



Zingone, Fabiana and Sultan, Alyshah Abdul and Humes, David James and West, Joe (2015) Risk of acute appendicitis in and around pregnancy: a population-based cohort study from England. *Annals of Surgery*, 261 (2). pp. 332-337. ISSN 1528-1140

Access from the University of Nottingham repository:

<http://eprints.nottingham.ac.uk/32579/1/Zingone%202015%20Annals%20of%20Surgery.pdf>

Copyright and reuse:

The Nottingham ePrints service makes this work by researchers of the University of Nottingham available open access under the following conditions.

- Copyright and all moral rights to the version of the paper presented here belong to the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners.
- To the extent reasonable and practicable the material made available in Nottingham ePrints has been checked for eligibility before being made available.
- Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.
- Quotations or similar reproductions must be sufficiently acknowledged.

Please see our full end user licence at:

http://eprints.nottingham.ac.uk/end_user_agreement.pdf

A note on versions:

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of record. If you wish to cite this item you are advised to consult the publisher's version. Please see the repository url above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information, please contact eprints@nottingham.ac.uk

Risk of Acute Appendicitis in and around pregnancy: A population-based cohort study from England

Fabiana Zingone, MD, MSc^{a,b}, Alyshah Abdul Sultan, MSc, PhD^a, David James Humes, MBBS, FRCS, PhD^a, Joe West, BMBS, MSc, PhD^a

^a Division of Epidemiology and Public Health, University of Nottingham, City Hospital, Nottingham, UK

^b Department of Medicine and Surgery, University of Salerno, Salerno, Italy

Correspondence: Dr. Fabiana Zingone
Division of Epidemiology and Public Health, University of Nottingham,
Clinical Sciences Building Phase 2, City Hospital,
Nottingham,
NG5 1PB, UK
E-mail: Fabiana.Zingone@nottingham.ac.uk

Funding: This work was supported by personal fellowship: FZ is a research fellow supported by the University of Salerno, Italy and JW's Senior Fellowship; DJH is a an NIHR funded Post Doctoral Fellow in Surgery NAQ; JW is a Clinical Associate Professor, Reader in Epidemiology, and Consultant Gastroenterologist supported by the University of Nottingham/Nottingham University Hospital NHS Trust Senior Clinical Research fellowship. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interest: None declared

Running title: acute appendicitis in pregnancy

ABSTRACT

Objective: To determine the absolute and relative risk of acute appendicitis during the antepartum and postpartum periods compared to the time outside pregnancy among women of childbearing age.

Background: Acute appendicitis is the most common non-obstetric surgical emergency during pregnancy. Estimates of the incidence of acute appendicitis in pregnancy remain imprecise and inconsistent.

Methods: All potential fertile women aged 15-44 years registered within Clinical Practice Research Datalink with linkages to the Hospital Episodes Statistics between 1997 and 2012 were identified. Absolute rates of acute appendicitis were calculated during the antepartum and postpartum periods and were compared to the time outside pregnancy in terms of Incidence Rate Ratio (IRR) using a Poisson regression model.

Results: Among 1,624,804 women, there were 362,219 pregnancies resulting in live or stillbirths. Compared to the time outside pregnancy, the rate of acute appendicitis was 35% lower during the antepartum (IRR 0.65 95% confidence interval (CI) 0.55-0.76), with the lowest rate reported during the third trimester (IRR 0.47, 95% CI 0.35-0.64), for all ages; no increased risk of acute appendicitis was observed in the postpartum period, compared to the time outside pregnancy among women aged 15-34 years but an 84% increased risk for women aged >35 years (IRR 1.84, 95% CI 1.18-2.86). The highest and lowest rates of

negative appendectomy were encountered in the second and the third trimesters respectively.

Conclusions: Pregnant women are less likely to be diagnosed with acute appendicitis compared to non-pregnant women, with the lowest risk reported during the third trimester.

Mini-Abstract

Acute appendicitis is one of the most common general surgical problems encountered during pregnancy. Based on our study of 1.6 million women, we found a lower risk of acute appendicitis during the antepartum period in all ages and a higher risk of acute appendicitis during the postpartum in older women, compared to the time outside pregnancy.

