NHS	75 (90.4)	
Private	5 (6)	
Charitable Organisation	2 (2.4)	
Research Organisation	1 (1.2)	
ID Experience		
Yes	61 (73.5)	
No	22 (26.5)	
Mean Perceived Stress Score (Max. = 40)		
	18.54	

106

107 Materials

108 Vignettes

Using vignettes, particularly when exploring stigma (e.g. Scior, 2011), is a common and
effective approach which allows researchers to gauge, with relative accuracy, the perceptions and

beliefs of a participant group regarding a specific situation (Braun & Clarke, 2013); and has

applications to healthcare provision and nursing (e.g. Wandner et al., 2014). Vignette studies are

of particular use within potentially sensitive research; less direct than traditional questionnaires, the
participant is encouraged to construct a realistic reaction to a hypothetical situation (Braun & Clarke,
2013).

The vignettes and accompanying care perception questions were devised by the researchers. Four vignettes described patients, with two slightly different versions of each; additional information was included in one version of each vignette, indicating that the patient had an ID (Box 1). Vignettes were randomly assigned to participants; with each participant receiving four different vignettes (two ID and two non-ID); for instance, if vignettes A and D described a patient with an ID, the patient in vignettes B and C would not have an ID.

Following each vignette participants answered twelve questions, measuring care perceptions and attitudes (detailed in Table 2); responses were measured on a 5-point Likert scale, ranging from "1 - Strongly disagree" to "5 - Strongly agree". Participants were then asked to consider generally providing care to a patient with an ID and to answer two open-ended questions: "If you were unsure about how to provide the highest quality care for this patient, would you know where to go to for advice? Where would that be?" and "Are there any additional training needs you believe would be beneficial to help provide care to this person?"

129

130 Perceived Stress Scale

131 Developed by Cohen et al. (1983), the Perceived Stress Scale (PSS) is a 10-item self-report 132 measure. Items include: "In the last month, how often have you felt nervous and "stressed"?" and are 133 scored on a 5-point Likert scale ("0 - Never" to "4 - Very Often"). Four positive questions are reverse 134 scored, and the responses totalled; a high score indicates a high level of perceived stress. Within this 135 study, the PSS has high reliability ($\alpha = 0.84$); consistent with other research ($\alpha = 0.82$; Andreou et al., 136 2011).

137

Vignette D

Non-ID version

Paul is 48 and has colon cancer. He lives alone and is not married; he attends all of his appointments on his own as his family do not live close enough to help him. Despite this, he is a happy man who enjoys discussing sports with other patients and staff alike. He is determined to overcome his illness and doesn't moan about the treatments, even though it is apparent that he is experiencing some pain and discomfort. He asks questions during his appointments and seems to understand and accept the answers which are given to him, however some other health care professionals have highlighted that they are not sure whether he does fully understand everything that is said to him.

ID version

Paul is 48 and has colon cancer. He also has a mild intellectual disability. He lives alone and is not married; he attends all of his appointments on his own as his family do not live close enough to help him. Despite this, he is a happy man who enjoys discussing sports with other patients and staff alike. He is determined to overcome his illness and doesn't moan about the treatments, even though it is apparent that he is experiencing some pain and discomfort. Paul can struggle with some aspects of self-care; he has a paid carer who assists him twice a week. He seems to understand and accept the information which is given to him, however some other health care professionals have highlighted that they are not sure whether he does fully understand everything that is said to him. Paul sometimes gives an unrelated answer to questions asked by health care professionals; some colleagues have mentioned that it can be very difficult to find out important information.

139

140 Box 1. Example vignettes

142 Procedure

Ethical approval was received from the Department of Psychology Ethics Committee; the UKONS Board approved the study for circulation to members. Participants received an email invitation from UKONS including: a brief study explanation, researcher contact details and a link to the study. Upon accessing the study webpage, participants read the information sheet, and indicated consent by clicking through to the next page, before completing the questionnaires. Once completed, participants were shown a debrief page which included signposts to further support if needed.

