

# Parent-created climate and physical self-concept 0

RUNNING HEAD: PARENT-CREATED MOTIVAT	
SELF-CONCEPT	
The impact of parent-created motivational climate on	adolescent athletes' perceptions
of physical self-concep	t
Sophia Jowett	Daniel J. A. Rhind
Loughborough University	Brunel University
Paper submitted for publication in the <i>Journal for the</i>	Study of Athletes in Education
Date of submission: 9 <sup>th</sup> January 2007	
Correspondence concerning this article should be addr	essed to Sophia Jowett, PhD,
School of Sport and Exercise Sciences, Loughborough	University, Leicestershire,
LE11 3TU, United Kingdom.	
Tel.:+44 1509 226331	
Fax: +44 1509 224321	
Email: S.Jowett@lboro.ac.uk	
Abstract	
	The impact of parent-created motivational climate on of physical self-concepts.  Sophia Jowett  Loughborough University  Paper submitted for publication in the <i>Journal for the Action of Submission:</i> 9 <sup>th</sup> January 2007  Correspondence concerning this article should be addreschool of Sport and Exercise Sciences, Loughborough LE11 3TU, United Kingdom.  Tel.:+44 1509 226331  Fax: +44 1509 224321  Email: S.Jowett@lboro.ac.uk

2	Const. 1.1
3	Grounded in expectancy-value model (Eccles, 1993) and achievement goal theory
4	(Nicholls, 1989), this study examined the perceived parental climate and its impact on
5	athletes' perceptions of competence and ability. Hierarchical regression analyses with
6	a sample of 237 British adolescent athletes revealed that mothers and fathers' task-
7	and ego-involving climate predicted their son's physical self-concept and that fathers
8	particularly are the strongest influence in shaping athletes' (sons) physical self-
9	concept positively and negatively. It was also found that young adolescent athletes'
10	physical self-concept is more strongly affected by the perceived parental-created
11	motivational climate (both task and ego) than the old adolescent athletes' self-
12	concept. These findings support the expectancy-value model postulates related to the
13	role of parents as important socializing agents, the existence of gender-stereotyping,
14	and the heavy reliance of younger children's on parents' feedback.
15	
16	
17	
18 19	
19 20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26 27	
27 28	
28 29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35 36	
30 37	
· •	

2 The impact of parent-created motivational climate on adolescent athletes' perceptions 3 of physical self-concept 4 The general view is that parents have the capacity to influence children's 5 involvement and achievement in sport for a variety of reasons. Firstly, parents and 6 children spend a large amount of time together and do a variety of activities together. 7 Secondly, parents are seen to be highly involved in their child's sport participation 8 either as a coach, a chauffer or as a financial provider. Thirdly, parents provide 9 important information such as feedback related to competence, emotional support 10 such as encouragement, and opportunities such as enrolling the child in sport 11 programmes or finding a suitably qualified coach (see Jowett & Timson-Katchis, 12 2005). Although the theoretical and research work underline the significant role of 13 parents in shaping the young child's sport experience, there is still a great deal of 14 research that needs to be carried out (Brustad, 1992; Fredricks & Eccles, 2004). Thus, 15 this study aims to incorporate both the expectancy-value model (Eccles, 1993) and 16 situational dimension of achievement goal theory (Ames, 1992; Nicholls, 1989) to 17 examine children's perceptions of parents' influence on the development of their 18 physical self-concept. 19 The Expectancy-Value Model 20 Eccles and colleagues (Eccles, 1993; Eccles, Wigfield, & Schiefele, 1998; 21 Fredricks & Eccles, 2004) developed a model for understanding family socialisation 22 in the sport context and for explaining the impact of contextual influences on 23 children's motivational tendencies. The main postulate of the expectancy-value 24 model is that children's decisions to participate in sport activities are made in the 25 context of several available choices, hence understanding how parents influence these choices is important (Fredricks & Eccles, 2004). According to the model, one of the 26