INTRODUCTION

Acute appendicitis is the most common non-obstetric surgical emergency during pregnancy^{1, 2}. Maternal mortality associated with acute appendicitis declined from 24% in 1908³ to 0.5% in 1977⁴ and rarely occurs today, however there remains a high risk of morbidity for both the mother and foetus⁵, following appendectomy. Among the complications encountered are wound infection, intestinal obstruction, respiratory complications, pre-term labour and foetal mortality^{5, 6}. Furthermore, these risks can occur following a negative appendectomy and the rates of negative appendectomy may be greater in pregnant than non-pregnant women due to diagnostic difficulty⁷. Current estimates of the incidence of acute appendicitis in pregnancy are inconsistent and vary widely ranging from 1.8 to 41 per 10,000 pregnancies⁸⁻¹⁸. In particular, the trimester of pregnancy during which a woman is at highest risk of acute appendicitis and the extent to which age affects these risks are poorly understood⁸⁻¹⁸. In addition, there is no population based study to-date reporting the incidence rates of acute appendicitis during the postpartum period. Finally, the reported negative appendectomy rate in pregnancy varies considerably among studies from 5% to 50%⁸⁻²⁰.

A better understanding of the risk of acute appendicitis in and around pregnancy will aid clinicians in assessing the risk of acute appendicitis within each period. Therefore we have carried out a large, population-based, cohort study to determine the rate of acute appendicitis in the antepartum and postpartum periods and compared it to the rate outside pregnancy among women of childbearing age.

METHODS

Study population

The Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD) ²¹ is a computerised primary healthcare database containing demographic, medical, prescription and lifestyle-related information of anonymised patients across the United Kingdom. Around 99% of the UK population is registered to a general practitioner who is responsible for the entirety of the patient's medical care ²². Several studies have reported high validity of CPRD data according to the quality and completeness of the recorded data ²³. The Hospital Episode Statistics dataset (HES) ²⁴ contains details of all hospital admissions to National Health Service (NHS) hospitals in England. It contains demographic data along with information on discharge diagnoses and procedures codes using International classification of diseases (ICD) version 10 and Operation and Procedure Coding Supplement (OPCS) version 4, respectively. The HES maternity data is a subset of the HES, which contains details of each delivery taking place in a NHS hospital and it is the primary source of maternity statistics in England which is externally validated ²⁵. The CPRD and HES patients have been linked using NHS number, date of birth and gender ²⁶. Since HES only contains information on English hospital admissions, practices from Wales, Scotland and Northern Ireland were excluded. All potentially fertile women (15-44 years old) registered within the CPRD-HES linked dataset were identified. Women with a prior history of appendectomy or acute appendicitis were excluded.

Defining the study's time periods

Information on pregnant status was retrieved using the HES maternity data. Overall the person-time for each woman in this study was divided into antepartum (time between the expected date of conception and date of delivery), postpartum (up to 12 weeks after child birth) and the time outside pregnancy, details of which are summarised elsewhere ²⁷. The antepartum period was further divided into individual trimesters whereas the postpartum period was divided into early (first 6 weeks after child birth) and late postpartum (second 6 weeks after child birth).

Defining acute appendicitis events

Acute appendicitis events were extracted using primary and secondary care medical records. Women were considered as having acute appendicitis if they had an ICD-10 code which unequivocally suggested acute appendicitis (K350, K351, K359, K36, K37), or an appendectomy code from secondary care (H011, H012, H018, H019, H028, H029, H031, H032, H038, H039). Women were also considered as having acute appendicitis if they had a specific ICD-10 code, a non-specific ICD-10 code for appendicitis (K388, K389), or a Read code for appendicitis if accompanied by surgical codes (appendectomy or laparoscopy/laparotomy procedures) recorded within 7 days of the event. For women with more than one source of diagnosis (diagnostic and surgical codes), the earliest date of acute appendicitis recording was used as the date of diagnosis. We also defined a stricter definition which included only acute appendicitis events defined by surgical codes using the date of surgery as the date of diagnosis. Finally, women were defined as having negative

appendectomy if there was any evidence of a normal appendix in the primary or secondary care record post-appendectomy.

