## Lake Nasser fisheries: Literature review and situation analysis



# LAKE NASSER FISHERIES: LITERATURE REVIEW AND SITUATION ANALYSIS 

## Authors

Ashley Halls, Olfat Anwar Habib, Ahmed Nasr-Allah and Malcolm Dickson

## Citation

This publication should be cited as: Halls AS, Habib OA, Nasr-Allah A and Dickson M. 2015. Lake Nasser fisheries: Literature review and situation analysis. Penang, Malaysia: WorldFish. Program Report: 2015-42.

## Acknowledgments

The authors gratefully acknowledge the support and advice of the many stakeholders who contributed to the findings of this report.

## CONTENTS

List of figures ..... 4
List of tables ..... 4
List of symbols and abbreviations ..... 5
List of acronyms ..... 6
Background ..... 7
Fisheries management ..... 19
Monitoring programs and surveys ..... 23
Data and parameter estimates ..... 24
References ..... 35
Annex 1. Catch, effort and water level estimates. ..... 38
Figure 1. The location of Lake Nasser. ..... 7
Figure 2. Location of khors and extent of minimum and maximum flood levels. ..... 9
Figure 3. Average (solid line) and minimum and maximum (broken lines) water levels in Lake Nasser, 1964-2014. ..... 10
Figure 4. Distribution of fishing areas in Lake Nasser. ..... 14
Figure 5. Estimates of fish catch from Lake Nasser, 1970-2013. ..... 16
Figure 6. $\log _{\mathrm{e}}$-transformed monthly catch rates recorded in the southern and northern sectors of Lake Nasser, 1990-1991. ..... 17
Figure 7. Trends in catch rates for the major categories of fish in Lake Nasser, 1981-2014. ..... 18
Figure 8. Time series of reported catch from Lake Nasser and water level and shoreline length estimated using the multiple linear regression model of Yamaguchi et al. (1996). ..... 34
LIST OF TABLES
Table 1. Morphometric data for Lake Nasser. ..... 8
Table 2. Dimensions of some Lake Nasser khors. ..... 10
Table 3. Fish species of Lake Nasser. ..... 11
Table 4. Number of fishers and number of boats affiliated with each of the fishing cooperative societies. ..... 13
Table 5. Lake Nasser fishing zones. ..... 13
Table 6. Number of carrier boats operated by the fishing cooperatives, 2013. ..... 14
Table 7. Fish production estimates from fish enclosures. ..... 22
Table 8. Estimates of von Bertalanffy growth function parameters for species inhabiting Lake Nasser. ..... 24
Table 9. Estimates of length-weight relationships ( $\mathrm{W}=\mathrm{aL}^{\mathrm{b}}$ ). ..... 25
Table 10. Food categories of major fish species in Lake Nasser. ..... 26
Table 11. Estimates of fishing mortality ( $F$ ) and rates of exploitation $(E)$ derived from growth parameter estimates and length-converted catch curves. ..... 27
Table 12. Summary of potential yield estimates for Lake Nasser. ..... 30
Table 13. Selected results of the YPR analysis of Mekkawy (1998). ..... 30
Table 14. Summary of estimates of target and limit reference points for Lake Nasser. ..... 32

## LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS

B mean stock biomass
$B_{c} \quad$ current average (annual) biomass, estimated current average biomass (according to the equation)
$B_{\text {MSY }} \quad$ proportion of the unexploited biomass ( $B_{o}$ )
$B_{\infty} \quad$ maximum stock size
C catch
E rate of exploitation
$f \quad$ index of effort
$f_{\text {MSY }} \quad$ estimate of fishing effort corresponding to the estimate of maximum sustainable yield (related optimum effort)

F fishing mortality
$F_{\text {maxYPR }}$ fishing mortality rate to maximize yield per recruit
$F_{\text {MSY }} \quad$ optimum fishing mortality rate
MEI morphoedaphic index
$q$ catchability
$T$ total catch
$Y_{\mathrm{E}} \quad$ yield when the stock is in equilibrium
$Y_{c^{\prime}} \quad$ total current catch
$Y_{\text {MSY }} \quad$ maximum sustainable yield
$Y_{\infty} \quad$ asymptotic yield
Z total mortality rate

## LIST OF ACRONYMS

| CAPMAS | Central Agency for Public Mobilization and Statistics |
| :--- | :--- |
| CPUE | catch per unit effort |
| FMC | Fishery Management Center |
| GAFRD | General Authority for Fishery Resources Development |
| HDLDA | High Dam Lake Development Authority |
| NIOF | National Institute for Oceanography and Fisheries |
| MSY | maximum sustainable yield |
| YPR | yield per recruit |

## BACKGROUND

The following review draws heavily from the most recent reviews of Lake Nasser and its fisheries, including van Zwieten et al. (2011), Habib et al. (2014) and Habib (2015). It is supplemented with findings from the field study described in the final technical report, Lake Nasser fisheries: Recommendations for management, including monitoring and stock assessment (Halls 2015).

## Aswan

Aswan Governorate lies in the south of Egypt close to the border with Sudan. The capital city of the governorate is Aswan, and it is divided into five districts: Aswan, Daraw, Kom Ombo, Nasr El Nuba and Edfu. According to the Central Agency for Public Mobilization and Statistics (CAPMAS), around 1.4 million people live in Aswan Governorate.

Most residents of Aswan rely on tourism as their main source of income. Aswan has been a world-famous tourist destination for at least a century, due to its history, its warm winter weather and its location as the gateway to Egypt's south. Aswan includes many visitor attractions, including the temples of Abu Simbel, Kalabsha, Philae, Kom Ombo and Edfu, in addition to the Nubia museum, the unfinished obelisk and the rock temples on the shore of Lake Nasser. There are also more modern tourist attractions, including the High Dam and Aswan botanical garden with its exotic tropical plants (www.aswan.gov.eg). Since the 2011 revolution, the tourist industry has collapsed, severely affecting the economy of the governorate and its people. Other sources of income in Aswan are agriculture and fisheries.


Figure 1. The location of Lake Nasser.

## The Aswan High Dam Reservoir and Lake Nasser

The Aswan High Dam was constructed in the 1960s, creating the second-largest artificial lake (reservoir) in Africa, second only to Volta Lake in Ghana. The High Dam lies approximately 9 kilometers (km) south (i.e. upstream) of the old dam. The High Dam is a rock-fill dam made of granite and sand, with a vertical cut-off wall consisting of impermeable clay. It is 3600 meters ( m ) long, 980 m wide at the base, 40 m wide at the crest and 111 m tall. It contains 43 million $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ of material. At maximum flow, $11,000 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ per second of water can pass through the dam. The reservoir behind the High Dam is 35 km wide at its widest point and extends about 480 km from the High Dam to the Dal Cataract in Sudan at the maximum storage level of 183 m above sea level. It covers a surface area of $5,237 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ at a 182-m water level and has a storage capacity of $150-165 \mathrm{~km}^{3}$ of water (van Zwieten et al. 2011).

The dam is in a unique situation because it lies in a desert area where yearly rainfall is less than 4 millimeters and has a very high rate of evaporation of around 3 m per year ( yr ). Before the construction of the High Dam, Egypt suffered from alternate floods and droughts, depending on the season. This meant that farmers could grow only one crop per year after each year's flood. The dam was constructed to control flooding in the Nile River and store floodwater to release during the dry season. The construction of the dam also allowed the generation of electricity. The dam created a huge water body, Lake Nasser, named after President Nasser. The lake now provides an important source of fish for Aswan and the rest of Egypt (Habib et al. 2014).

The air is very dry and the sky is almost completely cloudless. The only source of water is the River Nile with its inflow in the south. The outflow at Aswan is the continuation of the River Nile towards the north. This vast impoundment is in reality not a typical lake but rather an extremely slow-flowing river (Entz 1976). Important morphometric data of the reservoir is summarized in Table 1. The morphometric characteristics vary according to locality, being narrow and steep in some regions, while other parts are much wider and have low slopes (Habib et al. 2015).

The mean slope of the shoreline of Lake Nasser is steeper on the generally rocky or stony mountainous eastern shore than on the flatter, more open, wider, often-sandy western one.

The reservoir contains three regions: (i) the riverine southern part; (ii) the lacustrine northern part; and (iii) a region in between that has riverine conditions during the flood season and lacustrine characteristics in the remainder of the year. There are 85 dendritic inlets or side extensions of the reservoir, known as khors, which greatly increase the shoreline length (Figure 2; Table 2). Due to the prevalence of khors, the length of the eastern shoreline is almost double that of the western shoreline. The largest khors extend up to 55 km long at a lake water level of 180 m . These khors provide spawning and feeding habitat for fish and therefore are also important fishing areas. They are shallow and contain abundant phytoplankton. Water currents in the khors are limited and mostly affected by changes in lake water levels. There are clear annual fluctuations in water level, with the lowest recorded in the middle of July or August (van Zwieten et al. 2011; Habib et al. 2015 ).

| tem | Water level (above sea level) |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | 160 m | $\mathbf{1 8 0} \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Length $(\mathrm{km})$ | 291.8 | 291.8 |
| Mean width $(\mathrm{km})$ | 8.83 | 17.95 |
| Surface area $\left(\mathrm{km}^{2}\right)$ | 2.562 | 5.237 |
| Mean depth $(\mathrm{m})$ | 20.5 | 25 |
| Maximum depth $(\mathrm{m})$ | 110 | 130 |
| Volume $\left(\mathrm{km}^{3}\right)$ | 53 | 131 |
| Shoreline $(\mathrm{km})$ | 5.416 | 7.875 |

Source: Latif (1974).
Table 1. Morphometric data for Lake Nasser.

