

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT • OPEN ACCESS

Characterization of B₀-field fluctuations in prostate MRI

To cite this article before publication: Lebina Shrestha Kakkar et al 2020 Phys. Med. Biol. in press https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6560/abbc7f

Manuscript version: Accepted Manuscript

Accepted Manuscript is "the version of the article accepted for publication including all changes made as a result of the peer review process, and which may also include the addition to the article by IOP Publishing of a header, an article ID, a cover sheet and/or an 'Accepted Manuscript' watermark, but excluding any other editing, typesetting or other changes made by IOP Publishing and/or its licensors"

This Accepted Manuscript is © 2020 Institute of Physics and Engineering in Medicine.

As the Version of Record of this article is going to be / has been published on a gold open access basis under a CC BY 3.0 licence, this Accepted Manuscript is available for reuse under a CC BY 3.0 licence immediately.

Everyone is permitted to use all or part of the original content in this article, provided that they adhere to all the terms of the licence https://creativecommons.org/licences/by/3.0

Although reasonable endeavours have been taken to obtain all necessary permissions from third parties to include their copyrighted content within this article, their full citation and copyright line may not be present in this Accepted Manuscript version. Before using any content from this article, please refer to the Version of Record on IOPscience once published for full citation and copyright details, as permissions may be required. All third party content is fully copyright protected and is not published on a gold open access basis under a CC BY licence, unless that is specifically stated in the figure caption in the Version of Record.

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

Note

Characterization of B_0 -field fluctuations in prostate MRI

Lebina Shrestha Kakkar¹, Muhammad Usman², Simon Arridge², Alex Kirkham³ and David Atkinson¹‡

¹ Centre for Medical Imaging, University College London, Foley Street, London, U.K.

² Centre for Medical Imaging Computing, University College London, High Holborn, London, U.K.

³ Radiology Department, University College Hospital, Euston Road, London, U.K.

E-mail: d.atkinson@ucl.ac.uk

Abstract. Multi-parametric MRI is increasingly used for prostate cancer detection. Improving information from current sequences, such as T2-weighted and diffusionweighted (DW) imaging, and additional sequences, such as magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS) and chemical exchange saturation transfer (CEST), may enhance the performance of multi-parametric MRI. The majority of these techniques are sensitive to B₀-field variations and may result in image distortions including signal pile-up and stretching (echo planar imaging (EPI) based DW-MRI) or unwanted shifts in the frequency spectrum (CEST and MRS). Our aim is to temporally and spatially characterize B_0 -field changes in the prostate. Ten male patients are imaged using dualecho gradient echo sequences with varying repetitions on a 3T scanner to evaluate the temporal B_0 -field changes within the prostate. A phantom is also imaged to consider no physiological motion. The spatial B₀-field variations in the prostate are reported as B_0 -field values (Hz), their spatial gradients (Hz/mm) and the resultant distortions in EPI based DW-MRI images (b-value $= 0 \text{ s/mm}^2$ and two oppositely phase encoded directions). Over a period of minutes, temporal changes in B_0 -field values were ≤ 19 Hz for minimal bowel motion and ≥ 30 Hz for large motion. Spatially across the prostate, the B₀-field values had an interquartile range of ≤ 18 Hz (minimal motion) and ≤ 44 Hz (large motion). The B_0 -field gradients were between -2 and 5 Hz/mm (minimal motion) and 2 to 12 Hz/mm (large motion). Overall, B₀-field variations can affect DW, MRS and CEST imaging of the prostate.

 $Keywords: \mathbb{B}_0\text{-field},$ prostate MRI, diffusion MRI, mpMRI, prostate phantom, CEST, MRS

Submitted to: Phys. Med. Biol.

Characterization of B_0 -field fluctuations in prostate MRI

1. Introduction

Prostate cancer (PCa) is the second largest cause of male cancer deaths in the UK (Caul and Broggio 2016) making PCa assessment a necessity. Following clinical suspicion for localised PCa, it is common practice to use diagnostic multi-parametric magnetic resonance imaging (mpMRI) combined with standardised reporting such as Likert score (Dickinson *et al* 2013) or PI-RADS version 2.1 (Turkbey *et al* 2019). mpMRI involves T2-weighted (T2W), dynamic contrast-enhanced (DCE) and diffusion weighted (DW) MRI. Although mpMRI may prevent 27% of men from having invasive biopsies, its specificity is only 41% compared to 96% for the biopsies (Ahmed *et al* 2017). Improving the quality of existing imaging sequences in mpMRI and adding extra information using other MRI techniques (such as magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS) and chemical exchange saturation transfer (CEST)) (Jia *et al* 2011, Li *et al* 2013, Roethke *et al* 2014) can potentially enhance PCa assessment.

