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ANE XES

1. INTRODUÇAOO

Actualmente, a pesca de arrasto de camarão de águas pouco profundas $\dot{\theta}$ a unica pescaria nacional industrializada em Moçambique. A maior parte da produção de camarão exportada. Este trabalho consiste ny ma avaliação preliminar dos rendimentos potenciais da população de camarão entre Beira Angoche, que permita um planeamento da expansão desta pescaria.
2. RESUMO DAS CONCLUSÕES

## 2.1

A falta de informação correcta sobre capturas totais e esforço de pesca durante os últimos anos na área considerada, limitou muito os tipos de modelos que poderiam ser usados neste trabalho. Contu do, a informação sobre capturas, esforço de pesca e composição das capturas por espécies e por tamanhos dos diários de bordo de uma parte da frota nacional, especialmente dos arrastões da EFRIPEL, tornou possível uma primeira estimativa das mortalidades e dimen sões da população.

## 2.2

Das três principais espécies, P. indicus e P. monodon apresentam ní tidas flutuaçőes sazonais na abundância, indicando um recrutamento principal nas áreas de pesca durante $2-3$ meses na primeira metade do ano e um tempo de vida de não mais de um ano após o recrutamento. O nível das capturas de M. monoceros é mais estável, indicando que - recrutamento ocorre mais ou menos ao longo de todo o ano. Näo foi possível estabelecer conclusöes sobre a longevidade das espécies.

## 2.3

Estimativas do coeficiente de mortalidade total mensal $Z$ para P. indicue foram feitas por dois métodos diferentes; em primeiro lugar
ostudando o dracroscimo no nimoro copturado por hora de arrasto com - tempo om periodos de racrutamento nulo ou muiso pequeno a depoiso comparando as capturas dos varias grupos do tamanhos utidizando informacao sobpe crescimento. Concluiumse que durante os anos.
 aplicado ás copturas do p. monodon. indicando um 2 ao mesmo nivol ou mass alevado: no antanto, nesto caso. as conclusons prsultantes da aplicaço do mótodo não são tão seguras.

## 2.4

Utijisando a informagao sobre captura total por hora da arrasto dos arrastós do EfRTPEL ostimousso polo método da väroa varpida quo - Eamanho módio anual da populaģo de camaro̊o do aguas pouco profun das na äraa considarada. era aproximadamente do 5000 tonaladas em 1974-1975 cerca de 8000 toneladas em 1976. Estes valores soor con siderados como estimativas mínimas.

## 2.5

Supondo gue o total anual das coptupas am 1978.1975 era do 10000 co noladas em 1976 de 12000 coneladas, as estimatives do tamonho da populaçoo dadas acima implicam um vaior maximo de mortalidade por pes ca mensal de 0.15 am 1974.1976. Uididzando as estmativas das mortac Bidades sotas por posca da espécie po indicus duransion 1974. a moro tadidade notural por mes fod estimada como sondo digeiramente infor pior a 0.3.

## 2.6

Estudos de rendimento por recruta para P. indieus mostram que so pow do osporar um aumento significativo das capturas so se olovar o eso forco de pesca acíno do nivel do poriodo 197401976 (feso. 15). O ron dimonto por recruta corrospondonto a FO. $=0.35$ foi estimado como sando $45 \%$ acima do prosento valor. Contudo devido as incertezas das vörias asímativas concluiunso que foáo deve oxceder 0.2. Poe ra um oumento de para 0.2 deve esperarase um aumento corresponden
te do corca do $16 \%$ do pondimento. So o nivel do captura corrospone donte a pea 0.15 do 82000 tonodados. esto oumento em fovorá dar uma captura do carca do 14000 toneladas.

A captupa corrospondonto a Fo. sopsa oproximadamente 17 ooo tono ladas.

Todos estos valores são baseadas na hipötose que as principais espóciss tom curvas de pendimento por rocruta somelhantos a pindicus. Estes valores dovem ser tomados com procauço. ospecialmento devido as incertozas oxistentos sobro o nivel actual das capturas o tamanho da populaça. Notoms tambem que os valores são basoados na suposio goo do que samanhos inferiores da populaģo reprodusora correspone dente astes alovados nivass do asforgo ngo devarao causar quadquer reduço num futuro recputamento. Podam ser asperadas variaçoes de ano para ano nos capturas causadas por variaços no rocrutamento.

## 3. DADOS NECESSARIOS PARA O FUTURO

A informoço bósica nocossairia para poser uma avaliaçcio mais dotaIhada procisa das populaços do comoroo do Mogombiqua Toi já do enrto modo indicada na analige prectuada nas secgoes anteriores. Resumimos em seguida alguma desta informaça nocessorias

### 3.1 Estaristica do captura a arorco

Devase dar prioridade a coshoita de dados do conisança do capturas totais do camarao de águas pouco profundas o do corpospondonto asa forco de posca. As ostatisticas do captupo esforgo mais dotahadas por aspecies. meses quadrados estatisticos. devem abranger o maior nümero de barcos possival.

### 3.2 Amostragem das capturas

Parte da frota regista as capturas por osposies por grupos de ta monho. Un prograna de amostragem dovo ser iniciado logo quo possía
(1) no caso de capturas que sõo registadas por grupos de tamanho, se obter uma estimativa mais precisa das frequências de comprimento e número por quilo, amostrando os vários grupos;
(2) no caso de capturas que não são registadas por espécies e grua pos de tamanho, se determinar a composição por espëcies e por tamanhos e número por quilo.

A análise feita na seç̧ão 4 frisa a necessidade de se obter estimativas do número capturado nos vários grupos de tamanho, separadamen te para machos e fêmeas. Isto pode ser obtido por amostragem da com posição por sexos de cada classe de comprimento.

A amostragem deve cobrir convenientemente as capturas tanto no temm po como no espaço.

### 3.3 Amostragem da população juvenil

Presentemente, quase não existe informaçäo sobre o camarao antes de atingir a idade adulta em que entram na pescaria industrial. De for ma a obter maior informação biológica sobre os juvenis, um programa de amostragem deve ser iniciado nas áreas costeiras de desenvolvi-a mento (nurseries). Por amostragem da composição por tamanhos da pow pulação juvenil em intervalos de tempo regulares, podease identificar os vários grupos de recrutamento e estimar o crescimento do estado juvenil. De momento, as amostras podem ser obtidas mais facilmente das capturas da pesca artesanal ao longo da costa.

### 3.4 Cruzeiros de prospeccão nas áreas de distribuicão da popula= cão adulta

Deve ser levada a cabo uma prospecção por pesca de arrasto, utilizando um esquema de amostragem estratificada (estratificaço̊o por área e profundidade), para obter informação sobre
(i) distribuição das várias espécies e grupos de tamanho por área
e profundidade:
(ii) variaçóes na densidade de ano para ano e dentro de cada ano. de estação pora estaçã:
(iii) estimativas do tamanho da população pelo método da nárea varrida".

As amostras biológicas obtidas durante esta viagem fornecerão informação adicional sobra, por exemplo, maturidade, períodos de de sova e de recrutamento.

### 3.5 Dados ambientais

A distribuição da população adulta está, provavelmente, muito liga da às condiçös hidrológicas; portanto, dados hidrográficos devem ser colhidos regularmente durante estes cruzeiros. De forma a obter maior informação sobre os factores que determinam a força de recrua tamento, devem ser colhidos dados ambientais, tanto no mar nos perí odos de desova como nas áreas de mangal. Todas as variações observá veis nas áreas de mangal devem ser seguidas em particular.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

At present, the trawl fishery for shallow water prawns is the only industrialized national fishery in Mocambique. Most of the catch is exported. Plans are laid for expansion of the fishery, and this report is an attempt to make a preliminary assessment of the potential yields from the stocks occypying the shallow water areas between Beira and Angoche (Fig. 1).
2. DESCRIPTION OF THE RESOURCE

### 2.1 Species composition

Many species of penaeid prawns have been recorded in the waters of Mocambique. In shallow waters, the most important species are the following:

Metapenaeus monoceros (Fabricius); brown or ginger prawn
Metapenaeus stebbingi Nobili

```
Penaeus indicus H. Milne Edwards; white prawn
Penaeus japonicus Bate; flower or banana prawn
Penaeus monodon Fabricius; tiger prawn
Penaeus semisulcatus de Haan; tiger prawn
```

M. stebbingi has no commercial value and occur in significant numbers only in a small fishing area in the Bay of Maputo (Fig. 1). The other species occur more or less in a mixture in a large area between Angoche and Mambone (Sofala Bank), but also further south (Bay of Maputo). As in other areas of the Indo-Pacific region, they are found on substrates of mud, silt or muddy sand and usually in shallow waters (10-40 m). They can, however, occur down to depths of 70 m .

The species $P$. monodon has the highest commercial value, mainly because of its large size. Crosnier (1965) quoted 337 mm as the largest recorded total length from any area. It is a species capable of withstanding a wide range of salinity, it has been recorded in seawater, estuaries, backwaters and even in freshwater.

The various shallow water species undergo fluctuations in abundance within the year and from year to year. However, the catches of P. indicus and M. monoceros always exceed those of the other species. In average, the species composition for the Sofala Bank is approximately $45 \%$ P. indicus, $45 \%$ M. monoceros and $10 \%$ P. monodon, $P$. semisulcatus and $P$. japonicus.

In deep waters, the most abundant species is Hymenopenaeus triarthrus (Stebbing); pink or knife prawn.

It is distributed all along the coast of Mocambique between depths of 280 to 550 m .

