## Higher Dietary Acid Load Is Associated With an Increased Risk of Calcium Oxalate Kidney Stones

Haghighatdoost, F, Sadeghian, R, Clark, CCT & Abbasi, B Author post-print (accepted) deposited by Coventry University's Repository

## Original citation & hyperlink:

'Higher Dietary Acid Load Is Associated With an Increased Risk of Calcium Oxalate Kidney Stones', Journal of renal nutrition: the official journal of the Council on Renal Nutrition of the National Kidney Foundation, vol. 31, no. 5, pp. 467-474. <a href="https://dx.doi.org/10.1053/j.jrn.2020.08.012">https://dx.doi.org/10.1053/j.jrn.2020.08.012</a>

DOI 10.1053/j.jrn.2020.08.012

ISSN 1051-2276

Publisher: Elsevier

NOTICE: this is the author's version of a work that was accepted for publication in *Journal of Renal Nutrition*. Changes resulting from the publishing process, such as peer review, editing, corrections, structural formatting, and other quality control mechanisms may not be reflected in this document. Changes may have been made to this work since it was submitted for publication. A definitive version was subsequently published in Journal of Renal Nutrition, [[31,] [5], (2021)] DOI: 10.1053/j.jrn.2020.08.012

© 2021, Elsevier. Licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International <a href="http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/">http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/</a>

Copyright © and Moral Rights are retained by the author(s) and/ or other copyright owners. A copy can be downloaded for personal non-commercial research or study, without prior permission or charge. This item cannot be reproduced or quoted extensively from without first obtaining permission in writing from the copyright holder(s). The content must not be changed in any way or sold commercially in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holders.

This document is the author's post-print version, incorporating any revisions agreed during the peer-review process. Some differences between the published version and this version may remain and you are advised to consult the published version if you wish to cite from it.

Higher Dietary Acid Load is Associated with an Increased Risk of	1
Calcium Oxalate Kidney Stones	2
ABSTRACT	3
Background and aim: Diet-dependent net acid load may influence the risk of kidney stone	4
formation by affecting calcium and citrate excretion. However, to-date, little research has	5
investigated the relationship between dietary acid load and kidney stones. Therefore, this study	6
sought to assess whether a diet high in potential acid load was related to the risk of calcium	7
oxalate stone formation.	8
Methods: This case-control study was conducted on 430 participants (including 215 newly	9
diagnosed patients with calcium oxalate stones and 215 controls matched for sex and age).	10
Dietary intake was assessed using a validated food frequency questionnaire over the preceding	11
year. Dietary acid load was estimated based on the potential renal acid load (PRAL) and net	12
endogenous acid production (NEAP). The association between dietary acid load indices and	13
kidney stone was examined using multivariable logistic regression.	14
<b>Results:</b> Mean PRAL (SE) was significantly lower in cases vs. controls (-5.3±1.3 vs1.7±1.3;	15
P= 0.048). Corresponding values for NEAP were 39.4±0.8 and 41.8±0.8, respectively	16
(P=0.032). After adjustment for potential confounders, the odds ratios (95% confidence	17
intervals) of calcium oxalate stones in the top tertile of PRAL and NEAP were 1.45 (0.89, 2.38;	18
P=0.136) and 1.88 (1.14, 3.09; P=0.013), respectively. Adjustment for potassium and protein	19
in two separate models did not substantially change the results.	20
Conclusions: A diet high in potential acid load, measured by NEAP, was associated with	21
higher risk of calcium oxalate stone formation, independent of potassium and protein intake.	22
Prospective longitudinal studies are warranted to confirm the veracity of our results.	23

**KEYWORDS:** Dietary Acid Load; Nephrolithiasis, Calcium Oxalate; Potential Renal Acid Load (PRAL); Net Endogenous Acid Production (NEAP)

INTRODUCTION 26

Nephrolithiasis, a condition associated with the presence and formation of kidney stones, is highly prevalent, with a growing trend worldwide (1), and has been shown to double the risk of chronic kidney disease or end stage renal disease (2). Calcium stones, particularly calcium oxalate stones, are the most frequent type of kidney stones, and are found in up to 80% of cases (3, 4). Although 46% of stone events in women and 57% in men are attributable to hereditary, non-modifiable, factors (5), approximately half of all stone events are caused by modifiable risk factors; and therefore, can be prevented through altering environmental and lifestyle factors.

There is strong evidence highlighting the role of dietary intake in kidney stone formation (6-9). Indeed, the available literature suggests that a healthy dietary pattern, such as the dietary approach to stop hypertension (DASH) diet, rich in whole grains, fruit, vegetables, calcium and unsaturated fatty acids, but low in salt, saturated fatty acids, sugar-sweetened beverages (SSB), and animal proteins, is associated with lower risk of kidney stones (6-9). A mechanism by which dietary intake may influence the risk of kidney stone formation is altering dietary acid load. Whilst the components of a healthy diet, including calcium, fruit, and vegetables (which are rich in potassium and magnesium) may increase urinary pH, the components of an unhealthy dietary pattern such as animal proteins and SSB may decrease urinary pH, and, consequently, favor calcium oxalate stone formation (10-12). Indeed, in response to metabolic acidosis, the kidneys attempt to restore acid-base balance, resulting in an increased calcium and oxalate excretion and decreased excretion of citrate, thereby facilitating the formation of calcium oxalate crystals (13, 14). In addition, urinary calcium and oxalate excretion may be

increased by dietary calcium and animal proteins (15), which can potentially affect dietary acid load.