Statistical analysis

Absolute rates of acute appendicitis per 10,000 person-years were calculated during the antepartum and postpartum periods and the time outside pregnancy. Incidence rates ratios (IRRs) were calculated by comparing the rate of acute appendicitis during the antepartum and postpartum periods to the time outside pregnancy, using a Poisson regression model. Similarly IRRs were also calculated by comparing the rate of acute appendicitis in each trimester and postpartum period to the time outside pregnancy. Given that the overall incidence of acute appendicitis is influenced by both age and calendar year^{28, 29}, we assessed the potential for confounding by these variables by treating them (age and calendar year) as time-varying covariates, which were categorised as three (15-24, 25-34 and 35-44 years) and four (1997-2000, 2001-2004, 2005-2008 and 2009-2012) level categories, respectively. All our IRRs were adjusted for calendar year and age, when not stratified by them. Finally, frequencies of negative appendectomies were calculated by dividing the number of negative appendectomies which occurred in each antepartum and postpartum period by the total number of acute appendicitis events (including negative appendectomies) recorded during the same period. This study has been approved by the Independent Scientific Advisory Committee (ISAC) (Reference number 10_193R). The ISAC is a non-statutory expert advisory body which provides advice on research related requests to access data from the CPRD.

RESULTS

Study population

The study population consisted of 1,624,804 women, of which 274,116 (16.9%) experienced at least one pregnancy during the study period. There were a total of 362,219 pregnancies during the study period, of which 99.4% and 0.6% resulted in live births and stillbirths respectively (Table 1). The median follow-up of the study was 3.1 years (interquartile range 1.3-6.5). The study had a total follow-up time of 7,190,548 person-years, of which 247,755 and 80,775 person-years took place during the antepartum and postpartum period, respectively (Table 1).

Acute Appendicitis during the antepartum and postpartum periods

The absolute rate of acute appendicitis during the antepartum and postpartum periods was calculated to be 6.3 and 9.9 per 10,000 person-years respectively. During the antepartum period the rate of acute appendicitis was 35% lower (IRR 0.65, 95% CI 0.55-0.76), compared to the time outside pregnancy after adjusting for age and calendar year (Table 2). During the postpartum period the rate of acute appendicitis was similar to that of outside pregnancy (IRR 1.01, 95% CI 0.81-1.26). These rate ratios remained roughly similar for women aged 15-34 years. For older women (≥ 35 years) we observed an 84% (IRR 1.84, 95% CI 1.18-2.86) increased risk of acute appendicitis during the overall postpartum period (Table 2).

Acute Appendicitis by trimester and in early and later postpartum

The rates of acute appendicitis were similar during the first and the second trimesters (7.4 and 7.3 per 10,000 person-years respectively) and lower during the third trimester (4.6 per

10,000 person-years) (Figure 1). The rate of acute appendicitis in the last trimester was 53% lower than the rate during the time outside pregnancy (IRR 0.47, 95% CI 0.35-0.64) after adjusting for age and calendar year (Table 3) . When the analysis was stratified by age, we observed a significantly lower risk of acute appendicitis during the second and third trimesters in women aged 15-24 years, the third trimester in women aged 25-34 years and the first trimester in women over 35, compared to the time outside pregnancy (Table 3).

The overall rates of acute appendicitis during the early and later postpartum periods were observed to be 10.2 and 9.3 per 10,000 person-years respectively, similar to the rate in the time outside pregnancy (9.6 per 10,000 person-years) for all ages (Table 4, Figure 1). However, while women aged 15-34 years had a similar risk of acute appendicitis during both the early and later postpartum periods to the time outside pregnancy, we found a significantly higher risk of acute appendicitis during the later postpartum compared to the time outside pregnancy in women over 35 (IRR 2.38 95% CI 1.38-4.11) (Table 4). When we repeated the above analysis using only acute appendicitis events defined by surgery codes, we found similar results (Table 5).

Incidence rates of negative appendectomy

We found 1,397 (17.4%) women who underwent appendectomy and whose outcome was eventually coded as a normal appendix. Among pregnant women, the highest percentage of negative appendectomy was found in the second trimester, where among eighty acute appendicitis diagnoses made, 21 (26.2%) resulted in negative appendectomies. Conversely, the lowest percentage was found in the third trimester, where, among 42 diagnoses, only three (7.1%) resulted in negative appendectomies (Table 6).