2 main predictors of choice behaviours is children's expectation for success (Eccles et 3 al., 1998). Expectations for success are influenced by children's self-concept 4 regarding how they view their own ability. Consequently, children who perceive that 5 they have high sport ability will be more inclined to want to participate in sport than will children who have less favourable views about themselves as sport participants. 6 7 Moreover, the model postulates that parents influence children's expectations and motivation through their beliefs and behaviours. Consequently, parents influence 8 9 their children by being interpreters and providers of children's experience in sport 10 settings (Fredericks & Eccles, 2004; Eccles et al., 1998). 11 Research in the sport and exercise psychology literature has started to 12 demonstrate how parents' patterns of encouragement affect children's affective 13 responses (e.g., motivation, enjoyment). For example, Brustad's (1993, 1996) studies 14 revealed that parental encouragement was related to both male and female children's 15 liking of physical activity. Scanlan and Lewthwaite (1984) conducted research on 16 young wrestlers and found that male wrestlers who perceived pressure from their 17 parents had higher levels of state anxiety in competitions. The potential negative 18 effects of high levels of parental pressure have been demonstrated in several studies 19 since (see e.g., Gould, Eklund, Petlichkoff, Peterson, & Bump, 1991) whilst low 20 levels of parental pressure have been associated with positive effects such as greater 21 enjoyment (Babkes & Weiss, 1999). 22 Parents' expectations, values, and beliefs have been also associated with 23 children's perceptions of being competent, skilful and having the necessary physical 24 capacities to be successful in sport. For example, Eccles and Harold (1991) found 25 that children's beliefs about the degree to which their parents' valued sport were related to their beliefs about their own physical competence. Moreover, it was found 26

- 2 that girls reported that their parents placed lower value on sport participation than did 3 boys. In more recent studies, Fredricks and Eccles (2002) highlighted the impact of 4 parents' beliefs on the development of children's ability to do well in sport. They 5 revealed that when parents had higher expectations for their children's ability, 6 children had less sharp declines in their physical self-concept over time. Also 7 Fredricks and Eccles (2005) supported previous findings showing that boys have higher perceived competence, and more positive values about participation in sport 8 9 than girls. It was further reported using longitudinal analyses that both mothers' and 10 fathers' ratings of children's ability was a positive predictor of changes in children's 11 perceived competence (Fredricks & Eccles, 2005). 12 Situational Achievement Goal Theory 13 One of the many ways, parents' communicate their beliefs and values is through the motivational climate they create. A situational perspective of goal 14 15 achievement theory argues that the social situation created by significant others, in 16 this case parents, varies in terms of the achievement goals emphasized (Ames, 1992; 17 Duda & Balaguer, 2007). White (2007) conceptualized in accordance with 18 achievement goal theory principles the existence of two major social climates created 19 by parents, a task-involving and an ego-involving climate. Parents are likely to 20 promote one or another climate through what they do and what they say. Accordingly, 21 a task-involving climate is communicated when parents place an emphasis on task 22 goals (i.e., enjoying the learning of motor skills), whereas an ego-involving climate is 23 communicated when parents promote success without effort and an atmosphere of 24 worry and concern for making mistakes (White, 2007).
  - Recently, a study conducted by White, Kavusannu, Jowett, and England's (in press) indicated that in comparison to a non-elite group of footballers, an elite group

25

2 of footballers had higher perceptions that their mothers valued a motivational climate 3 that emphasized learning and enjoyment, and lower perceptions that their fathers 4 created a climate in which success without effort was valued. Moreover, in the elite 5 group, footballers' perceptions that their parents (both mother and father) created a 6 climate that values learning and enjoyment were the most significant indicators of 7 task orientation. Ego orientation was associated with athletes' perceptions that their 8 mother values success without effort, and to a lesser degree learning and enjoyment. 9 These findings are in agreement with earlier studies (e.g., White, 1996; White & 10 Duda, 1993). For example, White (1996) found in a sample of volleyball players that 11 perceptions of the parental task-involving climate ("learning and enjoyment") was 12 predicted by players' task-orientation whereas perceptions of an ego-involving climate ("success without effort") was predicted by players' ego-orientation. Ego-13 14 orientation has been associated with maladaptive patterns of motivation and, hence, it 15 should not be encouraged (see White, 2007). These findings show a means by which 16 parents' values and beliefs are communicated to their children via the social climate 17 they create and further suggest the potential influence of parental influences upon 18 talent development. 19 The Present Study 20 The literature suggests that parents are central socialization agents in sport 21 settings and that parental influences is dependant on the child's gender. Consequently, 22 an understanding of how male and female sport performers' perceive the social-23 psychological environment their parents create and subsequently communicate 24 alongside how these perceptions affect their physical self-concept would help 25 untangle the issues surrounding gender stereotyping. Because previous studies have used less specific measures of athletes' ability and competence, this study utilized the 26

