On: 18 August 2015, At: 02:48 Publisher: Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: 5 Howick Place, London, SW1P 1WG





Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: <u>http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/tbeq20</u>

# Does excess boron affect hormone levels of potato cultivars?

Muavviz Ayvaz<sup>a</sup>, Avni Guven<sup>b</sup> & Kurt Fagerstedt<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Agricultural Biotechnology, Faculty of Agriculture, Adnan Menderes University, South Campus, Cakmar, Aydin, Turkey

<sup>b</sup> Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Ege University, Bornova, Izmir, Turkey

<sup>c</sup> Department of Biosciences, Faculty of Biological and Environmental Sciences, University of Helsinki, Viikki Campus, Helsinki, Finland Published online: 15 Jun 2015.



To cite this article: Muavviz Ayvaz, Avni Guven & Kurt Fagerstedt (2015) Does excess boron affect hormone levels of potato cultivars?, Biotechnology & Biotechnological Equipment, 29:5, 887-891, DOI: <u>10.1080/13102818.2015.1053411</u>

To link to this article: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/13102818.2015.1053411</u>

### PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Taylor & Francis makes every effort to ensure the accuracy of all the information (the "Content") contained in the publications on our platform. Taylor & Francis, our agents, and our licensors make no representations or warranties whatsoever as to the accuracy, completeness, or suitability for any purpose of the Content. Versions of published Taylor & Francis and Routledge Open articles and Taylor & Francis and Routledge Open Select articles posted to institutional or subject repositories or any other third-party website are without warranty from Taylor & Francis of any kind, either expressed or implied, including, but not limited to, warranties of merchantability, fitness for a particular purpose, or non-infringement. Any opinions and views expressed in this article are the opinions and views of the authors, and are not the views of or endorsed by Taylor & Francis. The accuracy of the Content should not be relied upon and should be independently verified with primary sources of information. Taylor & Francis shall not be liable for any losses, actions, claims, proceedings, demands, costs, expenses, damages, and other liabilities whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with, in relation to or arising out of the use of the Content.

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Terms & Conditions of access and use can be found at <u>http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions</u>

It is essential that you check the license status of any given Open and Open Select article to confirm conditions of access and use.



### **ARTICLE; AGRICULTURE AND ENVIRONMENTAL BIOTECHNOLOGY**

### Does excess boron affect hormone levels of potato cultivars?

Muavviz Ayvaz <sup>(D)</sup> <sup>a</sup>\*, Avni Guven<sup>b</sup> and Kurt Fagerstedt <sup>(D)</sup> <sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Agricultural Biotechnology, Faculty of Agriculture, Adnan Menderes University, South Campus, Cakmar, Aydin, Turkey; <sup>b</sup>Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Ege University, Bornova, Izmir, Turkey; <sup>c</sup>Department of Biosciences, Faculty of Biological and Environmental Sciences, University of Helsinki, Viikki Campus, Helsinki, Finland

(Received 2 March 2015; accepted 18 May 2015)

Potato crop production in Turkey ranks on the thirteenth place in the world. Toxicity is a problematic issue for some parts of the Turkish soils. Hence, it is very important to clarify the physiological responses of plants to toxic mineral stress. In this study, two different potato cultivars – *Solanum tuberosum* cv. Resy and *Solanum tuberosum* cv. Agria – were used as a study material. Excess boron was applied in two different concentrations (5 mmol/L and 12.5 mmol/L) 32 days after planting the tubers. Plants were harvested at the end of 15 days of excess boron application. Chlorophyll fluorescence (Fv/Fm) was measured. Shoot height and shoot—root fresh weight contents were determined. Analyses were carried out for the contents of the endogenous hormones indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) and abscisic acid (ABA) by using gas chromatographymass spectrophotometry (GS-MS). According to the obtained data, plants' shoot height did not change, whereas the shoot's fresh weight decreased significantly with increasing of the boron concentrations in cv Resy, by applying 12.5 mmol/L boron. With 12.5 mmol/L boron, the photosynthesis was negatively affected in both cultivars. Boron application led to increased endogenous IAA and ABA content in both cultivars. As a result, cv. Resy showed more resistance to excess boron. Findings on the hormone metabolism and chlorophyll fluorescence in different cultivars will shed a light on understanding the physiological response to excess mineral stress.

