

# Study on the functional properties of indigenous probiotics isolated from human samples in West Africa

## 1 Abstract

2 A study was performed to identify and characterize 99 indigenous strains isolated from human breast  
3 milk (n=29) and fecal samples (n=70) in Ghana, using methods ranging from plating growth tests,  
4 conforming to (NCCLS) standards and presumptive analysis at species level using MALDI-TOF MS,  
5 prior to validation through qPCR techniques. Antimicrobial activities of the isolated strains were  
6 performed by the agar well diffusion assay. Gastrointestinal tolerance and ability to grow biofilms *in*  
7 *vitro* using 3D Alvatex platform were determined. Results indicate that out of 99 samples, 25 were  
8 gram-positive, catalase-negative rods, 80% of which were *Lactobacillus* strains. More than one-third  
9 of the identified strains were *L. fermentum* followed by *L. plantarum*, *L. rhamnosus*, *L. salivarius*, *L.*  
10 *reuteri* along with *Enterococcus faecium*, *Weissella* spp. and *Pediococcus* spp. Among the 25  
11 isolates, 9 had activity against both gram-negative and gram-positive tested pathogens including  
12 *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Escherichia coli* ATCC 25922, *E. coli* BAA-2471, *Salmonella typhi*, and  
13 *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. The indigenous microbiota proved to be most effective antimicrobial to  
14 local pathogens over nonindigenous pathogens. The data also showed maintained cell viability for all  
15 studied isolate at pH 2, 3 and 6 followed by a successful growth of the co-cultured biofilm in a 3D  
16 Alvatex platform.

17 **Keywords:** Microbiome; Probiotics; Lactic Acid Bacteria (LAB); Lactobacillus; indigenous strains

18

## 19 1 Introduction

20 During the 20<sup>th</sup> century, little was known about the beneficial attributes of some commensal bacteria  
21 before the noble laureate Elie Metchnikoff introduces the concept of probiotics and their boosting  
22 effects on human health (Metchnikoff, 1908). In view of this original contribution, various studies  
23 have then been implemented by microbiome researchers including the World Health Organization  
24 (WHO) to ascertain the health benefits from an adequate amount of probiotics to the host. Among  
25 commensal microorganisms pertaining to fermented food and dairy industry (i.e., Yogurt, Kefir and  
26 cheese), lactic acid bacteria (LAB), primarily species descending from the *Lactobacillus* genera, have  
27 been extensively used for their fermentative ability and probiotic potential (FAO/WHO, 2001;  
28 Linares, Ross, & Stanton, 2016). Within LABs, some *Lactobacillus* strains constitute the most  
29 prevalent components of the gastrointestinal tract (gut) and vaginal microbiota (Metchnikoff, 1908;  
30 Westerik, Kort, Sybesma, & Reid, 2018). These strains can form biofilms in the host cells and protect  
31 them from infective bacteria such as mastitis pathogens, by producing bacteriocins, which act as  
32 antimicrobials, in addition to hydrogen peroxide and lactic acid (Ocana & Nader-Macias, 2004). The  
33 most common species of *Lactobacillus* strains used in food have been extensively documented  
34 (Salas-Jara, Ilabaca, Vega, & Garcia, 2016; Tamang, Watanabe, & Holzappel, 2016). Furthermore, *L.*  
35 *rhamnosus* GG ATCC 53103 (Valio) along with *L. rhamnosus* GR-1 as well as *L. paracasei* Shirota  
36 (Yakult) and *B. lactis* BB12 (Chr. Hansen) are the world's most documented probiotics, which also  
37 dominate the probiotic market. These species originating from America, Asia and Europe have  
38 shown high efficacy in the management of lactose intolerance, immune response modulation, and  
39 protection against *Clostridium difficile* and *Helicobacter pylori* infections. According to Linares et al.  
40 (2016) and Westerik et al. (2018), they also protect bacteria and fungi in the urogenital, gut, and  
41 respiratory tract, as well as against rotaviral and antibiotic-associated infections along with Travelers'  
42 diarrhea.

43 While controlled trials have shown that some strains are able to reduce the symptoms of irritable  
44 bowel syndrome (IBS) and inflammation in ulcerative colitis (Guslandi, 2007) and the rate of  
45 rotavirus-associated diarrhea (Dubey, Rajeshwari, Chakravarty, & Famularo, 2008), other studies  
46 suggest that these probiotics have potential to increase cure rate for bacterial vaginosis (Martinez et  
47 al., 2009) and decrease incidence of allergic diseases (Abrahamsson et al., 2007) as well as,  
48 postoperative complications of colorectal cancer (Zhang et al., 2012). They also have been shown to  
49 improve quality of life in children with cystic fibrosis (Jafari et al., 2013). Furthermore, recent  
50 research has suggested in addition to the functional properties of the human microbiota, the latter also  
51 plays a role in brain development and can be linked to autism, depression, anxiety and stress  
52 (Abildgaard, Elfving, Hokland, Wegener, & Lund, 2017; Kraus, Cetin, & Aricioglu, 2016; Nduti et  
53 al., 2016; Sawada et al., 2017; Severance et al., 2017).