Echo planar imaging (EPI) based DW-MRI sequences are an integral part of mpMRI due to their high tumour contrast and short acquisition time (Kirkham *et al* 2013, Metens *et al* 2012). However, they often exhibit shift, shears and geometric distortions in the phase encoding (PE) direction caused by a combination of low bandwidth in the PE direction and the presence of off-resonance effects, such as B_0 -field inhomogeneities and susceptibility differences at the tissue-air interfaces (e.g. rectum-prostate interface). Stretching distortions results from regions where there is a gradient of the B_0 -field in the direction of the PE direction and pile-up distortions occur when the in-plane gradient of the B_0 -field opposes the PE direction (Jezzard and Balaban 1995, Jezzard 2012).

EPI-based DWI, CEST and MRS are prostate imaging MR techniques that are affected by B_0 -fields. A B_0 -field map can be calculated from the phase differences of the two echoes of a dual-echo gradient echo scan. In a distorted EPI image, this field map can be used in a correction scheme to move the warped EPI image pixels into their correct positions. Such distortion correction methods based on the spatially varying B_0 -field maps are either simple to use (Jezzard and Balaban 1995, Jezzard 2012) and/or can correct for difficult distortions (pile-ups) (Usman *et al* 2018), especially in the prostate. However, potential temporal B_0 -field changes due to patient motion (Alhamud *et al* 2016) can result in incorrect pixel shifts across a DW dataset leading to an inaccurately computed apparent diffusivity coefficient (ADC) map - possibly hindering PCa assessments (Nketiah *et al* 2018). Temporal B_0 -field changes may cause incorrect frequency shifts in CEST (Sun *et al* 2007), whereas in MRS both temporal changes and spatially varying B_0 -fields may cause spectral line broadening (Scheenen *et al* 2007) these result in overlapping signals leading to a loss of accuracy of the imaging method. Hence, a knowledge of the B_0 -fields is important in prostate MRI.

The purpose of this paper is to characterize B_0 -fields within the prostate by providing a measure of temporal changes in B_0 -field values (Hz) over a specific time (minutes), as well as a measure of the spatial B_0 -field values such as representative B_0 -

Characterization of B_0 -field fluctuations in prostate MRI

field values within the prostate, their spatial gradients (Hz/mm) and their impact on distortions in EPI images. Our findings may inform the MR community when developing sequences and processing methods for prostate MRI, particularly those involved with DW-MRI, CEST and MRS.

2. Materials and Methods

All experiments were performed on a 3T Philips Achieva TX system (Philips Healthcare, Best, The Netherlands) equipped with a 16 anterior and 16 posterior channel cardiac receive coil array. Images were acquired for ten male patients and a prostate phantom to differentiate observations resulting from physiological motion. The study was approved by the London - Central Research Ethics Committee (REC# 16/LO/1440) and all subjects gave informed consent.

2.1. Prostate phantom

50g of agarose was stirred in 2.1 l of tap water at room temperature and was heated until the agarose dissolved. Half of the mixture was poured into a plastic container (Sainsburyś Home Klip Lock Storage Square 5 l, dimensions $24 \times 24 \times 12.5$ cm) and allowed to cool, whilst the remaining half was gently heated. The container contained a drinking glass (dimensions 3×5 cm), which was filled with weights to prevent it from floating. Similar to (Bude and Adler 1995) once the first layer of agarose had lightly set, a peeled kiwi fruit (the "prostate" phantom (Mueller-Lisse *et al* 2017)) was placed on top of the layer near the glass. The remaining mixture was poured into the container and allowed to set overnight. 4-5 hours prior to the experiment, the glass was removed to create the air filled "rectum". The prostate phantom is shown in Figure 1.

2.2. Subjects

Ten male patients (median (range) weight 84 (68-98) kg and age 68 (57-79) years old) were recruited from the clinical prostate imaging pathway. Patients were placed in a supine, feet first position into the scanner and imaging was carried out during free breathing for all patients. No antispasmodic agent was administered. Patient 2 had been previously treated with High Intensity Focused Ultrasound (HIFU) therapy and patient 3 had eaten 15 minutes prior to the scanning session.

2.3. Imaging

Temporal and spatial characterization of B₀-fields were carried out using dynamic fast dual-echo gradient echo (FFE) sequences. Axial images were acquired using sequences with the following parameters: flip angle = 6° , first echo time (TE) = 4.6 ms, TE difference = 2.3 ms, relaxation time (TR) = 8.6 ms, axial field-of-view (FOV) = 230 $\times 230 \text{ mm}^2$, where the number of slices acquired depended on the prostate size of the

Characterization of B_0 -field fluctuations in prostate MRI



Figure 1: Photo of the prostate phantom. The prostate phantom consists of a peeled kiwi (the "prostate") and a cylindrical air gap (the "rectum") both embedded in the agarose. The phantom is scanned in a position similar to a patient lying supine in foot to head direction, i.e. kiwi is anterior to the air filled cylinder. The red cross demonstrates the direction of the main static B_0 -field of the MR scanner relative to the phantom.

patient, slice thickness = 4 mm, volume shim and right to left PE direction. The dynamic B_0 -field maps were automatically computed by the scanner in Hz.

