# ICES Journal of Marine Science

ICES Journal of Marine Science; doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsu020

# Richness – abundance relationships for zooplankton in ballast water: temperate versus Arctic comparisons

Farrah T. Chan<sup>1\*</sup>, Elizabeta Briski<sup>2</sup>, Sarah A. Bailey<sup>2</sup>, and Hugh J. MacIsaac<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Great Lakes Institute for Environmental Research, University of Windsor, Windsor, ON, N9B 3P4, Canada <sup>2</sup>Great Lakes Laboratory for Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, Fisheries and Oceans Canada, Burlington, ON, L7R 4A6, Canada

\*Corresponding Author: tel: +1 519 253 3000 ext 4739; fax: +1 519 961 3616; e-mail: chan11c@uwindsor.ca

Chan, F. T., Briski, E., Bailey, S. A., and MacIsaac, H. J. Richness – abundance relationships for zooplankton in ballast water: temperate versus Arctic comparisons. – ICES Journal of Marine Science, doi.10.1093/icesjms/fsu020.

Received 10 October 2013; accepted 23 January 2014.

Species richness and abundance are two commonly measured parameters used to characterize invasion risk associated with transport vectors, especially those capable of transferring large species assemblages. Understanding the relationship between these two variables can further improve our ability to predict future invasions by identifying conditions where high-risk (i.e. species-rich or high abundance or both) and low-risk (i.e. species-poor and low abundance) introduction events are expected. While ballast water is one of the best characterized transport vectors of aquatic non-indigenous species, very few studies have assessed its magnitude at high latitudes. We assessed the arrival potential of zooplankton via ballast water in the Canadian Arctic by examining species richness, total abundance, and the relationship between the two parameters for zooplankton in ships from Europe destined for the Arctic, in comparison with the same parameters for ships bound for Atlantic Canada and the Great Lakes. In addition, we examined whether species richness and/or total abundance were influenced by temperature change and/or ballast water age for each shipping route. We found that species richness and total abundance for Arctic and Great Lakes ships were significantly lower than those for Atlantic ships. Differences in species richness – total abundance relationship for Arctic and Great Lakes ships suggests that these parameters decreased proportionately as ballast water aged. In contrast, the absence of such a relationship for Atlantic ships suggests that decreases in total abundance were accompanied by little to no reduction in species richness. Collectively, our results indicate that the arrival potential of zooplankton in ballast water of Arctic ships may be lower than or similar to that of Atlantic and Great Lakes ships, respectively.

Keywords: biological invasions, colonization pressure, invasion pathway, invasive species, propagule pressure, shipping vector.

# Introduction

The invasion process can be viewed as a series of stages including arrival, survival, establishment and spread, where successful transition to subsequent stages is determined by a number of factors including the number of arriving species and their abundance (i.e. colonization pressure and propagule pressure), physicochemical factors, and community interactions (Kolar and Lodge, 2001; Lockwood *et al.*, 2005; Colautti *et al.*, 2006; Blackburn *et al.*, 2011). Despite the complex nature of the invasion process and the number of factors that influence it, colonization pressure and propagule pressure remain important null models for biological invasions (Colautti *et al.*, 2006; Lockwood *et al.*, 2009). Increasing the number of introduction events or the number of propagules released per event enhances the probability of establishment of a

population due to decreased environmental and demographic stochasticity, respectively (Colautti *et al.*, 2006; Lockwood *et al.*, 2009; Simberloff, 2009). Similarly, a greater number of species introduced increases the potential that at least one species can form a selfsustaining population in the new environment (Lockwood *et al.*, 2009), where matching environmental conditions between source and recipient habitats provides the greatest opportunity for establishment success (Herborg *et al.*, 2007; Barry *et al.*, 2008; Floerl *et al.*, 2013). Therefore, a first step in assessing invasion risk of a transport vector—particularly those capable of transferring large species assemblages—is to determine the arrival potential of transported species by quantifying the number of individuals introduced per species and the number of species released per introduction event (e.g. Conn *et al.*, 2010; Haska *et al.*, 2012; Briski *et al.*, 2013).

© International Council for the Exploration of the Sea 2014. All rights reserved. For Permissions, please email: journals.permissions@oup.com

CORE

International Council for the Exploration of the Sea

Understanding the link between richness and abundance for species assemblages being translocated by a transport vector can further improve our ability to predict invasions by identifying conditions where high- and low-risk introduction events are expected (see Lockwood et al., 2009; Briski et al., 2012). A high-risk introduction event may be characterized by a large number of species each represented by many individuals, a large number of species each represented by few individuals, or by a few abundant species, although we expect the first scenario to represent the greatest invasion risk because of the high likelihood for establishment of multiple species in the new environment. In contrast, a low-risk introduction event may be characterized by a small number of species each represented by few individuals. The relationship between species richness and abundance will depend on the nature of the vector, the source community, and survival and reproductive strategies of entrained organisms (Lockwood et al., 2009; Briski et al., 2012, 2014). For example, Briski et al. (2012) reported that the relationship between species richness and total abundance (i.e. total number of individuals of all species) varied across and within taxonomic groups sampled from ballast water of ships.

Temperature change is regarded as a principal environmental factor affecting survival of organisms, including those in ballast water (Gollasch et al., 2000a; Klein et al., 2010; Seiden et al., 2011). Other factors that may also cause mortality in ballast tanks include food limitation, predation, light and oxygen limitation, mechanical injury due to wave action, and chemical toxicity associated with tank coatings (Carlton, 1985; Gollasch et al., 2000b; Wonham et al., 2001). Increasing ballast water age prolongs the interval that organisms are exposed to these stressors, and thus usually adversely affects the number of organisms that survive (Verling et al., 2005; Cordell et al., 2009; Gollasch et al., 2000b). The effects of these selective pressures on organism survival during transport could vary depending on the shipping route because of exposure to different environmental conditions (Briski et al., 2013). As a result, species richness, total abundance, and subsequently the relationship between species richness and total abundance associated with ballast water could vary depending on the shipping route (e.g. Arctic versus temperate voyages).