2 concept of physical self-concept. Physical self-concept has been conceptualized and 3 operationalized and measured as athletes' perceptions of skill ability and 4 development, physical and mental capacities, and competent performance (Marsh, 5 Hay, Johnson & Perry 1997). The sample comprised British adolescent athletes who 6 perform at a relatively good standard of sport. The sample used in this study has 7 previously received less empirical attention, and therefore, it has the potential to 8 extend further the existing knowledge and applications. 9 Moreover, the literature suggests that parental influences may vary by 10 children's developmental stage (Fredricks & Eccles, 2004); therefore it would be 11 potent to examine whether parents play a more important role in the earlier adolescent 12 years than in the later adolescent years of their children's involvement in sport. The 13 literature generally supports that parental influences decline in adolescence when 14 coaches (and peers) take on a more prominent role (see e.g., Bloom, 1985; Côté, 15 1999; Jowett & Timson-Katchis, 2005; Lewko & Greendorfer, 1988). Thus, this study 16 attempted to examine whether young (12-15 years of age) and late (16-19 years of 17 age) adolescent athletes perceive differentially the impact of parental influences on 18 their physical self-concept. In summary, Employing the frameworks of achievement 19 goal theory and the expectancy-value model, the purpose of this study was to explore 20 the impact of athletes' perceptions of the parent-created motivational climate (mother 21 and father) on the athletes' physical self-concept whilst accounting for their gender 22 and developmental stage (defined as the athletes' chronological age). 23 Method 24 **Participants** 25 A total of 237 (120 males and 117 females) participants who trained and 26 competed in such sports as cricket, football, hockey and netball were recruited. The

2 participants were categorized as either young adolescent (age ranged from 12 to 15 3 years) or old adolescent (age ranged from 16 to 19 years). A total of 114 participants 4 (45 males and 69 females) reported to belong to the young adolescent category 5 whereas 123 participants (75 males and 48 females) reported to belong to the old adolescent category. The participants' experience with the specific sport ranged from 6 7 1 year to 15 years (M=4.43, SD=2.9). (Note that sport experience was recorded for 8 210 of the participants; thus this information was missing for the remainder of the 9 participants.) 115 participants reported to have a newly developed (no longer than 2 10 years) relationship with their coach whilst 122 participants reported to have an 11 established relationship (over 2 years) with their coach 12 Instrumentation 13 The Parent-Initiated Motivational Climate Questionnaire - 2 (PIMCQ-2: 14 White & Duda 1993; also see White, 2007) was used to assess the motivational 15 climate created by participants' mother and father. The questionnaire comprised a 16 total of 18 items for the mother-created climate and 18 items for the father-created 17 climate. The questionnaire has been developed to measure three dimensions of the 18 motivational climate created by mothers and fathers. First, the learning and 19 enjoyment dimension contains 9 items that aim to measure the climate in which 20 parents promote enjoying the process of learning motor skills (e.g., "I feel that my 21 mother/father is most satisfied when I learn something new"). Second, the worry 22 conducive climate created by parents contains 5 items and aims to assess the degree to 23 which parents create a climate that makes young athletes feel concerned, 24 uncomfortable and stressed with the sport experience (e.g., "I feel that my 25 mother/father makes me worried about failing"). The final dimension contains 4 items 26 and aims to examine the climate in which parents create an atmosphere in which

2 success without effort is valued most (e.g., "I feel that my mother/father thinks I 3 should achieve a lot without much effort"). Answers are indicated on a 5-point scale from 1 ("Strongly Disagree") to 5 ("Strongly Agree"). The learning and enjoyment 4 5 dimension reflects a task-involving climate whilst the worry conducive climate and 6 the success without effort climate reflect an ego-involving climate (White, 2007). The 7 psychometric properties of the questionnaires have been satisfactory (see White & 8 Duda, 1992). All dimensions or sub-scales were found to have satisfactory internal 9 consistency with this sample. Specifically, Cronbach's alphas ranged from 0.86 to 10 0.96 for both the mother and father subscales. 11 A slightly modified version of the *Elite Athlete Self-Description Questionnaire* 12 (EASDQ: Marsh, Hay, Johnson & Perry 1997) was used to assess participants' 13 physical self-concept. This questionnaire contained 26 items and measured six 14 interrelated dimensions of physical self-concept. The dimensions of physical self-15 concept included: skills which was assessed through 4 items (e.g., "I am a most skilful athlete in my best sport"); body which was assessed through 4 items (e.g., "I excel in 16 17 my best sport because of the suitability of my body composition"); aerobic which was 18 assessed through 3 items (e.g., "Compared to my team-mates, I am superior in 19 endurance activities like continuous running in my best sport"), anaerobic which was 20 assessed through 3 items (e.g., "Compared to others at my level I am superior in 21 activities that need short bursts of high intensity in my best sport); mental which was 22 assessed through 5 items (e.g., "Coaches and other competitors at my level of 23 competition see me as a focused competitor at my best sport"); and performance 24 which was assessed through 7 items (e.g., "I am consistently able to give my best 25 overall performance in my best sport"). Respondents indicated their answers on a 6-26 point scale which ranged from 1 ("Not True of Me at all") to 6 ("True of me"). For

2 this sample, the internal consistency of each of the six dimensions or sub-scales was

above the recommended 0.70 cut off point (Nunnally & Bernstein 1994). The internal

consistency of the physical self-concept as a single dimension was 0.97.