Temporal B_0 -field variations were evaluated for different time scales. A single slice 2D scan acquired every 1.75 seconds over 53 seconds was used as a short time scale. For longer time scales (≥ 150 seconds), multiple 3D sequences with varying SNR were compared and only one was chosen for subsequent analysis. SNRs were varied by changing the bandwidth and voxel size and the resultant SNR change was estimated by the Philips scanner. Table 1 summarises the sequence parameters for the different gradient echo sequences.

Table 1: Summary of the different dynamic dual-echo gradient echo sequences in the order of increasing SNR. The SNR factors relative to the first sequence are predicted by the scanner when changing the sequence parameters.

Sequence	Scan	Acquired image resolution	No. of	Bandwidth/mm	No. of	Time for each	SNR factor relative to
no.	type	(mm^3)	snces	(Hz/mm)	dynamics	dynamic (s)	Sequence 1
1	2D	$2 \times 2 \times 4$	1	433	30	1.75	1.0
2	3D	$1 \times 1 \times 4$	15-23	321	6	33.2	2.7
3	3D	$2 \times 2 \times 4$	15-23	433	9	18.5	4.4
4	3D	$2 \times 2 \times 4$	15-23	160	9	19.5	7.6

 B_0 -field maps were also related to the distortions in EPI based DW-MRI images. As the distortions are linked to the imaging gradients and not the diffusion encoding gradients, two EPI sequences with only the $b = 0 \text{ s/mm}^2$ of a DW sequence were used with opposite PE gradients: One with anterior to posterior PE direction (PE:AP) and

Characterization of B_0 -field fluctuations in prostate MRI

vice versa (PE:PA). The remainder of the DW sequence parameters are: resolution = $2 \times 2 \times 4$ mm³, FOV= 180-220 × 180-220 × 4 mm³, SENSE factor = 2, TR = 2000 ms, TE = 80 ms, bandwidth in the PE direction ~20.8-22.4 Hz/pixel (or 10.4-11.2 Hz/mm). For reference purposes, an axial T2W image was acquired using a turbo spin echo sequence with the following parameters: resolution = $2 \times 2 \times 4$ mm³, FOV= 180-220 × 180-220 × 60-92 mm³, SENSE factor = 2, TR = 4700 ms, TE = 100 ms.

2.4. Image Analysis

A single slice of the 3D gradient echo magnitude image from sequence 3 was chosen such that it was closest to the single 2D slice from sequence 1. ROIs were placed using the magnitude images and the reference T2W image to best visualise the prostate position by a radiologist with 25 years of experience. Inspection of all datasets did not suggest prostate motion caused the ROI to include non-prostate areas. However if severe physiological motion was to occur, the ROIs could be shifted out of the prostate introducing errors into the analysis. The B₀-field values within the ROI were extracted for each case to characterise the temporal B₀-field variation.

The spatial B_0 -field variation across the prostate was characterized in three separate slices: the original mid-axial slice and two additional slices inferior and superior to the mid-axial slice. The centre-to-centre separation between each of the slices is 8 mm. The pixelwise B_0 -field values within the ROI of the slices were extracted from the first dynamic of Sequence 3 in Table 1.

Line profiles within the prostate ROI (posterior to anterior (PA) and right to left (RL)) were also drawn to evaluate the B_0 -field values across the prostate for the midaxial slice. Additionally, gradients of the B_0 -field in the anterior-posterior direction were computed for the prostate ROIs at the three slices. Gradient values at the posterior edge of the prostate (where B_0 -field varied considerably) were recorded by selecting the last three rows of pixels within the ROI at the posterior of the prostate. A two-sided Wilcoxon signed rank test was used to determine whether the B_0 -field gradients at the posterior edge was significantly different from zero and the sign of the gradient was noted. Distortions in the reverse phase encoded DW images were then compared to these B_0 -field gradients using the T2W image as reference.

3. Results

Figure 2 displays example B_0 -field maps for Sequence 1 and Sequence 3 from Table 1. The B_0 -field map shows a large variation in the B_0 -field across the image plane and is dependent on the material/tissue type. It also visually demonstrates that low SNR leads to an apparent increase in B_0 -field variation.