While ballast water is one of the best characterized vectors of aquatic non-indigenous species (NIS) globally, very few studies have assessed the magnitude of the transport vector in the Arctic (e.g. Niimi, 2004; Chan et al., 2013; Ware et al., 2013), and none have conducted biological sampling to determine community assemblages being transported in northern Canada. Arctic shipping plays an important role in supporting Canada's northern communities by delivering supplies and transporting Arctic resources to domestic and international markets (McCalla, 1994). However, shipping may also provide opportunities for species to disperse into or within the region. For example, the Pacific diatom Neodenticula seminae (Simonsen and Kanaya) Akiba and Yanagisawa reappeared in the Labrador Sea in 1999, though it was absent since the Pleistocene (Reid et al., 2007). While evidence suggests that the diatom was likely carried by increased flows of Pacific water from the Bering Sea through the Arctic Ocean following recession of coastal ice sheets, the possibility of introduction via ballast water cannot be dismissed (Reid et al., 2007). At least ten aquatic NIS have been reported in other Arctic and subArctic waters, although the introduction pathway(s) and long-term establishment success are generally not well documented (Hines and Ruiz, 2000; Streftaris et al., 2005; Gollasch, 2006). Such a small number of NIS documented for the Arctic may be an artefact of limited research effort (Niimi, 2004; Ruiz and Hewitt, 2009). Therefore, an examination of the biological composition of ballast water of ships entering Canadian Arctic waters is needed to evaluate the arrival potential by this vector.

In this study, we determine the arrival potential of zooplankton via ballast water in the Canadian Arctic by comparing species richness, total abundance, and the species richness-total abundance relationship for ships arriving at the Port of Churchill to those bound for ports on the Atlantic coast and in the Great Lakes. Hereafter we use the terms "Arctic", "Atlantic", and "Great Lakes" to denote ships destined for Churchill, the Atlantic coast, and Great Lakes, respectively. We have two objectives: first, to quantify species richness and total abundance of zooplankton as well as the relationship between the two parameters in ballast water of Arctic, Atlantic, and Great Lakes ships; and second, to examine transit factors such as temperature change and ballast water age that may influence species richness and total abundance of zooplankton, and subsequently the relationship between the two, in ballast water for different vessel groups. We quantify total abundance of all zooplankton species (i.e. total propagule pressure of all species) rather than abundance per species (i.e. propagule pressure) to be consistent with a number of previous ballast water studies (e.g. Lawrence and Cordell, 2010; Verling et al., 2005; DiBacco et al., 2012) and to be consistent with the D-2 Ballast Water Performance Standard proposed by the International Maritime Organization, which sets a maximum allowable discharge concentration based on the total number of organisms, including zooplankton, per cubic metre of water (IMO, 2004). In addition, because of a major lack of baseline biodiversity information available for Canadian Arctic coastal ecosystems (see Cusson et al., 2007; Archambault et al., 2010), we could not confidently assign invasion status to zooplankton species collected from Arctic ships. The distinction between NIS and native species would also vary depending on the ballast water discharge location (see Briski et al., 2012). As a result, we did not differentiate NIS from native species when determining species richness and total abundance of zooplankton, and thus our results may be conservative estimates of invasion risk for zooplankton in ballast water.

# Material and methods Study sites

The Canadian Arctic covers all Canadian waters north of  $60^\circ$  and also includes Ungava Bay, Hudson Bay, and James Bay (Chan et al., 2012). The Arctic region contains 195 ports, although the Port of Churchill in Manitoba is the only Arctic seaport currently visited by international merchant vessels, which discharge roughly 200 000 m<sup>3</sup> of ballast water annually (Chan et al., 2012). There has been no confirmed report of aquatic NIS in the Canadian Arctic, though research effort in the region is low. The Atlantic coast of Canada and waters of the Estuary and Gulf of St Lawrence east of Québec City contain over 77 major commercial ports (Casas-Monroy et al., 2014). The region receives more than 23 000 000 m<sup>3</sup> of ballast water released by international merchant vessels each year (Casas-Monroy et al., 2014). At least 112 aquatic NIS have established on the Atlantic Coast of Canada (A. Locke and J. Hanson, unpublished data), though the mechanisms of introduction are not well documented. The Laurentian Great Lakes and the freshwater portion of the St Lawrence River up to and including Québec City contain 15 major ports and 121 regional ports (Bailey et al., 2012). The region receives nearly 5 000 000 m<sup>3</sup> of ballast water discharged by international merchant vessels annually

(Bailey *et al.*, 2012). The Great Lakes have been invaded by at least 160 aquatic NIS, with ballast water discharge being a dominant vector since 1959 (Bailey *et al.*, 2012). All international merchant vessels carrying foreign ballast water are required to conduct open ocean ballast water exchange (BWE) prior to entering any of the three regions (Government of Canada, 2006). The BWE procedure replaces ballast water loaded at port with oceanic water, reducing the density of organisms by purging individuals out of tanks and killing remaining ones by osmotic shock (Bailey *et al.*, 2011).

