

Outcomes and costs of penetrating trauma injury in England and Wales

Michael C. Christensen^{a*}, Tina G. Nielsen³, Saxon Ridley^b, Fiona E. Lecky^c, Stephen Morris^d

^a Global Development, Novo Nordisk A/S, Krogshøjvej 55, DK-2880 Bagsvaerd, Denmark

^b Glan Clwyd Hospital, Rhyl, Denbighshire LL18 5UJ, UK

^c Trauma Audit and Research Network, University of Manchester, Eccles Old Road, Salford M6 8HD, UK

^d Health Economics Research Group, Brunel University, Uxbridge, Middlesex UB8 3PH, UK

*Corresponding author. Tel.: +45 44420557; Fax: +4544427390. E-mail address: mrcr@novonordisk.com.

Summary

Background: Penetrating trauma injury is generally associated with higher short-term mortality than blunt trauma, and results in substantial societal costs given the young age of those typically injured. Little information exists on the patient and treatment characteristics for penetrating trauma in England and Wales, and the acute outcomes and costs of care have not been documented and analysed in detail. **Methods:** Using the Trauma Audit Research Network (TARN) database, we examined patient records for persons aged 18+ years hospitalised for penetrating trauma injury between January 2000 and December 2005. Patients were stratified by injury severity score (ISS).

Results: 1365 patients were identified; 16% with ISS 1-8, 50% ISS 9-15, 15% ISS 16-24, 16% ISS 25-34, and 4% with ISS 35-75. The median age was 30 years and 91% of patients were men. Over 90% of the injuries occurred in alleged assaults. Stabbings were the most common cause of injury (73%), followed by shootings (19%). Forty-seven percent were admitted to critical care for a median length of stay of 2 days; median total hospital length of stay was 7 days. Sixty-nine percent of patients underwent at least one surgical procedure. Eight percent of the patients died before discharge, with a mean time to death of 1.6 days (S.D. 4.0). Mortality ranged from 0% among patients with ISS 1-8 to 55% in patients with ISS > 34. The mean hospital cost per patient was £7983, ranging from £6035 in patients with ISS 9-15 to £16,438 among patients with ISS > 34. Costs varied significantly by ISS, hospital mortality, cause and body region of injury.

Conclusion: The acute treatment costs of penetrating trauma injury in England and Wales vary by patient, injury and treatment characteristics. Measures designed to reduce the incidence and severity of penetrating trauma may result in significant hospital cost savings.

KEYWORDS: Penetrating trauma; Outcomes; Costs; Treatment patterns; England; Wales; United Kingdom

Introduction

Recent evaluations of survival trends after trauma in the United Kingdom (UK) indicate that little improvement has occurred since 1994.¹⁴ In order to significantly enhance standards of care, the Royal College of Surgeons and the British Orthopaedic Association have recommended a national system of trauma service based on geographic trauma systems for England, Wales and Northern Ireland.²⁵ This recommendation highlights the need for documenting the current clinical

practice of trauma care in the UK, and the outcomes and costs associated with such care. Penetrating trauma injury is a serious burden to societies worldwide. In 2004 in the United States 29,569 died as a result of firearm injury and 2799 died from stabbing injuries, and it has been estimated that more than 64,000 non-fatal penetrating trauma injuries are treated in U.S. hospital emergency departments each year.^{4,23} In the UK it is estimated that somewhere between 22,000 and 57,900 young people were victims of a knife-related crime in 2004.⁵ Penetrating trauma primarily affects young men and incurs substantial costs, not only in terms of the direct costs of medical care, but also the societal losses related to permanent disability and premature death.⁴

The epidemiology of penetrating trauma injury differs significantly between Europe and the United States, in particular in regards to the overall incidence of penetrating trauma and the proportion of firearm-related injury.^{12,15} Few European studies have so far specifically examined the outcomes and costs of acute care of penetrating trauma despite these differences in trauma epidemiology. One UK study has examined the patterns and costs of acute care for 181 patients with gunshot wounds at one Manchester hospital, and found the clinical and financial impact to be substantial.⁸ Eighty-six percent of the patients admitted required surgery resulting in a mean length of hospital stay of 7 days and mean treatment costs of £2698. However, no studies have addressed the national burden of penetrating trauma in terms of either of these parameters.

Therefore, the aims of this paper are to investigate the acute treatment patterns, outcomes and costs to the National Health Service (NHS) of penetrating trauma injury in England and Wales.

Methods

Data and variables

Data for this study were taken from the Trauma Audit Research Network (TARN), which includes data from 50% ($n = 121$) of all hospitals receiving trauma patients in England and Wales.²⁷ TARN collects data on patients who sustain injuries resulting in immediate admission to hospital for >3 days, admission to Intensive Care Unit (ICU) or high dependency unit (HDU, intermediate intensive care), or death within 93 days. TARN is a voluntary national comparative audit of patients' outcomes and processes of care following admission to hospital with severe trauma. According to the National Centre for Health Outcomes Development, an agency under the National Health Service, the eligible population for TARN appears representative of the severe trauma population in England and Wales.²⁰ Between 90 and 97% of all eligible patients are recorded in the registry. TARN is supported by the Healthcare Commission without specific patient informed consent requirements or ethical approval because no patient identifiers are retained by TARN electronically or on paper and because TARN data is used for benchmarking the quality of hospital trauma care. Data for this study came exclusively from the TARN database without author access to patient records. TARN has Section 60 Patient Information Advisory Group approval; as this study involves the secondary analysis of TARN and other published data, ethics approval was not sought.