### 2.2 Life cycle of shallow water prawns

The prawns spawn at sea. After hatching, the successive planktonic larval stages migrate to the backwaters. The juveniles are found in low salinity zones as estuaries and particularly in the mangroves. When they reach a certain size, which differs from species to species, they migrate back to sea where they reach maturity. By the time they leave the coastal areas, they are recruited to the industrial fishery. Fig. 2 shows the general life cycle described.

For further description of the biology and life cycle of the various species, see Barnard (1950) and FAO (1970).

## 3. HISTORY OF EXPLOITATION AND <br> DESCRIPTION OF THE FISHING FLEET

### 3.1 National fleet

Information about areas fished by both the national and foreign fleets and results of research vessel surveys seem to indicate that the richest grounds of shallow water prawns are found between Angoche and the delta of the Zambezi River, including the latter (Fig. 1).

Vessels from Moqambique have fished in this area since 1965. Until 1973 the industrial shrimp fleet fished from the port of Angoche, and most of the fishing took place between Angoche and Pebane. In 1974 some of the trawlers chose the port of Quelimane as their base, and the fishing area was extended southwards to the delta of the Zambezi River.

The quantitative development of the fleet operating in that area is shown in the table below. Information is lacking for 1972 and 1973.

| Year | 65 | 66 | 67 | 68 | 69 | 70 | 71 | 72 | 73 | 74 | 75 | 76 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of <br> vessels | 2 | 10 | 12 | 10 | 7 | 19 | 30 | - | - | 53 | 51 | 51 |

Besides some figures for the mean gross tonnage, information about qualitative development of the fleet was not available during the study. The mean gross tonnage increased from 70 tonnes in 1971 to 115 tonnes by the end of 1976. At present most of the trawlers are equipped with double rig systems. Some characteristics of the vessels operating in the area in 1976 are shown in Table. 1.

During 1977, four new vessels started to fish in the area, and in the near future six more will be added. All these vessels are modern units of between 25 and 30 m total length equipped with cold storage and quick freezers.

The catchesfrom 1968 to 1973 in the area were as follows (Freitas and Araujo, 1973):

| Year | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Catch (tonnes) | 41 | 232 | 472 | 1651 | 1746 | 2880 |

Total catch figures were not available for the years 1974 to 1976. The export values for 1974,1975 and 1976 were 4587,4329 and 3872 tonnes respectively. The prawns for export come mainly from the area under consideration, and may be taken as minimum values for the catch. Some prawns go to the local market or arediscarded because of low quality. Data from one of the enterprises showed a ratio between export and catch of $0.85,0.83$ and 0.86 for 1974,1975 and 1976 respectively. By dividing the export figures by these ratios and correcting for the fact that one of the enterprises had exported part of its catch as headless prawns, the following figures for total catches in the study area were arrived at:

1974:5651 tonnes 1975:5408 tonnes 1976:4822 tonnes

Taking into consideration that several enterprises probably had greater problems with the quality of the prawns than the enterprise for which the ratio between export and catch was known, and that therefore these other enterprises had a significant lower ratio between export and catch, it was concluded that the total catch of the national fleet in the
area considered was probably at a level of 6000-7000 tonnes during the years 1974-1976.

In addition to the fishery discussed above, there is also a fishery in the area between Beira and Mambone. This fishery is at present of much smaller importance, and very little information was available from this area. Therefore, all analysis in later sections will refer only to the areas north of Beira.

### 3.2 Foreign fleet

Both the shallow and deep water resources of prawns off Mocambique have been exploited by foreign fleets during the past years. According to information in a Spanish publication (J.P., 1973), 104 foreign vessels were fishing off the coast of Mocambique in 1973. They came from the following countries: Japan (35 vessels), South Africa ( 25 vessels), Spain (20 vessels), France ( 8 vessels) and Israel ( 2 vessels). Of these fleets, the Spanish one is the most important in the exploitation of the prawn resources. The other fleets have probably not exploited the shallow water prawns to any high extent.

According to an article published in Ind. Mogambique (Anon. 1974), the Spanish fleet started exploiting the prawns in 1964, and a total of 20 vessels operated in the area in 1974. According to information supplied by the various Spanish enterprises, at least 38 vessels fished for prawns in the area in 1976, and the reported catches or landings give a total of about 4700 tonnes of shallow water prawns. Because a part of this total was figures for landings, it may be concluded that the Spanish catch of shallow water prawns in the area in 1976 was of the order of at least 5000 tonnes. It is not possible to give any catch figure for 1974 or 1975 as the enterprise reporting the largest portion of the catches in 1976 (about $50 \%$ of the total) did not report for 1974 and 1975. Because there seems to have been a significant increase in number of vessels operating from 1974 to 1976 , and because reported catch data from Spanish vessels indicate that a larger part of the catches was ghallow water prawns in 1976 than in 1975, it is likely that the Spanish catches of shallow water prawns were considerably below the 1976 level in 1974 and 1975.

## 4. ANALYSIS OF CATCH AND EFFORT <br> DATA FROM THE NATIONAL FLEET

## 4.l Data available

The data analysed in this section are based on information recorded in logbooks. For each trawl operation, the following data are expected to be recorded:

Quantity of prawn caught
Position
Duration of haul
Speed
Depth

As the logbooks in use are not uniform and the shipowners are not yet really obliged to return them (the situation will probably improve from 1978 onwards), most of the information is incomplete and/or inexact. The proportion of the fleet returning logbooks decreased in 1974 - 1976, so for these years catch data are only available for the three enterprises EFRIPEL, IMPESCAL and ARPEM. The catches covered by logbooks from these enterprises are shown in Table 2.

Each of the three enterprises fulfil the logbook requirements in a different way. EFRIPEL reports catch data by species and commercial size groups. IMPESCAL reports catches only by commercial size groups, and in 1973 and 1974 only total catches per trawling operation were recorded. ARPEM reports a few catches by species, but most of them have been recorded without any classification. Table 2 shows the proportion of the logbook reported catches which are given by species and/or size groups.

The data from EFRIPEL are, by far, the most precises and reliable. Most of the analysis in later sections will be based on data from this enterprise. It is the only enterprise which reports reliable figures for fishing effort (in hours of trawling). Vessels from EFRIPEL did not start to fish in this area until 1974, therefore most of the analysis will be limited to the years 1974-1976. The EFRIPEL
fleet consists of four trawlers (Vega I - IV) of gross tonnage of about 150 and H.P. 500, and two trawlers (Rigel I - II) of gross tonnage of about 350 and H.P. 1250.

Table 3 shows the commercial size classifications used in the logbooks. The catch is reported in standard boxes of 2 kg .

In order to process the data given by the enterprise it is necessary to make a few conversions:

1 - Conversion of commercial size groups to length groups. This was done by utilizing length- weight relationships for the various species given by Le Reste, Marcille and Barbe (1974), based on their data from Madagascar.

2 - Conversion of productionof headless shrimps to total catch.

This was made by multiplying the first value by 1.65 , to compensate for the loss in weight of $39-40 \%$ when the head is taken off.

3 - Conversion of catch in weight per length group to catch in number per length group. In each length group, this was done by multiplying the catch in weight by the mean number of prawns per kg .

As neither of the two first conversion factors are based on empirical data from the area under study, and since the second factor may vary from species to species, there are of course a lot of uncertainties connected with these conversions. However, due to lack of data there are no other possibilities for calculating number caught per length group.

### 4.2 Monthly distribution of fishing effort, catch per hour of trawling and species composition $1974=1976$.

Table 4 shows total monthly catches reported in logbooks from the three mentioned enterprises for 1974-1976, catch per hour trawling for the EFRIPEL trawlers, total fishing effort corresponding to these total catches (calculated by: Total catch/catch per hour, EFRIPEL), and species composition (in weight) by month (based on data from EFRIPEL).
(i) Monthly distribution of fishing effort.

Table 5 shows the monthly distribution of the fishing effort of 19741976 calculated from the data in Table 4. The fishing effort seems to be more or less uniformly distributed over the year except for some decrease in December - January.
(ii) Total catch per hour trawling.

The total catch per hour trawling shows clear seasonal variations (Fig. 3) with highest catches in the first half of the year.

The mean catch per hour trawling showed a small decrease from 63 kg in 1974 to 52 kg in 1975. In 1976 there was a pronounced increase to 90 kg per hour.
(iii) Species composition of catches.

From Table 4 and Fig. 3 it can be seen that $P$. indicus and M. monoceros are the two dominant species in the catch throughout the whole year. P. monodon is the third most important species, and these three species make up $98-100 \%$ of the monthly catches. There are clear seasonal variations in the relative abundance of the three main species. The percentages of $P$. indicus and $P$. monodon are generally higher in the first half of the year than in the second half. Fig. 3 indicates that this is caused by the higher absolute abundance of these two species in the first half of the year. There is no clear seasonal variation in the catches of M. monoceros, thus the higher total catches in the first part of the year are caused by the seasonal fluctuations of $P$. indicus and P. monodon. All three species showed a higher abundance in 1976 than in 1974 and 1975. The seasonal variations in the catches of each main species will be discussed in more detail in the next section.

### 4.3 Number caught per hour trawling by species and size groups

Tables 6-8 give number caught per hour trawling by month, both in total and by size groups of $P$. indicus, $M_{\text {. monoceros }}$ and $P$. monodon,
for the period 1974-1976. All these data are based on information from the EFRIPEL trawlers only. For prawns larger than 13.5 cm (total length), it was not possible to give the data by 1 cm groups. A considerable amount of prawns larger than 13.5 cm may be landed with heads on. As seen from Table 3 these are sorted into only four size groups, usually called "king", "large", "medium" and "small" prawns. For $P$. indicus and M. monoceros, the "large" ones have been put into the length group $>18 \mathrm{~cm}$, the "medium" ones into the $15.5-18 \mathrm{~cm}$ group and the "small" ones into the $13.5-15.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ group. There are no "king" prawns among these two species. Concerning $P$. monodon, all prawns are recorded in the four groups for "heads on" prawns, and the corresponding approximate sizes in cm are given in Table 8. It should be noted that the size groups used in Tables 6-8 may not correspond exactly to the true sizes. As pointed out earlier, there are some uncertainties in the conversion factors used.
(i) P. indicus (Table 6, Fig. 4).