# Sample and data collection

We collected zooplankton samples opportunistically from ballast tanks of transatlantic ships arriving at the Port of Churchill between August and October in 2009 and 2010. Using vertical plankton net tows, we collected samples from one tank per ship. We lowered a 30-cm diameter, 30-µm Nitex plankton net through an opened tank access hatch to the maximum accessible depth inside the ballast tank and retrieved it by hand at a speed of  $\sim 1 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ ; this process was repeated until at least 1000 l of water was filtered for analysis. Tow depth ranged from 0.5-4.6 m with a mean depth of 2.1 m ( $\pm$  0.2 SEM). We preserved all samples in 95% ethanol and stored them at room temperature until analysis. Temperature and salinity of ballast water were measured within the upper 1 m of the water column of each sampled tank using a thermometer and a digital refractometer, respectively. We sorted and enumerated zooplankton in the laboratory using a dissecting microscope, with individuals identified to the lowest taxonomic level feasible with the aid of taxonomic experts (see Acknowledgements). Data on zooplankton richness and abundance in ballast water of transatlantic ships arriving at ports in Atlantic Canada and the Laurentian Great Lakes were obtained from DiBacco et al. (2012) and Bailey et al. (2011), respectively. Because 125- and 53-µm plankton nets were used, respectively, to collect ballast water samples in the previous studies, we excluded nauplii and rotifers from our analysis to allow for comparison. In addition, many taxa were not identified to species level, and therefore our results should be considered as conservative estimates of species richness. Furthermore, we used data only from ships that took up ballast water at European ports and conducted BWE in the North Atlantic Ocean to reduce the effects of source assemblage on zooplankton species richness and total abundance (Figure 1). The number of zooplankton samples considered in the analysis was 27, 22, and 9 for Arctic, Atlantic, and Great Lakes ships, respectively.

To evaluate the influence of temperature change and ballast water age on species richness and total abundance of zooplankton, we obtained information from Ballast Water Reporting Forms submitted to Transport Canada on the source, volume and age of sampled ballast water, as well as date and location of BWE, for each ship. We used the final coordinates of the BWE event as the start point when calculating temperature change and ballast water age (Figure 1). This was done because environmental conditions and biological composition may change dramatically during the process of BWE (Gollasch et al., 2000b; Gray et al., 2007; Simard et al., 2011). We calculated temperature change as the difference between ocean surface water temperature at the BWE location and that of sampled ballast water. Because measurement of ballast water temperature during BWE was not available, we interpolated ocean surface water temperature at BWE locations in ArcGIS, ESRI Inc. using summer ocean surface water temperature data with a  $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$  spatial resolution from the World Ocean Atlas 2009 (Locarnini et al., 2009; Figure 1). We defined ballast water age as the number of days between BWE and the sampling event. Two Great Lakes ships were excluded from regressions of species richness and total abundance on temperature change because of missing BWE dates, locations, or temperature of sampled ballast water. In addition, one Atlantic ship and one Great Lakes ship were excluded from regressions of richness and total abundance on ballast water age owing to missing BWE dates.

# Statistical analysis

Zooplankton richness and total abundance data were logtransformed (log [x + 1]) in all analyses to meet assumptions of parametric tests. We used one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and post hoc Bonferroni tests to test for differences in species richness and total abundance of zooplankton among vessel groups. The relationship between species richness and total abundance for zooplankton in ballast water for each vessel category was examined using Pearson correlation analysis. To identify factors that might influence species richness and total abundance of zooplankton in ballast water, we tested for differences in range of temperature change and ballast water age among vessel groups using the nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis test because our data did not follow a normal distribution and homogeneity of variances could not be assumed. We conducted follow-up Mann-Whitney tests with Bonferroni correction when a significant Kruskal-Wallis test result was found. Finally, we conducted multiple regression analyses to investigate the simultaneous effects of temperature change and ballast water age on species richness and total abundance of zooplankton in ballast water for each vessel group. In two separate analyses using the forced entry method, species richness or total abundance was entered as the dependent variable, and temperature change and ballast water age were entered as independent variables. The pair-wise correlation matrix, variance inflation factor (VIF), and tolerance statistics were examined to evaluate multicollinearity in models. A significance level of 95% was used for all statistical analyses. All statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS version 21, IMB Corp.

#### Results

A total of 50 zooplankton taxa were identified from ballast water in transatlantic ships arriving at the Port of Churchill, compared with 62 and 25 taxa for those bound for ports on the Atlantic coast and Great Lakes, respectively (Bailey et al., 2011; DiBacco et al., 2012). Copepods represented the majority of all zooplankton taxa in ballast water of Arctic ships (95% of total zooplankton abundance), followed by gastropod larvae (3%). All other major taxonomic groups, such as amphipods, bivalve larvae, cladocerans, echinoderm larvae, isopods, mites, nematodes, and polychaete larvae, each comprised <1% of total zooplankton abundance in ballast water of Arctic ships. Similarly, dominant taxa found in ballast water carried by Atlantic ships included copepods (97%), followed by gastropod larvae (1%), with remaining taxonomic groups each consisting of <1% of the total zooplankton abundance. The zooplankton community in ballast water of Great Lakes ships consisted of mainly copepods (92%), while other taxonomic groups each comprised <1% of total zooplankton abundance.

There were significant differences in species richness of zooplankton in ballast water of transatlantic ships arriving at Arctic, Atlantic, and Great Lakes ports (ANOVA,  $F_{2, 54} = 19.71$ , p < 0.01). The Bonferroni *post hoc* test revealed that Atlantic ships transported significantly higher zooplankton richness than Arctic and Great Lakes ships (p < 0.01 and p = 0.03, respectively; Table 1 and



**Figure 1.** Locations of ballast water source (diamonds), reported final coordinates of ballast water exchange (BWE) sites (triangles), geographic midpoints of BWE sites (crosses), and ballast water recipient ports (circles) for transatlantic ships travelling along Arctic (blue), Atlantic (red) and Great Lakes (green) routes.

**Table 1.** Summary statistics of species richness and total abundance of zooplankton, range of temperature change, and ballast water agefor transatlantic vessels destined for Arctic, Atlantic and Great Lakes ports.

