

OPINION published: 23 March 2015 doi: 10.3389/fpls.2015.00180

# Fructan and hormone connections

Ravi Valluru \*

Global Wheat Program, International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center (CIMMYT), El Batan, Mexico

Keywords: abscisic acid, auxins, ethylene, fructans, hormones, reserve carbohydrates, sugars

Plants rely on "reserve" (stored) carbon (C) for growth and survival when newly synthesized C becomes limited. Besides a classic yet recalcitrant C reserve starch, fructans, a class of sucrosederived soluble fructosyl-oligosaccharides, represent a major store of C in many temperate plant species including the economically important Asteraceae and Poaceae families (Hendry, 1993). Dicots typically accumulate inulin-type fructans as long-term storage (underground organs) whilst grasses and cereals accumulate fructans as short-term reserves in above-ground parts (Pollock and Cairns, 1991; Van Laere and Van den Ende, 2002). Unlike chloroplast-based water-insoluble starch, fructans are semi-soluble, possess flexible structures (Phelps, 1965; Valluru and Van den Ende, 2008), can be synthesized at low temperatures (Pollock and Cairns, 1991), and are degraded by a single type of fructan hydrolases, fructan exohydrolases (FEHs). Unlike starch that store in plastids, fructans store in vacuoles, which is physically less stressful to the active constituents of, and allows more C synthesis by, the photosynthetic cell, which may be different in dicots where fructans do not typically accumulate in green parts.

### **OPEN ACCESS**

## Edited by:

Wim Van den Ende, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Belgium

#### Reviewed by:

Andrea Matros, IPK-Gatersleben, Germany Annette Morvan-Bertrand, Université de CaenBasse-Normandie, France

#### \*Correspondence:

Ravi Valluru, r.valluru@cgiar.org ravi\_vall@yahoo.com

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Plant Physiology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

> Received: 20 January 2015 Accepted: 05 March 2015 Published: 23 March 2015

#### Citation:

Valluru R (2015) Fructan and hormone connections. Front. Plant Sci. 6:180. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2015.00180 Plants synthesize diverse fructan types exhibiting a wide range of functions (for review, see Valluru and Van den Ende, 2008; Van den Ende, 2013). Fructan biosynthetic enzymes, fructosyl-transferases (FTs), which evolved from vacuolar-type acid invertases (VIs) (Altenbach et al., 2009), use sucrose (Suc) as a substrate whereby an organ-specific Suc threshold triggers FT genes at the transcriptional level (Lu et al., 2002). Though the regulatory mechanism of Suc signal transduction remains largely elusive, transcription factors (TFs) can be suspected to mediate such inductive processes either by directly binding and stimulating FT genes (e.g., TaMYB13 TF binds to FT genes, 1-SST and 6-SFT; Xue et al., 2011) or by up-regulating vacuolar based proteins (e.g., TaMYB13 TF up-regulates vacuolar processing enzyme, Ta $\gamma$ -VPE1, whose mRNA levels highly correlated with FTs mRNA levels in wheat stems; Kooiker et al., 2013).

In addition, protein phosphatases (PP2A; Martínez-Noël et al., 2009) and second messenger  $Ca^{2+}$  (Martínez-Noël et al., 2006) mediate Suc-induction of fructan synthesis in wheat, although the underlying mechanisms remain largely undefined. The cationic role of  $Ca^{2+}$  in fructan synthesis is somewhat counterintuitive because Suc induces a  $Ca^{2+}$  efflux from the vacuole (Furuichi et al., 2001), the site of fructan synthesis. Perhaps Suc might ensure more alkaline (less acidic) vacuolar environment [Suc-induces Slowly activating Vacuolar (SV) ion channel that transiently effluxes vacuolar  $Ca^{2+}$ ; (Pottosin and Schönknecht, 2007)], favoring fructan synthesis that is thought to be less stable under low pH (Flores-Maltos et al., 2014). Some of the protein mediators involved in Suc-mediated induction of fructan synthesis, including  $Ca^{2+}$  signaling components, calmodulin (CaM), calcineurin B-like (CBL1), and  $Ca^{2+}$ -dependent protein kinases (CDPKs), are closely involved in hormone signaling and environmental stress (Ludwig et al., 2004).