#### Procedure

Club-level qualified coaches were approached and informed about the nature and aims of the study. Three test administrators arranged a date and time to meet the coaches who agreed to permit their teams to participate in the study. This meeting provided the opportunity to discuss with the coach further the study and allow time to introduce the study, explain its main objectives, highlight its voluntary nature and answer any questions to the team and to the parents present before or after a training session. Participants' informed consent was obtained. For athletes under the age of 18 years old, their parents were asked to sign a consent form whilst the athletes were asked to sign an assent form. Once these formalities were in place, the athletes were instructed to complete the questionnaire as honestly as possible. Test administrators arranged to return to a subsequent training session in order to collect the complete questionnaires. Ethical clearance for conducting the study was granted by Loughborough University's Ethical Advisory Committee.

19 Results

Descriptive Statistics. The means and standard deviations for all of the sub-scales studied are presented in Table 1. Bivariate correlations between each of the parent-created motivational climate sub-scales and global self-concept are presented in Table 2. The bivariate correlations between the father- and mother-created motivational climates varied from -0.44 to 0.79 and between the physical self-concept dimensions from 0.54 to 0.87. Analyses of variance (ANOVA) were conducted to ascertain whether athletes' perceptions about their parents' created motivational climates and

2 physical self-concept vary as a function of their gender and age. The first two-way 3 ANOVA conducted asked "Are there mean differences in athletes' perceptions of the father- and mother-created climate – measured by learning and enjoyment, worry 4 5 conducive climate, and success without effort – associated with differences in athletes' gender and age?" Results indicated some main effects for athletes' gender 6 7 and age but no interaction effects were found. A one-way analysis of variance indicated that athletes' gender affected perceptions of both father's and mother's 8 9 climates of learning and worry whilst athletes' age affected perceptions of only the 10 mother's learning climate (see Table 3). 11 The second two-way ANOVA conducted asked "Are there mean differences 12 in athletes' perceptions of global physical self-concept associated with differences in athletes' gender and age?" Results indicated main effects for athletes' gender and age 13 14 and interaction effects (see Table 3). The interaction effect suggests that perceptions 15 of the physical self-concept increase more significantly for females (young adolescent 16 M=4.01 and old adolescent M=4.73) than males (young adolescent M=4.38 and old 17 adolescent M=4.62). 18 Hierarchical Multiple-Regression Analyses. These analyses were conducted to test 19 whether parental influences, as manifested by the climate fathers and mothers create, 20 predict adolescent athletes' physical self-concept. The unique contribution of athletes' 21 perceptions of the father and mother created motivational climate to physical self-22 concept was determined by four sets of hierarchical regression analyses. Thus, 23 athletes' perceptions of physical self-concept was predicted from athletes' perceptions 24 of the father's motivational climate and the mother's motivational climate separately 25 for male and female athletes as well as for the young adolescent and old adolescent 26 groups. The independent variables of parents motivational climate were entered in a

2 pre-specified order that was dictated by the literature that indicates fathers remain to 3 be a more salient source of influence for young children's sport involvement (e.g., 4 Greendorfer, Lewko, & Rosengren, 1996). Thus, the father created climate and its 5 dimensions of learning and enjoyment, worry conducive climate, and success without 6 effort were entered into the first step. Mother-created climate and its corresponding 7 dimensions were entered into the second step. The scores were centered to avoid multicollinearity between the independent variables. 8 9 Table 4 illustrates the proportions of unique physical self-concept variances for the gender and adolescent groups respectively  $(R^2, \text{ and adjusted } R^2)$  accounted for 10 by father and mother created motivational climates and the F statistic for the  $R^2$ 11 12 change. The results indicated that more variance in physical self-concept is accounted for by the climates created by the parents for the young adolescent group ( $R^2 = .18$ -13 .22) and for the male athletes  $(R^2 = .11 - .12)$ . On one hand, father-created climate (F 14 15 = 7.98, p = .000) and mother-created climate (F = 5.09, p = .000) significantly 16 predicted athletes' physical self concept for the young adolescent group. On the other hand, father-created climate (F = 4.88, p = .003) and mother-created climate (F = 2.5, 17 p = .02) significantly predicted athletes' physical self concept for the male athletes. 18 19 Table 5 presents the regression coefficients (beta weights) for variables within sets 20 that reported significant F values. 21 Discussion 22 The study aimed to investigate the impact of athletes' perceptions of the 23 parent-created motivational climate (both mother and father) on their physical self-24 concept whilst considering athletes' gender and developmental stage. The first set of 25 findings suggest that perceptions of parental influences impact positively on male and young adolescent athletes' self-concept as opposed to female and old adolescent 26

