


**Fast-track hip and knee arthroplasty – how fast?**

Journal:	<i>British Journal of Anaesthesia</i>
Manuscript ID	BJA-2020-01495-HH936.R1
Article Type:	Editorial
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Wainwright, Thomas W.; Bournemouth University School of Health and Social Care, Orthopaedic Research Institute Memtsoudis, Stavros; Hospital for Special Surgery, Anesthesiology Kehlet, Henrik; Rigshospitalet, Section for surgical pathophysiology 7621
Keywords:	enhanced recovery, hip, knee, arthroplasty, fast-track surgery, replacement

Editorial

## Fast-track hip and knee arthroplasty – how fast?

Thomas W. Wainwright, PT

Orthopaedic Research Institute, Bournemouth University, UK

Email address: [twainwright@bournemouth.ac.uk](mailto:twainwright@bournemouth.ac.uk)

Stavros G. Memtsoudis, MD, PhD, MBA

Department of Anaesthesiology, Critical Care, and Pain Management, Hospital for Special Surgery, New York, USA

Department of Healthcare Policy and Research, Weill Cornell Medical College, New York, New York

Department of Anaesthesiology, Perioperative Medicine and Intensive Care Medicine, Paracelsus Medical University, Salzburg, Austria

Email address: [memtsoudiss@hss.edu](mailto:memtsoudiss@hss.edu)

Henrik Kehlet, MD, PhD

Section of Surgical Pathophysiology, Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen University, Denmark and The Lundbeck Foundation Centre for Fast-track Hip and Knee replacement, Copenhagen, Denmark.

Email address: [henrik.kehlet@regionh.dk](mailto:henrik.kehlet@regionh.dk)

Corresponding author:

H. Kehlet, Rigshospitalet, Section of Surgical Pathophysiology 7621, Blegdamsvej 9, DK-2100 Copenhagen,

Tel: +45 3545 4774, fax: +45 3545 6543

Words: 1,000

**Declaration of interests:** None.

**Funding:** None.

**Keywords:** Enhanced recovery, Fast-track, hip replacement, knee replacement

1  
2  
3  
4 Fast-track programmes (or Enhanced Recovery after Surgery (ERAS) programmes) for total hip  
5  
6 arthroplasty (THA) and total knee arthroplasty (TKA) have evolved over the past 20 years.<sup>1</sup>

7  
8  
9 Their development has been driven by the questions, “Can the operation be done as an outpatient  
10  
11 procedure?” and if not, “Why is the patient in the hospital?” based upon an analysis and  
12  
13 modification of undesirable pathophysiological responses delaying recovery.<sup>2</sup>

14  
15  
16 The data confirms that fast-track approaches can improve clinical and economic outcomes, however  
17  
18 their implementation has not been universal. <sup>2,3</sup> For example, whilst length of hospital stay (LOS)  
19  
20 has been reduced over the last ten years within the English National Health System (NHS), the  
21  
22 national mean LOS remains about 4 – 5 days and rates of outpatient arthroplasty continue to be low  
23  
24 [data from Hospital Episode Statistics (HES) available via [https://digital.nhs.uk/data-and-](https://digital.nhs.uk/data-and-information/data-tools-and-services/data-services/hospital-episode-statistics)  
25  
26 information/data-tools-and-services/data-services/hospital-episode-statistics]. Comparatively, in  
27  
28 Denmark<sup>4</sup> and the USA<sup>5</sup>, mean LOS has been reduced to about 2 days for both THA and TKA, and  
29  
30 outpatient arthroplasty is now well established in selected patients in many international centres.<sup>6</sup>  
31  
32 Importantly, the definition of outpatient surgery within these settings should not include an  
33  
34 overnight stay, which is in contrast to other reports using a less than 23 h stay<sup>6</sup>, thereby adding  
35  
36 some confusion when interpreting the data.  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42

43  
44 The concept of outpatient arthroplasty is not new. Studies on selected patients demonstrating its  
45  
46 feasibility were first published over 10 years ago. More recently, preliminary observations support  
47  
48 that such an approach is feasible in about 15 % of unselected patient cohorts within a socialized  
49  
50 health care system, and with no apparent increase in complications or re-admissions.<sup>7</sup> Outpatient  
51  
52 arthroplasty is therefore an attractive concept in the context of policy changes advocating value-  
53  
54 based care models, given the additional capacity and economic benefits it offers, although the  
55  
56 economic benefit may be variable and dependent on local factors.<sup>6</sup>  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4 However, recent data from population-based observational studies from US and Canada based  
5 upon the American College of Surgeons National Surgical Quality Improvement Program (NSQIP)  
6 database, have shown that outpatient TKA and THA was associated with higher odds of major and  
7 minor complications<sup>5,8</sup> compared with patients discharged after a one-night hospital stay<sup>5</sup> or 1-2  
8 days stay.<sup>8</sup> The US study<sup>5</sup> is the first very large comprehensive evaluation of population based data  
9 and includes a propensity matched analysis accounting for comorbidities amongst 226,481 TKA and  
10 140,557 THA patients, with a focus on the safety of outpatient arthroplasty. In addition, a smaller  
11 (n=4,391) US private insurance database study also demonstrates a higher risk of perioperative  
12 surgical and medical complications compared to standard in-patient TKA.<sup>9</sup> Although these studies  
13 may have several limitations including residual confounding, the findings nevertheless are an  
14 important reminder that outpatient surgery may not equate to optimized care for every patient,  
15 and that ERAS protocols should be based on the concept of “first better – then faster”.<sup>2</sup>  
16 Consequently, there is a need for improved prediction methods for a safe outpatient procedure.<sup>10</sup>  
17 In addition, within an optimised ERAS set up, it may be that for selected high-risk patients, a planned  
18 longer stay in hospital may be the best means of accelerating recovery and reducing complications,  
19 re-admissions, and morbidity.<sup>2</sup> Consequently, it may be prudent to keep some patients with specific  
20 comorbidities in hospital overnight despite them meeting the conventional discharge criteria. This  
21 is especially the case, given that the value of discharging a patient home in the evening instead of  
22 the next morning is still to be determined from a safety vs. economic perspective.<sup>6</sup> Finally, although  
23 apparently safe in several selected settings, we need more generalizable data, including complete  
24 post-discharge issues such as emergency department and general practitioner visits as well as use  
25 of skilled nursing or other facilities, home nursing care, etc.  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4 Before more widespread recommendations for out-patient arthroplasty, there are some practical  
5  
6 caveats that need to be considered. Firstly, the value of an outpatient practice change should only  
7  
8 be investigated in an existing optimised fast-track setup, i.e. it should not be a justification for units  
9  
10 without an existing properly implemented fast-track program. Secondly, it may be more difficult to  
11  
12 implement in some settings, such as hospital vs. specific ambulatory surgery centres (ASC).  
13  
14 However, preliminary data suggest an outpatient setup can be performed successfully in both  
15  
16 settings.<sup>11</sup>  
17  
18  
19

20  
21 Nevertheless, in addition to these contextual factors, the most important challenge for future  
22  
23 improvement within the outpatient ERAS setting is better understanding and control of undesirable  
24  
25 peri-operative pathophysiological responses such as pain relief, control of inflammatory responses  
26  
27 and orthostatic intolerance, optimal blood management, prevention of cognitive dysfunction to  
28  
29 name a few.<sup>12</sup> Future optimisation and reduction of these post-surgical sequelae therefore  
30  
31 represent a prerequisite for further development and increased use of outpatient THA and TKA. As  
32  
33 mentioned above, there is a need to be able to successfully identify patients at risk of complication  
34  
35 or re-admission preoperatively and prior to the discharge stage. Of special importance will be the  
36  
37 need for identifying ways to predict high pain and inflammatory responders<sup>12</sup>, so that related  
38  
39 pathophysiology can be modified and allow optimal post-discharge rehabilitation strategies.<sup>2</sup>  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44