Data from TARN have been used in published studies on a range of topics including trends in trauma care,¹⁴ the effect of neurosurgical care on head injury outcome,²⁴ outcome prediction in trauma,³ costs and outcomes in traumatic brain injury,¹⁹ and acute hospitalisation costs in blunt trauma.⁶ This is the first study using TARN data to investigate the acute treatment costs of penetrating trauma patients.

We included all patients hospitalised in TARN hospitals for penetrating trauma injuries between 1st January 2000 and 31st December 2005. The hospital completes a data entry sheet for each patient with information on age, gender, cause and type of injuries, severity of injuries, treatment provided at the scene of incident, en route to hospital, in Accident & Emergency (A&E), and any other care received at the hospital, including diagnostic tests, surgical procedures, and length of stay. Records of patients transferred between hospitals are linked so that full data for these patients are available.

In our study, injury severity was measured using the injury severity score (ISS).² The ISS is the standard measure of injury severity after trauma and it is based on an ordinal scale ranging from 1 to 75, derived from the Abbreviated Injury Scale (AIS). Each AIS injury code is allocated an AIS score ranging from 1 (minor injury) to 6 (virtually unsurvivable injury). To compute the ISS, AIS injury codes are grouped into six body regions (head or neck, face, chest, abdominal or pelvic, extremities, and external) and the ISS is calculated as the sum of the squares of the highest AIS scores for the three most severely injured body regions. AIS codes of 6 are automatically allocated an ISS of 75. As no definitive grouping of ISS scores exists,²⁶ we used the following categories: 1-8, 9-15, 16-24, 25-34 and 35-75. In addition, we used data on: gender; age; cause and level of intent of injury; earliest recorded Glasgow Coma Score (GCS); systolic blood pressure and pulse rate in the A&E Department; type and number of injuries sustained; discharge status; and year of admission to describe the patients treated.

We also used data from TARN on the characteristics of the treatment received. This included mode of arrival at hospital, time from emergency call to arrival at A&E (when by ambulance), time spent in A&E, highest grade and specialty of doctor attending the patient in A&E, surgical procedures, admission to critical care, and length of stay. We also used data on whether or not the treating hospital had a neurosurgical centre and/or a spinal injury unit as a rough indicator of specialisation in trauma care. We excluded patients younger than 18 years of age at the time of injury from the analysis.

Measuring costs

To estimate costs we took the perspective of the NHS in England and Wales, i.e., only costs to the NHS were included. We focused on the costs of the treatment received during the initial hospitalisation. We calculated treatment costs for each patient based on the cost components likely to be the main drivers of cost during the initial hospitalisation period: cost of transportation (ambulance, helicopter), cost of hospital stay (A&E, critical care, general ward), and cost of all surgical procedures performed. Resource use for every component was recorded for each patient in TARN using the data entry sheet. For all resource use we assigned unit costs obtained from external sources to each item (see Appendix A).

Analysis

First, we examined the demographic and clinical characteristics of the study sample and the acute treatment provided across groups of patients stratified by ISS. We stratified by ISS to examine how these characteristics varied by injury severity. We then computed treatment costs for every patient and calculated mean treatment costs by patient, injury and treatment characteristics and ISS group. We also computed hospital mortality rates for the same ISS groups.

Second, to identify the significant determinants of acute treatment costs we undertook multivariate regression analysis. We regressed individual level treatment costs against patient, injury and treatment characteristics. In the analysis, we excluded 'the variables that were used in the construction of the treatment cost variable. The variables included in the final regression models were selected using forward stepwise and backward stepwise selection procedures where the significance level for removal from the model was $p > 0.01$, and significance level for addition to the model was $p < 0.005$. We controlled for variations in provider practice by including hospital dummy indicator variables to control for the hospital in which the patient was treated (43 indicators). In the regression models we report robust standard errors that control for clustering within individuals and the cost model is estimated using least squares.

Some of the data collected by TARN and used in the analysis were missing in a number of patients. The main variables with missing data were time from emergency call to arrival at A&E and surgical procedures. The latter may be missing because the relevant section on the data entry sheet completed by TARN participants describing the operative procedures received by each patient is completed by free text and may have been left blank. We report the numbers of

observations used to calculate every statistic. When we calculated the acute care costs for each patient we did so using only patients with complete data.

Results

Sample characteristics

We identified 1365 patients with penetrating trauma injuries corresponding to 3.7% of the total number of TARN records in the 6-year study period.

	ISS						
	1-8	9-15	16-24		25-34		35-75
							All
<i>N</i>	213	678	206		219		1,365
Male (%)	91.1	91.3	90.3		91.8		90.8
Age (yr), median (IQR)	31 (23-42)	29 (23-38)	29 (23 -38)		31 (22-40)		30 (23 -39)
Age group (%)							
18-24 years	31.9	35.8	35.0		33.8		34.2
25-44 years	50.2	50.2	49.0		48.0		49.8
45-64 years	14.1	12.0	14.6		14.6		13.5
65+ years	3.8	2.1	1.5		3.7		2.5
Cause of injury (%)							
Vehicle collision	1.9	1.0	2.9		1.8		2.0
Falls	2.4	1.0	3.4		2.3		1.8
Shooting	16.4	15.0	20.4		30.6		18.6
Stabbing	66.2	80.1	71.8		61.2		73.2
Other	13.2	2.8	1.5		4.1	*	4.4
Level of intent (%)							
Non-intentional	17.1	4.1	7.8		5.3		7.2
Alleged assault	79.8	94.6	92.3		94.0		91.6
Other	3.1	1.3	0.0		0.7		1.2
<i>n</i>							
3-5	129	459	129		150		900
6-8	0.5	1.7	12.2		23.1		7.6
9-12	0.5	0.6	2.1		4.3		1.8
	0.5	2.3	3.7		6.5		3.1

