



Night-to-night variation of pulse oximetry in children with sleep-disordered breathing

Burke, R. M., Maxwell, B., Hunter, C., Graham, D., O'Donoghue, D., & Shields, M. D. (2016). Night-to-night variation of pulse oximetry in children with sleep-disordered breathing. *Archives of Disease in Childhood*. DOI: 10.1136/archdischild-2015-308981

Published in:

Archives of Disease in Childhood

Document Version:

Early version, also known as pre-print

Queen's University Belfast - Research Portal:

[Link to publication record in Queen's University Belfast Research Portal](#)

Publisher rights

© 2016, The Author

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Queen's University Belfast Research Portal is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The Research Portal is Queen's institutional repository that provides access to Queen's research output. Every effort has been made to ensure that content in the Research Portal does not infringe any person's rights, or applicable UK laws. If you discover content in the Research Portal that you believe breaches copyright or violates any law, please contact openaccess@qub.ac.uk.

Night to night variation of pulse oximetry in children with sleep disordered breathing

Short title: Night to night variation in paediatric oximetry

Rachael M Burke BM ^{1,2}, Barbara Maxwell RGN ², Carolyn Hunter MB ², David Graham MB ²,
Dara O'Donoghue MD ², Michael D Shields MD ^{1,2}.

1 Centre for Infection and Immunity, Queen's University Belfast, Belfast, UK

2 Royal Belfast Hospital for Sick Children, Belfast Health & Social Care Trust, Belfast, UK

Address correspondence to: Prof Michael D Shields

m.shields@qub.ac.uk

Centre for Infection and Immunology, Health Sciences Building, 97 Lisburn Road, Belfast,
United Kingdom, BT9 7AE

All authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest

There was no external funding for this project.

Abstract

Background: Sleep disordered breathing is a common and serious feature of many paediatric conditions, and is particularly a problem in children with Down Syndrome. Overnight pulse oximetry is recommended as an initial screening test, but it is unclear how overnight oximetry results should be interpreted and how many nights should be recorded.

Methods: This retrospective observational study evaluated night to night variation using statistical measures of inter-rater reliability for 214 children referred to a paediatric respiratory clinic, who required overnight oximetry measurements. This included 30 children with Down Syndrome. We measured length of adequate trace, basal SpO₂, number of desaturations (>4% SpO₂ drop for >10 seconds) per hour ("adjusted index"), and time with SpO₂ <90%. We classified oximetry traces into normal or abnormal based on physiology.

Results: 132 out of 214 (62%) children had 3 technically adequate nights' oximetry, including 13 out of 30 (43%) children with Down Syndrome. Intra-class correlation co-efficient for adjusted index was 0.54 (95% CI 0.20 to 0.81) among children with Down Syndrome, and 0.88 (95% CI 0.84 to 0.91) for children with other diagnoses. Negative predictor value of a negative first night predicting two subsequent negative nights was 0.2 in children with Down Syndrome, and 0.55 in children with other diagnoses.

Conclusions: There is substantial night to night variation in overnight oximetry readings among children in all clinical groups undergoing overnight oximetry. This is a more pronounced problem in children with Down Syndrome. Increasing the number of attempted nights recording from one to three provides useful additional clinical information.

Introduction

Overnight pulse oximetry is commonly used as a screening tool for sleep disordered breathing in children. Formal polysomnography is the gold standard to diagnose sleep disordered breathing [1], but this is not often available as a first line investigation due to patient and parent burden, need for inpatient admission and cost. For example, a survey in 2005 identified only ten paediatric sleep laboratory beds in the whole of the UK [2]. Overnight pulse oximetry is advantageous as it is readily available, does not require admission to hospital overnight and has minimal upheaval for children and parents. Although it is recommended as a first line screening test only, for many children overnight pulse oximetry is the only diagnostic test available to identify sleep disordered breathing due to the difficulties of accessing polysomnography [2] [3] [4]. There is no clear research data on the levels of overnight oximetry abnormality which predict clinical benefit from intervention, although best practice guidelines have been published [1] [2] [5] [6]. Additionally, some small studies have shown night to night variation in sleep studies [7] [8], but it is unclear how significant this variation is in clinical practice. This a clinically important area as UK guidelines from Working Party on Sleep Physiology and Respiratory Control Disorders in Childhood (SPARDIC) endorsed by the Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health (RCPCH) recommend annual screening for Obstructive Sleep Apnoea (OSA) for all children with Down Syndrome (DS) up to 6 years of age [2], due to the high risk of developing pulmonary hypertension in this group. The Down Syndrome Medical Interest Group (also endorsed by RCPCH) recommend a symptom based screen with referral for further testing if positive [9]. If the SPARDIC guideline were to be fully implemented, a very large number of children would undergo overnight oximetry, with the attendant difficulties in interpreting results. It is not likely to be feasible in the near future for formal polysomnography to be available to all children with Down Syndrome annually, therefore it is vitally important to be able to best understand the strengths, limitations and repeatability of overnight oximetry.