The Toshka Canal links the reservoir to the Toshka Depression. Floodwaters entered the depression in 1998 and then again in 2000, significantly expanding the original reservoir by $25 \%-30 \%$ and adding new fishing grounds.

## Water-level fluctuations

Maximum water levels in Lake Nasser have varied from around 160 m to 180 m . During the past decade, maximum water levels have
remained above 170 m , fluctuating by $1-2 \mathrm{~m} /$ yr (Figure 3). Water levels are distinguished into three categories: (i) dead water level ( $<150 \mathrm{~m}$ above mean sea level), which is the minimum level required for operating the hydroelectric power station of the High Dam; (ii) live water level ( $150-175 \mathrm{~m}$ ); and (iii) flood-control water level ( $175-183 \mathrm{~m}$ ). Further details on the hydrology, sedimentology and limnology of the lake are given in van Zweiten et al. (2011).


Lake Nasser

| West |  | East | 32. Khor Singari |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. Khor El Ramla | 11. El-Soboul | 21. Khor Manam | 33. Khor Korosko |
| 2. Dihmit (West) | 12. El-Malki | 22. Dihmit (East) | 34. Abu Handai |
| 3. Khor Kalabsha | 13. Thomas | 23. Amberkab | 35. El-Dlwan |
| 4. Mirwaw | 14. Afla | 24. Khor Rahma | 36. El-Derr |
| 5. Garf Hussein | 15. Enaeba | 25. Khor Ghazal | 37. Genina |
| 6. Kushtamno | 16. Masmas | 26. Khor Wadi Abyad | 38. Tushka (East) |
| 7. El-Daka | 17. Khor Tushka (West) | 27. Khor Mariya | 39. Armina |
| 8. Kourta | 18. Forkondl | 28. Khor El-Allaqi | 40. Abu Simbel (East) |
| 9. Sayata | 19. Abu Simbel (West) | 29. El-Mehrraka | 41. Khor Or |
| 10. El-Madiq | 20. Sallano | 30. Khor El-Sabakha | 42. Khor Adindan |

Notes: The light blue color shows the extent of the reservoir in March 1988, the lowest water level on record was reached that year in July at 150.6 m above sea level. Dark blue represents the area flooded in November 1998 when the highest water level of 181.3 m (above sea level) was reached.
Source: Created based on images provided by the United States Geological Survey (www.usgs.gov).
Figure 2. Location of khors and extent of minimum and maximum flood levels. Source: van Zweiten et al (2011).

Regional variation in the lake environment The southern region of the lake is more affected by flows from the Nile River than the northern region. Significant increases in turbidity occur in the southern part of the lake during the flood season (wet season). Nutrient-rich sediments support greater primary production in the southern region of the lake, where mean annual values of chlorophyll-a are estimated to be approximately 12 milligrams $(\mathrm{mg}) / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ compared to $8-11 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ in the northern part of the lake. Secondary production, measured in terms of zooplankton concentrations and fish catch rates
(catch per unit effort [CPUE]) are reported to exhibit similar north-south variation (Mohamed 1993b; Khalifa et al. 2000). Agaypi (1992) reported larger tilapia in the southern region of the lake compared to the northern. More rigorous statistical testing is required to confirm these regional variations in fish abundance.

## Fish fauna

The known Lake Nasser fish community comprises 52 species from 15 families (Table 3). Major flora and other fauna present in the lake are described in van Zweiten et al. (2011).


Source: HDLDA.
Figure 3. Average (solid line) and minimum and maximum (broken lines) water levels in Lake Nasser, 1964-2014.

| Serial | Name of khor | Length | Characteristics at 180-m level |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Surface | Perimeter | Volume |
|  |  | km | area $\mathrm{km}^{2}$ | km | $\mathrm{km}^{3}$ |
| 1 | Wadi El Allaqi | 54.83 | 490.8 | 510 | 11.57 |
| 2 | Khor Kalabsha | 47.20 | 620.0 | 517 | 7.16 |
| 3 | Khor Masmas | 33.35 | 266.8 | 127 | 4.41 |
| 4 | Korosko | 22.56 | 83.6 | 353 | 1.76 |
| 5 | Rahma | 23.58 | 95.2 | 232 | 2.15 |
| 6 | El-Ramla (El-Birba) | 25.72 | 101.2 | 284 | 0.96 |
| 7 | Dihmit | 20.50 | 56.8 | 226 | 1.71 |
| 8 | Mariya | 17.49 | 80.7 | 184 | 1.58 |
| 9 | Wadi-Abyad | 18.30 | 48.7 | 184 | 1.11 |
| 10 | Shaturma | 19.00 | 25.96 | 211 | 0.65 |
| 11 | El Meharraka | 8.70 | 99.25 | 53 | 0.81 |
| 12 | Or | 19.23 | 12.4 | 110 | 0.88 |
| 13 | Tushka | 15.02 | 66.9 | 117 | 1.44 |

Table 2. Dimensions of some Lake Nasser khors.

| Family | Species |
| :--- | :--- |
| Cichlidae | Tilapia zillii, Oreochromis aureus, Sarotherodon galilaeus, Oreochromis niloticus |
| Latidae | Lates niloticus |
| Alestidae | Alestes nurse, Alestes baremoze, Alestes dentex, Hydrocynus forskalii, Hydrocynus <br> vittatus, Hydrocynus brevis |
| Cyprinidae | Barbus bynni, Labeo niloticus, Labeo coubie, Labeo horie, Labeo forskalii, <br> Chelaethiops bibie, Barilius niloticus, Barilius loati, Discognathus vinciguerrae, <br> Barbus werneri, Barbus prince, Barbus neglectus, Barbus anema |
| Bagridae | Bagrus bayad, Bagrus docmac |
| Claroteidae | Chrysichthys auratus, Chrysichthys rueppelli, Clarotes laticeps, Auchenoglanis <br> biscutatus, Auchenoglanis occidentalis |
| Clariidae | Heterobranchus bidorsalis, Clarias gariepinus |
| Schilbeidae | Schilbe mystus, Schilbe uranoscopus |
| Mochokidae | Synodontis schall, Synodontis serratus, Synodontis batensoda, Synodontis <br> membranaceous, Mochocus niloticus, Chiloglanis niloticus |
| Mormyridae | Mormyrops anguilloides, Mormyrus kannume, Mormyrus cashive, Petrocephalus <br> bane, Hyperopisus bebe, Marcusenius isidori, Gnathonemus cyprinoides |
| Citharinidae | Citharinus citharus, Citharinus latus |
| Distichodontidae | Distichodus niloticus |
| Tetraodontidae | Tetraodon lineatus |
| Protopteridae | Protopterus aethiopicus |
| Polypteridae | Polypterus bichir |
| Gymnarchidae | Gymnarchus niloticus |
| Malapteruridae | Malapterurus electricus |

Notes: Barbus prince $\quad$ (This species is not found.)

Distichodus niloticus (This species is not found.)
Table 3. Fish species of Lake Nasser.

## Descriptions of the fisheries

In 2005, the estimated commercial value of the fishery (including both fresh and salted fish) was around USD 17 million (Béné et al. 2008).

## Target species and bycatch

More than 50 species of fish were identified in Lake Nasser during the first few years after its establishment. Since then, the ecosystem has undergone change, and species diversity has declined. Some species are now restricted to the southern part of the lake, while others have vanished altogether. Historically, species of tilapia (Oreochomis niloticus, Sarotherodon galilaeus, Tilapia zillii and Oreochromis aureus) have formed the bulk of the catch, comprising as much as $80 \%$ of the total catch by weight, followed by Nile perch (Lates niloticus), tigerfish (Alestes and Hydrocynus spp.) and Labeo species.

## Fishing gears

Three main kinds of fishing gear are used in the lake: bottom gill nets (kobok), floating gill nets (sakarota) and trammel nets (duk). Bottom gill nets (kobok) are used on a semi permanent basis. They are usually set in the khors but sometimes in open waters, depending on the location of the fishing camp. They are raised every second night or sometimes every night. The fishing location is changed about once every 14 days. The number of nets joined together is sometimes as high as 20 or as low as 3 . The average number of nets used is about 10. The nets may be up to $10-15 \mathrm{~m}$ deep. Their mesh size ranges from 10 to 20 centimeters $(\mathrm{cm})$. The fish caught in these nets are $L$. niloticus (Nile perch, samoos); O. niloticus (bolti); Labeo spp. (lebis); Barbus bynni (benni) and Clarias spp. (karmout).

In the past, floating gill nets (sakarota) were used only in the southern part of the lake with mesh sizes from 3 to 6 cm , but recently they have been used in both the northern and southern parts of the lake with mesh sizes from 2 to 3 cm . Their length varies from 20 to 50 m and their depth varies from 4 to 5 m . The number of nets varies from 20 to 40 for a boat. Sometimes 100 m of nets are joined together to form a single net, particularly during the flood season. Fishing occurs every night, and the catch is gutted and salted. The predominant fish caught in gill nets are Alestes spp. (raya) and Hydrocynus spp. (kalb el samak).

Trammel nets (duk) are used to catch bolti, samoos, bayad and karmout that have attained sufficient size. Most of the catch is delivered fresh. The net length is about 20 m and 1.2-1.5 m deep. The outside walls have a mesh size of $30-40 \mathrm{~cm}$ and inside walls of $8-9 \mathrm{~cm}$. The trammel net is piled up at the rear of the boat and is easily handled by one person; while another person rows the boat, the net is cast and set off against the rocky faces of the shoreline a few meters away from the shore. The boat then moves in between the shore and the net. The fisher, using a long pole, hits the surface of the water. The fishers also drum on the boat deck with their feet, sending vibrations into the water. The fish are scared into the nets and get entangled. In summer, the fishing commences after dark and continues until just before dawn, while in winter fishing starts in the early morning and continues until about 1 hour after sunset. It is confined to shallow water. This fishery is the main support for the supply of fresh fish to the governorate, to nearby governorates and to the wholesale market in Cairo.