13-15	98.4	95.3	81.9		66.1		39.5	87.5
n	190	644	188		186		43	1251
Systolic blood pressure in A&E (mmHg)								
Mean (95% CI)	133 (130-137)	126 (124-128)	119 (114-124)	124	116 (111-121)	-	107 (94-119)	124(122-126)
n	180	605	170		169		34	1158
Injuries by body region with AIS 3+ (%)								
Mean (95% CI)	90 (87-93)	92 (90-94)	96 (92-100)	-	99 (95-103)	103	103 (93-112)	94 (92-95)
n	181	624	172		176		31	1184
Injuries by body region with AIS 3+ (%)								
Head	0.0	3.4	15.5		27.9		36.7	9.8
Face	0.0	1.8	1.0		2.3		8.2	1.7
Neck	0.0	3.4	7.8		4.6		8.2	3.9
Thorax	0.0	49.4	48.1		57.5		77.6	43.8
Abdomen	0.0	21.2	35.4		22.8		38.8	21.0
Spine	0.0	0.9	2.4		7.8		10.2	2.4
Upper limb	0.0	9.0	5.8		5.5		10.2	6.6
Lower limb	0.0	10.2	10.2		5.9		14.3	8.1
Mean	0.0	1.2	2.1		2.5		4.0	1.5
Mortality % (95% CI)	0.0 (0.0-0.0)	1.8 (0.8-2.8)	12.1 (7.6-16.6)		22.4(16.8-27.9)		55.1 (40.7-69.5)	8.3 (6.8-9.7)

Notes: The sample size is equal to the total number in each ISS group n other than where indicated by n.

Two hundred and thirteen patients (16%) had an ISS of 1-8, 678 (50%) had an ISS of 9-15, 206 (15%) had an ISS of 16-24, 219 (16%) had an ISS of 25-34, and 49 (4%) had an ISS of 35-75 (Table 1). Ninety-one percent of the patients were male, and the median age was 30 years (IQR 23-39 years).

The main cause of penetrating injury was stabbing (73.2%), followed by shooting (18.6%). There was no significant year on year change in these proportions (stabbings vs. shootings).

Motor vehicle

collisions and falls accounted for around 2% of all penetrating injuries. Over 90% of injuries were alleged assaults. The median (interquartile range [IQR]) GCS was 15(15-15) across the whole sample, falling to 8 (3-14) in the ISS 35-75 group. Mean (95% confidence interval [CI]) systolic blood pressure in A&E was 124 mmHg (122-126) and mean pulse rate was 94 beats per minute (92-95).

The most common serious injuries (with an AIS score of 3 or more) were to the thorax (44%), abdomen (21%) and head (10%). The average patient sustained 1.5 injuries with an AIS score of 3 or more, ranging from 0 in the ISS 1-8 group to 4 in the ISS 35-75 group.

Table 2 Treatment characteristics							
	ISS						
	1-8		9-15		16-24		25-34 35-75 All
Mode of arrival (%)							
Ambulance	78.3		84.6		90.3		83.2 72.9 83.9
Car	15.2		6.2		4.1		5.5 4.2 7.1
Helicopter	3.0		4.2		3.6		8.4 22.9 5.3
Other	3.5		5.0		2.0		3.0 0.0 3.8
<i>n</i>	198		644		196		202 48 1288
Time from emergency call to arrival at A&E (min) ^a							
Mean (95% CI)	54 (36 - 71)		46(39 - 54)		42 (32 -53)		57 (40 - 74) 47 (35-59) 48 (43-54)
<i>n</i>	70		276		86		83 14 529
Time in A&E (min)							
Mean (95% CI)	99(83-115)		117 (106-128)		87 (71-103)		66(52-80) 68(40-96) 99(92-106)
Specialty of doctors seen in A&E (%)							
Consultant	13.7		20.7		23.9		34.8 37.0 23.0
Middle grade	56.3		61.1		66.1		57.2 52.2 60.1
SHO	27.4		16.2		8.3		7.5 4.4 14.9
<i>n</i>	190		624		180		201 46 1241
Specialty of doctors seen in A&E (%)							
Emergency medicine	55.3		66.9		60.1		57.6 60.9 62.4
General surgery	35.7		39.5		37.8		32.2 39.1 37.5
Anaesthesia	26.1		23.1		38.3		39.5 41.3 29.1
Orthopaedics	25.1		24.6		20.2		22.9 17.4 23.5
Neurosurgery	5.5		8.0		11.2		21.0 26.1 10.8
Other	23.1		12.9		15.4		15.1 15.2 15.3
<i>n</i>	199		641		188		205 46 1279
Surgery (%) ^b							
Laparotomy	32.4		17.8		24.3		15.5 20.4 20.8
Exploration or revision (general)	12.2		8.3		11.7		6.4 8.2 9.1
Debridement	12.7		8.0		2.4		4.1 2.0 7.0
Thoracotomy	0.5		2.2		10.7		16.0 16.3 5.9
Any Vessel operation/procedure	6.1		5.3		10.2		4.1 4.1 5.9
<i>n</i>	213		678		206		219 49 1365
Admitted to critical care (%)							
Yes	30.2		35.9		66.9		71.5 74.1 47.1
<i>n</i>	139		462		157		151 27 936
Length of stay in critical care (day) ^c							
Median (IQR)	2(1-4)		2(1-3)		2 (1-5)		3(1 -6) 5 (3-14) 2(1-5)
<i>n</i>	42		166		105		108 20 441
Total length of stay (day) ^d							
Median (IQR)	6(4-11)		6(4-10)		8(4-15)		9(5-15) 12(4-27) 7(4-11)

n	139	462	157	151	27	936
Hospital has a neurosurgical centre (%)						
Yes	41.8	48.5	51.5	57.5	69.4	50.1
n	213	678	206	219	49	1365
Hospital has a spinal injuries unit (%)						
Yes	6.1	2.5	4.4	1.8	2.0	3.2
n	213	678	206	219	49	1365

^a Among those arriving by ambulance.

