The factual information set forth on the Tracking Charts was submitted to the FLA by each Independent External Monitor and Participating Company and reviewed by FLA staff. It is being made available to the public pursuant to the FLA Charter in order to strengthen the monitoring process. The FLA Charter provides for regular public disclosure of the factual results of independent monitoring and the resulting specific actions taken by Participating Companies.

What is a Tracking Chart?

Compliance is a process, not an event. A Tracking Chart outlines the process involved in FLA independent external monitoring and remediation. It is used by the accredited independent external monitor, the participating company and the FLA staff to do the following:

- Record Findings: The independent external monitor uses the Tracking Chart to report noncompliance with FLA Code standards. The monitor should also cite the specific Code benchmark or national/local law that was used to measure compliance.
- Report on Remediation: The FLA participating company uses the Tracking Chart to report on the remediation program that was implemented in order to resolve the noncompliance and prevent any future violations.
- Evaluate Progress: The FLA uses the Tracking Chart for purposes of collecting and analyzing information on the compliance situation of a particular factory and for publication on our website. This information is updated on an ongoing basis.

What a Tracking Chart is NOT -

An exhaustive assessment of factory conditions

Working conditions - in any type of workplace - are <u>dynamic</u>. Each Tracking Chart represents a survey of the factory's conditions on a specific day. Over time, a fuller picture emerges as we compile information from various sources to track the compliance progress of a factory.

A pass or fail evaluation

The Tracking Charts do not certify whether or not factories are in compliance with the FLA Code. Monitoring is a <u>measurement tool</u>. The discovery of noncompliance issues is therefore not an indication that the participating company should withdraw from a factory. Instead, the results of monitoring visits are used to prioritize capacity building activities that will lead to sustainable improvements in the factory's working conditions.

• A one-time event

Each monitoring visit is followed by a remediation program, further monitoring and remediation in an ongoing process. The Tracking Charts are updated accordingly.

Note on Language

Please be advised that because FLA independent external monitors are locally-based and English is generally not their native language, the language presented may at times appear unclear to a reader who is a native English speaker. In order to preserve the integrity of the transparency process and the information we receive, our policy is to publish the original text from the monitor and participating company. However, the reader will note that we have taken the precaution to remove any identifying information about the factory that was monitored or the workers interviewed.

For example, in cases where monitors and/or participating companies have cited the actual number of workers in reference to a noncompliance issue, in order to protect the workers' identities, we have replaced the numbers with generic wording in brackets (i.e. "[some]", "[worker interviews revealed that]", etc.).

We do not disclose the name of the factory that was monitored in order to ensure that the FLA's efforts to encourage and reward transparency do not have detrimental consequences for the factory and the workers.

Instructions for Printing

The information contained in the Tracking Charts is organized by columns and rows in a table format. Due to the number and width of the columns, the charts have been formatted for legal size (8.5 x 14in.) paper. To print the charts, please make sure to select "legal" size paper from Print properties.

| Country | China |
|----------------------|--|
| | |
| Factory Name | 25001529D |
| IEM | ALGI |
| Date(s) in Facility | 9 & 10 November, 2005 |
| PC(s) | Drew Pearson Inc. |
| Number of Workers | 710 |
| Product(s) | Headwear |
| Production Processes | Cutting, Sewing, Ironing, Knitting, Embroidery, Keyhole, QC and Packing. |