	Arctic	Atlantic	Great Lakes	
Species richness (species $m^{-3}$ of ballast water)				
Range	0-17	6-47	3-15	
Mean ( $\pm$ SEM)	4.7 (±0.7)	13.5 (±1.8)*	6.2 (±1.2)	
Total abundance (individuals $m^{-3}$ of ballast water)				
Range	0-9240	213-32823	50-12122	
Mean ( $\pm$ SEM)	942.3 (±362.7)	13271.6 ( <u>+</u> 2381.3)*	2438.6 (±1282.7)	
Temperature change (°C)				
Range	0.04 - 16.4	0.2 – 12.7	5.3-9.3	
Median	6.5	3.8	6.3	
Ballast water age (d)				
Range	8-30	3-13	8-17	
Median	15.0	7.0*	14.5	

Asterisks denote significant difference (p < 0.05) from other vessel categories.

Figure 2). However, we found no significant difference in zooplankton richness between Arctic and Great Lakes ships (p = 0.16). Similarly, we noted significant differences in total abundance of zooplankton transported by the three vessel categories (ANOVA,  $F_{2, 54} = 23.87$ , p < 0.01). The Bonferroni *post hoc* test indicated that Atlantic ships carried significantly greater total abundance of zooplankton than Arctic and Great Lakes ships (p < 0.01 in both cases; Table 1 and Figure 2). As with species richness, there was no

significant difference in total abundance between Arctic and Great Lakes ships (p = 0.50). We observed a significant positive relationship between species richness and total abundance of zooplankton in ballast water transported by Arctic and Great Lakes ships, though no relationship was found for Atlantic ships (Figure 3).

Our data revealed that there was no significant difference in the range of temperature change for vessels travelling different routes to North America (Kruskal–Wallis,  $H_2 = 2.75$ , p = 0.25; Table 1). However, we found a significant difference in ballast water age among vessel groups (Kruskal–Wallis,  $H_2 = 29.6$ , p < 0.01). A *post hoc* analysis using Mann–Whitney tests with Bonferroni correction showed that Atlantic ships carried significantly younger ballast water than Arctic and Great Lakes ships (p < 0.01 in both cases, Table 1), while the latter two groups did not differ (p = 1.0).

Multiple regression analyses revealed that temperature change and ballast water age explained a total of 26% and 69% of the variation in species richness of zooplankton for the Arctic ( $F_{2, 24} = 4.27$ , p = 0.03) and Great Lakes ( $F_{2, 4} = 4.41$ , p = 0.10) regions, respectively, but only 9% for Atlantic ships ( $F_{2, 18} = 0.88$ , p = 0.43). Temperature change was not a significant predictor of species richness in any model (Table 2). Ballast water age was a better predictor



**Figure 2.** Mean species richness (black bar) and total abundance (white bar) of zooplankton in ballast water transported by transatlantic ships destined for Arctic, Atlantic and Great Lakes ports. Standard errors are included. Asterisks denote significant difference (p < 0.05) from other vessel categories.

in explaining species richness of zooplankton, although it was only significant in the model for ships destined for Great Lakes (Table 2).

A separate multiple regression analysis indicated that temperature change and ballast water age accounted for 47%, 40% and 26% of variation in total abundance of zooplankton transported by Arctic ( $F_{2, 24} = 8.55$ , p < 0.01), Atlantic ( $F_{2, 18} = 6.11$ , p = 0.01), and Great Lakes ( $F_{2, 4} = 0.69$ , p = 0.55) ships, respectively. Total abundance was not affected by temperature in any multiple regression models. Ballast water age was a much more influential predictor for total abundance of zooplankton in Arctic and Atlantic ships (Table 2). We tested for multicollinearity in all multiple regression models by examining the variance inflation factor (VIF) and found that temperature change and ballast water age were weakly correlated (VIFs = 1.85, 1.10, and 1.19 for the Arctic, Atlantic, and Great Lakes models, respectively).

# Discussion

This is the first study to examine the biological composition of ballast water discharged in Canadian Arctic waters. We compared species richness, total abundance, and the relationship between these two parameters for zooplankton in ballast water transported by transatlantic ships arriving at ports in the Arctic, Atlantic coast,

**Table 2.** Summary of multiple regression statistics showing simultaneous effects of temperature change and ballast water age on richness and total abundance of zooplankton in ballast water transported by ships arriving at Arctic, Atlantic and Great Lakes ports.

	Arctic		Atlantic		Great Lakes	
	β	р	β	р	β	р
Species richness						
Temperature	-0.20	0.42	-0.24	0.33	0.26	0.44
change (°C)						
Ballast water age (d)	-0.36	0.14	0.26	0.28	-0.90	0.04*
Total abundance						
Temperature	-0.15	0.47	0.16	0.41	-0.33	0.52
change (°C)						
Ballast water age (d)	-0.58	0.01*	-0.66	< 0.01*	-0.28	0.59

 $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  denotes the standardized beta coefficient, asterisk indicates significance at 0.05.



Figure 3. Correlation between richness and total abundance of zooplankton in ballast water collected from transatlantic ships arriving at (a) Arctic, (b) Atlantic, and (c) Great Lakes ports. All data are log-transformed. Asterisks denote significance at 0.05.

and the Great Lakes to determine the relative arrival potential of zooplankton via ballast water in the Canadian Arctic. We found that species richness and total abundance of zooplankton in ballast water transported by Arctic and Great Lakes ships were significantly lower than those for Atlantic ships (Figure 2). Differences in zooplankton species richness and total abundance among vessel groups appeared to be related to ballast water age, with ballast water carried by Arctic and Great Lakes ships being nearly twice as old as that transported by Atlantic ships (Tables 1 and 2). In addition, we detected a significant relationship between species abundance and total abundance of zooplankton for Arctic and Great Lakes ships (Figure 3), indicating that species richness and total abundance decreased proportionately as ballast water age increased. In contrast, the absence of such a relationship for Atlantic ships suggests that decreases in total abundance were accompanied by little to no reduction in species richness. Collectively, assuming higher species richness and total abundance represent a higher likelihood of introduction, and vice versa, our study suggests that arrival potential of zooplankton in ballast water of Arctic ships may be lower than that of Atlantic ships but similar to Great Lakes ships.