2 athletes. Male athletes' perceptions of parental influences (especially the father's 3 climate) as these were manifested from the task-involving climate ("learning and 4 enjoyment") seem to positively affect their perceptions of physical self-concept. The 5 learning and enjoyment dimension of the parent-created climate emphasizes the 6 learning of motor skills and encourages the improvement of skill development 7 through hard work, whilst accepting that learning occurs even when mistakes are 8 made (White, 2007). It is likely that athletes viewed this dimension of the parent-9 created climate as supportive, hence its positive association with physical self-10 concept. 11 However, our findings suggest that parents (particularly fathers) through the 12 worry conducive climate communicated undue pressure to the young male athlete as it 13 was negatively associated to athletes' physical self-concept. This dimension of the 14 ego-involving climate promotes a sense of superiority over other members in the team 15 (White, 2007); however, because such superiority is not possible to be sustained over 16 time failure, whilst not appreciated by parents, is inevitable. Previous studies have 17 supported that parental pressure is linked to negative outcomes such as increased 18 levels of state anxiety (Gould et al., 1991; Scanlan & Lewthwaite, 1984) and parental 19 support is linked to positive outcomes such as enjoying physical activity (Brustad, 20 1993, 1996). 21 The findings of this study support the view that parents are more likely to 22 encourage their sons involvement (positively and negatively) in sport than they are to 23 encourage their daughters (see e.g., Eccles & Harold, 1991) and that fathers continue 24 to be the strongest parental influence on sport involvement (see Greendorfer et al., 25 1996). These results may reflect the demographics of the sample as the majority of the sports from which participants were recruited were male-dominated sports in 26

- 2 both administrative roles (e.g., more male coaches) and popularity amongst young 3 participants (e.g., more male athletes). In this study only the sport of netball could be 4 characterized as female-dominated. In male-dominated sports fathers may be in a 5 better position to have an influence because it may be a sport that they know, follow, play, even coach. More research is required to clarify the contribution of the specific 6 7 sport context (e.g., male versus female dominated sports, team versus individual 8 sports) in the association between parental influences and outcomes related to the 9 young and developing athlete. 10 The second set of findings provide support for the view that the young 11 adolescent athlete's physical self-concept is more likely to be affected by both the 12 father's and the mother's task-involved climate and ego-involved climate than the old 13 adolescent athlete's physical self-concept. This was not unexpected as the literature 14 theoretically and empirically has demonstrated that parental influences decline during 15 the period of adolescence mainly because the psychosocial context of the developing 16 athletes broadens and often coaches (and peers) take on more prominent roles (see 17 e.g., Bloom, 1985; Côté, 1999; Jowett & Timson-Katchis, 2005; Lewko & 18 Greendorfer, 1988). Nonetheless, the results highlighted an inconsistency or
  - contradiction. Both the mother and the father ego-involving climate of worrying for making mistakes, for failing, for not being able to outperform others, was positively associated with the young adolescent athlete's physical self-concept as was the taskinvolving climate of learning and enjoying practicing motor skills.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

One explanation is that when the athletes are young and relatively inexperienced in the sport, that type of parental pressure may be less influential than when they are older, more experienced and perhaps when sport participation starts to take a different meaning (i.e., becomes more competitive and central in one's