DISCUSSION

Main findings

In this large, nationally representative cohort of almost 1.6 million childbearing women, we have shown that pregnant women during the antepartum period were 35% less likely to be diagnosed with acute appendicitis compared to the time outside pregnancy, with the lowest risk reported during the third trimester. These results were not materially changed after adjusting for age and calendar year. Furthermore, we found no increased risk of acute appendicitis in the postpartum period compared to the time outside pregnancy among women aged 15-34 years. However, the risk increased by almost 2-fold in older women during the later postpartum. Finally, we found that the highest and the lowest rate of negative appendectomies were in the second and the third trimesters, respectively.

Strengths and limitations

This is the largest population based study to date to estimate the incident rate of acute appendicitis among childbearing women. Our study therefore provides both contemporary and reasonably precise estimates. We used an open cohort approach to analysis which used person-time as the denominator to calculate the incidence of acute appendicitis during the antepartum, postpartum period and the time outside pregnancy rather than the traditional approach adopted in the previous studies (i.e. calculation of incidence by taking number of pregnancies or births as denominator). In this way, we were able to adjust for differences in the duration in the antepartum and postpartum periods and to compare to the time outside pregnancy.

A potential limitation of this study was the lack of a previous validated definition of acute appendicitis using these datasets. The outcome definition we have used may have led to an overestimation of the incidence rate in the overall period if, for example, it was too sensitive. To account for this possible overestimation, we carried out a sensitivity analysis using a stricter (more specific) definition of acute appendicitis events which showed similar results. Moreover, we found that around 84% of the acute appendicitis events included in our overall analysis had been identified by specific ICD-10 codes which were supplemented by appendectomy. Misclassification of negative appendectomies may have also occurred as we did not have direct access to patients' histological reports. Therefore, it is possible that we might have missed some negative appendectomies if these were incorrectly recorded. Bhangu et al,³⁰ recently analysed the accuracy of clinical coding in identifying negative appendectomies in a British district general hospital, describing that 14% of patients were incorrectly coded as having had appendicitis, when in fact they had a histopathologically normal appendix (153/1107). While this may have led to an underestimation of the overall negative appendectomy rate, we think it is unlikely that this would be differential with respect to trimester of pregnancy.

Comparison with previous studies

Most previous cross-sectional studies have attempted to identify clinical features and post-operative maternal and foetal outcomes and/or described the best diagnostic tools and surgical treatments for acute appendicitis, using data collected on few patients from single centres^{8-15, 19, 20} with only three previous studies using National registers¹⁶⁻¹⁸. The rate of acute appendicitis reported during the antepartum period in our study (6.3 per 10,000

person-years) was between that reported in two prior population based studies (2.4 and 9.25 per 10,000 person-years)^{16, 17}. Both these studies reported the highest risk of acute appendicitis during the second trimester and a percentage of negative appendectomy of 36% and 50%, respectively, which were higher than that observed in our study during pregnancy (33/182, 18.1%). A study from Taiwan¹⁸ reported 908 acute appendicitis diagnoses among 218,776 pregnancies ending in live births during one year (2005), reporting the rate of acute appendicitis of 55.3 per 10,000 person-years, which was around 9 times higher than the incidence reported in our study. The differences between our studies and the previous reports¹⁶⁻¹⁸ could be due to changes over time, differences in terms of geographical location or due to methodological differences between the studies, particularly the outcome definition. Our results are very consistent with a 2001 Swedish case-control study³¹, which showed that pregnant women were less likely to have appendectomy for acute appendicitis particularly in the third trimester and a lower risk of negative appendectomy in the third trimester. Moreover, the authors³¹ reported that the postpartum period was not associated with an increased risk of appendectomy which is the same as our finding (except for older women).