The general negative effect of ballast water age on species richness and total abundance of zooplankton was expected. Decreasing species richness and abundance of ballast water organisms with increasing ballast water age have been reported in a number of previous studies (e.g. Gollasch et al., 2000a; Olenin et al., 2000; Verling et al., 2005; Cordell et al., 2009; Briski et al., 2013, 2014). However, the relationship between total abundance and ballast water age was weak for Great Lakes ships. This may be a result of small sample size and limited variation in ballast water age (Table 2). A post hoc power analysis conducted using G\*Power 3 reveals the statistical power of this analysis was 0.17, indicating a relatively poor ability to detect an effect (i.e. high false negative rate). In addition, our study reveals that ballast water age had a greater short-term effect on total abundance than on species richness of zooplankton. Both species richness and total abundance decreased as ballast water age increased in Arctic and Great Lakes ships that typically had older ballast water (~15 d). In contrast, a decrease in total abundance but not species richness was observed in Atlantic ships (Table 2; Figure 3). In other words, species richness and total abundance decline at different rates with length of entrainment in ballast water tanks, with species richness reducing mainly in older ballast water.

Surprisingly, there were no significant effects of temperature change on species richness and total abundance for any of the vessel groups (Table 2). This may be due to the fact that the transatlantic shipping routes utilized by vessels in this study lie within a relatively narrow latitudinal range (between 30°N and 65°N; Figure 1), where ocean temperature variation is minimal, even for Arctic ships, when compared with other oceanic pathways (Table 1). Taylor et al. (2007) noted that the mortality rate of planktonic organisms was significantly higher in ballast water carried by ships that utilize shipping routes spanning temperate to semitropical or tropical regions and on transequatorial routes than by those travelling within a narrower latitudinal range. Consequently, we view ballast water age, rather than temperature change, as the principal factor influencing species richness and total abundance of zooplankton, and thus arrival potential of zooplankton via ballast water.

Our results suggest that the arrival potential of zooplankton via ballast water in the Canadian Arctic may be low, and similar to that in the Great Lakes since implementation of the voluntary and mandatory ballast water management regulations in 1989 and 1993, respectively, which required transoceanic vessels with ballast water to conduct ballast water exchange (BWE) a minimum of 200 nautical miles from the coast in water deeper than 2000 m (USCG, 1993; Transport Canada, 2007). The discovery rate of ballast-mediated NIS in the Great Lakes has declined since the enactment of the ballast water management regulations, with no ballast-mediated invasions reported since 2006 (Bailey et al., 2011). This statistic suggests that managed ballast water released by transoceanic ships poses a low invasion risk for the Great Lakes. BWE is highly effective for the Great Lakes because it replaces coastal organisms entrained at source ports with open-ocean species that are not likely to survive in the freshwater port environment (Gray et al., 2007). The practice may not offer the same degree of protection for the Canadian Arctic because ports there are saline and thereby lack the harmful osmotic effect that kills midocean organisms when discharging into brackish ports. However, Canada's north may be less vulnerable to ballast-mediated invasions for other reasons, in addition to generally low species richness and total abundance of zooplankton in ballast water due to long voyages (Table 1). First, the extent of shipping to most Arctic ports is low relative to temperate and tropical locations, thereby constraining the transfer of species (i.e. few introduction events). In comparison, the Great Lakes receive at least 25 times greater volume of ballast water discharged than the Canadian Arctic each year. Second, environmental mismatch, particularly the low temperature, and limited food resources may hinder survivorship, reproduction, and/or population growth of many species in the Arctic (Vermeij and Roopnarine, 2008; Ruiz and Hewitt, 2009). Temperature differences between BWE locations for Arctic ships and the Port of Churchill ranged from 4.9-16.4°C with a mean temperature of  $10.2^{\circ}$ C ( $\pm 0.7$  SEM). On the contrary, the invasion risk of ballast water for the Atlantic region is expected to be much higher than for the Arctic and the Great Lakes owing to the relatively high species richness and total abundance of zooplankton in younger ballast water, greater intensity of shipping traffic, and better environmental matching owing to the absence of either a salinity or thermal barrier in this area. However, we were not able to determine the invasion rate of ballast-mediated species in the Atlantic region due to a lack of systematic data.

While the majority of past studies assessed the arrival potential of zooplankton via ballast water based on total abundance of organisms (e.g. Lawrence and Cordell, 2010; Verling et al., 2005; DiBacco et al., 2012), we acknowledge that biological invasions occur at the population rather than the community level. Therefore, we also evaluated the arrival potential of zooplankton via ballast water by comparing mean abundance per zooplankton species (i.e. dividing total abundance of zooplankton by the number of zooplankton species in ballast water) across vessel groups. Our results would not have been affected had we used mean abundance in place of total abundance. For example, mean abundance per species varied significantly among vessel groups (ANOVA,  $F_{2,54} = 12.20$ , p < 0.01), with Atlantic ships transporting a significantly higher mean abundance per species than other ships (Bonferroni post hoc test, p < 0.01). The positive relationship between species richness and mean abundance per species was also observed for Arctic (Pearson correlation,  $r^2 = 0.23$ , p = 0.01) and Great Lakes (Pearson correlation,  $r^2 = 0.22$ , p = 0.20) ships, though a significant relationship was observed for the former. The opposite pattern was observed for Atlantic ships (Pearson correlation  $r^2 = 0.25$ , p = 0.02) when mean abundance was considered,

though this pattern was highly influenced by a single datapoint, which, if removed, resulted in a non-significant relationship (Pearson correlation,  $r^2 = 0.11$ , p = 0.15).