Total number caught per hour trawling shows a peak in February May in 1974 and in January - April 1975. The 1976 data indicate a higher abundance than in the previous two years, with one peak in February - June and a second one in August.

Prawns in length groups $13.5-15.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ and $15.5 .-18 \mathrm{~cm}$ dominated in the catches. These two groups show similar seasonal fluctuations with no observable time lag in abundance between the smaller and the larger prawns. The abundance of prawns smaller than 13.5 cm shows generally the same seasonal fluctuations as the abundance of the larger ones, but the periods of high abundance are shorter. This means that the prawns grow rapidly through the lower length groups and accumulate in the groups of larger individuals.

The data indicate that the main period of recruitment to the fishery is from January/February to April/May. Small prawns (< 13.5 cm ) are to some extent found throughout the whole year, but in very small
numbers from July to Novernber. The low number of P. indicus smaller than 13.5 cm caught, compared to the number of M. monoceros caught of the same size, indicates that $P$. indicus of these sizes are not yet fully recruited to the fishing areas. The other possibility would of course be that they escape through the meshes (the mesh size is 55 mm stretched), but the data for M. monoceros indicate that a significant proportion will still be kept by the net. However, no mesh selection experiments have been carried out. Using selection factors estimated for the deep sea prawn Pandalus borealis in Norwegian waters (Thomassen and Ulltang, 1974) with 36 mm mesh size, the $50 \%$ retention length with 55 mm mesh size would be ll-1l.5 cm. However, the validity of using selection factors estimated for quite different species caught with much smaller mesh sizes is doubtful.

Fig. 4 indicates that only a small proportion of the prawns which recruits the fishery during the first months of the year survives until the next main recruitment period. From the available data it is, however, not possible to follow each recruitment group as it grows through the various size groups. The lack of any detectable time lag between periods of peak abundance of the various size groups may be explained by large differences in growth between males and females. According to estimated growth curves for P. indicus off Madagascar (Le Reste et Marcille, 1976 a), females grow much faster than males, and, for example, when females are in length group $15.5 .-18 \mathrm{~cm}$, the males will be in the $13.5-15.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ group, and some will even be below 13.5 cm (see section 4.4). One therefore has to know the size composition separately for the catches of males and females if one wants to follow a cohort as it grows through the various size groups in the fishery. Unfortunately, no data were available during the present study to make such a separation.

According to data given by Le Reste and Marcille (1976 a), females of $P$. indicus off Madagascar reach maturity at a total length of 12-13 cm. The length data presented in Table 6 and Fig. 4 therefore indicate that most of the prawns in the catches were mature.
(ii) M. monoceros (Table 7, Fig. 5)

As already stated, the catches of M. monoceros did not show seasonal fluctuations to the same extent as the catches of $P$. indicus. The catches of prawns smaller than 12.5 cm showed an increasing trend from March/April to June/July and thereafter a decrease both in 1974 and 1975, but this pattern was broken in 1976. For the other length groups it is difficult to see any consistent pattern from year to year. The more stable catches of $M$. monoceros compared to $P$. indicus, may mean that the fishable stock is recruited more or less throughout the year, continuously or in more or less distinct groups. The data from 1974-1975 indicate, however, a peak in recruitment in JuneJuly.

By comparing growth curves estimated for P. indicus (Le Reste et Marcille, 1976 a) and M. monoceros (Le Reste et Marcille, 1976 b) off Madagascar, it can be seen that M. monoceros shows slower growth and has a much smaller length at recruitment to the adult stock, and also a considerably lower maximum length than $P$. indicus. This is in general agreement with the data presented in Tables 6-7. Prawns of lengths < 12.5 cm are much more abundant in the catches of M. monoceros than in those of $P$. indicus, while there are much fewer prawns of $\mathrm{M}_{\text {. monoceros }}$ of lengths $>15.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. However, while there are no obvious discrepancies between the growth curve given by Le Reste and Marcille for $P$. indicus and the data on size composition of catches given in this paper (Table 6), their growth curve for M. monoceros does not fit to the data on size composition of catches off Mogambique given in Table 7. For example, according to their growth curve, $L_{\infty}$ is 12.0 and 14.3 cm for males and females respectively, while Table 7 shows an appreciable quantity of prawns $>15.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. This probably means that the estimated growth of the tagged population studied off Madagascar is significantly different from the growth of the population off Mogambique studied in this paper.

It is impossible to draw any conclusions from Table 7 and Fig. 5 about how long a cohort contributes to the fishery. As discussed above for $P$. indicus, the possibilities for following a cohort through the
fishery would have been much better if length compositions were available separately for males and females.

```
P. monodon (Table 8, Fig. 6)
```

Prawns of P. monodon do not appear to recruit to the fishery in significant numbers before they reach a total length of approximately 17 cm . The seasonal fluctuations of the catches were similar to those of P. indicus. From the abundance of prawns of $17-19 \mathrm{~cm}$ it may be concluded that the main period of recruitment was April/June in 1974 and March/April in 1975. Also the abundance of this species was especially high in 1976. One recruitment group seems to have entered the fishery in February, while the main recruitment occured in May/ July. All size groups showed low abundance during the period September - December in all the three years, indicating a life span in the fishery of less than one year. The possibilities for doing a more detailed analysis of the data would have been greatly improved also for this species if length compositions were available separately for males and females.
4.4 Total mortality estimates
(i) Direct estimates from variation with time of number caught per hour trawling.

The basic assumption which has to be made when using this method is that changes in number caught per hour trawling reflect-apart from random variations-changes in abundance, and not changes in availability only. Because of the limited knowledge of the biology and behaviour of the prawns in this area, especially the lack of information on possible variations in area of distribution over the year, it is difficult to judge how valid this assumption is. Assuming, however, that the assumption is valid, the main difficulty in estimating mortalities from the data presented in section 4.3, Tabs. 6-8, is the lack of means to identify various recruitment groups or cohorts.

The number present in the fishable stock in any month $i$ is given by:

$$
N_{i}=N_{i-1} e^{-Z}+R_{i-1}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{i}-1}= & \text { number present in the fishable stock } \\
& \text { at the beginning of month } i-1 \\
\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{i}-1}= & \text { total instantaneous mortality } \\
& \text { coefficient in month } i-1 \\
\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}}= & \text { number of recruits which have entered } \\
& \text { the stock between time } \underline{i-1} \text { and } \underline{i} \text { (and are } \\
& \text { still alive at time } \underline{i} \text { ) }
\end{aligned}
$$

During periods of the year when there may be a significant but unknown recruitment, it is not possible to make any direct mortality estimates. From the discussion in the preceeding section, it is clear that this will be the case for the whole year concerning M. monoceros. For $P$. indicus and $P$. monodon there seems, however, to be periods of no or only a small recruitment. By assuming $R_{i}=0$, and that the number caught per hour trawling, $(\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{h})_{i}$, is proportional to $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{i}}$, the mortality during one month, $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{i}-1}$, is given by

$$
\ln \frac{N_{i-1}}{N_{i}}=\ln \frac{(\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{h})_{i-1}}{(\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{h})_{i}}
$$

For a larger period of no recruitment, the mean monthly mortality during the period may be estimated as the slope of the regression line of $\ln (C / h)_{i}$ against i $^{\prime}$
P. indicus

In 1974, the abundance of prawns of $11-11.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ and $11.5-12.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ indicates that there was almost no recruitment during the period June/September (Table 6, Fig. 4). The regression of $\ln (C / h)$ against month for the period May/September has a slope of -0.429 (Fig. 7a).

In 1975 the abundance of prawns in the two lower length groups indicates that there was almost no recruitment during the period MaySeptember. However, a plot of ( $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{h}$ ) against month for the period April - September (Fig. 7b) gives a curve which is concave upwards, indicating that some recruits were coming in. In the $12.5-13.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ group there was a consistent decrease in number caught until July, but in August the number increased again. It was therefore decided to use only results from the period April - July when calculating the regression line. This gave a slope of -0.387 .

In 1976 the abundance of the smaller prawns indicated some recruitment also in May - June, and some new recruits seemed also to come into the stock in September. Therefore only the period June. August could be used for mortality estimates. The regression line of $\ln (\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{h})$ against time for this period has a slope of -0.464 (Fig. 7c).

Of the three estimates of mean monthly mortality given above, the estimate from 1974 is regarded as the most reliable. There was a 4 month period of no, or only a small recruitment during this year. When plotting $\ln (C / h)$ against time for the corresponding 5 month period, starting with the last month of recruitment, a linear trend with no indication of curvilinear relationship was found. In 1975 a curvilinear relationship was found, even for the shorter period finally used for estimating $Z$, and in 1976 the period of no recruitment was too short to give a reliable estimate. The 1975 and 1976 estimates are, however, close to the 1974 estimate.

Assuming that there have been no changes in availability of the prawns to the fishing fleet during the periods studied, i.e. no changes in the catchability coefficient, the regression estimates indicate that the value of the total monthly mortality coefficient has been around 0.4 or slightly higher, as any recruitment during the periods studied would give a negative bias in the estimates.

## P. monodon

Even if the catch in number shows the same kind of seasonal variations for $P$. monodon as for $P$. indicus, it is not possible from the
catches by size groups (Table 8, Fig. 6) to identify periods of no recruitment. The catches of prawns less than 17 cm can not be used as an index of recruitment, because of the very few numbers caught in this size group during the whole year (except in FebruaryMarch 1974), and prawns of length $17-19 \mathrm{~cm}$ probably consist of both newly recruited individuals and older ones.

