# STUDIES ON FECUNDITY OF *RITA PAVIMENTATA* (GUNTHER) IN RELATION TO TOTAL LENGTH, TOTAL WEIGHT AND OVARY WEIGHT

#### V. K.Tiwari and T. A. Qureshi\*

Central Institute of Fisheries Education, Deemed University (ICAR), Seven Bungalows, Mumbai – 61.

## ABSTRACT

A total of thirty eight ripe ovaries from the specimens of *Rita pavimentata*, measuring 230 to 355 mm in total length (TL) and 250 to 750 g in total weight (TW), were selected to study the fecundity. The relationship between fecundity and total length (TL), total weight (TW) and ovary weight (OW) was found to be linear. The coefficient of co-relation 'r' of the above relationship was found to be 0.92, 0.94 and 0.96 respectively. All these values are highly significant (P= 0.01) indicating a close relationship between compared parameters. However, as indicated by value of 'r' (0.96), the fecundity is more closely related to ovary weight and hence the ovary weight may be a better index of fecundity than the total body length or weight.

Keywords: *Rita pavimentata*, fecundity, total length

#### INTRODUCTION

Rita pavimentata (Gunther), a common freshwater teleost is distributed in many parts of the India. This fish was a candidate species of the river Narbada during the year 1958 and had contributed about 37.60 % of the total fish catch which had come down up to 13.98 % in the year 1966 (Karamchandani et al., 1967). The present studies on relationship of fecundity with related body parameters viz. TL (total length), TW (total weight), OW (ovary weight) of this species may help in management of riverine fishery as these parameters are important indices

of fecundity which in turn indicates the potential of auto – recruitment.

Some important studies incorporating fecundity are due to Clark (1934), Bagenal (1967), Sinha (1975), Chonder (1977), Joshi and Khanna (1980), Varghese (1980), Nautiyal (1985), Kiran et al. (2003) and. Somdutt et al. (2004). However the study on fecundity of this species is scanty, thus, present studies will further add to the knowledge of relationship between fecundity and above stated body parameters which in turn would serve as tool for better management of this resource.

\* Applied Aquaculture Department, Barkatullah University, Bhopal (M.P.)

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

A total 38 mature female specimen of R. pavimentata caught in the month of July and August 2001 from Narbada river Hoshangabad (M.P.) was taken for the present study. The corresponding length and weight were measured as per the standard method up to the nearest mm and g respectively. Ovaries were examined to determine the maturity stages following the I.C.E.S. Scale (Wood, 1930) and fixed in 5% formalin for further studies. The relationship between fecundity and total length, total weight and ovary weight were calculated by using least square regression analysis (Bagenal, 1967).

Maturity of ova ascertained by microscopic determination of ova diameter by using ocular micrometer. The ova size, under present study ranged from 0.238 to 1.824 mm in diameter. The ova diameter study indicates that ova in the range of 1.634 mm to 1.824 mm diameter with a mode 1.69 mm are in fully mature stage during the months from June to August. Mature ova from three random sub sample of the ovary of known weight were counted and the fecundity was determined by using the formula

$$F = \frac{W \times (N_1 + N_2 + N_3)}{(W_1 + W_2 + W_3)}$$

Where F= fecundity, W = total weight of ovary,  $(W_1 + W_2 + W_3)$  and  $(N_1 + N_2 + N_3)$  are the respective weight and ova counts of each sub sample.

#### RESULTS

During the present investigation, TL, TW and OW were found in the range of 230 mm to 355 mm, 250 g to 750 g and 11.2 to 42.6 g respectively (Table1). The results are given below for each relationship separately.

 Table 1: Length and weight of the fish, ovary weight and fecundity of R.

 pavimentata

 SNo
 Length of

 Wt of Eish
 Wt of Ovary

 Fecundity
 No. of eggs/g

| S.No. | Length of<br>Fish (mm) | Wt. of Fish<br>(g) | Wt. of Ovary<br>(g) | Fecundity<br>(No. of egg) | No. of eggs/g<br>Ovary wt. |
|-------|------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|
| 1     | 230                    | 250                | 11.2                | 4850                      | 433.0                      |
| 2     | 230                    | 280                | 10.9                | 5100                      | 467.9                      |
| 3     | 235                    | 280                | 16.3                | 9200                      | 564.4                      |
| 4     | 240                    | 285                | 15.6                | 8600                      | 551.3                      |
| 5     | 250                    | 280                | 14.3                | 8100                      | 566.4                      |
| 6     | 256                    | 300                | 18.4                | 13000                     | 706.5                      |
| 7     | 260                    | 330                | 24.6                | 11300                     | 459.3                      |
| 8     | 260                    | 290                | 17.3                | 12600                     | 728.3                      |
| 9     | 265                    | 225                | 19.6                | 13400                     | 683.7                      |
| 10    | 270                    | 260                | 11.2                | 4850                      | 433.0                      |