149

150 Analysis

151 Each participant's scores for all twelve questions regarding their care perceptions for both 152 viewed ID vignettes were totalled, and a mean score was calculated; total and mean scores were also 153 calculated for the questions regarding the non-ID vignettes. A series of two-way within-participants 154 ANOVAs tested the main effects of vignette type (ID or non-ID) on participant's care perceptions. 155 Exploratory analysis to discover any interaction effects with other variables (e.g. previous experience 156 of ID patient groups) was undertaken using 2 x 2 mixed measures ANOVAs. 157 Responses to the two open-ended questions were thematically coded, drawing categories from 158 the data, and analysed quantitatively using descriptive analysis to establish additional training needs

160

159

161 **Results**

162 *Care perceptions*

and advice seeking suggestions.

Significant main effects of vignette type (ID or non-ID) were found for all twelve questions measuring care perceptions (Table 2); indicating that participants felt more confident in their knowledge, training and experience, better able to identify and meet the needs, and communicate with patients without an ID. Participants held more positive perceptions about patients without an ID and felt that caring for a patient with an ID would cause them to feel more stressed. Most differences between ID and non-ID care perception questions had a large or medium effect size (Cohen, 1988), indicating that the means for these care perceptions were substantially different. The difference in responses to one care perception (understanding the patient's circumstances) had a small effect size (d
= -0.46); thus the difference in means was marginal.

172

173 Interaction effects

174 Significant interaction effects were discovered whereby participants who had previous ID experience felt that they possessed more relevant knowledge (F(1,81) = 7.670, p = 0.007) and 175 experience (F(1,81) = 6.992, p = 0.01) when providing care for a patient with an ID than participants 176 with no experience. These participants were also more confident in meeting the needs of patients with 177 an ID (F(1,80) = 4.314, p = 0.041) and felt more positively about providing care (F(1,80) = 11.458, p = 0.041) 178 = 0.001). Participants with previous experience felt more confident in providing appropriate care for 179 patients with an ID (F(1,79) = 6.663, p = 0.012) and believed that they would become less stressed 180 181 when providing this care than participants with no previous experience (F(1,80) = 6.263, p = 0.014). 182 Participants with no previous ID experience felt that they had received more sufficient

training to care for a patient without an ID than with an ID, this difference for participants with previous experience was less evident (F(1,81) = 6.381, p = 0.013). Additionally, participants with no prior experience felt that they were better able to understand the circumstances of patients without an ID; this difference was less prominent for participants with previous ID experience (F(1,80) = 4.928, p = 0.029).

188 No statistically significant interaction effects were found for successful and comfortable
189 communication with the patient, or for identifying the patient's needs. Other demographic
190 characteristics were also considered: age, gender, level of education, perceived stress, whether
191 participants were employed full or part time, and what sector they worked in. Gender was not
192 included in the exploratory analysis as there were only two male participants. None of the remaining
193 characteristics were found to impact any of the care perception questions.

Care Perception Question	ID vignettes	Non-ID	between ID	Effect size
		vignettes	and non-ID	(Cohen's d)
			(F)	
I believe that I have the sufficient level of knowledge to provide care for this	3.69 (.78)	4.20 (.59)	(1,82) 36.80*	-0.74
patient.				
I believe that my previous nursing experience will assist me in providing care	3.92 (.74)	4.42 (.46)	(1,82) 41.25*	-0.81
for this patient.				
I believe that I have received sufficient training to provide the highest quality	3.34 (.94)	3.86 (.82)	(1,82) 42.76*	-0.59
care to this patient.				
I believe that I would be able to successfully communicate with this patient.	3.74 (.60)	4.33 (.52)	(1,82) 60.50*	-1.05
I feel comfortable talking to this patient about their illness.	3.79 (.70)	4.37 (.54)	(1, 82) 59.52*	-0.93
I would be dependent on the person accompanying the patient to	2.70 (.84)	2.16 (.87)	(1,82) 26.29*	0.63

communicate with the patient.

I feel confident that the needs of this patient would be identified.	3.43 (.74)	3.98 (.60)	(1,82) 42.03*	-0.82
I feel confident that the needs of this patient would be met.	3.38 (.69)	3.92 (.58)	(1,81) 62.9*	-0.85
I understand this patient's circumstance.	3.37 (.88)	3.79 (.93)	(1,81) 28.17*	-0.46
I feel positively about providing this patient with care.	3.85 (.64)	4.27 (.57)	(1,81) 39.54*	-0.69
I feel confident that I would be able to provide this patient with the	3.77 (.64)	4.22 (.58)	(1,80) 37.81*	-0.74
appropriate care.				
I believe that providing care for this patient would cause me to become	2.71 (.89)	2.26 (.88)	(1,81) 31.59*	0.51
stressed.				