Keywords: boron toxicity; Solanum tuberosum L.; chlorophyll fluorescence; IAA; ABA; GS-MS

### Introduction

Boron is an essential micronutrient for plant growth and development and has a wide range of roles in the physiological processes in plants.[1] Excessive boron in the soil or in the irrigation water occurs in Turkey.[2] Abiotic mineral toxicity stress is one of the limiting factors for plant development and crop productivity.[3] However, biochemical and physiological adaptation mechanisms allow plants to maintain their life cycle under adverse stress conditions.[4] Phytohormones play a role in plants' stress responses and adaptation.[5] Hormonal regulation of growth and development under abiotic stress is a complex process with interactions of various hormones at the cellular level.[6] Many of the adaptation mechanisms are related with the change of endogenous phytohormone levels, including indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) and abscisic acid (ABA).[7]

The essential major auxin IAA plays a role in the growth and development of the plant and takes place in the plant's life cycle, including cell division, expansion, differentiation, lateral root formation, flowering and tropic responses.[8] The possible role of boron on auxin or IAA metabolism was suggested as early as in 1940.[9] The local cellular auxin levels and distribution may be

regulated by changes in auxin transport in plants under different stresses.[10]

On the other hand, ABA plays a major role in signalling and adaptation to abiotic stresses, such as water, drought and salt stress.[11] Especially in glycophytes, increased endogenous ABA content in plants' cells and tissues is an adaptive mechanism for sodium (Na) stress. [12] De Costa et al. [13] proposed that the salt resistance of newly developed maize hybrids was determined by ABA. The ABA is also a hormone that regulates stomatal closure in plants, which causes a reduced water loss via transpiration.[14] On the other hand, ABA limits the shoot growth [15] and leaf area expansion,[16] whereas it stimulates the root growth.[17] However, the role of ABA and IAA in boron stress remains unclear.

Chlorophyll fluorescence is a technique that measures photosystem II (PSII) activity. It is an indicator of how plants respond to environmental abiotic and biotic factors, by measuring the PSII sensitivity. Information, regarding the plant-based stress status, may be provided by screening the PSII activity. It has been used as one of the most common techniques for measuring stress in leaves.[18]

Potato crop production in Turkey ranks on the thirteenth place in the world [19] and the toxicity is a

\*Corresponding author. Email: muavviz.ayvaz@adu.edu.tr

© 2015 The Author(s). Published by Taylor & Francis.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

problematic issue for some parts of the Turkish soils. Hence, it is very important to clarify the physiological responses of plants to toxic mineral stress and these processes should be clarified in more detail. In this paper, we examined whether excess boron leads to a possible significant change in IAA and ABA contents of two different potato cultivars. Our aim was to shed a light on the physiological responses of potato cultivars under excess boron stress.

#### Materials and methods

### Plant materials and growth conditions

The two potato cultivars (*Solanum tuberosum* cv. Resy and *Solanum tuberosum* cv. Agria) were provided by Aegean Agricultural Research Institute (AARI). Potato tubers were grown in a green house in quartz sand (0.1 mm-0.6 mm, SP-Minerals Oy, Nilsiän kvartsi, Finland). The chemical composition of the quartz sand was SiO<sub>2</sub> (99.4%), Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (0.2%), Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (0.02%) and K<sub>2</sub>O (0.04%). The plants were grown in plastic pots (Soparco, code 6820) with 16.7 cm diameter, 13.1 cm heigh and 2 L volume and were fertilized by using Hoagland and Arnon [20] nutrient solution altogether for seven weeks.

Illumination was provided by 400 W high-pressure sodium lamps (Lucalox, LU 400/HO/T/40NG) for 16 h  $d^{-1}$  to provide a flux density of 220 µmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> at the upper leaf canopy. Daytime humidity was 50% and the temperature was 20 °C (day) and 16 °C (night).

### **Boron treatment**

Boron was applied 32 d after planting the tubers in two different concentrations (5 and 12.5 mmol/L) within the Hoagland and Arnon [20] nutrient solution. A concentration of 0.05 mmol/L boron was applied as a control. The plants were harvested at the end of 15 d of excess boron application.

