SPONSORED BY THE

Federal Ministry of Education and Research

Universität Trier

The observation of the thin-ice thickness distribution within the Laptev Sea polynya using MODIS data

S. Adams[1], S. Willmes[1], D. Schröder[1,2], G. Heinemann[1]
[1]Environmental Meteorology, University of Trier, Trier, Germany;
[2]Centre for Polar Observation and Modelling, University College London, London, UK
*corresponding author: Susanne Adams (susanne.adams@uni-trier.de)

Russian-German Cooperation Laptev-Sea System

1. Motivation

- Laptev Sea = Ice factory
- Variables essential for the ice-production calculation:
  - Polynya area
  - Thin-ice thickness distribution
  - Atmospheric Variables
- Thin-ice layer = Insulation layer that effectively reduces the heat loss to the atmosphere
- Remote sensing data is suitable to derive the thin-ice thickness distribution, however, the spatial resolution issue has to be taken into account
- We use high-resolution MODIS data to derive the thin-ice thickness distribution within a polynya

2. Thermal thin ice thickness retrieval



Figure 1: Thin-ice thickness retrieval scheme. L↓ and L↑ are the incoming and outgoing long-wave radiation components, H_0 and E_0 are the turbulent fluxes, Q_A is the net energy flux to the atmosphere, Q_I is the conductive heat flux through the ice, T_s is the ice-surface temperature, T_f is the freezing temperature of sea water and h_t is the ice thickness.

- Thin-ice thickness retrieval is based on the relation between ice-surface temperature and thin-ice thickness
- Calculation of TIT following Yu and Lindsay, 2003)
- Atmospheric heat flux to the atmosphere Q_A equals the conductive heat flux through the ice Q_I (Fig. 1)
- Modification of the algorithm at two calculation steps:

(1) Calculation of the turbulent heat fluxes (iterative bulk approach based on Launiainen & Vihma, 1990 instead of simple bulk equations)
(2) Calculation of the atmospheric emission coefficient required for the determination of the incoming long-wave radiation (newer improved parameterization following Jin et al., 2006)

3. Example of the MODIS thin-ice thickness

Example of MODIS single scene



Figure 2: MODIS Ts from 6 January 2009 0205 UTC; corresponding NCEP Ta from 6 January 2009 0000 UTC; ice-thickness distribution as calculated with MODIS Ts and NCEP atmospheric variables (TIT.MODIS+NCEP).

Example of a daily MODIS TIT map





Figure 3: Daily MODIS TIT map from 6 January 2009 (gray = thick ice).

- Daily maps are assimilated into the sea ice model (see Section 5)

Acknowledgements
This work is part of the German-Russian cooperation 'System Laptev Sea' funded by the BMBF under grant 03G0759D. MODIS data is provided by NASA, NCEP Reanalysis data by the U.S. National Centre for Environmental Prediction / Dept. of Energy (NCEP/DOE)

4. Sensitivity analysis of the MODIS thin-ice thicknesses

(a)	MODIS	NCEP
Ice-surface temp.	±1.6 °C	
2-m air temp.		±4.5 °C
10-m wind speed		±1.3 m s^{-1}
Relative humidity		±20 %

Tables: (a) Uncertainties of the input variables for the calculation of thin-ice thicknesses. Values from Hall et al. (2004), Ernsdorf et al. (2011), Renfrew et al. (2002). (b) Results of the Monte Carlo error estimation for winter 2007/08 and 2008/09.

(b)	Winter 2007/08	Winter 2008/09	Mean of both winters
Ice class (m)	$TIT_{MODIS+NCEP}$ (cm)	$TIT_{MODIS+NCEP}$ (cm)	$TIT_{MODIS+NCEP}$ (cm)
0.00 - 0.05	±1.0	±1.0	±1.0
0.05 - 0.10	±2.0	±2.2	±2.1
0.10 - 0.20	±5.2	±5.3	±5.3
0.20 - 0.30	±16.8	±12.0	±14.4
0.30 - 0.40	±34.2	±28.4	±31.3
0.40 - 0.50	±36.7	±60.2	±48.5
mean up to 0.20	**±4.7**	**±4.6**	**±4.7**
mean up to 0.50	**±26.1**	**±36.0**	**±31.1**

- T_s and 2-m air temperature (T_a) strongly influence the calculation of the ice thickness (not shown)
- Underestimation of T_a = strong underestimation of TIT; overestimation of T_a = moderate overestimation of TIT
- Uncertainties in the atmospheric variables have a smaller impact on very thin ice than on thicker ice
- The atmospheric data (T_a) have a strong impact on the quality of the retrieved ice thickness (Fig. 4)





Figure 4: (a) Averaged sum of reference thin-ice pixels for ice classes cl1 0 – 0.05 m, cl2 0.05 – 0.1 m and cl3 0.1 – 0.2 m. (b)-(i) Anomaly to reference ice thickness for the input variables with their minimum and maximum uncertainty.

5. Combined remote sensing – sea ice model approach





Figure 5: Number of grid points for different ice-thickness classes for April 2008. (a) MODIS thin-ice thickness data. The data is interpolated to FESOM's 5-km grid. (b) Simulations of FESOM's independent model run. Grid cells with available MODIS data are used only. (c) Simulations of FESOM's assimilated model run. All grid cells are shown.

References
T. Ernsdorf, D. Schröder, S. Adams, G. Heinemann, R. Timmermann, and S. Danilov, "Impact of atmospheric forcing data on simulations of the Laptev Sea polynya dynamics using the sea-ice ocean model FESOM," J. Geophys. Res, vol. 116, no. C12, 2011
D. K. Hall, J. R. Key, K. A. Case, G. A. Riggs, and D. J. Cavalieri, "Sea ice surface temperature product from MODIS," IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sensing, vol. 42, no. 5, pp. 1076–1087, 2004.
X. Jin, D. Barber, and T. Papakyriakou, "A new clear-sky downward longwave radiative flux parameterization for Arctic areas based on rawinsonde data," J. Geophys. Res, vol. 111, no. D24, 2006.
J. Launiainen and T. Vihma, "Derivation of turbulent surface fluxes — An iterative flux-profile method allowing arbitrary observing heights," Environmental Software, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 113–124, 1990.
A. Renfrew, G. W. K. Moore, P. S. Guest, and K. Bumke, "A Comparison of Surface Layer and Surface Turbulent Flux Observations over the Labrador Sea with ECMWF Analyses and NCEP Reanalyses: Journal of Physical Oceanography," J. Phys. Oceanogr, vol. 32, no. 2, pp. 383–400, http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0485(2002)032<0383:ACOSLA>2.0.CO;2, 2002.
Y. Yu and R. W. Lindsay, "Comparison of thin ice thickness distributions derived from RADARSAT Geophysical Processor System and advanced very high resolution radiometer data sets," J. Geophys. Res, vol. 108, no.C12, 2003.