195 * p<0.001. Standard deviation is in parentheses alongside the mean score

196 Seeking advice and training needs

197 Seeking advice. Of 78 participants to answer this question, 64 participants made 107 suggestions regarding where they would seek advice when caring for a patient with an ID; these were 198 199 coded into wider categories. One participant stated that the specific circumstances and needs of the 200 patient would determine where they would seek advice (see Figure 1).





203

Additional training needs. In total, 46 participants responded to this question, making 56

204 suggestions of additional training needs for providing care to a patient with an ID (Figure 2).







208 Discussion

This study aimed to explore the perceptions held by oncology nurses when caring for patients with and without an ID. Secondary aims were to investigate confidence levels when caring for this group of patients and whether this would lead participants to feel more stressed in their work. Potential interaction effects between care perceptions and participant demographic characteristics, including current stress levels, were also investigated; previous experience of caring for an individual with an ID was the only significant variable within this exploratory analysis.

215

216 *Care perceptions*

As hypothesised, oncology nurses felt less positive and confident about providing care to patients with an ID, including reporting having less relevant knowledge, training and experience for caring for this patient group. Similar results have been reported in other populations, for instance palliative care professionals often lack confidence in supporting people with an ID (McIlfatrick et al.,
2011; Stein, 2008), and are unaware of best practices (Ryan et al., 2011). Cancer patients will often
have high expectations of oncology nurses (Sapir et al., 2000) thus, it is imperative that they
understand how to meet the needs of all patient groups, or be able to access training to facilitate their
understanding. Our study provides valuable insight into the confidence levels of oncology nurses, and
suggests that this is lacking when considering the care of patients with an ID.

Perceiving oneself to have the necessary knowledge to provide appropriate care is vital (Ward 226 227 & Wood, 2000), especially to patients with additional needs. In the Healthcare for All report (Michael, 2008) it was recommended that all healthcare professionals should receive compulsory ID 228 229 training; however there is no evidence within the literature or in the data presented in this study to 230 suggest that this is being undertaken. Education courses can improve knowledge, self-perceived 231 psychosocial care skills, and preparedness for nursing cancer patients (Steginga et al., 2005); such 232 interventions could be tailored to educate nurses about meeting the needs of diverse patient groups, 233 including those with an ID.

234 In addition to reporting low caregiving confidence, our sample felt less comfortable 235 communicating directly with patients with an ID, and suggested that they would rely more on 236 communicating with the patients' caregiver. This finding supports previous work which highlighted 237 the difficulties faced by healthcare professionals when caring for patients with an ID, and the reliance 238 of these professionals on ID carers for information and support (Murphy, 2006; Turk et al., 2012a). 239 Whilst carers are undoubtedly important figures for patients with communication difficulties, it is 240 imperative that oncology nurses also feel able to converse with patients directly. The reliance of proxy reports, such as those from carers, can be problematic and often lead to a disproportionate number of 241 health problems being reported by carers than would be by the patient themselves (Turk et al., 2012b). 242 Though it may be necessary to obtain supplementary information from a caregiver, the Mental 243 Capacity Act 2005 guidelines state that it is best practice to speak directly to the individual; with all 244 patients being involved and fully informed about treatment decisions, with the assistance of additional 245 246 resources as appropriate.

247 Stress and burnout for both cancer and ID professionals is relatively high (Emold et al., 2011; Mutkins et al., 2011), thus it is unsurprising that our participants felt that they would be additionally 248 burdened by a cancer patient with an ID. The perceived shortage of knowledge, experience and 249 250 confidence when caring for this patient group may well have contributed to this perception. This is 251 supported by the wider literature, whereby it was reported that accident and emergency nurses felt that they lacked knowledge when caring for patients with an ID, which led to them being fearful of 252 communication and care provision to this patient group (Sowney & Barr, 2006). It is evident that 253 254 emotions related to supporting a cancer patient with an ID are complex; further exploration should 255 aim to facilitate a broader understanding of the emotional impact of providing care to this population 256 (including feelings of stress) on oncology nurses, among other oncology professionals.