Abbreviations: 1-MCP, 1-methylcyclopropene; 1-FFT, fructan:fructan 1-fructosyltransferase; 1-SST, sucrose:sucrose 1-fructosyltransferase; 6-SFT, sucrose:fructan 6-fructosyltransferase; 1-FEH, 1-fructan exohydrolase; ABA-GE, ABA gluco-syl ester; ABA, abscisic acid; ABRE, ABA-responsive element; ACC, 1-aminocyclopropane 1-carboxylicacid; ARFs, auxin response factors; AUX, auxins; AuxRE, auxin response element; AcINVs, acid invertases; BG,  $\beta$ -glucosidases; CaM, calmod-ulin; CBL1, calcineurin B-like; CDPK, calcium-dependent protein kinase; ET, ethylene; FEHs, fructan exohydrolases; FTs, fructosyltransferases; Fru, fructose; Glc, glucose; PP2A, protein phosphatase 2A; PP2C, protein phosphatase 2C; Suc, sucrose; TF, transcription factor; VIs, vacuolar invertases.

Remarkable progress has been made in understanding the crosstalk between sugar signaling and hormonal networks (León and Sheen, 2003; Matsoukas, 2014). Abscisic acid (ABA) appears to have positive effect on reserve C storage, whereby ABA greatly enhances Suc-induction of starch biosynthetic genes, ADP-glucose pyrophosphorylase (subunit ApL3) and starchbranching enzyme Sbe2.2, with no effect on their expression in the absence of Suc (Rook et al., 2001), implying that ABA promotes reserve starch biosynthesis. Similarly, ABA (50 mM) has recently been shown to promote fructan accumulation by increasing gene expressions of 1-FFT and 1-SST in agave (Suárez-González et al., 2014). Further, the barley FT gene (6-SFT) promoter carries recognition sites for MYC, MYB proteins and many cis-acting elements that mediate ABA and drought responses (Nagaraj, 2004). Indeed, hormonal regulation of fructan metabolic enzymes (1-SST and 1-FEHI) has already been suggested by Bausewein et al. (2012). ABA actions are likely to be further promoted by a small Ca<sup>2+</sup> sensor, CBL1, which represses PP2C, a negative component of ABA signaling (Lan et al., 2011).

It is also likely that the low concentrations of ethylene (ET) and auxins (AUX) contribute to promote fructan storage. Supportively, low concentrations of exogenous 1-aminocyclopropance 1-carboxylicacid (ACC at 1 µM, ethylene precursor) and AUX (13.4  $\mu$ M) increased fructan content in 2-month-old agave (Barreto et al., 2010). Further, a high concentration (10  $\mu$ M or 10  $\mu$ L L<sup>-1</sup>) of exogenous ET decreased fructan content in onion, which was counteracted by ethylene binding inhibitor 1-methylcyclopropene (1-MCP) (Cools et al., 2011). Indeed, the hormonal balance (AUX/cytokinins, CKs) has been suggested to play a role in the regulation of fructan synthesizing enzymes (1-SST and 1-FFT) in Vernonia herbacea (Trevisan et al., 2014). Presumably, FTs could carry motifs for these hormones, as reported for FEHs that carry motifs for AUX, ABA, ET, gibberellins (GA), and salicylic acid (SA) (Michiels et al., 2004). Both AUX and ET homeostasis could be regulated by Ca<sup>2+</sup> signaling. Ca<sup>2+</sup>/CaM binds to small AUX-up RNAs (SAURs) proteins (Yang and Poovaiah, 2000), the negative regulators of AUX synthesis (Kant et al., 2009). In contrast, AUX induces SAURs as a feed-forward mechanism (Kant et al., 2009). In addition to CDPKs (that induces ACC synthases, ACS2 and ACS6), PP2As tightly control ET biosynthesis by differentially regulating the turnover of ACS5 and ACS6 isoforms (Skottke et al., 2011). Taken all together, it appears that hormones may act at low concentration either as an "inductive signal" or as a "facilitator" mediating Suc-induction of fructan biosynthesis, a presumption worthy of further attention (Figure 1A). Recent studies emphasize a close relation between the spatio-temporal dynamics of hormones (ET and ABA) and fructan synthesis and its related gene transcripts in the endosperm transfer cells of barley (Thiel et al., 2012; Peukert et al., 2014).

This, however, raises a subsequent question: "Do hormones also regulate fructan catabolism?" (**Figure 1B**), considering that (1) hormones coordinately regulate stress-inducible acid INVs (e.g., *Ivr2*; Trouverie et al., 2004) and hexose transporters (VvHT5; Hayes et al., 2010) regulating carbon partitioning and sink strength; (2) FEHs evolved from acid INVs (INVs) of

cell-wall type (Le Roy et al., 2007) and Suc directly inhibits FEH activities at the enzyme level (Verhaest et al., 2007); and (3) FEHs (FEHIIa) gene promoter carry motifs for several hormones such as AUX (ASF1, ARF, and CATATGGMSAUR), ABA (ABRE), ET (GC box and ERE), GA (GARE), and SA (ASF1 and W box) (Michiels et al., 2004). While hormones (ABA, GA, AUX, CKs, and brassinosteroids; for review, see Roitsch et al., 2003) induce extracellular INVs, one remaining question would be: "What actually triggers the induction of vacuolar FEHs under stress?."

