

**EFFECT OF MICROBIAL BIOFILM IN  
THE NURSERY PHASE OF MRIGAL, *CIRRHINUS MRIGALA***

**R. M. Mridula, J. K. Manissery, K. M. Rajesh\*, P. Keshavanath,  
K. M. Shankar and M. C. Nandeeshat**

*College of Fisheries, University of Agricultural Sciences,  
Mangalore – 575 002, India*

*\*Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Kankanady, Mangalore – 575 002, India*

*†College of Fisheries, Central Agricultural University, Lembucherra,  
Agartala – 799 001, India*

**ABSTRACT**

The experiment was conducted for 35 days in nine cement tubs (1 x 1 x 1 m) having 15-cm sandy-loam soil base with three treatments in triplicate, viz., cow dung alone at the rate of 1 kg/tub (T<sub>1</sub>), cow dung at 1 kg/tub and feed at 10% body wt/d in two meals (T<sub>2</sub>), and cow dung at 1 kg and paddy straw at 200 g/tub (T<sub>3</sub>). Both manure and substrate were added on dry weight basis. All the tubs were stocked with 10 fry each of mrigal (100,000/ha) of average weight of 0.09 g, seven days after the addition of manure and substrate. The total plate count of bacteria in water did not vary much between the treatments and the mean values were 5.13, 5.49 and 5.85 (CFU x 10<sup>4</sup>/ml) in T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>3</sub> respectively. The number of phytoplankters and zooplankters in water differed significantly between the treatments. The average number of attached algae (no./cm<sup>2</sup>) and fish food organisms (no./cm<sup>3</sup>) recorded on the substrate were 145.28 and 70.67, respectively. The mean final weight of mrigal differed significantly (P < 0.05) between the treatments with T<sub>3</sub> registering the highest value of 6.93 g followed by T<sub>2</sub> (5.01 g) and T<sub>1</sub> (3.37 g). The specific growth rate and growth increment of fish also followed the same trend as that of weight recorded in the different treatments. Survival was higher in T<sub>2</sub> (83.33%), followed by T<sub>3</sub> (80.00%) and T<sub>1</sub> (76.67%). The study demonstrates that by the introduction of biodegradable substrates like paddy straw into the culture systems, significantly higher growth and survival can be obtained in the nursery rearing of mrigal.

**Keywords :** Microbial biofilm, nursery, *Cirrhinus mrigala*

## INTRODUCTION

The role of microbes as food for fish and shellfish is well documented (Moriarty, 1997). Heterotrophic food production, which is independent of light, has greater potential for increasing aquaculture production compared to autotrophic production (Zhu *et al.*, 1990; Garg and Bhatnagar, 2000). However, there is a limitation for the exploitation of the planktonic microbial cells by fish and fish food organisms because of the small individual cell size. The addition of artificial substrate to promote microbial biofilm favours the aggregation of cells to an easily harvestable biomass by fish. The potential of biodegradable plant substrates such as sugarcane bagasse and paddy straw in enhancing bacterial biofilm and fish production has been well documented (Shankar *et al.*, 1998; Ramesh *et al.*, 1999; Mridula *et al.*, 2003). Grow-out studies conducted employing different substrates yielded varying productions: 47% in *Cyprinus carpio* (Ramesh *et al.*, 1999), 59% in *Oreochromis mossambicus* (Umesh *et al.*, 1999), 77% in *Labeo rohita* (Wahab *et al.*, 1999) and 42% in *Tor khudree* (Keshavanath *et al.*, 2001) higher than the substrate-free control. However, information on the effect of microbial biofilm during the nursery phase of fishes is scarce. Hence, studies were conducted on the effect of paddy straw on biofilm production, and growth and survival of mrigal, *Cirrhinus mrigala*, during its early phase of growth and the results are presented in this paper.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted for 35 days in nine cement tubs (1 x 1 x 1 m) having 15-cm sandy-loam soil base. After drying the soil bed for a week, the tubs were filled with water to a depth of 0.8 m. The experiment consisted of three treatments in triplicate: (a) cow dung alone at the rate of 1 kg/tub ( $T_1$ ), (b) cow dung at 1 kg/ tub and feed and 10% body wt/d in two meals ( $T_2$ ), and (c) cow dung at 1 kg and paddy straw at 200 g/tub ( $T_3$ ). Both manure and substrate were added on dry weight basis. Pre-washed and dried paddy straw was tied into 25-30 cm long bundles and suspended in water column from the horizontal bamboo beams placed on tub walls. The substrate remained submerged in water throughout the period of study. Fresh cow dung was made into slurry with water and applied. All the tubs were stocked with 10 fry each of mrigal (100,000/ha) of an average weight of 0.09 g, seven days after the addition of manure and substrate.

