



This work has been submitted to ChesterRep – the University of Chester's online research repository

http://chesterrep.openrepository.com

Author(s): Rebecca A. Boulton ; Alison W Fletcher

Title: Do mothers prefer helpers or smaller litters? Birth sex ratio and litter size adjustment in cotton-top tamarins (Saguinus oedipus)

Date: February 2015

Originally published in: Ecology and Evolution

Example citation: Boulton, R. A. & Fletcher, A. W. (2015). Do mothers prefer helpers or smaller litters? Birth sex ratio and litter size adjustment in cotton-top tamarins (Saguinus oedipus). *Ecology and Evolution, 5*(3), 598-606. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ece3.1396

Version of item: Author's accepted manuscript

Available at: http://hdl.handle.net/10034/337991

Rebecca A. Boulton^{a,b}, Alison W. Fletcher^a

Do mothers prefer helpful offspring or just fewer of them? Birth sex-ratio and litter size adjustment in captive cotton-top tamarins (*Saguinus oedipus*)

Affiliation^a: Department of Biological Sciences, University of Chester

Affiliation^b: School of Biology, University of St Andrews

Corresponding author: Rebecca A. Boulton

School of Biology

University of St Andrews

Sir Harold Mitchell Building

Greenside Place

St Andrews

KY16 9TH

Tel: +44(0)1334 463371

Fax: +44(0)1334 463366

Email : rb78@st-andrews.ac.uk

Sex allocation theory has been a remarkably productive field in behavioural ecology with empirical evidence regularly supporting guantitative theoretical predictions. Across mammals in general and primates in particular however, support for the various hypotheses has been more equivocal. Population level sex ratio biases have often been interpreted as supportive, but evidence for small scale facultative adjustment has rarely been found. The helper repayment (HR) also named the local resource enhancement (LRE) hypothesis predicts that, in cooperatively breeding species, mothers invest more in the sex which assists with rearing future offspring, and that this bias will be more pronounced in mothers who require extra assistance (i.e. due to inexperience or a lack of available alloparents). We tested these hypotheses in captive cotton-top tamarins (Saguinus oedipus) utilising the international studbook and birth records obtained through a questionnaire from ISIS registered institutions. Infant sex, litter size, mother's age, parity and group composition (presence of nonreproductive subordinate males and females) were determined from these records. The HR hypothesis was supported over the entire population, which was significantly biased towards males (the 'helpful' sex). We found little support for helper repayment at the individual level, as primiparous females and those in groups without alloparents did not exhibit more extreme tendencies to produce male infants. Primiparous females were, however, more likely to produce singleton litters. Singleton births were more likely to be male, which suggests that there may be an interaction between litter size adjustment and sex allocation. This may be interpreted as supportive of the HR hypothesis, but alternative explanations at both the proximate and ultimate levels are possible. These possibilities warrant further consideration when attempting to understand the ambiguous results of primate sex ratio studies so far.

Key words : Sex allocation, *Saguinus oedipus*, Helper repayment hypothesis, sex ratio

Sex allocation (the relative proportion of parental resources invested in sons and daughters) has been a highly productive area of research within behavioural ecology, demonstrating the remarkable precision of, and constraints on adaptation (West, Herre & Sheldon, 2000). Empirical evidence has frequently been found to support theoretical predictions in systems where a mechanism for the facultative adjustment of offspring sex is known to exist (West et al. 2000; West, Pen & Griffin, 2002). Probably the most notable case is in the haplodiploid insects, where offspring sex is dependent on whether or not a female fertilises an egg (resulting in a daughter or son respectively; Charnov, 1982). Parasitoid and fig-pollinating wasps, for instance, produce sex ratios very close to those predicted by local mate competition (LMC) which occurs in spatially structured populations and leads to female-biased sex ratios when sons experience fraternal competition over mates (Hamilton, 1967).

Fisher's (1930) principle explains the 1:1 birth sex ratio observed in many species (Charnov, 1982; West, 2009) using an economic metaphor. If, due to a genetic tendency, more animals of one sex are born, individuals of the rarer sex will become more valuable as parental fitness returns will be higher (assuming that the genetic contribution to future generations is equal for male and female offspring at 1:1). The rarer sex will consequently be favoured by natural selection, causing the sex ratio to return to equilibrium (Frank, 1990; West, 2009). The theory base initiated by Fisher (1930) was extended by Hamilton (1967) who showed that reasons other than frequency-dependent mating success will contribute to parental fitness, for instance in situations of extreme

LMC where a female should produce only enough sons to inseminate all of her daughters; doing so would maximise a female's grand-offspring production. More broadly, parents should invest more in producing the sex which provides them with higher fitness returns (Hamilton, 1967; Charnov, 1982).

The initial insights of Fisher and Hamilton provided the foundation for several hypotheses to explain why fitness returns from each sex differ and subsequently the generation of quantitative predictions as to the optimal sex ratio an organism should produce given various parameters. In their 'maternal condition' hypothesis (TWH), Trivers and Willard (1973) predicted that mothers in good condition will produce more sons, endowed with high competitive abilities due to increased maternal resource allocation. Additionally, females in poor condition will bias the sex ratio towards female offspring, which will reproduce regardless of condition (Trivers & Willard, 1973).