The first row in Figure 3 demonstrates the changes in B_0 -field within the ROI of the phantom and two example patients (patient 5 and 7) over the duration of the dynamic sequences 1 and 3 from Table 1. Sequence 1 of Figure 3 shows that B_0 -fields



Figure 2: Images of B_0 -field map for a single axial slice of the phantom (first row) and two example patients (patients 5 (second row) and 7 (third row)). Images displayed are from the sequences 1 and 3 from Table 1.

are consistent throughout the duration of the sequence (51 seconds) for the phantom and patient 7, however for patient 5, large fluctuations initially occur potentially due to rectal size changes. As expected, the SNR increases for the 3D sequence and the range of measured B_0 -field values reduces within the prostate. While only results from two example patients are shown here, the measured distribution was consistently smaller in the 3D sequence for all patients. Unlike the patients, the measured B_0 -field range is higher in the 3D sequence for the phantom, possibly because the underlying signal from the kiwi phantom was lower in the 3D sequence.

The second row in Figure 3 summarises the changes in the median B_0 -field of the ROI across time for the phantom and all patients. The largest range of the median B_0 -field of the ROI is observed for patient 5 (52 Hz across ≈ 1 minute) in Sequence 1. However, the range of the median B_0 -field values, which indicates the temporal changes,



Figure 3: Temporal changes in B_0 -field values for the phantom (P) and patients 5 and 7 (P5 and P7) using Sequence 1 (2D, 0.9 minutes, left column) and sequence 3 (3D, 2.8 minutes, right column). Top row shows the 25th and 75th percentile of B_0 -field values within an ROI as a function of time, where time 0 is the acquisition of the first image. The median value is also plotted as a dashed line for the phantom in Sequence 3. The bottom row plots the median B_0 -field values at every time point for the phantom and each subject.

are much lower across other patients - their minimum - maximum ranges are: 2.5-14 Hz (Sequence 1, i.e. over a duration of 0.9 minutes) and 1.4-19 Hz (Sequence 3, i.e. across 2.8 minutes). The B₀-field ranges are smaller for the phantom (between 2.0 and 3.6 Hz over a duration of <3 minutes) regardless of the sequences used.

Figure 4 summarises the B_0 -field distribution within the prostate ROI for all patients for the first dynamic scan of Sequence 3. The minimum and maximum median B_0 -field values across the prostate are between -25 Hz and 6.3 Hz, respectively, for all patients except patient 9. The interquartile ranges (IQRs) are ≤ 18 Hz for all patients except patient 2 (contains fluid filled region following HIFU treatment) and patients 8 and 9 (large bowel motion were observed during Sequence 3 and could also have occurred within the first dynamic of Sequence 3 for both patients), where the interquartile ranges are as high as 44 Hz.

Figure 5a displays an example B_0 -field map, example line profiles and ROIs that are drawn on the prostate. Figure 5b and c demonstrate an example of the effect of B_0 field gradients and their effects on two reverse phased encoded non-diffusion weighted images in comparison to the reference T2W image (Figure 5d). Figure 5e shows the



Figure 4: B_0 -field values within the prostate volume from the first dynamic scan of Sequence 3 in Table 1 for all patients. The B_0 -field values at the ROIs of the three chosen slices for each patient are displayed as a set of three box and whiskers of the same colour, where the boxes represent the 25th, 50th and 75th percentile of the B_0 -field values at the ROIs and the whiskers extend to cover 99.3% of the B_0 -field values at the prostate. The three slices are named inferior, mid-axial and superior prostate with a centre-to-centre separation of 8 mm.

 B_0 -fields along the PA profile for the mid-axial slice of the prostate, where the B_0 -fields increase/decrease until they reach similar B_0 -field values at the anterior of the prostate. In contrast, the B_0 -field profile along RL were generally flat with small fluctuations (results not shown).

Figure 5f displays the range of numerical gradients at the posterior edge of the prostate for the same slices from Figure 4. The values range approximately from -20 to 20 Hz/mm. Significantly positive, negative and zero B_0 -field gradients are observed for ~50%, <15% and ~30% of the dataset, respectively. Additionally, for some patients (patients 1, 3 and 6), the polarity of the gradients are varied for different slices of the same prostate. Visual comparison of the B_0 -field gradients to the distortions observed in the DW images with respect to T2W images show negative B_0 -field gradients correspond to pile-up distortions and positive gradients correspond to stretching distortions when imaging in PE:AP direction and vice versa in the PE:PA direction. As expected, patients 4, 5 and 10 have small B0-field gradients and show little distortion in their DW images.



Figure 5: B_0 -field gradients and their effect on DW-MR images of the prostate. (a) An example axial B_0 -field map calculated from a 3D gradient echo (Sequence 3 in Table 1) (b) and (c) examples of the distorted non-DW image acquired with the PE:AP and PE:PA directions, respectively and (d) the reference T2-weighted image of the prostate, for patient 7. A prostate is outlined (in yellow) in (a), along with the PA and RL profiles (green and red lines respectively), as well as the posterior edge region of the prostate (shaded in cyan). The B_0 -field profiles for the mid-axial slice are plotted for all patients and are displayed in (e). (f) The compact box and whisker plot displays the range of B_0 -field gradients (similar to Figure 4) that are at the posterior edge of the prostate for the three prostate slices and a coloured * at the top of the plots indicate whether the median gradient values are significantly different from zero (p<0.05).