In epidemiologic studies, dietary acid load has been calculated using two approaches: 1) the potential renal acid load (PRAL), which takes into account the intestinal absorption rates of protein, potassium, phosphorus, magnesium, and calcium (16, 17), and 2) the net endogenous acid production (NEAP), which is based on the total amount of ingested protein and potassium (18). Moreover, the validity of these approaches has been approved in several studies (16-18). To our knowledge, only a few studies have investigated the association of dietary acid load with calcium oxalate stones to-date (12, 19, 20). Although these studies have consistently shown a higher risk for kidney stones in individuals with higher scores of dietary acid load (12, 19, 20), due to the distinct paucity of data in this context, further studies in populations with different lifestyle and risk factors are warranted to better elucidate the association. Thus, the present study sought to evaluate whether an acidifying diet is associated with risk of calcium oxalate stones formation.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study population: This case-control study was carried out between September 2017 and June 2018. A convenience sample of 215 cases referring to [removed for blind peer review], whose nephrolithiasis was pathologically confirmed by a nephrologist during the past three months was selected. Age- (5-year age group) and sex-matched controls (n=215) were recruited from the general population through advertisements and word of mouth through people who participated in our study, or who opted to participate but were not eligible. Prior to data collection, ethical approval (ethical approval number: [removed for blind peer review]) was obtained from [removed for blind peer review]. The major inclusion criteria for both cases and controls were: 1) Aged  $\geq$  18 years and 2) a body mass index (BMI)< 35 kg/m². We excluded

subjects with BMI> 35 kg/m², due to additional medications for metabolic disorders. Indeed, variations in the number and doses of these medicines, along with other medical interventions, which has known, direct effects on urine composition, in addition to unknown interactions, made it impossible to control or match the effect of these interventions. Subjects were excluded if they had one of the following criteria: 1) being on a specific diet; 2) having a history of inflammatory bowel disease, irritable bowel syndrome, ileostomy, celiac, hyperthyroidism, or hyperparathyroidism; 3) being pregnant or post-menopausal. At the beginning of study, all participants provided a written informed consent.

Dietary intake assessment: Data regarding dietary intake over the preceding year was collected using a Persian validated, self-reported, 147-item food frequency questionnaire (FFQ) (21). Participants were instructed on how to complete the FFQ by a trained nutritionist, and asked to determine how often they had consumed each food item during the past year, according to the given portion sizes. All food items were converted to grams/d using household measures (22), and daily energy and nutrients intake was estimated using Nutritionist IV software modified for Iranian foods (First Databank Division, The Hearts Corporation, San Bruno, CA, USA). In the present study, subjects who left more than 20% of FFQ items unanswered or their estimated energy intake was <800 or >4200 kcal/d were excluded.

**Dietary acid load measurement:** To estimate dietary acid load indices, we first adjusted the components of dietary acid load indices for energy using the residual method (23), and subsequently used in the suggested formula for PRAL and NEAP. The PRAL score was calculated using the formula suggested by Remer and Manz, which takes into account the intestinal absorption of protein, phosphorus, potassium, calcium, and magnesium:

$$PRAL (mEq/d) = (protein (g/d) \times 0.49) + (P (mg/d) \times 0.037) - (K (mg/d) \times 0.021) - (Ca (mg/d) \times 0.013) - (Mg (mg/d) \times 0.026) (24).$$

The NEAP was also measured through the formula suggested by Frassetto et al. (18):

NEAP= [(54.5 \* protein (g/d)) / potassium (mEq/d)] - 10.2.

Assessment of covariates: Data regarding demographic variables, including age, sex, education, alcohol drinking, smoking, and past medical history, were collected using a self-reported questionnaire. Physical activity was assessed using the International Physical Activity Questionnaire (25), where its validity and reliability have been approved (26). Body weight was measured, while participants were minimally clothed and unshod, using SECA scales, and recorded to the nearest 100 grams. Height was measured using a fixed-wall tape, with participants unshod. BMI was calculated by dividing body weight (kg) by height (m<sup>2</sup>).

## Statistical analysis

Participants were divided into three groups according to the tertiles of dietary acid load indices (PRAL and NEAP). Differences in general characteristics of participants, between cases and controls, were assessed using an independent sample t-test for continuous variables and a Chisquare test for categorical variables. Data were reported as means±SE. Age-, sex-, and energy-adjusted dietary intakes across the tertiles of PRAL and NEAP were compared using analysis of covariance (ANCOVA). Multiple logistic regression was performed to estimate odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for having kidney stones in the crude and adjusted models. In model 1, we controlled for the confounding effect of education. In model 2, additional adjustment was made for calcium supplement consumption, smoking, hypertension, and diabetes mellitus. Due to independent role of protein and potassium in the development of kidney stone according to the literature (12), model 3 and model 4 were further controlled for dietary potassium and protein intake, respectively. P for linear trends was determined by considering tertiles of PRAL and NEAP as linear continuous variables in the logistic regression model. All statistical analyses were performed using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS, Inc., Chicago IL, USA; version 20). Statistical significance was accepted at P<0.05.