Local resource competition (LRC; Hamilton 1967; Charnov 1982) occurs when there is sex biased dispersal, as the philopatric (non-dispersing) sex will compete for resources and so, in net fitness terms, will be more costly to produce leading to the prediction of a sex-ratio bias towards the dispersing sex. Another key concept in sex allocation theory is local resource enhancement (LRE) which is also known as the 'helper repayment' hypothesis. In many cooperatively breeding species, males and females differ in their tendency to assist in the rearing of future offspring. The helper repayment (HR) hypothesis predicts a sex ratio bias towards the more helpful sex, as individuals who invest more in helping increase their parents' net fitness and will effectively 'pay back' their own rearing costs (Emlen, Emlen & Levin, 1986).

Generally sex allocation studies yield smaller effect sizes for vertebrates, probably due to chromosomal sex determination (sex is determined by whether

an individual is homo- or heterogametic for the sex chromosomes) combined with their complex life histories (West, Shuker & Sheldon, 2005). Despite this. population sex ratios across the vertebrates are often biased (Sheldon & West, 2004; Silk & Brown, 2008) and Thogerson et al (2013) showed clearly the adaptive value of sex allocation in terms of grand-offspring production in the mammals. Sex allocation in the primates has yielded a substantial body of literature, and the predictions differ with social system and life history. Despite the copious amount of research into sex allocation in the primates, results have often been inconsistent and difficult to interpret. For instance, when dominance is matrilineally inherited, high ranking mothers are predicted to produce daughters and low ranking mothers should produce sons (which will disperse to a new group without the constraints of their mother's low rank) but support has been mixed (supportive: Rhesus macaques (Macaca mulatta, Meikle, Tilford & Vessey, 1984; Long-tailed macagues (M. fascicularis), van Schaik, Netto, van Amerongen & Westland, 1989; Japanese macaques (*M. fuscata*), Aureli et al. 1990; and no trend/female bias: Bonnet macaques (*M. radiata*), Silk, Clark-Wheatley, Rodman & Samuels, 1981; Savannah baboons (Papio cynocephalus), Altmann, Hausfater & Altmann, 1988). Furthermore, a meta-analysis by Brown and Silk (2002) suggested that that in studies which consider sex allocation according to dominance rank in the primates, effect size (skewness of sex ratio) decreases in larger samples, implying that the observed trends may be caused by stochastic variation in small samples. In a later meta-analysis, Silk and Brown (2008) suggested that local resource competition (LRC) and helper repayment (HR) played more of a role than maternal condition (TWH) in population sex ratio biases seen regularly in the primates. In cooperatively breeding primates there is a significant population bias towards males (such as in callitrichids), where males are typically better helpers than females, through increased investment in infant

carrying, food provisioning (Dunbar, 1995) and later dispersal, providing help in the natal environment for longer (McGrew & McLuckie, 1986). However, Pen & Weissing (2000) argue that if parents are facultatively adjusting the sex of their offspring according to the benefit of having helpers, the population sex ratio will not necessarily be biased towards the helpful sex. Often, systems with sex biased cooperative breeding will also have sex biased dispersal so any effect of adjusting offspring sex according to the helper repayment hypothesis (HR) may be countered by local resource competition (LRC, Koenig & Walters, 1999; Pen & Weissing, 2000). In the Seychelles warbler (Komdeur, 1992; Komdeur, 1996; Komdeur et al. 1997), for example, helpers are only beneficial on good quality territories; on poor territories it is better to produce an unhelpful, but dispersive female to alleviate resource competition. In early tests of helper repayment, Gowaty and Lennartz (1985) did find a significant bias towards the helpful sex (males) in the cooperatively breeding red cockaded woodpecker (59% males) but Walters (1990) did not (49% males); a population level sex ratio bias alone does not unequivocally support or refute the HR hypothesis.

Koenig and Walters (1999) suggest investigation into the individual level effects of certain factors, in accordance with the predictions of the helper repayment hypothesis, in order to facilitate greater understanding of these processes across the vertebrates. For instance, in cooperatively breeding mammals such as alpine marmots (*Marmota marmota;* Allainé, 2004) and African wild dogs (*Lyacon pictus*; Griffin, Sheldon & West, 2005), birth sex ratios are more biased towards the helpful sex in young, primiparous mothers than in older, multiparous mothers. This is adaptive because a young female who has recently dispersed from her natal group must establish her own group, which may only consist of herself and her mate. Producing more of the helpful sex early on will be beneficial in terms of future reproduction, as a mother can benefit most from

their help in rearing the subsequent litter. Further support for this possibility comes from the cooperatively breeding carrion crow (*Corvus corone corone*). In this species, males are the helpful sex and Canestrari, Vila, Marcos and Baglione (2012) found that in groups with few subordinate male helpers, more male chicks were fledged.

Although Silk & Brown (2008) found support for the helper repayment hypothesis in primates, their meta-analysis only considered population sex ratio biases. Recently Rapaport, Kloc, Warneke, Mickelberg and Ballou (2013) considered how factors such as mother's age and group size might affect sex allocation strategies at the invididual level in two cooperatively breeding captive primate species, golden-lion tamarins (*Leontopithecus rosalia*) and callimicos (*Callimico goeldii*) which typically produce twin and singleton litters respectively. Although they showed that group size does influence offspring survival, they did not find any adaptive response in terms of sex allocation within species. Young and first time mothers were no more likely to produce sons and there was no effect of group size on male offspring production.