257

258 Previous experience and training needs

259 Participants with previous experience of working with people with ID felt more positively 260 about meeting the patient's needs, providing appropriate care, and feeling additional stress when 261 providing care to this patient group, than did participants with no such prior experience. Previous 262 research has found that increased familiarity and understanding of people with an ID can reduce 263 anxiety when providing care (Li et al., 2012); and a recent literature review (Ryan & Scior, 2014) of 264 24 studies, in which interventions aimed to improve medical students' attitudes towards patients with 265 an ID, found that most studies had positive effects. Ryan and Scior noted that findings were not 266 unanimous across all studies, and that some interventions were methodologically limited (e.g. they did 267 not employ stringent measures and control groups were often absent), which should be noted for future research. However, given that these interventions were effective in a group who had no prior 268 experience of working with ID populations, similar work exploring their efficacy in oncology nurses 269 would be a valuable addition to the literature. This may not only improve care perceptions, but also 270 271 decrease the associated anxiety, uncertainty and perceived burden when caring for this population. Future studies should attempt to discover the most effective form of training to improve nurses' 272 273 attitudes and care perceptions (e.g. educational, practical, or a combined approach) as the results may 274 potentially benefit not only the patient, but also the wellbeing of carers and healthcare professionals.

275 Most participants in our study reported that they would seek advice from another professional 276 (e.g. ID team, ID charity, additional needs professional, line manager) to supplement their own experience and knowledge, this has the added benefit of providing peer-support within the workplace. 277 Multi-disciplinary team (MDT) working is common within both cancer (Rajan et al., 2013) and ID 278 279 (Balogh et al., 2008) care, but communication between ID and some oncology specialist teams (e.g. palliative care) has been previously reported to be problematic (Ryan et al., 2010). Having a strong 280 network of managers and support from other staff is beneficial to overall emotional and practical 281 282 functioning (Aycock & Boyle, 2009; Davis et al., 2013) and may be especially helpful when caring 283 for populations with additional needs.

284 Many sources of inter-professional support would only be applicable in certain circumstances, 285 for instance, making contact with a social worker would only be appropriate if the patient was already 286 known to them, or had circumstances whereby their input would be necessary. Nineteen participants 287 in this study (22.9%) were either unsure of where to seek specific advice, or did not answer this 288 question at all; a small proportion of participants indicated that they would contact a mental health 289 team. That these participants did not appropriately differentiate between an ID and a mental health 290 condition is concerning as both uncertainty and inappropriate advice seeking may lead to 291 inappropriate or inadequate support being provided, thus further impacting on the healthcare 292 experience of a patient during an already distressing time. There is a clear role for educational 293 interventions targeted at oncology professionals in not only meeting the needs of patients, but also in 294 knowing how to appropriately seek advice and signpost when caring for patients with additional ID 295 needs.

None of the participants reported that they would consult the patient themselves about how best to support them, despite evidence that people with an ID value involvement in their own healthcare decisions (Tuffrey-Wijne et al., 2007). This corresponds with our earlier finding that participants felt less confident communicating directly with patients with an ID. Shared decision making is a priority within the NHS (Coulter et al., 2011) and the need for personalisation and selfdirected care has been highlighted within Valuing People (Department of Health, 2001) and Putting People First (Department of Health, 2007). Providing an individual has the capacity, as outlined in the
Mental Capacity Act 2005, they should be involved in treatment and care decisions.

This is not the only study to conclude that communication interventions would be helpful. 304 Tuffrey-Wijne et al. (2005), for example, also found that healthcare professionals, including nurses, 305 306 were concerned about communicating with people with an ID and suggested that communication training tailored to patients with an ID may improve confidence, negating the need for inappropriately 307 high reliance on caregiver involvement. It is, therefore, of concern that there is a move away from 308 309 inclusion of advanced communication skills training as a component of peer review measures for oncology in the UK; this may mean that many oncology professionals will continue to have little or 310 311 no knowledge of effective communication strategies for patients with complex communication needs. 312 Our findings indicate that such training is essential. Further research into the specific communication 313 barriers and anxieties held by oncology nurses, for example time constraints, is warranted (Hemsley et 314 al., 2012) and may lead to more effective communication interventions: only by overcoming these 315 communication difficulties can best practice care be achieved for all patients, including those with an 316 ID.