RESULTS	121
The mean age of participants was 39.4±11.9 y (77.2% male), and mean (SE) of PRAL and	122
NEAP were significantly different between cases and controls (PRAL in cases: $-5.3\pm1.3$ and	123
in controls: -1.7±1.3, P=0.048; and NEAP in cases: 39.4±0.8 and in controls: 41.8±0.8,	124
P=0.032).	125
Table 1 compares the general characteristics as well as dietary intakes between cases and	126
controls. Overall, compared with controls, cases were more likely to be hypertensive, diabetic,	127
a smoker, consume alcoholic beverages, and less educated. In contrast, calcium supplement	128
consumption was more prevalent among controls than cases. Controls had greater intake of	129
protein, calcium, magnesium, phosphorus, vegetables, and dairy, but lower intake of sodium,	130
fruits, soft drinks, and sugar sweetened beverages, as compared with cases. NEAP and PRAL	131
scores were significantly higher in controls vs. cases.	132
Table 2 details the dietary intake of participants across the tertiles of dietary acid load indices.	133
Higher scores of both PRAL and NEAP were significantly associated with lower intake of	134
carbohydrate, magnesium, potassium, vitamin C, fruits, vegetables, nuts, and tea, but higher	135
intakes of protein, cholesterol, phosphorus, sodium, meats, and whole and refined grains.	136
Calcium and dairy product consumption were not significantly different across the tertiles of	137
PRAL, but displayed a decreasing trend across the tertiles of NEAP. Higher PRAL scores were	138
associated with lower intake of nuts, but no difference was found across the tertiles of NEAP.	139
Consumption of fat, fiber, and legumes were similar across the tertiles of both PRAL and	140
NEAP.	141
Crude and multivariable-adjusted ORs (95% CIs) for calcium oxalate kidney stones are	142
demonstrated in Table 3. In the crude model, individuals in the third tertile of PRAL had a	143
61.0% (95% CI: 1.01, 2.57; P=0.045) greater risk for kidney stones, in comparison with those	144

in the first tertile. However, adjustment for education weakened this association to the point it was no longer significant (OR= 1.47; 95% CI: 0.91, 2.39; P= 0.115). Further controlling for calcium supplement consumption, smoking, hypertension and diabetes mellitus, and dietary potassium or protein intake did not yield any substantial effect on the association. We also compared the dietary intake of cases with controls according to the tertiles of dietary acid load indices (**Supplementary Table 1**), which indicated that the dietary intake of cases and controls across the tertiles of PRAL was comparable, and the trends of changes were similar for most nutrients.

Regarding the NEAP, in the crude model, the risk of stone formation in the highest tertile was two times higher compared with the first tertile (OR=2.0; 95% CI: 1.25, 3.20). Adjustment for education in model 1 weakened the association, but remained statistically significant (OR=1.83; 95% CI: 1.13, 2.97; P= 0.014). Further control for calcium supplement consumption, smoking, and hypertension and diabetes mellitus slightly changed the association. In model 3, adjustment for dietary potassium intake strengthened the association (OR= 2.06; 95% CI= 1.21, 3.52; P=0.010), and adjustment for dietary protein intake in model 4 did not ameliorate the association (OR= 1.81; 95% CI: 1.09, 3.01; P=0.021).

DISCUSSION 161

In the current case-control study, we found that higher dietary acid load, as measured by NEAP, but not PRAL, was associated with increasing the risk for calcium oxalate stones, approximately, twofold. Moreover, this association was independent from dietary potassium or protein intake.

The association between lower urinary pH and the risk of kidney stone formation has been well established (27); however, to our knowledge, and in spite of the effect of dietary acid load on urinary pH (28), information on the association between dietary acid load and the risk of kidney stone is pauce. In a large prospective examination of three cohort studies (the Health

Professionals Follow-Up Study, the Nurses' Health Study I (NHS I) and the Nurses' Health Study II (NHS II)), there was a 41% higher risk of calcium oxalate stone incidence for those participants in the top quintile of protein to potassium ratio (12). Further, this association remained significant even after further adjustment for animal protein and potassium intake. Similarly, our results highlighted that association between NEAP and kidney stone incidence was independent from protein and potassium intake. Two other case-control studies, among Italian populations, consistently suggested that stone formers had greater dietary acid load, compared with controls (19, 20). However, in our study, we observed that PRAL could not predict the risk of calcium oxalate stone after adjustment for potential confounders.

Although, in the current study, dietary acid load was greater in controls in comparison with cases, the risk of calcium oxalate stone was higher in cases. This difference might be related to potassium and protein intakes. Indeed, whilst cases and controls in our study had similar potassium intake, protein intake was greater in controls than cases this difference in protein intake was attributed to differences in dairy products intake, which was consumed to a greater extent by controls vs. cases. Dairy products represent good sources of magnesium and calcium, which can decrease the risk of calcium oxalate stone formation; however, they are not considered in the NEAP calculation. In addition, although fruit intake was greater in cases compared with controls, it did not lead to differences in fiber intake between cases and controls as a protective factor against kidney stones. Therefore, it is conceivable that protein sources likely play a varying and important role in predicting the risk of calcium oxalate stone incidence.

In the present study, we also observed that cases were less educated than controls, which is consistent with literature. This might be explained by the, relatively, lower awareness of less educated people with respect to kidney stone prevention and the differences in lifestyle factors, other than dietary intake. In addition, individuals with different educational levels, and

therefore, socioeconomic statuses, may experience different levels of psychological stress which is closely correlated with kidney stones (29). However, due to a lack of data in this regard, we could not evaluate this association; therefore, it would be pragmatic for further research to acutely consider the potential impact of socioeconomic status, concomitant to other confounding variables.