The aim of the current study was, like Rapaport et al (2013), to test the predictions of the HR hypothesis at the individual level in a cooperatively breeding callitrichid, the cotton-top tamarin (*Saguinus oedipus*). Like *C. goeldii* and *L. rosalia*, reproductive success in *S. oedipus* is constrained largely by the presence of helpers (males in particular) to assist in offspring rearing (Bardi, Petto & Lee-Parritz, 2001). Despite a lack of support for the HR hypothesis, Rapaport et al (2013) did find that in the twinning species, *L. rosalia*, the sex ratio in singleton births was 65% male compared to 55% in twin litters. They suggest the population male bias results from in-utero litter size reduction which is instigated by male fetuses (at the expense of female litter mates). If males are

competitively superior then this may mask any facultative control the mother exerts. Unlike *C. goeldii* and *L. rosalia*, which typically produce singleton and twin offspring respectively, *S. oedipus* females produce litters ranging in size from 1 to 4 (although 69% are dizygotic twins). An additional aim of the current study is to test whether litter size modification might interact with birth sex ratio, for instance, if, as Rapaport et al (2013) propose, there is sex-biased reabsorbtion of fetuses or if one fetus is competitively superior in-utero (and this competitive superiority is also sex biased; Jaquish, Tardif, Toal & Carson, 1996; Tardif & Jaquish, 1994; Rapaport et al, 2013). We will also investigate whether females vary their litter size depending on environmental and life history variables (such as parity, age and group size) which provide reliable information on likely infant survival (Tardif, Ross, & Smucny, 2013).

METHODS

Data were compiled using an electronic questionnaire which was sent by email to all ISIS (2008) registered (International Species Information System) institutions housing cotton-top tamarins (*Saguinus oedipus*) requesting details of the sex of any infants born, litter size and dates of all births, deaths and transfers as well as the mother's date of birth and parity (which were contained in their ARKS; Animal Record Keeping System database). Additionally the international studbook keeper and EEP (European endangered species breeding programmes) coordinator were approached in order to gain access to the Single Population Analysis and Record Keeping System (SPARKS) records for *S. oedipus*. The international studbook for the cotton-top tamarin was established in 1986, but only data from a 10 year period (13/01/1999 – 25/07/2009) were included in this study as many earlier entries were from wild caught animals whose age and parity could not be reliably determined.

Age of the mother (in months, at the estimated time of conception, 6 months prior to parturition French, De Vleeschouwer, Bales & Heisterman, 2002) and parity (primiparous or multiparous) as well as litter size were then determined using the ARKS data provided by institutions and the birth records listed in the SPARKS database. Additionally, the ARKS data (on births, deaths and transfers) were used to determine the total group size and composition (number of non-reproductive males and non-reproductive females) present at the time of conception.

Statistical analysis

Once datasets were combined and all un-sexed births and duplicated data points had been removed, a total of 1784 infants (from 1146 litters and 387 mothers in 208 zoos) were available for statistical analysis of maternal age, parity and litter size; this included 267 singletons (15%), 1235 twins (69.2%), 270 triplets (15.1%) and 12 quadruplets (0.7%). These births represented data extracted from the SPARKS database and combined with ARKS records provided by individual institutions. Forty-eight institutions also provided information regarding group size and composition; these data were available for 576 infants (representing 294 litters from 90 mothers).

Whilst Rapaport et al (2013) excluded infants who were hand reared, rearing status was unavailable for *Saguinus oedipus* and we considered the sex ratio of litters where all infants were born alive and the sex was determined.

Generalised linear mixed models (GLMMs using Ime4 in R) were used to determine whether any of the aforementioned variables influenced the

probability of giving birth to a male. Binary logistic regression with a binomial error structure (0 = female, 1 = male) and a logit link function were used (after calculating dispersion parameters which indicated the data were not overdispersed) to determine the effects of the independent variables on the binary (dichotomous) dependent variable (sex). Independent variables were mother's age in months (entered as a covariate) and parity (a fixed factor; primiparous or multiparous). Litter size (1 - 4) was also entered as a fixed factor; additional fixed factors included alloparent presence (whether there were nonreproductive subordinate (NRS) animals of either sex in the group at the time of conception) and the sex of NRSs (i.e. the number of non-reproductive males or females were considered separately). Zoo population, mothers' identity and litter identity were entered as nested random factors in the model as infants from the same population, mother and litter cannot be treated as independent observations (Bolker et al. 2008; Krackow & Tkadlec, 2001). An additional GLMM included the same random and predictor variables (mother's age, parity & alloparent presence and sex) with litter size as the outcome variable (Quasipoisson error structure, which is robust to over and underdispersion) to determine whether females appear to modify their litter size in response to social or life-history variables.

RESULTS

Sex ratio

Birth sex ratio was determined by the proportion of males born (BSR_M). From complete litters in the entire sample the BSR_M was 0.53; this male bias was shown to be significantly different from the expected 0.5 (1:1) (Chi-square: $X^2_1 =$ 4.72, p = 0.03). Singleton births were more likely to be male than litters containing multiple infants (Figure 1). The sex ratio was significantly more male

biased in singleton births than litters containing multiple infants (Table 1). No variables other than litter size significantly predicted infant sex (Table 1). When the model included the complete dataset (ARKS and SPARKS; which did not include group size or composition, see supplementary material) the results did not change; litter size remained the only significant predictor of infant sex.