### Hormone analysis

Phytohormones were analysed by using the vapour-phase extraction method, described by Schmelz et al. [21] with the following modifications: 2 ng <sup>13</sup>C6-IAA and 20 ng d6-ABA were used as internal standards in each sample. For the IAA analysis, the samples were dried, silylated with 8  $\mu$ L N-methyl-N-(trimethylsilyl) trifluoroacetamide (MSTFA) and diluted with 16  $\mu$ L water free pyridine. After this, a gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) analysis was performed on a Trace-DSQ (Thermo) in the single ion monitoring mode on a ZB-35 capillary GC column (35% phenyl and 65% methylpolysiloxane, 30 m  $\times$  0.25 mm  $\times$  0.25  $\mu$ m) with splitless injection and 230 °C injector temperature. The column was held at

40 °C for 1 min after injection, then heated by 15 °C min<sup>-1</sup> to 250 °C, held for 4 min, heated by 20 °C min<sup>-1</sup> to 300 °C final temperature (kept for 3 min) with helium, as a carrier gas (1 mL min<sup>-1</sup> flow). The pytohormones' results were calculated as ng g<sup>-1</sup> fresh weight (FW).

## Chlorophyll fluorescence and growth parameter determinations

The chlorophyll fluorescence was measured with a FMS-2 pulse modulated fluorometer (Hansatech, UK) in the morning. The minimal fluorescence (Fo) was determined by a weak modulated light and a 0.7 s saturating light of 20,000  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> was used on the dark-adapted leaves (30 min) to determine the maximal fluorescence (Fm) and variable fluorescence (Fv), calculated as Fm–Fo. The maximal quantum efficiency of PSII (Fv/Fm) was determined. Plants were sampled randomly from each treatment group (control, 5 and 12.5 mmol/L boron applied groups) at the harvest day with at least three replicates. The height of the shoots (cm) was measured and shoot and root fresh weights (g) were recorded.

### Statistical analysis

The experimental results were expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard error (SE). Statistical analysis was carried out using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), followed by Dunnett's test with SPSS version 12.0. The value of p < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

### **Results and discussion**

It is widely known that hormonal metabolism may change in plants, subjected to biotic and abiotic stresses.[22] In our study, increased boron concentrations led to increased endogenous IAA content in both cv. Resy and cv. Agria, as compared to the control (Table 1). The increased IAA content was statistically significant in both cultivars at 5 mmol/L and 12.5 mmol/L, as compared with their controls. IAA content has also been shown to increase in two different barley cultivars, subjected to excess boron.[22] Lambert et al. [23] have suggested that boron fertilization leads to decreased IAA oxidase activity in plant roots and, therefore, to increased IAA content.[22] The rise of the IAA content leads to movement of carbohydrates into the roots and, by this, to enhancement of mycorrhizal fungal infections. On the other hand, Triticum durum Desf., grown under boron deficiency, had an increased IAA content and it tended to decrease with the increasing of the boron concentrations.[24] In sunflower (Helianthus annuus L.), the IAA content decreased under boron stress, as compared to the control plants.[22,25] The data in the literature about the endogenous IAA contents in plants, subjected to excess boron, are varying. Our results showed

15
20
÷
gus
γnδ
<,
18
<u> </u>
2:4
0
at
lki]
inl
Iels
Ĕ
JC
Ň
sit
/er
niv
$\mathbf{D}$
y [
ف.
led
ad
nlo
٨n
õ
Ц

	Indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) ng $g^{-1}$ FW	(IAA) ng g <sup>-1</sup> FW	Abscisic acid (A	Abscisic acid (ABA) ng g <sup>-1</sup> FW	Chlorophyll fluorescence (Fv/Fm)	escence (Fv/Fm)
concentration	cv. Resy	cv. Agria	cv. Resy	cv. Agria	cv. Resy	cv. Agria
Control	$5.47\pm0.67$	$10.94 \pm 1.29$	$113.32 \pm 10.45$	$240.46 \pm 9.50$	$0.84\pm0.02$	$0.86\pm0.002$
5 mmol/L	$39.49 \pm 4.34^{*} (721)^{**}$	$14.99 \pm 1.99^{*} (137)$	$362.17 \pm 1.35^{*} (320)$	$373.24 \pm 16.62^{*}$ (155)	$0.84\pm 0.02~(100)$	$0.62 \pm 0.18^{*}$ (72)
12.5 mmol/L	$173.58 \pm 36.19^{*} (3168)$	$33.6\pm3.02^{*}$ (307)	$261.74 \pm 23.86^{*}$ (230)	$419.4 \pm 32.13^{*} (174)$	$0.52 \pm 0.25^{*}$ (62)	$0.11 \pm 0.04^{*} (13)$