We recognize by including only vessels that originated from European ports and performed BWE in the North Atlantic Ocean, the approach reduced, but did not eliminate, the potential effect of source assemblage on species richness and total abundance of zooplankton. Vessels in our study performed BWE over the range from 37-61°N in the North Atlantic Ocean, though the geographic midpoints of BWE locations for the different vessel groups were fairly close by: 51°58'44" N 26°0'10" W, 47°23'10" N 25°3'18" W, and 48°40'14" N 21°51'51" W, for Arctic, Atlantic, and Great Lakes ships, respectively (Figure 1). Continuous Plankton Recorder (CPR) studies have found pronounced spatial variation in zooplankton richness and abundance in the North Atlantic Ocean, including a decrease in species richness with increasing latitude (Colebrook, 1982; Beaugrand et al., 2000, 2001, 2010). In addition, zooplankton communities in the southwestern region of the ocean basin increase in diversity during the summer months, whereas diversity of those in the northern part of the basin remain generally low throughout the year (Figure 5 in Beaugrand et al., 2001). As a result, Arctic ships, which tended to perform BWE at higher latitudes and in colder waters during the summer shipping season, might have collected a less diverse zooplankton assemblage during the BWE process when compared with other vessels. This issue may obscure patterns for Arctic ships.

Although the current arrival potential of zooplankton via ballast water may be low in the Canadian Arctic, it will likely increase in the near future due to warming climate and increased shipping activities. Changes in temperature regimes, ocean currents, and other key physical processes associated with climate change are expected to profoundly influence species dispersal and survival (Hellmann et al., 2008; Vermeij and Roopnarine, 2008; Wassmann et al., 2010; Floerl et al., 2013). For instance, melting sea ice may increase opportunities for ship-mediated introductions in the Arctic by opening new waterways and shipping channels in the Arctic Ocean as well as extending the length of the shipping season (Niimi, 2004; Arctic Council, 2009). Once released in post-warming Arctic waters, species may benefit from enhanced survival associated with warmer climate and increased food supply (Vermeij and Roopnarine, 2008; Cheung et al., 2009). In addition, future development, including increased extraction of mineral and petroleum resources as well as expanded tourism, will further increase exposure of Arctic ports to ship traffic and the potential for species introduction via ballast water discharge (Arctic Council, 2009; Stewart and Howland, 2009).

## Acknowledgements

We thank participating shipping companies, crews, Transport Canada inspectors, the Port of Churchill, and the Shipping Federation of Canada for facilitating access to ships. We are grateful to L. Fishback, C. Basler, K. Jansen, S. Kuleza and K. Lorraine who conducted most of the ship sampling, to M. Browning, S. Ross and O. Kalaci for laboratory support, and to J. Cordell, S. LeCroy, P. Valentich-Scott, R. Fisher and Biologica Environmental Services Ltd for taxonomic identification. Special thanks are owed to C. DiBacco for access to data, and to C. Luo for statistical advice.

### Funding

Funding was provided by Transport Canada, Fisheries and Oceans Canada, NSERC Discovery Grants, and the NSERC Canadian Aquatic Invasive Species Network (CAISN) to SAB and HJM, an NSERC Discovery Accelerator Supplement to HJM, and the Churchill Northern Studies Centre Northern Research Fund, the Northern Scientific Training Program, NSERC CGS, and Ontario Graduate Scholarships to FTC.

# References

- Archambault, P., Snelgrove, P. V. R., Fisher, J. A. D., Gagnon, J. M., Garbary, D. J., Harvey, M., Kenchington, E. L., *et al.* 2010. From sea to sea: Canada's three oceans of biodiversity. PIOS ONE 5: e12182.
- Arctic Council. 2009. Arctic marine shipping assessment 2009 report. Arctic Council, Tromsø. 190 pp.
- Bailey, S. A., Chan, F. T., Ellis, S. M., Bronnenhuber, J. E., Bradie, J. N., and Simard, N. 2012. Risk assessment for ship-mediated introductions of aquatic nonindigenous species to the Great Lakes and freshwater St. Lawrence River. Fisheries and Oceans Canada, Canadian Science Advisory Secretariat Research Document 2011/104. 224 pp.
- Bailey, S. A., Deneau, M. G., Jean, L., Wiley, C. J., Leung, B., and MacIsaac, H. J. 2011. Evaluating efficacy of an environmental policy to prevent biological invasions. Environmental Science Technology, 45: 2554–2561.
- Barry, S. C., Hayes, K. R., Hewitt, C. L., Behrens, H. L., Dragsund, E., and Bakke, S. M. 2008. Ballast water risk assessment: principles, processes, and methods. ICES Journal of Marine Science, 65: 121–131.
- Beaugrand, G., Edwards, M., and Legendre, L. 2010. Marine biodiversity, ecosystem functioning, and carbon cycles. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 107: 10120–10124.
- Beaugrand, G., Ibañez, F., and Lindley, A. 2001. Geographical distribution and seasonal and diel changes in the diversity of calanoid copepods in the North Atlantic and North Sea. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 219: 189–203.
- Beaugrand, G., Reid, P. C., Ibañez, F., and Planque, B. 2000. Biodiversity of North Atlantic and North Sea calanoid copepods. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 204: 299–303.
- Blackburn, T. M., Pysek, P., Bacher, S., Carlton, J. T., Duncan, R. P., Jarošík, V., Wilson, J. R. U., *et al.* 2011. A proposed unified framework for biological invasions. Trends in Ecology and Evolution, 26: 333–339.
- Briski, E., Bailey, S. A., Casas-Monroy, O., DiBacco, C., Kaczmarska, I., Lawrence, J. E., Leichsenring, J., *et al.* 2013. Taxon- and vectorspecific variation in species richness and abundance during the transport stage of biological invasions. Limnology and Oceanography, 58: 1361–1372.
- Briski, E., Bailey, S. A., Casas-Monroy, O., DiBacco, C., Kaczmarska, I., Levings, C., MacGillivary, M. L., *et al.* 2012. Relationship between propagule pressure and colonization pressure in invasion ecology: a test with ships' ballast. Proceedings of Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological Sciences, 279: 2990–2997.
- Briski, E., Chan, F. T., MacIsaac, H. J., and Bailey, S. A. 2014. A conceptual model of community dynamics during the transport stage of the invasion process: a case study of ships' ballast. Diversity and Distribution, 20: 236–244.
- Carlton, J. T. 1985. Transoceanic and interoceanic dispersal of coastal marine organisms: the biology of ballast water. Oceanographic and Marine Biological Annual Review, 23: 313–371.
- Casas-Monroy, O., Linley, R. D., Adams, J. K., Chan, F. T., Drake, D. A. R., and Bailey, S. A. 2014. National Risk Assessment for Introduction of Aquatic Nonindigenous Species to Canada by Ballast Water. Fisheries and Oceans Canada, Science Advisory Secretariat Research Document 2013/128. 73 pp.
- Chan, F. T., Bailey, S. A., Wiley, C. J., and MacIsaac, H. J. 2013. Relative risk assessment for ballast-mediated invasions at Canadian Arctic ports. Biological Invasions, 15: 295–308.