Water, plankton and substrates were sampled at weekly intervals. Water was analyzed for dissolved oxygen, total alkalinity, free carbon dioxide, total ammonia, nitrite-nitrogen, nitrate-nitrogen and phosphate-phosphorus by standard methods (APHA, 1995). Water temperature and pH were measured using Horiba (Japan) water quality analyzer (Model U-10). Total plate count of bacteria in water and on substrate was estimated at weekly

intervals (Mridula *et al.*, 2003). Plankton collected by filtering a known volume (10 l) of water through plankton net made of bolting silk (60  $\mu\text{m}$ ) was preserved in 4% neutralized formalin. In the laboratory, the plankton composition was determined with the help of a Sedgewick-Rafter cell (Jhingran *et al.*, 1969) and expressed as no./l. Wet and dry weights of plankton were also determined. The enumeration of attached algae on the substrates was done by scraping attached algae and other organisms from a 2 x 2-cm area using a blade and counting with the help of a Sedgewick-Rafter cell, and expressed as no./cm<sup>2</sup>.

On the termination of the experiment, all the surviving fishes were harvested, and their length and weight recorded. Survival percentage, growth increment and specific growth rate (%/d) were estimated. Proximate compositions of feed ingredients, formulated feed and biofilm grown on substrate were analyzed for moisture, crude fat, crude protein, crude fibre, nitrogen-free extract and total ash following standard methods (AOAC, 1975). The data obtained were subjected to statistical analyses employing ANOVA and Duncan's multiple range test at  $P < 0.05$  (Duncan, 1955; Snedecor and Cochran, 1968).

## RESULTS

Different water quality parameters recorded are presented in Table 1. There was no significant difference in water temperature, pH, dissolved oxygen, free

carbon dioxide, total alkalinity, nitrite-nitrogen and phosphate-phosphorus between the treatments. Ammonia and nitrate-nitrogen differed significantly between the treatments. All the parameters were within the acceptable range for fish culture.

The values of total bacterial counts in water and on substrate recorded are also given in Table 1. The total plate count of bacteria in water did not vary much between the treatments and the mean values were 5.13, 5.49 and 5.85 (CFU  $\times 10^4$ /ml) in T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>3</sub>, respectively. The total plate count of bacteria reached a peak on the 14<sup>th</sup> day both in water and on substrate in all the treatments. The lower values recorded were on the 0<sup>th</sup> day (beginning of the experiment) for water and the 35<sup>th</sup> day for substrate. Mean number of bacteria on substrate in T<sub>3</sub> was  $1.34 \times 10^6$ /g.

Phytoplankton number in water differed significantly between the treatments and the mean values (no./l) were 126.11, 141.11 and 130.28 in T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>3</sub>, respectively (Table 1). Zooplankton number in water was the highest in T<sub>2</sub> (152.5) and differed significantly from T<sub>1</sub> (143.06) and T<sub>3</sub> (145.28). The average numbers of attached algae and attached food organisms on paddy straw (no./cm<sup>2</sup>) were 203.00 and 70.67, respectively. Wet weight and dry weight of plankton were higher in T<sub>2</sub> (211.94 and 21.53 mg/100 l, respectively) than in T<sub>1</sub> (209.11 and 21.52 mg/100 l, respectively) and T<sub>3</sub> (201.85 and 20.49 mg/100 l, respectively).