Several mechanisms may explain the positive association between dietary acid load and kidney stones formation. First, a diet low in potential acid load is high in fruit and vegetables, which contains high amounts of water, and therefore, can increase urine volume and decrease relative supersaturation for calcium oxalate (12). Second, a chronic low grade acid load may putatively lead to higher urinary calcium excretion (possibly through bone buffering), lower urinary citrate excretion, and lower urinary pH, which would increase the risk of stone formation (13, 14). Third, dietary potassium, as a component of dietary acid load indices, can influence the reabsorption of bicarbonate in the proximal tubule, alter proton transport and renal ammonia production in the distal tubule, and consequently affect acidification (30). Furthermore, a diet with low potential of acid load contains higher amounts of plant foods which have various salts of organic anions (malate, citrate, galacturonate, tartrate), and can exert alkalinizing effects through bicarbonate generation and thereby decrease the risk of crystal formation (31). Indeed, consistently, several studies have elucidated that a plant-based diet like the DASH and Mediterranean eating styles are associated with decreased risk of kidney stones (6-9).

Despite representing a novel addition to the literature, our study has several limitations that should be considered. First, we did not have information regarding urinary composition; therefore, we could not validate the measures of dietary acid load (PRAL and NEAP) using the urinary pH. Nevertheless, there are several studies suggesting that urinary pH is correlated with dietary acid load indices (12, 28). Second, our study design does not allow causal inferences to be made; however, assuming a causal relationship based on our results, dietary interventions

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

aimed at decreasing potential acid load would considerably decrease the risk of kidney stones, and have a profound impact on the economic and societal burden of kidney stones. Third, casecontrol studies are inevitably prone to selection and recall bias, thus, further prospective cohort studies are required to confirm the veracity of these results. Fourth, we used a validated FFQ, however, it has some associated measurement errors (32, 33) which can result in misclassification of participants and an inaccurate estimation of the association (34). It is also worth mentioning that FFQ cannot provide precise estimation of salt and water intake, and we did not measure sodium excretion in 24 h urinary sample or water consumption in a day, which might impact the risk of stone formation. Although sodium restriction has been frequently recommended in patients with kidney stones, adherence is generally poor (35), and therefore, it is unlikely that it would considerably change our findings. In support of this assertion, we made further adjustment for sodium intake, estimated through FFQ, across the tertiles of PRAL and NEAP, and observed no substantial change in our findings. Regarding water intake, we assumed that it is likely similar between cases and controls, since our cases were newly diagnosed kidney stone patients, and therefore, since we assessed their dietary intakes within the preceding year, current dietary changes could not be considerably different in comparison with controls. In addition, given that water derived from foods is the first determinant of water intake (36), when we considered liquid food volumes (including, dairy, tea, coffee, soft drinks, and sugar sweetened beverages), it was not substantially different between cases and controls (1333 vs. 1233 g/d, respectively). So, it is unlikely that these two factors confounded our results. Besides these limitations, our study has a number of strengths. Indeed, to the authors knowledge, this is the first study, in this context, to have been conducted in a Middle-Eastern country, with a markedly different dietary pattern and lifestyle to Western dietary habits. In addition, the adequate sample size of this study allowed us to control a number of relevant confounding factors.

Overall, in the current case-control study, we found that a diet high in potential acid load, determined by NEAP, was associated with an increased risk of calcium oxalate stone incidence. However, PRAL was only significantly associated with increased risk of calcium oxalate stones in the crude model. In addition, the association between NEAP and calcium oxalate stones was independent from dietary protein or potassium intake. Longitudinal studies are warranted to confirm the veracity of our findings in different populations. In addition, due to nutraceutical effect of cranberries on the kidneys, future studies are needed to consider such foods, as well as the role of hereditary factors in dietary acid load-kidney stones.

**Practical Application** 

Estimating dietary acid load using PRAL and NEAP formulas cannot consistently predict the risk of calcium oxalate kidney stone incidence. Our results suggest that NEAP might be superior to the PRAL to predict the risk of kidney stone formation. Nonetheless, due to lack of epidemiological studies in this regard, further studies should be conducted to confirm the validity of our findings.