At the Royal Belfast Hospital for Sick Children our clinical practice has been to record 3 consecutive nights of overnight oximetry readings when screening for sleep disordered breathing. This practice was instituted by the senior author (MDS) who, when first setting up home oximetry in Northern Ireland many years ago had observed marked night to night variability especially in DS. The present study aims to assess whether recording 3 nights provides additional useful data, or whether recording only one night of oximetry would be adequate. Specifically we assess, (1) does recording three nights data increase the chance of having a technically sufficient and interpretable nights recording? (2) how repeatable are

results night to night? (3) how clinically significant are night to night variations in overnight oximetry recordings (i.e. does variation lead to a change in clinical classification)?

Children with DS are known to often be restless sleepers [10], and we hypothesised that they would have more night to night variability compared to other clinical groups and would thus require oximetry over three nights.

Methods

This was a retrospective observational study, designed as a service development and quality improvement project. Overnight oximetry recordings were taken only when clinically indicated as part of routine practice. Children were classified according to diagnostic category by their clinician at the time of oximetry recording into one of the following categories; Down's Syndrome (DS), Neuromuscular disease (such as Duchenne's Muscular Dystrophy) (NMD), Central Nervous System Disorders (such as cerebral palsy) (CNS), Cranio-facial structural abnormalities (such as achondroplasia or Pierre Robin Syndrome) (structural), and other children referred with suspected OSA with no other diagnosis (OSA). Anonymised data only were collected by researchers. No institutional ethical approval was required as there was no change to usual care, and only anonymised data were collected in this service development project.

Overnight pulse oximetry was recorded by means of a Nonin 9600 Avant Digital Pulse Oximeter (Nonin Medical Inc, Plymouth MN, USA) and Nellcor neonatal-adult SpO₂ sensor. Parents were instructed how to use the pulse oximeter and took the device home. All pulse oximetry readings were recorded by parents at home in the child's normal surroundings. The sensor was attached to either the child's finger or big toe. After three nights, parents returned the oximeter and data were downloaded and analysed using nVision software (Nonin Medical Inc, Plymouth MN, USA).

Length of time of adequate artefact free reading (hours), basal oxygen saturations (defined as average of all SpO₂ readings not included in a desaturation event) (% SpO₂), length of time with oxygen saturation <90% (expressed as a percentage of length of recording) and average number of desaturations per hour (defined as >4% drop in SpO₂ for >10seconds, the "adjusted index") were extracted from the Nonin nVision software and into the anonymised study database. SpO₂ was averaged over 4 second increments.

A technically adequate reading was defined as one with ≥ 4 hours of suitable oximetry recording. A normal pulse oximetry reading was defined as basal SpO₂ $\geq 94\%$; <2% of total time with SpO₂<90% ; and adjusted index <5. An oximetry recording with abnormalities in

any of these perimeters was defined as abnormal. These criteria for normal readings follow those recommended by the Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health[2] and are in line with published normal values for children [11].