317

318 Study evaluation

319 Recruiting through a national society should have made it possible to reach a wide group of 320 participants. However, response rates were lower than anticipated and as a proportion of registered 321 UKONS members our sample is small. Our recruitment method is most likely responsible for this low 322 recruitment rate: online survey research, especially that which uses an unanticipated email request, 323 often results in lower than expected response rates (Fan & Yan, 2010). Nonetheless, the sample was broadly representative, thus indicating that the results may still be generalizable throughout the UK. 324 Replication work in large samples, including those outside of the UK would be beneficial, to lend 325 326 further support to these novel findings.

327 Conducting research into perceptions and attitudes can be difficult, not least because it is
 328 difficult to obtain frank and honest answers regarding sensitive subjects; vignette methodology
 329 enables researchers to explore such areas, as it is less direct than being asked about personal

330 experiences (Braun and Clarke, 2013). When measuring perceptions of care, participants are usually 331 required to have some experience of working with the population in question. By using vignette methodology, participants were able to express their care perceptions regardless of their previous 332 experience; thus, we were able to access a wider sample and could compare the perceptions of 333 334 participants who had previous experience of working with patients with an ID, and those who did not. Whilst this methodology has been beneficial to explore the care perceptions of oncology nurses with 335 and without experience, it would be valuable to establish actual experiences of oncology nurses who 336 have cared for this patient group, including complications they faced and how they resolved them; a 337 338 qualitative methodology would be the most advantageous study design.

339

340 Conclusions

This research has taken a novel approach to investigate the care perceptions of oncology nurses, a notable and important gap in the current oncology nursing literature. It is evident from our data that providing cancer care to patients with an ID is perceived as being more difficult in many respects, however previous experience and increased knowledge working with this specific patient group acts as a protective factor against negative effects. Interventions to increase ID awareness and knowledge should be implemented to reduce anxiety and improve the perceptions and attitudes of oncology nurses when caring for this group of patients.

348

349 Acknowledgements

We wish to thank the UK Oncology Nursing Society for their assistance in distributing ourstudy to its members.

References

354	Andreou, E., Alexopoulos, E. C., Lionis, C., Varvogli, L., Gnardellis, C., Chrousos, G. P., and
355	Darviri, C. (2011). Perceived stress scale: reliability and validity study in
356	Greece. International journal of environmental research and public health, 8(8), 3287-3298.
357	Arora, N. K. (2003). Interacting with cancer patients: the significance of physicians' communication
358	behavior. Social science and medicine, 57(5), 791-806.
359	Aycock, N., and Boyle, D. (2009). Interventions to manage compassion fatigue in oncology
360	nursing. Clinical journal of oncology nursing, 13(2), 183-191.
361	Balogh, R., Ouellette-Kuntz, H., Bourne, L., Lunsky, Y., and Colantonio, A. (2008). Organising
362	health care services for persons with an intellectual disability. Cochrane Database of
363	Systematic Reviews, 4.
364	Braun, V., and Clarke, V. (2013). An Introduction to the Vignette Method. Sage Publications, online
365	supplement. Available at :
366	http://www.uk.sagepub.com/braunandclarke/study/Learning%20Resources/An%20Introducti
367	on%20to%20the%20Vignette%20Method.docx (accessed on 18 November 2014).
368	Cohen, S., Kamarck, T., and Mermelstein, R. (1983). A global measure of perceived
369	stress. Journal of Health and Social Behavior, 24, 385-396.
370	Cohen, J. (1988). Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences (2nd ed.) Hillsdale, NJ:
371	Erlbaum.
372	Coulter, A., Edwards, A., Elwyn, G., & Thomson, R. (2011). Implementing shared decision making in
373	the UK. Zeitschrift für Evidenz, Fortbildung und Qualität im Gesundheitswesen, 105(4), 300-
374	304.