REFERENCES 259

1. Sorokin I, Mamoulakis C, Miyazawa K, Rodgers A, Talati J, Lotan Y. Epidemiology of stone	260
disease across the world. World journal of urology. 2017;35(9):1301-20.	261
2. Gambaro G, Croppi E, Bushinsky D, Jaeger P, Cupisti A, Ticinesi A, et al. The Risk of Chronic	262
Kidney Disease Associated with Urolithiasis and its Urological Treatments: A Review. The Journal of	263
urology. 2017;198(2):268-73.	264
3. Bangash K, Shigri F, Jamal A, Anwar K. Spectrum of renal stones composition; chemical	265
analysis of renal stones. International Journal of Pathology. 2011;9(2):63-6.	266
4. Levy FL, Adams-Huet B, Pak CY. Ambulatory evaluation of nephrolithiasis: an update of a	267
1980 protocol. The American journal of medicine. 1995;98(1):50-9.	268
5. Goldfarb DS, Avery AR, Beara-Lasic L, Duncan GE, Goldberg J. A Twin Study of Genetic	269
Influences on Nephrolithiasis in Women and Men. Kidney international reports. 2019;4(4):535-40.	270
6. Ferraro PM, Taylor EN, Gambaro G, Curhan GC. Dietary and Lifestyle Risk Factors Associated	271
with Incident Kidney Stones in Men and Women. The Journal of urology. 2017;198(4):858-63.	272
7. D'Alessandro C, Ferraro PM, Cianchi C, Barsotti M, Gambaro G, Cupisti A. Which Diet for	273
Calcium Stone Patients: A Real-World Approach to Preventive Care. Nutrients. 2019;11(5).	274
8. Prezioso D, Strazzullo P, Lotti T, Bianchi G, Borghi L, Caione P, et al. Dietary treatment of	275
urinary risk factors for renal stone formation. A review of CLU Working Group. Archivio italiano di	276
urologia, andrologia : organo ufficiale [di] Societa italiana di ecografia urologica e nefrologica.	277
2015;87(2):105-20.	278
9. Taylor EN, Fung TT, Curhan GC. DASH-style diet associates with reduced risk for kidney	279
stones. Journal of the American Society of Nephrology: JASN. 2009;20(10):2253-9.	280
10. Breslau NA, Brinkley L, Hill KD, Pak CY. Relationship of animal protein-rich diet to kidney	281
stone formation and calcium metabolism. The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism.	282
1988;66(1):140-6.	283
11. Kok DJ, Iestra JA, Doorenbos CJ, Papapoulos SE. The effects of dietary excesses in animal	284
protein and in sodium on the composition and the crystallization kinetics of calcium oxalate	285
monohydrate in urines of healthy men. The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism.	286
1990;71(4):861-7.	287
12. Ferraro PM, Mandel EI, Curhan GC, Gambaro G, Taylor EN. Dietary Protein and Potassium,	288
Diet-Dependent Net Acid Load, and Risk of Incident Kidney Stones. Clinical journal of the American	289
Society of Nephrology: CJASN. 2016;11(10):1834-44.	290
13. Adeva MM, Souto G. Diet-induced metabolic acidosis. Clinical nutrition (Edinburgh,	291
Scotland). 2011;30(4):416-21.	292
14. Trinchieri A, Lizzano R, Marchesotti F, Zanetti G. Effect of potential renal acid load of foods	293
on urinary citrate excretion in calcium renal stone formers. Urological research. 2006;34(1):1-7.	294
15. Nouvenne A, Meschi T, Guerra A, Allegri F, Prati B, Fiaccadori E, et al. Diet to reduce mild	295
hyperoxaluria in patients with idiopathic calcium oxalate stone formation: a pilot study. Urology.	296
2009;73(4):725-30, 30.e1.	297
16. Remer T, Manz F. Estimation of the renal net acid excretion by adults consuming diets	298
containing variable amounts of protein. The American journal of clinical nutrition. 1994;59(6):1356-	299
61.	300
17. Remer T, Dimitriou T, Manz F. Dietary potential renal acid load and renal net acid excretion	301
in healthy, free-living children and adolescents. The American journal of clinical nutrition.	302
2003;77(5):1255-60.	303
18. Frassetto LA, Todd KM, Morris RC, Jr., Sebastian A. Estimation of net endogenous	304
noncarbonic acid production in humans from diet potassium and protein contents. The American	305
journal of clinical nutrition. 1998;68(3):576-83.	306

19. Vezzoli G, Dogliotti E, Terranegra A, Arcidiacono T, Macrina L, Tavecchia M, et al. Dietary	307
style and acid load in an Italian population of calcium kidney stone formers. Nutrition, metabolism,	308
and cardiovascular diseases: NMCD. 2015;25(6):588-93.	309
20. Trinchieri A, Maletta A, Lizzano R, Marchesotti F. Potential renal acid load and the risk of	310
renal stone formation in a case-control study. European journal of clinical nutrition.	311
2013;67(10):1077-80.	312
21. Mirmiran P, Esfahani FH, Mehrabi Y, Hedayati M, Azizi FJPhn. Reliability and relative validity	313
of an FFQ for nutrients in the Tehran lipid and glucose study. 2010;13(5):654-62.	314
22. Ghaffarpour M, Houshiar-Rad A, Kianfar H. The manual for household measures, cooking	315
yields factors and edible portion of foods. Tehran: Nashre Olume Keshavarzy. 1999;7:213.	316
23. Willett W, Stampfer MJ. Total energy intake: implications for epidemiologic analyses.	317
American journal of epidemiology. 1986;124(1):17-27.	318
24. Remer T, Manz F. Potential renal acid load of foods and its influence on urine pH. Journal of	319
the American Dietetic Association. 1995;95(7):791-7.	320
25. Hagstromer M, Oja P, Sjostrom M. The International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ):	321
a study of concurrent and construct validity. Public health nutrition. 2006;9(6):755-62.	322
26. Moghaddam MB, Aghdam FB, Jafarabadi MA, Allahverdipour H, Nikookheslat SD, Safarpour	323
SJWasj. The Iranian Version of International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ) in Iran: content	324
and construct validity, factor structure, internal consistency and stability. 2012;18(8):1073-80.	325
27. Manissorn J, Fong-Ngern K, Peerapen P, Thongboonkerd V. Systematic evaluation for effects	326
of urine pH on calcium oxalate crystallization, crystal-cell adhesion and internalization into renal	327
tubular cells. Scientific reports. 2017;7(1):1798.	328
28. Miki A, Hashimoto Y, Tanaka M, Kobayashi Y, Wada S, Kuwahata M, et al. Urinary pH reflects	329
dietary acid load in patients with type 2 diabetes. Journal of clinical biochemistry and nutrition.	330
2017;61(1):74-7.	331
29. Miyaoka R, Ortiz-Alvarado O, Kriedberg C, Alanee S, Chotikawanich E, Monga M. Correlation	332
between stress and kidney stone disease. Journal of endourology. 2012;26(5):551-5.	333
30. Tannen RL. Effect of potassium on renal acidification and acid-base homeostasis. Seminars in	334
nephrology. 1987;7(3):263-73.	335
31. Demigne C, Sabboh H, Remesy C, Meneton P. Protective effects of high dietary potassium:	336
nutritional and metabolic aspects. The Journal of nutrition. 2004;134(11):2903-6.	337
32. Bennett DA, Landry D, Little J, Minelli C. Systematic review of statistical approaches to	338
quantify, or correct for, measurement error in a continuous exposure in nutritional epidemiology.	339
BMC medical research methodology. 2017;17(1):146.	340
33. Paeratakul S, Popkin BM, Kohlmeier L, Hertz-Picciotto I, Guo X, Edwards LJ. Measurement	341
error in dietary data: implications for the epidemiologic study of the diet-disease relationship.	342
European journal of clinical nutrition. 1998;52(10):722-7.	343
34. Playdon MC, Moore SC, Derkach A, Reedy J, Subar AF, Sampson JN, et al. Identifying	344
biomarkers of dietary patterns by using metabolomics. The American journal of clinical nutrition.	345
2017;105(2):450-65.	346
35. Visconti L, Cernaro V, Calimeri S, Lacquaniti A, De Gregorio F, Ricciardi CA, et al. The Myth of	347
Water and Salt: From Aquaretics to Tenapanor. Journal of renal nutrition: the official journal of the	348
Council on Renal Nutrition of the National Kidney Foundation. 2018;28(2):73-82.	349
36. Zhou Y, Zhu X, Qin Y, Li Y, Zhang M, Liu W, et al. Association between total water intake and	350
dietary intake of pregnant and breastfeeding women in China: A cross-sectional survey.	351
2019;19(1):172.	352