54 Although these species have been extensively applied in Africa (Bisanz et al., 2014; Nduti et al.,  
55 2016), there is an unmet need to isolate indigenous strains, which have adapted to local conditions  
56 and have potential to be more specific to the local population. Various probiotics and their potential  
57 benefits have been identified and reported, however, there is limited information on isolated and  
58 documented indigenous probiotic microorganisms. While there is a research study on potential  
59 probiotic isolates from fermented food in Ghana (Owusu-Kwarteng, Tano-Debrah, Akabanda, &  
60 Jespersen, 2015), little is known about their benefits to the residents. We believe that the indigenous  
61 flora has a high potential to be valuable in the management of enteric infections, boost immunity, and  
62 generally improve the wellbeing of local population that are often exposed to poor hygiene condition,  
63 malnutrition, and chronic enteric infections. Moreover, with our understanding of how an  
64 individual's genetics, environment, and diet influence their microbiota and the current trend towards  
65 personalized medicine (Vogenberg, Isaacson Barash, & Pursel, 2010), it has become very important  
66 to identify local strains, those which predominate in the specific population. This avoids the one-size-

67 fits-all approach and generalization of the effectiveness of probiotic species, which often results in  
68 lack of efficacy (Allen et al., 2013; Ritchie & Romanuk, 2012). Locally sourced probiotics are of  
69 prime interest pertaining to their immediate availability in the local market and high antimicrobial  
70 specificity to residents' host cells.

71 The objective of this study is to identify and characterize the functional properties of locally isolated  
72 probiotics from human feces and breast milk samples in Ghana to ascertain the beneficial effects of  
73 indigenous probiotics over the non-indigenous strains. Particular focus of this study is to elucidate  
74 the prevalence of the most significant probiotics including *Lactobacillus* strains and determine their  
75 antimicrobial activities, biofilm growth ability and resistance to acidic environment. The standards  
76 and presumptive identifications were performed using MALDI- TOF MS followed by qPCR  
77 techniques. While the antimicrobial activity was tested by the agar well diffusion assay, the *in vitro*  
78 growth of biofilm and the gastrointestinal tolerance were demonstrated using 3D Alvatex platform.

## 79 **2 Materials and methods**

### 80 ***2.1 Isolation and phenotypic identification of LAB species***

81 Fecal samples were obtained from five adults, one adolescent, three children, and three infants. Milk  
82 was obtained from six breastfeeding mothers. 1 g of fecal sample was homogenized in 10 mL of  
83 phosphate buffered saline (PBS, pH 7.4) using a vortex. A loopful of the fecal suspension and breast  
84 milk sample was streaked onto separate de Man Rogosa Sharpe (MRS) broth (Oxoid) supplemented  
85 with 0.05% w/v L-cysteine hydrochloride and 0.002% w/v of bromophenol blue, and incubated  
86 anaerobically at 37°C for 48 h. Colonies with different morphological characteristics were picked  
87 from the agar plates and cultured on MRS agar supplemented with only 0.05% L-cysteine  
88 hydrochloride (MRSc). The plates were incubated at 37°C for 48 h anaerobically. Pure colonies  
89 obtained were Gram-stained and observed under the microscope. Biochemical profile such as the

90 catalase test was performed on the isolates. Out of 99 colonies isolated including 29 colonies from  
91 breast milk samples and 70 from fecal samples, 25 of the isolates were gram-positive rods and 80%  
92 of the isolated species were identified by MALDI-TOF MS or 16S rRNA gene sequencing as  
93 belonging to *Lactobacillus* genus.

94

## 95 **2.2 Identification of isolates using MALDI-TOF**

96 Isolates were identified by Matrix-Assisted Laser Desorption Ionization Time of Flight Mass  
97 Spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS) using the MALDI Biotyper<sup>®</sup>. Briefly, a single colony of freshly  
98 grown isolated bacteria was smeared as a film onto individual spots on MALDI-target plate using 1  
99  $\mu$ L disposable loop and allowed to air dry. The film was overlaid with 1  $\mu$ L of a 98% formic acid  
100 solution to allow on-plate extraction of cellular proteins. After drying, the film was further overlaid  
101 with 1  $\mu$ L of a MALDI-TOF MS matrix comprising of  $\alpha$ -cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic acid (CHCA)  
102 in 50% acetonitrile and 2.5% trifluoroacetic acid. The sample was further air-dried for 1-2 min and  
103 analysed with the Bruker Microflex LT bench top MALDI-TOF mass spectrometer (Bruker  
104 Daltonics, Billerica, MA, USA), Bruker FlexControl 3.0 software, and MALDI Biotyper 3.1 software  
105 (Bruker Daltonics, Billerica, MA, USA). The reference strain was *Escherichia coli* ATCC 8739.  
106 Mass spectra for each spotted bacterial isolate was acquired with the instrument in a linear positive  
107 mode within a 2-20 kDa range, with ion source 1.0 at 20 kV, ion source 2.0 at 18.05 kV, the lens at  
108 6.0 kV, and the linear detector at 2,560 V. Mass spectra was analyzed and compared with the  
109 MALDI Biotyper 3.1 software database, comprised of 4,970 distinct bacterial species, to determine  
110 the most likely microbial genus and species identification. A MALDI Biotyper score, generated as a  
111 level of probability by the software, of  $\geq 1.7$  was utilized as a threshold for reliable species  
112 identification, as recommended for assessment of anaerobic bacteria (Hsu & Burnham, 2014). The

113 MALDI-TOF MS has previously been used for bacterial identification and established as an  
114 alternative to bacterial identification providing rapid determination (Levesque et al., 2015;  
115 McElvania TeKippe & Burnham, 2014).