Long-line fishing is done to a limited extent in the lake. The long lines are commonly used in deep water to catch Nile perch (samoos) and bayad in the summer season. Fry and fingerlings of bolti ( $O$. niloticus) and lebis (Labeo forskalii) are used as bait.

Trammel nets are reported to have a higher catch rate compared to floating gill nets (Habib 2015).

## Fishing operations

No fishers are permanently settled around the lake. Most come from rural areas of Sohag
and Qena governorates. Living conditions are poor, without facilities such as potable water supplies or sanitation. The catch is landed to temporary camps set up on the shore or small islands. Carrier boats purchase the catch 2-3 times per week and supply the camps with food, fuel, nets and ice. Fresh fish storage is in basic containers with a small amount of ice, so it must be collected within a few days. Salted fish is prepared and packed into containers that do not need to be collected as often, as the salting process preserves the fish (Habib et al. 2014).

## Fishing vessels

The majority of boats are not owned by fishers but rather by private owners or businesses. There are 3264 fishing boats currently in operation, most of which are wooden; some are motorized. Flat-bottomed boats are mostly employed in the northern half of the lake for trammel net fishing, while round-bottomed boats are used for floating gill net fishing in the southern part of the lake. Both are operated by crews of two or three people.

## Fishing effort

Approximately 13,000 fishers operating 3000 fishing boats are affiliated with the cooperatives (Table 4). However, the precise number of fishers operating in the lake is unknown. The number of fishers was estimated to be approximately 3000 in 1993 and 5000 in 1999. According to the General Authority for Fishery Resources Development (GAFRD 2015), the estimated current number of fishers operating on the lake is 14,230 (Habib et al. 2014).

## Fisheries organizations and fishing rights

Lake Nasser is divided into fishing zones allocated to particular fishing organizations and companies under a series of decrees. According to Decree Number 621 issued in 1981 and Decree Number 45 issued in 1985, the lake is divided between one company and four fisheries cooperative societies (Table 5; Figure 4).

The following six investment companies are also allocated rights in the lake: (i) the Egyptian Fish Marketing Company; (ii) HU Group Company; (iii) Misr-Kuwait Company; (iv) Misr Aswan Company for Fishing and Fish Processing; (v) Investor Association and Small Manufacturing; and (vi) Grand Lake Company (van Zwieten et al. 2011).

The fishing cooperatives have fishing rights to an area occupying about $60 \%$ of the total reservoir surface. The investment companies have fishing rights over the remaining area, of which $34 \%$ cover deep-water areas and the remaining $6 \%$ cover enclosures.

According to these decrees, the cooperative societies have rights to allocate fishing areas to their members and are responsible for supervising fishing activities. Fishers may only apply or re-apply for a fishing boat license if the application to the management authority (GAFRD) is accompanied by a letter confirming membership in a cooperative.

Each cooperative society operates carrier boats (Table 6) to collect catches from fishers and supply them with food, fuel, ice and equipment. The cooperative societies also appear to allocate access rights for fishers to fish within their zones.

## Fish disposal

More than 150 carrier boats collect fish from groups of fishers on a regular basis and land to Aswan, Garf Hussein or Abu Simbel harbors. The carrier boat fleet operates over the whole lake. The fish-holding capacity of these boats ranges from 3 to 65 metric tons ( t ). Refrigerated trucks are also used to collect fish. Many stakeholders claimed that, by law, fish may only be landed at these official harbors, where it is weighed by the High Dam Lake Development Authority (HDLDA). These claims are questionable, because no legislation to this effect exists.

Carrier boat owners are required to pay harbor fees upon landing (EGP 250/t) for harbor services and to cover management administration fees. Licenses to transport fish by road, valid for 12 hours only, are also issued by the management authority at the harbors.

| Name of cooperative society or company | Number of fishing boats | Number or fishers |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Misr Aswan Company | 218 | 780 |
| Aswan Sons Cooperative Society | 615 | 2,300 |
| Fishermen Cooperative Society (Mother) | 1,632 | 7,880 |
| Nubian Cooperative Society | 520 | 2,230 |
| El-Takamol Cooperative Society | 61 | 260 |
| Total | 3,046 | 13,450 |

Source: GAFRD (2015).
Table 4. Number of fishers and number of boats affiliated with each of the fishing cooperative societies.

| Zone | Location | Shoreline (km) | Exploitation rights |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| 1 | High Dam to Dihmit | 187 | Misr Aswan Company for Fishing and <br> Fish Processing |
| 2 | Dahmeet to Dihmit | 300 | Aswan Sons Cooperative |
| 3 | Mirwaw to Ebrrim | 800 | Fishermen Cooperative Society (known <br> as the Mother Cooperative) |
| 4 | Ebrrim to the Egyptian border | 370 | Nubian Cooperative Association for <br> Fishing |
| 5 | Khor Or on the east side of the <br> reservoir to the Egyptian border | 66 | El-Takamol Cooperative for Fishing |

Source: van Zweiten et al. (2011).
Table 5. Lake Nasser fishing zones.

Preservation starts on the reservoir by cooling with crushed ice in insulated boxes of $10-30 \mathrm{~kg}$ capacity. The boxes are stored in refrigerating stores belonging to two companies: Misr Aswan Company for Fishing and Fish Processing and the Egyptian Company for Fish Marketing. Large tilapia and Nile perch are filleted, while small ones are cooled and transported to local markets in Aswan and nearby governorates (van Zwieten et al. 2011).

Landings of fresh fish transported from the lake were shared between two companies: the Egyptian Fish Marketing Company and Misr Aswan Company for Fishing and Fish Processing. They own processing plants, cold stores, trucks and even several retail shops in Cairo and Alexandria. In addition to providing marketing services, they produce tilapia and Nile perch fillets (van Zwieten et al. 2011). The two companies are responsible for processing, freezing, packing and transporting fish to market.

Lake Nasser was the only lake in Egypt that was subject to compulsory pricing of fish, which began in 1979 according to several declarations (decrees) of the Minister of Food Supply.
Compulsory tilapia prices were as low as EGP $0.17 / \mathrm{kg}$ in 1979 and rose to EGP 2.6/kg in 1999.

On 14 June 2001 the Prime Minister issued several decrees to end the monopoly of the marketing companies and open the door to private marketing companies. Upon liberation of the fish trade, fish prices varied according to size grades for each species.

Now more than 20 fish traders purchase fish from the main harbors. Fishers are also permitted to sell their catch on the open market.


1. Misr Aswan Company ( 187 km shoreline)
2. Aswan Sons Cooperative Society (300 km shoreline)
3. Fishermen Cooperative Society (Mother) ( 800 km shoreline)
4. Nubian Cooperative Society (370 km shoreline)
5. El-Takamol Cooperative Society (370 km shoreline)

Source: High Dam Lake Development Authority (HDLDA)
Figure 4. Distribution of fishing areas in Lake Nasser.

| Cooperative or company | Carrier boats |
| :--- | ---: |
| Misr Aswan Company | 3 |
| Aswan Sons Cooperative | 25 |
| Mother Cooperative | 84 |
| Nubian Cooperative | 33 |
| El-Takamol Cooperative | 4 |

Table 6. Number of carrier boats operated by the fishing cooperatives, 2013.

Aswan tilapia sold in Obour market (Cairo) is mostly large-sized tilapia (more than 1 kg each). Aswan tilapia is sold in Obour market at prices lower than the landing price of tilapia in Aswan. However, the quality of Aswan tilapia in Obour market is very poor compared to the quality of the same fish for sale in Aswan fish markets. This indicates that there may be a significant unregistered trade in tilapia, allowing fish to reach the market at lower prices and with poor quality.

## Salted fish

Salting fish is a traditional method of preservation. The main species of salted fish are tigerfish and Alestes: Hydrocynus forskalii (Kalb el samak), Alestes nurse (sardina), Alestes dentex (raya) and Alestes taremoze (raya). Processing begins by first exposing fish to direct sun for about 24 hours. Large-sized fish are then gutted before being salted, while small fish are salted whole. The fish are rubbed with salt. Salt is also placed in the abdominal cavity of gutted fish and is sprinkled on the fish. These fish are then packed into containers (plastic barrels or metal tins), and a thick layer of salt is placed on top of the fish. The containers are transported to salted fish storage facilities where fish are separated by species and size and packed into separate tins for sale.

## Supporting services and infrastructure

There are harbors at Aswan, Garf Hussein and Abu Simbel to receive fish landings. Boat building and repair services are available in Aswan and Abu Simbel. These boatyards have good facilities and skills suitable for the building, repair and rebuilding of wooden fishing vessels but have little capacity for building new fiberglass or metal vessels. Facilities for repair and maintenance of inboard and outboard engines are available in Aswan and Abu Simbel.

The HDLDA constructed several facilities to support the fisheries sector, including the following:

- a fisheries research vessel;
- a fish research station at Abu Simbel;
- a floating dock for repairing fishing boats;
- ice plants at each harbor;
- fish processing factories and freezers at Aswan and Abu Simbel;
- a mill to produce aquaculture feeds from waste fish;
- three fish hatcheries at Sahary, Garf Hussein and Abu Simbel;
- two fry trucks for transportation of fry and fingerlings;
- a technical school for fishing and fish rearing.