To obtain a rough idea of the probable level of the monthly mortality, it was decided simply to calculate the regression of $\ln (C / h)$ against time for periods of consistent decrease in C/h. From Fig. 6 it can be seen that June - September was such a period in 1974, as was May - September in 1975. The regression lines for these two periods have slopes of -0.82 and -0.41 respectively (Fig. 8).

There is, however, an inherent danger for seriously overestimating the rate of decrease in abundance with time when using this method. There certainly are large random variations in catches from month to month which are not connected with real changes in abundance. This may be especially true for this species, which makes up only a small percentage of the total catches. When defining a period of systematic decrease in abundance by use of the total catches, one would tend to start with a month where these random variations gave especially high catches, and to finish with a month of especially low catches. This argument may be illustrated by looking at the 1974 data. It may well be the case that the peak in abundance that year was in May, as in 1975, but that the fleet just by chance found good concentrations in June. If, instead of calculating the regression line for the period June - September, we use the period May - September, the slope will be -0.55 instead of -0.82 (Fig. 8a).

To conclude, it is not possible to make any definite estimates of monthly mortality for P. monodon. The regression lines in Fig. 8 indicate, however, that the mortality may be at the same level as that of $P$. indicus, or higher. Because of the abnormal seasonal fluctuations in 1976, no regression estimate was made for that year.
(ii) Estimates of total mortality utilizing information on growth.

The growth curves of the various species of prawns off Moqambique have not yet been established. However; as already stated in section 4.3, Le Reste and Marcille (1976a, 1976b) have estimated the growth curves of $P$. indicus and $M$. monoceros off Madagascar.
P. indicus:

Assuming a von Bertalanffy type of growth, Le Reste and Marcille found that the growth in mm carapacelength of prawns older than 3 months was given by the following equations:

Females: $L_{t}=L_{\infty}\left(1-e^{-k\left(t-t_{0}\right)}\right)=42.41\left(1-e^{-0.344(t-1.15)}\right)$
Males: $\quad L_{t}=L_{\infty}\left(1-e^{-k\left(t-t_{0}\right)}\right)=29.88\left(1-e^{-0.373(t-0.06)}\right)$

The corresponding curves for growth in total length are shown in Fig. 9. According to these growth curves, both males and females reach a length of 10 cm at 3 months old. From this age females grow faster, reaching the length of 12.5 cm at an age of 4.1 months, 13.5 cm at an age of 4.7 months and 15.5 cm at an age of 6.7 months. Males reach 12.5 cm at an age of 5.5 months, 13.5 cm at an age of 8 months and, having a $L_{\infty}$ of about 14.1 cm , never reach the length of 15.5 cm .

Assuming that males and females of one cohort recruit to the stock in the same number $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{R}}$ at an age of 4.1 months (i.e. when females reach 12.5 cm total length), and thereafter suffer a constant monthly fishing mortality $F$ and total mortality $Z$, the following equations will give the relative catches in number of the various size groups of the cohort throughout its life span:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{C_{12.5}-13.5 \mathrm{~cm}}{C_{13.5}-15.5 \mathrm{~cm}}=\frac{C_{12.5}-13.5 \mathrm{~cm}, \text { males }+C_{12.5}-13.5 \mathrm{~cm}, \text { females }}{C_{13.5-15.5} \mathrm{~cm}, \text { males }+C_{13.5}-15.5 \mathrm{~cm}, \text { females }} \\
& =\int_{t=5.5}^{8.0} F N_{R} e^{-(5.5-4.1) Z} e^{-(t-5.5) Z} d t+\int_{t=4.1}^{4.7} F N_{R} e^{-(t-4.1) Z_{2}} d t \\
& \int_{t=8.0}^{\infty} F N_{R} e^{-(8.0-4.1) Z} e^{-(t-8.0) Z_{d t}}+\int_{t=4.7}^{6.7} F N_{R} e^{-(4.7-4.1) Z} e^{-(t-4.7) Z_{d t}} \\
& =\frac{e^{-1.4 Z}\left(1-e^{-2.5 Z}\right)+1-e^{-0.6 Z}}{e^{-3.9 Z}+e^{-0.6 Z}\left(1-e^{-2 Z}\right)} \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

By similar reasoning:

$=\frac{e^{-3.9 Z}+e^{-0.6 Z}\left(1-e^{-2 Z}\right)}{e^{-2.6 Z}}$

Thus, these ratios are functions of $Z$. Values of (1) and (2) for selected values of $Z$ are given in Table 9 and Fig. 10.

Assuming that the prawns are not in the fishery for more than one year and that the main recruitment period starts in December, observed catch ratios corresponding to equations (1) and (2) were calculated for the EFRIPEL catches for the three periods shown in Table 10. Data for December 1973, January 1974 and December 1975 are not available.

The resulting mortality estimates are shown in Fig. 10. ${ }^{\text {1) }}$

The mortalities estimated from the ratio $C_{12.5-13.5} / C_{13.5-15.5 ~ c m ~}^{c m}$ are much lower than the estimates made in the preceeding section and, for the years 1975 and 1976 , also much lower than those calculated from the ratio $C_{13.5-15.5 \mathrm{~cm}} / C \$ 15.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. This may mean that prawns of $12.5-13.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ are not fully recruited to the fishery. From the way equation (l) was derived it can be seen that if the $12.5-13.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ group suffer a fishing mortality of $p \%$ of the fishing mortality on the fully recruited size groups, and if the fishing mortality is small compared to the total mortality, then the expected value of $C_{12.5}-13.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{/ C} 13.5-15.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ is only $\mathrm{p} \%$ of the value given by equation (l). If partial recruitment was the only explanation for the discrepancy between the estimates from the two ratios, then $p$ could of course be estimated by assuming that prawns larger than 13.5 cm were fully recruited. There are, however, several other potential sources of discrepancy. For example there may be some systematic errors in the size grouping of the catches, or the actual growth may not follow the assumed growth curve.

1) In the basic catch tables, the "small" prawns with heads on were allocated to the $13.5-15.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ group. These "small" prawns may however, include prawns as large as 17 cm . Therefore, a quantity or prawns originally allocated to the $13.5-15.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ group should be in the $>15.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ group. Even if this quantity is small compared to the total catches and does not influence conclusions made in other sections of this report, it could have an appreciable effect on the ratio $C_{13.5}-15.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{/ C}>15.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ because it is added to the numerator and subtracted from the denominator. Accordingly, a correction was made to the original grouping of the data, by calculating the percentage of "small" heads on prawns which should be in the $>15.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ group from the ratio $C_{15.5}-16.5 / C_{13.5-15.5 ~ c m ~ o b s e r v e d ~ f o r ~ t h e ~ c a t c h e s ~}$ of prawns landed without heads.

The mortality estimates from the ratio $C_{13.5-15.5 \mathrm{~cm} / C^{715} .5 \mathrm{~cm}}$ give a value of $Z=0.39$ for both 1975 and 1976 , while the 1974 data give a $Z$ value of only 0.1 . The $1975-1976$ value is at the same level as the estimates from regression of catch per hour trawling against time given in the preceeding section. The low 1974 estimate is caused by a relatively higher abundance of prawns larger than 15.5 cm in 1974 than in 1975 and 1976 . This may easily result from slightly different (more rapid) growth in 1974 than in 1975 and 1976, and illustrates how sensitive the method is for changes in growth. The 1975 and 1976 estimates indicate that the growth in those two years was similar, but this alone does not confirm the validity of the assumed growth curve. The agreement with the estimates in the preceeding section may, however, be taken as further evidence for the conclusion in that section, i.e. that the total monthly mortality has been at a level of about 0.4.
M. monoceros.

As discussed in section 4.3 the growth curve given by Le Reste and Marcille (1976 b) for M. monoceros off Madagascar seems not to correspond to the data on size composition of catches given in this paper. It is therefore doubtful whether mortality estimates based on that growth curve are valid. By comparing catches of prawns in length groups $12.5-13.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ and $13.5-15.5 \mathrm{~cm}$, the growth curve gives estimates of total monthly mortality around 0.2 for the years 1974-1976. (According to the growth curve these length groups consist of females only).

## 5. "SWEPT AREA" ESTIMATES OF STOCK SIZE AND FISHING MORTALITY.

If it is assumed that all prawns of fishable size present in the area swept by a trawl are caught, their density in that area is given by:

$$
\rho=\text { catch per hour trawling/area swept per hour trawling }
$$

The area swept is given by:

Width covered by the trawl $x$ distance trawled

The EFRIPEL trawlers are double rigged, i.e. are fishing with two trawls simultaneously. According to information on the trawls used, the distance between the trawl doors is approximately 17 m ; and in further calculations it will be assumed that the trawl effectively catches every prawn within that width. This will probably be an overestimate of the effective width covered.

Information in logbooks of the EFRIPEL trawlers indicated a trawling speed of $2-3$ knots. If a mean speed of 3 knots is assumed, thus rather overestimating that underestimating the area swept, the area swept by two trawls during one hour of trawling will be

$$
2 \times 0.017 \times 3 \times 1.85 \mathrm{~km}^{2}=0.1887 \mathrm{~km}^{2}
$$

and a catch of 1 kg per hour will correspond to a density of

$$
1 / 0.1887 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{km}^{2}=5.3 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{km}^{2}
$$

This will probably give an underestimate of the density in the areas trawled, because of overestimation of area swept and the unrealistic assumption that all prawns present in this area are caught.