| | | | IEM Findings | | | | | | Remediation | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|------------------------|--|------------------------------------|---|--|--|------------------------------|---|--|-----------------------------------|
| FLA Code/ Compliance Issue | Country Law/Legal Reference | FLA Benchmark | Non-Compliance | Risk of Non-Compliance | Evidence of Non- compliance (uncorroborated) | If not corroborated explain why | Sources/Documentatio n used for corroborating | Notable Features implemented by Factory Management or Company | PC Remediation plan | Target Completion Date | Factory Response (Optional) | Company Documentation follow up (Cite date of follow up) | Completer Pending; On-going |
| 1. Code Awareness | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Worker/Management Awareness of Code | f | as contractors and suppliers inform their employees | Drew Pearson did not provide COC to factory for posting or communicate code obligations to management or workers. | | | | Management interview and visual inspection | | DPM provided Code of Conduct poster to factory 3/31/06 to post. | 4/1/2006 | Acknowledge receipt and will post info. | E-mail to factory on file. | Completed |
| Confidential Non-Compliance Reporting Channel | | FLA Principle of Monitoring, Obligation of Companies: Develop a secure communications channel, in a manner appropriate to the culture and situation, to enable Company employees and employees of contractors and suppliers to report to the Company on noncompliance with the workplace standards, with security that they shall not be punished or prejudiced for doing so. | No confidential noncompliance reporting mechanism has been put in place by Drew Pearson. | | | | Management interview and visual inspection | | DPM provided confidential reporting channel information to factory 3/31/06 to post. | 4/1/2006 | Acknowledge receipt and will post info. | E-mail to factory on file. | Completed |
| 2. Forced Labor | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | labor, whether in the form of prison labor, inde | entured labor, bonded labor or otherwise. | | | | | | | | | | | - |
| 3. Child Labor | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | age younger than 15 (or 14 where the law of th on in the country of manufacture where such a | ne country of manufacture allows) or younger than the age age is higher than 15. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. Harassment or Abuse | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Every employee will be treated with harassment of abuse. | n respect and dignity. No employee will be sul | bject to any physical, sexual, psychological or verbal | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5. Nondiscrimination | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| No person will be subject to any dis retirement, on the basis of gender, | scrimination in employment, including hiring, s race, religion, age, disability, sexual orientatio | alary, benefits, advancement, discipline, termination or n, nationality, political opinion, or social or ethnic origin. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6. Health and Safety | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Employers will provide a safe and h occurring in the course of work or a | nealthy working environment to prevent accide as a result of the operation of employer facilities | ents and injury to health arising out of, linked with, or es. | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | IEM Findings | | | | | | Remediation [Status] | | | | | |
|--|---|--|----------------|--|--|-------------------------------------|---|--|----------------------|--------|-----------------------------------|--|---|--|
| FLA Code/ Compliance Issue | Country Law/Legal Reference | FLA Benchmark | Non-Compliance | Risk of Non-Compliance | Evidence of Non- compliance (uncorroborated) | If not corroborated, explain why | Sources/Documentatio n used for corroborating | Notable Features implemented by Factory Management or Company | PC Remediation plan | Target | Factory Response (Optional) | Company Documentation follow up (Cite date of follow up) | | |
| 7 Excedem of Acception and | Collective Remaining | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7. Freedom of Association and | ect the right of employees to freedom of as | assistion and collective borgaining | | | | | | | | | | | 4 | |
| Other | ect the right of employees to freedom of as | FLA Comment: The Chinese constitution guarantees | | | | | | | | | | | + | |
| Uther | | Freedom of Association, however, the Trade Union Act prevents the establishment of trade unions independent of the sole official trade union – the All China Federation of Trade Unions (ACFTU). According to the ILO, many provisions of the Trade Union Act are contrary to the fundamental principles of freedom of association, including the non-recognition of the right to strike. As a consequence, all factories in China fall short of the ILO standards on the right to organize and bargain collectively. Recently, however, the government has introduced new regulations that could improve the functioning of the labor relations mechanisms. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Other | | The Amended Trade Union Act of October 2001 does stipulate that union committees have to be democratically elected at members' assemblies and trade unions must be accountable to their members. The trade union has the responsibility to consult with management on key issues of importance to their members and to sign collective agreements. It also grants the trade union an enhanced role in dispute resolution. In December 2003, the Collective Contracts Decree introduced the obligation for representative trade unions and employers to negotiate collective agreements, in contrast to the previous system of non- negotiated administrative agreements. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8. Wages and Benefits | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| minimum wage required by local la | | eeds. Employers will pay employees, as a base, at least the er is higher, and will provide legally mandated benefits. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9. Hours of Work | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Except in extraordinary business circumstances, employees will (i) not be required to work more than the lesser of (a) 48 hours per week and 12 hours overtime or (b) the limits on regular and overtime hours allowed by the law of the country of manufacture or, where the laws of such country will not limit the hours of work, the regular work week in such country plus 12 hours overtime; and (ii) be entitled to at leas one day off in every seven day period. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | compensated for overtime hours at such premium rate as is thaws will not exist, at a rate at least equal to their regular | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Miscellaneous | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Subcontracting | | | | There are no items of commitment on FLA Code of Conduct in subcontracting contract with cafeleria service. It was observed by audit team that in the contracts with subcontractors, there are no items stating one rest day, maximum hours of work, minimum wage and OT payments, minimum age and health and safety requirements. | | | Contract review and Management interview | | | | | | | |