## Fisheries dynamics (spatial and temporal patterns)

Fish landings have been reported since 1966 but their accuracy has been questioned because of the prevalence of unreported landings (van Zwieten et al. 2011). As the reservoir slowly filled, fish landings from Lake Nasser increased from 751 t in 1966 to a peak of $34,200 \mathrm{t}$ in 1981 (Figure 5). Since then, recorded landings have shown large fluctuations, but with a downward trend.

This decline in landings has been explained as the result of the imposition by the authorities of a fixed price for fresh fish, which spurred the development of a large black market. As a result, fish is smuggled outside the regular fish-marketing system and falls outside the official landing statistics. The sharp drop in the estimated fish landings in about 2000 has been attributed mainly to this black market (Béné et al. 2008).

Moreover, consumption by fishers, avoidance of taxation, poaching, and discards owing to spoilage or catch below the minimum legal size combine so that a large proportion of the catch is unreported. Khalifa et al. (2000) speculated that recorded harbor landings were about 50\% of the total catch.

In spite of these claims, there are no reliable estimates of unreported catch or changes in their proportion over time as a result of changes to policies or market arrangements. Moreover, significant variations in fishing effort and possibly lake level are also likely to have contributed to these catch variations.

## Spatial and temporal variation in catch composition

Historically, Oreochromis niloticus made up the bulk of catch (70\%), Sarotherodon galilaeus contributed about $20 \%$ and Lates niloticus made up about 6\%. Tilapia zillii and Oreochromis
aureus were also recorded to be present in the lake but at low levels, with T. zillii contributing only around $2 \%$ of the total catch. However, S. galilaeus, T. zillii and $O$. aureus are now relatively more common in the catch (see below), while species of Alestes, cyprininds and catfish are also landed in commercial quantities.

The proportion of cyprinids and catfishes decreased rapidly in the first decade after impoundment but increased in later years. At the start of the fishery, $50 \%$ of the fish was sold salted. This proportion gradually decreased to only 4\% but has increased again. Van Zweiten et al. (2011) describe temporal variation in catch composition to 2004 in more detail. The species composition of the catch has not been recorded since 2004 and therefore changes since then cannot be determined. However, consultations with stakeholders during May 2015 suggest that the abundance of $O$. niloticus has declined significantly, whereas the smaller species, $S$. galilaeus, T. zillii and O. aureus, have increased in relative abundance. Species belonging to to now be more abundant.

Khalifa et al. (2000) reported no differences in catch composition between the northern and southern regions of the lake.

## Trends in fishing effort

Fishing effort rose rapidly to about 1800 boats by the late 1970s as the reservoir filled and the fishery developed (Figure 5). During the next decade, the number of vessels fell steadily to around 1300 by 1985 . Thereafter, the number of boats has risen to more than 3000 in recent years. Van Zweiten et al. (2011) describe changes to the number of fishers up to 2004.

## Spatial and temporal variation in catch and catch rates

Mohamed (1993a; 1993b; 1993c; 1993d) examined spatial variations in catch rates between 79 locations in the lake. Mean catch rates were found to be higher (by a factor of 1.7-1.9) in the southern part of the lake compared with the northern part. A significant difference in mean monthly catch rates ( $p<0.001$ ) was found following re-analysis of the survey data during May 2015 (Figure 6).


[^0]Figure 5. Estimates of fish catch from Lake Nasser, 1970-2013.

Catch rates peak between February and April, coinciding with the spawning period for $O$. niloticus. A closed season, from the middle of April to the middle of May, was implemented until 2011 to protect these spawning aggregations following recommendations made by the Fishery Management Center (FMC). (See page 20)

## Catch rate (CPUE) trends

Long-term trends in CPUE (catches per boat) show that CPUE increased from 1966 as the reservoir filled and the fish populations grew, in spite of rapidly increasing fishing effort, until it peaked around 1980, when the carrying capacity of the lake may have been reached. After 1981, CPUE has fluctuated with—for fresh
and total catch combined-a clear downward trend corresponding to increasing fishing effort (number of boats; Figure 7). This significant stock depletion effect for fresh fish (and total fish) is evident for salted fish only until 1994, after which no trend is discernible.


Data source: Bishai et al. (2000).
Figure 6. Log $_{e}$-transformed monthly catch rates recorded in the southern and northern sectors of Lake Nasser, 1990-1991.


Data source: Unpublished data, HDLDA.
Figure 7. Trends in catch rates for the major categories of fish in Lake Nasser, 1981-2014.

## Key management institutions and stakeholders

Descriptions of the key management stakeholders and institutions and their respective roles and responsibilities are poorly documented in the literature. The General Authority for Fishery Resources Development (GAFRD) is the main management authority for the lake's fish resources and is responsible for monitoring and controlling the fishery, including the issuing of fishing licenses. The High Dam Lake Development Authority (HDLDA) was the former management authority. Responsibility for the management of the lake's resources was transferred from the HDLDA to GAFRD in May 2010. The Fishery Management Center (FMC) of the HDLDA is responsible for research and providing scientific advice, but currently has no capacity in these fields. The National Institute for Oceanography and Fisheries (NIOF) also provides scientific advice with respect to fisheries but has limited capacity in Aswan. Fishing companies and cooperatives allocate access rights to the fishery and grant fishers permission to apply for a boat license to fish in their designated zones. They also provide supporting services to fishers and purchase their catch.

The main stakeholders include the following:

- Ministry of Agriculture and Land Reclamation;
- HDLDA;
- FMC;
- GAFRD;
- NIOF;
- Aswan Governorate;
- fishing cooperatives and companies;
- investment companies;
- Cooperative Union for Aquatic Resources;
- Misr Aswan Company for Fishing and Fish Processing;
- Egyptian Fish Marketing Company;
- traders;
- fishers;
- those engaged in supporting sectors.

The exact nature of the institutional arrangements between these stakeholders appears highly complex and far from transparent. Comprehensive stakeholder and institutional analyses remain outstanding and will need to be undertaken before changes to the existing management system can be proposed. This should include a detailed description of their management roles and responsibilities.

## Management capacity

Management capacity is not examined in the literature. Relevant comments with respect to stakeholder capacity are made in the final technical report (Halls 2015).

## Fisheries policy

According to van Zweiten et al. (2011), fisheries policy in Egypt and for Lake Nasser seeks to accomplish the following:

- Increase fish production.
- Increase the contribution of Lake Nasser fisheries to the gross domestic product.
- Provide employment, particularly for young people.
- Improve the incomes and the standard of living of local fishers and their families.
- Achieve more rational and sustainable use of the natural resources of the reservoir.


## Fisheries legislation and other obligations

A review of fisheries legislation and other obligations remains outstanding. This must be completed before changes to the existing management system can be proposed.

## Management goals and operational objectives for each fishery

The literature review did not reveal any specific goals and objectives for the lake＇s fisheries． Indeed，it remains uncertain if such objectives have been formally agreed and documented． No references to specific goals or objectives， or to relevant documentation，were made by the stakeholders consulted during the study，including the current management authority（GAFRD）．An appropriate and effective management system for the lake cannot be designed or implemented until these goals and objectives are explicitly defined，approved by relevant stakeholders and documented．

## Fishery indicators and reference points

It appears that catch is the main indicator of management performance．No attempts are made to monitor other important indicators such as fishing mortality，F，or spawning stock biomass．Boat licenses or the number of active boats can provide an index of effort，$f$ ， but these appear poorly recorded judging by existing gaps in the records．Furthermore，while reference points，including $Y_{\text {MSY }} f_{\text {MSY }}$ and $F_{\text {maxPPR }}$ have been estimated for some species，as well as the aggregated multispecies assemblage，it appears they have not been formally employed as a reference to monitor management performance or to control harvesting．

## Harvest strategies and decision－ control rules

While a formal harvesting strategy for the lake＇s resources has not been explicitly documented，it would appear that a size－based harvesting strategy is employed based upon minimum mesh－and fish－size landing rules．It is assumed that this strategy seeks to prevent growth overfishing（when fish are harvested at an average size that is smaller than the size that would produce the maximum yield per recruit）．It may also seek to prevent recruitment overfishing（the rate of fishing above which recruitment to the exploitable stock becomes significantly reduced）by ensuring that fish can spawn before becoming vulnerable to the fishery．A closed season aims to further protect the spawning stock during spawning aggregations．

Unfortunately，it appears that no control rules have been set for the fishery．This probably reflects the paucity of relevant fishery indicators and reference points selected to monitor management performance and progress towards the achievement of specific objectives for the fishery．

## Management strategy and measures

The following technical measures were proposed by the FMC：

## Input controls（fishing effort restrictions）

There are no regulations that limit fishing effort on the lake，including the number of licensed boats．

## Output controls（catch limits）

There are no rules or regulations to control the amount of catch from the lake．

## Technical measures（size limits，closed seasons and closed areas）

Under Law \＃124，Issued 1983，the Ministry of Agriculture has the right to do the following：
－Set minimum gear－size and fish－landing sizes．
－Restrict the capture of certain species of fish．
－Close areas to fishing．
－Control which fishing gears may be used．
－Determine fish license type and number permitted in each zone．

The FMC recommended the following measures．Note that these are not included in fisheries legislation and therefore cannot be enforced by law：
－A closed season between 15 April and 15 May．This was introduced in 1991 to reduce the capture of mature fish（spawners）， particularly tilapia，during the spawning season．This closure has not been effectively enforced since 2011．Attempts were made to assess the effectiveness of the closed season on catch rates，but these were unsuccessful （Habib 2015）．Van Zweiten et al．（2011） question the value of this closed season without evidence of its effectiveness．
－A closed area in khor Kalabsha．This area was stocked with tilapia fingerlings．

- A minimum mesh size of $\mathbf{1 2} \mathbf{~ c m}$ for bottom gill nets and trammel nets. The aim was to prevent fishers catching small tilapia (less than 25 cm in length or body weight of less than 500 grams) to maintain the reproductive capacity of the stock and to prevent recruitment overfishing. These size restrictions appear to have been formulated on the basis of a yield-per-recruit (YPR) analysis described by Mekkawy (1998) but this cannot be confirmed.