- Chan, F. T., Bronnenhuber, J. E., Bradie, J. N., Howland, K., Simard, N., and Bailey, S. A. 2012. Risk assessment for ship-mediated introductions of aquatic nonindigenous species to the Canadian Arctic. Fisheries and Oceans Canada, Canadian Science Advisory Secretariat Research Document 2011/105. 93 pp.
- Cheung, W. W. L., Lam, V. W. Y., Sarmiento, J. L., Kearney, K., Watson, R., and Pauly, D. 2009. Projecting global marine biodiversity impacts under climate change scenarios. Fish and Fisheries, 10: 235–251.
- Colautti, R. I., Grigorovich, I. A., and MacIsaac, H. J. 2006. Propagule pressure: a null model for biological invasions. Biological Invasions, 8: 1023–1037.
- Colebrook, J. M. 1982. Continuous plankton records: seasonal variations in the distribution and abundance of plankton in the North Atlantic Ocean and the North Sea. Journal of Plankton Research, 4: 435–462.
- Conn, J. S., Stockdale, C. A., Werdin-Pfisterer, N. R., and Morgan, J. C. 2010. Characterizing pathways of invasive plant spread to Alaska: II. Propagules from imported hay and straw. Invasive Plant Science and Management, 3: 276–285.
- Cordell, J. R., Lawrence, D. J., Ferm, N. C., Tear, L. M., Smith, S. S., and Herwig, R. P. 2009. Factors influencing densities of non-indigenous species in the ballast water of ships arriving at ports in Puget Sound, Washington, United States. Aquatic Conservation: Marine Freshwater Ecosystems, 19: 322–343.
- Cusson, M., Archambault, P., and Aitken, A. 2007. Biodiversity of benthic assemblages on the Arctic continental shelf: historical data from Canada. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 331: 291–304.
- DiBacco, C., Humphrey, D. B., Nasmith, L. E., and Levings, C. D. 2012. Ballast water transport of non-indigenous zooplankton to Canadian ports. ICES Journal of Marine Science, 69: 483–491.
- Floerl, O., Richard, G., Inglis, G., and Roulston, H. 2013. Predicted effects of climate change on potential sources of non-indigenous marine species. Diversity and Distributions, 16: 257–267.
- Gollasch, S. 2006. Overview on introduced aquatic species in European navigational and adjacent waters. Helgoland Marine Research, 60: 84–89.
- Gollasch, S., Lenz, J., Dammer, M., and Andres, H. G. 2000a. Survival of tropical ballast water organisms during a cruise from the Indian Ocean to the North Sea. Journal of Plankton Research, 22: 923–937.
- Gollasch, S., Rosenthal, H., Botnet, H., Hamer, H., Laing, I., Leppäkoski, E., MacDonald, E., *et al.* 2000b. Fluctuations of zooplankton taxa in ballast water during short-term and long-term ocean-going voyages. International Review of Hydrobiology, 85: 597–608.
- Government of Canada. 2006. Ballast water control and management regulations. http://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/regulations/SOR-2011-237/ (last accessed 8 October 2013).
- Gray, D. K., Johengen, T. H., Reid, D. F., and MacIsaac, H. J. 2007. Efficacy of open-ocean ballast water exchange as a means of preventing invertebrate invasions between freshwater ports. Limnology and Oceanography, 52: 2386–2397.
- Haska, C. L., Yarish, C., Kraemer, G., Blaschik, N., Whitlatch, R., Zhang, H., and Lin, S. 2012. Bait worm packing as a potential vector of invasive species. Biological Invasions, 14: 481–493.
- Hellmann, J. J., Byers, J.E., Bierwagen, B.G., and Dukes, J. S. 2008. Five potential consequences of climate change for invasive species. Conservation Biology, 22: 534–543.
- Herborg, L. M., Jerde, C. L., Lodge, D. M., Ruiz, G. M., and MacIsaac, H. J. 2007. Predicting invasion risk using measures of introduction effort and environmental niche models. Ecological Applications, 17: 663–674.
- Hines, A. H., and Ruiz, G. M. 2000. Biological invasions of cold-water coastal ecosystems: ballast-mediated introductions in Port Valdez/ Prince William Sound, Alaska. Final Report to Regional Citizens Advisory Council of Prince William Sound. 340 pp.
- IMO (International Maritime Organization). 2004. International Convention for the Control and Management of Ships' Ballast Water and Sediments. International Maritime Organization. http://

www.ceaaacee.gc.ca/050/documents\_staticpost/cearref\_21799/ 86129/International\_Convention.pdf (last accessed 26 May 2013).