Data were analysed in Stata 11 (StataCorp. 2009. *Stata Statistical Software: Release 11*. College Station, TX: StataCorp LP) and StatsDirect version 3 (StatsDirect Ltd. StatsDirect statistical software. <http://www.statsdirect.com>. England: StatsDirect Ltd. 2013.). Bland-Altman plots were used to graphically display agreement of basal SpO₂, adjusted index and time with SpO₂ below 90% between nights. 95% limits of agreement [12] and two-way single measures intraclass correlation coefficients (ICC) were calculated. For pair-wise comparisons of continuous variables across clinical groupings Kruskal-Wallis (non-parametric) tests were used. For comparisons of discrete variables, a chi squared test was used. A Fleiss' kappa value was calculated for agreement of results across all 3 nights. Following convention, we defined a kappa value of >0.6 as substantial agreement, and a Lin's correlation coefficient of >0.8 as the minimum acceptable standard [13].

Results

214 children had overnight home pulse oximetry recordings between December 2010 and July 2014 arranged through the Royal Belfast Hospital for Sick Children. The average age of children was 4.2 years old (range 2 months to 18.3 years). The majority of the group were otherwise well children with signs and symptoms leading to suspicion of obstructive sleep apnoea (OSA group) (114 out of 214 (53%), median age 3.5 years), and this group was used as a baseline group for comparison. There were 23 (11%) children with neuromuscular disorders (NMD group) (median age 12.3 years), 30 with Down syndrome (DS group) (median age 4.8), 26 (12%) with central nervous system disorders (CNS group) (median age 3.1 years) and 21 (10%) with structural cranio-facial abnormalities (structural group) (median age 4.4 years). Children with NMD were older than children in OSA group (12.3 vs. 3.5 years, $p < 0.01$).

The mean length of time of adequate recording was 8.4 hours (st. dev. 2.9 hours). Regarding the technical adequacy of recording usable results; 25 of our 214 (12%) children did not have sufficient data recorded on the first night to make any assessment of sleep ventilation. Of these, 18 (8%) children went on to record adequate readings on subsequent nights. 132 / 214 (62%) children had adequate recordings on all three nights. Seven children (1 DS, 1 CNS, 5 OSA) had no usable data recorded on any of the three nights. No children (0/23) with neuromuscular disease had unusable oximetry recordings on night 1, whereas 6/30 (20%) children with DS had an inadequate reading on night 1. This difference was not statistically

significant ($p = 0.16$). 19/122 (16%) children under age 5 had unusable readings on night 1, compared with 7/91 (8%) of children 5 and older. This difference was not statistically significant ($p=0.08$).

There was marked night to night variation in the oximetry traces of many of the children over the three nights. Table 1 summarises the within-patient difference, standard deviation of differences, and 95% limits of agreement for 3 nights pairwise comparisons. Figure 1 shows example Bland-Altman plots showing agreement between basal SpO₂, adjusted index, and time with SpO₂ <90% in night 1 and night 2 (see e-figure 1 for comparisons nights 1 and 3, and 2 and 3). Table 2 shows the intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) across all three nights, by clinical condition. The ICC tended to be lower for children with DS, this difference was significant when considering the night to night variability of adjusted index.

	N =	Means (SD)	Mean difference	Standard deviation of differences	Bland-Altman 95% limits of agreement
Basal oxygen SpO ₂ (%)					
Night 1 – night 2	179	Night 1 = 96.1 (2.79) Night 2 = 96.2 (2.47)	0.076	2.41	-4.6 to 4.8
Night 1 – night 3	147	Night 1 = 96.4 (2.3) Night 3 = 96.7 (2.02)	0.28	1.78	-3.2 to 3.7
Night 2 – night 3	150	Night 2 = 96.4 (2.28) Night 3 = 96.6 (2.01)	0.15	1.45	-2.7 to 3.0
Adjusted Index					
Night 1 – night 2	178 *	Night 1 = 5.44 (7.30) Night 2 = 6.21 (8.76)	- 0.76	4.91	-8.8 to 10.4
Night 1 – night 3	147	Night 1 = 5.21 (7.58) Night 3 = 5.04 (8.00)	0.17	4.80	-9.6 to 9.3
Night 2 – night 3 *	149 *	Night 2 = 5.92 (8.79) Night 3 = 5.29 (8.00)	0.63	4.45	-9.4 to 8.1
Time with SpO ₂ < 90% (as % of time recorded)					
Night 1 – night 2	179	Night 1 = 4.92 (11.9) Night 2 = 4.75 (10.9)	0.17	10.6	-20.8 to 20.6
Night 1 – night 3	147	Night 1 = 4.11 (10.7) Night 3 = 3.04 (8.77)	1.07	9.59	-19.8 to 17.7
Night 2 – night 3	150	Night 2 = 3.66 (8.86) Night 3 = 3.12 (8.76)	0.53	6.56	- 13.4 to 12.3