 $(\pm)$ ; fresh weight (FW); variable fluorescence (Fv); maximal fluorescence (Fm)

that excess boron application led to increased endogenous IAA contents in both cultivars, which was in line with a research done on two different barley cultivars.[22]

In our study, increased boron concentrations led to a significant ABA content increase in both cv. Resy and cv. Agria (Table 1). Some earlier studies have also shown that increased boron concentrations led to increased ABA contents.[22] Similarly, in a study with carrot (Daucus carota L.) root callus under boron stress, the ABA content also increased.[26] It is presumed that ABA might bind to an apoplastic locus of ABA perception site, inducing an internal calcium-based signal transduction cascade, causing stomatal closure.[27,28] This is a well-known cellular response to abiotic stress conditions.[7] A limited transpiration rate under toxicity might be beneficial for the reduced uptake of boron ions into the tissues.

For unstressed leaves, Fv/Fm values were highly consistent with  $\sim 0.83$  and correlate to the maximal yield of photosynthesis.[29] Any type of stress that results in inactivation of PSII lowers the Fv/Fm value.[30] In cv. Agria, Fv/Fm decreased drastically after treatment with 12.5 mmol/L boron, indicating that photosynthesis was negatively affected (Table 1). In cv. Resy, treatment with 5 mmol/L boron did not cause a change in the Fv/Fm value, whereas application of 12.5 mmol/L boron led to a decrease in the Fv/Fm values, as compared to the control. The cv. Resy was more tolerant and the Fv/Fm value started to decline after application of 12.5 mmol/L boron. Excess boron did not affect shoots' height, whereas shoot and root fresh weight decreased with increasing of the boron concentration (Table 2). Shoot fresh weight was the most affected parameter in cv. Resy, which showed a significant decrease at 12.5 mmol/L excess boron application. A decrease was also observed in the cv. Agria fresh weight at 5 and 12.5 mmol/L excess boron application, as compared to the control. Boron toxicity affected roots' fresh weight negatively in both of the cultivars at 5 mmol/L and 12.5 mmol/L excess boron concentrations. No significant shoot height differences were observed in both cultivars throughout all the excess boron treatments. Plant species, such as Lycopersicon esculentum,[31] Cucumis melo, [32] Malus domestica Borkh. [33] and Artemisia annua,[34] subjected to excessive boron concentrations, have shown that their growth and fresh biomass decreased. The biomass decrease followed the pattern of chlorophyll fluorescence and our results showed that in high boron concentrations, shoot fresh weight was negatively affected. Similar results have been found earlier in tomato plants by Ferro et al. [35] and in sweet basil.[36] A combination of excess boron and salinity, applied to raspberries, [37] led to a decreased chlorophyll content and fluorescence, while in grapefruit [38] only the chlorophyll fluorescence decreased.

Overall, our results that the two different potato cultivars varied in their hormone levels in response to the

	Shoot fresh weight (g)		Root fresh weight (g)		Shoot height (cm)	
Boron concentration	cv. Resy	cv. Agria	cv. Resy	cv. Agria	cv. Resy	cv. Agria
Control	$26 \pm 3.1$	$43.3\pm3.8$	$4.5 \pm 1.5$	$14.3 \pm 0.3$	$26.2\pm5.9$	$39 \pm 6.1$
5 mmol/L	$23 \pm 3.2 (84)^{**}$	$38.7 \pm 8.7$ (89)	$4.7 \pm 0.3$ (104)	$12.7 \pm 4.4$ (89)	$30 \pm 2.5$ (114)	$43.3 \pm 4.4 (111)$
12.5 mmol/L	$12.7\pm 0.9^{*}(49)$	$23 \pm 3.5  (53)$	$4 \pm 0.1$ (89)	$7.7 \pm 1.8  (54)$	$28.2\pm 2.8(108)$	$37.2 \pm 7.5  (95)$

Table 2. Effect of different excess boron concentrations on shoot and root fresh weights and root height values.