116

### 117 **2.3 Identification of isolates using Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR)**

118 The genomic DNA of the isolated bacteria was extracted using the GenElute™ Bacterial Genomic  
119 DNA Kit (Sigma) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The 16S rRNA gene was amplified  
120 using the following primers: 8F, 50-AGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG-30, and 1492R, 50-  
121 GGTTACCTTGTTACGACTT-30. PCR was performed using the MJ Mini™ Thermal Cycler (Bio-  
122 Rad). The reaction contained in 50 µL total volume, 0.5 µM of each primer (0.5 µL of each), 1 µL of  
123 genomic DNA, 25 µL GoTaq® Master mix (Promega; reaction buffer, 400 µM dATP, 400 µM dGTP,  
124 400 µM dCTP, 400 µM dTTP, 3 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>) and 23 µL RNase free water. The PCR was run under  
125 an initial activation at 94°C for 2 min, followed by 30 cycles of denaturation step cycles at 94°C for  
126 30 s, an annealing step at 55°C for 1 min, extension step at 72°C for 1 min and final cycle at 72°C for  
127 10 min. 9 µL aliquots of the PCR samples were subjected to electrophoresis in 0.8% w/v agarose gel  
128 (stained with 0.5 µg/mL ethidium bromide), in TAE buffer. The gel was visualized under UV  
129 illumination and photographed. The PCR samples were further purified and sequenced.

130

### 131 **2.4 Antimicrobial activity of isolates**

132 Antimicrobial activity of the isolated species was tested by the agar well diffusion assay against  
133 *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 29213, *Escherichia coli* ATCC 25922, *E. coli* BAA-2471 (multi-drug  
134 resistant strain), *Salmonella typhi* (local clinical isolate), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (local clinical

135 isolate) and *P. aeruginosa* ATCC 10145. Briefly, 24 h culture of the isolated species in MRSc were  
136 centrifuged at 3500 *g* at 4°C. The supernatant was collected and filter sterilized using a 0.2 µm  
137 membrane syringe filter. A lawn of each test-isolated microorganism was made by picking bacterial  
138 colonies and spreading on Mannitol Salt agar (Oxoid), MacConkey agar (Oxoid), Cetrimide agar  
139 (Oxoid), Bismuth sulphite agar (Oxoid) or Tryptic Soy agar (Sigma-Aldrich). Wells of 9 mm in  
140 diameter were made in the agar and filled with 150 µL of the filter-sterilized supernatant. The plates  
141 were kept on the bench for 2 h for diffusion of the supernatant and then incubated at 37°C. Zones of  
142 inhibition were recorded after 48 h of incubation.

143

## 144 **2.5 Gastrointestinal tolerance assay**

### 145 *Simulated gastric and intestinal juice*

146 Tolerance of selected isolated species to simulated gastric fluid and bile salt were performed by  
147 inoculating 100 µL of a culture of each species in 1 mL solution pH adjusted (HCl /NaOH) to 1, 2, 3  
148 and 6 and 0.3% w/v bile salt (Sigma-Aldrich). The cells were incubated at 37°C and sample taken at  
149 1 h, 2 h and 3 h, serially diluted in PBS (pH 7.4) and spread-plated on MRSc. Samples for bile salt  
150 test were further sampled at 4 h. Colonies were counted after incubation at 37°C for 48 h.

151

## 152 **2.6 Biofilm assay**

153 The ability of selected probiotic species to form a successful biofilm growth *in vitro* was evaluated.  
154 The Alvatex strata 3D scaffold inserts (ReproCELL Europe Ltd., Glasgow, United Kingdom) were  
155 used for simulating the structural matrix found in the gut and mimicking *in vivo* biofilm growth of  
156 the bacteria. Each species was grown separately in MRSc broth as previously described. The 3D

157 scaffold inserts were placed carefully into wells of the plate. The scaffolds were washed with 400  $\mu$ L  
158 of 200-proof ethanol, then 550  $\mu$ L of sterile PBS. 550  $\mu$ L of sterile PBS was again poured carefully  
159 into the wells making sure the 3D fibrous scaffolds lay flat without any folding. The 3D fibrous  
160 scaffolds were UV sterilized for 45 min. The PBS was removed carefully from the wells and replaced  
161 with 550  $\mu$ L of sterile MRSc, which was also carefully removed. 400  $\mu$ L bacterial culture were  
162 inoculated into the wells containing the UV sterilized 3D fibrous scaffold and incubated at 37°C for 5  
163 days with addition of MRSc as necessary. At the end of incubation the biofilms were stained with the  
164 FilmTracer™ LIVE/DEAD™ (Invitrogen™) Biofilm Viability kit (a two-colour fluorescence assay  
165 of bacterial viability: SYTO® 9 green fluorescent nucleic acid stain and red-fluorescent nucleic acid  
166 stain, propidium iodide) and imaged using EVOS® FL Cell Imaging System at 40X resolution.

## 167 **3 Results**

### 168 **3.1 Isolation and identification of isolates**

169 Several isolates were obtained from fecal (adults, adolescent, children and infants) and breast milk  
170 samples on MRSc media. The isolates were Gram-stained, analyzed by microscopic observation and  
171 catalase test. Out of 99 colonies isolated including 29 colonies from breast milk samples and 70 from  
172 fecal samples, 25 of the isolates were gram-positive rods and catalase negative. The 25 gram-positive  
173 catalase negative rods were submitted to MALDI-TOF MS and 16S rRNA gene sequencing analysis  
174 (Table 1). More than 80% of the isolated species were identified by MALDI-TOF MS or 16S rRNA  
175 gene sequencing as belonging to *Lactobacillus* genus. More than one-third of the species of were  
176 identified as *L. fermentum* by both identification methods. Other species isolated included *L.*  
177 *plantarum*, *L. rhamnosus*, *L. salivarius*, *L. reuteri*, *Enterococcus faecium*, *Weissella* spp. and  
178 *Pediococcus* spp. The Jaccard's similarity coefficient between the MALDI-TOF MS and 16S rRNA  
179 gene sequencing methods was almost 70%. Isolate 8 and 9 were identified by both methods as