Figs. ll-13 show the number of days fishing in each square in the area studied of four of the EFRIPEL trawlers (Vega I-IV) during the years 1974-1976. For one of the trawlers, similar maps were made for each month, but no pronounced seasonal variations in fishing area were found. All the EFRIPEL trawlers fish from the port of Quelimane, and it can be seen that they fish in two more or less separate areas, a northern and a southern one. These'two fishing areas were used to estimate a total area of prawn distribution by assuming that the prawns were distributed from the coast outwards to a depth of 40 m . The resulting areas are shown in Fig. l4, covering a total area of $17000 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$. Assuming that the catch per hour trawling is a measure of the mean density of prawns within this area, the
total stock size is given by
(1) $S=\frac{\text { catch per hour }}{\text { area swept per hour }} \times$ total area $=\frac{\text { catch per hour }}{0.1887} \times 17000 \mathrm{~kg}$

There are several potential sources of error in this method:
(i) Calculation of area swept: If the area swept is overestimated, $S$ may be underestimated.
(ii) The assumption that all prawns within the area swept are caught: If this assumption is invalid, $S$ may again be underestimated.
(iii) The assumption that the observed catch per hour applies to the whole area: If there are smaller areas of especially high abundance within the total area and the trawlers know these areas and concentrate their fishing there, the catch per hour trawling is not representative for the total area, and $S$ may be overestimated.
(iv) Calculation of total area of distribution: The definition of the area is mainly based on the distribution of the EFRIPEL fishing fleet. There are, however, probably significant quantities of prawns outside the area shown in Fig. 14, both further off the coast than assumed and especially south of the southern area, where it is known that other trawlers carry out fishing.

Although local variations in prawn density may lead to considerable overestimates of overall density, as discussed under (iii), the other factors, especially (iv) will probably more than compensate for this, and therefore it is concluded that the stock size estimates given by (1) most likely will be underestimates of the total stock of shallow water prawns (of fishable size) north of Beira. However, it should be stressed that until additional information on prawn distribution from for example stratified random trawl surveys are available, it is difficult to judge the validity of the method.

The stock size estimates corresponding to the observed catch per hour for each month and species are shown in Fig. 3. The estimated mean annual stock sizes (standing stock) using the mean annual catch per hour, are shown in the Table below.

| Year | Mean annual stock size (tonnes) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | P.indicus | M. monoceros | P。monodon | Total |
|  | 2440 | 2710 | 480 | 5680 |
| 1975 | 2040 | 2270 | 330 | 4680 |
| 1976 | 4010 | 3440 | 580 | 8110 |

These estimates include only prawns of fishable size, and correspond therefore more or less to the adult stock.

Monthly or annual fishing mortalities may be estimated from the "swept area" method either by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=q f=\frac{\text { area swept per hour }}{\text { total area }} \times f \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f$ is total fishing effort measured in EFRIPEL trawling hours, and $q$ the catchability coefficient,
or by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=\frac{C}{S} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is the total catch and $S$ the estimated mean biomass within the month or the year. These two methods are mathematically identical when $S$ is estimated by equation (1), and when total effort is estimated by total catch/catch per hour for the EFRIPEL trawlers.

Assuming a total annual catch of about l0-12 000 tonnes from the area during the years 1974-1976, the stock size estimates given above would imply the following values for the fishing mortality of the total stock of all species:

| Year | $\mathrm{C}=10000$ tonnes |  | $C=12000$ tonnes |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $F_{\text {annual }}$ | $\overline{\mathrm{F}}_{\text {monthly }}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{\text {annual }}$ | $\overline{\mathrm{F}}_{\text {monthly }}$ |
|  | 1.76 | 0.147 | 2.11 | 0.176 |
| 1975 | 2.14 | 0.178 | 2.56 | 0.213 |
| 1976 | 1.23 | 0.103 | 1.48 | 0.123 |

As the species are fished as a mixture, it may be assumed that the fishing mortality does not differ to any great extent between the main species.

As pointed out in section 4.2, the national fishing effort seems to be more or less uniformly distributed over the whole year. This is probably also the case for the Spanish effort. It may therefore be assumed that the $F$ for a given month or period of the year is not significantly different from the mean $F$.

If the stock size estimates are minimum ones, and the catch estimates are maximum values, than the estimated $F$ values are maximum estimates. According to the discussion in sections 3.1 and 3.2 the catches in 1976 may have been close to 12000 tonnes (6000-7000 from the national fleet and 5000 from the Spanish fleet), while the catches in 1974 and 1975 may have been closer to 10000 tonnes because of the probably smaller Spanish catches during those years.

According to the table above this gives $F$ values of $0.147,0.178$ and 0.123 in 1974 , 1975 and 1976 respectively, with a mean of $0.149 . \mathrm{F}=0.15$ may therefore be regarded as a first maximum estimate of the mean monthly fishing mortality during the years 1974-1976.

If a trawling speed of 2.5 instead of 3 knots is assumed, the corresponding estimate of the mean monthly F will be 0.125.
6. YIELD PER RECRUIT STUDIES FOR P. INDICUS

### 6.1 Value of natural mortality (M)

Le Reste and Marcille (1976 a) estimated the value of M for P. indicus off Madagascar from a large tagging experiment. There was considerable variation in values obtained from the various parts of the experiment. For mature prawns the authors concluded that a monthly $M=0.22$ could be regarded as a first approximation, and $M=0.37$ as a maximum value.

The total mortality estimates given in section 4.4 of this paper, indicate that the monthly mortality for P. indicus in the area under consideration has been at a level of about 0.4 during the most recent years (1974-1976)。If the 1974 estimate of 0.43 is taken as the most reliable (see section 4.4 ), and the estimated mean $F$ for 1974 of 0.15 is subtracted from this value, the Mozambican data indicate an $M=0.43-0.15=0.28$. Therefore, a value of about 0.3 could be assumed as a first approximation. It should be noted that this is approximately the mean of the two values suggested by Le Reste and Marcille for P. indicus off Madagascar.

### 6.2 Yield per recruit curves

Yield per recruit curves were calculated separately for males and females, using the growth curves from Madagascar (Fig. 9), assuming that total weight was proportional to the cube of the carapace length. M was assumed to be 0.3 , and the mean length at time of recruitment to the fishery $\left(1_{r}\right)$ was assumed to be 12.25 cm for both males and females. $1_{r}$ will probably differ to some extent between males and females, but there was no possibility to estimate the $1_{r}$ 's from the present data, and the value used should be regarded as a first approximation only.

The resulting $Y / R$-curves (relative values) are shown in Fig. 15. Most of the yield comes from the female population. There is no clear maximum on the curves. The value of $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$ or $\mathrm{F}_{\text {opt }}$ (ICES 1977) is approximately 0.35 for the total yield per recruit curve.

Yield per recruit curves were also calculated assuming $l_{r}=11.5$ cm and $1_{r}=13 \mathrm{~cm}$. For F values in the range $0-0.4$, the $Y / R$ increased a little when decreasing $l_{r}$ to 11.5 cm , and decreased a little when increasing $l_{r}$ to 13.0 cm .

### 6.3 Preliminary conclusions from the yield per recruit curves

In section 5 it was concluded that the monthly fishing mortality during the years 1974-1976 was probably at a level of 0.15 or less. Because $Y / R$ curves are steep at low values of $F$, the conclusions from the $Y / R$ studies are very dependent on the exact value of $F$. For example, if the $Y / R$ corresponding to $F_{0.1}=0.35$ is taken as an optimum value, $F=0.15$ gives a $Y / R$ of approximately $69 \%$ of the optimum, while $F=0.10$ gives a $Y / R$ of approximately $54 \%$ of the optimum. This means that by increasing $F$ to $0.35, Y / R$ would increase by $45 \%$ or $85 \%$, depending on whether the present level is 0.15 or 0.10 .

Taking $F=0.15$ as a maximum value for the fishing mortality during the recent years, a minimum estimate of the expected increase in $Y / R$ by increasing $F$ to the $F_{0.1}$ level would thus be 45\%, under the assumption that the assumed growth parameters and natural mortality are correct. Of these factors, the value of $M$ is by far the most uncertain and critical. A lower value of $M$ would imply a smaller expected increase in yield per recruit.

The expected increase in $Y / R$ can be converted to expected increase in total yield if the increase in $F$ does not reduce the spawning potential to such an extent that future recruitment is affected. An increase in $F$ from 0.15 to 0.35 would reduce the biomass of the fishable stock by approximately 38\%, the fishable stock biomass being proportional to the catch per unit of effort plotted in Fig. 15. Compared to the unexploited stock, the reduction would be approximately 63\%. If the exploited stock and the mature stock are identical, these percentages could be taken as approximations for the reduction in the spawning potential. As some juveniles are also probably caught, the reduction of the spawning potential would be a little higher. Thus, a fishing mortality of 0.35 would probably reduce the spawning stock to about $1 / 3$ of its unexploited level.

As far as the authors know, there exist no data on the relationship between spawning stock and resulting recruitment for penaeid prawns. For exploited fish stocks, $1 / 3$ of the unexploited stock has in a number of cases been recommended as a safety level below which the spawning stock should not be reduced. Choosing this minimum constraint on the size of the spawning stock, both spawning stock considerations and $Y / R$ studies indicate that the monthly fishing mortality should in any case not exceed 0.35 .

A fishing mortality of 0.2 will reduce the fishable biomass to about $50 \%$ of the unexploited one. Until more exact information is available, this could be taken as a first upper level above which the fishing mortality should not extend.

Table ll shows expected values of yield per recruit and catch per unit of effort for selected values of $F$, relative to $F=0.10$. An increase in $F$ from 0.15 to 0.2 would give an increase in yield of about $16 \%$. Above this level any further increase in fishing effort would give much smaller increases in yield and should therefore not be allowed before a much more detailed assessment, based on data accumulated during the period, nas been carried out. As fishing effort increases, economical considerations have to be taken more and more into account, because the additional yield which may be expected from a certain increase in fishing effort, will steadily decrease.