Note: \* significant differences at p < 0.05 level, based on the one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Dunnett's test; \*\*Values in parentheses indicate per cent of control; standard error of mean (±).

application of different concentrations of excess boron, contribute to the better understanding of the possible roles of boron on hormone metabolism. Future work on excess boron application will be mainly on determination of the diverse physiological parameters in different plant species.

### Conclusions

We assessed the physiological responses of two potato cultivars to excess boron by hormone, chlorophyll fluorescence and growth parameters determination. In our study, we showed that boron application in two different potato cultivars led to increased endogenous IAA and ABA contents. In the light of these findings, we believe that our results may contribute to understanding the physiological responses of plants to excess boron and the roles of excess boron in excess boron physiology.

### **Disclosure statement**

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

### Funding

This study was supported by Helsinki University, Department of Biosciences and Scientific Research Projects of Ege University [grant number 2004-FEN-026] and the Center for International Mobility for Finland (CIMO) [grant number UA4-2146].

### ORCID

Muavviz Ayvaz b http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1776-0730

Kurt Fagerstedt (>>> http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6839-2958

### References

- Landi M, Deglinnocenti E, Pardossi A, et al. Antioxidant and photosynthetic responses in plants under boron toxicity: a review. Am J Agr Bio Sci. 2012;7:255–270.
- [2] Aydin G, Atanir L, Yorulmaz A. Investigation and mapping of risk areas for harmful boron content and the relationship to salt and sodium content in soils of Soke Plain, Aydin, Turkey. Arch Pharm. 2012;58:S232–S237.

- [3] Ayvaz M, Avci MK, Yamaner C, et al. Does excess boron affect malondaldehyde levels of potato cultivars? Eurasia J Biosci. 2013;7:47–53.
- [4] Xiong L, Schumaker KS, Zhu JK. Cell signaling during cold, drought, and salt stress. Plant Cell. 2002;14 (Suppl):165–183.
- [5] Fraire-Velázquez S, Rodriguez-Guerra R, Sánchez-Calderón L. Abiotic and biotic stress response crosstalk in plants. In: Shanker A, editor. Abiotic stress response in plants – physiological, biochemical and genetic perspectives. Rijeka: InTech Open Access Company; 2011. p. 1–26.
- [6] Potters G, Pasternak TP, Guisez Y, et al. Stress-induced morphogenic responses: growing out of trouble? Trends Plant Sci. 2007;12:98–105.
- [7] Zorb C, Geilfus CM, Muhling KH, et al. The influence of salt stress on ABA and auxin concentrations in two maize cultivars differing in salt resistance. J Plant Physiol. 2013;170:220-224.
- [8] Zhao Y. Auxin biosynthesis and its role in plant development. Annu Rev Plant Biol. 2010;61:49–64.
- [9] Coke L, Whittington WJ. The role of boron in plant growth: IV. Interrelationships between Boron and Indol-3lyacetic acid in the Metabolism of Bean Radicles. J Exp Bot. 1968;19:295–308.
- [10] Shibasaki K, Uemura M, Tsurumi S, et al. Auxin response in arabidopsis under cold stress: underlying molecular mechanism. Plant Cell. 2009;21:3823–3838.
- [11] Leung J, Giraudat J. Abscisic acid signal transduction. Annu Rev Plant Physiol Plant Mol Biol. 1998;49:199–222.
- [12] La Rosa PC, Handa AK, Hasegawe PW, et al. Abscisic acid accelerates adaptation of cultured tobacco cells to salts. Plant Physiol. 1985;79:138–142.
- [13] De Costa W, Zörb C, Hartung W, et al. Salt resistance is determined by osmotic adjustment and abscisic acid in newly developed maize hybrids in the first phase of salt stress. Physiol Plant. 2007;131:311–321.
- [14] Harris MJ, Outlaw Jr WH. Rapid adjustment of guard cell abscisic acid levels to current leaf-water status. Plant Physiol. 1991;95:171–173.
- [15] Creelman RA, Mason HS, Bensen RJ, et al. Water deficit and abscisic acid cause differential inhibition of shoot versus root growth in soybean seedlings: Analysis of growth, sugar accumulation and gene expression. J Plant Physiol. 1990;92:205–214.
- [16] Van Volkenburgh E, Davies WJ. Inhibition of light-stimulated leaf expansion by abscisic acid. J Exp Bot. 1983;34:835-845.
- [17] Sharp RE, LeNoble ME. ABA, ethylene and the control of shoot and root growth under water stress. J Exp Bot. 2002;53:33–37.