^b Results are reported for procedures undergone by at least 5% of all patients, ranked by % for All.

^c Among those who were admitted to critical care.

^d Including length of stay in critical care. The figures pertain both to those who were admitted to critical care and those who were not.

	ISS					
	1-8	9-15	16-24	25-34	35-75	All
Hospital mortality (%)	0.0	1.8	12.1	22.4	55.1	8.3
<i>n</i>	213	678	206	219	49	1365
Hospital mortality {%						
By gender						
Male	0.0	1.8	11.8	22.4	55.0	8.1
Female	0.0	1.7	15.0	22.2	55.6	10.4
By age group						
18-24 years	0.0	1.6	9.7	17.6	50.0	6.2
25—44 years	0.0	1.8	11.9	21.9	51.9	8.1
45-64 years	0.0	2.5	16.7	21.9	63.6	11.4
65+ years	0.0	0.0	33.3	75.0	100.0	23.5
By cause of injury						
% Vehicle collision	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	83.3	22.2
Falls	0.0	0.0	28.6	0.0	0.0	8.0
Shooting	0.0	0.0	9.5	26.9	62.5	10.6
Stabbing	0.0	1.8	12.8	20.1	48.5	7.2
Other	0.0	10.5	0.0	33.3	100.0	10.0
By level of intent						
Non-intentional	0.0	0.0	20.0	12.5	83.3	12.3
Alleged assault	0.0	1.6	14.3	23.4	63.0	9.0
Other	0.0	16.7		0.0		9.1
By Glasgow Coma Score group						
3-5	0.0	45.5	73.9	69.8	100.0	72.6
6-8	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	80.0	27.3
9-12	0.0	0.0	14.3	16.7	0.0	7.7
13-15	0.0	0.7	2.6	4.9	23.5	1.6

By systolic blood pressure in A&E group						
<100mmHg	0.0	1.2	17.5	24.4	53.3	14.0
100-119 mmHg	0.0	0.8	0.0	9.8	22.2	2.8
120-139 mmHg	0.0	0.9	5.9	10.8	20.0	2.7
140-159 mmHg	0.0	0.0	3.0	13.8	0.0	2.2
160+mmHg	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.8	66.7	3.4
By pulse rate in A&E group						
<80b.p.m.	0.0	1.8	7.7	19.0	50.0	5.5
80-99 b.p.m.	0.0	0.4	3.2	12.8	33.3	2.6
100-119 b.p.m.	0.0	0.7	6.5	11.6	18.2	3.8
120+b.p.m.	0.0	1.4	7.7	20.5	50.0	9.4
By injuries by body region with AIS 3+						
Head		0.0	9.4	31.1	66.7	25.4
Face		0.0	0.0	0.0	75.0	13.0
Neck		8.7	12.5	20.0	50.0	15.1
Thorax		1.8	10.1	16.7	50.0	9.4
Abdomen		0.0	17.8	16.0	52.6	10.8
Spine		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Upper limb		1.6	0.0	8.3	40.0	4.4
Lower limb		1.4	14.3	15.4	57 A	9.1

Notes: Numbers in italics are based on 10 or fewer observations.

Table 4 Mean treatment costs by sample characteristics							
	ISS						
	1-8		9-15	16-24	25-34	35-75	All
Total cost (£)							
Mean (95% CI)	6,501 (5,540-7,463)		6,053 (5,487-6,583)	9,453 (8,072-10,833)	12,347(9,831-14,862)	16,438 (10,384-22,493)	7,983 (7,381-8,584)
Median (IQR)	4,728 (3,524-7,181)		4,721(2,727-7,279)	7,218(4,336-11,739)	7,376(4,328-14,227)	10,773(6,043-26,864)	5,473(3,293-8,835)
Range	551- 36,904		551-70,100	711- 53,038	551-107,533	816 – 64,374	551-107,533
n	130		443	150	139	27	889
Mean total cost (£)							
By gender							
Male	6,558		5,997	9,398	12,180	18,673	7,965
Female	6,035		6,421	10,030	14,108	8,618	8,150
By age group							
18-24	5,338		4,878	8,560	12,994	23,314	7,119
25-44	6,400		6,630	9,362	10,630	15,935	7,962
45-64	10,296		6,497	12,387	14,038	15,570	10,052

65+ years	6,483		8,412	5,146	36,234	2,201	9,775
By cause of injury							
Vehicle collision	9,596		8,962	22,496	69,432	10,541	16,185
Falls	5,211		4,729	10,895	18,615	43,196	10,740
Shooting	6,977		9,961	10,249	13,039	9,091	10,307
Stabbing	6,088		5,441	8,683	11,237	16,706	7,196
Other	7,369		5,895	12,977	17,371		8,488
By level of intent							
Non-	7,046		7,788	21,024	37,035	10,541	12,644
Alleged	6,357		6,034	8,569	12,033	14,814	7,699
Other	3,667		3,229				3,448
By Glasgow Coma Score group							
3-5	36,904		14,240	9,407	15,306	5,040	13,040
6-8			13,543	13,664	11,184	5,969	9,679
9-12	5,430		13,639	18,924	19,792	22,743	17,756
13-15	5,697		5,681	9,048	9,857	17,112	6,926
By injuries by body region with AIS 3+							
Head			4,399	5,953	12,702	14,125	9,431
Face			5,769	4,449	16,940	11,727	9,461
Neck			7,607	12,042	18,130	14,102	10,955
Thorax			4,589	10,368	13,497	17,462	8,232
Abdomen			8,122	10,533	14,180	19,411	10,535
Spine			4,411	6,006	13,706	36,639	14,696
Upper limb			6,687	9,987	26,557	15,885	10,240
Lower limb			9,157	8,024	24,618	21,459	12,191
By discharge status							
Alive	6,501		6,043	9,743	12,911	20,859	8,094
Dead			2,528	4,915	4,201	3,808	4,278

Notes: Numbers in italics are based on 10 or fewer observations.