While stress stimuli induce FEHs (Michiels et al., 2004; Yang et al., 2004) as well as the involvement of sugar signaling after defoliation (Lothier et al., 2010), one important candidate that fulfills this role could be "stress-inducible ABA." Recent studies are now elucidating the multiple molecular connections in how the spatial and temporal regulation of hormones permits the fine-tuning of the stress response (Dubois et al., 2013). Presumably, ABA (stress-induced or a stress-like condition) may regulate FEHs in two-ways: directly, ABA can regulate 1-FEH at the transcriptional level (Ruuska et al., 2007); or indirectly, via ABA-induced luminal acidification [ABA induces vacuolar H<sup>+</sup>-ATPase activity in ice plant (Mesembryanthemum crystallinum, Barkla et al., 1999); or increases phosphatidylinositol 3,5-bisphosphate [PtdIns(3,5)P<sub>2</sub>] that activates vacuolar  $H^+$ -PPase activity in fava bean, (Bak et al., 2013)], which may act as a trigger, providing an acidic environment enhancing FEH activity as FEHs have an acidic pH optimum ( $\sim$ 5.0) (Henson, 1989; Henson and Livingston, 1996; Van den Ende et al., 2003). ABA induction of 1-FEH may be mediated by protein kinases (e.g., PI3K signaling has been proposed to mediate ABA induction of 1-FEH1, Bausewein et al., 2012). This also suggests a possible role for pH signaling in FEH regulation. In addition, GA might be important hormone for FEH regulation (Morvan et al., 1997). Such hormone regulation of FEHs also supports the current understanding that hormones may function as "mediators" enabling the communication and transduction of environmental changes into stress responses (Pozo et al., 2015).

While fructan-based Glc (growth signaling) antagonizes ABA signaling (Morita-Yamamuro et al., 2004), fructose (Fru) signaling negatively interacts with ET signaling via mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPK3 and 6) (Shahri et al., 2014). It therefore appears that ABA has a role in both FT and FEH induction, raising a question: "How does ABA induce both FTs and FEHs?" One suggestion is that high and low ABA levels could have opposing functions, with low concentrations promoting FTs activity whilst high concentrations induce FEHs. Such a dual role for ABA has been previously recognized in the regulation of root growth, whereby low concentration  $(1 \mu M)$  of ABA stimulated while high concentration  $(100 \mu M)$  inhibited, the root elongation growth of pea (Gaither et al., 1975).

Fructan-hormone interactions can be further envisaged by the fact that both fructans and inactive ABA form (ABA and hydroxyl ABA are conjugated with Glc for inactivation and the predominant conjugated form is ABA glucosyl ester, ABA-GE) are stored in vacuoles (Dietz et al., 2000). Apart from *de novo* biosynthesis of ABA in the cytoplasm/plastids, its conjugation/deconjugation critically controls cellular ABA levels. ABA-GE is stored in vacuoles and the apoplast, and is hydrolysed by  $\beta$ -glucosidases (BGs)





(Dietz et al., 2000). Of the two BGs isolated so far in plants, BG2 was found in the vacuole (Xu et al., 2012). Abiotic stress normally induces BG2 activity (Xu et al., 2012) as well as tonoplast-vesiclederived exocytosis (TVE, Valluru et al., 2008), exporting both fructans and ABA into the apoplast for further systemic signaling. A direct signaling capacity for fructans in immune modulation, mediated by Toll-Like Receptor (TLR) signaling, has recently been shown in animal cells (Vogt et al., 2013). It would be interesting to investigate such fructan signaling roles as well as fructan putative sensors (putative proteins with similar functions) in fructan-accumulating plants.

While hormones are known to be involved in C partitioning, their interaction mechanisms are largely undetermined. Since



for Suc synthesis. While glucose (Glc) antagonizes ABA signaling, Fru antagonizes ET signaling, mediated by mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPK3 and 6). It is known that ET counteracts ABA functions. In order to promote ET signaling, it is suggested here that both ET and AUX signaling that function synergistically may counteract ABA signaling and repress 1-FEH expression, thereby reducing glucose and fructose levels. The timing of fructan degradation may be a critical process affecting carbon availability and on-going physiological processes that could indeed alter the initiation of leaf senescence. Such AUX/ET signaling repression of 1-FEH might be mediated by a transcriptional complex MYB44-MYB77. This protein complex interacts with auxin response factors (ARFs) that bind to auxin responsive elements (AuxRE) of auxin responsive genes as was shown in arabidopsis. While ABA enhances Ca<sup>2+</sup> levels, which in turn promotes ABA signaling and enhances FEH activity, Ca<sup>2+</sup> signaling-based CDPKs (via ACS6 and 2) also promote ET biosynthesis. This suggests that a subtle hormonal balance governs biological processes more sensibly than could achieve by a single hormone. However, this conceptual model is largely constructed based on the knowledge from Arabidopsis; hence, this needs to be confirmed in fructan-accumulating species. Blue lines represent positive regulation while red lines indicate negative regulation. Gray lines depict degradation processes. See text for further explanations and the references.