Table 1- Comparison of general characteristics between cases and controls<sup>1</sup>.

	Cases (n= 215)	Controls (n= 215)	$\mathbf{P}^2$
Age (y)	39.2±0.8	39.6±0.8	0.78
BMI $(kg/m^2)$	27.1±0.3	$26.9 \pm 0.3$	0.56
Physical activity (MET.min)/wk	$2270.9 \pm 188.7$	2708.5±186.9	0.10
Hypertension or diabetes (%)	22.8	14.9	0.036
Alcohol drinking (%)	18.1	12.1	0.080
Smoker (%)	26.5	11.2	< 0.0001
Ca supplements (%)	5.1	10.2	0.046
Multivitamin supplements (%)	5.1	9.3	0.093
Education			< 0.0001
<12y	77.2	54.0	
12-16 y	14.9	25.6	
≥16 y	7.9	20.5	
Dietary intakes			
Total energy (kcal/d)	$2394.9\pm98.7$	2448.3±58.2	0.642
Carbohydrate (g/day)	$393.9 \pm 2.9$	390.6±2.9	0.405
Protein (g/day)	93.4±1.0	98.5±1.0	< 0.0001
Fat (g/d)	88.8±1.2	88.2±1.2	0.736
Cholesterol (mg/d)	335.1±13.6	313.2±13.6	0.257
Calcium (mg/d)	$1117.2\pm21.0$	1198.3±21.0	0.007
Magnesium (mg/s)	473.7±5.7	496.9±5.7	0.004
Potassium (mg/d)	4132.1±54.6	4155.8±54.6	0.759
Phosphorus (mg/d)	1690.8±19.9	1778.2±19.9	0.0002
Sodium (mg/d)	4592.3±58.9	4335.6±58.9	0.002
Dietary fiber (g/d)	52.8±1.3	50.5±1.3	0.217
Vitamin C (mg/d)	$172.1 \pm 4.7$	$162.4 \pm 4.9$	0.167
Fruits (g/d)	376.3±13.7	317.9±13.7	0.003
Vegetables (g/d)	297.4±12.8	324.1±12.8	0.142
Meat (g/d)	$105.0\pm3.4$	106.2±3.4	0.792
Whole grains (g/d)	$138.8 \pm 6.0$	$144.9 \pm 6.0$	0.473

Refined grains (g/d)	361.9±8.9	351.2±8.9	0.397
Dairy (g/d)	350.1±15.8	$397.6 \pm 15.8$	0.034
Nuts (g/d)	13.0±1.1	13.6±1.1	0.687
Legumes (g/d)	65.0±3.1	67.1±3.1	0.624
Soft drink (cc/d)	$58.0 \pm 5.6$	$40.5 \pm 5.6$	0.027
Sugar sweetened beverages (cc/d)	$23.9 \pm 2.8$	$14.6 \pm 2.8$	0.018
Tea (cc/d)	883.5±56.8	757.6±56.8	0.118
Coffee (cc/d)	16.9±3.6	22.8±3.6	0.252
PRAL	-5.3±1.3	-1.7±1.3	0.048
NEAP	39.4±0.8	$41.8 \pm 0.8$	0.032

PRAL, potential renal acid load; NEAP: Net endogenous acid production.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Values are mean±SE unless indicated.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> P-values were calculated by independent t test for continuous variables, and  $\chi^2$  for categorical variables and ANCOVA for dietary intakes (adjusted for age, sex, energy).

Table 2- Dietary intakes of participants across the tertiles of dietary acid load indices<sup>1</sup>.