2 identity). Indeed, the salience of sport to the athlete and the parent is an important 3 determinant for how the young athlete may come to perceive the support or pressure 4 of their parents (Ntoumanis & Biddle, 1999). Sport is more likely to become more 5 salient as the athlete develops; therefore the older the athlete, the more there is at 6 stake (e.g., selection to play for better teams, better coaches, better facilities). 7 Another related explanation may be that the ego-involving climate ("worrying") 8 although a type of potential parental pressure feeds into the athletes' physical self-9 concept as another type of support (albeit negatively) but with positive consequences. 10 It is not unusual for young athletes to say, "My mum and dad are pushing me hard and 11 want me to be first and best so I must have what it takes to become really good in my 12 sport". There is evidence that argues that athletes with ego-involving parental 13 perceptions would exhibit adaptive patterns of motivation (e.g., they will persist 14 more) if the athlete had high levels of perceived competence (cf. Elliott & Church, 15 1997). The direction of the relationship between parent-created motivational climates 16 and athletes' physical self concept or perceived competence in sport needs to be 17 further investigated. 18 The findings of this study could have bearings on every day situations in 19 which parents make a deliberate effort to influence, support, encourage, and offer 20 such opportunities that enhance the young athletes' perceptions of physical self 21 including ability competence, skill improvement, and mental capacities. Positive 22 parental encouragement as opposed to pressure has been consistently associated with 23 the young athlete's positive affective responses (e.g., enjoying and liking sports). 24 Consequently, parents can support the young athlete by creating a task-involving 25 climate in which they communicate positive feedback about the child's ability and 26 supplying messages that emphasize skill development through hard work. On the

other hand, parents should be cautious when an ego-involving climate is created and excessive pressure on their children to perform takes center-stage. This pressure although could be translated by some children as positive pushing, particularly those who already have an elevated physical self-concept or innate talent, could have negative ramifications for the majority of the children. Finally, parents should be sensitive and perceptive to the sort of cues and feedback they supply. As sport is an activity to be enjoyed by all regardless of gender, age, color or religion, parents should underline to both their sons and their daughters the benefits of sport participation by providing equal opportunities to both genders. This research has such strengths as the inclusion of both parents in measuring

athletes' perceptions of specific parental influences, the study of British adolescent sport performers who participated in popular team sports, and the use of a multifaceted measure to assess athletes' perceptions of physical ability. However, this research has also weaknesses that limit its conclusions. From a methodological point of view, this study used a cross-sectional data and regression techniques to examine the main questions. Whilst this approach is important, it does not reveal with a degree of precision how parental influences differ at different stages of the young athlete's sport involvement. Moreover, this approach cannot capture how parents and young athletes reciprocally influence each other (see Fredricks & Eccles, 2004). Thus, future research should consider examining the dynamic and interactive nature of parental socialization processes through the use of longitudinal and qualitative methods to examine parent-child/athlete socialization processes across time.

Findings in this study point to the conclusion that adolescent athletes perceive their parents as important socialization agents in their sport involvement. In examining athletes' perceptions of their mother- and their father-created motivational

2	climate, it seems that both parents encourage the son's involvement and fathers
3	particularly are the strongest influence on sport involvement. We also found that, for
4	our participants, young adolescent athletes' physical self-concept is more likely to be
5	affected by the perceived parental-created motivational climate (both task and ego)
6	than the old adolescent athletes' self-concept. The results show the varied parental
7	motivational influences and opportunities available and their impact on young
8	athletes' physical self-concept.
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

2	References
3	Ames, C. (1992). Achievement goals, motivational climate, and motivational
4	processes. In G.C. Roberts (Ed.), Motivation in sport and exercise (pp. 161-
5	176). Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics.
6	Babkes, M.L., & Weiss, M.R., (1999). Parental influence on cognitive and affective
7	responses in children's competitive soccer participation. Pediatric Exercise
8	Science, 11, 44-62.
9	Bloom, B.S. (1985). Developing talent in youth people. New York: Ballantine.
10	Brustad, R.J. (1992). Integrating socialization influences into the study of children's
11	motivation in sport. Journal of Sport & Exercise Psychology, 14, 59-77.
12	Brustad, R.J. (1993). Who will go out and play? Parental and psychological influences
13	on children's attraction to physical activity. Pediatric Exercise Science, 5, 210-
14	233.
15	Brustad, R.J. (1996). Attraction to physical activity in urban school children: Parent
16	socialization and gender influences. Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport,
17	67, 316-323.
18	Côté, J. (1999). The influence of the family in the development of talent in sport. The
19	Sport Psychologist, 13, 395–417.
20	Duda, J.L., & Balaguer, I. (2007). Coach-created motivational climate. In S. Jowett, &
21	D. Lavallee (Eds.), Social psychology in sport (pp. 117-130). Champaign, IL:
22	Human Kinetics.
23	Eccles, J.C. (1993). School and family effects of the ontogeny of children's interests,
24	self-perception, and activity choice. In J. Jacobs (Ed.), Nebraska Symposium on
25	Motivation, 1992: Developmental perspectives on motivation (pp. 145-208).
26	Lincoln, NE: University of Nebraska Press.