Table 5 Mean treatment costs by treatment characteristics*						
	ISS					
	1-8	9-15	16-24	25-24	35-75	All
Mean total cost (£)						
By mode of arrival						
Ambulance	7,004	5,886	9,619	12,861	16,781	8,072
Helicopter	6,725	7,078	6,704	10,156	15,754	9,045
Car	3,841	5,767	8,369	6,185		5,450
Other	7,622	7,587	9,938	15,475		8,761

By grade of most senior doctor seen in A&E						
Consultant	7,330	5,352	9,517	12,746	12,252	8,324
Middle grade	6,244	6,277	9,207	12,103	19,286	8,001
SHO	6,782	5,504	7,709	7,522	10,773	6,325
By specialty of doctors seen in A&E						
Emergency medicine	5,819	5,655	8,311	9,739	19,065	7,039
General surgery	5,694	6,182	9,941	11,361	15,779	7,699
Anaesthesia	7,040	7,636	12,541	11,993	20,931	10,072
Orthopaedics	6,013	6,482	11,903	17,343	16,539	9,248
Neurosurgery	6,681	5,295	6,389	7,228	17,083	7,163
Other	8,871	6,023	7,932	22,126	14,664	9,297
By surgery ³						
Laparotomy	5,979	9,058	10,918	15,496	30,032	10,197
Exploration or revision	5,678	6,608	11,744	19,869	17,290	9,645
Debridement	9,580	7,316	10,148	22,054	7,060	9,706
Thoracotomy		9,356	13,013	16,777	21,916	14,493
Any vessel operation/procedure	6,997	7,390	9,377	10,341	18,879	8,546
By length of stay in critical care (day)						
0	4,474	4,170	4,581	3,513	4,601	4,221
1-5	8,858	7,831	8,410	9,161	9,704	8,410
6-10	18,175	14,972	16,828	20,993	20,160	17,904
11 +	27,177	42,123	35,761	49,067	40,735	41,755
By total length of stay (day) ^b						
0-5	3,776	3,206	4,118	3,525	3,808	3,491
6-10	5,729	5,868	7,599	7,192	9,861	6,353
11-20	10,282	10,050	10,910	11,745	13,495	10,808
21-30	17,763	11,781	19,503	17,790	27,955	17,196
31-40	13,700	14,024	22,597	27,539	26,864	20,319
41-50	23,175	19,065	28,779	20,844	37,981	26,031
51 +	36,904	33,190	35,899	46,115	53,785	41,378
By whether or not hospital has a neurosurgical centre						
No	7,643	7,442	10,987	14,660	17,604	9,314
Yes	5,610	5,116	8,280	11,006	16,174	7,106
By whether or not hospital has a spinal injuries unit						
No	6,542	6,092	9,328	12,490	16,438	8,033
Yes	5,210	4,140	11,995	2,551		6,297

Notes: Numbers in italics are based on 10 or fewer observations. ¹ Among those arriving by ambulance.

^a Results are reported for procedures undergone by at least 5% of all patients only.

^b Including length of stay in critical care. The figures pertain both to those who were admitted to critical care and those who were not.

Treatment characteristics

Eighty-four percent of patients arrived at the hospital by ambulance, 7% by car, and 5% by helicopter (Table 2). Among those traveling by ambulance, mean time from emergency call to arrival at the A&E Department was 48 min (95% CI 43-54), and 87% arrived within one hour of the call. The mean time in the A&E Department was 99 min (95% CI 92-106). Twenty-three percent of the patients were seen by a consultant in A&E, 60% were seen by a middle grade doctor (defined as senior registrar, registrar, specialist registrar with up to 5 years of experience, staff grade, associate specialist and research fellow) and 15% were seen by a senior house officer (SHO).

Sixty-nine percent of the patients underwent at least one surgical procedure; the most common were laparotomy (21%), general surgical exploration (9%), wound debridement (7%), thoracotomy (6%) and vessel-related procedures (5%).

Forty-seven percent of the patients were admitted to critical care. The percentage ranged from 30% in the ISS 1 -8 group to 74% in the ISS 35-75 group. The low critical care admission rate among those with ISS 35-75 is due to the early mortality in this group: the mean (95% CI) and median (IQR) times to death after arrival at A&E among those with ISS 35-75 who died were 1.0 days (-0.1-2.1) and 0 (0-0) days, respectively. The median (IQR) length of stay in critical care among those who were admitted was 2 days (1-5). Median (IQR) total length of stay, including length of stay on a general ward and in critical care, was 7 days (4-11).

Hospital mortality

The overall mortality rate among the 1365 penetrating trauma patients was 8.3%. Of the hospital deaths observed, the mean time to death was 1.5 days (95% CI 0.8-2.2). Mean time to death was 2.3 days (-1.5-6.1) for those injured in motor vehicle collisions, 17.0 days (4.3-29.7) for falls, 0.6 days (0.2-1.1) for shootings, 1.0 day (0.2-1.8) for stabbings, and 4.7 days (0.4-8.9) for other causes of injury.