FIGURE 1

TABLE 1

Removing litter size from the model did not suggest that any other variables (which may be correlated with litter size) were related to the birth sex ratio. Furthermore, an additional model considered only twin births in order to determine whether life history variables and group size/composition have any effect on the sex ratio independently of litter size, but results did not differ from the original model (see supplementary material).

Litter size

Fifteen percent of all births were single infants, 69.2% were twins and 15.1% were triplets. Out of the entire sample only 12 quadruplet litters were born (0.7%). Litter size could be under proximate maternal control and therefore an additional GLMM was used to determine whether mother's age, parity and presence of NRSs had any effect on litter size (Table 2). Only parity had a significant effect on litter size; the first (primiparous) birth was more likely to be a single infant than subsequent births (Figure 2). The effect of parity increased, but remained the only significant predictor of litter size when the complete dataset (ARKS and SPARKS) was used (see supplementary material).

TABLE 2

FIGURE 2

DISCUSSION

The results of the current study indicate that in the captive cotton-top tamarin (*Saguinus oedipus*) the overall birth sex ratio (BSR) was significantly male biased. On a simple level, this is consistent with the predictions of the Helper Repayment (HR) hypothesis (Emlen et al. 1986), but despite this consistency, the HR hypothesis may not explain the male bias observed. Pen & Weissing (2000) argue that a population sex ratio bias alone does not unequivocally support or refute the HR hypothesis as environmental conditions might interact to reduce the benefit of producing the helping sex. Furthermore, other factors may contribute to population level male bias seen here (i.e. Silk & Brown, 2008; Rapaport et al. 2013), for instance greater potential fitness returns from sons, who, in the wild at least, have more opportunities for extra-group mating than subordinate daughters who are reproductively supressed by the dominant female in a group (Ziegler, Savage, Scheffler & Snowdon, 1987).

In this study, we found little support for the prediction that young and primiparous mothers, or even those with no non-reproductive alloparents, would produce more of the helpful sex (sons) than older or multiparous mothers with helpers. The failure for young/primiparous mothers to increase son production could result from alloparental care which is not fully sexually asymmetric in callitrichids. Subordinate females do assist in infant care, but to a lesser extent than males (Price, 1992). If the fitness benefit of producing a son versus a daughter is not sufficient to increase fitness substantially there would be no expected effect of age, parity and alloparent presence on birth sex ratio itself. Price (1992) found that juvenile daughters actually provide more care than juvenile sons. Females do not continue this investment into adulthood, suggesting that they use this experience to assist their own reproduction later in

life (Sánchez, Peláez & Gil-Bürmann, 2002). As such, the sex of the first offspring may not matter enormously, particularly given the low probability of survival of the first infant; in captive colonies maternal experience explains 16.8% of the variance in infant survival (Bardi et al. 2001).

Although the proximate mechanisms underlying mammalian sex allocation have often been fairly speculative, it seems unlikely that there would be no proximate mechanism for biasing offspring sex in mammals, given its potential adaptive value (Thogerson et al. 2013). A recent study has shown that female pigs can modify the oviductal environment in response to sperm type, suggesting that female mammals are capable of biasing offspring sex through differential local immune responses to X or Y bearing spermatozoa (Almiñana et al. 2014). In the callitrichids, female energy status is a key variable influencing reproductive investment (Tardif et al. 2013), with high levels of glucose enhancing male blastocyst development (Navara & Nelson, 2009). This could potentially explain both the male bias in captive populations (due to reduced nutritional stress) and why females do not seem to adjust sex allocation according to the variables under investigation here (mother's age, parity & alloparent presence). If females can use their energetic status as a proxy for current conditions (be they life history, environmental or social) any ability to allocate sex adaptively may be masked by a lack of variation in nutritional status (van Dooren & Leimar, 2003; Schwanz & Proulx, 2008). This is consisent with the results of the current study; if this kind of phenotypic plasticity dictates sex allocation decisions according to maternal energy status then we would expect both a surplus of males in captivity and insufficient variation in maternal condition to see the outcome of sex allocation decisions at the individual level. In captivity, alloparental presence and female age/experience may thus not influence maternal energy status sufficiently (due to the dominant effect of

enhanced nutrition) to observe individual level variation in sex allocation decisions.

Although the current study found only circumstantial evidence for helper repayment, we did find evidence to suggest that primiparous females are more likely to produce a single infant. In captivity, litter size may be a more important reproductive outcome than infant sex. This might reflect the fact that the key determinant of reproductive fitness in captivity, where availability of resources is not an issue, is infant mortality due to maternal inexperience (whereas in the wild the limiting factor for infant survival is resource availability; Snowdon, Savage & McConnell, 1985). The rate of stillbirth and subsequent neonatal mortality is particularly high for the first litter a female produces (Leong, Terrell & Savage, 2004; Tardif et al, 2013) and in captive callitrichids, infants born in smaller litters are more likely to survive the neonatal period (Jaguish, Gage & Tardif, 1991; Leong et al. 2004). A primiparous female should, therefore concentrate her efforts on gestating and rearing a single infant in order to improve survival. Investing more resources into a single infant will increase survivorship when mothers are inexperienced. This will result in a higher net fitness gain both directly through care for future offspring by non-reproductive subordinates and indirectly through inclusive fitness. Females may thus potentially benefit by not over-investing (i.e. in multiple infants) in early reproductive attempts where the likelihood of success is low.

