



Open Archive Toulouse Archive Ouverte (OATAO)

OATAO is an open access repository that collects the work of Toulouse researchers and makes it freely available over the web where possible.

This is an author-deposited version published in: <http://oatao.univ-toulouse.fr/>
Eprints ID: 12008

To link to this article: DOI: 10.1049/el.2014.2222

URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1049/el.2014.2222>

To cite this version: Méric, Hugo and Piquer, José Miguel and Lacan, Jérôme *Quasi-optimal grouping for broadcast systems with hierarchical modulation*. (2014) IEEE Electronics Letters, vol. 50 (n° 19). pp. 1401-1402. ISSN 0013-5194

Any correspondence concerning this service should be sent to the repository administrator: staff-oatao@inp-toulouse.fr

Quasi-optimal grouping for broadcast systems with hierarchical modulation

H. Méric, J.M. Piquer and J. Lacan

Recently, the present authors proposed to combine time sharing with hierarchical modulation to increase the transmission rate of broadcast systems. The proposal involves grouping the receivers in pairs in order to transmit with hierarchical modulation. Several grouping strategies were introduced but the optimal matching remained an open question. In this reported work, it is shown that the optimal grouping is the solution of an assignment problem, for which efficient algorithms exist such as the Hungarian method. Based on this algorithm, the performance of the optimal grouping in terms of spectrum efficiency for a digital video broadcasting – satellite – second generation (DVB-S2) system is studied.

Introduction: Modern broadcasting systems such as digital video broadcasting – satellite – second generation (DVB-S2) or digital video broadcasting – satellite to handheld (DVB-SH) rely mainly on a time-sharing strategy to optimise the transmission rate. Recently, we showed that combining time sharing with hierarchical modulation, a technique that merges several data streams in a same symbol, can provide significant gains (in terms of spectrum efficiency) compared with the best time-sharing strategy [1, 2].

In this Letter, we consider one source communicating with n receivers. The objective is to offer the same average spectrum efficiency to all the receivers. We assume that the transmitter has knowledge of the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) at the receivers. A concrete example is a DVB-S2 system that implements adaptive coding and modulation. Moreover, the system also implements hierarchical modulation with two layers, i.e. two data streams are merged in a same symbol.

Receiver i ($1 \leq i \leq n$) has a spectrum efficiency R_i that corresponds to the best spectrum efficiency it can manage. The value of R_i depends on SNR_i , the SNR of receiver i , and the transmission parameters (code rate and modulation). For instance, if the source transmits a quadrature phase shift keying (QPSK) modulated signal and the code rate is $1/3$, then $R_i = 2 \times 1/3$ bit/symbol. However, if receiver i is paired with receiver j ($1 \leq j \leq n, j \neq i$) and hierarchical modulation is used, the spectrum efficiency of receivers i and j is R_{ij}^{hm} , which depends on SNR_i , SNR_j and the transmission parameters. The computation of R_{ij}^{hm} is detailed in [1].

During the transmission, the source can either communicate directly with a receiver (called a single receiver) or group it with another receiver and use hierarchical modulation (called paired receivers). This process is called the grouping strategy or matching. Once the strategy is decided, the average spectrum efficiency offered to all the receivers is

$$R = \left(\sum_k \frac{1}{R_k} + \sum_{(i,j)} \frac{1}{R_{ij}^{hm}} \right)^{-1} \quad (1)$$

where the sum over k takes into account the single receivers and the sum over (i, j) the paired receivers. Equation (1) is a direct extension of (9) in [2]. As an example, Fig. 1 illustrates a system with eight receivers and a given grouping strategy. In that case, the average spectrum efficiency is

$$R = \left(\frac{1}{R_{1,2}^{hm}} + \frac{1}{R_{3,4}^{hm}} + \frac{1}{R_5} + \frac{1}{R_6} + \frac{1}{R_{7,8}^{hm}} \right)^{-1} \quad (2)$$

Compared with our previous works [1, 2], the matching considered in this Letter allows communicating directly with a receiver without pairing it with another receiver. Thus, the framework is more general.




Fig. 1 Broadcast system with eight receivers and given grouping strategy

Optimal matching: In [1], we introduced several grouping strategies. Among the proposed strategies, the one that achieves the best

performance consists of grouping the two receivers with the largest SNR difference and repeating this operation until each receiver is in a pair. However, the optimal matching, i.e. the one that maximises the average spectrum efficiency in (1), remains an open question.

For a system with n receivers, we note s_n as the number of possible strategies consisting of single or paired receivers. The sequence $(s_n)_{n \geq 1}$ verifies

$$s_n = s_{n-1} + (n-1)s_{n-2} \quad (3)$$

for $n \geq 3$, with $s_1 = 1$ and $s_2 = 2$. To obtain (3), we consider a system with n receivers. Then receiver n can either be a single receiver and in that case we group the remaining $n-1$ receivers; or receiver n can be paired with another receiver (there are exactly $n-1$ possibilities) and we group the remaining $n-2$ receivers. From (3), we can show by recursion that $s_k \geq 2^k$ for $k \geq 5$. For large broadcast systems, it is thus impossible to test all the possible matchings to determine the optimal one.