- 2 Eccles, J.S., & Harold, R.D. (1991). Gender differences in sport involvement:
- 3 Applying the Eccles' expectancy model. *Journal of Applied Sport Psychology*,
- *3*, 7-35.
- 5 Eccles, J.S., Wigfield, A., & Schiefele, U. (1998). Motivation to succeed. In W.
- 6 Damon (Series Ed.) & N. Eisenberg (Vol. Ed.), *Handbook of child psychology*:
- *Vol. 3. Social, emotional and personality development* (5<sup>th</sup> ed., pp. 1017-1094).
- 8 New York: Wiley.
- 9 Elliott, A.J., & Church, M.A. (1997). A hierarchical model of approach and avoidance
- achievement motivation. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 72, 218-
- 11 232.
- 12 Fredricks, J.A., & Eccles, J.S. (2002). Children's competence and value beliefs from
- childhood through adolescence: Growth trajectories in two-male-sex-typed
- domains. *Developmental Psychology*, 38, 519-533.
- 15 Fredericks, J.A., & Eccles, J.S. (2004). Parental influences on youth involvement in
- sports. In M.R. Weiss (Eds.), Developmental sport and exercise psychology: A
- 17 lifespan perspective (pp. 145-164). Morgantown, WV: Fitness Information
- 18 Technology.
- 19 Fredricks, J.A., & Eccles, J.S. (2005). Family socialization, gender, and sport
- 20 motivation and involvement. Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology, 27, 3-
- 21 31.
- Gould, D., Eklund, R.C., Petlichkoff, L., Peterson, K., & Bump, L. (1991).
- 23 Psychological predictors of state anxiety and performance in age-group
- 24 wrestlers. *Pediatric Exercise Science*, *3*, 198-208.
- 25 Greendorfer, S.L., Lewko, J.H., & Rosengren, K.S. (1996). Family and gender-based
- influences in sport socialization of children and adolescents. In F. L. Smoll & R.

- E. Smith (Eds.), Children and youth in sport: A biopsychosocial perspective (pp.
- 3 89–111).
- 4 Jowett, S., & Timson-Katchis, M. (2005). Social networks in sport: The influence of
- 5 parents on the coach-athlete relationship. *The Sport Psychologist*, 19, 267-287.
- 6 Lewko, J.H., & Greendorfer, S.L. (1988). Family influences in the sports socialization
- of children and adolescents. In F.L. Smoll, R.A. Magill, & M.J. Ash (Eds.),
- 8 *Children in sport* (3<sup>rd</sup> ed., pp. 265-286). Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics.
- 9 Marsh, H.W., Hey, J., Johnson, S., and Perry, C. (1997). Elite Athlete Self
- Description Questionnaire: Hierarchical confirmatory factor analysis of
- responses by two distinct groups of elite athletes. *International Journal of Sport*
- 12 *Psychology*, 28, 237-258.
- Nicholls, J.G. (1989). The competitive ethos and democratic education. Cambridge,
- 14 MA: Harvard University Press.
- 15 Ntoumanis, N., & Biddle, S.J.H. (1999). A review of the motivational climate in
- physical activity. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 17, 643-665.
- Nunnally, J.C., & Bernstein, I.H. (1994). *Psychometric theory* (3rd ed.). New York:
- 18 McGraw-Hill.
- 19 Scanlan, T.K., & Lewthwaite, R. (1984). Social psychological aspects of competition
- for male youth sport participants: I, Predictors of competitive stress. *Journal of*
- 21 *Sport Psychology, 6,* 208-226.
- White, S.A. (1996). Goal orientations and perceptions of the motivational climate
- 23 initiated by parents. *Pediatric Exercise Science*, 8, 122-129.
- 24 White, S.A. (2007). Parent-created motivational climate. In S. Jowett, & D. Lavallee
- 25 (Eds.), Social psychology in sport (pp. 131-144). Champaign, IL: Human
- 26 Kinetics.

2	White, S.A., & Duda, J.L. (1993, June). The relationship between goal orientation
3	and parent-initiated motivational climate among children learning a physical
4	skill. Paper presented at the meeting of the International Society for Sport
5	Psychology, Lisbon, Portugal.
6	White, S.A., Kavusannu, M., Jowett, S., & England, S. (in press). Parent Initiated
7	Motivational Climate and Achievement Goals in Elite and Non-elite Male
8	Soccer Players. International Sports Journal.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	