hormones extensively interact with each other and regulate biological processes accordingly, one would expect that ABA induction of 1-FEH might be subject to the actions of other hormones. As both AUX and ET signaling generally counteract ABA signaling at the whole plant level depending on their concentrations (Rock and Sun, 2005; Arc et al., 2013), these hormones can be expected to counteract ABA-induced 1-FEH expression (Zhang et al., 2014). Multiple hormones may therefore coordinately regulate 1-FEHs, whereby 1-FEH gene promoters (e.g., *1-FEH w3* in wheat) carrying motifs for AUX signaling (AUX response elements, AuxRE) show less sensitivity to ABA. Conversely, 1-FEHs that do not carry or modify motifs for AUX signaling show enhanced sensitivity to ABA, initiating early fructan degradation (Zhang et al., 2014). A similar interaction between ABA and ET signaling can be envisaged in 1-FEH regulation (**Figure 1B**).

The underlying mechanism of how AUX/ET networks counteract ABA function in 1-FEH regulation is unknown. It can however be suspected that several TFs might mediate such a process. Auxin response factors (ARFs), which bind to AuxRE, are classified as either transcriptional activators or repressors of AUXresponsive genes (Guilfoyle and Hagen, 2007). In epidermal and root cells of onion, a fructan-accumulating plant, ARF7 has been shown to interact with MYB77 TF, modulating AUX responsive genes (Shin et al., 2007). The process how such an interaction (MYB77 with ARF7) leads to 1-FEH repression rather than its promotion has yet to be elucidated.

It is suspected here that such a "repressive" function may have evolved due to MYB77 interaction with other R2R3 type TF, MYB44 that share partially redundant functions, and form a heterodimer complex in Arabidopsis (Jaradat et al., 2013). MYB44 carries a putative transcriptional repression motif "Ethylene responsive element binding factorassociated Amphiphilic Repression (EAR)" identified in members of the ethylene-responsive element binding factor, C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>, and AUX/indole-3-acetic acid families of transcriptional regulators (Kagale et al., 2010). Of the 219 "EAR" motif proteins identified in Arabidopsis, approximately 40% of these act as negative regulators of gene expression (Kagale et al., 2010). Thus, it can be suspected that the protein complex MYB44-MYB77, may act as a negative regulator of 1-FEH, inhibiting fructan degradation in fructan-accumulating plants. In contrast, 1-FEH lacking AuxRE do not bind with this protein complex and show enhanced sensitivity to ABA, resulting in early fructan degradation. Such a dualistic regulation of hormone interaction on 1-FEH was recently demonstrated by Zhang et al. (2014).

Interestingly, ARF7 and ARF19, which are induced by ET, play critical roles in ET responses in Arabidopsis roots (Li et al.,

## References

- Altenbach, D., Rudiño-Pinera, E., Olvera, C., Boller, T., Wiemken, A., and Ritsema, T. (2009). An acceptor-substrate binding site determining glycosyl transfer emerges from mutant analysis of a plant vacuolar invertase and a fructosyltransferase. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 69, 47–56. doi: 10.1007/s11103-008-9404-7
- Arc, E., Sechet, J., Corbineau, F., Rajjou, L., and Marion-Poll, A. (2013). ABA crosstalk with ethylene and nitric oxide in seed dormancy and germination. *Front. Plant Cell Biol.* 4:63. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2013.00063
- Bak, G., Lee, E. J., Lee, Y., Kato, M., Segami, S., Sze, H., et al. (2013). Rapid structural changes and acidification of guard cell vacuoles during stomatal closure require phosphatidylinositol 3,5-bisphosphate. *Plant Cell* 25, 2202–2216. doi: 10.1105/tpc.113.110411
- Barkla, B. J., Vera-Estrella, R., Maldonado-Gama, M., and Pantoja, O. (1999). Abscisic acid induction of vacuolar H<sup>+</sup>-ATPase activity in *Mesembryanthe-mum crystallinum* is developmentally regulated. *Plant Physiol.* 120, 811–820. doi: 10.1104/pp.120.3.811
- Barreto, R., Nieto-Sotelo, J., and Cassab, G. I. (2010). Influence of plant growth regulators and water stress on ramet induction, rosette engrossment, and fructan accumulation in *Agave tequilana* Weber var. Azul. *Plant Cell Tissue Organ Cult*. 103, 93–101. doi: 10.1007/s11240-010-9758-9
- Bausewein, A., Greiner, S., Harms, K., and Rausch, T. (2012). "Hormonal regulation of fructan active enzymes in chicory (*Cichorium intybus*) (Abstract)," in *Presented at the 7th International Fructan Symposium*, (Saint-Jean-le-Thomas).