It was not possible to carry out any yield per recruit studies for the other species because of lack of information on growth and natural mortality. The conclusions arrived at for P. indicus will be valid for the other two main species if their yield per recruit curves have a similar form (assuming that $F$ is the same for all species).

This may of course not be the case, but lacking further information the conclusions from the yield per recruit for $p$. indicus were, as a first approximation, transferred to the whole population of shallow water prawns in the area considered. Although P. indicus makes up nearly $50 \%$ of the total catches, some extra uncertainties are introduced by this generalization.

If the catch level corresponding to $F=0.15$ is 12000 tonnes, an increase in $F$ to 0.2 , i.e. 33\% increase in fishing effort, would increase catches to about 14000 tonnes. The catches corresponding to theF $0_{0.1}$ level would be about 17000 tonnes. These figures should, however, be interpreted with caution, especially because of uncertainties about the 1974-1976 fishing mortalities and catch levels. If the monthly fishing mortality during the period 1974-1976 was at a significant lower level than 0.15 , an increase in fishing effort of $33 \%$ would give much larger increases in yield than indicated above. Data on the development in catches and fishing effort since 1976 would give the first indication of whether the $F$ level indicated by the present study is far from the truth. It should however be noted that variations in recruitment would give variations in the catches corresponding to a certain effort level. The present study indicates for example that the recruitment in 1976 was higher than in 1974-1975. It may also be expected that, for example, unfavourable conditions in the mangrove areas some years can result in much lower recruitment than in 1974-1975 and therefore lower catches.
7. SUMMARY OF THE PRESENT ASSESSMENT
7.1

The lack of precise information on total catches and fishing effort during the recent years in the area considered, limited to a large extent the types of models which could be used in this preliminary assessment. However, information on catches, fishing effort and size and species composition of catches from logbooks of a part of the national fleet, especially the EFRIPEL trawlers, made it possible to make some first estimates of mortalities and stock sizes.

## 7.2

Of the three main species, $P$. indicus and $P$. monodon show pronounced seasonal fluctuations in abundance, indicating a main recruitment to the fishing areas during $2-3$ months in the first half of the year and a life time of not more than one year after
recruitment. Catches of $M$. monoceros showed a much more stable level, indicating that recruitment occur more or less throughout the whole year. No conclusions could be drawn about the longevity of this species.
7.3

Estimates of total monthly mortality coefficient $Z$ for $P$. indicus were made by two different methods; firstly by studying the decrease in number caught per nour trawling with time during periods of no, or only a small recruitment, and secondly by comparing catches of various size groups, utilizing information on growth. It was concluded that $Z$ during the years 1974-1976 was probably at a level of around 0.4. The first method was also applied to the catches of P. monodon, indicating a $Z$ at the same level or higher, but the method was in this case more uncertain.

## 7.4

Utilizing information on total catch per hour trawling for the EFRIPEL trawlers, it was estimated by the "swept area" method that the mean annual stock size of shallow water prawns in the area considered was about 5000 tonnes in 1974-1975 and about 8000 tonnes in 1976. These figures are considered to be minimum estimates.
7.5

Assuming that the total annual catches were at a level of 10000 tonnes in 1974-1975 and 12000 tonnes in 1976, the stock size estimates given above would imply a maximum value of the mean monthly fishing mortality of 0.15 in 1974-1976. Utilizing the estimates of total and fishing mortality for $P$. indicus in 1974, the monthly natural mortality was estimated to be slightly below 0.3 .
7.6

Yield per recruit studies for $P$. indicus show that significant increases in catches may be expected if the fishing effort is
increased above the 1974-1976 level ( $F=0.15$ ). The yield per recruit corresponding to $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}=0.35$ is estimated to be $45 \%$ above the 1974-1976 value. However, because of all uncertainties in the various estimates, it is concluded that, as a first approach, $F$ should not exceed 0.2. By an increase in $F$ to 0.2 , an increase in yield of about $16 \%$ could be expected. If the catch level corresponding to $F=0.15$ is 12000 tonnes, this increase in $F$ would give catches of about 14000 tonnes. The catch corresponding to $F_{0.1}$ would be approximately 17000 tonnes. All these figures are based on the assumption that the main species have yield per recruit curves similar to P. indicus. It is pointed out that the figures must be interpreted with caution, especially because of uncertainties about the 1974-1976 level of catches and stock size. It should also be noted that the figures are based on the assumption that the lower spawning stock sizes corresponding to these higher effort levels will not cause any reduction in future recruitment. Variations in catches from year to year caused by variations in recruitment may be expected.

The basic data which are required to make a more detailed and precises assessment of the prawn stocks off Moçambique have to some extent been indicated in the discussion in the preceeding sections. Some basic requirements are summarized below.

### 8.1 Catch and effort statistics

Collection of reliable figures for total catches of shallow water prawns and corresponding fishing effort should have the highest priority. More detailed catch and effort statistics broken down into species, month and statistical squares, should be collected from as large a part of the fleet as possible.

### 8.2 Sampling of catches

Part of the fleet already reports catches by species and size groups. A sampling programme should, however, be initiated as soon as possible in order to
(1) get a more precise estimate of length frequency and number per kilo by sampling the various size groups in the catches which are reported by such groups;
(2) check the size and species composition, and number per kilo, in the catches which are not reported by species and size groups.

The discussion in section 4 stresses the need for obtaining estimates of number caught in the various size groups separately for males and females. This could be achieved by sampling each length group for sex composition.

The sampling should cover the catches adequately both in time and area.

## 8. 3 Sampling of the juvenile population

At present, almost no information is available about the prawns before they come into the industrial fishery as adults. In order to get more biological information about the juveniles, a sampling programme should be initiated in the coastal nursery areas. By sampling the juvenile population for size composition at regular time intervals, the various recruitment groups could be identified and the growth at the juvenile stage could be estimated. At present, samples could be most easily obtained from catches made for local consumption along the coast.

### 8.4 Research vessel surveysin areas of distribution of the adult population.

Stratified random trawl surveys (stratification by area and depth) should be carried out to get information on
(i). distribution of the various species and size groups by area and depth;
(ii) changes in density from year to year, and from season to season within a year;
(iii) stock size estimates from the "swept area" method.

Biological samples obtained during such surveys would give additional information on, for example, maturity, times of spawning and times of recruitment.

Part of the first surveys could be allocated to mesh selection experiments.

### 8.5 Environmental data

The distribution of the adult population is probably closely connected to hydrographical conditions, and hydrographical data should be collected
regularly during research vessel surveys. In order to get more information about the factors which determine recruitment strength, environmental data should be collected both at sea during times of spawning and in the mangrove areas. Any changes in the mangrove areas should be followed especially closely.
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Table $1 . \quad$ Characteristics of the national fleet fishing in the area north of Beira in 1976 .

| Classes of gross tonnage | Number <br> Of boats | Engine Power$\left(\right.$ H. P. $^{\text {P }}$ |  | Number of boats that utilize ice to conserve the prawns | Boats with cold storage of $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  | Boats with quick freezers of $-35 /-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and cold storage |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Number | cold s capaci | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fage } \\ & \text { (tonnes) } \end{aligned}$ | Number | Quick freezing <br> capacity (tomnes/day) |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Mean |  | Range | Mean | Range |
| 9-50 | 8 | 179 | 100-~425 |  | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 50-100 | 5 | 368 | 230-560 | 0 | 4 | 22 | 12-32.5 | 1 | x |  |
| 100-200 | 35 | 415 | 231-650 | 0 | 18 | 27 | 5-32.5 | 17 | 2 | I. $5-3$ |
| 200-365 | 3 | 1000 | 500-1250 | 0 | 1 | 17 | 0 | 2 | 14 | 0 |

Table 2. Catches 1973-1976 of the three enterprises EFRIPEL, IMPESCAL and ARPEM reported in logbooks, and the proportions of these catches which are reported by species and/or commercial size groups.

| year | Catches <br> (tonnes) | Catches reported <br> by species and <br> commercial size <br> groups | Catches reported <br> by commercial <br> size groups only | Catches repor- <br> ted without <br> any classifi- <br> cation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1973 | 593 | $0 \%$ | $67 \%$ |  |
| 1974 | 2727 | $36 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $33 \%$ |

Table 3. Commercial size classifications used in the logbooks.

| Number per pound of prawns with head on | Number per pound of headless prawns |
| :---: | :---: |
| <6 6-7 8-10 >10 | $<16 \quad 16-20 \quad 21-25 \quad 26-30 \quad 31-40 \quad 41-50 \quad 51-60 \quad 61-70$ |

Table 4. Total logbook reported catches (C), catch per hour trawling for the EFRIPEL trawlers (C/h) total effort (f) in EFRIPEL units corresponding to $C$ and species composition by month.