## Stock enhancement

Following the construction of hatcheries by the HDLDA, stocking of 150 million Nile tilapia fingerlings was to take place since 1988 in four locations: Aswan, Garf Hussein, Abu Simbel and Tushka. Habib (2015) reports that the FMC released Nile tilapia fingerlings in the south part of the khor Kalabsha every year from 1988 to 1993 in varying numbers. A robust statistical assessment of the impacts of this stock enhancement is required.

## Aquaculture

The FMC researched two types of fish culture technology: net cages and fish enclosures.

Net cage culture was used on an experimental basis to test the performance of the filter-feeding exotic species silver carp (Hypophthalmichthys molitrix) in the open water of the main channel of Lake Nasser, which is rich in natural food (phytoplankton). Artificial propagation of silver carp and mass production of fingerlings was carried out at the FMC in Aswan. The FMC succeeded in applying a culture system for silver carp without artificial feeding. The main target of the experiment was to study the effect of stocking density on the growth rate of silver carp in net cages and the best location to allocate the net cages. In spite of this research, silver carp were not stocked into the lake because of concerns that it could disrupt ecosystem functioning and because market demand was weak.

Fish enclosures were developed to increase fish production without any loss of storage water from the lake. Enclosures are formed by netting off the entrances of khors from the main lake.


The first enclosure was constructed in 1979 by Misr Aswan Company inside khor El Ramla with a total area of 5000 feddans ( 2100 hectares). Ten small nurseries were also constructed, with areas ranging between 5 and 10 feddans (2.1 to 4.2 hectares). Broodstock Nile tilapia were added at a stocking ratio of 2 females to 1 male to increase the number of fry. An integrated system was used with Nile tilapia and ducks reared in the enclosure to utilize the duck manure as fertilizer to increase natural food availability (phytoplankton and zooplankton) for fish. When the water level of Lake Nasser decreased during May or June, the nets were lifted and all the fish were released into the main enclosure. Fish production at khor El Ramla was 130 t through 1979-1980 before fish enclosures were constructed but rose to 1134 t after the enclosure was built in 1981-1982. In 2004, a tender procedure took place for investment companies to submit their technical and security plans to enhance fish production in their sectors. Six companies were selected and allocated 16 enclosures with a total area of 62,000 feddans (26,000 hectares).

Fish production from the enclosures of the investment companies in Lake Nasser during 2005-2008 is shown in Table 7. These estimates of production from the enclosures are subject to bias because they include production from outside the enclosure.

Van Zweiten et al. (2011) describe other stock enhancement activities that have been explored by the lake authorities.

## Management plans

No official plans have been formulated to manage the fish resources of Lake Nasser.

## Monitoring and evaluation activities

See page 23.

## Stock assessment activities

See pages 24-34.

| Company | Enclosure | Total area (feddans) | Fish production (t) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
| The Egyptian Fish Marketing Company | El Shenyara | 22,000 | 387 | 705 | 393 | 630 | 306 | 32 |
| HU Group Company | Khor Rahma Marwaw East Khor Soliman | 5,829 | 0 | 37 | 25 | 74 | - | - |
| Misr Kuwait Company | Allaqi Khor El Sokar | 9,636 | 81 | 126 | 111 | 179 | 94 | 10 |
| Misr Aswan Company for Fishing and Fish Processing | Khor <br> Shalabia <br> Khor <br> Sengary <br> Khor El <br> Seboa Khor <br> Krosko | 2,059 | 7 | 86 | 63 | 73 | - | - |
| Investor Association and Small Manufacturing | Khor Kata Khor Gattas | 1,982 | 78 | 591 | 249 | 399 | 1,289 | 73 |
| Grand lake Company | Khor El Batikha Khor Armna Khor Tushka | 10,528 | 47 | 1,404 | 505 | 942 | 648 | 204 |
| Total |  |  | 600 | 2,949 | 1,346 | 2,297 | 2,337 | 319 |

Source: HDLDA.
Table 7. Fish production estimates from fish enclosures.

## MONITORING PROGRAMS AND SURVEYS

## Routine catch assessment surveys and frame surveys

No catch assessment or frame surveys have been undertaken on the lake. Carrier boat landings and supplies of fish transported by road to the three main harbors are weighed and enumerated by the management authority. Carrier boat records of fish weights purchased from each fisher are used to check the total landed weight. Carrier boat records also provide an estimate of the number of active vessels. Any fish not passing through the three main harbors will be unreported in the catch statistics. Until 2004, catch was recorded by species. Thereafter it has been recorded as either fresh or salted. A vessel license register is maintained. Any unlicensed vessels will not be included in the reported effort statistics (number of boats).

## Ad hoc surveys

Khalifa et al. (2000) sampled catch by species and effort by gear type from fishers landing at the major khors of Lake Nasser from 1996 to 1997. Catches of Oreochromis niloticus and

Sarotherodon galilaeus were also sampled for length and weight. Khors were sampled from four sampling strata: (i) khors El Ramla, Dahmit and Kalabsha; (ii) khors Abesco, El Allaqi and Garf Hussein; (iii) khors Korosko, El Seboa, Wadi El Arab and Tomas; and (iv) khors Aniba, Tushka and Forgondi. At least one khor in each sector was sampled during the survey. Indices of abundance (catch per boat per day and catch per fisher per day) were estimated and length frequency data was analyzed using standard procedures.

## Hydro-acoustic surveys

Several ad hoc hydro-acoustic surveys have been undertaken in specific locations on the lake during 1982, March 2006, February and July 2007, and March 2009. The surveys appeared not to have been systematic, appeared to have limited coverage of the lake, and indicated low fish densities (Habib 2015). Discussions held with former FMC staff revealed that these surveys were unsuccessful and uninformative and were therefore discontinued.

## DATA AND PARAMETER ESTIMATES

## Catch and effort data

Time series of catch and effort data have been compiled from the HDLDA (Annex 1). Where missing, effort data (number of boats) was estimated by linear interpolation.

While this is believed to be the most reliable data, considerable uncertainty surrounds the accuracy of this data and therefore the stock assessments upon which it is based. Discussions held with stakeholders suggest that undocumented adjustments to estimates of catch have been made in the past to account for under-reporting and unrecorded landings, particularly during the past 15 years. To complicate matters further, GAFRD and the HDLDA appear to collect and report (and possibly adjust) their own data sets. Improving the rigor of basic catch and effort data collection and reporting procedures will therefore be fundamental to improving the management of the lake's resources.

## Population and fishery parameters

## Growth and natural mortality

Khalifa et al. (2000) and Adam (2004) estimated von Bertalanffy growth model parameters for the tilapia species Oreochromis niloticus and Sarotherodon galilaeus from the analysis of length frequency data (Table 8). Parameters of published weight-length relationships are given in Table 9. Life history parameters for other economically important species in the lake are given in Table 17 of van Zweiten et al. (2011).

Habib (2015) compared growth rates of tilapia between 1970 and 1990 and concluded that growth rates declined during this period. This decline was most pronounced in the northern compared to the southern part of the lake. Food categories of major fish species in Lake Nasser are given in Table 10.

## Fishing mortality

The annual instantaneous fishing mortality rate, $F$, has not been estimated for any species in the lake for over a decade (Table 11). These estimates from Khalifa et al. (2000) and Adam (2004) suggest that even a decade ago, the most important species of tilapia were overexploited ( $E>0.5$ ).

Time series of estimates of the total mortality rate $Z$ for $O$. niloticus and S. galileaus for the period 1966-1994 given in Bishai et al. (2000, 338) indicate significant increases in fishing mortality ( $F$ ). During this period, $Z$ has increased for these species from approximately 0.5 to $0.8 /$ yr , and 0.3 to $0.9 / \mathrm{yr}$, respectively.

## Catchability, $\boldsymbol{q}$

Mekkawy (1998) estimated $q$ for the tilapia fishery by fitting a generalized stock production model (Fox 1975) to the catch-effort time series for O. niloticus and S. galilaeus. (See Table 162 of Bishai et al. [2000].)

## Selectivity

Selection curves for trammel nets for tilapia species (Oreochromis niloticus and Sarotherodon galilaeus) are described by Adam (1992a; 1992b; 1993). (See page 328 of Bishai et al. [2000].)

| Species | Loo (cm) | $K\left(y r^{-1}\right)$ | $t_{0}(\mathrm{y})$ | $M\left(y r^{-1}\right)$ | References |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Oreochromis niloticus | 54.7 | 0.27 | -0.75 | 0.24 | Khalifa et al. (2000) |
|  |  | 0.17-0.48 |  |  | Habib (2015) |
|  | 76.38 | 0.0875 | -0.93 |  | Mekkawy et al. (1994) |
|  | 52 | 0.275 | 0.75 |  | Agaypi (1992) |
| Sarotherodon galilaeus | 37.8 | 0.29 | 1.20 |  | Khalifa et al. (2000) |
|  |  | 0.36 |  |  | Habib (2015) |
|  | 42 | 0.12 | 4.17 | 0.36 | Adam (2004) |

Table 8. Estimates of von Bertalanffy growth function parameters for species inhabiting Lake Nasser.