2006), suggesting that, perhaps, ET signaling also utilizes a similar pathway, and function in concert with AUX, to counteract ABA induced 1-FEH expression. Recently, CKs have been proposed to antagonize ABA induction of 1-FEHI in chicory hairy root cell culture (Bausewein et al., 2012). However, the above conceptual model is largely constructed based on the knowledge from Arabidopsis; hence, this model needs to be tested and confirmed in fructan-accumulating species.

The study of Zhang et al. (2014) further extends excessive crosstalk between hormones and sugars to fructans, which could prove critical, especially in temperate food cereals such as wheat. Fructan-based C partitioning to developing grain has conventionally been seen as a major function of fructans especially under stress. In this regard, early fructan degradation could support ongoing growth and grain-fill processes, potentially delaying leaf senescence that could extend leaf photosynthetic capacity. It is widely accepted that delayed, but not unfavorably-induced, leaf senescence enhances crop yields. Hence, the molecular marker of 1-FEH w3 could be useful for the genetic selection for high stem-reserve C partitioning and high grain-weight in wheat breeding. This study, therefore, not only rules out the imprudent notion, "hormones are too complicated to be used for crop improvement" but also paves the way for "elegant utilization of hormones in improving future food security." Understanding such hormonal signatures in "reserve C partitioning," one of the key traits of the conceptual model of yield (Reynolds et al., 2009), are crucial to simultaneously improve crop grain-fill and lodging resistance.

## Acknowledgments

The author thanks two reviewers and the Editor for their critical comments on the earlier version of the manuscript and Nelson Jennifer, CIMMYT, for the editorial assistance of the manuscript.

- Cools, K., Chope, G. A., Hammond, J. P., Thompson, A. J., and Terry, L. A. (2011). Ethylene and 1-methylcyclopropene differentially regulate gene expression during onion sprout suppression. *Plant Physiol.* 156, 1639–1652. doi: 10.1104/pp.111.174979
- Dietz, K. J., Sauter, A., Wichert, K., Messdaghi, D., and Hartung, W. (2000). Extracellular  $\beta$ -glucosidase activity in barley involved in the hydrolysis of ABA glucose conjugate in leaves. *J. Exp. Bot.* 51, 937–944. doi: 10.1093/jexbot/51.346.937
- Dubois, M., Skirycz, A., Claeys, H., Maleux, K., Dhondt, S., Bodt, S. D., et al. (2013). ETHYLENE RESPONSE FACTOR6 acts as a central regulator of leaf growth under water-limiting conditions in arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol.* 162, 319–332. doi: 10.1104/pp.113.216341
- Flores-Maltos, D. A., Mussatto, S. I., Contreras-Esquivel, J. C., Rodríguez-Herrera, R., Teixeira, J. A., and Aguilar, C. N. (2014). Biotechnological production and application of fructooligosaccharides. *Crit. Rev. Biotechnol.* doi: 10.3109/ 07388551.2014.953443. [Epub ahead of print].
- Furuichi, T., Mori, I. C., Takahashi, K., and Muto, S. (2001). Sugar-induced increase in cytosolic Ca<sup>2+</sup> in Arabidopsis thaliana whole plants. Plant Cell Physiol. 42, 1149–1155. doi: 10.1093/pcp/pce150
- Gaither, D. H., Lutz, D. H., and Forrence, L. E. (1975). Abscisic acid stimulates elongation of excised pea root tips. *Plant Physiol.* 55, 948–949. doi: 10.1104/pp.55.5.948
- Guilfoyle, T. J., and Hagen, G. (2007). Auxin response factors. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. 10, 453–460. doi: 10.1016/j.pbi.2007.08.014

