View metadata, citation and similar papers at core.ac.uk







NHS Foundation Trust

# SAFETY AND EFFICACY OF 2% CHLORHEXIDINE GLUCONATE (CHG) AQUEOUS VERSUS 2% CHG IN 70% ISOPROPYL ALCOHOL FOR SKIN DISINFECTION PRIOR TO PERCUTANEOUS CENTRAL VENOUS CATHETER INSERTION IN PRETERM NEONATES: THE ARCTIC FEASIBILITY RANDOMISED CONTROLLED TRIAL





Paul Clarke<sup>1</sup> paul.clarke@nnuh.nhs.uk, Aung Soe<sup>2</sup>, Amy Nichols<sup>1</sup>, Helen Harizaj<sup>2</sup>, Mark Webber<sup>3</sup>, Louise Linsell<sup>4</sup>, Jennifer Bell<sup>4</sup>, Catherine Tremlett<sup>5</sup>, Priya Muthukumar<sup>1</sup>, Santosh Pattnayak<sup>2</sup>, Ursula Bowler<sup>4</sup>, Ed Juszczak<sup>4</sup>, Paul Heath<sup>6</sup>.

- 1. NICU, Norfolk and Norwich University Hospital, Norwich, UK 2. NICU, Medway Maritime Hospital, Gillingham, UK
- 3. Quadram Institute Bioscience, Norwich, UK 4. National Perinatal Epidemiology Unit, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK,
- 5. Medical Microbiology, Norfolk and Norwich University Hospital, Norwich, UK 6. Paediatric Infectious Diseases Research Group, St George's University of London, London, UK

## **Background & Aim:**

- Catheter-related sepsis remains a significant threat to preterm babies in the neonatal intensive care unit (NICU)
- Evidence is lacking about the optimal skin disinfection to be used for catheterisation in preterm infants
- We aimed to conduct a feasibility study to inform the design of a definitive randomised controlled trial (RCT) to investigate the safety and efficacy of alcohol-based vs. aqueous-based 2% Chlorhexidine Gluconate (CHG) antiseptic formulations for skin disinfection prior to percutaneous central venous catheter (PCVC) insertion

### **Methods:**

- We conducted a masked feasibility RCT in two tertiary-level neonatal intensive care units (ISRCTN: 82571474)
- We randomised infants born <34 weeks' gestation, and due to undergo PCVC insertion, to receive in a 3:1 ratio either 2%CHG-70% isopropyl alcohol (IPA) or 2%CHG-aqueous for skin antisepsis prior to catheter insertion
- Our feasibility study outcomes included rates of: i) recruitment and retention; ii) data completeness; iii) catheter colonisation, catheter-related sepsis (CRS), catheter- associated sepsis (CAS), and CRS/CAS per 1,000 PCVC days. Safety outcomes were daily skin morbidity scored using a validated neonatal skin scoring system, and recorded from catheter insertion until 48h post-removal
- Primary clinical outcome was the proportion of infants in the 2%CHG-70%IPA arm with catheter colonisation at the time
  of catheter removal. Target sample size was at least 93 infants with successful PCVC insertion based upon an anticipated
  20% incidence of PCVC colonisation in the reference 2%CHG-70%IPA group (estimated with 95% confidence interval (CI)
  11% to 31%)
- The Trial Protocol <a href="http://www/npeu.ox.ac.uk/arctic">http://www/npeu.ox.ac.uk/arctic</a> and further details of the methodology are published [1]

# **Results:**

- 116 (65.2%) of 178 eligible infants were recruited and randomised. 88 (76%) were allocated to 2%CHG-70%IPA group
- Overall, 51.7% were boys, median (IQR) gestation at birth was 28 (26-30) wks, and median (IQR) age at catheterisation was 5 days (2-7 days); 40% catheters were inserted <3 days postnatal and 22% insertions were in babies <26 weeks GA. Postnatal age at catheter removal was on median day 14 (IQR: 10-20 days).
- 97 (84.1%) included infants completed the study. Rates of recruitment, retention and data completeness were good
- Primary outcome incidence was 4.1% (95% CI: 0.9%, 11.5%);
   overall catheter colonisation rate was 5.2% (5/97); CRS 2.3/1,000 catheter days; CAS 14.8/1,000 catheter days (Table)
- No significant antiseptic-related skin injury was reported

Table: Primary and secondary outcomes: bacteriology and sepsis

	70%IPA/ 2%CHG	2%CHG	All (n = 106)
	(n = 79)	aqueous (n = 27)	(11 – 100)
Positive exit-site skin swab at catheter removal (before disinfection), n (%)	11 (15.1)	4 (16.7)	15 (15.5)
Missing	6	3	9
Positive exit site skin swab at catheter removal (after disinfection), n (%)	1 (1.4)	1 (4.3)	2 (2.1)
Missing	7	4	11
Culture positive catheter segments at removal, n (%)	3 (4.1)*	2 (8.3)	5 (5.2)
Positive tip alone	1 (1.3)	1 (3.7)	2 (1.9)
Positive proximal segment alone	2 (2.5)	0	2 (1.9)
Both tip and proximal segment positive	0	1 (4.2)	1 (1.0)
Missing	6	3	9
Definite catheter-related sepsis, n (%)	1 (1.5)	1 (4.5)	2 (2.3)
Missing	13	5	18
Catheter-associated sepsis, n (%)	10 (13.7)	3 (12.5)	13 (13.4)
Missing	6	3	9
Total number of PCVC days	653	223	876
Definite catheter-related sepsis, n (rate per 1000 PCVC days)	1 (1.5)	1 (4.5)	2 (2.3)
Catheter-associated sepsis, n (rate per 1000 PCVC days)	10 (15.3)	3 (13.5)	13 (14.8)

\*Primary outcome

# Conclusions:

- The ARCTIC study provides preliminary reassurance regarding safe use of 2%CHG aqueous and 2%CHG-70%IPA in preterm neonates for skin disinfection prior to percutaneous central venous catheterisation
- A definitive trial is feasible, but the very low catheter colonisation rate in the reference 2%CHG-70%IPA group indicates that a very large sample size would be required (approx. 3,500 babies for a non inferiority trial)

Reference: [1]. Clarke P, et al. BMJ Open 2019;9:e028022. Available open access <u>bit.ly/3iBszQt</u>



This study/project is funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Research for Patient Benefit programme (project reference PB-PG-1013-32076). The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care.