180 *Weissella* and *Pediococcus* species respectively. However the *Weissella* species was identified as  
181 *Weissella confusa* with MALDI-TOF MS and *Weissella cibaria* with 16S rRNA gene sequencing  
182 whilst the *Pediococcus* species was identified as *Pediococcus pentosaceus* and *Pediococcus*  
183 *acidilactici* with both methods respectively. Another isolate identified as *L. reuteri* by MALDI-TOF  
184 MS was identified as *L. fermentum* by 16S rRNA gene sequencing whilst a *L. plantarum* was  
185 identified as *L. rhamnosus*.

186

### 187 **3.2 Antimicrobial activity of isolates**

188 The antimicrobial activities of isolated species were tested against gram-negative *P. aeruginosa*  
189 (ATCC 101145 and local clinical isolate), *E. coli* (ATCC 25922 and BAA-2471 multi-drug resistant),  
190 *Salmonella typhi* (local clinical isolate) and gram-positive *S. aureus* (ATCC 29213). Only 9 out of 25  
191 isolates had activity against both gram-negative and gram-positive tested bacteria, Table 2. Isolates  
192 FSD1-D and FSI3-L showed highest activity against both the gram-negative and gram-positive  
193 bacteria, followed by FSD4-D, FSC3-L and FSD3-WC. All tested isolate had activity against *P.*  
194 *aeruginosa* and *E. coli*. Only one isolate, FSD4-I did not show activity against *Salmonella typhi*.  
195 Also 13 isolates (almost 60%) did not show zone of inhibition towards *S. aureus*. Isolate FSI3-L had  
196 highest activity against *S. aureus* whereas FSD1-D had highest activity against *Salmonella typhi*. The  
197 relative activity of the isolates against *P. aeruginosa* was greater in the local isolate than the  
198 reference strain. The zone of inhibition against the multi-drug resistant *E. coli* strain was  
199 correspondingly smaller than in the reference strain.

200

### 201 **3.3 Gastrointestinal tolerance assay**

202 The viable counts of selected isolates to pH 1, 2, 3, 6 and 0.3% bile salt concentration are shown in  
203 Tables 3 and 4. The data shows maintained cell viability for all studied isolate at pH 2, 3 and 6.  
204 However, all isolates lost total viability during the acid test at pH 1. The exposure of the isolates to  
205 0.3% bile salt concentration showed general maintenance of viability over the 4 h exposure period,  
206 Table 4.

207

### 208 **3.4 Biofilm assay**

209 The ability of selected isolates to form biofilm was evaluated using the Alvatex 3D scaffold insert  
210 (Fig. 1). The confocal imaging (Fig. 1b) of the 3D scaffold at 60x magnification shows randomly  
211 aligned fibers associating to form a fibrous mesh. The 3D scaffold was explored to simulate the  
212 structural matrix found in the gut and mimic the *in vivo* biofilm growth of bacteria. All tested species  
213 formed biofilm on the 3D scaffold (Fig. 2). The biofilm formed for all species comprised of both live  
214 and dead cells. All scaffolds maintained integrity during the period of assay except for scaffold used  
215 for culturing isolate FSD1-D.

216

## 217 **4 Discussion**

218 In the present investigation, we identified twenty-four potential indigenous probiotic isolates as *L.*  
219 *fermentum* (14), *L. plantarum* (2), *L. rhamnosus* (1), *L. salivarius* (1), *L. reuteri* (1), *Enterococcus*  
220 *faecium* (2), *Weissella* spp. (2) and *Pediococcus* spp. (1) from breast milk and fecal samples using the  
221 MALDI-TOF MS and 16S rRNA gene sequencing analysis. The predominant species in both the  
222 breast milk and fecal samples was *L. fermentum*. Other studies have reported a similar profile for  
223 fecal samples (Mandal, Jariwala, & Bagchi, 2016), more diverse profile for breast milk samples

224 (Damaceno et al., 2017; Khalkhali & Mojgani, 2017) or only one type of bacteria from breast milk  
225 (Martin et al., 2003). *Weissella confusa* and *Weissella cibaria* very closely related species with very  
226 high 16S rDNA sequence similarity could not be differentiated by one of the two methods in the  
227 present study and previously misidentified (Bjorkroth et al., 2002). *Pediococcus pentosaceus* and  
228 *Pediococcus acidilactici* are also highly phylogenetically related; 16S rRNA sequence homology of  
229 98.3% (Collins, Williams, & Wallbanks, 1990; Mora, Fortina, Parini, & Manachini, 1997) could not  
230 be differentiated by one of the methods. Still, isolate FSII-D was identified as *L. reuteri* by MALDI-  
231 TOF MS and as *L. fermentum* by 16S rRNA gene sequencing analysis (97.75% identity score). It  
232 must be noted that *L. fermentum* and *L. reuteri* were previously classified as a single species, because  
233 *L. fermentum* is closely related phenotypically but have subsequently been separated (Klein, Pack,  
234 Bonaparte, & Reuter, 1998). It is interesting that in the present study, one of the two methods could  
235 not differentiate the species. The data also showed a Jaccard's similarity coefficient of 84.62% for the  
236 identification of *L. fermentum* and an overall similarity coefficient of approximately 70% for the  
237 identification of LAB. 16S rRNA gene sequencing analysis is often considered the gold standard for  
238 identification of bacteria and it is commonly combined with phenotypic methods/biochemical  
239 fermentation strips for identification of LAB, with the latter methods, streamlining the number of  
240 species to be identified; however, for routine use in laboratories, 16S rRNA gene sequencing analysis  
241 is limited by its time consuming nature and cost. MALDI-TOF MS offers the advantage of a rapid  
242 turn-around time and minimal cost and has been previously demonstrated to be highly congruent  
243 (86.1%) to 16S rRNA gene sequencing analysis (Garcia et al., 2016). The present data suggests a  
244 good congruency between the two methods at the genus level. However it also brings to light the fact  
245 that either single identification method may not be sufficient to identify accurately at the species  
246 level. 16S rRNA sequencing has been previously suggested to provide more than 90% genus  
247 identification and 65%-83% in species identification (Janda & Abbott, 2007; Mignard & Flandrois,  
248 2006).