Year : 1974

| Month | $\begin{gathered} \text { C } \\ \text { (tonnes) } \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{h}$ | $$ | Species composition'(\% weight) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | P.indicus | M.monoceros | P.monodon | P. japenicus |
| January | 117.5 | $65^{\text {\# }}$ | 1807 |  |  |  |  |
| February | 228.6 | 65 | 3517 | 49.8 | 44.3 | 5.7 | 0.1 |
| March | 261.8 | 62 | 4222 | 61.8 | 32.3 | 5.8 | 0.2 |
| April | 307.5 | 98 | 3138 | 58.2 | 30.8 | 9.8 | 1.2 |
| May | 393.7 | 90 | 4375 | 49.1 | 39.1 | 10.1 | 1.7 |
| June | 389.2 | 78 | 4989 | 40.6 | 42.6 | 16.4 | 0.4 |
| July | 253.1 | 54 | 4687 | 40.0 | 48.7 | 10.5 | 0.8 |
| August | 215.3 | 50 | 4306 | 46.6 | 45.8 | 6.6 | 1.1 |
| September | 186.5 | 42 | 4442 | 22.9 | 72.3 | 2.5 | 2.4 |
| October | 168.1 | 48 | 3503 | 29.7 | 65.8 | 4.1 | 0.4 |
| November | 143.7 | 47 | 3058 | 21.6 | 75.1 | 3.2 | 0.1 |
| December | 62.2 | 64 | 972 | 41.7 | 53.4 | 4.7 | 0.2 |
| Total | 2727.3 | 63 | 43016 | 43.0 | 47.7 | 8.4 | 0.9 |

¥ No date available. Value of pebruary used.

Year : 1975

| Month | $\begin{gathered} C \\ \text { (tonnes) } \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{c} / \mathrm{h}$ | $f$(hours trawling) | Species composition (\% weight) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | P.indicus | M.monoceros | P. monodon | P: japonicus |
| January | 185.7 | 67 | 2771 | 45.2 | 52.4 | 2.3 | $0: 1$ |
| February | 247.6 | 74 | 3346 | 65.3 | 31.9 | 2.6 | 0.3 |
| March | 193.2 | 52 | 3716 | 56.2 | 35.5 | 7.9 | 0.3 |
| April | 213.5 | 73 | 2925 | 57.1 | 32.6 | 9.0 | 1.3 |
| May | 178.9 | 58 | 3085 | 37.1 | 44.5 | 17.9 | 0.5 |
| June | 185.9 | 60 | 3099 | 29.3 | 60.5 | 9.9 | 0.4 |
| July | 199.4 | 48 | 4155 | 32.5 | 55.3 | 10.6 | 1.6 |
| August | 196.9 | 37 | 5321 | 37.7 | 52.6 | 8.8 | 0.9 |
| September | 146.0 | 38 | 3843 | 45.6 | 47.7 | 4.4 | 2.4 |
| October | 136.3 | 39 | 3496 | 26.0 | 69.2 | 3.7 | 1.1 |
| November | 141.6 | 46 | 3078 | 24.7 | 70.0 | 5.2 | 0.2 |
| December | 28.5 | $62^{*}$ | 460 |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 2053.6 | 52 | 39295 | 43.6 | 48.5 | 7.1 | 0.8 |

* No data available. Mean between December 75 and January 76 used.

Table 4 (Cont.)

Year : 1976

| Month | $\begin{gathered} C \\ \text { (tonnes) } \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{c} / \mathrm{h}$ | $\begin{gathered} f \\ \text { (hours trawling) } \end{gathered}$ | Species composition (\% weight) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | P.indicus | M.monoceros | P.monodon | P.japonicus |
| January | 62.2 | 77 | 808 | 48.9 | 45.5 | 5.4 | 0.2 |
| February | 261.5 | 85 | 3076 | 58.8 | 26.7 | 14.3 | 0.1 |
| March | 268.2 | 96 | 2793 | 55.0 | 38.7 | 5.4 | 1.0 |
| April | 328.9 | 119 | 2764 | 58.2 | 33.4 | 7.7 | 0.7 |
| May | 319.8 | 114 | 2805 | 60.5 | 30.8 | 18.6 | 0.1 |
| June | 341.1 | 115 | 2966 | 60.0 | 33.1 | 6.4 | 0.5 |
| July | 250.9 | 111 | 2260 | 42.4 | 42.3 | 14.8 | 0.5 |
| August | 226.6 | 81 | 2798 | 39.1 | 52.8 | 6.6 | 1.5 |
| September | 211.9 | 92 | 2303 | 65.3 | 30.3 | 3.2 | 1.2 |
| October | 151.1 | 48 | 3147 | 18.9 | 78.2 | 2.6 | 0.3 |
| November | 182.0 | 63 | 2889 | 15.8 | 82.3 | 1.8 | 0.0 |
| December | 148.3 | 75 | 1977 | 26.6 | 64.3 | 3.6 | 5.6 |
| Total | 2752.5 | 90 | 30586 | 49.4 | 42.4 | 7.1 | 1.1 |

Table 5. Monthly distribution of fishing effort (8).

| Month | 1974 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year } \\ & 1975 \end{aligned}$ | 1976 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| January | 4.2 | 7.1 | 2.6 |
| February | 8.2 | 8.5 | 10.1 |
| March | 9.8 | 9.5 | 9.1 |
| April | 7.3 | 7.4 | 9.0 |
| May | 10.2 | 7.9 | 9.2 |
| June | 11.6 | 7.9 | 9.7 |
| July | 10.9 | 10.6 | 7.4 |
| August | 10.0 | 13.5 | 9.1 |
| September | 10.3 | 9.8 | 7.5 |
| October | 8.1 | 8.9 | 10.3 |
| November | 7.1 | 7.8 | 9.4 |
| December | 2.3 | 1.2 | 6.5 |

Table 6. P.indicus. Number caught per hour trawling by length groups.
Year:
1974

| Month | Hours trawling | Length ( cm total length) |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $11-11.5$ | $11.5-12.5$ | 12.5-13.5 | 13.5-15.5 | 15,5-18 | $>18$ |  |
| January |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| February | 594 | 48 | 96 | 257 | 701 | 214 | 2 | 1319 |
| March | 1216 | 28 | 40 | 110 | 799 | 341 | 4 | 1322 |
| April | 1169 | 20 | 81 | 399 | 1110 | 505 | 3 | 2119 |
| May | 1654 | 12 | 50 | 267 | 74.7 | 488 | 17 | 1581 |
| June | 1812 | 1 | 12 | 107 | 437 | 458 | 24 | 1040 |
| July | 1797 | 0 | 2 | 37 | 183 | 325 | 40 | 587 |
| August | 1910 | 0 | 3 | 22 | 123 | 282 | 84 | 514 |
| September | 1840 | 0 | 8 | 28 | 119 | 103 | 6 | 263 |
| October | 1991 | 4 | 16 | 63 | 225 | 155 | $+$ | 464 |
| November | 1153 | 1 | 11 | 39 | 153 | 117 | + | 320 |
| December | 669 | 11 | 41 | 172 | 525 | 251 | 1 | 1003 |


| Month | Hours trawling | Length (cm total length) |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $11-11.5$ | 11.5-12.5 | 12.5-13.5 | $13.5-15.5$ | 15.5-18 | $>18$ |  |
| January | 1790 | 12 | 98 | 258 | 615 | 218 | 1 | 1202 |
| February | 1656 | 7 | 115 | 437 | 1021 | 365 | 1 | 1946 |
| March | 1948 | 0 | 88 | 261 | 625 | 223 | + | 1197 |
| April | 1086 | + | 46 | 189 | 843 | 425 | + | 1503 |
| May | 11091 | 0 | 7 | 36 | 444 | 239 | 1 | 726 |
| June | 1557 | $+$ | 6 | 33 | 322 | 175 | + | 537 |
| July | 2051 | $+$ | 2 | 18 | 274 | 162 | + | 457 |
| August | 2079 | 1 | 5 | 35 | 228 | 146 | 0 | 415 |
| September | 1868 | 1 | 6 | 30 | 254 | 182 | 0 | 473 |
| October | 1708 | 1 | 10 | 39 | 181 | 88 | 0 | 319 |
| November | 1029 | 0 | 5 | 33 | 234 | 96 | 0 | 368. |
| December |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Month | Hours trawling | Length ( cm total length) |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 11-11.5 | $11_{*} 5-12.5$ | 12.5-13.5 | 13¢ $5-15.5$ | 15.5-18 | \$ 18 |  |
| January | 418 | 27 | 99 | 174 | 807 | 229 | 0 | 1336 |
| February | 1325 | 33 | 157 | 371 | 1211 | 231 | 0 | 2004 |
| March | 1574 | 21 | 97. | 217 | 1126 | 451 | $+$ | 1912 |
| April | 1452 | 7 | 64 | 193 | 1398 | 663 | 0 | 2326 |
| May | 1285 | 9 | 68 | 239 | 1428 | 599 | 0 | 2343 |
| June | 1244 | 2 | 28 | 179 | 1339 | 680 | 0 | 2228 |
| July | 1004 | 0 | 4 | 59 | 878 | 466 | 1 | 1408 |
| August | 1402 | 0 | 2 | 45 | 552 | 283 | 0 | 881 |
| September | 1352 | 9. | 22 | 129 | 1175 | 454 | + | 1789 |
| October | 830 | 0 | 2 | 37 | 158 | 97 | 0 | 296 |
| November | 1277 | 0 | 6 | 24 | 180 | 102 | 0 | 311 |
| December | 1538 | 50 | 70 | 139 | 432 | 107 | $+$ | 798 |

Table 7. M.monoceros. Number caught per hour trawling by length groups.

Year : 1974

| Month | Hours trawling | Length (cm total length) |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $<11.5$ | 11.5-12.5 | 12.5-13.5 | 13.5-15.5 | 15.5-18 | $>18$ |  |
| January |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| February | 594 | 116 | 203 | 248 | 342 | 104 | + | 1013 |
| March | 1216 | 72 | 127 | 170 | 251 | 61 | - | 681 |
| April | 1169 | 30 | 149 | 172 | 498 | 135 | - | 984 |
| May | 1654 | 33 | 167 | 160 | 595 | 141 | - | 1096 |
| June | 1812 | 170 | 255 | 219 | 475 | 108 | - | 1229 |
| July | 1797 | 224 | 410 | 233 | 329 | 76 | - | 1271 |
| August | 1910 | 57 | 242 | 264 | 338 | 106 | + | 1007 |
| September | 1840 | 49 | 311 | 301 | 457 | 170 | - | 1287 |
| October | 1991 | 41 | 211 | 296 | 514 | 198 | + | 1259 |
| November | 1153 | 69 | 232 | 298 | 551 | 243 | - | 1393 |
| December | 669 | 35 | 174 | 256 | 577 | 224 | 1 | 1267 |

Table 7 (Cont.)