		PRAL		$\mathbf{P}^2$		NEAP		$\mathbf{P}^2$
	T 1 (n= 143)	T 2 (n= 144)	T 3 (n= 143)	•	T 1 (n= 143)	T 2 (n= 143)	T 3 (n= 144)	
Cases (n)	80	72	63		87	65	63	
Total energy (kcal/d)	2795.5±58.6	2527.8±58.1	2721.2±59.1	0.004	2823.0±58.2	2499.6±58.0	2720.5±58.6	< 0.001
Carbohydrate (g/day)	405.1±3.4	392.4±3.4	379.3±3.5	< 0.0001	400.6±3.5	396.0±3.5	380.2±3.5	< 0.0001
Protein (g/day)	89.5±1.1	93.2±1.1	105.2±1.2	< 0.0001	88.1±1.2	95.81.2	103.9±1.2	< 0.0001
Fat (g/d)	87.3±1.5	89.5±1.5	$88.8 \pm 1.5$	0.563	89.7±1.5	86.9±1.5	88.9±1.5	0.390
Cholesterol (mg/d)	286.7±16.6	309.0±16.6	376.8±16.7	< 0.001	289.4±16.8	316.7±16.8	366.1±16.8	0.005
Calcium (mg/d)	1157.6±26.2	1186.7±26.1	1128.7±26.3	0.301	1162.0±26.0	1216.9±26.0	1094.8±26.0	0.005
Magnesium (mg/d)	506.3±7.0	468.8±7.0	480.9±7.0	0.001	495.1±7.1	491.2±7.1	469.6±7.1	0.026
Potassium (mg/d)	4776.0±54.9	4027.7±54.7	3629.1±55.1	< 0.0001	4741.5±54.7	4132.8±54.7	3561.8±54.6	< 0.0001
Phosphorus (mg/d)	1666.3±24.3	1709.0±24.2	1828.4±24.4	< 0.0001	1676.6±24.8	1762.5±24.8	1764.3±24.8	0.018
Sodium (mg/d)	4388.0±73.6	4427.7±73.3	4576.5±74.0	0.170	4345.9±73.5	4435.0±73.5	4610.0±73.5	0.038
Dietary fiber (g/d)	52.1±1.7	53.1±1.6	49.8±1.7	0.363	49.6±1.7	53.5±1.7	51.9±1.7	0.260
Vitamin C (mg/d)	228.5±5.6	154.7±5.6	116.8±5.6	< 0.0001	220.4±5.8	162.1±5.8	117.8±5.8	< 0.0001
Fruits (g/d)	492.6±14.2	339.7±14.2	209.1±14.3	< 0.0001	$477.9 \pm 14.6$	353.4±14.6	211.0±14.6	< 0.0001
Vegetables (g/d)	389.2±15.2	288.2±15.1	255.1±15.2	< 0.0001	382.7±15.3	295.7±15.3	254.3±15.3	< 0.0001
Meat (g/d)	89.1±3.9	97.2±3.9	130.6±3.9	< 0.0001	87.8±3.9	98.9±3.9	129.9±3.9	< 0.0001
Whole grains (g/d)	122.0±7.2	131.8±7.2	171.8±7.3	< 0.0001	126.3±7.4	137.9±7.4	161.3±7.4	0.003

Refined grains (g/d)	316.0±10.8	364.2±10.7	389.5±10.8	< 0.0001	311.2±10.7	363.0±10.7	395.3±10.7	< 0.0001
Dairy (g/d)	338.6±15.7	374.3±15.8	348.7±15.8	0.258	350.0±15.7	389.1±15.7	322.5±15.7	0.011
Nuts (g/d)	$16.9 \pm 1.4$	11.9±1.4	11.1±1.4	< 0.0001	$14.4 \pm 1.4$	$13.8 \pm 1.4$	$11.7 \pm 1.4$	0.367
Legumes	$63.7 \pm 3.8$	$64.2 \pm 3.8$	$70.4 \pm 3.8$	0.394	59.9±3.8	$71.1 \pm 3.8$	$67.2 \pm 3.8$	0.107
(g/d)								
Soft drink	$42.4\pm6.9$	$53.5 \pm 6.9$	$51.9 \pm 7.0$	0.471	48.1±6.9	$40.4\pm6.9$	59.3±6.9	0.161
(cc/d)								
Sugar	$21.1\pm3.5$	$19.4 \pm 3.5$	$17.1 \pm 3.5$	0.717	$22.5\pm3.5$	$19.6 \pm 3.5$	$15.6 \pm 3.5$	0.373
sweetened								
beverages								
(cc/d)								
Tea (cc/d)	1221.3±65.9	$760.5\pm65.6$	$480.3\pm66.2$	< 0.0001	1185.1±66.5	801.9±66.6	477.1±66.5	< 0.0001
Coffee	$25.0\pm4.5$	$13.9 \pm 4.5$	$20.8\pm4.5$	0.215	$24.2\pm4.5$	$19.3 \pm 4.5$	$16.2 \pm 4.5$	0.456
(cc/d)								
PRAL	$-23.0\pm0.9$	$-3.3\pm0.9$	$15.8 \pm 0.9$	< 0.0001	$-22.3\pm0.9$	$-3.2\pm0.9$	$14.8 \pm 0.9$	< 0.0001
NEAP	30.0±0.6	39.4±0.6	52.4±0.6	< 0.0001	29.7±0.6	39.4±0.6	52.6±0.6	< 0.0001

PRAL, potential renal acid load; NEAP: Net endogenous acid production.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Values are mean±SE. Nutrients and food groups were adjusted for age, sex, and total energy intake. Energy intake was adjusted for age and sex.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> From analysis of covariance.