Hospital mortality by patient characteristics is reported in Table 3. Mortality rates were higher in females and increased with age. Overall, mortality was higher in those injured by shooting (11%) than by stabbing (7%), yet the highest mortality was incurred in the motor vehicle accident category (22%). Unintentional penetrating injuries had higher mortality rates than alleged assaults. Across the whole sample mortality increased as GCS declined. Most types of serious injury (AIS3+) were associated with higher mortality with the exception of spinal and upper limb injuries.

Treatment costs

The mean total NHS hospital cost per patient during the initial hospitalisation was £7983 (95% CI £7381-8584) (Table 4). Treatment costs were highest among patients injured in a motor vehicle collision, followed by falls and firearm injuries. Treatment costs were markedly higher among those injured unintentionally. There was no clear relationship between GCS and costs. Every type of serious injury were associated with higher on treatment costs. In all ISS groups mortality

had a negative effect on treatment costs. Across all patients, length of stay in a general ward accounted for the largest percentage of costs (37%), followed by length of stay in critical care (32%). The next most important component was surgical procedures (23%), followed by travel to the A&E Department (4%) and A&E costs (3%).

The impact of treatment characteristics on treatment costs is shown in Table 5. Treatment costs varied by mode of hospital arrival (helicopter was the most expensive). Across all patients mean treatment costs were higher if the patient was seen by a consultant in A&E, and if the patient was seen by an anaesthetist. Patients who were seen by a consultant had more severe injuries than those who were not seen by a consultant, which probably explains the higher costs incurred by this group (mean ISS 17.2 vs. 13.6, mean difference 3.6, 95% CI 2.0-5.1). Patients treated by an anaesthetist were also more severely injured than those who did not see doctors from this specialty (mean ISS 16.7 vs. 13.4, mean difference 3.3, 95% CI 1.9-4.8). Unsurprisingly, treatment costs were positively correlated with length of stay in critical care and total length of stay.

Multivariate analysis of costs

The results of the multivariate regression analysis are in Table 6. In the treatment cost model the covariates explain 23% of the variation in hospital costs. The most significant determinants of costs were ISS (positively correlated with costs), and hospital mortality (negatively correlated). Patients with an ISS score in the range 35-75 had on average £12,500 higher costs than those with an ISS score in the range 1-15, and patients who died in hospital had on average¹ £9300 lower treatment costs than those who survived. Other statistically significant determinants of treatment costs were serious injuries of the abdomen (which were positively correlated with costs), cause of injury (stabbing) and admission to a hospital with a neurosurgical centre (both of which were negatively correlated).

	Treatment costs		
	Coefficient	<i>t</i>	P
ISS ^a			
16-24	3197	4.4	<0.001
25-34	5647	4.8	<0.001
35-75	12,463	4.6	<0.001
Discharge status: dead	-9285	-6.0	<0.001
Injuries by body region with AIS 3+: abdomen	2271	3.0	0.003
Cause of injury: stabbing	-2414	-3.4	0.001
Hospital with neurosurgical centre	-3237	-3.1	0.002
Observations	889		
Adjusted R^2	0.232		

The model also includes 43 hospital indicators. The coefficient is the mean change in treatment costs associated with each variable, controlling for the other variables in the model.

^a The omitted category is 1-15.

Discussion

This study provides a detailed description of the demographic and clinical characteristics of penetrating trauma patients in England and Wales, their causes of injury, acute treatment received and outcomes in terms of mortality. It is the first study to provide a detailed assessment of the NHS hospital costs associated with penetrating trauma injury in England and Wales.

The patient characteristics, outcomes and costs of blunt trauma in England and Wales have previously been described.⁶ Using this research it is possible to compare point estimates for patient characteristics, outcomes and costs for blunt trauma patients to those for penetrating trauma patients. The most notable differences in patient characteristics for penetrating trauma appear to be the following: penetrating trauma patients are on average 16 years younger than blunt trauma patients and a substantially larger proportion are men (91% vs. 63%). In accordance with previous findings,^{7,16} the hospital mortality from penetrating trauma was slightly higher (8% vs. 7%), and time to death from hospital admission was substantially shorter (1.6 days vs. 9.3 days). Penetrating trauma patients had shorter time from emergency call to arrival in A&E than blunt trauma (48.3 min vs. 70.7 min), possibly due to the fact that penetrating trauma primarily occur in urban areas and hence closer to the treating hospitals. In addition transport time to hospital in blunt trauma patients injured in road traffic collisions may be delayed by the time spent on extrication at the scene of accident. Penetrating trauma patients also spent only half the time on average in A&E (99.2 min vs. 193.8 min), probably because assessment and disposal is usually more straightforward than in multisystem blunt trauma. A greater proportion of penetrating trauma patients were admitted to critical care (47% vs. 29%), but for a shorter length of stay (median 2 days vs. 4 days). The overall hospital length of stay was also shorter for penetrating trauma (median 7 days vs. 9 days). The mean initial hospitalisation cost associated with penetrating trauma was lower than for blunt trauma (£7983 vs. £9530 per patient). Thus, there appear to be differences in patient characteristics, outcomes and costs between blunt trauma patients and penetrating trauma patients. It is important to note, however, that the comparison is based on point estimates only; the differences may not be statistically significant.