To obtain the optimal strategy, we write the problem in matrix form. A grouping strategy can be represented by an $n \times n$ assignment matrix X , where

$$X_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if receiver } i \text{ is paired with receiver } j \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

By definition, X is symmetric and contains exactly n non-zero entries, and also there is exactly one non-zero entry in each row and column. In other words, X is a symmetric permutation matrix. Note that the ones in the diagonal correspond to the single receivers. Then, we define the $n \times n$ cost matrix C by

$$C_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1/R_i, & \text{if } i = j \\ 1/(2R_{ij}^{hm}), & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

where $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. The cost matrix is also symmetric.

In combinatorial optimisation, the assignment problem can be formulated as follows: given a cost matrix C , find an assignment (i.e. a set of n entry positions, so no two of which lie in the same row or column) such that the sum of the n entries is the smallest possible. The sum of the n entries is the assignment cost. By noting that maximising the average spectrum efficiency in (1) amounts to minimising the term

$$\sum_k \frac{1}{R_k} + \sum_{(i,j)} \frac{1}{R_{ij}^{hm}} \quad (6)$$

the optimal grouping strategy is (almost) equivalent to an assignment problem with the cost matrix C defined in (5). Indeed, for a given grouping with assignment matrix X , the assignment cost of X is equal to (6). The only difference with the classical problem is that X is required to be symmetric.

Several efficient algorithms solve the general assignment problem. For instance, the Munkres' assignment algorithm or Hungarian method solves it in polynomial time [3, 4]. For an $n \times n$ cost matrix, the algorithm can achieve a $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$ time complexity. The implementation requires easy operations on the cost matrix. We use this method with the cost matrix defined previously to obtain a quasi-optimal grouping.

Implementation issue: Henceforth, we have a heuristic to compute the optimal matching. Given the cost matrix defined in (5), the Hungarian method will always find a solution. However, the assignment matrix provided by the algorithm may not be symmetric, while our problem requires a symmetric X . We will now discuss the following two questions: (a) knowing that the cost matrix C is symmetric, is there always a symmetric solution? and (b) can the Hungarian method find an optimal symmetric X solution to our problem?

To answer (a), we consider the following cost matrix

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 4 & 1 \\ 4 & 7 & 3 \\ 1 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (7)$$

where the bold and underlined numbers correspond to the two optimal assignments. We conclude that even if C is symmetric, there is not always a symmetric assignment matrix that solves the problem. Thus, the Hungarian method only provides an upper bound for the spectral efficiency, where the bound equals the inverse of the assignment cost returned by the algorithm.

Concerning (b), we will present how to use the Munkres' algorithm to obtain a quasi-optimal matching. Our simulations with the cost matrix

defined previously show that the assignment matrix returned by the Hungarian method is generally not symmetric. This may be due to the fact that many coefficients in the matrix are the same. However, we remark that for random matrices, the probability to obtain a symmetric assignment matrix is greater. Thus, our idea is to add a small perturbation to the cost matrix C and run the Hungarian method on the perturbed matrix C' . In practice, we compute C' as follows: $C' = C + \epsilon$, where ϵ is a symmetric matrix whose coefficients are drawn according to $\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$ with $\sigma = 10^{-3}$.

Using this technique, we obtained a symmetric assignment most of the time (more details are given in the following Section). We then compared the average spectrum efficiency of this grouping with the upper bound computed by the Hungarian method. As the results demonstrate, the performance of the matching obtained with the perturbed cost matrix is very close to the upper bound, justifying the term quasi-optimal of our method.

Performance evaluation: To evaluate the performance of the quasi-optimal matching, we use the simulation framework proposed in [1, 2]. We give the main characteristics. More details can be found in the previous papers. First, the physical layer is based on the DVB-S2 standard [5]. The code rates and modulations are given in Table 1. The hierarchical 16-APSK, which is not in the DVB-S2 standard, was introduced in [1]. Then, the transmission is subject to additive white Gaussian noise. Finally, the channel model to estimate the SNR distribution of the receivers in a spot beam takes into account two sources of attenuation: the relative location of the terminal with respect to the centre of (beam) coverage and the weather. For a given simulation, the only parameter to set is the SNR at the centre of the spot beam SNR_{\max} .