Contd....

| S.No. | Length of<br>Fish (mm) | Wt. of Fish<br>(g) | Wt. of Ovary<br>(g) | Fecundity<br>(No. of egg) | No. of eggs/g<br>Ovary wt. |
|-------|------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|
| 11    | 275                    | 345                | 29.3                | 18400                     | 628.0                      |
| 12    | 275                    | 340                | 21.9                | 13100                     | 598.2                      |
| 13    | 275                    | 290                | 16.6                | 11800                     | 710.8                      |
| 14    | 280                    | 310                | 21.6                | 13600                     | 629.6                      |
| 15    | 285                    | 360                | 24.8                | 16600                     | 669.4                      |
| 16    | 290                    | 385                | 27.3                | 17380                     | 636.6                      |
| 17    | 290                    | 380                | 28.5                | 14700                     | 515.8                      |
| 18    | 293                    | 390                | 26.3                | 17300                     | 657.8                      |
| 19    | 295                    | 400                | 33.8                | 24700                     | 730.8                      |
| 20    | 295                    | 370                | 25.7                | 16900                     | 657.6                      |
| 21    | 295                    | 380                | 26.1                | 17000                     | 651.3                      |
| 22    | 295                    | 430                | 32.8                | 24300                     | 740.9                      |
| 23    | 300                    | 410                | 33.9                | 25400                     | 749.3                      |
| 24    | 300                    | 408                | 31.1                | 23700                     | 762.1                      |
| 25    | 310                    | 450                | 32.9                | 24600                     | 747.7                      |
| 26    | 312                    | 520                | 35.8                | 27100                     | 757.0                      |
| 27    | 315                    | 480                | 31.8                | 23600                     | 742.1                      |
| 28    | 315                    | 470                | 31.5                | 23400                     | 742.9                      |
| 29    | 315                    | 475                | 33.2                | 24300                     | 731.9                      |
| 30    | 315                    | 530                | 34.9                | 25300                     | 724.9                      |
| 31    | 320                    | 620                | 35.7                | 33800                     | 946.8                      |
| 32    | 330                    | 635                | 38.3                | 35200                     | 919.1                      |
| 33    | 335                    | 580                | 37.4                | 27800                     | 743.3                      |
| 34    | 335                    | 690                | 41.2                | 38300                     | 929.6                      |
| 35    | 336                    | 690                | 40.2                | 37000                     | 920.4                      |
| 36    | 345                    | 660                | 34.2                | 26700                     | 780.7                      |
| 37    | 345                    | 680                | 39.4                | 28300                     | 718.3                      |
| 38    | 355                    | 750                | 42.6                | 42000                     | 985.9                      |

The scattered diagram of total length against fecundity was found to be linear (Fig. 1a). The value of correlation coefficient 'r' is derived as 0.92. The value of ' b' (264.04) and 'r ' (0.92) are found highly significant (p=0.01) and indicate a linear, close and positive correlation.

The scattered diagram between total

fish weight and fecundity suggests a linear relationship between these parameters (Fig.1b) the value of regression coefficient 'b' and constant 'a' calculated empirically.

The above value of 'b' (62.062) was found to be highly significant (p = 0.01) with't' test (t = 8.21). The value of 'r' was calculated to be 0.94 for above



SCATTERED DIAGRAM OF TOTAL FISH LENGTH AND FECUNDITY

SCATTERED DIAGRAM OF FISH WEIGHT AND FECUNDITY



Fig.1b.

SCATTERED DIAGRAM OF OVARY WEIGHT AND **FECUNDITY** 50000 40000 N O.OF E GGS 30000 20000 - 1009.8x - 7782.8 Y. 10000 0 30 35 40 45 15 20 25 10 **OVARY WEIGHT (G)** 

relationship and was found highly significant (p = 0.01) justifying a close, positive and linear relationship between TW and F.

A linear relationship was found for the ovary weight and the fecundity (Fig.1c). The value of regression coefficient 'b' and constant 'a' were calculated empirically. The above 'b' value (1.4201) was found highly significant (p= 0.01) when tested with 't' test (t = 7.40). The value of correlation coefficient 'r' was found to be 0.96, which is also highly significant (p = 0.01) indicating a positive linear relationship between OW and F.

## DISCUSSION

Different relations have been found to exist between fecundity and above body parameters by various workers. Chonder (1977), Singh *et al.*, (1982), Singh and Shrivastava (1982), Kiran and Puttaiah (2003), Somdutt *et al.* (2004), have observed linear relationship between fecundity and total length whereas Sinha (1975) found the relationship curvilinear in *P. sarana* from Loni reservoir.