In addition to finding that primiparous females were more likely to give birth to a single infant, we also found that the probability of producing a male infant was greater in singleton births. The majority of callitrichid litters are dizygotic (non-identical) twins and triplets, but litter sizes of up to 6 have been recorded in captive *Callithrix jacchus* (Tardif et al. 2013). Although litter size is

dictated largely by the number of ova matured and released (Tardif & Ross, 2009), single infant births typically result from reabsorption of one or more embryos (in the callitrichids this is facilitated by delayed embryonic development; females have an extended period of time in which to cease investing in a reproductive attempt if it is no longer profitable to do so; Tardif & Ross, 2009). This suggests that primiparous females may reabsorb one or more embryos to reduce their current reproductive burden and optimise their future reproductive success (Jaquish et al, 1996; Tardif & Jaquish, 1994; 1997). The male bias in singleton births could result from either a maternal preference for reabsorbing female embryos or asymmetric embryonic competitive ability, as suggested by Rapaport et al (2013). Whether maternal embryo preference or differential competitive ability exists requires investigation, but may be a promising avenue for future research given the potential for altering reproductive investment post-insemination. Regardless of the proximate explanation, producing a male infant early on is expected to help maximise future reproduction if the quality of male alloparental care is higher (McGrew & McLuckie, 1986; Dunbar, 1995).

There does appear to be an interaction between litter size and sex allocation; these reproductive decisions may not be independent. Many reproductive decisions appear to be related to maternal energy balance or condition as well as cortisol levels (Grant, 1996; 2007), which we expect to vary with age and parity (Bales, French, Hostetler & Dietz, 2005), as well as previous reproductive investment (which will also relate to the amount of alloparental care available). Although the direct effect of parity on sex ratio was not statistically significant, the finding that a primiparous female is more likely to produce a single infant, and that a single infant is more likely to be male does suggest some degree of facultative control over sex after insemination. In a similar way,

female carrion crows can maximise male fledgling success by allocating offspring sex ratio along the hatching sequence (the first chicks to hatch have higher survivorship; Canestrari et al. 2013). By doing so, carrion crow mothers can produce more sons in a group which was previously short of (helpful) subordinate males. It is possible that in *Saguinus oedipus* too, a mother producing a single male infant increases this offspring's chances of survival and, therefore also increases the success of her future offspring due to increased alloparent availability. Data in the studbook could be further utilised to test this prediction and whether the secondary sex ratio (that is infant survival to independence from the mother) is greater for males in first births depending on litter size.

A recent study has highlighted the potential for natural selection to act on the facultative adjustment of birth sex ratio; Thogerson et al (2013) found, using data from captive breeding programmes for 198 mammalian species, that grandparents which produced sex ratios which were biased in the predicted direction had more grand-offspring. Clearly, primates and other mammals can maximise their fitness returns by allocating sex, even in captivity (Thogerson et al. 2013), but the results of the current study suggest that reproductive decisions are not so unequivocal. Other reproductive decisions, such as how many infants to gestate and support, may take initial precedence in terms of maximising fitness returns. These decisions may, however, interact with the primary or secondary sex ratio, perhaps due to maternal preference or asymmetric pre- and post-natal competition between the sexes. The callitrichid primates represent a valuable study system for further investigation and modelling of mammalian reproductive decisions in the wild and captivity.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Thanks to David Shuker for comments and advice. Sue Mousley & Nick Davis for feedback on questionnaires; Hollie Colahan for providing the SPARKS records for *Saguinus oedipus* and staff at all institutions for providing ARKS records. Thanks also to Winnie Eckardt for statistical assistance.

ETHICAL STANDARDS

This study involved only archival data analysis and thus complied with the ethical guidelines for the Treatment of Animals in Behavioural Research and Teaching (ASAB). Full ethical approval was given by the University of Chester department of Biological Science

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

REFERFENCES

Almiñana, C., Caballero, I., Heath. P. R., Maleki-Dizaji, S., Parrilla, I.,
Cuello, C., Gil, M. A., Vazquez, J. L., Vasquez, J. M., Martinez, E. A., Holt,
W. V., Fazeli, A. 2014. The battle of the sexes starts in the oviduct: modulation of oviductal transcriptome by X and Y-bearing spermatozoa. *BMC Genomics*.
Retrieved from http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2164/15/293

Allainé, D. 2004. Sex ratio variation in the cooperatively breeding alpine marmot *Marmota marmota*. *Behavioural Ecology*, **15**, 997-1002.

Altmann, J., Hausfater, G., & Altmann, S. A. 1988. Determinants of reproductive success in savanna baboons. In: *Reproductive sucess: Studies of individual variation in contrasting breeding systems* (Ed. by T. H. Clutton-Brock,), pp. 404-411. Chicago: University of Chicago press.

Aureli, F., Schino, G., Cordischi, C., Cozzolino, R., Scucchi, S., & van Schaik, C. P. 1990. Social factors affect secondary sex ratio in captive Japanese macaques. *Folia Primatologica*, **55**, 176-180. **Bales, K. L., French, J. A., Hostetler, C. M,., & Dietz, J. M.** 2005. Social and reproductive factors affecting cortisol levels in wild female golden lion tamarins (*Leontopithecus rosalia*). *American Journal Of Primatology*, **67**, 25-35.