- Klein, G., MacIntosh, K., Kaczmarska, I., and Ehrman, J. M. 2010. Diatom survivorship in ballast water during trans-Pacific crossings. Biological Invasions, 12: 1031–1044.
- Kolar, C. S., and Lodge, D. M. 2001. Progress in invasion biology: predicting invaders. Trends in Ecology and Evolution, 16: 199–204.
- Lawrence, D., and Cordell, J. R. 2010. Relative contributions of domestic and foreign sourced ballast water to propagule pressure in Puget Sound, Washington, USA. Biological Conservation, 143: 700–709.
- Locarnini, R. A., Mishonov, A.V., Antonov, J. I., Boyer, T. P., Garcia, H. E., Baranova, O. K., Zweng, M. M., *et al.* 2009. World Ocean Atlas 2009, 1: Temperature. NOAA Atlas NESDIS 68. 184 pp.
- Lockwood, J. L., Cassey, P., and Blackburn, T. M. 2005. The role of propagule pressure in explaining species invasions. Trends in Ecology and Evolution, 20: 223–228.
- Lockwood, J. L., Cassey, P., and Blackburn, T. M. 2009. The more you introduce the more you get the role of colonization pressure and propagule pressure in invasion ecology. Diversity and Distribution, 15: 904–910.
- McCalla, R. J. 1994. Sovereignty and shipping in the Canadian Arctic archipelago. *In*: Water Transportation in Canada. pp. 194–223.
  Ed. by. R. J. McCalla. Formae Publishing Co. Ltd, Halifax. 259 pp.
- Niimi, A. J. 2004. Environmental and economic factors can increase the risk of exotic species introductions to the Arctic region through increased ballast water discharge. Environmental Assessment, 33: 712–718.
- Olenin, S., Gollasch, S., Jonušas, S., and Rimkuté, I. 2000. En-route investigations of plankton in ballast water on a ship's voyage from the Baltic Sea to the Open Atlantic Coast of Europe. International Review of Hydrobiology, 85: 577–596.
- Reid, P. C., Johns, D. G., Edwards, M., Starr, M., Poulin, M., and Snoeijs, P. 2007. A biological consequence of reducing Arctic ice cover: arrival of the Pacific diatom *Neodenticula seminae* in the North Atlantic for the first time in 800 000 years. Global Change Biology, 13: 1910–1921.
- Ruiz, G. M., and Hewitt, C. L. 2009. Latitudinal patterns of biological invasions in marine ecosystems: a polar perspective. In Smithsonian at the Poles: Contribution to International Polar Year Science, pp 347–348. Ed. by I. Krupnik, M. A. Lang, and S. E. Miller. Smithsonian Institute Press, Washington. 406 pp.
- Seiden, J. M., Way, C. J., and Rivkin, R. B. 2011. Bacterial dynamics in ballast water during trans-oceanic voyages of bulk carriers: environmental controls. Marine Ecology and Progress Series, 436: 145–159.
- Simard, N., Plourde, S., Gilbert, M., and Gollasch, S. 2011. Net efficacy of open ocean ballast water exchange on plankton communities. Journal of Plankton Research, 33: 1378–1395.
- Simberloff, D. 2009. The role of propagule pressure in biological invasions. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics, 40: 81–102.
- Stewart, D. B., and Howland, K. L. 2009. An ecological and oceanographical assessment of the alternate ballast water exchange zone in the Hudson Strait region. Fisheries and Oceans Canada, Canadian Science Advisory Secretariat Research Document 2009/008. 96 pp.
- Streftaris, N., Zenetos, A., and Papathanassiou, E. 2005. Globalization in marine ecosystems: the story of non-indigenous marine species across European Seas. Oceanography and Marine Biology: An Annual Review, 43: 419–453.
- Taylor, M. D., MacKenzie, L. M., Dodgshun, T. J., Hopkins, G. A., de Zwart, E. J., and Hung, C. D. 2007. Trans-Pacific shipboard trials on planktonic communities as indicators of open ocean ballast water exchange. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 350: 41–54.
- Transport Canada. 2007. A guide to Canada's ballast water control and management regulations TP 13617E. http://www.tc.gc.ca/eng/ marinesafety/tp-tp13617-menu-2138.htm (last accessed 5 January 2014).

- USCG (United States Coast Guard). 1993. Ballast water management for vessels entering the Great Lakes. Code of Federation Regulations 33-CFR Part 151.1510.
- Verling, E., Ruiz, G. M., Smith, L. D., Galil, B., Miller, A. W., and Murphy, K. R. 2005. Supply-side invasion ecology: characterizing propagule pressure in coastal ecosystems. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological Sciences, 272: 1249–1257.
- Vermeij, G. J., and Roopnarine, P. D. 2008. The coming arctic invasion. Science, 321: 780–781.
- Ware, C., Berge, J., Sundet, J. H., Kirkpatrick, J. B., Coutts, A. D. M., Jelmert, A., Olsen, S. M., *et al.* 2013. Climate change, non-indigenous species and shipping: assessing the risk of species introduction to a high-Arctic archipelago. Diversity and Distributions, 20: 10–19.
- Wassmann, P., Duarte, C. M., Agusti, S., and Sejr, M. K. 2010. Footprints of climate change in the Arctic marine ecosystem. Global Change Biology, 17: 1235–1249.
- Wonham, M. J., Walton, W. C., Ruiz, G. M., Frese, A. M., and Galil, B. S. 2001. Going to the source: role of the invasion pathway in determining potential invaders. Marine Ecology Progress Series 215: 1–12.

Handling editor: Stéphane Plourde