Table 1 Mean value for each night (standard deviation), mean within-patient difference, standard deviation of with-patient differences and 95% limits of agreement across three pairs of nights, for each of the three values tested (One patient had no data of desaturations per hour recorded on night 2).*

Intraclass Correlation Co-efficient (95% confidence interval)				
Clinical Diagnosis				
		Basal Spo2	Adjusted Index	Time SpO2 < 90%
	Central Nervous System Disorder (CNS), N=14	0.74 (0.49 to 0.90)	0.84 (0.66 to 0.94)	0.49 (0.16 to 0.77)
	Suspected Obstructive Sleep Apnoea (no other diagnosis), N=69	0.72 (0.62 to 0.81)	0.90 (0.85 to 0.93)	0.63 (0.51 to 0.73)
	Neuromuscular disorder, N=20	0.67 (0.45 to 0.84)	0.57 (0.32 to 0.78)	0.60 (0.35 to 0.80)
	Structural cranio-facial abnormalities, N=15	0.91 (0.79 to 0.96)	0.94 (0.87 to 0.98)	0.83 (0.66 to 0.94)
Pooled all non-DS diagnoses, N=118		0.74 (0.67 to 0.80)	0.88 (0.84 to 0.91)	0.65 (0.15 to 0.78)
Down Syndrome, N=13		0.48 (0.15 to 0.77)	0.54 (0.20 to 0.81)	0.48 (0.15 to 0.78)

Table 2 Intra-class correlation coefficient of measurements over three nights recording oximetry.

As described above, overnight oximetry readings were categorised to be either normal, or abnormal based on the physiology observed. Overall 117 out of 207 (57%) were normal on the first adequate night. 73 out of 109 (67%) children with suspected OSA only and no other clinical diagnosis had normal oximetry. This was similar to the proportion of children with NMD, in whom 14 out of 23 (61%) had normal oximetry ($p=0.58$). Children with other diagnoses were less likely to have a normal first night; 9 out of 25 (36%) of children with CNS disorders ($p<0.01$), 9 out of 21 (43%) children with structural abnormalities ($p=0.04$) and 12 out of 30 (41%) of children with DS ($p=0.1$) had normal first-night oximetry tracings.

There was only moderate concordance of results from oximetry reading on consecutive nights. Restricting the analysis to 132 children with three technically adequate nights oximetry recording, 84 out of 132 (65%) of children had a normal first night's reading. However, nearly half (39 out of 84 (46%)) of these children had at least one abnormal reading on subsequent nights. Table 3 shows these restricted results broken down by clinical category. If we define a "true negative" to be a child with 3 consecutive normal readings, the overall negative predictor value of a first night's normal reading is 0.53.

Clinical diagnosis					
--------------------	--	--	--	--	--

First night oximetry NORMAL and three nights oximetry recorded (N =84)					
	2 nd and 3 rd night both normal.	2 nd night abnormal, 3 rd night normal.	2 nd night normal, 3 rd night abnormal.	2 nd and 3 rd night both abnormal	Sub-total
Central Nervous System Disorder	2	0	1	1	4
Suspected Obstructive Sleep Apnoea (no other diagnosis)	33	3	7	12	55
Neuromuscular disorder	5	4	1	3	13
Cranial – facial abnormalities	3	2	1	0	6
Down Syndrome	2	1	0	3	6
Total (all diagnoses)	45	10	10	19	84
First night oximetry ABNORMAL and three nights oximetry recorded (N=48)					
	2 nd and 3 rd night both normal.	2 nd night abnormal, 3 rd night normal.	2 nd night normal, 3 rd night abnormal..	2 nd and 3 rd night both abnormal	Sub-total
Central Nervous System Disorder	1	0	0	9	10
Suspected Obstructive Sleep Apnoea (no other diagnosis)	1	1	0	13	15
Neuromuscular disorder	1	0	0	6	7
Cranial – facial abnormalities	0	3	0	6	9
Down Syndrome	1	1	0	5	7
Total (all diagnoses)	4	5	0	39	48

Table 3 Number of children with normal or abnormal overnight oximetry readings on nights 2 and 3, following either normal or abnormal night 1 reading, by clinical group. Analysis restricted to 132 children with at three nights of technically adequate results.