We found that there is a lower risk of acute appendicitis throughout pregnancy and particularly in the third trimester compared to non-pregnancy which could be due either to biological or behavioural reasons. For example, pregnancy is accompanied by major immunological changes characterized by a very high T-helper-2:T-helper-1 cytokine ratio³¹⁻³³. These changes may cause an exacerbation of diseases, such as asthma³⁴ and an improvement of other ones, such as inflammatory bowel diseases³⁵ during pregnancy. Since acute appendicitis is an inflammatory process of the appendiceal wall³⁶, the inverse

relationship between pregnancy and acute appendicitis could suggest that a TH1 mediated inflammatory response is partly at work. Moreover, cigarette smoking has a pro-inflammatory effect³⁷ and is reported to be associated with an increased risk of acute appendicitis³⁸. Since pregnancy may motivate women to quit smoking^{39, 40}, this could also partially contribute to the lower risk of acute appendicitis we observed during pregnancy. On the other hand, surgeons may be averse to operating unduly upon pregnant women in view of the increased risk of foetal and maternal complications after surgery⁵. Thus, the decreased percentage of negative appendectomy we observed, in particular during the third trimester, might be a consequence of the higher level of evidence needed to motivate the surgeon to operate on pregnant women who are thought to have appendicitis following clinical assessment.

Conclusion

We have shown that pregnant women are less likely to be diagnosed with acute appendicitis compared to non-pregnant women, with the lowest risk reported during the third trimester. Moreover, older women may be at increased risk of appendicitis in the postpartum, suggesting that clinicians of all specialties should remain alert to the risk of acute appendicitis among postpartum older women presenting with lower abdominal pain.

REFERENCES


1. Firstenberg MS, Malangoni MA. Gastrointestinal surgery during pregnancy. *Gastroenterol. Clin. North Am.* 1998; 27(1):73-88.
2. Parangi S, Levine D, Henry A, et al. Surgical gastrointestinal disorders during pregnancy. *Am J Surg.* 2007; 193(2):223-232.
3. Babler EA. Perforative appendicitis complicating pregnancy with report of a successful case. *JAMA* 1908; 51(16):1310-1314.
4. Babaknia A, Parsa H, Woodruff JD. Appendicitis during pregnancy. *Obstet. Gynecol.* 1977; 50(1):40-41.
5. Miloudi N. Acute appendicitis in pregnancy: Specific features of diagnosis and treatment. *J Visc Surg.* 2012; 149(4):e275-e279.
6. Yilmaz HG, Akgun Y, Bac B, et al. Acute appendicitis in pregnancy—risk factors associated with principal outcomes: a case control study. *Int J Surg.* 2007; 5(3):192-197.
7. Ito K, Ito H, Whang EE, et al. Appendectomy in pregnancy: evaluation of the risks of a negative appendectomy. *Am J Surg.* 2012; 203(2):145-150.
8. Al-Mulhim A. Acute appendicitis in pregnancy. A review of 52 cases. *Int. Surg.* 1996; 81(3):295-297.
9. Al-Qudah MS, Amr M, Sroujeh A, et al. Appendectomy in pregnancy: the experience of a university hospital. *J Obstet Gynaecol.* 1999; 19(4):362-364.
10. Andersen B, Nielsen TF. Appendicitis in pregnancy, Diagnosis, management and complications. *Acta Obstet. Gynecol. Scand.* 1999; 78(9):758-762.

11. Mourad J, Elliott JP, Erickson L, et al. Appendicitis in pregnancy: new information that contradicts long-held clinical beliefs. *Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol.* 2000; 182(5):1027-1029.
12. Eryilmaz R, Şahin M, Baş G, et al. Acute appendicitis during pregnancy. *Dig. Surg.* 2002; 19(1):40-44.
13. Ueberrueck T, Koch A, Meyer L, et al. Ninety-four appendectomies for suspected acute appendicitis during pregnancy. *World J. Surg.* 2004; 28(5):508-511.
14. Kazim SF, Inam Pal K. Appendicitis in pregnancy: Experience of thirty-eight patients diagnosed and managed at a tertiary care hospital in Karachi. *Int J Surg.* 2009; 7(4):365-367.
15. Agholor K, Omo-Aghoja L, Okonofua F. Rate of negative appendectomy in pregnant women in Benin City, Nigeria. *J. Obstet. Gynaecol. Res.* 2011; 37(11):1540-1548.
16. Mazze RI, Källén B. Appendectomy during pregnancy: a Swedish registry study of 778 cases. *Obstet. Gynecol.* 1991; 77(6):835-840.
17. Hee P, Viktrup L. The diagnosis of appendicitis during pregnancy and maternal and fetal outcome after appendectomy. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet.* 1999; 65(2):129-135.
18. Wei PL, Keller JJ, Liang HH, et al. Acute appendicitis and adverse pregnancy outcomes: a nationwide population-based study. *J. Gastrointest. Surg.* 2012; 16(6):1204-1211.
19. Tracey M, Fletcher HS. Appendicitis in pregnancy. *Am Surg.* 2000; 66(6):555-559.
20. Maslovitz S, Gutman G, Lessing J, et al. The significance of clinical signs and blood indices for the diagnosis of appendicitis during pregnancy. *Gynecol. Obstet. Invest.* 2003; 56(4):188-191.
21. CPRD. Clinical Practice Research Datalink. Available at: www.cprd.com.