Bardi, M., Petto, A. J., & Lee-Parritz, D. E. 2001. Parental failure in captive cotton-top tamarins (*Saguinus oedipus*). *American Journal Of Primatology*, **54**, 159-169.

Bolker, M. B., Brooks, M. E., Clark, C. J., Geange, S. W., Poulsen, S., Stevens, M. H. H., & White, J. S. 2009. Generalized linear mixed models: a practical guide for ecology and evolution. *Trends In Ecology & Evolution*, **24**, 127-135.

Brown, G. R, & Silk, J. B. 2002. Reconsidering the null hypothesis: Is maternal rank associated with birth sex ratios in primate groups? *Proceedings Of The National Academy Of Sciences*, **99**, 11252-11255.

Canestrari, D., Vila, M., Marcos, J.M., & Baglione, V. 2012. Cooperatively breeding carrion crows adjust offspring sex ratio according to group composition. *Behavioural Ecology & Sociobiology,* **66**, 1225-1235.

Charnov, E. L. 1982. *The theory of sex allocation.* Guildford: Princeton University Press.

Dunbar, R. I. M. 1995. The mating system of callitrichid primates: II. The impacy of helpers. *Animal Behaviour*, **50**, 1971-1089.

Emlen, S. T., Emlen, J. M., & Levin, S. A (1986). Sex-ratio variation in species with helpers-at-the-nest. The *American Naturalist*, **127**, 1-8

Fisher, R. A. 1930. *The genetical theory of natural selection: A complete variorum edition.* Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Frank, S. A. 1990. Sex allocation theory for birds and mammals. *Annual Review Of Ecology And Systematics,* **21**, 13-55.

French, J. A., De Vleeschouwer, K., Bales, K., & Heistermann, M. 2002. Lion tamarin reproductive biology. In: *Lion Tamarins: Biology And Conservation* (Ed. by D. G. Kleiman, & A. B. Rylands), pp. 133-156. London: Smithsonian Institution.

Gowaty, P. A., & Lennartz, M. R. 1985. Sex ratios of nestling and fledgling redcockaded woodpeckers (*Picoides borealis*) favor males. *The American Naturalist*, **126**, 347-353.

Grant, V. J. 1996. Sex determination and the maternal dominance hypothesis. *Human Reproduction*, **11**, 2371-2375.

Grant, V. J. 2007. Could maternal testosterone levels govern mammalian sex ratio deviations. *Journal Of Theoretical Biology*, **246**, 708-719.

Griffin, A. S., Sheldon, B. C., & West, S. A. 2005. Cooperative breeders adjust offspring sex ratios to produce helpful helpers. *The American Naturalist*, 166, 628-632.

Hamilton, W. D. 1967. Extraordinary sex ratios: A sex ratio theory for sex linkage and inbreeding has new implications in cytogenetics and entomology. *Science*, **156**, 477-488.

ISIS. 2008. *SPARKS studbook/species management software*. Retrieved from International Species Information System: http://www.isis.org/CMSHOME

Jaquish, C. E., Gage, T. B., & Tardif, S. D. 1991. Reproductive factors affecting survivorship in captive callitrichidae. *American journal of physical anthropology*, **84**, 291-305. Jaquish, C. E., Tardif, S. D., Toal, R. L., & Carson, R. L. 1996. Patterns of prenatal survival in the common marmoset (*Callithix jacchus*). *Journal Of Medical Primatology*, **25**, 57-63.

Koenig, W. D., & Walters, J. R. 1999. Sex-ratio selection in species with helpers at the nest: the repayment model revisited. *The American Naturalist*, 153, 124-130.

Komdeur, J. 1992. Importance of habitat saturation and territory quality for evolution of cooperative breeding in the Seychelles warbler. *Nature*, **358**, 493-495.

Komdeur, J. 1996. Facultative sex ratio bias in the offspring of Seychelles warblers. *Proceedings Of The Royal Society B: Biological Sciences,* **263**, 661-666.

Komdeur, J., Daan, S., Tinbergen, J., & Mateman, C. 1997. Extreme adaptive modification in sex ratio of the Seychelles warbler's eggs. *Nature*, **385**, 522-525.

Krackow, S., & Tkadlec, E. 2001. Analysis of brood sex ratios: implications of offspring clustering. *Behavioural Ecology And Sociobiology,* **50**, 293-301.

Leong, K. M., Terrell, S. P., & Savage, A. 2004. Causes of mortality in captive cotton-top tamarins (*Saguinus oedipus*). *Zoo biology*, **23**, 127-137.

McGrew, W. C., & McLuckie, E. C. 1986. Philopatry and dispersion in the cotton-top tamarin, *Saguinus (o.) oedipus*: An attempted laboratory simulation. *International Journal Of Primatology*, **7**, 401-422.

Meikle, D. B., Tilford, B. L., & Vessey, S. H. 1984. Dominance rank, secondary sex ratio, and reproduction of offspring in polygynous primates. *The American Naturalist*, **124**, 173-188. Navara, K. J., & Nelson, R. J. 2009. Prenatal environmental influences on the production of sex-specific traits in mammals. *Seminars In Cell & Developmental Biology*, **20**, 313-319.

