Effect of Demonstration in Transferring Fish Processing Technology

R. THIAGARAJAN and M. K. KANDORAN Central Institute of Fisheries Technology, Cochin - 682 029

A group of 28 fisherwomen who attended demonstration on three subjects, namely, preparation of fish wafers, fish pickles and fish soup powder showed significant knowledge and skill gain for all the three messages. The total knowledge and skill gain was maximum for preparation of fish wafers followed by that for preparation of fish soup powder and fish pickles.

Among the communicational and educational techniques, 'demonstration' are supposed to be the oldest, best and simplest tool for transmitting technologies. Marks (1955) stated that most people learnt about 10 to 15% from reading, 20 to 25% from hearing, 30 to 35% from seeing and 50% and more from seeing and hearing together. This study was conducted to find out the effect of demonstration in teaching the methods of production of processed fish products.

Materials and Methods

An experimental group of 28 fisherwomen was selected from the Vypeen block of Ernakulam district. Demonstrations were conducted on three fish processing methods, namely, preparation of fish wafers, fish pickles and fish soup powder. The knowledge gained was measured by well structured knowledge check list. The knowledge checklist for the preparation of fish wafers, fish pickles and fish soup powder had 5, 11 and 12 questions respectively. Each correct answer was given score 'one' incorrect answer was given score 'zero'.

The operational skill of the respondent was measured by adopting the procedure followed by Sundararajan (1985). The response indicating the correct performance of a particular skill was given score 'one' while the incorrect response received score 'zero'. The skill involved in the methods of preparation of fish wafers, fish pickles and fish soup powder had 6, 10 and 6 steps respectively arranged in sequential order. The scoring technique for the socio-economic variables was followed as described below.

Age refers to the chronological age of the respondent. The completed years of age was taken for analysis. Educational status was operationalised as the extent to which the respondent had formal education. Scores allotted are as follows:

Level of education	Score
Illiterate	0
Primary school	1
Middle school	2
High school	3
Pre degree	4
University degree	5

The size of the family refers to the number of individuals living together in a household, employment status of the family refers to the number of family members having regular and seasonal employment and annual income refers to the net income for a year of the family members which included income from all sources.

Contact with extension agency was operationalised as the nature of contact with extension agency availed by the fisherwomen and it was scored as follows.

Type of extension contact	Score
Informal	1
Mass media	2
Institutional	3

Vol. 25, 1988

The knowledge and skill gain of the clients were calculated as follows:

Knowledge gain	Post exposure know- ledge score – pre- exposure knowledge score
Skill gain	Post exposure skill score – pre-exposure skill score

The pre-exposure knowledge and skill scores were considered as zero because the fisherwomen did not have the exposure to the preparation of fish wafers, fish pickles and fish soup powder.

The knowledge and skill scores of the respondents were converted to percentage for statistical analysis. The paired 't' test, analysis of variance, correlation and multiple regression were used for analysis of the data.

Results and Discussion

The mean values of scores obtained for knowledge, skill and total knowledge and skill gains at immediate post exposure stage

are presented in Table 1. From Table 1 it could be seen that the knowledge, skill and total knowledge and skill gain were maximum for preparation of fish wafers. The method of preparation of fish wafers was simple and hence the knowledge, skill and total knowledge and skill gain were maximum for this product compared with the other The ingredients involved two products. in the preparation of pickles were familiar to fisherwomen. So a better knowledge gain for fish pickles was noted than for fish soup powder. The preparation of fish soup powder was simple compared to fish pickles and resulted in more skill gain for soup powder.

To find out the effect of demonstration on knowledge gain for the preparation of fish wafers, fish pickles and fish soup powder, the analysis of variance was worked out and presented in Table 2. The significant 'F' value shows that the knowledge gain for three different subjects differed significantly.

From the critical difference presented in Table 3 it could be seen that the knowledge gain for fish wafers and fish pickles was on par whereas the knowledge gain for fish

Table 1. Knowledge, skill and total knowledge and skill gains after the demonstration

Subject	Mear Knowled	n gain ge Skill	Overall knowledg and skill	ge Know- ledge	Skill	Overall knowledge and skill
Fish wafers Fish soup powder Fish pickles	97.8571 88.3932 94.9057	92.2611 76.5264 57.8571	94.8057 84.0032 77.21	82.18** 29.66** 74.38**	32.24** 26.70** 73.27**	50.02** 32.81** 97.95**
** significant at	1% level					
Table 2. Analysis	of variance					
Source D	f	SS		MSS		F
Subjects 2 Error 81 Total 83	2	1306.0 9012.0 10319.1	54 58 32	653.32 111.267	76	5.8716**
** significant at 1	/					