- [19] FAO. Agricultural production statistics. Rome: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations Statistics (FAOSTAT); 2011.
- [20] Hoagland DR, Arnon DI. The water-culture method for growing plants without soil. California Agricultural Experiment Station Circular. 1950;347:1–32.
- [21] Schmelz EA, Alborn HT, Engelberth J, et al. Nitrogen deficiency increases volicitin-induced volatile emission, jasmonic acid accumulation, and ethylene sensitivity in maize. Plant Physiol. 2003;133:295–306.
- [22] Ayvaz M, Koyuncu M, Guven A, et al. Does boron affect hormone levels of barley cultivars? Eurasia J Biosci. 2012;6:113–120.
- [23] Lambert DH, Cole HC, Baker DE. The role of boron in plant response to mycorrhizal infection. Plant Soil. 1980;57:431-438.
- [24] Gemici M, Aktaş LY, Türkyılmaz B, et al. The effects of the excessive boron applications on indole-3-acetic acid levels in *Triticum durum* Desf cv. gediz seedlings. Cum Sci J. 2002;23:17–24.
- [25] Akcam-Oluk E, Demiray H. The effects of boron on the growth of sambro no.3 sunflower (Helianthus annuus L.). Ege Univ Agri Fac J. 2004;41:181–190.
- [26] Demiray H, Dereboylu AE. The effects of excess boron with niacin on *Daucus corata L*. (carrot) root callus. Acta Biol Hung. 2006;57:105–114.
- [27] Hartung W. The site of action of abscisic acid at the guard cell plasmalemma of Valerianella locusta. Plant Cell Environ. 1983;6:427–428.
- [28] McAinsh MR, Brownlee C, Hetherington AM. Abscisic acid-induced elevation of guard cell cytosolic Ca<sup>2+</sup> precedes stomatal closure. Nature. 1990;343:186–188.

- [29] Demmig B, Björkman O. Photon yield of O<sub>2</sub> evolution and chlorophyll fluorescence characteristics at 77 K among vascular plants of diverse origins. Planta. 1987;170:489–504.
- [30] Long SP, Humphries S, Falkowski PG. Photoinhibition of photosynthesis in nature. Annu Rev Plant Physiol Plant Mol Biol. 1994;45:633–662.
- [31] Cervilla LM, Blasco BA, Rios JJ, et al. Oxidative stress and antioxidants in tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) plants subjected to boron toxicity. Ann Bot. 2007;100:747–756.
- [32] Goldberg S, Shouse PJ, Lesch SM, et al. Effect of high boron application on boron content and growth of melons. Plant Soil. 2003;256:403–411.
- [33] Molassiotis A, Sotiropoulos T, Tanou G, et al. Boron induced oxidative damage and antioxidant and nucleolytic responses in shoot tips culture of the apple rootstock EM9 (*Malus domestica* Borkh). Env Exp Bot. 2006;56: 54–62.
- [34] Aftab T, Khan MMA, Idrees M, et al. Boron induced oxidative stress, antioxidant defence response and changes in artemisinin content in *Artemisia annua* L. J Agron Crop Sci. 2010;196:423–430.
- [35] Ferro M, Sgherri C, Romani M, et al. Tomato irrigated with boron enriched fresh water effects on leaves and berries. Agrochim. 2009;53:52–67.
- [36] Landi M, Pardossi A, Remorini D, et al. Antioxidant and photosynthetic response of a purple-leaved and a greenleaved cultivar of sweet basil (*Ocimum basilicum*) to boron excess. Env Exp Botany. 2013;85:64–75.
- [37] Neocleous D, Vasilakakis M. Effects of boron and salinity on red raspberry in vitro. Internat J Fruit Sci. 2008;8: 216–225.
- [38] Han S, Tang N, Jiang HX, et al. CO2 assimilation, photosystem II photochemistry, carbohydrate metabolism and antioxidant system of citrus leaves in response to boron stress. Plant Sci. 2009;176:143–153.