## Stock-recruitment relationships

Mekkawy (1998) described stock re-recruitment relationships for Oreochromis niloticus and Sarotherodon galilaeus derived from virtual population analysis. Model fits and parameter estimates are given on pages 374-76 of Bishai et al. (2000).

## Potential yield estimates

Lake Nasser is reported to have been in a eutrophic state since 1978 (Bishai et al. 2000). During the past three decades, several researchers have estimated the potential yield of fish from Lake Nasser (Table 12). Estimates of potential yield have ranged from $11,000 \mathrm{t} /$ yr to $46,000 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{yr}$, but all are subject to potential bias resulting from equilibrium assumptions, violation of regression model assumptions, failure to account for the effects of fishing effort, or model assumptions.

Ryder and Henderson (1975) estimated the potential yield on the basis of morphoedaphic index (MEI) as lying between 16,000 and 19,000 $t$ using a modified version of the model for tropical lakes (Gulland 1971; Regier et al. 1971). However, the MEl is considered to be a poor predictor of potential yield (Crul 1992).

Habib and Aruga (1987) estimated potential yield of tilapia to range from approximately $23,000 \mathrm{t}$ to $46,000 \mathrm{t}$ based upon estimated
rates of primary production in the lake and trophic conversion efficiency rates of tilapia. The midpoint ( $34,500 \mathrm{t}$ ) corresponds to the estimated maximum yield recorded in 1981 (Habib et al. 2014). The estimation method assumed that tilapia occupy approximately $10 \%$ of the lake area and approximately $10 \%$ of tilapia production is exploited by the fishery.

JICA (1989) estimated the potential yield (asymptotic yield, $Y_{\infty}$ ) of the lake by fitting a logistic curve to estimates of annual catch. Potential yield was estimated to be approximately $0.5 Y_{\infty}(40,000-45,000 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{yr}$ ). This method assumes equilibrium conditions (i.e. observed catches are sustainable), and no account was taken of fishing effort corresponding to the catch observations.

Vanden-Bossche and Bernacecek (1991) quoted other estimates, notably by Sadek (1984), who suggested a potential yield of $30,000 \mathrm{t}$ (equivalent to $67 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}$ ), and Entz (1984), who estimated potential yield at $35,000 \mathrm{t}$ (equivalent to $78 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}$ ).

Yamaguchi et al. (1990) developed a statistical (multiple linear regression) model to predict fish yield using shoreline length in previous years as explanatory variables but no account was given to variation in fishing effort. Van Zweiten et al. (2011) dismissed the validity of this model.

| Species | $a$ | B | References |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Oreochromis niloticus | $5.88844 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 2.94 | Adam (1996) |
|  | 0.02402702 | 2.97 | Abdel-Azim (1974) |
|  | 0.03190803 | 2.87 | Abdel-Azim (1974) |
|  | 0.02045973 | 3.02 | Abdel-Azim (1974) |
|  | 0.00165 | 2.6 | Agaypi (1992) |
|  | 5.8304E-05 | 2.94 | Adam (1994) |
|  | 0.02466 | 2.93 | Mekkawy et al. (1994) |
|  | 0.0736 | 2.84 | SECSF (1996) |
| Sarotherodon galilaeus | 0.016221836 | 3.12 | Abdel-Azim (1974) |
|  | 0.00165 | 2.6 | Agaypi (1992) |
|  | 0.000161102 | 2.78 | Adam (1994) |
|  | 0.03145 | 2.9 | Mekkawy et al. (1994) |
|  | 0.002534 | 2.5 | SECSF (1996) |

Table 9. Estimates of length-weight relationships ( $\mathrm{W}=\mathrm{aL}^{\mathrm{b}}$ ).

|  | Phytoplanktivores |  |  | Zooplanktivores <br> Zooplankton | Bethivores |  |  | Piscivores |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Periphyton | Diatoms | Filamentous algae |  | Mollusks | Nematodes and annelids | Insect larvae | Shrimp | Crab | Fish |
| Lates niloticus |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | X | X | X |
| Bagrus docmac |  |  |  |  |  | X | X | X |  | X |
| Hydrocynus forskahlii |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | X |  | X |
| Synodontis spp |  |  |  |  | X | X | X |  |  |  |
| Schilbe mystus |  |  |  |  | X |  | X | X |  | X |
| Mormyridae |  |  |  |  |  | X | X |  |  |  |
| Labeo spp |  |  |  |  | X | X | X |  |  |  |
| Alestes nurse, <br> A. baremoze |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oreochromis niloticus, Sarotherodon galilaeus | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chrysichtys auratus, Chrysichthys rueppelli |  | X | X |  |  | X | X | X |  |  |

Source: van Zweiten et al. (2011).
Table 10. Food categories of major fish species in Lake Nasser.

## DATA AND PARAMETER ESTIMATES

|  | Species | Reference | L (cm) | K | (years) | Z | M | F | T/L (years/ cm) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{L} \\ & (\mathrm{~cm}) \end{aligned}$ | E=F/Z | Phi prime | Reference | Phi prime | Resilience | Population doubling time (years) | Vulnerability to extinction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L. nibticus | 1 | 180 | 0.069 | 0.79 | 0.35 | 0.17 | 0.18 | 0.74 | 18 | 0.5 | 3.35 | 3 | 3.76 | Medium | 5.4 | Very high (67.8) |
|  | T.zillii | 1 | 26.49 | 0.325 | 2.346 | 1.37 | 0.79 | 0.58 | 1.47 | 17.6 | 0.4 | 2.36 | 3 | 2.74 | Medium | 1.6 | Low, moderate (30.61) |
|  | A. dentex | 1 | 40.022 | 0.322 | 1.205 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 1.35 | 20.3 | 0.1 | 2.71 | 3 | 2.68 | Medium | 2.68 | Moderate (36.63) |
|  | O. niloticus | 1 | 50.39 | 0.16 | 2.569 | 0.73 | 0.42 | 0.31 | 0.9 | 21.45 | 0.4 | 2.61 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | O. niloticus | 2 | 54.73 | 0.27 | -0.745 | 1.21 | 0.24 | 0.97 | na | 19 | 0.8 | 2.91 | 3 | 3.06 | Medium | 1.6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Moderate } \\ & \text { (35.42) } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | S. galilaeus | 1 | 42.75 | 0.12 | 4.17 | 0.83 | 0.36 | 0.47 | 0.8 | 19 | 0.6 | 2.34 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S. galilaeus | 2 | 37.8 | 0.294 | -1.187 | 1.97 | 0.34 | 1.63 | na | 17 | 0.8 | 2.62 | 3 | 2.66 | Medium | 1.6 | Low, moderate (30.24) |

Source: van Zweiten et al. (2011).
Table 11. Estimates of fishing mortality $(\boldsymbol{F})$ and rates of exploitation $(\boldsymbol{E})$ derived from growth parameter estimates and length-converted catch curves.

According to the FMC, the total annual potential fish catch in the lake is between $24,000 \mathrm{t}$ (at a water level of 164 m above sea level) and 30,000 t (at a water level of 172 m above sea level; Habib et al. 2014). It is understood that this estimate is derived from historical observations of catch. The method therefore assumes that the catch observations were at equilibrium (sustainable). No attempt was made to account for the effects of fishing effort.

Estimates of target and limit reference points (MSY, $F_{\text {MSY }} F_{0.1}$, etc.)
Mekkawy (1998) described the linear response of catch (biomass) to fishing effort measured in terms of boats for $O$. niloticus and S. galilaeus. Fishing effort explained approximately $30 \%$ $40 \%$ of the variation in fish catch. Mekkawy (1998) estimated the average maximum sustainable yield (MSY) by different methods described below.


## Maximum sustainable yield ( $Y_{\text {MSY }}$ ) - Cadima estimator

Mekkawy (1998) used the method of Cadima (Garcia et al. 1989) to estimate maximum sustainable yield ( $Y_{\text {MSY }}$ ) for $O$. niloticus and S. galilaeus. The model is often applied to developing or developed fisheries where catch and effort time series are not yet available, but biomass estimates are occasionally obtained from, for instance, trawl or acoustic surveys. Cadima's model is a generalized version of Gulland's potential yield estimator for exploited fish stocks for which only limited stock assessment data is available:
$Y_{\text {MSY }}=0.5 Z B_{C}$
where $B_{c}$ is the current average (annual) biomass and $Z$ is the total mortality rate. Since $Z$ $=F+M$ and $Y_{c}=F B_{c^{\prime}}$ the author suggested that in the absence of data on $Z$, the equation could be rewritten as
$Y_{M S Y}=0.5\left(Y_{C}+M B_{C}\right)$
where $Y_{c^{\prime}}$ is the total current catch and $B_{c}$ is the estimated current average biomass.

Using Cadima's model, Bishai et al. (2000) present estimates of MSY for O. niloticus, S. galilaeus and L. niloticus by year in their Table 152. Estimates of MSY in 1992 for these three species were approximately $61,000 \mathrm{t}, 26,100$ t and 7000 t respectively. Combined, the MSY would be in the order of $94,000 \mathrm{t}$.

Garcia et al. (1989) show that Cadima's model gives unbiased estimates only when the stock is unfished or happens to be fished at MSY at the time of the survey for biomass estimates. At any other level of exploitation, Cadima's estimator will be biased if it is assumed that the stock responds according to a surplus production model. The model tends to overestimate MSY when $F<M$ and underestimate MSY when $F$ $>M$ and does not provide an estimate of the fishing effort or mortality to achieve MSY.