- Hayes, M. A., Feechan, A., and Dry, I. B. (2010). Involvement of abscisic acid in the coordinated regulation of a stress-inducible hexose transporter (VvHT5) and a cell wall invertase in grapevine in response to biotrophic fungal infection. *Plant Physiol.* 153, 211–221. doi: 10.1104/pp.110.154765
- Hendry, G. F. (1993). Evolutionary origins and natural functions of fructans a climatological, biogeographic and mechanistic appraisal. *New Phytol.* 123, 3–14. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.1993.tb04525.x
- Henson, C. A. (1989). Purification and properties of barley stem fructan exohydrolase. J. Plant Physiol. 134, 186–191. doi: 10.1016/S0176-1617(89)80053-7
- Henson, C. A., and Livingston, D. (1996). Purification and characterization of an oat fructan exohydrolase that preferentially hydrolyzes [beta]-2,6-fructans. *Plant Physiol.* 110, 639–644. doi: 10.1104/pp.110.2.639
- Jaradat, M. R., Feurtado, J. A., Huang, D., Lu, Y., and Cutler, A. J. (2013). Multiple roles of the transcription factor AtMYBR1/AtMYB44 in ABA signaling, stress responses, and leaf senescence. *BMC Plant Biol.* 13:192. doi: 10.1186/1471-2229-13-192
- Kagale, S., Links, M. G., and Rozwadowski, K. (2010). Genome-wide analysis of ethylene-responsive element binding factor-associated amphiphilic repression motif-containing transcriptional regulators in arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol.* 152, 1109–1134. doi: 10.1104/pp.109.151704
- Kant, S., Bi, Y. M., Zhu, T., and Rothstein, S. J. (2009). SAUR39, a Small Auxin-Up RNA gene, acts as a negative regulator of auxin synthesis and transport in Rice. *Plant Physiol.* 151, 691–701. doi: 10.1104/pp.109.143875
- Kooiker , M., Drenth, J., Glassop, D., McIntyre, C. L., and Xue, G. P. (2013). TaMYB13-1, a R2R3 MYB transcription factor, regulates the fructan synthetic pathway and contributes to enhanced fructan accumulation in bread wheat. *J. Exp. Bot.* 64, 3681–3696. doi: 10.1093/jxb/ert205
- Lan, W. Z., Lee, S. C., Che, Y. F., Jiang, Y. Q., and Luan, S. (2011). Mechanistic analysis of AKT1 regulation by the CBL–CIPK–PP2CA interactions. *Mol. Plant* 4, 527–536. doi: 10.1093/mp/ssr031
- León, P., and Sheen, J. (2003). Sugar and hormone connections. *Trends Plant Sci.* 8, 110–116. doi: 10.1016/S1360-1385(03)00011-6
- Le Roy, K., Lammens, W., Verhaest, M., Coninck, B. D., Rabijns, A., Van Laere, A., et al. (2007). Unraveling the difference between invertases and fructan exohydrolases: a single amino acid (Asp-239) substitution transforms arabidopsis cell wall invertase1 into a fructan 1-exohydrolase. *Plant Physiol.* 145, 616–625. doi: 10.1104/pp.107.105049
- Li, J., Dai, X., and Zhao, Y. (2006). A role for auxin response factor 19 in auxin and ethylene signaling in arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol.* 140, 899–908. doi: 10.1104/pp.105.070987
- Lothier, J., Lasseur, B., Prud'homme, M. P., and Morvan-Bertrand, A. (2010). Hexokinase-dependent sugar signaling represses fructan exohydrolase activity in *Lolium perenne. Funct. Plant Biol.* 37, 1151–1160. doi: 10.1071/FP 10086
- Lu, C., Koroleva, O. A., Farrar, J. F., Gallagher, J., Pollock, C. J., and Tomos, A. D. (2002). Rubisco small subunit, Chlorophyll a/b-binding protein and sucrose:fructan-6-fructosyl transferase gene expression and sugar status in single barley leaf cells *in situ* cell type specificity and induction by light. *Plant Physiol.* 130, 1335–1348. doi: 10.1104/pp.008979
- Ludwig, A. A., Romeis, T., and Jones, J. D. G. (2004). CDPK-mediated signaling pathways: specificity and cross-talk. *J. Exp. Bot.* 55, 181-188. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erh008
- Martínez-Noël, G. M. A., Tognetti, J. A., Salerno, G. L., Wiemken, A., and Pontis, H. G. (2009). Protein phosphatase activity and sucrose-mediated induction of fructan synthesis in wheat. *Planta* 230, 1071–1079. doi: 10.1007/s00425-009-1002-7
- Martínez-Noël, G., Tognetti, J., Nagaraj, V., Wiemken, A., and Pontis, H. (2006). Calcium is essential for fructan synthesis induction mediated by sucrose in wheat. *Planta* 225, 183–191. doi: 10.1007/s00425-006-0339-4
- Matsoukas, I. G. (2014). Interplay between sugar and hormone signaling pathways modulate floral signal transduction. *Plant Genet. Genomics* 5:218. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2014.00218
- Michiels, A., Van Laere, A., Van den Ende, W., and Tucker, M. (2004). Expression analysis of a chicory fructan 1—exohydrolase gene reveals complex regulation by cold. J. Exp. Bot. 55, 1325–1333. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erh153
- Morita-Yamamuro, C., Vernieri, P., and Yamaguchi, J. (2004). Low sugar status promotes endogenous ABA levels and ABA sensitivity in Arabidopsis. *Plant Biotechnol.* 21, 9–14. doi: 10.5511/plantbiotechnology.21.9