Year : 1975

| Month | Hours <br> trawling | Length ( cm total length) |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | < 11.5 | 11.5-12.5 | 12.5-13.5 | 13.5-15.5 | 15.5-18 | > 18 |  |
| January | 1790 | 40 | 236 | 365 | 672 | 131 | - | 1443 |
| February | 1656 | 16 | 139 | 184 | 466 | 96 | - | 901 |
| March | 1948 | 10 | 102 | 119 | 343 | 86 | - | 660 |
| April | 1086 | 21 | 144 | 166 | 476 | 76 | - | 883 |
| May | 1109 | 66 | 253 | 267 | 487 | 43 | - | 1116 |
| June | 1557 | 161 | 527 | 392 | 589 | 59 | - | 1727 |
| July | 2051 | 268 | 489 | 325 | 385 | 37 | - | 1504 |
| August | 2079 | 161 | 284 | 244 | 324 | 45 | - | 1059 |
| September | 1868 | 160 | 286 | 229 | 304 | 30 | - | 1009 |
| October | 1708 | 112 | 282 | 413 | 483 | 79 | - | 1370 |
| November | 1029 | 185 | 342 | 424 | 508 | 142 | - | 1600 |
| December |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 7 (Cont.)

Year : 1976

| Month | Hours trawling | Length (cm total length) |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $<11.5$ | 11.5-12.5 | 12.5-13.5 | 13.5-15.5 | $155-18$ | > 18 |  |
| January | 418 | 75 | 312 | 487 | 616 | 140 | - | 1632 |
| February | 1325 | 18 | 136 | 245 | 428 | 95 | - | 922 |
| March | 1574 | 47 | 233 | 316 | 680 | 193 | - | 1470 |
| April | 1452 | 48 | 231 | 289 | 771 | 159 | - | 1497 |
| May | 1285 | 22 | 147 | 209 | 640 | 163 | - | 1182 |
| June | 1244 | 16 | 137 | 174 | 758 | 146 | - | 1231 |
| July | 1004 | 31 | 184 | 289 | 873 | 280 | - | 1658 |
| August | 1402 | 98 | 370 | 335 | 793 | 133 | - | 1729 |
| September | 1352 | 46 | 218 | 224 | 587 | 61 | - | 1136 |
| October | 830 | 38 | 226 | 317 | 647 | 297 | - | 1524 |
| November | 1277 | 69 | 306 | 465 | 936 | 351 | - | 2128 |
| December | 1538 | 75 | 306 | 534 | 845 | 268 | - | 2041 |

Table 8. P.monodon. Number caught per hour trawling by length groups.

Year : 1974

| Month | Hours trawling | Length (cm total length) |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $<17$ | 17-19 | 19-21 | $>21$ |  |
| January |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| February | 594 | 50 | 3 | 9 | 4 | 65 |
| March | 1216 | 37 | 3 | 9 | 6 | 55 |
| April | 1169 | 7 | 35 | 28 | 26 | 96 |
| May | 1654 | 2 | 37 | 17 | 28 | 85 |
| June | 1812 | 1 | 73 | 27 | 33 | 134 |
| July | 1797 | - | 27 | 12 | 16 | 55 |
| August | 1910 | 1 | 24 | 6 | 7 | 38 |
| September | 1840 | + | 4 | 2 | 3 | 10 |
| October | 1991 | + | 10 | 3 | 6 | 19 |
| November | 1153 | + | 8 | 3 | 4 | 15 |
| December | 669 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 12 | 24 |

Table'8 (Cont.)

Year : 1975

| Month | Hours trawling | Length (cm total length) |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $<17$ | 17-19 | 19-21 | $>21$ |  |
| January | 1790 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 15 |
| February | 1656 | + | 6 | 2 | 7 | 15 |
| March | 1948 | 1 | 21 | 5 | 13 | 39 |
| April | 1086 | 1 | 31 | 11 | 20 | 63 |
| May | 1109 | 2 | 46 | 20 | 31 | 99 |
| June | 1557 | 1 | 25 | 14 | 17 | 57 |
| July | 2051 | + | 26 | 13 | 14 | 53 |
| August | 2079 | 1 | 26 | 8 | 6 | 41 |
| September | 1868 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 5 | 15 |
| October | 1708 | + | 5 | 3 | 4 | 13 |
| November | 1029 | + | 5. | 7 | 8 | 20 |
| December |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 8 (Cont.)

Year : 1976

| Month | Hours trawling | Length ( cm total length) |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $<17$ | 17-19 | 19-21 | > 21 |  |
| January | 418 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 18 | 26 |
| Februaxy | 1325 | 2 | 52 | 9 | 43 | 106 |
| March | 1574 | + | 14 | 6 | 19 | 40 |
| April | 1452 | + | 18 | 7 | 38 | 63 |
| May | 1285 | + | 41 | 10 | 34 | 86 |
| June | 1244 | 0 | 30 | 16 | 22 | 68 |
| July | 1004 | 0 | 99 | 33 | 42 | 174 |
| August | 1402 | 2 | 33 | 10 | 13 | 58 |
| September | 1352 | 3 | 12 | 4 | 9 | 28 |
| October | 830 | 4 | 16 | 2 | 1 | 22 |
| November | 1277 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 14 |
| December | 1538 | 1 | 11 | 5 | 8 | 25 |

- $57-$

Table 9. P.indicus. Expected ratios of catches of selected size groups for various values of monthly total mortality $Z$.

| Z | $\frac{c_{12} .5-13.5 \mathrm{~cm}}{c_{13.5}-15.5 \mathrm{~cm}}$ | $\frac{C_{13.5}-15.5 \mathrm{~cm}}{C_{>15.5 \mathrm{~cm}}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.1 | 0.296 | 1.099 |
| 0.15 | 0.428 | 1.173 |
| 0.20 | 0.547 | 1.263 |
| 0.25 | 0.652 | 1.371 |
| 0.30 | 0.744 | 1.499 |
| 0.35 | 0.824 | 1.648 |
| 0.40 | 0.893 | 1.820 |
| 0.45 | 0.953 | 2.017 |
| 0.50 | 1.005 | 2.240 |
| 0.55 | 1.051 | 2.493 |
| 0.60 | 1.092 | 2.779 |
| 0.80 | 1.233 | 4.306 |
| 1.0 | 1.369 | 6.662 |

Table 10. P. indicus. Observed ratios of catches of selected size groups. Period

|  | Period |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Febr. 74-Nov. 74 | Dec. 74-Nov.75 Jan. 76-Nov.76 |  |
| $C_{12.5-13.5 ~ c m ~}^{c}$ | 0.281 | 0.285 | 0.161 |
| $C_{13.5-15.5 \mathrm{~cm}}$ |  |  |  |
| $C_{13.5}-15.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ | 1.103 | 1.785 | 1.786 |

Table 11. P. indicus. Relative values of yield per recruit ( $Y / R$ ) and catch per unit of effort (CPUE) for selected values of fishing mortality (F).

| $F$ | $Y / R$ | $C P U E$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 0.1 | 1 | 1 |
| 0.15 | 1.28 | 0.86 |
| 0.20 | 1.49 | 0.75 |
| 0.25 | 1.64 | 0.66 |
| 0.30 | 1.76 | 0.59 |
| 0.35 | 1.85 | 0.53 |



Figure 1.


Figure 2. Illustration of the life cycle of the shallow water prawns.


Figure 3. Total catch per hour trawling and catch per hour trawling of the three main species plotted against month for the period 1974-1976. The right hand ordinate shows the corresponding stock size estimates from the "swept area" method (see section 5).


Figure 4. Paindicus. Number caught of the various length groups per hour trawling plotted against month for the period 1974-1976.


Figure 5. Mamonoceros. Number caught of the various length groups per hour trawling plotted against month for the period 1974-1976.


Figure 6. Pumonodon. Number caught of the various length groups per hour trawling plotted against month for the period 1974-1976.


Figure 7. P. indicus. Regression of the logarithm of total number caught per hour trawling ( $\ln \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{h}$ ) against month.
a) May - September 1974
b) April - July 1975
c) June - Augus.t 1976.


Figure 8. P. monodon. Regression of the logarithm of total' number caught per hour trawling ( $\ln \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{h}$ ) against month.
a) $\longmapsto$ June - September 1974, - - - May - September 1974,
b) May - September 1975.


Figure 9. Estimated growth curves for
P.indicus at Madagascar (Le Reste et Marcille, 1976a) for males
(dotted line) and females.


Figure 10. P.indicus. Expected value of $C_{13.5-15.5 ~} \mathrm{~cm}^{/ C}>15.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ (upper figure) and $C_{12.5-13.5 ~}^{\text {cm }}{ }^{\prime}$ $C_{13.5-15.5 ~ c m ~}^{\text {(lower figure) plotted }}$ against monthly total mortality $Z$.


Figure 11. VEGA I-IV, 1974. Number of days fished in the various squares.


Figure 12. VEGA I-IV, 1975. Number of days fished in the various squares.


Figure 13. VEGA I-IV, 1976. Number of days fished in the various squares.


Figure 14. Area of prawn distribution (shaded) assumed in the "swept area" method.


Figure 15. Paindicus. Yield per recruit (Y/R) and catch per unit of effort (C.P.U.E., dotted line) plotted against monthly fishing mortality.