**Table 1: Water quality parameters, total plate count and plankton**

Parameter	Treatment		
	T <sub>1</sub>	T <sub>2</sub>	T <sub>3</sub>
Water temperature (°C)	26.47 <sup>a</sup> (24.80-27.70)	26.40 <sup>a</sup> (24.60-27.70)	26.52 <sup>a</sup> (24.80-27.80)
pH	7.50 <sup>a</sup> (7.06-7.96)	7.63 <sup>a</sup> (7.02-7.96)	7.43 <sup>a</sup> (7.01-7.99)
Dissolved oxygen (mg/l)	5.34 <sup>a</sup> (3.38-6.75)	5.20 <sup>a</sup> (3.38-6.38)	5.45 <sup>a</sup> (4.50-6.00)
Carbon dioxide (mg/l)	7.88 <sup>a</sup> (1.76-14.08)	8.17 <sup>a</sup> (0.00-14.00)	8.26 <sup>a</sup> (1.76-15.80)
Total alkalinity (mg/l)	44.95 <sup>a</sup> (30.00-65.00)	45.22 <sup>a</sup> (28.00-68.00)	46.61 <sup>a</sup> (30.00-62.00)
Total ammonia (µg at.-N/l)	7.99 <sup>a</sup> (3.90-11.40)	9.15 <sup>b</sup> (3.68-11.10)	6.55 <sup>c</sup> (3.90-8.40)
Nitrite-nitrogen (µg at.-N/l)	1.41 <sup>a</sup> (0.50-2.38)	1.51 <sup>a</sup> (0.69-2.42)	1.26 <sup>a</sup> (0.59-2.13)
Nitrate-nitrogen (µg at.-N/l)	4.90 <sup>A</sup> (3.54-5.99)	5.31 <sup>B</sup> (4.12-6.51)	6.35 <sup>C</sup> (4.45-7.83)
Phosphate-phosphorus (µg at.-P/l)	1.31 <sup>a</sup> (0.23-3.61)	1.29 <sup>a</sup> (0.27-3.28)	1.12 <sup>a</sup> (0.18-2.29)
Total plate count in water (no. x 10 <sup>4</sup> /ml)	5.13 <sup>a</sup> (0.30-13.20)	5.49 <sup>a</sup> (0.38-16.40)	5.85 <sup>a</sup> (0.36-18.20)
Total plate count in substrate (no. x 10 <sup>6</sup> /ml)	-	-	1.34 (0.21-3.90)
Phytoplankton in water (no./l)	126.11 <sup>a</sup> (20-245)	141.11 <sup>c</sup> (35-270)	130.28 <sup>b</sup> (25-235)
Attached algae on substrate (no./cm <sup>2</sup> )	-	-	203.00 (60-450)
Zooplankton in water (no./l)	143.06 <sup>a</sup> (10-275)	152.50 <sup>b</sup> (10-275)	145.28 <sup>a</sup> (10-300)
Food organisms on substrate (no./cm <sup>2</sup> )	-	-	70.67 (15-165)
Wet weight (mg/100 l)	209.11 <sup>a</sup> (8.40-1280.00)	211.94 <sup>a</sup> (9.20-416.32)	201.85 <sup>a</sup> (9.60-398.20)
Dry weight (mg/100 l)	21.52 <sup>a</sup> (0.98-42.16)	21.53 <sup>a</sup> (1.04-42.60)	20.49 <sup>a</sup> (0.90-38.18)

Values are means of three tanks and six sampling days (N = 18).

Values in parentheses indicate range.

Values with the same superscript in each row are not significantly different (P < 0.05).

Values of moisture, crude protein, crude fat, ash and nitrogen-free extract of feed ingredients, formulated feed and biofilm are presented in Table 2. The ingredients used for the preparation of feed was of good quality with fish meal having a protein content of 51.83% which is higher than the 50% level recommended by National Research Council (NRC, 1977). The protein contents of formulated feed and biofilm were 26.42% and 23.80%, respectively.