- 375 Davis, S., Lind, B. K., and Sorensen, C. (2013). A comparison of burnout among oncology nurses
 376 working in adult and pediatric inpatient and outpatient settings. *Oncology nursing forum*,
 377 40(4), E303-E311).
- 378 Department of Health (2001). *Valuing People: A new strategy for learning disability for the 21st*379 *century*. London: DH.
- 380 Department of Health (2007). *Putting people first: a shared vision and commitment to the*381 *transformation of adult social care.* London: DH
- Emold, C., Schneider, N., Meller, I., and Yagil, Y. (2011). Communication skills, working
 environment and burnout among oncology nurses. *European Journal of Oncology Nursing*, 15(4), 358-363.
- Fan, W., and Yan, Z. (2010). Factors affecting response rates of the web survey: A systematic
 review. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 26(2), 132-139.
- Gibbs, S. M., Brown, M. J., and Muir, W. J. (2008). The experiences of adults with intellectual
 disabilities and their carers in general hospitals: a focus group study. *Journal of Intellectual Disability Research*, 52(12), 1061-1077.
- Hanna, L. M., Taggart, L., and Cousins, W. (2011). Cancer prevention and health promotion for
 people with intellectual disabilities: an exploratory study of staff knowledge. *Journal of Intellectual Disability Research*, 55(3), 281-291.
- Hemsley, B., Balandin, S., and Worrall, L. (2012). Nursing the patient with complex communication
 needs: time as a barrier and a facilitator to successful communication in hospital. *Journal of advanced nursing*, 68(1), 116-126.
- Hensel, J. M., Lunsky, Y., and Dewa, C. S. (2012). Exposure to client aggression and burnout among
 community staff who support adults with intellectual disabilities in Ontario, Canada. *Journal of Intellectual Disability Research*, 56(9), 910-915.

399	Kissane, D. W., Bylund, C. L., Banerjee, S. C., Bialer, P. A., Levin, T. T., Maloney, E. K., and
400	D'Agostino, T. A. (2012). Communication skills training for oncology professionals. Journal
401	of Clinical Oncology, 30(11), 1242-1247.
402	Li, C., Tsoi, E. W., and Wang, J. C. (2012). Chinese college students' attitudes toward people with
403	intellectual disabilities: differences by study major, gender, contact, and
404	knowledge. International Journal of Developmental Disabilities, 58(3), 137-144.
405	Lin, L. P., and Lin, J. D. (2013). Job burnout amongst the institutional caregivers working with
406	individuals with intellectual and developmental disabilities: Utilization of the Chinese version
407	of the Copenhagen Burnout Inventory survey. Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders, 7(6),
408	777-784.
409	McIlfatrick, S., Taggart, L., and Truesdale-Kennedy, M. (2011). Supporting women with intellectual
410	disabilities to access breast cancer screening: a healthcare professional perspective. European
411	Journal of Cancer Care, 20(3), 412-420.
412	Great Britain. Mental Capacity Act 2005: Elizabeth II [Online]. Chapter 9. (2005) Available at:
413	http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2005/9/pdfs/ukpga_20050009_en.pdf (accessed 1
414	August 2014).
415	Michael, J. (2008). Healthcare for all. Report of the independent inquiry into access to healthcare for
416	people with learning disabilities. London: Department of Health.
417	Mills, S., and Rose, J. (2011). The relationship between challenging behaviour, burnout and cognitive
418	variables in staff working with people who have intellectual disabilities. Journal of
419	Intellectual Disability Research, 55(9), 844-857.
420	Murphy, J. (2006). Perceptions of communication between people with communication disability and
421	general practice staff. Health Expects 9(1), 49-59.