Fleiss' kappa for interrater agreement across three nights for children with CNS was 0.63 (p<0.01), OSA 0.51 (p<0.01), NMD 0.40 (p<0.01), Structural 0.44 (p<0.01), DS 0.33 (p=0.02). Overall, Fleiss' kappa was 0.51 (p<0.01).

Discussion

There is a large amount of night to night variability in all children undergoing overnight pulse oximetry, this is especially true of children with DS. Clearly there is a limit to how much oximetry data can be usefully be recorded and analysed, we suggest that it may be pragmatic to record three consecutive nights data rather than a single night. This will increase the likelihood both of having any usable data and of detecting clinically important abnormalities.

Sleep disordered breathing is a common paediatric diagnosis, and it is an important feature of a range of paediatric and congenital disorders. Accurately diagnosing sleep disordered breathing is important so as to allow intervention and prevent development of serious complications such as pulmonary hypertension. Polysomnography is considered to be the gold standard for diagnosis[2], but it has significant drawbacks. In addition to cost and access considerations, polysomnography usually measures only one night thus potentially missing important night-to-night variations [8] , and takes place in an artificial environment that could artefactually change sleep architecture in children. In addition access to polysomnography is generally poor; a recent survey of practice in USA showed that most children who proceeded to surgery for sleep apnoea had never had a polysomnographic diagnosis [3] and in the UK a survey of paediatrics showed a large unmet need for sleep services and polysomnography[2]. Overnight pulse oximetry is much more readily available, can be undertaken in a child's normal surroundings (thus likely reducing first night effect) and is feasible to repeat on consecutive nights in order to capture night to night variation. Inherent difficulties with overnight oximetry are that it can be affected by motion artefact and that it measures the whole night regardless of whether the child is awake or asleep.

How best to screen for sleep disordered breathing is an increasingly important question as best practice guidelines recommend screening all children with neuromuscular disease (prevalence 1:3000) annually [14], in addition to screening all children with signs and symptoms raising suspicion of the diagnosis of OSA. There are varying guidelines regarding DS (prevalence 1:1000), with one guideline recommending testing all children annually up to age 6 [2] and another suggesting symptom based screening [9]. This highlights that there is currently a wide variation in practice around use of home screening and polysomnography – both in adults and children - and few large studies to provide evidence and guidance.

We found that increasing the overnight oximetry readings from one night to three increases the chance of getting a technically adequate trace that is suitable for interpretation. With one night's recording 25 (12%) children failed to record adequate data. However, with three

nights recording only 7 (3%) had no adequate results. There was a trend towards children with Down syndrome being less likely to have an adequate trace on the first night of attempted recording, although this wasn't statistically significant.

We found substantial night to night variation in oximetry results. For instance concerning the adjusted index, the Bland-Altman 95% limits of agreement for difference between night 1 and night 3 in the same patient were -9.6 to +9.3. Although a proportion of this variation is caused by night to night variation in severity among children who had abnormal traces on both nights, much of this variation causes change in clinical classification. We found a high proportion of children (46%) with a normal first night oximetry, going on to have subsequent abnormal nights. This indicates that recording only one night's data may miss clinically significant oximetry abnormalities. In the absence of access to a gold standard measure (polysomnography) in this cohort, if a "true negative" is defined as a child with three night's normal oximetry then negative predictor value for a normal first night's oximetry trace is only 0.53, suggesting that relying on only one night's oximetry reading may misclassify children as having no sleep disordered breathing when they do actually have a clinically significant problem. This fits with previous data on repeatability of oximetry studies [15], suggesting that a positive result may be sufficient to make a diagnosis of OSA, but that a negative result cannot rule out the diagnosis and in this instance the recommendation has been to move to formal polysomnography [1]. As with all diagnostic tools with a potential for variable results, it is important that interpretation of oximetry findings are put into the clinical context.