Initial weight, final weight, growth increment, specific growth rate and survival of fish are summarized in Table 3. The mean final weight of mrigal differed significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) between the treatments with  $T_3$  registering the highest value of 6.93 g followed by  $T_2$  (5.01 g) and  $T_1$  (3.37 g). The specific growth rate and growth increment of fish also followed the same trend as that of weight. Survival was higher in  $T_2$  (83.33%), followed by  $T_3$  (80.00%) and  $T_1$  (76.67%).

## DISCUSSION

All the water quality parameters were well within the limits suitable for fish production; pH values were slightly alkaline, indicating good conditions for biological production. Higher ammonia concentration recorded in  $T_2$  could be due to the addition of feed, as protein-rich feed and nitrogenous excretory products contribute to accumulation of ammonia (Mohan, 2001). Treatment with substrate ( $T_3$ ) resulted in significantly lower ammonia level, which can be attributed to the

presence of nitrifying bacteria in the biofilm (Rajesh, 2002). Nitrate-nitrogen concentration was higher in  $T_3$  than in  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ . This could be due to the conversion of nitrite to nitrate by *Nitrobacter* sp. present on the substrate (Rajesh, 2002). There was no significant difference in the total plate count of bacteria in water between the treatments. Total plate count recorded on substrate was higher than that in water and the values recorded were comparable to those reported by earlier workers (Ramesh *et al.*, 1999; Umesh *et al.*, 1999; Joice *et al.*, 2002).

The mean phytoplankton in water was the highest in  $T_2$ , followed by  $T_3$  and  $T_1$ . The major groups of phytoplankton in the order of abundance were green algae, blue-green algae and diatoms. Mean zooplankton density also followed similar trend as that of phytoplankton. The important groups of zooplankton encountered were protozoans, rotifers, ostracods, cladocerans and larval forms. The higher phytoplankton and zooplankton recorded in  $T_2$  may be due to lesser grazing by the fishes as well as the extra nutrients received through the leftover supplementary feed. Attached algae and fish food organisms were higher on substrate compared to water, as reported by earlier workers (Shankar *et al.*, 1998; Ramesh *et al.*, 1999; Mridula *et al.*, 2003). The important groups of attached algae and fish-food organisms on substrate were similar to that recorded in water.

The protein in the supplementary

Table 2: Proximate composition (dry weight basis) of feed ingredients, formulated diet and biofilm grown on substrate

Ingredient/feed	Contribution (%)	Moisture (%)	Crude protein (%)	Crude fat (%)	Ash (%)	Crude fibre (%)	Nitrogen-free extract (%)	Calories (kJ/g)
Rice bran	22.50	8.40 (0.07)	4.92 (0.82)	1.63 (0.05)	17.69 (0.05)	31.80	35.56	7.59
Tapioca flour	21.50	9.99 (0.15)	2.46 (0.00)	0.53 (0.15)	14.68 (0.02)	3.60	81.68	14.38
Groundnut oil cake	27.50	6.58 (0.08)	37.49 (0.72)	6.79 (0.09)	6.50 (0.09)	10.80	31.84	15.73
Fish meal	27.50	6.91 (0.18)	51.83 (1.63)	10.92 (0.16)	25.79 (0.06)	1.90	2.65	15.40
Vitamin-mineral mix	1.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Feed	-	6.15 (0.15)	26.42 (0.44)	6.50 (0.50)	13.37 (0.07)	12.00	35.36	13.89
Biofilm on paddy straw	-	-	23.80	2.15	21.22	25.61	27.22	10.34

Figures in parentheses indicate standard error.