45  
46 Nevertheless, for high performing THA and TKA ERAS centres, outpatient surgery has been the  
47  
48 natural evolution, and the results have led to widespread enthusiasm for the approach across  
49  
50 healthcare systems, industry, and the media. Yet, for some patients it may be better to modestly  
51  
52 prolong their hospital stay. This may especially apply to sites without an already established  
53  
54 successful fast-track protocol, where outpatient arthroplasty may not be possible or lead to  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4 increased re-admissions and morbidity. Additionally, the outpatient approach should not be based  
5  
6 upon an increased use of post-discharge care facilities with secondary cost and safety challenges.  
7  
8  
9 Summarizing, there is a delicate balance between implementation of well-agreed evidence for THA  
10  
11 and TKA ERAS care vs. moving too fast to more widespread implementation of the promising  
12  
13 outpatient approach given the fact that more patients with comorbidities or need for revision  
14  
15 surgery are operated. We should be mindful to “walk before we run” and remember that the ERAS  
16  
17 concept is based on **reduction of undesirable pathophysiological responses to surgery in order to**  
18  
19 **enhance recovery, meaning** “first better, then faster”.  
20  
21  
22  
23

### 24 **References**

- 26 1. Kehlet H. Fast-track hip and knee arthroplasty. *Lancet* 2013; **381**: 1600-2
- 27 2. Wainwright TW, Kehlet H. Fast-track hip and knee arthroplasty - have we reached the goal?  
28 *Acta Orthop* 2019; **90**: 3-5
- 29 3. Memtsoudis SG, Fiasconaro M, Soffin EM, et al. Enhanced recovery after surgery  
30 components and perioperative outcomes: a nationwide observational study. *Br J Anaesth*  
31 2020; **124**: 638-47
- 32 4. Petersen PB, Jorgensen CC, Kehlet H. Temporal trends in length of stay and readmissions  
33 after fast-track hip and knee arthroplasty. *Danish medical journal* 2019; **66**: A5553
- 34 5. Liu J, Elkassabany N, Poeran J, et al. Association between same day discharge total knee and  
35 total hip arthroplasty and risks of cardiac/pulmonary complications and readmission: a  
36 population-based observational study. *BMJ Open* 2019; **9**: e031260
- 37 6. Vehmeijer SBW, Husted H, Kehlet H. Outpatient total hip and knee arthroplasty. *Acta Orthop*  
38 2018; **89**: 141-4
- 39 7. Gromov K, Jorgensen CC, Petersen PB, et al. Complications and readmissions following  
40 outpatient total hip and knee arthroplasty: a prospective 2-center study with matched controls.  
41 *Acta Orthop* 2019; **90**: 281-5
- 42 8. Nowak LL, Schemitsch EH. Same-day and delayed hospital discharge are associated with  
43 worse outcomes following total knee arthroplasty. *Bone Joint J* 2019; **101-b**: 70-6
- 44 9. Arshi A, Leong NL, D'Oro A, et al. Outpatient Total Knee Arthroplasty Is Associated with  
45 Higher Risk of Perioperative Complications. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2017; **99**: 1978-86
- 46 10. Johnson DJ, Castle JP, Hartwell MJ, D'Heurle AM, Manning DW. Risk Factors for Greater  
47 Than 24-Hour Length of Stay After Primary Total Knee Arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty* 2020;  
48 **35**: 633-7
- 49 11. Sershon RA, McDonald JF, 3rd, Ho H, Goyal N, Hamilton WG. Outpatient Total Hip  
50 Arthroplasty Performed at an Ambulatory Surgery Center vs Hospital Outpatient Setting:  
51 Complications, Revisions, and Readmissions. *J Arthroplasty* 2019; **34**: 2861-5
- 52 12. Kehlet H. Enhanced postoperative recovery: good from afar, but far from good? *Anaesthesia*  
53 2020; **75 Suppl 1**: e54-e61
- 54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

For Peer Review