A number of studies have characterised the outcomes and treatment patterns of penetrating trauma in the United States.¹ The latest data from the American College of Surgeons National Trauma Data Bank, covering 640 trauma centres, found an overall mortality rate of 9.6% for penetrating trauma and a cause-specific mortality rate of 15.4% for gunshot wounds and 1.6% for cuts/piercings.²² The mean length of hospital stay associated with these specific types of penetrating trauma was 6.5 and 3.2 days, respectively, in 2001-2005, whilst the overall mean length of stay for the entire cohort was 5.1 days. The specific inclusion criteria in the TARN registry of admission for 3 days or more prevents a direct comparison on outcomes, length of stay and hospital costs. Interestingly Mock et al and Klein et al, comparing costs and outcomes for patients with gunshot wounds to stabbing wounds in the United States, found the cost of shooting to be higher than stabbing; a finding similar to our observation.^{13,17} Miller and Cohen, providing a summary of cost estimates for the acute care of penetrating trauma in the United States, found mean charges of US\$ 11,002; again an estimate not substantially different from our study.¹⁵ Only one study has been conducted on the cost of penetrating trauma in the United Kingdom. Cowey et al. reviewed the cost of 187 gunshot injuries treated at a teaching hospital in Manchester from

1995 to 2000 and found a mean cost of £2698 for patients admitted for in-patient care based on local unit cost data.⁸ In our study we found the acute care cost of gunshot wounds to be £10,307. The difference is again likely to be explained by the requirement of admission among survivors for 3 days or more in the TARN registry, which precludes inexpensive short stay cases.

As seen in our study intentional violence is the major cause of penetrating trauma in England and Wales, and it is associated with substantial treatment costs even in the short term. Though the costs of penetrating trauma resulting from shooting is higher than from stabbing (as seen in other countries¹⁵), the most commonly used weapon in violent crime in England and Wales is actually a knife. Recent data from the Centre for Crime and Justice Studies at King's College suggest that between 22,000 and 57,900 young people could have been victims of a knife-related crime in 2004.⁵ At an average cost of £7699 per penetrating injury from alleged assault and a total of 417 injuries per year requiring hospitalisation for at least 3 days (assuming TARN captures data for 50% of all trauma injuries in the United Kingdom), the total acute care cost of this type of injury alone may exceed £3.2 million annually. Considering the additional medical costs of rehabilitation, and the broader societal costs resulting from lost productivity, permanent disability, premature death and the pain and suffering by the victims and their families, this money could be better spent on prevention strategies that reduce violent incidents. For instance, since October 2007, the laws on selling knives changed in England and Wales and it became illegal for shopkeepers to sell knives to anyone under the age of 18.¹¹ Since May 2004 the Home Office has disbursed more than £1.25 million in grants to local community groups to support knife intervention projects in England and Wales.¹¹ We acknowledge a number of limitations with the current study. First, we did not include treatment cost occurring after the initial hospitalisation period, including cost of rehabilitation, home care support and any subsequent hospitalisations related to penetrating trauma. Second, the retrospective nature of the study implies reliance on the quality and completeness of the data reported to the TARN database. We observed incomplete data on a number of important treatment parameters, particularly the time from emergency call to arrival at A&E and surgical procedures. If these data, particularly those relating to surgical procedures, are not missing at random across the different patient characteristics recorded, this could bias our results. Third, whilst there is strong evidence that the hospitals participating in TARN can be considered nationally representative in regards to the patients treated for severe trauma,²⁰ the registry is still based on voluntary participation. A possibility of bias therefore does exist insofar as non-participating hospitals may treat patients who are systematically different from those included in our study. Fourth, we excluded patients who died before they reached the hospital and our estimates relate only to patients hospitalised for penetrating trauma for 3 days or more. Fifth, the indirect costs related to lost productivity and time spent away from other activities, as well as the costs associated with the pain and suffering by victims and relatives were not included. The cost of follow up care and the indirect costs can be substantial, and in fact represent the majority of the lifetime societal costs of penetrating trauma.⁵ Sixth, the unit costs used in the analysis are by necessity crude. In particular, the unit costs of hospital stays are not specific to penetrating trauma patients. Clearly, it would be more appropriate to use the actual costs incurred by each patient included in the analysis, yet these data were not available in TARN for this analysis.

In summary, our study provides detailed information on patient characteristics, treatment patterns, mortality and costs of penetrating trauma in the acute-care setting in England and

Wales. Our findings indicate that the initial hospital costs associated with penetrating trauma are substantial, and vary to a considerable degree by patient, injury and treatment characteristics. Public health initiatives that aim to reduce the incidence and severity of penetrating trauma are therefore likely to produce significant savings in acute trauma care costs.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Omar Bouamra and Tom Jenks at the Trauma Audit Research Network for providing the data used in this study and gratefully acknowledge the huge effort made by individual clinicians and hospitals in collecting and submitting raw data to the TARN database. TARN is funded by its participating hospitals.

Appendix A. Unit costs

Cost Component	Unit	Unit Cost (£)	Source and Notes
Mode of arrival at hospital			
Ambulance	Cost per minute	5.50	Curtis and Netten ⁹ (p.112) Cost per minute of emergency ambulance service London Air Ambulance Website http://www.londonsairambulance.com/ Mean cost per mission
Helicopter	Mean cost per patient journey	1650	
Hospital stay			
A&E Department	Mean cost per attender	278	Department of Health ¹⁰ Mean cost per attender across all A&E Healthcare Resource Groups
General Ward	Mean cost per day	281	Department of Health ¹⁰ Mean national average unit cost per day across all non-elective Healthcare Resource Groups
Critical Care Unit	Mean cost per day	1328	Department of Health ¹⁰ Mean cost per day in Intensive Care Unit/Intensive Therapy Unit
Surgical procedures ^a	Duration (min)	Unit Cost (£)	Source and Notes
Laparotomy	160	1810	TARN; NICE ²¹ The duration in minutes for each procedure was computed internally using the TARN database. The unit costs were then computed by multiplying the duration by the variable cost per minute from NICE ²¹ and adding a fixed cost per procedure also taken from NICE. This method has been used in previous UK cost analyses of trauma care ¹⁸
Exploration or revision (general)	139	1675	
Debridement	139	1680	
Thoracotomy	157	1790	
Any vessel operation/procedure	182	1951	
Suture	125	1591	

^a Details are reported in the table for in the analysis. The duration and unit procedures undergone by at least 5% of penetrating trauma patients. 103 procedures are included cost range from 10 min and £852 for Gastroscopy to 475 min and £3828 for Escharotomy.