422	Mutkins, E., Brown, R. F., and Thorsteinsson, E. B. (2011). Stress, depression, workplace and social
423	supports and burnout in intellectual disability support staff. Journal of Intellectual Disability
424	Research, 55(5), 500-510.
425	Rajan, S., Foreman, J., Wallis, M. G., Caldas, C., and Britton, P. (2013). Multidisciplinary decisions
426	in breast cancer: does the patient receive what the team has recommended. British journal of
427	cancer, 108(12), 2442-2447.
428	Ryan, K., Guerin, S., Dodd, P., and McEvoy, J. (2010). End-of-Life Care for People with Intellectual
429	Disabilities: Paid Carer Perspectives. Journal of Applied Research in Intellectual Disabilities,
430	24, 199-207.
431	Ryan, K., Guerin, S., Dodd, P., and McEvoy, J. (2011). Communication contexts about illness, death
432	and dying for people with intellectual disabilities and life-limiting illness. Palliative and
433	Supportive Care, 9(02), 201-208.
434	Ryan, T. A., and Scior, K. (2014). Medical students' attitudes towards people with intellectual
435	disabilities: A literature review. Research in developmental disabilities, 35(10), 2316-2328.
436	Sapir, R., Catane, R., Kaufman, B., Isacson, R., Segal, A., Wein, S., and Cherny, N. I. (2000). Cancer
437	patient expectations of and communication with oncologists and oncology nurses: the
438	experience of an integrated oncology and palliative care service. Supportive Care in
439	Cancer, 8(6), 458-463.
440	Scior, K. (2011). Public awareness, attitudes and beliefs regarding intellectual disability: A systematic
441	review. Research in Developmental Disabilities, 32(6), 2164-2182.
442	Skirrow, P., and Hatton, C. (2007). 'Burnout' amongst direct care workers in services for adults with
443	intellectual disabilities: a systematic review of research findings and initial normative
444	data. Journal of Applied Research in Intellectual Disabilities, 20(2), 131-144.

- Sowney, M., & Barr, O. G. (2006). Caring for adults with intellectual disabilities: perceived
 challenges for nurses in accident and emergency units. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 55(1),
 36-45.
- Stein, G. L. (2008). Providing palliative care to people with intellectual disabilities: services, staff
 knowledge, and challenges. *Journal of Palliative Medicine*, *11*(9), 1241-1248.
- Steginga, S. K., Dunn, J., Dewar, A. M., McCarthy, A., Yates, P., and Beadle, G. (2005). Impact of an
 intensive nursing education course on nurses' knowledge, confidence, attitudes, and perceived
 skills in the care of patients with cancer. *Oncology Nursing Forum*, *32*(2), 375-381.
- 453 Toh, S. G., Ang, E., and Devi, M. K. (2012). Systematic review on the relationship between the
- 454 nursing shortage and job satisfaction, stress and burnout levels among nurses in
 455 oncology/haematology settings. *International Journal of Evidence-Based Healthcare*, 10(2),
 456 126-141.
- 457 Tuffrey-Wijne, I., Hollins, S., and Curfs, L. (2005). Supporting patients who have intellectual
 458 disabilities: a survey investigating staff training needs. *International Journal of Palliative*459 *Nursing*, *11*(4), 182-188.
- 460 Tuffrey-Wijne, I., Bernal, J., Butler, G., Hollins, S., and Curfs, L. (2007). Using Nominal Group
 461 Technique to investigate the views of people with intellectual disabilities on end-of-life care
 462 provision. *Journal of advanced nursing*, 58(1), 80-89.
- 463 Turk V, Leer G, Burchell S, Khattram S, Corney R and Rowlands G (2012a) Adults with Intellectual
 464 Disabilities and their Carers as Researchers and Participants in a RCT. *Journal of Applied*465 *Research in Intellectual Disabilities*, 25, 1-10.
- 466 Turk V, Khattram S, Kerry S, Corney R and Painter K (2012b) Reporting of health problems and pain
 467 by adults with an intellectual disability and by their carers. *Journal of Applied Research in*468 *Intellectual Disabilities*, 25, 155-165.

469	Wandner, L.D., Heft, M.W., Lok, B.C., Hirsh, A.T., George, S.Z., Horgas, A.L., Atchison, J.W.,
470	Torres, C.A., Robinson, M.E. (2013) The impact of patients' gender, race, and age on health
471	care professionals' pain management decisions: An online survey using virtual human
472	technology. International Journal of Nursing Studies, 51(5), 726-733.
473	Vassos, M. V., and Nankervis, K. L. (2012). Investigating the importance of various individual,
474	interpersonal, organisational and demographic variables when predicting job burnout in

- 475 disability support workers. *Research in developmental disabilities*, *33*(6), 1780-1791.
- 476 Ward, J., and Wood, C. (2000). Education and training of healthcare staff: the barriers to its success.

477 *European journal of cancer care*, 9(2), 80-85.