Table 1: Transmission parameters

Code rate	1/4, 1/3, 2/5, 1/2, 3/5, 2/3, 3/4, 4/5, 5/6, 8/9, 9/10
Modulation	QPSK, 8-phase shift keying (PSK), 16-APSK, 32-APSK hierarchical 8-PSK, hierarchical 16-APSK

Fig. 2 presents the gains (in terms of spectrum efficiency) when combining hierarchical modulation with time sharing for a broadcasting area with 500 receivers. For one system configuration (i.e. the parameter SNR_{\max} is set), we present the average, minimum and maximum gains over 100 simulations for the quasi-optimal matching obtained with the Hungarian method and for the best strategy in [1] (which was described previously). The results demonstrate that the quasi-optimal strategy provides some gains compared with the strategy proposed in [1]. However, the margin is small; in the best case ($\text{SNR}_{\max} = 9$ dB), we increase the performance from 6 to 8%. Even if we only notice a slight improvement, the maximum gain is now known for our framework.




Fig. 2 Average spectrum efficiency gains (in comparison with time sharing without hierarchical modulation) for several matchings with 500 receivers

We mentioned before that the Hungarian algorithm does not generally return a symmetric assignment. To tackle this problem, we proposed to add a small perturbation to the cost matrix. During our simulations, our technique did not succeed to find a symmetric assignment for only a few cases: 8 simulations over 108 for $\text{SNR}_{\max} = 9$ dB and 4 over 104 for $\text{SNR}_{\max} = 10$ dB. Our method is thus quite reliable to find a symmetric assignment. Moreover, we compared the performance of the symmetric assignment and the optimal assignment (provided by the Hungarian method and not necessarily symmetric). In all the simulations, the difference between the two is $< 1\%$. In conclusion, we may not find the optimal symmetric assignment (as we use a perturbed cost matrix) but the performance is very close to the upper bound.

Finally, we were interested in studying the structure of the quasi-optimal groupings. Are the matchings random or is there a pattern

(e.g. group the receivers with the largest SNR difference as in [1])? To that end, we used the simulations to compute the probability that a coefficient in the assignment matrix X is equal to one, i.e. $\Pr(X_{ij} = 1)$.

To observe if there exists a pattern in the grouping process, we sorted the receivers by increasing SNR. With that representation, the best strategy proposed in [1] corresponds to the anti-diagonal matrix. Indeed, this strategy consists of grouping the two receivers with the largest SNR difference and repeating this operation. After sorting the receiver by increasing SNR, receiver 1 which has the lowest SNR is matched to receiver n which has the largest SNR, so $X_{1,n} = X_{n,1} = 1$. For $1 \leq k \leq n$, receiver k is matched to receiver $n + 1 - k$ and the corresponding assignment matrix is the anti-diagonal matrix. Note that time sharing, where there is no grouping, always corresponds to the identity matrix.

We present the structure of the assignment matrix in Fig. 3 for several values of SNR_{\max} . We see clearly in Figs. 3b and c that the quasi-optimal grouping usually matches low SNR receivers with large SNR receivers. This explains why the matching proposed in [1] performs well. Moreover, when the gains compared with time sharing are low (see Figs. 3a and d), the optimal matching exhibits many single receivers as time sharing.




Fig. 3 Structure of quasi-optimal assignment matrix ($\Pr(X_{ij} = 1)$)

- a $\text{SNR}_{\max} = 7$ dB
- b $\text{SNR}_{\max} = 10$ dB
- c $\text{SNR}_{\max} = 13$ dB
- d $\text{SNR}_{\max} = 16$ dB

Conclusion: We have shown how to obtain a close-to-optimal (in terms of spectrum efficiency) grouping strategy in a broadcast system that relies on time sharing and hierarchical modulation with two layers. The matching is the solution of an assignment problem that we solve using the Hungarian method. We compared the performance of the quasi-optimal strategy with a previously proposed matching and also studied its behaviour. In a future work, we will investigate how to obtain the optimal symmetric matching.

© The Institution of Engineering and Technology 2014

23 June 2014

doi: 10.1049/el.2014.2222

One or more of the Figures in this Letter are available in colour online.

H. Méric (INRIA Chile, Santiago, Chile)

E-mail: hugo.meric@inria.cl

J.M. Piquer (NIC Chile Research Laboratory, Santiago, Chile)

J. Lacan (Université de Toulouse, Toulouse, France)

References

- Méric, H., Lacan, J., Arnal, F., Lesthievant, G., and Boucheret, M.-L.: 'Combining adaptive coding and modulation with hierarchical modulation in satcom systems', *IEEE Trans. Broadcast.*, 2013, **59**, (4), pp. 627–637
- Méric, H., and Piquer, J.M.: 'DVB-S2 spectrum efficiency improvement with hierarchical modulation'. IEEE Int. Conf. Communications, Sydney, Australia, June 2014
- Munkres, J.: 'Algorithms for the assignment and transportation problems', *J. Soc. Ind. Appl. Math.*, 1957, **5**, (1), pp. 32–38
- Kuhn, H.W.: 'The Hungarian method for the assignment problem', *Naval Res. Logist. Q.*, 1955, **2**, (1–2), pp. 83–97
- Morello, A., and Reimers, U.: 'DVB-S2, the second generation standard for satellite broadcasting and unicasting', *Int. J. Satell. Commun. Netw.*, 2004, **22**, (3), pp. 249–268