249 It is important that potential probiotic candidates produce extracellular antimicrobial compounds to  
250 kill pathogenic bacteria and mitigate infectious diseases. The extracellular antimicrobial compounds  
251 may include organic acids, hydrogen peroxide, bacteriocins, low-molecular mass peptides and  
252 enzymes. When evaluated for the antimicrobial activity against both gram-negative and gram-  
253 positive bacteria, with the exception of FSD4-I, which did not show activity against *Salmonella*  
254 *typhi*, all the isolates demonstrated inhibitory activity against the tested gram-negative bacteria. The  
255 several isolated species of *L. fermentum* exhibited different inhibitory profile against the tested  
256 bacteria suggesting strain specificity of activity also observed by Arena et al. (2016) when studying  
257 the antimicrobial activity of several isolated *L. plantarum* strains against *Listeria monocytogenes*,  
258 *Salmonella Enteritidis*, *E. coli* O157:H7 and *S. aureus*. McCoy and Gilliland (2007) also  
259 demonstrated variations of some isolated *L. reuteri* species in inhibiting growth of *Salmonella*  
260 *Typhimurium* noting the ability of some *L. reuteri* species to produce reuterin whilst others did not. In  
261 the present study, it was noted that the isolates were generally more effective against the local clinical  
262 isolate of *P. aeruginosa* than *P. aeruginosa* ATCC 10145. This observation supports that indigenous  
263 isolates may be more effective in the treatment of native infections and also more specific to the  
264 microbiota of the local population. However the reason for this is not currently known but may be  
265 explained to be possibly the previous evolvment and association of the potential probiotic isolates  
266 (from the microbiota) with the local *P. aeruginosa*. The species were also more effective against the  
267 gram-negative species than the gram-positive *S. aureus*. However, this greater effectiveness towards  
268 gram-negative bacteria was not reported by Mandal et al. (2016) when they assessed isolated LAB  
269 against *E. coli*, *P. aeruginosa*, *Salmonella Typhi*, *Shigella dysenteriae*, *Proteus vulagaris* and *S.*  
270 *aureus* in a similar study. Tharmaraj and Shah (2009) reported a higher inhibition of gram-positive  
271 bacteria including *S. aureus* than gram-negative bacteria when evaluating the antimicrobial effects of  
272 probiotics against selected pathogenic and spoilage bacteria in cheese-based dips (Tharmaraj & Shah,  
273 2009). On the other hand, Tirloni et al. (2014) reported a higher susceptibility of gram-negative

274 bacteria to antimicrobial activities of *L. animalis* and *L. paracasei* although *P. aeruginosa* was one of  
275 the least susceptible species (Tirloni et al., 2014) .

276 For probiotic species to exert their activity, they must first colonize the gastrointestinal tract. The  
277 ability of selected isolates to survive gastrointestinal tolerance was tested in pH 1 to 6 and 0.3% w/v  
278 bile salt concentration for 3 h and more. None of the tested isolates survived at pH 1 for the period  
279 tested and for 30 min after inoculation (results not shown). All tested isolates were resistant to pH 2  
280 and above and the bile salt. The human stomach has pH ranging from 1 to 2.5 in the fasted states but  
281 can peak to 6.7 in the fed state (Dressman et al., 1990; Evans et al., 1988). Although most of the  
282 harsh conditions of the gastric environment is contributed by the pH, other enzymatic and digestive  
283 substance contained in the gastric fluid as well as the presence of food and the delivery matrix for the  
284 probiotic bacteria could affect the survival of the cells during gastrointestinal transit (Fredua-  
285 Agyeman & Gaisford, 2015). The results indicate that the tested isolates may survive passage  
286 through the gastrointestinal at those pH and bile salt concentration; however, a more biorelevant test  
287 may be required. The resistance of the isolates was better than previously reported (Garcia et al.,  
288 2016; Jacobsen et al., 1999).

289 The establishment of the biofilm forming potential of the isolates is very important as the bacterial  
290 association within the gut predominantly exist as biofilms. Biofilm provide colonization resistance;  
291 they prolong the residence of ingested bacteria, suppress pathogenic microorganisms and interact  
292 with the host cells to regulate immunity (de Vos, 2015; Sassone-Corsi & Raffatellu, 2015). The  
293 examined species in the present study all showed biofilm forming potential on 3D nanofibrous  
294 scaffold. It was however observed that some of the species were self-inhibitory, having a greater  
295 proportion of dead than live cells at the end of incubation period. Although quantification of  
296 metabolic products such as organic acids, hydrogen peroxide was not made in the present study, the  
297 pH measurements of supernatants produced by the species suggest organic acids may have played a

298 role. The lowest pH (pH of 3.8) was recorded for FSD1-D, which digested the 3D scaffold (Fig. 3)  
299 followed by FSC3-LBC (pH 4.2). The digestion of the scaffold however could not be replicated in  
300 HCl at similar pH.