22. NHS. Information Centre: Final General Practice Registered Populations 2011. Available at: www.ic.nhs.uk/statistics-and-data-collections/population-and-geography/gp-registered-populations/attribution-dataset-gp-registered-populationsscaled-to-ons-population-estimates-2011.
23. Herrett E, Thomas SL, Schoonen WM, et al. Validation and validity of diagnoses in the General Practice Research Database: a systematic review. *Br. J. Clin. Pharmacol.* 2010; 69(1):4-14.
24. HES. Hospital Episode Statistics. Available at: <http://www.hscic.gov.uk/hes>.
25. Dattani N, Datta-Nemdharry P, Macfarlane A. Linking maternity data for England 2007: methods and data quality. *Health Stat Q* 2012; 53:4-21.
26. Eaton SC, Williams TJ, Puri S, et al. The feasibility of linking the English hospital episode statistics to the general practice research database. *Pharmacoepidemiol. Drug Saf.* 2008; 17:S214-S214.
27. Sultan AA, West J, Tata LJ, et al. Risk of first venous thromboembolism in and around pregnancy: a population-based cohort study. *Br. J. Haematol.* 2012; 156(3):366-373.
28. Körner H, Söndena K, Söreide JA, et al. Incidence of acute nonperforated and perforated appendicitis: age-specific and sex-specific analysis. *World J. Surg.* 1997; 21(3):313-317.
29. Kang J, Hoare J, Majeed A, et al. Decline in admission rates for acute appendicitis in England. *Br J Surg.* 2003; 90(12):1586-1592.
30. Bhangu A, Nepogodiev D, Taylor C, et al. Accuracy of clinical coding from 1210 appendicectomies in a British district general hospital. *Int J Surg.* 2012; 10(3):144-147.

31. Andersson REB, Lambe M. Incidence of appendicitis during pregnancy. *Int. J. Epidemiol.* 2001; 30(6):1281-1285.
32. McCracken SA, Hadfield K, Rahimi Z, et al. NF- κ B-regulated suppression of T-bet in T cells represses Th1 immune responses in pregnancy. *Eur. J. Immunol.* 2007; 37(5):1386-1396.
33. Schaible A, Koeberle A, Northoff H, et al. High capacity for leukotriene biosynthesis in peripheral blood during pregnancy. *Prostaglandins Leukot Essent Fatty Acids.* 2013; 86(4):245-255.
34. Ali Z, Ulrik CS. Incidence and risk factors for exacerbations of asthma during pregnancy. *J Asthma Allergy* 2013; 6:53-60.
35. Konstantinov SR, van der Woude CJ, Peppelenbosch MP. Do pregnancy-related changes in the microbiome stimulate innate immunity? *Trends Mol. Med.* 2013; 19(8):454-459.
36. Palses T, Humes D, Brooks A. Acute Appendicitis. In: Brooks A, ed. *Emergency Surgery.* Wiley-BlackWell; 2010:pp. 29-33.
37. Rom O, Avezov K, Aizenbud D, et al. Cigarette smoking and inflammation revisited. *Respir Physiol Neurobiol.* 2013; 187(1):5-10.
38. Oldmeadow C, Wood I, Mengersen K, et al. Investigation of the relationship between smoking and appendicitis in Australian twins. *Ann Epidemiol.* 2008; 18(8):631-636.
39. Schneider S, Huy C, Schuetz J, et al. Smoking cessation during pregnancy: A systematic literature review. *Drug Alcohol Rev.* 2010; 29(1):81-90.
40. Xu H, Wen LM, Rissel C, et al. Smoking Status and Factors Associated with Smoking of First-Time Mothers During Pregnancy and Postpartum: Findings from the Healthy Beginnings Trial. *Matern Child Health J.* 2012; 17(6):1151-1157.