- Morvan, A., Challe, G., Prud'homme, M. P., Saos, J. L., and Boucaud, J. (1997). Rise of fructan exohydrolase activity in stubble of *Lolium perenne* after defoliation is decreased by uniconazole, an inhibitor of the biosynthesis of gibberellins. *New Phytol.* 136, 81–88.
- Nagaraj, V. (2004). *Regulation of Fructan Metabolism in Barley Leaves*. PhD Thesis. Available online at: http://edoc.unibas.ch/92 (Accessed January 3, 2015).
- Peukert, M., Thiel, J., Peshev, D., Weschke, W., Van den Ende, W., Mock, H. P., et al. (2014). Spatio-temporal dynamics of fructan metabolism in developing barley grains. *Plant Cell* 26, 3728–3744. doi: 10.1105/tpc.114.130211
- Phelps, C. F. (1965). The physical properties of inulin solutions. *Biochem. J.* 95, 41-47.
- Pollock, C. J., and Cairns, A. J. (1991). Fructan metabolism in grasses and cereals. Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol. 42, 77–101. doi: 10.1146/annurev.pp.42.060191.000453
- Pottosin, I. I., and Schönknecht, G. (2007). Vacuolar calcium channels. J. Exp. Bot. 58, 1559–1569. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erm035
- Pozo, M. J., López-Ráez, J. A., Azcón-Aguilar, C., and García-Garrido, J. M. (2015). Phytohormones as integrators of environmental signals in the regulation of mycorrhizal symbioses. *New Phytol.* 205, 1431–1436. doi: 10.1111/nph.13252
- Reynolds, M., Foulkes, M. J., Slafer, G. A., Berry, P., Parry, M. A. J., Snape, J. W., et al. (2009). Raising yield potential in wheat. J. Exp. Bot. 60, 1899–1918. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erp016
- Rock, C. D., and Sun, X. (2005). Crosstalk between ABA and auxin signaling pathways in roots of *Arabidopsis thaliana* (L.) Heynh. *Planta* 222, 98–106. doi: 10.1007/s00425-005-1521-9
- Roitsch, T., Balibrea, M. E., Hofmann, M., Proels, R., and Sinha, A. K. (2003). Extracellular invertase: key metabolic enzyme and PR protein. J. Exp. Bot. 54, 513–524. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erg050
- Rook, F., Corke, F., Card, R., Munz, G., Smith, C., and Bevan, M. W. (2001). Impaired sucrose-induction mutants reveal the modulation of sugar-induced starch biosynthetic gene expression by abscisic acid signaling. *Plant J.* 26, 421–433. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-313X.2001.2641043.x
- Ruuska, S. A., Lewis, D. C., Kennedy, G., Furbank, R. T., Jenkins, C. L. D., and Tabe, L. M. (2007). Large scale transcriptome analysis of the effects of nitrogen nutrition on accumulation of stem carbohydrate reserves in reproductive stage wheat. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 66, 15–32. doi: 10.1007/s11103-007-9249-5
- Shahri, W., Ahmad, S. S., and Tahir, I. (2014). "Sugar signaling in plant growth and development," in *Plant Signaling: Understanding the Molecular Crosstalk*, eds K. R. Hakeem, R. U. Rehman, and I. Tahir (New Delhi: Springer), 93–116. Available Online at: http://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-81-322-1542-4\_5 (Accessed March 29, 2014).
- Shin, R., Burch, A. Y., Huppert, K. A., Tiwari, S. B., Murphy, A. S., Guilfoyle, T. J., et al. (2007). The Arabidopsis transcription factor MYB77 modulates auxin signal transduction. *Plant Cell* 19, 2440–2453. doi: 10.1105/tpc.107.050963
- Skottke, K. R., Yoon, G. M., Kieber, J. J., and DeLong, A. (2011). Protein phosphatase 2A controls ethylene biosynthesis by differentially regulating the turnover of ACC synthase isoforms. *PLoS Genet* 7:e1001370. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1001370
- Suárez-González, E. M., López, M. G., Délano-Frier, J. P., and Gómez-Leyva, J. F. (2014). Expression of the 1-SST and 1-FFT genes and consequent fructan accumulation in *Agave tequilana* and *A*. inaequidens is differentially induced by diverse (a)biotic-stress related elicitors. *J. Plant Physiol.* 171, 359–372. doi: 10.1016/j.jplph.2013.08.002
- Thiel, J., Riewe, D., Rutten, T., Melzer, M., Friedel, S., Bollenbeck, F., et al. (2012). Differentiation of endosperm transfer cells of barley: a comprehensive analysis at the micro-scale. *Plant J.* 71, 639–655. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2012.05018.x
- Trevisan, F., Chu, E. P., Gaspar, M., and Carvalho, M. A. M. (2014). In vitro culture and fructan production by Vernonia herbacea (Asteraceae). Acta Physiol. Plant. 36, 2299–2307. doi: 10.1007/s11738-014-1571-3
- Trouverie, J., Chateau-Joubert, S., Thévenot, C., Jacquemot, M. P., and Prioul, J. L. (2004). Regulation of vacuolar invertase by abscisic acid or glucose in leaves and roots from maize plantlets. *Planta* 219, 894–905. doi: 10.1007/s00425-004-1289-3
- Valluru, R., Lammens, W., Claupein, W., and Van den Ende, W. (2008). Freezing tolerance by vesicle-mediated fructan transport. *Trends Plant Sci.* 13, 409–414. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2008.05.008