Pen, I., & Weissing, F. J. 2000. Sex-ratio optimization with helpers at the nest. *Proceedings Of The Royal Society B: Biological Sciences,* **267**, 539-543.

Price, E. C. 1992. Contributions to infant care in captive cotton-top tamarins (*Saguinus oedipus*): The influence of age, sex and reproductive status. *International Journal Of Primatology*, **13**, 125-141.

Rapaport, L. G., Kloc, B., Warneke, M., Mickelberg, J. L., & Ballou, J. D. 2013. Do mothers prefer helpers? Birth sex ratio in captive callitrichines. *Animal Behaviour*, **85**, 1295-1302.

Sánchez, S., Peláez, F., & Gil-Bürmann, C. 2002. Why do cotton-top tamarin female helpers carry infants? A preliminary study. *American Journal Of Primatology*, **57**, 43-49.

Schwanz, L. E., & Proulx, S. R. 2008. Mutual information reveals variation in temperature-dependent sex determination in response to environmental fluctuation, lifespan and selection. *Proceedings Of The Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, **275**, 2441-2448.

Sheldon, B. C., & West, S. A. 2000. Maternal dominance, maternal condition and offspring sex ratio in ungulate mammals. *The American Naturalist*, **163**, 40-54.

Silk, J. B., & Brown, G. R. 2008. Local resource competition and local resource enhancement shape primate birth sex ratios. *Proceedings Of The Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, **275**, 1761-1765. Silk, J. B., Clark-Wheatley, C. B., Rodman, P. S., & Samuels, A. 1981. Differential reproductive success and facultative adjustment of sex ratios among captive female bonnet macaques (*Macaca radiata*). *Animal Behaviour*, **29**, 1106-1120.

Snowdon, C. T., Savage, A., & McConnell, P. B. 1985. A breeding colony of cotton-top tamarins *(Saguinus oedipus). Laboratory Animal Science*, **35**, 477-480.

Tardif, S. D., & Jaquish, C. E. 1994. The common marmoset as a model for nutritional impacts upon reproduction. *Annals of the New York academy of sciences*, **709**, 214-215

Tardif, S. D., & Jaquish, C. E. 1997. Number of ovulations in the marmoset monkey (*Callithrix jacchus*): relation to body weight, age and repeatability. *American Journal Of Primatology*, **42**, 323-329.

Tardif, S. D., & Ross, C. N. 2009. Integration of proximate and evolutionary explanations of reproductive strategy: the case of callitrichid primates and implications for human biology. *American Journal Of Human Biology*, **21**, 731-738.

Tardif, S. D., Ross, C. N., & Smucny, D. 2013. Building marmoset babies:
Trade-offs and cutting bait. In *Building Babies: Primate Development In Proximate And Ultimate Perspective* (Ed. by K. B. H. Clancy, K. Hinde, & J. N.
Rutherford), pp. 169-186. New York: Springer.

Thogerson, C.M., Brady, C.M., Howard, R.D., Mason, G.J., Pajor, E.A., Vicino, G.A., Garner, J.P. 2013. Winning the genetic lottery: Biasing birth sex ratio results in more grandchildren. *PLOS one*, **8**, e67867. Trivers, R. L., & Willard, D. E. 1973. Natural selection of parental ability to vary the sex ratio of offspring. *Science*, **179**, 90-92.

van Dooren, T. J. M., & Leimar, O. 2003. The evolution of environmental and genetic sex determination in fluctuating environments. *Evolution*, **57**, 2667-2677.

van Schaik, C. P., Netto, W. J., van Amerongen, A. J. J., & Westland, H. 1989. Social rank and sex ratio of captive long-tailed macaque females (*Macaca fascicularis*). *American Journal Of Primatology*, **19**, 147-161.

Walters, J. R. 1990. Red-cockaded wood peckers: a 'primitive'cooperative breeder. In *Cooperative Breeding In Birds: Long-Term Studies Of Ecology And Behavior* (Ed. by P. B. Stacey, & W. D. Koenig) pp. 69-101. Cambridge: Cambridge University press.

West, S. A. 2009. Sex Allocation. Woodstock: Princeton University Press.

West, S. A., & Sheldon, B. C. 2002. Constraints in the evolution of sex ratio adjustment. *Science*, **295**, 1685-1688.

West, S. A., Herre, E. A., & Sheldon, B. C. 2000. Evolution: the benefits of allocating sex. *Science*, **290**, 288-290.

West, S. A., Pen, I., & Griffin, A. S. 2002. Conflict and cooperation - cooperation and competition between relatives. *Science*, **296**, 72-75.

West, S. A., Shuker, D. M., & Sheldon, B. C. 2005. Sex-ratio adjustment when relatives interact: A test of constraints on adaptation. *Evolution*, **59**, 1211-1228.

Ziegler, T. E., Savage, A., Scheffler, G., & Snowdon, C. T. 1987. The Endocrinology of Puberty and Reproductive Functioning in Female CottonTopTamarins (*Saguinus oedipus*) under Varying Social Conditions. *Biology Of Reproduction*, **37**, 618-627.