301 Ultimately, the indigenous microbiota proved to be the most effective antimicrobial for residents over  
302 the nonindigenous probiotics, in addition to their potential to regulate host serotonin biosynthesis in  
303 the gut as was previously documented by Yano et al. (2015). Our research study herein, demonstrated  
304 the capability of some indigenous strains of *Lactobacillus* to effectively inhibit pathogens in the gut  
305 and withstand severe *in vivo* conditions, suggesting their combination with commercialized  
306 probiotics in order to optimize the indigenous efficiency.

307 They also have exceptional ability to withstand extreme pH conditions. Although our preliminary  
308 data on the antibiotic resistance profiling of some strains (results not shown) revealed the presence of  
309 some probiotics carrying resistance, future research studies and directions will be focused towards  
310 further investigation on the antibiotic resistance profiling to ensure the selection of strains that are  
311 free from resistance, which will warrant the benefits and safe-use of this natural therapy. Perhaps, the  
312 biofilm will also be enhanced towards the fourth-generation encapsulation to ensure greater *in vivo*  
313 bioavailability and potency towards pathogens in the gut.

## 314 **5 Acknowledgments**

315 We would like to thank the University of South Florida Provost, Dr. Ralph Wilcox, for his  
316 encouragement and support in offering additional expenses for laboratory consumables to facilitate  
317 sustained research collaboration. Furthermore, we would like to extend thanks to the Research,  
318 Innovation and Global Affairs department of USF.

## 319 **6 Funding**

320 This work was supported by the BANGA-Africa Program funded by the Carnegie Corporation of  
321 New York. Mansa Fredua-Agyeman is a recipient of the BANGA-Africa Seed Grant and Post-  
322 Doctorial Fellowship award.

323 **7 Conflict of Interest**

324 The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial  
325 relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

326

327 **8 References**

- 328 Abildgaard, A., Elfving, B., Hokland, M., Wegener, G., & Lund, S. (2017). Probiotic treatment  
329 reduces depressive-like behaviour in rats independently of diet. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 79, 40-  
330 48.
- 331 Abrahamsson, T. R., Jakobsson, T., Bottcher, M. F., Fredrikson, M., Jenmalm, M. C., Bjorksten, B.,  
332 et al. (2007). Probiotics in prevention of IgE-associated eczema: a double-blind, randomized,  
333 placebo-controlled trial. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 119, 1174-1180.
- 334 Allen, S. J., Wareham, K., Wang, D., Bradley, C., Hutchings, H., Harris, W., et al. (2013).  
335 Lactobacilli and bifidobacteria in the prevention of antibiotic-associated diarrhoea and *Clostridium*  
336 *difficile* diarrhoea in older inpatients (PLACIDE): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled,  
337 multicentre trial. *Lancet*, 382(9900), 1249-1257.
- 338 Arena, M. P., Silvain, A., Normanno, G., Grieco, F., Drider, D., Spano, G., et al. (2016). Use of  
339 *Lactobacillus plantarum* Strains as a Bio-Control Strategy against Food-Borne Pathogenic  
340 Microorganisms. *Front Microbiol*, 7, 464.
- 341 Bisanz, J. E., Enos, M. K., Mwanga, J. R., Chagalucha, J., Burton, J. P., Gloor, G. B., et al. (2014).  
342 Randomized open-label pilot study of the influence of probiotics and the gut microbiome on toxic  
343 metal levels in Tanzanian pregnant women and school children. *MBio*, 5(5), e01580-01514.
- 344 Bjorkroth, K. J., Schillinger, U., Geisen, R., Weiss, N., Hoste, B., Holzapfel, W. H., et al. (2002).  
345 Taxonomic study of *Weissella confusa* and description of *Weissella cibaria* sp. nov., detected in food  
346 and clinical samples. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol*, 52(Pt 1), 141-148.
- 347 Collins, M. D., Williams, A. M., & Wallbanks, S. (1990). The phylogeny of *Aerococcus* and  
348 *Pediococcus* as determined by 16S rRNA sequence analysis: description of *Tetragenococcus* gen.  
349 nov. . *FEMS Microbiol Lett*, 70(3), 255-262.



350 Damaceno, Q. S., Souza, J. P., Nicoli, J. R., Paula, R. L., Assis, G. B., Figueiredo, H. C., et al.  
351 (2017). Evaluation of Potential Probiotics Isolated from Human Milk and Colostrum. *Probiotics*  
352 *Antimicrob Proteins*, 9(4), 371-379.

353 de Vos, W. M. (2015). Microbial biofilms and the human intestinal microbiome. *NPJ Biofilms*  
354 *Microbiomes*, 1, 15005.

355 Dressman, J. B., Berardi, R. R., Dermentzoglou, L. C., Russell, T. L., Schmaltz, S. P., Barnett, J. L.,  
356 et al. (1990). Upper gastrointestinal (GI) pH in young, healthy men and women. *Pharm Res*, 7(7),  
357 756-761.

358 Dubey, A. P., Rajeshwari, K., Chakravarty, A., & Famularo, G. (2008). Use of VSL[sharp]3 in the  
359 treatment of rotavirus diarrhea in children: preliminary results. *J Clin Gastroenterol*, 42 Suppl 3 Pt 1,  
360 S126-129.