- Valluru, R., and Van den Ende, W. (2008). Plant fructans in stress environments: emerging concepts and future prospects. J. Exp. Bot. 59, 2905–2916. doi: 10.1093/jxb/ern164
- Van den Ende, W. (2013). Multifunctional fructans and raffinose family oligosaccharides. Front. Plant Sci. 4:247. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2013.00247
- Van den Ende, W., Clerens, S., Vergauwen, R., Van Riet, L., Van Laere, A., Yoshida, M., et al. (2003). Fructan 1-Exohydrolases. β-(2,1)-trimmers during graminan biosynthesis in stems of wheat? purification, characterization, mass mapping, and cloning of two fructan 1-exohydrolase isoforms. *Plant Physiol.* 131, 621–631. doi: 10.1104/pp.015305
- Van Laere, A., and Van den Ende, W. (2002). Inulin metabolism in dicots: chicory as a model system. *Plant Cell Environ*. 25, 803–813. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-3040.2002.00865.x
- Verhaest, M., Lammens, W., Le Roy, K., De Ranter, C. J., Van Laere, A., Rabijns, A., et al. (2007). Insights into the fine architecture of the active site of chicory fructan 1-exohydrolase: 1-kestose as substrate vs sucrose as inhibitor. *New Phytol.* 174, 90–100. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2007.01988.x
- Vogt, L., Ramasamy, U., Meyer, D., Pullens, G., Venema, K., Faas, M. M., et al. (2013). Immune modulation by different types of β2→1-fructans is toll-like receptor dependent. *PLoS ONE* 8:e68367. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0068367
- Xu, Z. Y., Lee, K. H., Dong, T., Jeong, J. C., Jin, J. B., Kanno, Y., et al. (2012). A vacuolar β-glucosidase homolog that possesses glucose-conjugated abscisic acid hydrolyzing activity plays an important role in osmotic stress responses in arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* 24, 2184–2199. doi: 10.1105/tpc.112.095935

- Xue, G. P., Kooiker, M., Drenth, J., and McIntyre, C. L. (2011). TaMYB13 is a transcriptional activator of fructosyltransferase genes involved in  $\beta$ -2,6linked fructan synthesis in wheat. *Plant J.* 68, 857–870. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2011.04737.x
- Yang, J., Zhang, J., Wang, Z., Zhu, Q., and Liu, L. (2004). Activities of fructan- and sucrose-metabolizing enzymes in wheat stems subjected to water stress during grain filling. *Planta* 220, 331–343. doi: 10.1007/s00425-004-1338-y
- Yang, T., and Poovaiah, B. W. (2000). Molecular and biochemical evidence for the involvement of calcium/calmodulin in auxin action. J. Biol. Chem. 275, 3137–3143. doi: 10.1074/jbc.275.5.3137
- Zhang, J., Xu, Y., Chen, W., Dell, B., Vergauwen, R., Biddulph, B., et al. (2014). A wheat 1-FEH w3 variant underlies enzyme activity for stem WSC remobilization to grain under drought. *New Phytol.* 205, 295–305. doi: 10.1111/nph.13030

**Conflict of Interest Statement:** The author declares that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2015 Valluru. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.