There is limited literature about the repeatability of oximetry measures in polysomnography in the paediatric setting [7] [8]. One study of thirty children, which excluded patients with DS or any other medical diagnosis, showed an intraclass correlation of 0.86 for apnoeic index, and 0.71 for low SpO₂ across two nights polysomnography [7]. This is similar to our findings for adjusted index and basal SpO₂ among the non-DS children in our study. However in that study, in contrast to our study, the observed variation didn't lead to reclassification of any of the thirty patients as normal / abnormal across the two nights measured. A larger adult study of 243 patients showed important night to night variation, with 28 adults having an abnormal night two polysomnograph (defined as >5 apnoeic-hypoxic events per hour) following a normal 1st night reading [16]. This suggests that our observed night to night variation is in keeping with night to night variation seen on polysomnography, and therefore

is likely to represent a real phenomenon of within-patient night to night fluctuations, rather than night to night error in oximetry reading.

In view of this clinically important night to night variability in overnight oximetry, we suggest that it may be useful to record more than one night's oximetry data. This is especially true in children with DS, who had a trend towards more night to night variability. Although not formally assessed in this study, the marginal cost and inconvenience to patients and parents of recording three nights rather than one is thought to be minimal, whilst the extra data recorded may improve clinical management and decision making, and reduce the risk of missing clinically important abnormalities. While polysomnography remains the gold standard it is not readily available, and resource limitations mean that is unlikely in the near future to be feasible to substantially increase the number of children undergoing polysomnography in order to meet SPARDIC recommendations to screen all children with Down Syndrome (or even all symptomatic children) for sleep disordered breathing annually [2,6]. It would seem prudent to invest more in sleep diagnostics to improve service, and this would be our recommendation in medium term. However, we suggest that in the interim, pending better availability of alternative modalities, recording three nights rather than one will make overnight oximetry an increasingly useful screening tool. Current guidance is that while a positive result of pulse oximetry can be used to make a diagnosis of OSA, a negative result cannot rule it out and one should proceed to polysomnography and where this is not available clinicians must use their judgement whilst being mindful of the limitations of overnight oximetry [1]. In the absence of ready access to polysomnography studies, repeating the overnight oximetry may give more confidence in negative result. Newer technology such as mobile phone based oximetry combined with pulse rate variability has been demonstrated to have good diagnostic accuracy, and easily lends itself to multiple nights testing [4]. This is an increasingly important area because of the large number of children who are being recommended for screening for sleep disordered breathing.

List of tables and figures

Table 1

Mean value for each night (standard deviation), mean within-patient difference, standard deviation of with-patient differences and 95% limits of agreement across three pairs of nights, for each of the three values tested (One patient had no data of desaturations per hour recorded on night 2).*

Figure 1

Bland Altman plots comparing mean vs. difference of average SpO₂ (A) adjusted index (B) and time with SpO₂ <90% (C) in night 1 compared to night 2.

e-Figure 1

Bland Altman plots comparing mean vs. difference of average SpO₂, number of adjusted index, and time with SpO₂ <90% in night 3 compared with night 1 and night 2.

Table 3

Intraclass correlation coefficient across 3 nights recordings, by clinical grouping.

Table 3

Number of children with normal or abnormal overnight oximetry readings on nights 2 and 3, following either normal or abnormal night 1 reading, by clinical group. Analysis restricted to 132 children with at three nights of technically adequate results.

Conflict of interest statement

There was no external funding for this study. All authors declare they have no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgements

RB performed the data analysis and was responsible for the first draft of manuscript. BM, CH and DG collected original data and provided comments and revisions to the draft manuscript. CH also did preliminary data analysis. DOD contributed to the study design and manuscript revisions. MS conceived the study, was responsible for study design and contributed substantially to data analysis and revisions of draft manuscript. All authors had full access to all the data in the study and provided final approval for publication. MS takes responsibility as guarantor of the content of the manuscript.

There was no external funding for this study.

What is already known about this topic

Overnight polysomnography is the gold standard to diagnose sleep disordered breathing in children, but is difficult to access routinely.

Overnight pulse oximetry is recommended for screening of sleep disordered breathing, but there is little evidence about how best to interpret overnight oximetry results.