4. Discussion

In this study, we characterized the temporal and spatial variations in the B_0 -field. The temporal B_0 -field changes in the prostate are higher in patients than in the phantom. Typically, B_0 -field values fluctuated by 1-19 Hz over a time period of <3 minutes and in-plane median B_0 -field values at the prostates were between -25 Hz to 6 Hz (with an interquartile range of up to 18 Hz) for cases of very little to no bowel motion. In EPI based DW-MRI dataset acquired with a PE bandwidth of 21 Hz/pixel (10.5 Hz/mm) on a 3T MR scanner, these correspond to shifts between 0.1-0.9 pixels or 0.1-

Characterization of B_0 -field fluctuations in prostate MRI

1.8 mm (compared to <0.2 pixels (<0.3 mm) for the phantom) between subsequent DW measurements and an additional shift of <1 pixel (<2 mm) in each DW measurement. For larger B₀-field changes (for instance when fluid filled lesion was included in the prostate ROI or when large bowel motion occurred), shifts between subsequent DW measurements can be 1-2.5 pixels or 2-5 mm (with an additional average shift of \approx 2 pixels (\approx 4mm) within the prostate per measurement), resulting in misaligned "corrected" DW data leading to miscalculation of ADC maps.

In the 30 slices analysed over the 10 patients as part of this study, stretching occurred more frequently than pile-up distortions at the posterior edge of the prostate when the patients were imaged supine, feet first and with phase encoding in the AP direction than when the phase encoding direction was reversed. Stretching can be easier to correct (Jezzard and Balaban 1995, Embleton *et al* 2010), or less harmful to image interpretation and so consideration of the phase encode direction may be beneficial.

Other than EPI based DW-MRI, the B₀-field changes at the prostate area can potentially affect other prostate MR modalities. For instance, in CEST, heterogeneous spatial B₀-fields can alter the z-spectrum but can be corrected using computed B₀-field maps from pre-acquisition methods (Kim *et al* 2009, Schuenke *et al* 2017). However, CEST imaging is lengthy (\approx 3-6 minutes (Evans *et al* 2019, Liu *et al* 2019) for a single slice). Temporal B₀-field changes of 30-50 Hz (0.23-0.40 ppm) spanning 1-3 minutes (observed in Figure 3 on a 3T MR scanner) and potential system drift (\approx 10 Hz) (Liu *et al* 2019, Windschuh *et al* 2019) may lead to wrongly corrected z-spectra, possibly increasing the chances of overlapping CEST signals from amides (\approx 3.5 ppm) and fast exchanging amines (\approx 3 ppm) and reducing the specificity of the method (Zhang *et al* 2018) to detect protein levels that are linked to PCa (Jia *et al* 2011).

Another important prostate MR modality is MRS. If the B₀-field within the prostate are shimmed perfectly to allow accurate water and fat suppression, then the spectral data should show four frequency peaks: choline-containing compounds (3.2 ppm), polyamines (3.1 ppm), creatine (3.0 ppm) and citrate (2.5-2.8 ppm) (Li *et al* 2013). However, our findings suggest that after volume-based shimming, B₀-field values can change up to 0.15 ppm (19 Hz) within 1-3 minutes and the range of B₀-field values within the prostate could be up to 0.14 ppm (18 Hz) for minimal bowel motion. For large bowel motion, the values are much higher (\geq 0.23 ppm or \geq 30 Hz over a duration of \approx 1-3 minutes from Figure 3)and \leq 0.35 ppm (\leq 44 Hz) from Figure 4) spatially. These may cause spectral line broadening of the metabolites preventing accurate assessment of the citrate and choline concentrations - the main metabolites for determining PCa.

In this study, we purposely used realistic imaging parameters. Even with the largest pixel size of 2 mm in the B_0 map, acquisition times were too long to correlate with the breathing and cardiac cycles. However, temporal B_0 -field fluctuations were lower for the stationary phantom suggesting that physiological motion affects the prostate.

No antispasmodic agent was administered for this study. It is possible that antispasmodics, as often used for clinical scans to reduce bowel motion, could reduce the B_0 -field variations. However, the effectiveness of the drug can be variable and short-lived

Characterization of B_0 -field fluctuations in prostate MRI

(Roethke *et al* 2013, Slough *et al* 2018), hence we would still expect some variations in B_0 -fields near the rectum area post administration of antispasmodic agents.