2 Table 1.

## 3 Means and standard deviations

Variables	Whol	le	Ma	ale	Fen	nale	Yo	ung	O	ld
	Samp	ole					Adole	escent	Adole	escent
	M	SD	M	SD	М	M	SD	SD	M	SD
Father Climate										
Father Learning	3.81	0.74	3.72	0.91	3.89	3.74	0.75	0.75	3.74	0.75
& Enjoyment										
Father Worry	2.16	0.96	2.27	1.10	2.16	2.15	0.98	0.98	2.15	0.98
Father Success	2.65	0.87	2.61	1.02	2.68	2.63	0.87	0.87	2.63	0.87
Without Effort										
Mother Climate										
Mother Learning	3.88	0.69	3.79	0.84	4.03	3.75	0.66	0.66	3.75	0.66
& Enjoyment										
Mother Worry	2.06	0.93	2.24	1.06	1.98	2.13	0.92	0.92	2.13	0.92
Mother Success	2.53	0.86	2.46	0.98	2.58	2.50	0.81	0.81	2.50	0.81
Without Effort										
Physical Self-										
Concept										
Self-Concept	4.42	0.86	4.53	0.92	4.15	4.66	0.83	0.83	4.66	0.83

2 Table 2.

## 3 Correlations between parent-initiated motivational climate and physical self-concept

Variables	Physical Self-Concept				
	Males	Females	Young	Old	Whole
			Adolescent	Adolescent	Sample
Father Climate					
Father Learning &	0.26**	-0.12	0.17	0.15	0.12
Enjoyment					
Father Worry	-0.17	0.06	0.02	-0.14	-0.6
Father Success Without	-0.29**	-0.08	-0.26**	-0.17	-0.21**
Effort					
Mother Climate					
Mother Learning &	0.21*	-0.04	0.24*	0.12	0.10
Enjoyment					
Mother Worry	-0.13	-0.06	-0.02	-0.19*	-0.08
Mother Success Without	-0.23*	-0.0 6	-0.20	-0.13	-0.17**
Effort					

<sup>4 \*</sup> p < .05, \*\* p < .01

2 Table 3.

3 Analyses of variance of parent-created motivational climate and physical self-concept

#### 4 scores

Source of Variance	Wilks'	Df1	Df2	F
	Lambda			
Athletes' Age				
Mother learning	0.92	1	234	10.14*
Father learning	0.92	1	234	2.64**
Physical self-concept	0.87	1	236	22.76*
Athletes' Gender				
Mother learning	0.90	1	234	4.23*
Mother worry	0.90	1	234	9.50*
Father learning	0.90	1	234	3.40*
Father worry	0.90	1	234	3.11**
Physical self-concept	0.86	1	236	4.06*

*p*<0.05, *p*<0.10

2 Table 4.

3 Effects of father- and mother-created motivational climate on athletes' physical self-

### 4 concept

Predictors	R	$R^2$	$R^2$ Adj	F for change	p	_5_
		Α	Tr Traj	1 for change	Ρ	_6_
Females						O
Father Climate	0.19	0.03	0.01	1.37	0.25	7
Mother Climate	0.26	0.07	0.01	1.27	0.27	8
Males						9
Father Climate	0.34	0.11	0.09	4.88	0.003	10
Mother Climate	0.35	0.12	0.07	2.55	0.02	11
Younger						12
Father Climate	0.42	0.18	0.16	7.98	0.000	13
Mother Climate	0.47	0.22	0.18	5.09	0.000	14
Older						15
	0.16	0.04	0.01	1.50	0.21	16
Father Climate	0.19	0.04	0.01	1.52	0.21	
Mother Climate	0.29	0.05	0.003	1.05	0.40	17
						_18_

Table 5.
Coefficients for predictors within sets with significant F values

Predictors	Beta (unstand)	B (stand)	p
Males			
Father Climate			
Learning	0.20	0.20	0.06
Success without effort	-0.30	-0.33	0.02
Worrying	0.16	0.19	0.19
Father Climate			
Learning	0.30	0.30	0.12
Success without effort	-0.29	-0.32	0.10
Worrying	0.11	0.14	0.43
Mother Climate			
Learning	-0.11	-0.10	0.59
Success without effort	-0.05	-0.05	0.77
Worrying	0.08	0.10	0.55
Younger			
Father Climate			
Learning	0.37	0.33	0.01
Succeeding without effort	-0.41	-0.43	0.00
Worrying	0.47	0.54	0.00
Father Climate			
Learning	-0.002	-0.002	0.99
Succeeding without effort	-0.42	-0.44	0.01
Worrying	0.32	0.37	0.03

Mother Climate			
Learning	0.50	0.43	0.03
Succeeding without effort	-0.01	-0.1	0.98
Worrying	0.22	0.25	0.09

2	Acknowledgement
3	Special thanks go to Jessica Rixon, Leanne Staniford and Jenny White for their
4	endless energy in collecting data for the project.
5 6 7	
8	