The linear relationship between body weight and fecundity was observed by Gupta (1968), Sinha (1975), Hodgkiss and Man (1978), Singh *et al.*, (1982), Kiran and Puttaiah (2003), Somdutt *et al.*, (2004). Yuen (1955) and Varghese (1980) have reported curvilinear relationship.

The linear relationship between ovary weight and fecundity was observed by

Bhatanagar (1964) in *L. dero* and *L. bata*, Sinha (1972), Kiran and Puttaiah (2003) and Somdutt *et al.* (2004) in *Puntius sarana*, in *Chela utrachi*.

Among the above three parameters, based on values of coefficient correlation (r), closest correlation was observed with the OW (r= 0.96) followed by TW(r= 0.94) and TL (r= 0.92). Hence, it is concluded that the ovary weight is a better index of fecundity than the total length and total weight. The result is matching with the results observed by Kiran *et al.*, (2003) and Somdutt *et al.*, (2004).

## REFERENCES

- Bagenal T. B., 1967. A short review of fish fecundity. In Biological basis of freshwater fish production (ed. Gerking S.D.). Blackwell Scientific Publication, Oxford: pp 89-112.
- Bhatnagar, G. K., 1964. Observation on the spawning frequency and fecundity of certain Bhakara reservoir fishes. *Indian J. Fish.*, 11: 485-502.
- Chondar, S.L., 1977. Fecundity and its role in racial studies of *Gudusia chapra* (Pisces Clupeidae). *Proc. Ind. Acad. Sci.*, Vol. **86**-B, No. 4, pp., 245-254.
- Clark, F.N., 1934. Maturity of California sardine, (Sardinela carrulea), determined by ovadiameter measurements. Calif. Dept. and Game fish Bull., 42: 1- 49 pp.

- Dwivedi, S. N. and Menezes, E.R., 1974. A note on the morphometry and ecology of *Brachionous orientalis* (Bloch and Schneider) in the estuary of Goa. *Geobios*, 8: 80-83.
- Gupta, M. V., 1968. Studies on the taxonomy, biology and fishery of ribbon fishes (Trichiuridae) of the Hooghly estuarine system and biology of *Trichiurus* pantului (Gupta). Proc. Zool. Soc. Calcutta, 21: 35-50.
- Joshi, S.N. and Khanna, S.S., 1980. Relative fecundity of *Labeo* gonius (Ham.) from Nanak Sagar reservoir. *Proc. Ind. Acad.* Sci., 89: 493 – 503.
- Karamchandani, S. J., Desai, V. R., Pisolkar, M. D. and Bhatnagar, G. K., 1967. Biological investigations on the fish and fisheries of Narbada river (1958-1966). Bull. Cent.Inl.Fish. Res. Inst. Barrackpore, (10): 40p.
- Kiran, B.R. and Puttaiah, E.T., 2003. Fecundity studies on Chela untrachi (Day) from Bhadra reservoir, Karnataka. J. Inland Fish. Soc., 35 (2): 41-44.
- Nautiyal, P., 1985. Fecundity of the Garhwal Himalayan mahseer, *Tor putitora* (Ham.). *J.Bombay Nat. Hist. Soc.*, **82** (20): 259-257.
- \* Not referred to in original.

- Singh, H.R., Nautiyal, P. and Dobriyal, A.K., 1982. Fecundity of a hill stream minor carp, *Puntius chilinoides* (Mc Clelland) P. Chich from Garhawal Himalaya. *Proc. Ind. Acad. Sci.*, **91** (5): 487-491.
- Singh, V. and Shrivastava P., 1982. Fecundity study of three Indian major carps, *Catla catla*, *Cirrhina mrigala*, and *Labeo rohita. Ind.J.Zool.*, **10**(1): 30-36.
- Sinha, M., 1975. Observation on the biology of *Puntius sarana* (Ham.) of Loni reservoir (M.P.). *J. Inland Fish. Soc. India*, 7: 49-57.
- Somdutt and Kumar, S., 2004. Studies on fecundity of *Puntius* sarana (Ham.) in relation to total length, total weight and ovary weight. J. Indian Fish. Asso., 31: 81-85.
- Varghese, T.J., 1980. Fecundity of Coilia dussumieri Valenciennes. Proc. Ind. Natn. Sci. Acad., B 45v (1): 114-119.
- \*Wood, H., 1930. Scottish hering shoals pre-spawning and spawning movements. *Rep. Fish. Bd. Scot. Sci. Invest*, 1: 1-71.
- \*Yuen, H. S. H., 1935. Maturity and fecundity of big eye tuna in the pacific, *Sci. Rep. U. S. fish wild. Serv. Fish.*, **150:** 30p.