A phase array coil was used for prostate imaging in this study. Prostate imaging is also possible through the use of endorectal coils (ERC) with PFC or barium sulfate to reduce susceptibility differences (Rosen *et al* 2007). They may offer lower spatial field variation and lower temporal field variation (Husband *et al* 1998) but at the expense of patient discomfort. A recent comparison study suggested that there is not much difference in cancer detection using either a body phase array coil or the ERC (Tirumani *et al* 2019).

Recent heavy activity on the MR scanner could potentially make our results specific to the Philips Achieva MR scanner. A frequency drift of ~10 Hz, caused by heating effects, can be expected on a 3T Philips MR scanner when using rapid gradient switching sequences such as EPI in combination with diffusion gradients associated with high b-values(Liu *et al* 2019, Vos *et al* 2017). If the frequency is not re-adjusted, the effect is a constant offset to the B₀-field. This does not cause image distortions but in EPI leads to an image shift in the phase-encode direction. However, our B₀-field maps (acquired with FFE sequences - a less intense sequence than EPI) show temporal variations of >10 Hz suggesting that our findings would not change regardless of the drifts. Additionally, it would be interesting to perform this study on other MR scanners to test the reproducibility of our results.

Our study produced a prostate phantom to simulate the artefacts in DW-MRI based on B_0 variations in the absence of physiological motion. The phantom geometry resembled an axial slice of the prostate and created similar B_0 -field maps and resultant distortions. Although the measured T1 and T2 of the agar (T1 ~1800 ms and T2 ~60 ms) and kiwi regions (T1 ~1600-1900 ms and T2 ~200-400 ms) in the phantom (data not shown) was not very similar to the prostate (T1 ~1400-1700 ms and T2 ~80 ms) and its surrounding organs (T1 ~900-1500 ms and T2 ~27-44 ms) (Bojorquez *et al* 2017), we do not expect these values to affect the B_0 -field maps and distortions. This phantom is easy to create, similar to Bergen *et al* (Bergen *et al* 2020), and may be useful for testing implementations of new DW-MR sequences (Hutter *et al* 2017, Kakkar *et al* 2017) on clinical scanners.

Finally, we would like to offer some guidelines that may help with prostate MRI:

- Temporal change in B₀-field can be 1-19 Hz with minimal bowel motion and 30-50 Hz with large bowel motion over a duration of 1 to 3 minutes.
- Median B₀-field values at the prostate can be between -25 Hz and 6 Hz with an interquartile range of ≤ 18 Hz for minimal bowel motion and an interquartile range of ≤ 44 Hz for large bowel motion.
- An average B₀-field gradient at the posterior edge of the prostate can range from -2 to +5 Hz/mm in the presence of no/small bowel motion and from +2 to +12 Hz/mm for large bowel motion.

• In this study, EPI using a phase encoding gradient that is positive in the anterior

Characterization of B_0 -field fluctuations in prostate MRI

to posterior direction gave more images with stretch distortions than pile-up. As stretch distortions are easier to correct, and may be less intrusive than pile-up, further consideration of the phase encode gradient sign may be beneficial.

5. Conclusion

Overall, this study should inform decisions for prostate MRI applications based on CEST, MRS and, more specifically, EPI based DW-MRI - techniques that can potentially offer additional information and/or improve the quality of the mpMRI dataset for assessing the extent of PCa.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by Cancer Research UK/ EPSRC (Grant A21099) and the NIHR Biomedical Research Unit at UCLH. We acknowledge research support provided by Philips Healthcare and patient recruitment assisted by Elizabeth Issac.

References

- Ahmed H U, El-Shater Bosaily A, Brown L C, Gabe R, Kaplan R, Parmar M K, Collaco-Moraes Y, Ward K, Hindley R G, Freeman A, Kirkham A P, Oldroyd R, Parker C and Emberton M 2017 Diagnostic accuracy of multi-parametric MRI and TRUS biopsy in prostate cancer (PROMIS): a paired validating confirmatory study *The Lancet* 389(10071), 815–822.
- Alhamud A, Taylor P A, van der Kouwe A J W and Meintjes E M 2016 Real-Time Measurement and Correction of Both B0 Changes and Subject Motion in Diffusion Tensor Imaging Using a Double Volumetric Navigated (DvNav) Sequence NeuroImage 126, 60 – 71.
- Bergen R V, Ryner L and Essig M 2020 Field-map correction in read-out segmented echo planar imaging for reduced spatial distortion in prostate DWI for MRI-guided radio therapy applications *Magnetic Resonance Imaging* 67, 43 – 49.
- Bojorquez J Z, Bricq S, Acquitter C, Brunotte F, Walker P M and Lalande A 2017 What are normal relaxation times of tissues at 3T? *Magnetic Resonance Imaging* **35**, 69 80.
- Bude R O and Adler R S 1995 An easily made, low-cost, tissue-like ultrasound phantom material *Journal of Clinical Ultrasound* 23, 271 273.
- Caul S and Broggio J 2016 (Accessed 25-03-2020) Cancer registration statistics, England: 2017 Office For National Statistics. URL: www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/ healthandsocialcare/conditionsanddiseases/bulletins/cancerregistrationstatisticsengland/2017
- Dickinson L, Ahmed H U, Allen C, Barentsz J O, Carey B, Futterer J J, Heijmink S W, Hoskin P, Kirkham A P, Padhani A R, Persad R, Puech P, Punwani S, Sohaib A, Tombal B, Villers A and Emberton M 2013 Scoring systems used for the interpretation and reporting of multiparametric MRI for prostate cancer detection, localization, and characterization: could standardization lead to improved utilization of imaging within the diagnostic pathway? Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging 37(1), 48 58.
- Embleton K V, Haroon H A, Morris D M, Lambon Ralph M A and Parker G J M 2010 Distortion correction for diffusion-weighted MRI tractography and fMRI in the temporal lobes *Human Brain Mapping* **31**(10), 1570–1587.
 - Evans V S, Torrealdea F, Rega M, Appayya M B, Latifoltojar A, Sidhu H, Kim M, Kujawa A, Punwani S, Golay X and Atkinson D 2019 Optimization and repeatability of multipool chemical exchange