Table 3: Growth, growth increment, specific growth rate and survival of *C. mrigala* in different treatments

Treatment	Tub no.	Initial (0 <sup>th</sup> d)		Final (30 <sup>th</sup> d)		Growth increment (g/d)	SGR (%/d)	Number of fish		Survival (%)
		Length (cm)	Weight (g)	Length (cm)	Weight (g)			Stocked	Harvested	
T1	1	2.40	0.09	6.44	3.21	0.110	0.90	10	8	80
	2	2.10	0.09	6.80	3.56	0.120	1.05	10	7	70
	3	2.30	0.09	6.91	3.34	0.120	0.96	10	8	80
	Av.	2.27	0.09	6.72	3.37	0.120	0.97	10	7.67	76.67
	S.E.	0.09	0.00	0.14	0.10	0.003	0.07	0	0.33	3.33
T2	1	2.40	0.09	7.33	5.09	0.180	1.57	10	8	80
	2	2.20	0.09	7.02	4.88	0.170	1.51	10	8	80
	3	2.30	0.09	7.42	5.05	0.180	1.56	10	9	90
	Av.	2.30	0.09	7.26	5.01	0.180	1.55	10	8.33	83.33
	S.E.	0.06	0.00	0.12	0.11	0.003	0.03	0	0.33	3.33
T3	1	2.50	0.09	8.10	6.85	0.240	2.00	10	7	70
	2	2.20	0.09	8.15	7.25	0.260	2.08	10	8	80
	3	2.10	0.09	7.80	6.70	0.230	1.97	10	9	90
	Av.	2.27	0.09	8.02	6.93	0.240	2.02	10	8.00	80.00
	S.E.	0.12	0.00	0.11	0.28	0.003	0.03	0	0.58	58.00

feed given was 26.42%, whereas the optimum requirement of protein for Indian major carps is around 30% (Renukaradhya and Varghese, 1986). Natural food contributes to substantial protein requirement of fishes (Nandeesha *et al.*, 1994). In the present study, the biofilm protein recorded was 23.8%. The general composition of biofilm is 8-10% ash, 10-30% carbon and 1-10 % nitrogen (cited by Shankar and Mohan, 2001). The present study showed a nitrogen value of 3.81% in the biofilm grown on paddy straw and is comparable with the earlier studies.

The growth of *C. mrigala* in tanks manured with cow dung and provided with paddy straw was significantly high ( $P < 0.05$ ), the percentage increases over treatments provided with manure alone and manure and feed being 105.64 and 48.66, respectively. There was no significant difference in survival between the treatments. The enhanced fish growth observed in substrate-based tanks indicates the effective utilization of the microbial biofilm developed on the substrate by mrigal. Mrigal, a bottom feeder feeds on decayed plant and animal matter, algae, detritus, mud, etc. (Jhingran and Pullin, 1988). The enhanced growth in  $T_3$  can also be attributed to the higher production of attached algae and fish food organisms on the substrate. The better growth recorded in  $T_2$  compared to  $T_1$  may be due to the addition of supplementary feed. This study demonstrates that by introducing biodegradable plant substrates like paddy straw, significantly higher growth can be

obtained in the nursery rearing of mrigal.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The financial support received from the Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi, is gratefully acknowledged.

## REFERENCES

- AOAC, 1975. Official Methods of Analysis. Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Washington, DC, 1094 pp.
- APHA, 1995. Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Waste Water (19<sup>th</sup> edition). American Public Health Association, Washington, DC, 1108 pp.
- Duncan, D. B., 1955. Multiple range and multiple F-tests. *Biometrics*, **11**: 1-42.
- Garg, S. K. and Bhatnagar, A., 2000. Effect of fertilization frequency on pond productivity and fish biomass in still water ponds stocked with *Cirrhinus mrigala* (Ham.). *Aquacult. Res.*, **31**: 409-414.
- Jhingran, V. G., Natarajan, A. V., Banerjea, S. M. and David, A., 1969. Methodology on Reservoir Fisheries Investigations in India. Central Inland Fisheries Research Institute, Barrackpore, 109 pp.
- Jhingran, V. G. and Pullin, R. S. V., 1988. A Hatchery Manual for