361 Evans, D. F., Pye, G., Bramley, R., Clark, A. G., Dyson, T. J., & Hardcastle, J. D. (1988).  
362 Measurement of gastrointestinal pH profiles in normal ambulant human subjects. *Gut*, 29(8), 1035-  
363 1041.

364 FAO/WHO. (2001). *Report of the Joint FAO/WHO expert consultation on evaluation of health and*  
365 *nutritional properties of probiotics in food including powder milk with live lactic acid bacteria*.  
366 Cordoba, Argentina.

367 Fredua-Agyeman, M., & Gaisford, S. (2015). Comparative survival of commercial probiotic  
368 formulations: tests in biorelevant gastric fluids and real-time measurements using microcalorimetry.  
369 *Benef Microbes*, 6(1), 141-151.

370 Garcia, E. F., Luciano, W. A., Xavier, D. E., da Costa, W. C., de Sousa Oliveira, K., Franco, O. L., et  
371 al. (2016). Identification of Lactic Acid Bacteria in Fruit Pulp Processing Byproducts and Potential  
372 Probiotic Properties of Selected Lactobacillus Strains. *Front Microbiol*, 7, 1371.

373 Guslandi, M. (2007). Probiotic agents in the treatment of irritable bowel syndrome. *J Int Med Res*,  
374 35(5), 583-589.

375 Hsu, Y. M., & Burnham, C. A. (2014). MALDI-TOF MS identification of anaerobic bacteria:  
376 assessment of pre-analytical variables and specimen preparation techniques. *Diagn Microbiol Infect*  
377 *Dis*, 79(2), 144-148.

378 Jacobsen, C. N., Rosenfeldt Nielsen, V., Hayford, A. E., Moller, P. L., Michaelsen, K. F.,  
379 Paerregaard, A., et al. (1999). Screening of probiotic activities of forty-seven strains of *Lactobacillus*  
380 spp. by in vitro techniques and evaluation of the colonization ability of five selected strains in  
381 humans. *Appl Environ Microbiol*, 65(11), 4949-4956.

382 Jafari, S. A., Mehdizadeh-Hakkak, A., Kianifar, H. R., Hebrani, P., Ahanchian, H., & Abbasnejad, E.  
383 (2013). Effects of probiotics on quality of life in children with cystic fibrosis; a randomized  
384 controlled trial. *Iran J Pediatr*, 23(6), 669-674.

385 Janda, J. M., & Abbott, S. L. (2007). 16S rRNA gene sequencing for bacterial identification in the  
386 diagnostic laboratory: pluses, perils, and pitfalls. *J Clin Microbiol*, 45(9), 2761-2764.

387 Khalkhali, S., & Mojgani, N. (2017). Characterization of Candidate probionts isolated from human  
388 breast milk. *Cell Mol Biol (Noisy-le-grand)*, 63(5), 82-88.

389 Klein, G., Pack, A., Bonaparte, C., & Reuter, G. (1998). Taxonomy and physiology of probiotic  
390 lactic acid bacteria. *Int J Food Microbiol*, 41(2), 103-125.

391 Kraus, M., Cetin, M., & Aricioglu, F. (2016). The Microbiota and Gut-Brain Axis. *JMOOD*, 6(3),  
392 172-179.

393 Levesque, S., Dufresne, P. J., Soualhine, H., Domingo, M. C., Bekal, S., Lefebvre, B., et al. (2015).  
394 A Side by Side Comparison of Bruker Biotyper and VITEK MS: Utility of MALDI-TOF MS  
395 Technology for Microorganism Identification in a Public Health Reference Laboratory. *PLoS One*,  
396 10(12), e0144878.

397 Linares, D. M., Ross, P., & Stanton, C. (2016). Beneficial Microbes: The pharmacy in the gut.  
398 *Bioengineered*, 7(1), 11-20.

399 Mandal, H., Jariwala, R., & Bagchi, T. (2016). Isolation and characterization of lactobacilli from  
400 human faeces and indigenous fermented foods for their potential application as probiotics. *Can J*  
401 *Microbiol*, 62(4), 349-359.

402 Martin, R., Langa, S., Reviriego, C., Jiminez, E., Marin, M. L., Xaus, J., et al. (2003). Human milk is  
403 a source of lactic acid bacteria for the infant gut. *J Pediatr*, 143(6), 754-758.

404 Martinez, R. C., Franceschini, S. A., Patta, M. C., Quintana, S. M., Gomes, B. C., De Martinis, E. C.,  
405 et al. (2009). Improved cure of bacterial vaginosis with single dose of tinidazole (2 g), *Lactobacillus*  
406 *rhamnosus* GR-1, and *Lactobacillus reuteri* RC-14: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled  
407 trial. *Can J Microbiol*, 55(2), 133-138.

408 McCoy, S., & Gilliland, S. E. (2007). Isolation and characterization of *Lactobacillus* species having  
409 potential for use as probiotic cultures for dogs. *J Food Sci*, 72(3), M94-97.

410 McElvania TeKippe, E., & Burnham, C. A. (2014). Evaluation of the Bruker Biotyper and VITEK  
411 MS MALDI-TOF MS systems for the identification of unusual and/or difficult-to-identify  
412 microorganisms isolated from clinical specimens. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis*, 33(12), 2163-  
413 2171.

414 Metchnikoff, E. (1908). The microbes of intestinal putrefaction. *Comptes Rendus Hebdomadaires*  
415 *Des Seances De L Academie Des Sciences*, 147, 579-582.