Characterization of B_0 -field fluctuations in prostate MRI	13
saturation transfer MRI of the prostate at 3.0 T Journal of Magnetic $50(4)$, 1238 – 1250.	Resonance Imaging
lusband J E, Padhani A R, Macvicar A D and Revell P 1998 Magnetic resonance	e imaging of prostate
cancer: Comparison of image quality using endorectal and pelvic phased $Radiology 53(9), 673-681.$	l array coils <i>Clinical</i>
Iutter J, Christiaens D, Deprez M, Cordero-Grande L, Slator P, Price A, Rutherfo 2017 Dynamic field mapping and motion correction using interleaved double MRI in M Descoteaux, L Maier-Hein, A Franz, P Jannin, D Collins an 'International Conference on Medical Image Computing and Computer-A Springer, Chem Quebes, Canada pp. 522, 521	rd M and Hajnal J V le spin-echo diffusion nd S Duchesne, eds, assisted Intervention'
Springer, Cham Quebec, Canada pp. 525 – 531. ezzard P 2012 Correction of geometric distortion in fMBI data. NeuroImage $62^{(4)}$	(2) 648 - 651
ezzard P and Balaban R S 1995 Correction for Geometric Distortion in Echo-Pla	anar Images from B0
Field Variations Magnetic Resonance in Medicine 34 (1), 65 – 73.	
ia G, Abaza R, Williams J D, Zynger D L, Zhou J, Shah Z K, Patel M, Sammet S,	Wei L, Bahnson R R
and Knopp M V 2011 Amide Proton Transfer MR Imaging of Prostate Ca	ancer: A Preliminary
Study Journal of magnetic resonance imaging : JMRI 33(3), 647 – 654.	
Takkar L S, Atkinson D, Chan R W, Siow B, Ianus A and Drobnjak I 2017	Sensitivity of OGSE
ActiveAx to microstructural dimensions on a clinical scanner in A Fuster,	A Ghosh, E Kaden,
Y Rathi and M Reisert, eds, 'Computational Diffusion MRI' Springer, C	ham Athens, Greece
pp. 85 – 97. im M. Gillen J. Landman B. A. Zhou J and van Ziil P. C. 2009 Water saturat	ion shift referencing
(WASSR) for chemical exchange saturation transfer (CEST) experiments	Maanetic Resonance
in Medicine 61(6), 1441 - 1450.	in agricollo 10000 harroo
irkham A P, Haslam P, Keanie J Y, McCafferty I, Padhani A R, Punwani S, Riche	enberg J, Rottenberg
G, Sohaib A, Thompson P, Turnbull L W, Kurban L, Sahdev A, Clement	s R, Carey B M and
Allen C 2013 Prostate MRI: Who, when, and how? Report from a Uk	K consensus meeting
$Clinical \ Radiology \ 68(10), \ 1016 - 1023.$	
i B, Du Y, Yang H, Huang Y, Meng J and Xiao D 2013 Magnetic resonance	imaging for prostate
cancer clinical application Chinese Journal of Cancer Research 25(2), 240	- 249.
Iu R, Zhang H, Niu W, Lai C, Ding Q, Chen W, Liang S, Zhou J, Wu D and Zha chemical exchange saturation transfer imaging with real-time frequency drift <i>Resonance in Medicine</i> 81(5), 2015 – 2023	t correction <i>Magnetic</i>
fetens T. Miranda D. Absil J and Matos C 2012 What is the optimal b value i	in diffusion-weighted
MR imaging to depict prostate cancer at 3T? European Radiology 22(3), 7	703 - 709.
Iueller-Lisse U G, Murer S, Mueller-Lisse U L, Kuhn M, Scheidler J a	nd Scherr M 2017
Everyman's prostate phantom: kiwi-fruit substitute for human prostates at	t magnetic resonance
imaging, diffusion-weighted imaging and magnetic resonance spectroscopy	European Radiology
27 (8), 3362 - 3371.	
ketiah G, Selnæs K M, Sandsmark E, Teruel J R, Krüger-Stokke B, Bertilsson	H, Bathen T F and
Elschot M 2018 Geometric distortion correction in prostate diffusion-we	eighted MRI and its
effect on quantitative apparent diffusion coefficient analysis Magnetic Re	sonance in Medicine
79(5), 2524 – 2532. Soothing M. C. Kurry T. H. Dodhmuch, A. Hadagabil, D. and Soblamman H. D. 201	2 Ducatata magmatia
oethke M C, Kuru I H, Radbruch A, Hadaschik B and Schleminer H P 201 recommon imaging at 3 Tecla: Is administration of hyposcino n butyl bromid	3 Prostate magnetic a mandatory? World
iournal of radiology $5(7)$ 259 – 263	e mandatory: worra
coethke M C. Kuru T H. Schultze S. Tichy D. Kopp-Schneider A. Fenchel M.	Schlemmer H P and
Hadaschik B A 2014 Evaluation of the ESUR PI-RADS scoring system for r	nultiparametric MRI
of the prostate with targeted MR/TRUS fusion-guided biopsy at 3.0 Tesla	European Radiology
24(2), 344 - 352.	- 55
tosen Y, Bloch B N, Lenkinski R E, Greenman R L, Marquis R P and Rofsky I	N M 2014 3T MR of