Fishing mortality to maximize YPR (e.g. $F_{\text {maxYPR }}$ or $F_{0.1}$ )
Mekkawy (1998) estimated the annual instantaneous fishing mortality rate to maximize YPR (e.g. $F_{\text {maxYPR }}$ ) and to $B_{\text {MSY }}$ as a proportion of the unexploited biomass $\left(B_{0}\right)$ for
both $O$. niloticus and $S$. galilaeus, corresponding to an age at first capture ranging between 1 and 3 years, length at first capture, and netmesh size (Bishai et al. 2000, 396-98). The results suggest that YPR would be maximized under the patterns of exploitation shown in Table 13.

Unfortunately, the author did not attempt to estimate limit reference points to avoid recruitment overfishing (e.g. $F_{20 \% \text { SPR }}$ ) and therefore no account of the sustainability of these fishing strategies was taken.

YPR analyses assume that recruitment will remain constant. In practice, one of the greatest sources of uncertainty in fisheries management is the very high variability in the recruitment of fish to the stock. Including a stock-recruitment relationship in an analytical YPR changes its predictions dramatically. While YPR often rises asymptotically with increasing $F$, (as was found by Mekkawy [1998] for O. niloticus for a $t_{c}=3$ years), a YPR model including a stockrecruitment relationship behaves more like a surplus production model. (See Hoggarth et al. [2006], 49).

## Surplus production model estimates

Mekkawy (1998) fitted Schaefer's surplus production model to catch and effort data for individual khors using data for different periods of the available time series to estimate $Y_{\text {MSY }}$ for the multispecies assemblage (per month per fishing area). Summing estimates across the khors, the MSY of the lake was estimated to be approximately $59,700 \mathrm{t}$. Data for three khor fishing areas was omitted from the analysis since no decline in CPUE with effort was observed in these areas.

The approach used to fit the models unrealistically assumes that the observed catches represent equilibrium values-i.e. that observed catches are sustainable at the observed fishing efforts. Alternative biomass dynamics models do not make these equilibrium assumptions.

Mekkawy (1998) also fitted a power function to the complete time series of catch and effort data (1966-1992):

Catch $(C)=0.148928 f^{1.5975378}$

| Fishery or <br> resource | Potential yield <br> (t/yr) | Method | References | Comments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Multispecies <br> assemblage | $24,000-30,000$ | Observations of <br> historic catch by <br> the FMC | Habib et al. <br> (2014) | Equilibrium assumptions <br> (observed catch in year y is <br> sustainable). No account of <br> fishing effort. |
| Multispecies <br> assemblage | $?$ | Statistical <br> (regression) <br> model with <br> shoreline length <br> as explanatory <br> (and dependent) <br> variable | Yamaguchi et <br> al. (1996) | The regression model <br> predicts catch as a <br> function of shoreline <br> length (not potential |
| yield) but regression |  |  |  |  |
| model assumptions are |  |  |  |  |
| violated and model result |  |  |  |  |
| is theoretically unexpected. |  |  |  |  |
| No account is taken of |  |  |  |  |
| changes in fishing effort. |  |  |  |  |$|$| (1984) |
| :--- |

Table 12. Summary of potential yield estimates for Lake Nasser.

| Species | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YPR }_{\text {max }} \\ & \text { (kg/recruit) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & F_{\text {maxyPR }}^{\left(\mathrm{yr}^{-1}\right)} \end{aligned}$ | Age at first capture, $\boldsymbol{t}_{c}$ (years) | Length at first capture, $I_{c}$ (cm) | Mesh size (cm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O. niloticus | 0.025 | 32 | 3 | 22.2 | 11.52 |
| S. galilaeus | 0.17 | 0.15 | 2.5 | 15.6 | 7.7 |

Table 13. Selected results of the YPR analysis of Mekkawy (1998).

The power function model has no maximum, and therefore, MSY and the corresponding fishing effort $F_{\text {MSY }}$ cannot be determined.

Mekkawy (1998) observed increasing CPUE with effort during the period of initial lake formation (1966-1972). This may reflect the rapid growth of fish populations in response to the increasing carrying capacity of the lake as the reservoir filled and nutrient levels rose. A similar phenomenon was observed in response to the introduction of Nile perch to Lake Victoria in East Africa. Here the perch population grew to the lake's carrying capacity approximately 30 years after its introduction.

Mekkawy (1998) also fitted other models to different periods of the catch and effort time series for the multispecies assemblage, including a hyperbolic form with no maximum catch value. Separate Schaefer models were also fitted to the two main species of tilapia and the multispecies assemblage using data for the period 1973-1992. $Y_{\text {MSY }}$ for the entire lake assemblage was estimated to be approximately $62,000 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{yr}$ (Table 14). Estimates of fishing effort $\left(f_{\text {MSY }}\right)$ corresponding to the estimates of $Y_{\text {MSY }}$ vary from 1300 to about 9000 boats.

Mekkawy (1998) also fitted a Graham curve to the catch-effort time series for $O$. niloticus and $S$. galilaeus:
$Y_{\mathrm{E}} / B=k-\left(k / B_{\infty}\right)^{*} B$
where $Y_{\mathrm{E}}$ is the yield when the stock is in equilibrium, $B$ is the mean stock biomass, $B_{\infty}$ is the maximum stock size and $k$ is constant. The estimate of $Y_{\text {MSY }}$ for the two tilapia species combined was approximately $54,000 \mathrm{t}$ (Table 14). Since the average tilapiine catch of the years 1991-1992 represented 93.5\% of the total catch, the $Y_{\text {MSY }}$ for the entire lake assemblage was estimated to be approximately 58,000 t (Mekkawy 1998).

To address the equilibrium assumptions of the methods described above, Mekkawy (1998) also fitted a generalized stock production model (Fox 1975) to the catch-effort time series for $O$. niloticus and S. galilaeus. As well as estimates of MSY and $f_{\text {MSY }}$ (Table 14), this exercise also generated estimates of catchability $(q)$ for the tilapia fisheries. (See Table 162 of Bishai et al.
[2000].) Catchability gives the proportion of the stock removed by one unit of fishing effort. For the last model year examined (1991 and 1992), $q$ ranged from 0.00035 to 0.00043 . These values are approximately twice as high as those estimated using the available data compiled for this report.

## Accounting for variable lake area

To account for variation in the size of the lake, Mekkawy (1998) fitted a Schaefer model to the catch-effort observations with an additional term $\left(A_{(i)}\right)$ describing the average area of the lake during the preceding 6-year period to the catch rate observation in year $i$ :
$Y_{(\mathrm{i})} / f_{(\mathrm{i})}^{*} A_{(\mathrm{i})}=a+b f_{(\mathrm{i})}$
This version of the model estimated an MSY of approximately $57,500 \mathrm{t}$ corresponding to 1313 boats. This estimate of optimal effort is less than half of the estimate of the current number of boats (approximately 3000) fishing the lake.

## Estimation of MSY using age-based Thompson and Bell model

Mekkawy (1998) also calculated the yield, value of yield and biomass of $O$. niloticus and S. galilaeus using an age-based Thompson and Bell model (Sparre et al. 1992). No details of the intermediate parameters underlying this assessment are provided by Bishai et al. (2000).

## Environmental considerations and effects

## Water levels

It has been hypothesized that fish production in Lake Nasser is influenced by water level through its effect on spawning and feeding habitat availability (Habib et al. 2014; Figure 8). Variation in lake level in the preceding year explained approximately $50 \%$ of the variation in the annual recruitment of $O$. niloticus and $S$. galilaeus (Mekkawy 1998). Habib (2015) gives details of the linear regression models used to describe the recruitment variation.