416 Mignard, S., & Flandrois, J. P. (2006). 16S rRNA sequencing in routine bacterial identification: a 30-  
417 month experiment. *J Microbiol Methods*, 67(3), 574-581.

418 Mora, D., Fortina, M. G., Parini, C., & Manachini, P. L. (1997). Identification of *Pediococcus*  
419 *acidilactici* and *Pediococcus pentosaceus* based on 16S rRNA and *ldhD* gene-targeted multiplex PCR  
420 analysis. *FEMS Microbiol Lett*, 151(2), 231-236.

421 Nduti, N., McMillan, A., Seney, S., Sumarah, M., Njeru, P., Nwaniki, M., et al. (2016). Investigating  
422 probiotic yoghurt to reduce an aflatoxin B1 biomarker among school children in eastern Kenya:  
423 Preliminary study. *International Dairy Journal*, 63, 124-129.

424 Ocana, V. S., & Nader-Macias, M. E. (2004). Production of antimicrobial substances by lactic acid  
425 bacteria II: screening bacteriocin-producing strains with probiotic purposes and characterization of a  
426 *Lactobacillus* bacteriocin. *Methods Mol Biol*, 268, 347-353.

427 Owusu-Kwarteng, J., Tano-Debrah, K., Akabanda, F., & Jespersen, L. (2015). Technological  
428 properties and probiotic potential of *Lactobacillus fermentum* strains isolated from West African  
429 fermented millet dough. *BMC Microbiol*, 15, 261.

430 Ritchie, M. L., & Romanuk, T. N. (2012). A meta-analysis of probiotic efficacy for gastrointestinal  
431 diseases. *PLoS One*, 7(4), e34938.

432 Salas-Jara, M. J., Ilabaca, A., Vega, M., & Garcia, A. (2016). Biofilm Forming *Lactobacillus*: New  
433 Challenges for the Development of Probiotics. *Microorganisms*, 4(3).

434 Sassone-Corsi, M., & Raffatellu, M. (2015). No vacancy: how beneficial microbes cooperate with  
435 immunity to provide colonization resistance to pathogens. *J Immunol*, 194(9), 4081-4087.

436 Sawada, D., Kawai, T., Nishida, K., Kuwano, Y., Fujiwara, S., & Rokutan, K. (2017). Daily intake of  
437 *Lactobacillus gasseri* CP2305 improves mental, physical, and sleep quality among Japanese medical  
438 students enrolled in a cadaver dissection course. *J Funct Foods* 31, 188-197.

439 Severance, E. G., Gressitt, K. L., Stallings, C. R., Katsafanas, E., Schweinfurth, L. A., Savage, C. L.  
440 G., et al. (2017). Probiotic normalization of *Candida albicans* in schizophrenia: A randomized,  
441 placebo-controlled, longitudinal pilot study. *Brain Behav Immun*, 62, 41-45.

442 Tamang, J. P., Watanabe, K., & Holzapfel, W. H. (2016). Review: Diversity of Microorganisms in  
443 Global Fermented Foods and Beverages. *Front Microbiol*, 7, 377.

444 Tharmaraj, N., & Shah, N. P. (2009). Antimicrobial effects of probiotics against selected pathogenic  
445 and spoilage bacteria in cheese-based dips. *International Food Research Journal*, 16, 261-276.

446 Tirloni, E., Cattaneo, P., Ripamonti, B., Agazzi, A., Bersani, C., & Stella, S. (2014). In vitro  
447 evaluation of *Lactobacillus animalis* SB310, *Lactobacillus paracasei* subsp *paracasei* SB137 and their  
448 mixtures as potential bioprotective agents for raw meat. *Food Control*, 41, 63-68.

449 Vogenberg, F. R., Isaacson Barash, C., & Pursel, M. (2010). Personalized medicine: part 1: evolution  
450 and development into theranostics. *P T*, 35(10), 560-576.

451 Westerik, N., Kort, R., Sybesma, W., & Reid, G. (2018). Lactobacillus rhamnosus Probiotic Food as  
452 a Tool for Empowerment Across the Value Chain in Africa. *Front Microbiol*, 9, 1501.

453 Yano, J. M., Yu, K., Donaldson, G. P., Shastri, G. G., Ann, P., Ma, L., et al. (2015). Indigenous  
454 bacteria from the gut microbiota regulate host serotonin biosynthesis. *Cell*, 161(2), 264-276.

455 Zhang, J. W., Du, P., Gao, J., Yang, B. R., Fang, W. J., & Ying, C. M. (2012). Preoperative  
456 probiotics decrease postoperative infectious complications of colorectal cancer. *Am J Med Sci*,  
457 343(3), 199-205.

458  
459

460 *List of figures*

- 461 Figure 1. Photographic (a) and 60x magnification confocal imaging (b) of a 3D nanofibrous scaffold
- 462 Figure 2. EVOS<sup>®</sup> FL Imaging of biofilm growth of FSI1-D (A), FSI3-D (B), FSD1-D (C) and FSC3-  
463 LBC (D) at 40x /10x magnification
- 464 Figure 3. EVOS<sup>®</sup> FL Imaging of disintegrated 3D scaffold at 40x (C1) and 4x (C2) magnification  
465 after growth of FSD1-D  
466

467	<i>List of Tables</i>
468	Table 1. Identification of isolates
469	Table 2. Antimicrobial activity of isolates
470	Table 3. Gastric tolerance of selected isolates
471	Table 4. 0.3% Bile salt test