Children with Down Syndrome should be tested for sleep disordered breathing annually up to age 6 years.

What this paper adds

There is substantial within patient night to night variation when recording sequential nights of overnight oximetry.

Many children investigated for suspected sleep disordered breathing (39 out of 84 in this study) with one normal night of oximetry go on to have abnormal oximetry in sequential subsequent nights.

References

- 1 Marcus CL, Brooks LJ, Draper KA, *et al.* Diagnosis and management of childhood obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. *Pediatrics* 2012;130:e714–55. doi:10.1542/peds.2012-1672
- 2 Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health. Working Party on Sleep Physiology and Respiratory Control Disorders in Childhood (SPARDIC). London. 2009.
- 3 Mitchell RB, Pereira KD, Friedman NR. Sleep-Disordered Breathing in Children: Survey of Current Practice. *The Laryngoscope* 2006;:956–8. doi:10.1097/01.MLG.0000216413.22408.FD
- 4 Garde A, Dehkordi P, Karlen W, *et al.* Development of a screening tool for sleep disordered breathing in children using the phone Oximeter™. *PLoS ONE* 2014;9:e112959. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0112959
- 5 Punjabi NM, Aurora RN, Patil SP. Home sleep testing for obstructive sleep apnea: one night is enough! *Chest* 2013;143:291–4. doi:10.1378/chest.12-2699
- 6 Hull J, Aniapravan R, Chan E, *et al.* British Thoracic Society guideline for respiratory management of children with neuromuscular weakness. *Thorax* 2012;67:i1–i40. doi:10.1136/thoraxjnl-2012-201964
- 7 Katz ES, Greene MG, Carson KA, *et al.* Night-to-night variability of polysomnography in children with suspected obstructive sleep apnea. *The Journal of Pediatrics* 2002;140:589–94. doi:10.1067/mpd.2002.123290
- 8 Scholle S, Scholle HC, Kemper A, *et al.* First night effect in children and adolescents undergoing polysomnography for sleep-disordered breathing. *Clinical Neurophysiology* 2003;114:2138–45. doi:10.1016/S1388-2457(03)00209-8
- 9 Paediatric Service Specification (draft) - Services for Children and young People with Down Syndrome. 2016. Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health in association with Down Syndrome Association. Available at <http://www.dsmig.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2015/09/Draft-servcei-spec.pdf>
- 10 Cotton S, Richdale A. Brief report: parental descriptions of sleep problems in children with autism, Down syndrome, and Prader-Willi syndrome. *Res Dev Disabil* 2006;27:151–61. doi:10.1016/j.ridd.2004.12.003
- 11 Urschitz MS, Wolff J, Einem Von V, *et al.* Reference values for nocturnal home pulse oximetry during sleep in primary school children. *Chest* 2003;123:96–101.
- 12 Bland JM, Altman DG. Statistical methods for assessing agreement between two methods of clinical measurement. *The Lancet* 1986;1:307–10.
- 13 Kottner J, Audigé L, Brorson S, *et al.* Guidelines for Reporting Reliability and Agreement Studies (GRRAS) were proposed. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2011;64:96–106. doi:10.1016/j.jclinepi.2010.03.002
- 14 Hull J. British Thoracic Society guideline for respiratory management of children with neuromuscular weakness: commentary. *Thorax* 2012;67:654–5. doi:10.1136/thoraxjnl-2012-202043
- 15 Brouillette RT, Morielli A, Leimanis A, *et al.* Nocturnal pulse oximetry as an abbreviated

testing modality for pediatric obstructive sleep apnea. *Pediatrics* 2000;105:405–12.

- 16 Le Bon O, Hoffmann G, Tecco J, *et al.* Mild to moderate sleep respiratory events: one negative night may not be enough. *Chest* 2000;118:353–9.

Licence for publication statement

The Corresponding Author has the right to grant on behalf of all authors and does grant on behalf of all authors, an exclusive licence (or non-exclusive licence for UK Crown and US Federal Government employees) on a worldwide basis to the BMJ Publishing Group Ltd, and its Licensees to permit this article (if accepted) to be published in Archives of Disease in Childhood and any other BMJPGJ products and to exploit all subsidiary rights, as set out in our licence.