| Fishery or resource | Reference points | Estimates | Method | References | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Multispecies assemblage | MSY | 59,700 t | Schaefer model: Equilibrium fitting method; models fitted to individual khors before summing MSY estimates (Data: 1988-1994) | Mekkawy (1998) | Equilibrium assumptions. No account of lake-level fluctuations. |
| Multispecies assemblage | MSY | 62,000 t | Schaefer model: Equilibrium fitting method; model fitted to observations for the whole lake (Years included: ?) | Mekkawy (1998) | Equilibrium assumptions. No account of lake-level fluctuations. |
| Multispecies assemblage | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{MSY} ; \\ & f_{\mathrm{MSY}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 57,500 \mathrm{t} ; \\ & 1,313 \text { boats } \end{aligned}$ | Schaefer model with additional area term (A): Equilibrium fitting method; model fitted to observations for the whole lake (Years included:?) | Mekkawy (1998) | Equilibrium assumptions. Area term accounts for variation in spawning habitat area (recruitment) with lake area. |
| O. niloticus and S. galilaeus | MSY | 54,000 t | Graham curve | Mekkawy (1998) | Equilibrium assumptions. No account of lake-level fluctuations. |
| Multispecies assemblage | MSY | 58,000 t | Graham curve | Mekkawy (1998) | Equilibrium assumptions. No account of lake-level fluctuations. Assumes tilapiine species form $93 \%$ of total yield of lake assemblage. |
| O. niloticus and S. galilaeus | MSY; <br> $f_{\text {wsy }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55,935 \mathrm{t} ; \\ & 9,037 \text { boats } \end{aligned}$ | Schaefer model: Equilibrium fitting method | Mekkawy (1998) | Equilibrium assumptions. No account of lake-level fluctuations. |
| O. niloticus | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MSY; } \\ & f_{\text {MSV }} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 32,342 \mathrm{t} ; \\ & 9,037 \text { boats } \end{aligned}$ | Schaefer model: Equilibrium fitting method | Mekkawy (1998) | Equilibrium assumptions. No account of lake-level fluctuations. |
| S. galilaeus | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MSY; } \\ & f_{\text {MSV }} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 23,592 \mathrm{t} ; \\ & 903 \text { boats } \end{aligned}$ | Schaefer model: Equilibrium fitting method | Mekkawy (1998) | Equilibrium assumptions. No account of lake-level fluctuations. |
| Hydrocynus species | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{MSY} ; \\ & f_{\text {MSY }} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 3,364 \mathrm{t} ; \\ & 2,636 \text { boats } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Schaefer model: Equilibrium fitting method | Mekkawy (1998) | Equilibrium assumptions. No account of lake-level fluctuations. |
| Lates niloticus | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{MSY} \\ & \mathrm{f}_{\text {MSY }} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,490 \mathrm{t} ; \\ & 1,061 \text { boats } \end{aligned}$ | Schaefer model: Equilibrium fitting method | Mekkawy (1998) | Equilibrium assumptions. No account of lake-level fluctuations. |
| Labeo spp. | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{MSY} ; \\ & \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{MSY}} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 640 t ; 988 boats | Schaefer model: Equilibrium fitting method | Mekkawy (1998) | Equilibrium assumptions. No account of lake-level fluctuations. |
| Bagrus spp. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MSY; } \\ & f_{\text {MSV }} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 109 t ; 991 boats | Schaefer model: Equilibrium fitting method | Mekkawy (1998) | Equilibrium assumptions. No account of lake-level fluctuations. |
| Clarias spp. |  | $\begin{aligned} & 107 \mathrm{t} ; \\ & 1,009 \text { boats } \end{aligned}$ | Schaefer model: Equilibrium fitting method | Mekkawy (1998) | Equilibrium assumptions. No account of lake-level fluctuations. |
| O. niloticus | MSY | 25,337 t | Generalized stock production model: Asymptotic | Mekkawy (1998) | No account of lake-level fluctuations. |
| O. niloticus | MSY | 15,614 t | Generalized stock production model: Gompertz | Mekkawy (1998) | No account of lake-level fluctuations. |
| O. niloticus | MSY | 15,348 t | Generalized stock production model: Logistic | Mekkawy (1998) | No account of lake-level fluctuations. |
| S. galilaeus | MSY | 32,970 t | Generalized stock production model: Asymptotic | Mekkawy (1998) | No account of lake-level fluctuations. |
| S. galilaeus | MSY | 16,543 t | Generalized stock production model: Gompertz | Mekkawy (1998) | No account of lake-level fluctuations. |
| S. galilaeus | MSY | 13,658 t | Generalized stock production model: Logistic | Mekkawy (1998) | No account of lake-level fluctuations. |
| Multispecies assemblage | MSY | 62,360 t | Generalized stock production model: Asymptotic | Mekkawy (1998) | No account of lake-level fluctuations. <br> Assumes tilapiine species form $93 \%$ of total yield of lake assemblage. |
| O. niloticus | MSY | 30,127 t | Thompson and Bell model | Mekkawy (1998) | Few details available to assess robustness of assessment. |
| S. galilaeus | MSY | 17,692 t | Thompson and Bell model | Mekkawy (1998) | Few details available to assess robustness of assessment. |

Table 14. Summary of estimates of target and limit reference points for Lake Nasser.

Van Zweiten et al. (2011) detected a significant effect of water level on tilapia production 2 years later, explaining about $20 \%$ of the variation in tilapia landings. However, these workers dismissed the earlier findings of Yamaguchi et al. (1996), who reported a
significant multiple regression equation for prediction catch from the lake as a function of water levels in year $\mathrm{y}-1$ and year $\mathrm{y}-3$. (See Bishai et al. [2000], 389). No explanations were provided for why water levels in the year y-2 were not significant in determining yields.


Figure 8. Time series of reported catch from Lake Nasser and water level and shoreline length estimated using the multiple linear regression model of Yamaguchi et al. (1996).
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| Year | Fresh catch <br> (t) | Salted catch <br> (t) | Total catch <br> (t) | Boats | Average water level (m) | Shoreline (km) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1964 |  |  |  |  | 116.37 | 1,302 |
| 1965 |  |  |  |  | 124.2 | 2,081 |
| 1966 | 347 | 404 | 751 | 200 | 130.17 | 2,390 |
| 1967 | 782 | 633 | 1,415 | 350 | 142.28 | 2,591 |
| 1968 | 1,152 | 1,510 | 2,662 | 500 | 150.92 | 2,666 |
| 1969 | 2,802 | 1,868 | 4,670 | 599 | 155.68 | 2,779 |
| 1970 | 3,370 | 2,306 | 5,676 | 816 | 159.34 | 2,932 |
| 1971 | 4,316 | 2,503 | 6,819 | 1,039 | 163.64 | 3,209 |
| 1972 | 5,303 | 3,040 | 8,343 | 1,135 | 163.76 | 3,218 |
| 1973 | 8,027 | 2,560 | 10,587 | 1,440 | 162.17 | 3,101 |
| 1974 | 8,030 | 4,225 | 12,255 | 1,540 | 165.32 | 3,352 |
| 1975 | 10,384 | 4,251 | 14,635 | 1,630 | 170.38 | 3,921 |
| 1976 | 10,979 | 4,862 | 15,841 | 1,680 | 173.98 | 4,472 |
| 1977 | 12,279 | 6,192 | 18,471 | 1,690 | 174.45 | 4,554 |
| 1978 | 17,852 | 4,873 | 22,725 | 1,700 | 175 | 4,653 |
| 1979 | 22,649 | 4,372 | 27,021 | 1,613 | 174.49 | 4,561 |
| 1980 | 26,344 | 3,872 | 30,216 | 1,570 | 173.7 | 4,425 |
| 1981 | 31,295 | 2,911 | 34,206 | 1,500 | 173.54 | 4,398 |
| 1982 | 25,979 | 2,688 | 28,667 | 1,450 | 171.4 | 4,064 |
| 1983 | 28,825 | 2,397 | 31,222 | 1,388 | 167.75 | 3,597 |
| 1984 | 22,069 | 2,465 | 24,534 | 1,385 | 166.19 | 3,434 |
| 1985 | 24,975 | 1,475 | 26,450 | 1,203 | 160.25 | 2,981 |
| 1986 | 15,023 | 1,292 | 16,315 | 1,379 | 160.37 | 2,987 |
| 1987 | 15,287 | 1,528 | 16,815 | 1,325 | 157.85 | 2,861 |
| 1988 | 14,579 | 1,309 | 15,888 | 1,349 | 159.72 | 2,952 |
| 1989 | 14,031 | 1,619 | 15,650 | 1,349 | 166.91 | 3,507 |
| 1990 | 20,129 | 1,753 | 21,882 | 1,915 | 166.38 | 3,453 |
| 1991 | 29,642 | 1,196 | 30,838 | 1,927 | 165.79 | 3,395 |
| 1992 | 24,721 | 1,498 | 26,219 | 1,956 | 167.29 | 3,547 |
| 1993 | 16,723 | 1,208 | 17,931 | 1,856 | 170.78 | 3,976 |
| 1994 | 20,491 | 1,583 | 22,074 | 2,524 | 173.39 | 4,373 |
| 1995 | 19,693 | 2,365 | 22,058 | 2,824 | 174.62 | 4,584 |
| 1996 | 18,159 | 2,381 | 20,540 | 2,834 | 175.41 | 4,729 |


| Year | Fresh catch <br> $\mathbf{( t )}$ | Salted catch <br> $(\mathbf{t})$ | Total catch <br> $\mathbf{( t )}$ | Boats | Average water level <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | Shoreline <br> $(\mathbf{k m})$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1997 | 16,546 | 3,957 | 20,503 | 2,792 | 176.96 | 5,034 |
| 1998 | 15,013 | 4,190 | 19,203 | 2,200 | 177.98 | 5,250 |
| 1999 | 9,876 | 4,107 | 13,983 | 2,200 | 178.63 | 5,394 |
| 2000 | 3,908 | 4,373 | 8,281 |  | 178.23 | 5,305 |
| 2001 | 7,556 | 4,608 | 12,164 |  | 178.26 | 5,311 |
| 2002 | 18,513 | 3,580 | 22,093 | 2,662 | 176.41 | 4,923 |
| 2003 | 12,734 | 4,295 | 17,029 |  | 174.96 | 4,646 |
| 2004 | 8,070 | 4,364 | 12,434 |  | 173.63 | 4,413 |
| 2005 | 11,015 | 4,270 | 15,285 | 2,880 | 173 | 4,309 |
| 2006 | 12,384 | 6,714 | 19,098 | 2,950 | 174.39 | 4,544 |
| 2007 | 7,918 | 5,838 | 13,756 | 2,927 | 176.18 | 4,877 |
| 2008 | 17,691 | 6,011 | 23,702 | 2,974 | 177.98 | 5,250 |
| 2009 | 14,620 | 4,170 | 18,790 | 3,030 | 177.81 | 5,213 |
| 2010 | 12,488 | 3,928 | 16,416 |  | 172.77 | 4,272 |
| 2011 | 13,167 | 3,533 | 16,700 |  | 172.95 | 4,301 |
| 2012 | 13,035 | 3,315 | 16,350 |  | 173.14 | 4,332 |
| 2013 | 11,219 | 3,548 | 14,767 | 3,046 |  | 173.87 |
| 2014 | 14,137 | 5,426 | 19,563 |  | 176.69 | 4,453 |
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[^0]:    Landings of salted fish are indicated by the difference between the total catch and the catch of fresh fish. Salted fish are expressed as fresh weight animal equivalents. The number of licensed boats each year is also shown. Data source: HDLDA (unpublished) landing data.

[^1]:    Source: HDLDA.