Figure 1: Absolute rates of acute appendicitis per 10,000 person-years by trimesters and early and later postpartum



AA: acute appendicitis; CI: confidence interval

Table 1: Characteristics of the study population and study parameters

<i>Variables</i>	<i>Number</i>
Number of women during fertility period	1,624,804
Pregnancies	362,219
Live births (%)	360,016 (99.4)
Stillbirths (%)	2,203 (0.6)
Total person-years follow-up time	7,190,548
During antepartum	247,755
During postpartum	80,775
During the time outside pregnancy	6,862,018
Median follow-up of the study (IQR)	3.1 (1.3-6.5)

IQR: Inter Quartile Range

Table 2: Overall and age-specific absolute and relative rates of acute appendicitis during antepartum and postpartum, compared to the time outside pregnancy

Time period	Person years	Number of AA events	Incidence rate* (95%CI)	Crude IRR (95%CI)	Adjusted IRR† (95%CI)
All ages					
Time outside pregnancy	6,862,018	6,560	9.6 (9.3-9.8)	1	1
Antepartum overall	247,755	156	6.3 (5.4-7.4)	0.66 (0.56-0.77)	0.65 (0.55-0.76)
Postpartum overall	80,775	79	9.9 (7.8-12.2)	1.02 (0.82-1.3)	1.01 (0.81-1.26)
15-24 years					
Time outside pregnancy	1,933,797	2,947	15.2 (14.7-15.8)	1	1
Antepartum	58,964	58	9.8 (7.6-12.7)	0.64 (0.49-0.83)	0.65 (0.49-0.84)
Postpartum	17,730	23	12.9 (8.6-19.5)	0.85 (0.56-1.28)	0.85 (0.56-1.3)
25-34 years					
Time outside pregnancy	2,281,737	1,951	8.6 (8.2-8.9)	1	1
Antepartum	142,239	79	5.5 (4.4-6.9)	0.64 (0.51-0.8)	0.65 (0.51-0.81)
Postpartum	46,072	36	7.8 (5.6- 10.8)	0.9 (0.66-1.27)	0.9 (0.65-1.26)
35-44 years					
Time outside pregnancy	2,646,484	1,662	6.3 (5.9-6.6)	1	1
Antepartum	46,552	19	4.1 (2.6-6.4)	0.65 (0.41-1.02)	0.64 (0.4-1)
Postpartum	16,973	20	11.8 (7.6-18.3)	1.87 (1.21-2.91)	1.84 (1.18-2.86)

AA, acute appendicitis; CI, confidence interval; IRR incident rate ratio

*per 10,000 person-years

†Adjusted for calendar year and age (when not stratified)

Table 3: Overall and age-specific absolute and relative rates of acute appendicitis by trimester, compared to the time outside pregnancy

Time period	Person years	Number of AA events	Incidence rate* (95%CI)	Crude IRR (95%CI)	Adjusted IRR† (95%CI)
All ages					
Time outside pregnancy	6,862,018	6,560	9.6 (9.3-9.8)	1	1
I trimester	74,648	55	7.4 (5.7-9.6)	0.77 (0.59-1)	0.75 (0.58-0.98)
II trimester	81,259	59	7.3 (5.6-9.4)	0.76 (0.59-0.99)	0.74 (0.57-0.96)
III trimester	91,849	42	4.6 (3.4-6.2)	0.48 (0.35-0.65)	0.47 (0.35-0.64)
15-24 years					
Time outside pregnancy				1	1
I trimester	18,150	26	14.3 (9.7-21)	0.94 (0.63-1.39)	0.94 (0.64-1.4)
II trimester	19,426	17	8.7 (5.4-14.1)	0.57 (0.35-0.92)	0.6 (0.35-0.93)
III trimester	21,389	15	7 (4.2-11.6)	0.46 (0.27-0.76)	0.46 (0.27-0.76)
25-34 years					
Time outside pregnancy				1	1
I trimester	43,073	27	6.3 (4.3-9.1)	0.73 (0.5-1.07)	0.73 (0.5-1.06)
II trimester	46,640	31	6.6 (4.7-9.4)	0.77 (0.54-1.1)	0.77 (0.54-1.1)
III trimester	52,526	21	4 (2.6-6.1)	0.47 (0.3-0.7)	0.46 (0.3-0.71)
35-44 years					
Time outside pregnancy				1	1
I trimester	13,425	2	1.5 (0.4-5.9)	0.24 (0.06-0.94)	0.23 (0.05-0.94)
II trimester	15,193	11	7.2 (4-13.1)	1.15 (0.63-2.08)	1.13 (0.62-2.06)
III trimester	17,934	6	3.3 (1.5-7.4)	0.53 (0.23-1.18)	0.52 (0.23-1.17)

AA, acute appendicitis; CI, confidence interval; IRR incident rate ratio

*per 10,000 person-years

†Adjusted for calendar year and age (when not stratified)

Table 4: Overall and age-specific absolute and relative rates of acute appendicitis in early and later postpartum compared to the time outside pregnancy

Time period	Person years	Number of AA events	Incidence rate* (95%CI)	Crude IRR (95%CI)	Adjusted IRR† (95%CI)
All ages					
Time outside pregnancy				1	1
Early postpartum	41,010	42	10.2 (7.6-13.8)	1.07 (0.79-1.45)	1.06 (0.78-1.44)
Later postpartum	39,765	37	9.3 (6.7-12.8)	0.97 (0.7-1.34)	0.97 (0.7-1.34)
15-24 years					
Time outside pregnancy				1	1
Early postpartum	9,188	11	11.9 (6.6-21.6)	0.78 (0.43-1.4)	0.78 (0.43-1.41)
Later postpartum	8,542	12	14 (7.9-24.7)	0.92 (0.52-1.62)	0.91 (0.52-1.61)
25-34 years					
Time outside pregnancy				1	1
Early postpartum	23,370	24	10.3 (6.8-15.3)	1.2 (0.8-1.8)	1.19 (0.79-1.78)
Later postpartum	22,702	12	5.3 (3-9.3)	0.6 (0.35-1.09)	0.61 (0.34-1.08)
35-44 years					
Time outside pregnancy				1	1
Early postpartum	8,452	7	8.2 (3.9-17.4)	1.31 (0.62-2.8)	1.29 (0.61-2.72)
Later postpartum	8,521	13	15.2 (8.8-26.3)	2.4 (1.4-4.2)	2.38 (1.38-4.11)

AA, acute appendicitis; CI, confidence interval; IRR incident rate ratio

*per 10,000 person-years

†Adjusted for calendar year and age (when not stratified)

Table 5: Sensitivity analysis of the outcome definition using acute appendicitis events only defined by surgical procedures

Time period	Person years	Number of AA events	Incidence rate* (95%CI)	Crude IRR (95%CI)	Adjusted IRR† (95%CI)
Time outside pregnancy	6,862,602	6,391	9.3 (9-9.5)	1	1
I trimester	74,659	51	6.8 (5.1-8.9)	0.73 (0.55-0.97)	0.72 (0.54-0.94)
II trimester	81,270	59	7.2 (5.6-9.3)	0.77 (0.6-1.01)	0.76 (0.59-0.99)
III trimester	91,861	39	4.2 (3.1-5.8)	0.45 (0.33-0.62)	0.45 (0.33-0.61)
Early postpartum	41,015	42	9.7 (7.1-13.3)	1.07 (0.79-1.46)	1.09 (0.81-1.48)
Later postpartum	39,771	34	8.8 (6.3-12.2)	0.92 (0.66-1.29)	0.92 (0.65-1.28)

AA, acute appendicitis; CI, confidence interval; IRR incident rate ratio

*per 10,000 person-years

†Adjusted for calendar year and age

Table 6: Frequency of negative appendectomy during the time in and around pregnancy and the time outside pregnancy

	Tot AA events	Negative appendectomy	% of negative appendectomy
Time outside pregnancy	7,743	1,352	17.5
I trimester	60	9	15
II trimester	80	21	26.2
III trimester	42	3	7.1
Early postpartum	49	7	14.3
Later postpartum	39	5	12.8

AA, acute appendicitis