the prostate: Reducing susceptibility gradients by inflating the endorectal coil with a barium

Characterization of B_0 -field fluctuations in prostate MRI

sulfate suspension Magnetic Resonance in Medicine 57(5), 898 –904.

- Scheenen T W J, Heijmink S W T P J, Roell S A, Hulsbergen–Van de Kaa C A, Knipscheer B C, Witjes J A, Barentsz J O and Heerschap A 2007 Three-dimensional proton MR spectroscopy of human prostate at 3 T without endorectal coil: Feasibility Radiology 245(2), 507 – 516.
- Schuenke P, Windschuh J, Roeloffs V, Ladd M E, Bachert P and Zaiss M 2017 Simultaneous mapping of water shift and B1 (WASABI): application to field-inhomogeneity correction of CEST MRI data Magnetic Resonance in Medicine 77(2), 571 – 580.
- Vos S B, Tax C M W, Luijten P R, Ourselin S, Leemans A and Froeling M 2017 The importance of correcting for signal drift in diffusion MRI *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine* 77(1), 285 299.
- Slough R A, Caglic I, Hansen N L, Patterson A J and Barrett T 2018 Effect of hyoscine butylbromide on prostate multiparametric MRI anatomical and functional image quality *Clinical Radiology* 73(2), 216.e9 – 216.e14.
- Sun P Z, Farrar C T and Sorensen A G 2007 Correction for artifacts induced by B0 and B1 field inhomogeneities in pH-sensitive chemical exchange saturation transfer (CEST) imaging Magnetic Resonance in Medicine 58(6), 1207 – 1215.
- Tirumani S H, Suh C H, Kim K W, Shinagare A B, Ramaiya N H and Fennessy F M 2019 Head-to-head comparison of prostate MRI using an endorectal coil versus a non-endorectal coil: meta-analysis of diagnostic performance in staging T3 prostate cancer *Clinical Radiology* **75**(2), 157.e9–157.e19.
- Turkbey B, Rosenkrantz A B, Haider M A, Padhani A R, Villeirs G, Macura K J, Tempany C M, Choyke P L, Cornud F, Margolis D J, Thoeny H C, Verma S, Barentsz J and Weinreb J C 2019 Prostate imaging reporting and data system version 2.1: 2019 update of Prostate Imaging Reporting And Data System Version 2 European Urology 76(3), 340 – 351.
- Usman M, Kakkar L S, Kirkham A, Arridge S and Atkinson D 2018 Model-based reconstruction framework for correction of signal pileup and geometric distortions in prostate diffusion MRI Magnetic Resonance in Medicine 81(3), 1979–1992.
- Windschuh J, Zaiss M, Ehses P, Lee J S, Jerschow A and Regatte R R 2019 Assessment of frequency drift on CEST MRI and dynamic correction: application to gagCest at 7 T Magnetic Resonance in Medicine **81**(1), 573 582.
- Zhang X Y, Wang F, Xu J, Gochberg D F, Gore J C and Zu Z 2018 Increased CEST specificity for amide and fast-exchanging amine protons using exchange-dependent relaxation rate *NMR in Biomedicine* **31**(2), e3863.