- Common, Chinese and Indian Major Carps. ICLARM Studies and Reviews 11, Asian Development Bank, Manila, 191 pp.
- Joice A., Shankar, K. M. and Mohan C. V., 2002. Effect of bacterial biofilm in nursery on growth, survival and resistance to *Aeromonas hydrophila* of common carp, *Cyprinus carpio*. *J. Aquacult. Trop.*, **17**: 283-298.
- Keshavanath, P., Gangadhar, B., Ramesh, T. J., van Rooij, J. M., Beveridge, M. C. M., Baird, D. J., Verdegem, M. C. J. and Van Dam, A. A., 2001. The potential of artificial reefs to enhance production of herbivorous fish in Indian freshwater ponds - Preliminary trials. *Aquacult. Res.*, **32**: 189-197.
- Mohan, C. V., 2001. Microbial biofilm for improvement of water quality and fish health. In: Shankar, K. M. and Mohan, C. V. (ed.), Potential of Artificial Substrate based Microbial Biofilm in Aquaculture. College of Fisheries, Mangalore, pp. 20-25.
- Moriarty, D. J. W., 1997. The role of microorganisms in aquaculture ponds. *Aquaculture*, **151**: 333-349.
- Mridula, R. M., Manissery, J. K., Keshavanath, P., Shankar, K. M., Nandeesh, M. C. and Rajesh, K. M., 2003. Water quality, biofilm production and growth of fringe-lipped carp (*Labeo fimbriatus*) in tanks provided with two solid substrates. *Bioresour. Technol.*, **87**: 263-267.
- Nandeesh, M. C., Dathathri, K., Krishnamurthy, D., Varghese, T. J., Gangadhara, B. and Umesh, N. R., 1994. Effect of varied levels of protein on the growth and tissue biochemistry of stunted yearlings of rohu, *Labeo rohita*, in the absence and presence of natural food. In: De'Silva, S. S. (ed.), Fish Nutrition Research in Asia - Proceedings of the Fifth Asian Fish Nutrition Workshop. Special Publication 9, Asian Fisheries Society, Manila, pp. 93-99.
- NRC, 1977. Nutrient Requirements of Warm Water Fishes. National Research Council, National Academy of Sciences, Washington, DC, 964 pp.
- Rajesh, K. M., 2002. Effect of microbial biofilm on water quality and growth and survival and disease resistance of Indian major carp, rohu (*Labeo rohita*). Ph. D. thesis, University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore, 87 pp.
- Ramesh, M. R., Shankar, K. M., Mohan, C. V. and Varghese, T. J., 1999. Comparison of three plant substrates for enhancing carp growth through bacterial biofilm. *Aquacult. Eng.*, **19**: 119-131.

- Renukaradhya, K. M. and Varghese, T. J.**, 1986. Protein requirement of the carps. *Catla catla* (Ham.). *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Ani. Sci.)*, **95**:103-107.
- Shankar, K. M. and Mohan, C. V.** (ed.), 2001. Potential of Artificial Substrate based Microbial Biofilm in Aquaculture. College of Fisheries, Mangalore, 90 pp.
- Shankar, K. M., Mohan, C. V. and Nandeesh, M. C.**, 1998. Promotion of substrate-based microbial biofilm in ponds - A low cost technology to boost fish production. *Naga*, **21**: 18-22.
- Snedecor, G. W. and Cochran, G. W.**, 1968. Statistical Methods (6<sup>th</sup> Indian edition). Oxford and IBH Publishing Company, Calcutta, 593 pp.
- Umesh, N. R., Shankar, K. M. and Mohan, C. V.**, 1999. Enhancing fish growth through plant substrate: The role of bacterial biofilm. *Aquacult. Int.*, **7**: 1-10.
- Wahab, M. A., Manan, M. A., Huda, M. A., Azim, M. E., Tollervey, A. and Beveridge, M. C. M.**, 1999. Effects of periphyton grown on bamboo substrate on growth and production of Indian major carp, rohu (*Labeo rohita*). *Bangladesh J. Fish. Res.*, **3**: 1-10.
- Zhu, S., Yang, Y., Wan, Y., Huda, D. and Mathias, J. A.**, 1990. The effect of manure application rate and frequency on fish yield in integrated fish farm ponds. *Aquaculture*, **91**: 233-251.