Conflict of interest

This study was funded by Novo Nordisk A/S. M. Christensen and T. Nielsen are employees of Novo Nordisk A/S. S. Morris has received consultancy fees and S. Ridley has received honoraria from Novo Nordisk Ltd. TARN received an unrestricted grant for making data available for this study.

References

- 1) Arbabi S, Jurkovich GJ, Wahl WL, et al. A comparison of prehospital and hospital data in trauma patients. *J Trauma* 2004 56:1029-32.
- 2) Baker SP, O'Neill B, Haddon W, et al. The injury severity score: a method for describing patients with multiple injuries and evaluating emergency care. *J Trauma* 1974 14:187-96.
- 3) Bouamra O, Wrotchford A, Hollis S, et al. A new approach to outcome prediction in trauma: a comparison with the TRISS model. *J Trauma* 2006 61:701-10.
- 4) Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Morbidity and mortality weekly report. *JAMA* 2000 283:47-8.
- 5) Centre for Crime and Justice Studies. Knife crime: ineffective reactions to a distracting problem? A review of evidence and policy; August 2006. Available on-line at: <http://www.kcl.ac.uk/depsta/rel/ccjs/knife-crime-2006.pdf>.
- 6) Christensen ME, Saxon R, Lecky F, et al. Cost of blunt trauma in England and Wales. *Crit Care*, in press.
- 7) Clark DE, Lucas FL, Ryan LM. Predicting hospital mortality, length of stay, and transfer to long-term care for injured patients. *J Trauma* 2007 62:592-600.
- 8) Cowey A, Mitchell P, Gregory J, et al. A review of 187 gunshot wound admissions to a teaching hospital over a 54-month period: training and service implications. *Ann R Coll Surg Engl* 2004 86:104-7.
- 9) Curtis L, Netten A. Unit costs of health and social care 2004. University of Kent; 2004. Available on-line at: <http://www.hems-london.org.uk/contents/FAQ.aspx>.
- 10) Department of Health. NHS reference costs 2004. Appendix SRC1 -NHS Trust reference cost index. Department of Health 2005.
- 11) Home Office. Violent Crime. Available on-line at: <http://www.homeoffice.gov.uk/crime-victims/reducing-crime/violent-crime/>.
- 12) Kauvar DS, Wade DE. The epidemiology and modern management of traumatic hemorrhage: US and international perspectives. *Crit Care* 2005 9(Suppl. 5):S1-9.
- 13) Klein SR, Kanno IJ, Gilmore DA, Wilson SE. The socioeconomic impact of assault injuries on an urban trauma center. *Am Surg* 1991 57:793-7.
- 14) Lecky FE, Woodford M, Bouamra O, Yates DW. Lack of change in trauma care in England

and Wales since 1994. *Emerg Med J* 2002 19:520-3.

15) Miller TR, Cohen MA. Costs of gunshot and cut/stab wounds in the United States, with some Canadian Comparisons. *Accid Anal Prev* 1997 29:329-34.

16) Millham FH, LaMorte WW. Factors associated with mortality in trauma: re-evaluation of the TRISS method using the National Trauma Data Bank. *J Trauma* 2004 56:1090-6.

17) Mock C, Plicher S, Maier R. Comparison of the costs of acute treatment for gunshot and stab wounds: further evidence of the need for firearms control. *J Trauma* 1994 36:516-21.

18) Morris S, Ridley S, Munro V, Christensen ME. Cost effectiveness of recombinant activated factor VII for the control of bleeding in patients with severe blunt trauma injuries in the United Kingdom. *Anaesthesia* 2007 62:43-52.

19) Morris S, Ridley S, Munro V, et al. Traumatic brain injury in England and Wales: treatment, outcomes and hospital costs. *Anaesthesia*, in press.

20) National Centre for Health Outcomes Development. Clinical and health outcomes knowledge database. Trauma Audit Research Network. Available on-line at <http://www.nchod.nhs.uk/NCHOD/DocDat2.nsf/b14278ba7209e9ff882571d800125c52/55d3f326ddb8936b6525725a002f9f6f!OpenDocument>.

21) National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence. Technology Appraisal Guidance 83 Laparoscopic surgery for inguinal hernia repair; September 2004. p. 13-4.

22) National Trauma Data Bank Report 2006, version 6.0. Available on-line at: www.facs.org/trauma/ntdb/ntdbannualre-port2006.pdf.

23) National Vital Statistics Reports, vol. 55, no. 19; August 21, 2007.

24) Trauma Audit & Research Network. Trends in head injury outcome from 1989 to 2003 and the effect of neurosurgical care: an observational study. *Lancet* 2005 366: 1538-44.

25) Royal College of Surgeons of England. Better care for the severely injured. A joint report from the Royal College of Surgeons of England and the British Orthopaedic Association 2000.

26) Stevenson M, Segui-Gomez M, Lesohier I, et al. An overview of the injury severity score and the new injury severity score. *Inj Prev* 2001 7:10-3.

27) The Trauma Audit and Research Network (TARN). Available on line at: <http://www.tam.ac.uk>.