Table 3- Multivariable adjusted odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals for kidney stones across the tertiles of dietary acid load indices.

	PRAL					NEAP		$\mathbf{P}^1$
	T 1 (n= 143)	T 2 (n= 144)	T 3 (n= 143)	<del>_</del>	T 1 (n= 143)	T 2 (n= 143)	T 3 (n= 144)	•
Crude	1 (Ref)	1.27 (0.80, 2.02)	1.61 (1.01, 2.57)	0.045	1 (Ref)	1.86 (1.16, 2.98)	2.00 (1.25, 3.20)	0.004
Model 1	1 (Ref)	1.28 (0.80, 2.07)	1.47 (0.91, 2.39)	0.115	1 (Ref)	1.83 (1.13, 2.96)	1.83 (1.13, 2.97)	0.014
Model 2	1 (Ref)	1.18 (0.73, 1.93)	1.46 (0.89, 2.39)	0.133	1 (Ref)	1.79 (1.09, 2.93)	1.89 (1.15, 3.10)	0.012
Model 3	1 (Ref)	1.18 (0.73, 1.93)	1.45 (0.89, 2.38)	0.136	1 (Ref)	1.80 (1.10, 2.95)	1.88 (1.14, 3.09)	0.013
Model 4	1 (Ref)	1.21 (0.72, 2.04)	1.49 (0.88, 2.51)	0.138	1 (Ref)	1.95 (1.15, 3.30)	2.06 (1.21, 3.52)	0.010
Model 5	1 (Ref)	1.20 (0.73, 1.96)	1.40 (0.84, 2.32)	0.194	1 (Ref)	1.81 (1.10, 2.97)	1.81 (1.09, 3.01)	0.020
Model 6	1 (Ref)	1.14 (0.69, 1.86)	1.53 (0.93, 2.52)	0.096	1 (Ref)	1.72 (1.05, 2.83)	1.99 (1.21, 3.30)	0.007

PRAL: Potential renal acid load; NEAP: Net endogenous acid production.

Model 1: Adjusted for education. Model 2: Further adjustment was made for calcium supplement consumption, smoking, hypertension and diabetes mellitus. Model 3: Dietary potassium intakes was additionally adjusted. Model 4 was adjusted for education, calcium supplement consumption, smoking, hypertension and diabetes mellitus, and protein intake. Model 6 was adjusted for education, calcium supplement consumption, smoking, hypertension and diabetes mellitus, and sodium intake.

 $<sup>^{1}\,\</sup>text{P-value}$  was calculated from Mantel–Haenszel  $\chi^{2}$  test.

Table 4- Multivariable adjusted odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals for kidney stones across the tertiles of dietary acid load indices stratified by sex.

	PRAL					NEAP		$\mathbf{P}^1$
	T 1	T 2	Т3	_	T 1	T 2	Т3	
Male (n)	99	107	126		100	105	127	
Crude	1 (Ref)	1.17 (0.69, 1.96)	1.78 (1.08, 2.92)	0.020	1 (Ref)	1.18 (0.69, 1.99)	2.13 (1.30, 3.51)	0.002
Model 1	1 (Ref)	1.10 (0.65, 1.86)	1.75 (1.06, 2.89)	0.023	1 (Ref)	1.11 (0.65, 1.89)	2.09 (1.27, 3.46)	0.003
Model 2	1 (Ref)	1.03 (0.61, 1.76)	1.68 (1.02, 2.78)	0.035	1 (Ref)	1.06 (0.62, 1.82)	1.98 (1.19, 3.29)	0.006
Model 3	1 (Ref)	1.03 (0.60, 1.75)	1.67 (1.01, 2.77)	0.036	1 (Ref)	1.06 (0.62, 1.81)	1.97 (1.19, 3.28)	0.006
Model 4	1 (Ref)	1.05 (0.55, 2.01)	1.36 (0.57, 3.25)	0.465	1 (Ref)	1.12 (0.57, 2.19)	2.05 (0.82, 5.13)	0.105
Female	44	37	17		43	38	17	
Crude	1 (Ref)	2.44 (1.11, 5.38)	2.10 (0.90, 4.90)	0.046	1 (Ref)	3.04 (1.33, 6.95)	1.66 (0.74, 3.73)	0.114
Model 1	1 (Ref)	2.47 (1.12, 5.47)	2.06 (0.88, 4.82)	0.051	1 (Ref)	3.10 (1.35, 7.12)	1.62 (0.71, 3.67)	0.135
Model 2	1 (Ref)	2.58 (1.16, 5.73)	2.12 (0.90, 4.98)	0.044	1 (Ref)	3.24 (1.40, 7.49)	1.66 (0.73, 3.78)	0.111
Model 3	1 (Ref)	2.57 (1.14, 5.79)	2.02 (0.85, 4.81)	0.058	1 (Ref)	3.10 (1.32, 7.26)	1.65 (0.72, 3.80)	0.124
Model 4	1 (Ref)	3.12 (1.09, 8.89)	2.79 (0.60, 12.92)	0.150	1 (Ref)	3.18 (1.05, 9.61)	1.66 (0.34, 8.12)	0.453

PRAL: Potential renal acid load; NEAP: Net endogenous acid production.

Model 1: Adjusted for energy, age and sex. Model 2: Additional adjustment was made for alcohol. Model 3: Further adjustment for BMI. Model 4: Protein and potassium intakes were additionally adjusted.

 $<sup>^{1}\,\</sup>mbox{P-value}$  was calculated from Mantel–Haenszel  $\chi^{2}$  test.