Figure 1 Variation of sex ratio (proportion males) with litter size. Infants in single litters

are more likely to be male than in triplet litters

Table 1 Summary of GLMM examining the effect of litter size, mother's age, parity and NRS presence & sex on offspring sex ratio (df = 3 for litter size, df = 1 for all other predictors)

		B estimate ± SE	X2	Р	
Interce	ot	0.13 (0.30)			
Litter si	ze		6.42	0.04	
	Single vs twin	-0.30 (0.28)		0.28	
	Single vs triplet	-0.76 (0.33)		0.02	
	Twin vs triplet	-0.59 (0.34)		0.08	
Parity		-0.18 (0.34)	0.10	0.74	
Mother'	's age	-0.00 (0.00)	0.16	0.69	
All NRS	Ss	0.49 (0.59)	0.45	0.50	
Male N	RSs	-0.36 (0.44)	0.69	0.41	
Female NRSs		-0.02 (0.34)	0.00	0.95	

Table 2 Summary of GLMM examining the effects of mother's age, parity and NRS

presence & sex	(df = 1 for all	predictors) on the	litter size
----------------	-----------------	------------	----------	-------------

	B estimate ± SE	<i>X</i> ²	Р
Intercept	0.67 (0.05)		
Parity	0.05 (0.03)	0.17	0.05
Mother's age	-0.00 (0.00)	0.01	0.60
All NRSs	-0.03 (0.05)	0.02	0.54
Male NRSs	0.02 (0.04)	0.01	0.71
Female NRSs	0.03 (0.03)	0.06	0.23



Figure 2 Percentage of infants born as singletons, or in twin or triplet litters by parity

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Table i. Summary of GLMM (binomial error distribution, dispersion parameter = 1.00) examining the effect of litter size, parity and mother's age on offspring sex ratio (df = 3 for litter size, df = 1 for all other predictors) which included the complete SPARKS & ARKS dataset (N = 1743 infants)

		B estimate ±		
		SE	X ²	Р
Interce	pt	0.47 (0.24)		
			12.1	
Litter si	ize			
			9	0.007
	Single vs twin	-0.49 (0.13)		0.004
	Single vs triplet	-0.38 (0.19)		0.04
	Single vs quad	-0.51 (1.01)		0.61
	Twin vs triplet	0.11 (0.15)		0.48
	Twin vs quad	-0.02 (1.00)		0.98
	Triplet vs quad	-0.13 (1.02)		0.90
Parity		0.11 (0.13)	0.72	0.39
Mother's age		-0.00 (0.00)	1.03	0.30

Table ii. Summary of GLMM (binomial error distribution, dispersionparameter = 1.01) examining the effect of parity and mother's age on

offspring sex ratio (df = 3 for litter size, df = 1 for all other predictors) which included the ARKS dataset (N = 373 infants)

	B estimate ±		
	SE	X ²	Р
Intercept	0.67 (0.55)	0.8	
Parity	-0.35 (0.33)	- 0.0	
		5 0.0	0.36
Mother's age	0.00 (0.00)	8	0 78
		0.2	0.70
	0.00 (0.58)	9	0.59
Male NRSs	-0.42 (0.44)	0.9	
		0	0.34
Female NRSs	0.07 (0.33)	0.0	
		5	0.82

Table iii. Summary of GLMM (binomial error distribution, dispersion parameter = 1.00) examining the effect of parity and mother's age on offspring sex ratio (df = 3 for litter size, df = 1 for all other predictors) which included the ARKS dataset (N = 1274 infants)

	B estimate ±		
	SE	X ²	Р
Intercept	0.13 (0.22)		
D <i>H</i>	0.05 (0.12)	0.1	
Parity	0.05 (0.13)	9 0.9	0.66
Mother's age	-0.00 (0.00)		
		2	0.34

Table iv. Summary of GLMM (binomial error distribution, dispersion parameter = 1.03) examining the effect of parity, mother's age, alloparent presence and sex on offspring sex ratio (df = 1 for all predictors) which included only twin births from the ARKS dataset (N = 207 infants)

	B estimate ±		
	SE	X ²	Р
Intercept	1.23 (0.73)		
Parity	-0.72 (0.12)	2.4	
	(,	4	0.12
Mother's age	-0.00 (0.00)	0.0	
		0	0.98
All NRSs	0.27 (0.74)	0.2	
		0 0 2	0.66
Male NRSs	-0.26 (0.56)	0.2	
		9 0.1	0.59
Female NRSs	0.16 (0.43)	_	
		5	0.69

Table v. Summary of GLMM (binomial error distribution, dispersion parameter = 1.00) examining the effect of parity and mother's age on offspring sex ratio (df = 1 for all predictors) which included only twin births from the SPARKS & ARKS dataset (N = 1274 infants).

	B estimate ±		
	SE	X ²	Р
Intercept	0.16 (0.27)		
Parity	0.02 (0.15)	0.0	
T anty	0.02 (0.13)	2	0.19
	0.00 (0.00)	1.7	
Mother's age	-0.00 (0.00)	2	0.87

Table vi. Summary of GLMM (quasipoisson error distribution, dispersion parameter = 0.16) examining the effect of mother's age and parity on litter size (df = 1 for all predictors) which included the complete SPARKS & ARKS dataset (N = 972 litters)

	B estimate ±		
	SE	X ²	Р
Intercept	0.46 (0.04)		
Parity	0 09 (0 02)	2.9	
	0.00 (0.02)	7	0.0007
Mother's age	-0.00(0.00)	0.0	
	0.00 (0.00)	0	0.85