FISHERY TECHNOLOGY

Subjects	Mean	Critical difference	The and the find the	alysis of va	riance was	s worked out
Fish wafers	97.8571	5.5255	gain in d	ifferent me	ssages and	the same is
Fish pickles	94.8057		presented	in Table	4. The	'F' value in
Fish soup powder	88.3932	5.5255	Table 4 s women c	hows that liffered sig	the skill g nificantly.	ain of fisher-
Table 4. Analys	is variance					
Source	Df	SS		MS		F
Subjects	2	16611.02		8305.51		53.799**
Error	81	12505.45		1154.38		
Total	83	29116.45				
**significant at 1	% level		······································			
The critical dif shows that the s	ference presente kill gain in the	ed in Table 5 e production	Table 5.	Mean valu different su	es of skill bjects	gain for
soup powder an	d fish pickles.		Subje	ects	Mean	Critical difference
The analysis of relative effect of (knowledge and messages is pr	of variance to demonstration skill) for the th esented in Ta	find out the in total gain aree different able 6. The	Fish wafe Fish soup Fish pick	powder les	92.2611 76.5264 57.8571	6.5 6.5
Table 6. Analy.	sis of variance	2				
Source	Df	SS		MSS		F
Subjects Error Total	2 81 83	4408.26 8143.87 12552.13		2204.13 100.54		21.9229**
** significant a	t 1% level					
Table 7.Meanand sh	values of toto cill for differen	al knowledge t messages	Table 8.	Mean and the socio-	standard economic	deviation for variables
Subjects	Mean	Critical	Varia	ables	Mear	n Standard deviatior
		difference	X ₁ Age		21.07	7 2.37

Table	3.	Mean	values	of kn	owledge	gain for
		differe	nt subje	ects	Ť	

wafers and fish pickles were superior to the fish soup powder.

Table 8.	Mean	and standard	deviation for
	the so	ocio-economic	variables

	v u1100105	IVECUII	deviation
X_1	Age	21.07	2.37
X_{2}	Education	3.24	4.48
X	Size of the family	7.03	2.00
Хď	Employment		
-	status of the		
	family	2.04	0.91
X ₅	Annual income	1476.78	724.05
X	Extension agency		
v	contact	2.36	0.42
Υ	Total knowledge		
	and skill gain	14.11	1.27
	C		

-		difference
Fish wafers	94.8057	3.714
Fish soup powder	84.0203	
Fish pickles	77.21	3.714

score of the knowledge and skill was pooled together to get the total score for knowledge and skill gain. The significant value of the 'F' from the Table 6 shows that

Vol. 25, 1988

	X ₂	X_3	X_4	X_5	X ₆
Xı	0.22 NS	0.27 NS	0.37 NS	0.014 NS	0.29 NS
X ₂ V		0.67**	0.14 NS	0.0031 NS	0.21 NS
$\stackrel{\Lambda_3}{\mathbb{X}_4}$			0.00 105	0.11 NS	0.32 NS
X_5					0.11 NS
NS = Non	significant; ** = s	ignificant at	1 % level		

Table 9. Intercorrelation matrix of the selected socio-economic variables

total knowledge and skill gain differed significantly for the three subjects.

The calculated critical difference (Table 7) shows that the total knowledge and skill gain for the preparation of fish wafers was maximum followed by preparation of fish soup powder and fish pickles.

The mean and standard deviations were worked out for the selected socio-economic variables and the same is presented in Table 8. From Table 8 it could be seen that the average age of the respondent was 21, educational qualification upto high school and the size of the family 7. In each fisherwomen family two persons were employed and the average annual income was Rs. 1500/-The fisherwomen family had high extension agency contact.

To find out the relation between selected socio-economic variables, intercorrelation

Table	10.	Correlation co-efficients for the
		socio-economic status of fisher-
		women with their total knowledge
		and skill gain

Variables	Correlation coefficients
$\begin{array}{c} X_1 \\ X_2 \\ X_3 \\ X_4 \\ X_5 \\ X_6 \end{array}$	0.13 NS 0.53* 0.21 NS 0.15 NS 0.35 NS 0.40*
NS — non signif	icant: * — significant

100 = non significant;, * = significant at 5% level.

was worked out. The intercorrelation (Table 9) of the selected socio-economic variables showed non-significant relationship for all the variables except education and size of the family.

The correlation co-efficients were worked out to find out the relationship between the socio-economic status of the fisherwomen with their total knowledge and skill gain (Table 10). The education and extension agency contact showed positive and significant relationship with overall knowledge and skill gain.

The multiple regression equation was $y=4.9+1.06 X_1+1.54 X_2+1.35 X_3+3.94 X_4+0.006 X_5+0.17 X_6$

The authors are grateful to Shri M. R. Nair, Director, Central Institute of Fisheries Technology, Cochin for his kind permission to publish this paper. The authors are also thankful to Shri H. Krishna Iyer, Head of Extension, Information and Statistics Division of Central Institute of Fisheries Technology for helping in the statistical analysis of the data and S/Shri K. K. Balachandran and P. K. Vijayan and Smt. R. Thankamma, Scientists of CIFT, for providing facilities and assistance in data collection during the relevant training programme.

References

- Marks, L. G. (1955) The Eyes Have it But Each Sense Plays a Part, Paris review, Paris
- Sundararajan, L. (1985) Developing a Model for Farmers Training, Ph.D. Thesis, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore