



University of Dundee

Yield of colorectal cancer at colonoscopy according to faecal haemoglobin concentration in symptomatic patients referred from primary care

McSorley, Stephen T.; Digby, Jayne; Clyde, Danielle; Cruickshank, Neil; Burton, Paul; Barker, Louise

Published in: **Colorectal Disease**

DOI: 10.1111/codi.15405

Publication date: 2021

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Link to publication in Discovery Research Portal

Citation for published version (APA): McSorley, S. T., Digby, J., Clyde, D., Cruickshank, N., Burton, P., Barker, L., Strachan, J. A., Fraser, C. G., Smith, K., Mowat, C., Winter, J., & Steele, R. J. C. (2021). Yield of colorectal cancer at colonoscopy according to faecal haemoglobin concentration in symptomatic patients referred from primary care. Colorectal Disease, 23(7), 1615-1621. https://doi.org/10.1111/codi.15405

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in Discovery Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from Discovery Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.

You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain.
You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

Take down policy If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

MR STEPHEN THOMAS MCSORLEY (Orcid ID : 0000-0002-5459-8445)

MISS JAYNE DIGBY (Orcid ID : 0000-0003-1775-8734)

PROFESSOR CALLUM GEORGE FRASER (Orcid ID : 0000-0002-1333-7994)

Article type : Original Article

Original Article:

Title: Yield of colorectal cancer at colonoscopy according to faecal haemoglobin concentration in symptomatic patients referred from primary care

Authors: Stephen T McSorley¹, Jayne Digby², Danielle Clyde³, Neil Cruickshank³, Paul Burton⁴, Louise Barker³ Judith A Strachan⁵, Callum G Fraser², Karen Smith⁶, Craig Mowat⁷, Jack Winter⁸ and Robert JC Steele²

 University of Glasgow, Academic Unit of Surgery, School of Medicine Dentistry and Nursing, Glasgow, UK

2. Centre for Research into Cancer Prevention and Screening, University of Dundee, School of Medicine, Ninewells Hospital and Medical School, Dundee, UK

3. NHS Fife, Department of Surgery, Victoria Hospital, Kirkcaldy, UK

4. NHS Greater Glasgow and Clyde, eHealth, Corporate Services, Business Intelligence, Glasgow, UK

5. Department of Blood Sciences, Ninewells Hospital and Medical School, NHS Tayside, Dundee, UK

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the <u>Version of Record</u>. Please cite this article as <u>doi: 10.1111/CODI.15405</u>. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Self-Archiving.

6. Department of Clinical Biochemistry, Glasgow Royal Infirmary, NHS Greater Glasgow and Clyde, UK

7. Department of Gastroenterology, Ninewells Hospital and Medical School, NHS Tayside, Dundee, UK

8. Department of Gastroenterology, Glasgow Royal Infirmary, NHS Greater Glasgow and Clyde, Glasgow, UK

Corresponding Author and request for reprints:

Stephen T McSorley, Clinical Lecturer

University of Glasgow, Academic Unit of Surgery

Level 2, New Lister Building ,Glasgow Royal Infirmary

Glasgow, UK, G31 2ER

Tel no. 0141 211 8675

Email: Stephen.mcsorley@glasgow.ac.uk

Disclosure

CGF undertakes paid consultancy with Hitachi Chemical Diagnostics Systems, Co., Ltd, Tokyo, Japan.

Funding: None

Word count: 3000

Keywords: colorectal cancer, colonoscopy, gastrointestinal symptoms, faecal immunochemical test, faecal haemoglobin

Background

Lower gastrointestinal (GI) symptoms are poor predictors of colorectal cancer (CRC). This study examined the diagnostic yield of colonoscopy by faecal haemoglobin concentration (f-Hb) in symptomatic patients assessed in primary care by faecal immunochemical testing (FIT).

Methods

In three Scottish NHS Boards, FIT kits (HM-JACKarc, Hitachi Chemical Diagnostics Systems Co., Ltd, Tokyo, Japan) were used by GPs to guide referrals for patients with lower GI symptoms (lab data studied for 12 months from December 2015 onward in Tayside, 18 months from June 2018 onward in Fife, and 5 months from September 2018 onward in Greater Glasgow and Clyde). CRC cases diagnosed at colonoscopy were ascertained from colonoscopy and pathology records.

Results

4841 symptomatic patients who underwent colonoscopy after FIT submission were included. Of 2166 patients (44.7%) with f-Hb <10 µg Hb/g faeces (µg/g), 14 (0.6%) were diagnosed with CRC, with a number needed to scope (NNS) of 155. Of 2675 patients (55.3%) with f-Hb \geq 10 µg/g, 252 were diagnosed with CRC (9.4%) with a NNS of 11. Of 705 patients with f-Hb \geq 400 µg/g, 158 (22.4%) were diagnosed with CRC with a NNS of 5. Over half of those diagnosed with CRC with f-Hb <10 µg/g had co-existing anaemia.

Conclusions

Symptomatic patients with f-Hb $\geq 10 \ \mu g/g$ should undergo further investigation for CRC, while higher f-Hb could be used to triage its urgency during the COVID-19 recovery phase. Patients with f-Hb <10 $\mu g/g$, without anaemia, are very unlikely to be diagnosed with CRC and the majority need no further investigation.

What does this add to the literature?

This study of 4841 patients undergoing colonoscopy across three Scottish NHS Boards, concludes that reliance should not be placed on symptoms when deciding who to refer for colonoscopy. Symptoms should be regarded as an entry point to the diagnostic pathway and decision making should be guided by faecal haemoglobin.

Introduction

Both NHS Scotland and National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidance to general practitioners (GPs) suggest a variety of lower GI symptoms which should prompt either an urgent referral for an appointment within two weeks, or consideration of such a referral, varying with age and the additional presence of iron deficiency anaemia [1-2].

Lower gastrointestinal (GI) symptoms are poor predictors of colorectal cancer (CRC) [3]. Indeed, most symptoms that can be present in patients with CRC and other significant bowel disease (SBD: higher-risk adenoma and inflammatory bowel disease), often reflect non-significant or functional bowel disorders [4-5]. Consequently, the introduction of the "urgent suspicion of cancer" (USC) referral and "two week wait (2ww)" pathways in Scotland and England respectively led to a large increase in referrals but no change in stage of diagnosis of patients with CRC [6].

NICE have also issued diagnostic guidance (DG30) regarding the use of faecal haemoglobin concentration (f-Hb) measured using a faecal immunochemical test (FIT) [7]. It is recommended that a f-Hb threshold of 10 μ g Hb/g faeces (μ g/g) be used to "guide" referral from primary care in patients without rectal bleeding, and who do not meet the criteria for a suspected cancer pathway per NICE (NG12) guidance.

Prior to the COVID-19 pandemic, pressure on existing referral pathways and endoscopy capacity had led NICE to develop the above guidance, in which f-Hb would be used to guide referral of patients with symptoms [8]. Such guidance was given further support by several observational studies on symptomatic patients in the United Kingdom (UK) [9-11]. However, the current climate has seen significant curtailment of endoscopy activity across the UK, with many NHS Boards and Trusts initially ceasing activity entirely, and most now entering a period of "recovery" of activity [12-13]. Despite this, ongoing requirements for patient investigation, endoscopy suite decontamination and the existing backlog of participants with a positive screening test result, and symptomatic patients requiring colonoscopy, are likely to lead to greater pressures over the long term. Therefore, the need for useful triage of patients with lower GI symptoms is even greater, and f-Hb has already been reported to be effective in this respect [14-16].

**What is less clear is whether the symptomatic f-Hb threshold suggested in DG30 (10 μ g/g) remains the most appropriate to guide referral for colonoscopy in symptomatic patients in the post-

COVID-19 recovery phase, including in those patients meeting NG12 referral criteria. Furthermore, a key question of the moment relates to the yield of CRC at higher f-Hb thresholds in light of guidance being issued by the British Society of Gastrointestinal and Abdominal Radiology (BSGAR, with acknowledgement of British Society of Gastroenterology [BSG]), for example, advocating urgent investigation in those with NG12 specified symptoms only for those with f-Hb >100 μ g/g [17]. In contrast, the Scottish Government recommendations are to investigate all symptomatic patients with f-Hb ≥10 μ g/g during the COVID 19 recovery phase, using the f-Hb concentration to determine the modality and urgency of further investigation [12].

Therefore, the aim of the present study was to examine the yield of CRC in patients who had undergone colonoscopy across three Scottish NHS Boards, having been referred from primary care with lower GI symptoms and having submitted a FIT at the time of referral.

Patients:

In three Scottish NHS Boards (Tayside, Fife and Greater Glasgow and Clyde), a FIT kit with one specimen collection device (Hitachi Chemical Diagnostics Systems Co., Ltd, Tokyo, Japan), along with pictorial instructions for use and a return envelope, were made available to GP practices as an adjunct to clinical acumen and a full blood count to guide referral practice for all patients presenting with lower GI symptoms. The period of data collection was between December 2015 – December 2016 (12 months) in Tayside, June 2018 - December 2019 (18 months) in Fife, and September 2018 – January 2019 (5 months) in Greater Glasgow and Clyde.

In all three NHS Boards, patients were requested to collect a single faecal sample and to return the FIT kit as soon as possible to the GP surgery. The kits were transported to the local departments of laboratory medicine at ambient temperature by means of the routine specimen collection services and then stored at 4°C prior to analysis. Analyses were carried out from Monday to Friday, so that most samples were analysed on the day of receipt in the laboratory, and results were reported electronically to the requesting GP. Samples collected in Tayside and Fife were analysed at Ninewells Hospital, Dundee, while samples collected in Greater Glasgow and Clyde were analysed at Stobhill Hospital, Glasgow.

Only patients who had undergone colonoscopy as a result of a primary care referral with lower GI symptoms (including rectal bleeding), with an associated FIT result, were included. All categories of urgency of referral were included. Patients without a FIT result, who had undergone colonoscopy without submitting a previous FIT, had not undergone colonoscopy following a FIT or had been investigated by other methods such as CT colonography, were not included in the analysis.

Methods:

Faecal haemoglobin concentration (f-Hb) was measured using the HM-JACKarc (Hitachi Chemical Diagnostics Systems) analytical system in the two laboratories serving the three NHS Boards. For f-Hb, this system has a limit of detection (LoD) of 2 μ g/g, a limit of quantitation (LoQ) of 7 µg/g and an upper measurement limit of 400 µg/g. Samples with results above the upper measurement limit were therefore reported as \geq 400 µg/g, and results with f-Hb \geq 10 µg/g were defined as "positive", that is worthy of further investigation, as recommended in NICE DG30 [7]. The reports also sign-posted GPs to advice that f-Hb < 10 µg/g, in the absence of iron deficiency anaemia (IDA), rectal bleeding, persistent diarrhoea, or a mass, suggests that SBD was extremely unlikely.

In this observational study, data on all FIT specimens received from primary care were retrieved from the laboratory databases of each NHS Board and manually linked using the patient's unique identifier, the Community Health Index (CHI) number, with the NHS Boards' electronic patient record to access all correspondence, laboratory results, referrals to secondary care, colonoscopy findings, hospital admissions and any subsequent attendance at the primary care out-of-hours (OOH) service. Linkage was then performed with regional cancer registry and colorectal multi-disciplinary team data to confirm CRC diagnoses and flag any potentially missed cancers.

Caldicott Guardian and ethical approvals were in place from all three NHS Boards to safeguard the record linkage.

Analysis:

The diagnostic yield of colonoscopy with respect to CRC was calculated in terms of predetermined f-Hb ranges and thresholds and summarised in terms of the number of colonoscopies required to diagnose one CRC, that is, the number needed to scope (NNS). The details of patients diagnosed with CRC and f-Hb <10 μ g/g were recorded and presented.

MedCalc statistical software (MedCalc Software, Mariakerke, Belgium) was used for all Tayside calculations, with Microsoft Excel (Microsoft, Microsoft Campus, Reading

UK) for Fife calculations, and SPSS v25 (IBM, NY, USA) used for Greater Glasgow and Clyde calculations.

Results

Patients

In total, 4841 patients were included, (Table 1). Of these, 266 (5.5%) were diagnosed with CRC, giving a NNS of 19 for the entire cohort. Of the included patients, 2675 (55.3%) had a f-Hb \geq 10 µg/g, and 705 (14.6%) patients had a f-Hb \geq 400 µg/g.

NHS Tayside included 1447 patients, of whom 92 (6.4%) were diagnosed with CRC. There were 684 males (47.3%) and 763 females (52.7%) with a median age of 66 years(IQR 55-75). NHS Fife included 2082 patients, of whom 125 (6.0%) were diagnosed with CRC. There were 958 males (46.0%) and 1124 females (54.0%) with a median age of 65 years (IQR 54-75). NHS Greater Glasgow and Clyde included 1312 patients, of whom 49 (3.7%) were diagnosed with CRC. There were 567 males (43.2%) and 745 females (56.4%) with a median age of 60 years (IQR 49-70).

Yield of CRC according to faecal haemoglobin concentration (f-Hb)

As the ranges of f-Hb studied increased, there was a reduction in the within-range NNS to detect CRC (Table 2). Of the 2166 patient within the f-Hb range <10 μ g/g, 14 (0.6%) were diagnosed with CRC, with a NNS of 155 within that f-Hb range. Of the 705 patients with f-Hb ≥400 μ g/g, 158 (22.4%) were diagnosed with CRC with a NNS of 5 within that f-Hb range.

As the f-Hb thresholds related to the ranges studied increased, the trend was for a decrease in NNS to diagnose one CRC (Table 3). Above the NICE DG30 suggested f-Hb threshold of $\geq 10 \ \mu g/g$, 2675 colonoscopies were performed, and CRC diagnosed in 252 (9.4%), accounting for 94.7% of all CRC and giving a NNS of 11.

Above a f-Hb threshold of $\geq 20 \ \mu g/g$, 2135 colonoscopies were performed, and CRC diagnosed in 242 (11.3%), accounting for 91.0% of all CRC and giving a NNS of 9.

Above a f-Hb threshold of $\geq 100 \ \mu g/g$, 1165 colonoscopies were performed, and CRC diagnosed in 205 (17.6%), accounting for 77.1% of all CRC and giving a NNS of 6.

In NHS Tayside, at the NICE DG30 suggested f-Hb threshold of $\geq 10 \ \mu g/g$, CRC was diagnosed in 84 patients, accounting for 91.3% of all CRC and giving a NNS of 9. In NHS Fife, at the NICE DG30 suggested f-Hb threshold of $\geq 10 \ \mu g/g$, CRC was diagnosed in 122 patients, accounting for 97.6% of all CRC and giving a NNS of 10. In NHS GGC, at the NICE DG30 suggested f-Hb threshold of $\geq 10 \ \mu g/g$, CRC was diagnosed in 46 patients, accounting for 93.9% of all CRC and giving a NNS of 15.

Characteristics of patients with f-Hb $< 10 \mu g/g$ diagnosed with CRC

Of the 14 patients diagnosed with CRC with a f-Hb <10 μ g/g, nine were male and five were female (Table 4). Only one patient was aged younger than 50 years (the age of first invite to the Scottish Bowel Screening Programme). At the time of referral, 9 (64.3%) were found to be anaemic [18], of which 8 were iron deficient and the remaining patient had a pattern in keeping with anaemia of inflammation. Furthermore, 8 patients diagnosed with CRC with a f-Hb <10 μ g/g had a primary tumour location proximal to the splenic flexure. Finally, three of these patients had polyp cancers.

Discussion

This multi-centre retrospective observational study conducted across three Scottish NHS Boards demonstrates that FIT used in primary care as part of symptomatic lower GI symptom referral pathways can be used to appropriately guide further investigation, regardless of the "urgency" of the referral.

Here, we report the colonoscopy findings in 4841 patients referred with "low" and "high risk" symptoms but who had all completed a FIT in primary care. Of 2166 patients with f-Hb <10 μ g/g, only 14 were diagnosed with CRC (0.6%), requiring 155 colonoscopies to detect one CRC (a NNS of 155). In contrast, of the 2675 patients with f-Hb ≥10 μ g/g, 252 (9.4%) had CRC, accounting for 94.7% of all CRC and giving an NNS of 11. Although 6.3% of CRC was diagnosed in those with f-Hb <10 μ g/g, this compares favourably to colonoscopy alone, with 6.5% of all patients who

had undergone colonoscopy in England in 2013 being diagnosed with CRC within three years of the index procedure [19].

Previous studies have reported using detectable f-Hb, usually 2 or 4 μ g/g, as a threshold for further investigation [15-16]. Indeed, the LoD of the HM-JACKarc FIT system used in the present study is 2 μ g/g. However, the NHS Tayside Department of Blood Science and NHS Greater Glasgow and Clyde Department of Clinical Biochemistry do not routinely quantitate f-Hb below the LoQ of 7 μ g/g due to the associated measurement imprecision below this concentration. Additionally, values below 9 μ g/g are not reported to clinicians in NHS GGC since they do not currently form part of any clinical guidance, pathway or framework.

It has also been proposed that, higher f-Hb thresholds be used to guide referral for investigation of symptomatic patients during the COVID pandemic and recovery phases. In the present study, however, a modest increase in the f-Hb threshold to 20 μ g/g resulted in a 9% rate of undetected CRC. Alternatively, an additional higher threshold might be considered to allow triage of investigation urgency. The recent guidance issued by the Scottish Government recommends that whilst patients with f-Hb \geq 10 μ g/g are referred for further investigation, those with f-Hb \geq 400 μ g/g should undergo highest priority investigation, including colonoscopy and alternatives to colonoscopy such as computed tomography (CT) of abdomen and pelvis or colon capsule endoscopy (CCE) where available, during the COVID-19 pandemic and recovery periods [12]. This very high-risk subgroup of patients with f-Hb \geq 400 μ g/g (15.4% of patients in the presented data in this study) had a NNS of 5 to detect one CRC.

The diagnostic yield of colonoscopy for CRC in the present study at higher f-Hb thresholds (18.9% at f-Hb \geq 150 µg/g) is lower than that reported in studies from Nottingham [14, 16] (30.9% CRC at f-Hb \geq 150 µg/g) and in the upcoming NICE FIT study (unpublished data). However, those studies included a high proportion of patients with high risk symptoms or who were referred along "2 ww" pathways. In contrast the present study included patients referred from primary care at all levels of urgency.

This study has a number of limitations. The data were collected from three Scottish NHS Boards each with slight differences in their primary care referral pathways. The data do not capture those patients who submitted a FIT and then either were not referred from primary care or did not

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

undergo colonoscopy. This could include patients who did attend secondary care and were discharged without colonoscopy, or those investigated via other modalities such as CT with or without osmotic bowel preparation and pneumocolon. Therefore, patients with f-Hb <10 μ g/g in this study are likely to represent a higher-risk group than those patients with f-Hb <10 μ g/g who were either not referred or did not undergo colonoscopy. Furthermore, due to the retrospective nature of the study, patient level symptom data were not available, and therefore no association can be drawn between these and f-Hb or the diagnostic likelihood of SBD, including age, sex, and deprivation were not considered in the analysis [21-23]. Finally, the study did not include patients with other SBD. This was in part due to the perceived prioritisation of CRC detection in the present COVID-19 pandemic and recovery phases, and also due to availability of more robust registration and data relating to CRC across all three NHS Boards.

However, the strengths of the presented data include large numbers of patients investigated by the "gold standard" investigation in the diagnosis of CRC. These data were collected by each NHS Board following the introduction of FIT into symptomatic referral pathways so can be regarded as "real world" data. In addition, this study is one of the few to include patients referred at all level of urgency. In this study, only the first submitted valid f-Hb result was recorded and therefore no comment can be made on the possible use of multiple simultaneous or time distanced repeated f-Hb estimations in patients with ongoing or recurrent symptoms. Limited evidence does exist in these areas in screening and symptomatic cohorts [24], but further work is required before the impact of changes in f-Hb on diagnostic likelihood can be described in CRC. Further, the FIT analytical systems used in the two laboratories were the same (HM-JACKarc), allowing pooling of data. This is an important consideration since different systems give different numerical estimates of f-Hb, and therefore data generated using the threshold of 10 μ g/g as measured in this study may not be equivalent to that measured by other systems included in DG30 guidance, including OC Sensor and FOB Gold [25].

Finally, the presented characteristics of patients diagnosed with CRC with f-Hb <10 μ g/g can be used to inform the very important issue of "safety netting", in other words how to identify patients with low f-Hb who should go on to further investigation. Anaemia and significant weight loss, both of which would often generate referral to secondary care even without the presence of lower GI symptoms, were prevalent amongst those with CRC and f-Hb <10 μ g/g; however, further

studies are needed to confirm these associations and determine their clinical utility. In addition, symptomatic patients with low f-Hb should be kept under observation until their symptoms have abated and GP must not be discouraged from referring such patients if they have severe and/or persistent symptoms.

In summary, the results of this study add to the ample evidence in the literature that low f-Hb identifies a group of symptomatic patients at very low risk of CRC and SBD, and that high f-Hb identifies a high-risk group. Not only that, f-Hb estimation clearly outperforms symptoms as a predictor of CRC and SBD, even in those with "red flag" symptoms including rectal bleeding [26].

It can therefore be stated with confidence that reliance should not be placed on specific symptoms or symptom complexes when deciding who to refer for colonoscopy. Symptoms should be regarded as an entry point to the diagnostic pathway and decision making on investigations should be guided by f-Hb. Symptomatic patients with f-Hb <10 μ g/g are very unlikely to be diagnosed with CRC and should not undergo investigation for this purpose without very good reason [12]. Furthermore, higher f-Hb should be used to triage the modality and urgency of investigation for possible CRC in symptomatic patients. Such an approach will be required during the COVID-19 recovery period, during which access to colonoscopy is likely to remain limited, but also should be considered for use in the longer term to reduce the burden of over-investigation for both patients, and for stretched endoscopy resources. Indeed, failure to implement this is likely to delay diagnosis of CRC due to dilution of the pool of referred patients who have CRC into a larger referral cohort that cannot be investigated in a timely fashion due to the capacity limitations of the NHS.

Acknowledgements

The authors from NHS Tayside would like to thank Lynne Taylor and the staff of the Department of Blood Sciences, NHS Tayside, for their input in processing and analysing FIT samples.

The authors from NHS Fife would like to thank Neil Greg for his work in the initial setup of FIT in the region, and both Heather Holmes, Consultant Clinical Biochemist, NHS Fife, and Scott Wilson, NHS Fife Information Services, for their contribution to patient identification.

The authors from NHS Greater Glasgow and Clyde would like to thank Emilia Crighton, Deputy Director of Public Health, NHS Greater Glasgow and Clyde, Mr David Mansouri, Consultant Colorectal Surgeon, Glasgow Royal Infirmary, and Charlotte Syme Clinical Biochemical Scientist (formerly of NHS Greater Glasgow and Clyde) for their work in introducing FIT to the region and in patient identification for this work.

References

1. NHS Scotland. Scottish Referral Guidelines for Suspected Cancer: lower gastrointestinal cancer; updated 2019. http://www.cancerreferral.scot.nhs.uk/lower-gastrointestinal-cancer/

 National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Suspected cancer: recognition and referral. NICE Guideline (NG12); 2015 (updated 2017)

3. Vega P, Valentin F, Cubiella J. Colorectal cancer diagnosis: pitfalls and opportunities. World J Gastrointest Oncol 2015;7:422e33.

4. Herrero JM, Vega P, Salve M, Bujanda L, Cubiella J. Symptom or faecal immunochemical test based referral criteria for colorectal cancer detection in symptomatic patients: a diagnostic tests study. BMC Gastroenterol. 2018;18(1):155.

5. C Högberg, P Karling, J Rutegård, M Lilja - BMC Family Practice, 2020 Patient-reported and doctor-reported symptoms when faecal immunochemical tests are requested in primary care in the diagnosis of colorectal cancer and inflammatory bowel disease: a prospective study. BMC Family Practice 2020;21:129 https://doi.org/10.1186/s12875-020-01194-x

6. Peacock O, Clayton S, Atkinson F, Tierney GM, Lund JN. Be Clear on Cancer': The Impact of the UK National Bowel Cancer Awareness Campaign. Colorectal Dis 2013 Aug;15(8):963-7. doi: 10.1111/codi.12220.

7. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Quantitative faecal immunochemical tests to guide referral for colorectal cancer in primary care. Diagnostics Guidance (DG30); 2017 https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/dg30 8. Westwood M, Lang S, Armstrong N, van Turenhout S, Cubiella J, Stirk L, et al. Faecal immunochemical tests (FIT) can help to rule out colorectal cancer in patients presenting in primary care with lower abdominal symptoms: a systematic review conducted to inform new NICE DG30 diagnostic guidance. BMC Med 2017;15:189.

9. Chapman C, Thomas C, Morling J, et al. Early clinical outcomes of a rapid colorectal cancer diagnosis pathway using faecal immunochemical testing in Nottingham. Colorectal Dis 2019;10.1111/codi.14944. doi:10.1111/codi.14944.

10. Quyn AJ, Steele RJ, Digby J, Strachan JA, Mowat C, McDonald PJ, et al. Application of NICE guideline NG12 to the initial assessment of patients with lower gastrointestinal symptoms: not FIT for purpose? Ann Clin Biochem 2018;55:69e76

11. Mowat C, Digby J, Strachan JA, et al Impact of introducing a faecal immunochemical test (FIT) for haemoglobin into primary care on the outcome of patients with new bowel symptoms: a prospective cohort study. BMJ Open Gastroenterology 2019;6:e000293. doi: 10.1136/bmjgast-2019-000293

12. Scottish Government. Guidance for the use of FIT in the prioritization of patients with colorectal symptoms now and in the recovery period after COVID. published online 2nd July 2020 https://www.gov.scot/publications/coronavirus-covid-19-guidance-for-use-of-fit-testing-for-patients-with-colorectal-symptoms/

13. Penman I, Rees C on behalf of the British Society of Gastroenterology. British Society of Gastroenterology guidance on recommencing gastrointestinal endoscopy in the deceleration and early recovery phases of COVID-19 pandemic. British Society of Gastroenterology 2020;

https://wmcanceralliance.nhs.uk/images/Documents/Covid9_2020/BSG_Endoscopy_early_recove ry_guidance_-_FINAL_30.04.20.pdf

14. Chapman C, Bunce J, Oliver S et al. Service evaluation of faecal immunochemical testing and anaemia for risk stratification in the 2-week-wait pathway for colorectal cancer. BJS Open 2019;3:395-402. doi: 10.1002/bjs5.50131. eCollection 2019 Jun.

15. D'Souza N, Hicks G, Benton SC, Abulafi M. The diagnostic accuracy of the faecal immunochemical test for colorectal cancer in risk-stratified symptomatic patients. Ann R Coll Surg Eng 2020;102:174–9. doi:10.1308/rcsann.2019.0144.

16. Nicholson BD, James T, Paddon M, et al. Faecal immunochemical testing for adults with symptoms of colorectal cancer attending English primary care: a retrospective cohort study of 14
487 consecutive test requests [published online ahead of print, 2020 Jul 17]. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2020;10.1111/apt.15969. doi:10.1111/apt.15969

17. British Society of Gastrointestinal and Abdominal Radiology. NHS England and NHS
Improvement Specialty guides for patient management during the coronavirus pandemic: Clinical guide for triaging patients with suspected colorectal cancer. Publications approval reference:
001559. 24 April 2020 Version 1
https://www.bsgar.org/static/uploads/PUBLICATION%20C0384_%20Clinical%20guide%20for%
20triaging%20suspected%20colorectal%20ca%20v1%20April%2024.pdf

18. WHO. Haemoglobin concentrations for the diagnosis of anaemia and assessment of severity.Vitamin and Mineral Information System. World Health Organisation, Geneva 2011(WHO/NMH/NHD/MNM/11.1)

19. Burr NE, Derbyshire E, Taylor J, Whalley S, Subramanian V, Finan PJ, Rutter MD, Valori R, Morris EJA. Variation in post-colonoscopy colorectal cancer across colonoscopy providers in the English National Health Service: population based cohort study. BMJ 2019;367:16090

20. Fraser CG, Benton SC. Detection capability of quantitative faecal immunochemical tests for haemoglobin (FIT) and reporting of low faecal haemoglobin concentrations. Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine, 2019;57(5):611-616

Clark GRC, Strachan JA, McPherson A, Digby J, Mowat C, Steele RJC, Fraser CG. Faecal haemoglobin distributions by sex, age, deprivation and geographical region: consequences for colorectal cancer screening strategies. Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine (CCLM)
 2020 epub ahead of print DOI: https://doi.org/10.1515/cclm-2020-0268 | Published online: 22 Apr 2020

22. Cubiella J, Digby J, Rodríguez-Alonso L, et al. The fecal hemoglobin concentration, age and sex test score: development and external validation of a simple prediction tool for colorectal cancer detection in symptomatic patients. Int J Cancer 2017;140:2201–11

23. Digby J, Strachan JA, Mowat C, Steele RJC, Fraser CG. Appraisal of the faecal haemoglobin, age and sex test (FAST) score in assessment of patients with lower bowel symptoms: an observational study. BMC Gastroenterol. 2019;19(1):213.

24. Young GP, Woodman RJ, Ang FLI, Symonds EL. Both sample number and test positivity threshold determine colonoscopy efficiency in detection of colorectal cancer with quantitative fecal immunochemical tests, Gastroenterology 2020; doi: https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2020.05.008.

25. Piggott C, Carroll MRR, John C, O'Driscoll S, Benton SC. Analytical evaluation of four faecal immunochemistry tests for haemoglobin [published online ahead of print, 2020 Jul 21]. Clin Chem Lab Med. 2020;/j/cclm.ahead-of-print/cclm-2020-0251/cclm-2020-0251.xml. doi:10.1515/cclm-2020-0251

26. Digby J, Strachan JA, McCann R, Steele RJ, Fraser CG, Mowat C. Measurement of faecal haemoglobin with a faecal immunochemical test can assist in defining which patients attending primary care with rectal bleeding require urgent referral. Ann Clin Biochem 2020;57:325-327. doi:10.1177/0004563220935622

Tables and footnotes

Table 1: Demographic characteristics of cohorts of patients undergoing colonoscopy after submitting a faecal immunochemical test (FIT) as part of symptomatic referral pathways in NHS Tayside, NHS Fife and NHS Greater Glasgow and Clyde (GGC),

Region		NHS Tayside	NHS Fife	NHS GGC	
Total	n	1447	2082	1312	
CRC	n (%)	92 (6.4)	125 (6.0)	49 (3.7)	
Sex	Male, n (%)	684 (47.3)	958 (46.0)	567 (43.2)	
	Female, n (%)	763 (52.7)	1124 (54.0)	745 (56.4)	
Age	years, median (IQR)	66 (55-75)	65 (54-75)	60 (49-70)	

CRC: colorectal cancer, GGC: Greater Glasgow and Clyde, IQR: interquartile range

Table 2: Colonoscopies and colorectal cancer (CRC) diagnoses made per given faecal haemoglobin concentration (f-Hb) ranges (μ g/g)) in symptomatic patients using a faecal immunochemical test (FIT and number needed to scope (NNS) to detect one CRC in that range

f-Hb range (µg/g)	Colonoscopies performed within f-Hb	CRC diagnosed within f-Hb range (n)	NNS within f-Hb range (n)	
	range (n)			
All	4841	266	19	
<10	2166	14	155	
10-19	540	10	54	
20-49	609	22	28	
50-99	361	15	25	
100-149	150	13	12	
150-199	94	4	24	
200-249	63	7	9	
250-299	63	5	13	
300-349	41	7	6	
350-399	49	11	5	
≥400	705	158	5	

CRC: colorectal cancer, f-Hb: faecal haemoglobin concentration, NNS: number needed to scope to detect one CRC

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

Table 3: Diagnostic yield of faecal haemoglobin concentration (f-Hb, μ g/g) above threshold values (μ g/g) to diagnose colorectal cancer (CRC) in symptomatic patients using a faecal immunochemical test (FIT) and number needed to scope to detect one CRC (NNS)

f-Hb threshold (μg/g)	Proportion of colonoscopies required (%)	Proportion of CRC diagnosed (%)	NNS (n)
	required (70)	ulagiloscu (70)	
10	55.3	94.7	11
20	44.1	91.0	9
50	31.5	82.7	7
100	24.1	77.1	6
150	21.0	72.2	6
200	19.0	70.7	5
250	17.7	68.0	5
300	16.4	66.2	5
350	15.6	63.5	5
400	14.6	59.4	5

CRC colorectal cancer, f-Hb: faecal haemoglobin concentration, NNS: number needed to scope to detect one CRC

Table 4: Characteristics of symptomatic patients diagnosed with colorectal cancer (CRC) with faecal haemoglobin concentration $<10 \ \mu g/g$ by a faecal immunochemical Test (FIT)

Age	Sex	Symptoms	Blood Hb	CRC size	Primary CRC	TNM
(years)			(mg/L)	(mm)	site	stage
89	М	Anaemia	71	17	Transverse	Ι
		Diarrhoea			colon	
54	F	Diarrhoea	150	30	Rectum	Ι
78	М	Anaemia	120	26	Ascending	Ι
		Change in bowel habit			colon	
74	F	Anaemia Diarrhoea	102	60	Caecum	NA
58	М	Rectal bleeding	134	NA	NA	NA
87	F	Anaemia	108	28	Caecum	Ι
66	М	Anaemia Change in bowel habit	94	57	Transverse	II
67	М	Weight loss Change in bowel habit	162	32	Transverse colon	IV
85	М	Anaemia Rectal mass (palpable)	122	35	Rectum	Ι
68	F	Anaemia Change in bowel habit	95	NA	Transverse colon	IV
69	М	Anaemia Weight loss	94	120	Caecum	III
59	М	Anaemia	122	50	Descending colon	II
39	М	Change in bowel habit	152	25	Sigmoid colon	II
66	F	Change in bowel habit	144	14	Sigmoid colon	II

CRC: colorectal cancer, F female, Hb: haemoglobin, M: male, NA not available, TNM: tumour, node, metastases

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved