



Clarke, Michèle L. and Rendell, Helen M. (2015) 'This restless enemy of all fertility': exploring paradigms of coastal dune management in Western Europe over the last 700 years. *Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers*, 40 (3). pp. 414-429. ISSN 1475-5661

Access from the University of Nottingham repository:
<http://eprints.nottingham.ac.uk/32568/1/clarke-TIBG.pdf>

Copyright and reuse:

The Nottingham ePrints service makes this work by researchers of the University of Nottingham available open access under the following conditions.

- Copyright and all moral rights to the version of the paper presented here belong to the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners.
- To the extent reasonable and practicable the material made available in Nottingham ePrints has been checked for eligibility before being made available.
- Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.
- Quotations or similar reproductions must be sufficiently acknowledged.

Please see our full end user licence at:
http://eprints.nottingham.ac.uk/end_user_agreement.pdf

A note on versions:

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of record. If you wish to cite this item you are advised to consult the publisher's version. Please see the repository url above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information, please contact eprints@nottingham.ac.uk



'This restless enemy of all fertility': exploring paradigms of coastal dune management in Western Europe over the last 700 years

Journal:	<i>Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers</i>
Manuscript ID:	Draft
Manuscript Type:	Regular Paper
Keywords:	coastal management, dunes, ecosystem services
Abstract:	<p>This paper explores the different approaches that have been taken to coastal dune management in Western Europe over the last 700 years. Documentary evidence of the management of dune landscapes and the changing human and societal responses to inundations of sand are examined. In analyzing these responses, which include both legislative frameworks and management practices, the view that all sand movement inland resulted from feckless mismanagement of coastal dune areas is challenged. The coastal dunes of Western Europe are currently in a state of unprecedented stability, partly as a result of the planting of dune grasses and in some cases pine-dominated woodlands. Although this activity involved substantial investment on the part of landowners and government agencies over centuries, this investment and the reasons for it have been largely ignored in the promotion of the ecologically-driven paradigm of dynamic dune management. The implications of actively de-vegetating coastal dunes to recreate 'natural' dune landscapes without taking account of the historic economic legacy of past land uses are discussed.</p>

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9

'This restless enemy of all fertility': exploring paradigms of coastal dune management in Western Europe over the last 700 years

10
11

Abstract

12 This paper explores the different approaches that have been taken to coastal dune
13 management in Western Europe over the last 700 years. Documentary evidence of the
14 management of dune landscapes and the changing human and societal responses to
15 inundations of sand are examined. In analyzing these responses, which include both
16 legislative frameworks and management practices, the view that all sand movement inland
17 resulted from feckless mismanagement of coastal dune areas is challenged. The coastal
18 dunes of Western Europe are currently in a state of unprecedented stability, partly as a result
19 of the planting of dune grasses and in some cases pine-dominated woodlands. Although this
20 activity involved substantial investment on the part of landowners and government agencies
21 over centuries, this investment and the reasons for it have been largely ignored in the
22 promotion of the ecologically-driven paradigm of dynamic dune management. The
23 implications of actively de-vegetating coastal dunes to recreate 'natural' dune landscapes
24 without taking account of the historic economic legacy of past land uses are discussed.
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

48
49

Introduction

50 Coastal dunes cover an area of ca. 700,000 ha of the Atlantic coast of Western Europe
51 (Doody, 2001), including both the small dune-fringed bays of the British Isles and Ireland and
52 the large stretches of dunes in southwest France, the Netherlands and western Denmark
53 (Figure 1). It has been estimated that up to 44% of this area has been 'lost' since 1900 to a
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2 combination of development (urbanisation, recreation) afforestation and reversion to scrub
3
4 (Doody, 2001), with some of these changes being easier to reverse than others. The
5
6 management of these dune landscapes has become an issue of local, national and
7
8 international concern, reflecting different understandings of their value in scientific, socio-
9
10 economic, cultural and aesthetic terms. Dune landscapes have been variously regarded as:
11
12 wastelands, either drawing parallels with the deserts of North Africa (Greenly 1919) or simply
13
14 as economically unproductive marginal land; as sources of sand invasion threatening
15
16 settlements and agriculturally-productive coastal lands (Angus and Elliott 1992); as bulwarks
17
18 against the invading sea (van der Meulen and van der Maarel 1989); and as valuable, but
19
20 vulnerable, ecosystems (Martínez *et al.* 2004). Dunes have been utilised for grazing cattle
21
22 and rearing rabbits (Baeyens 1989), for commercial forestry generating timber, resin and
23
24 turpentine products (Blanchard, 1926; Sargos, 1997), for ground water resources (van Dijk
25
26 1989) and as the source of raw materials for activities as diverse as mat making (Griffiths,
27
28 1997) and glass making (Roberts, 2003). Since the 19th century, coastal dune areas have
29
30 also been actively developed as sites for recreation (Winter, 1999) and nature conservation.
31
32 The incompatibility of some of these uses presents a challenge for contemporary dune
33
34 management.
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44

45 Over the last 700 years, different approaches to coastal dune management and the control of
46
47 inland movement of sand (i.e. sand drift) have developed in Western Europe. The factors
48
49 combining to facilitate sand movement and dune-building are: sand supply, strong turbulent
50
51 winds and the absence, or removal, of stabilising vegetation. Aggrading sandy beaches
52
53 provide a potential source of sand for deflation and movement inland while removal of dune
54
55 vegetation permits reactivation of sand movement. The changing paradigms of coastal dune
56
57 management reflect a complex series of factors including the nature of land ownership and
58
59
60

1
2 land use and the impact of legislative and policy frameworks driven by both private and public
3
4 agendas, including, most recently, the 1992 EC Habitats Directive (Council Directive
5
6 92/43/EEC on the Conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora) and
7
8 associated Biodiversity Action Plans (Everard *et al.* 2010).
9

10
11
12
13
14 The paper begins by reviewing the historical records of coastal sand movement and then
15
16 examines the changing human responses to sand inundations and reflects upon how these
17
18 responses should be used to inform current dune management practices.
19

20 21 22 23 **Dunes as wastelands: the sand drift hazard**

24
25 Over the last 700 years, sand driven inland by storms, threatened coastal settlements and
26
27 productive agricultural land in Western Europe and often had literally overwhelming impact at
28
29 the local level. The drifting sand has been described as “*this restless enemy of all fertility*”
30
31 (Hamilton 1797, 37) and “*like a pestilence (that) swallowed thousands of square miles of the*
32
33 *coast lands of France*” (Anon 1841, 229). The earliest records of sand drift in Western Europe
34
35 come from the mid 10th century and many date to before the start of the Little Ice Age (ca.
36
37 1570) (Matthews and Briffa 2005) (see Table 1). The records of sand drift are inevitably
38
39 patchy but churches, in particular, represent high value properties, and therefore their loss,
40
41 and sometimes their subsequent recovery, tends to have been highlighted in documentary
42
43 records. In Britain records of loss can be found from Cornwall, South Wales and Lancashire.
44
45 In Cornwall, churches threatened by sand drifting in from the Atlantic coast include Gwithian
46
47 (Lewis 1992) described in the late 16th century as “*a parish standing near St Ives Baye, much*
48
49 *anoyde with the sea sande, which flyeth at lowe water with the winde out the chocked haven*
50
51 *into the Lande, swallowing up much of the lande of the inhabitants to their great*
52
53 *impoverishment*” (Norden, 1597-1604); the Oratory of St. Piran (Perran), in Perran Bay,
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2 buried by sand intermittently since the 10th century: “..*the light sand carried up by the North*
3 *wind from the sea shore daily continueth his covering, so as the distress of this deluge, drave*
4 *the Inhabitants to remoove their Church..*” (Carew 1603, 148R); and St Enodoc, Trebetherick,
5
6
7
8 which was restored in the 1850’s having been buried for most of the 17th and 18th centuries
9
10
11 (Hitchens and Drew 1824; Daniell 1880).
12

13
14
15
16 Commenting on the timings of sand invasion along the South Wales coastline of Glamorgan,
17
18 Higgins (1933) draws attention to the start of sand movement in the early 14th century at a
19
20 number of different sites which he links to the onset of severe coastal storms and floods. The
21
22 Hermitage of Theodoric, near Kenfig, was overwhelmed by sand by 1300 (Higgins 1933), the
23
24 Roman coast road, the Via Julia, was covered by sand between 1344 and 1480 and the
25
26 Kenfig church was finally abandoned in 1428 (Higgins 1933). Travelling in the area in 1538,
27
28 John Leland described “*a little village on the est side of Kenfik and a Castel boeth in Ruins*
29 *and almost shokid and devoured with the sandes that the Severn Se ther castith up*” (Hearne
30
31 1711 Vol 4, 33). The nearby church of St. Mary at Pennard was completely buried by sand by
32
33 1528 and by 1650 little of Pennard Castle was visible and what was left was surrounded by
34
35 sand (Higgins 1933).
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44

45 Sand hills (or ‘hawes’) have dominated the coast of southwest Lancashire between the rivers
46
47 Mersey and Ribble since the 11th century (Harrop 1985). Many of the old place-names include
48
49 the word ‘meols’, the Old Norse term for sand-hill (Harrop 1984). At North Meols (now
50
51 Southport) in the early 17th century Jameson (1636 p.4) refers to “*those chaffe sands which*
52 *doe in mountains rise...windie tempest blows them up in heapes*”. Although the changing
53
54 nature of the Lancashire coastline is captured in tales of ‘lost villages’ (Argarmeols,
55
56 Ravenmeols) (Ashton 1909) and the ‘lost farm’ between Birkdale and Ainsdale (Harrop 1985),
57
58
59
60

1
2 a detailed record exists of the impact of sand movement on some of the settlements. In the
3
4 early 18th century blown sand advanced on the settlement of Formby and eventually forced
5
6 the dismantling and removal of St. Peter's church between 1739 and 1746 (de Rance 1877)
7
8 to a new site 1.5 miles inland where it was reconsecrated in July 1747 (Beardwood 1970). de
9
10 Rance (1877, 112) attributes the onset of sand blowing to the onshore movement of a sand
11
12 bank and its subsequent deflation by westerly winds commenting "*in a short time the*
13
14 *cultivated ground, gardens, orchards and the streets of Formby were entirely covered up*".
15
16
17
18
19

20
21 In south-west France advancing sand dunes from the 15th century onwards threatened to
22
23 engulf parts of settlements along the Gascony coast including Soulac, Lege and Mimizan
24
25 (Guinaudeau 1974) and a similar story is evident from the coast of western Jutland in
26
27 Denmark where records of sand drift date from 1427 and with the village of Tibirke in northern
28
29 Sjaelland, for example, abandoned by 1726 (Lamb and Frydendahl 1991).
30
31
32
33
34

35 By the 1700s sand drift was posing serious problems for those trying to make a living along
36
37 the coasts of France, Denmark, Britain and Ireland, but the scale of the problem is captured
38
39 more than 200 years earlier in the first piece of legislation concerning sand drift that was
40
41 passed into law in Britain in 1554, this was a Public Act of Parliament, *An Acte Touching the*
42
43 *Sea Sandes In Glamorganshire* (Figure 2) , that set out "*to reform the great hurte, nuisance*
44
45 *and losses that cometh and chanceth to the Queen Highness and her Subjects by reason of*
46
47 *the sande Rising out of the sea and driven to land by storms and winds whereby much good*
48
49 *ground lying on the sea coast in sundry places of this realm and especially in the County of*
50
51 *Glamorgan, bee covered with suche sande rising out of the sea that ther comethe no profite*
52
53 *of the same, to the greate losse of the Quenes Highnes and her loving subjectes and more ys*
54
55 *lyke to ensue yf spedye remedie be not therin provided"* (1554 – 1 Mary St.3 c10.11). This Act
56
57
58
59
60

1
2 appears to have been drafted in response to the successive losses of land reported at
3
4 Pennard, Kenfig and other places along the south Wales coast (Higgins 1933). The Act of
5
6 Parliament extends the preexisting powers of the Commission of Sewers to give them “*full*
7
8 *power and authoritee...to make suche Lawes Provisions Ordinances Judgementes and*
9
10 *Decrees within the said Countye of Glamorgan, for the redresse and saving the sayd*
11
12 *groundes from hurt or destruction by reason of the saide Sandes..*” (1554 – 1 Mary St.3
13
14 c10.11). The Commissions of Sewers were originally established in 1427 (6 Henry VI. c.5) to
15
16 deal with inundations of water both inland and along the coast by building and maintaining
17
18 structures including ditches, causeways and weirs. The 1554 Act captures the seriousness
19
20 and scale of the problem and explicitly extends the meaning of inundations to include those of
21
22 sand as well as of water.
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

30 **Strategies for combating inundations of sand and water**

31
32 In the historic record inundations of both water and sand were seen as a constant source of
33
34 worry for coastal populations with dunes often acting as a first line of defence against coastal
35
36 flooding (Roeland and Roelse 1995). The implications of any breaching of these coastal
37
38 barriers were considerable and therefore their importance was well understood. In this context
39
40 we can identify a number of different paradigms of dune management in Western Europe:
41
42
43
44
45

46
47 (1) Unmanaged retreat: do nothing to arrest the movement of sand, abandon houses and
48
49 land and move away.
50

51
52
53
54 (2a) Stabilisation with dune grasses: encourage the planting of dune grasses, particularly
55
56 marram, and control the removal of vegetation from dunes by strictly regulating coastal land
57
58 use.
59
60

1
2
3
4 (2b) Stabilisation with trees: plant pine (*P. pinaster*, *P. sylvestris*) and other tree species to fix
5
6
7 dunes and provide an additional income/industry for the local economy.
8
9

10
11 (3) Maintain the dune system by allowing it to develop naturally (i.e. Dynamic dune
12
13 management): inland movement of sand is no longer seen as a problem. The management
14
15 strategy involves the removal of dune vegetation to allow areas of sand to remobilise, allow
16
17 blowouts to develop, to promote sand movement and dune building.
18
19

20
21
22
23 The key factors governing the various responses are ones of scale and of the availability of
24
25 resources of both capital and labour. The different paradigms are explored in turn below.
26
27

28 29 30 *Unmanaged retreat*

31
32 The first paradigm is one of unmanaged retreat: do nothing to try to stop sand moving inland,
33
34 abandon houses and land and move away, and such an approach is seen in small
35
36 embayments on western Ireland (Quinn 1977) and along the south Wales coast at Kenfig
37
38 (Higgins 1933). This approach reflects a teleological view of the natural world, the scale of the
39
40 problem, particularly the volume of sediment involved, and perhaps the lack of any perceived
41
42 aesthetic value in such a landscape. Hamilton (1797, 34) writing of the western coast of
43
44 Ireland comments: "*The waste and dreary solitude of extended barren sands, which almost*
45
46 *every sea coast exhibits, is generally passed over with rapidity, as useless to the philosopher,*
47
48 *from the incalculable fluctuation of its surface; and wearisome to the traveller, by its*
49
50 *disgusting uniformity*". Hamilton's is also a traveller's view. A different but most desperate
51
52 view is expressed in a letter written in 1792 from Felix Gallagher to the second Viscount
53
54 Palmerston concerning his landholding on the Sligo estate in the west of Ireland: "*..When I*
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2 *first ... took a lease of the part I hold of your Lordship's estate it looked and promised well, I*
3
4 *could not then see nor could it enter the mind of man what ravages of Blowing Sand has*
5
6 *made since that time..” (BR150/17/11).*
7
8
9

10
11 One particularly notorious example of retreat is provided by the case of the Culbin Sands, on
12 the coast of Fife in Scotland, in which the Barony of Culbin, with its fields and farmsteads,
13 was supposedly buried by sand during a violent storm lasting a single night in 1694. The
14 reality appears to have been more prosaic, the incursion of sand more gradual (Steers 1937;
15 Bagnold 1937) and the scale of economic loss exaggerated (Ross 1992). But it is
16 nevertheless interesting that the catastrophist vision of the action of a single (unrecorded)
17 storm not only became embedded and embroidered in local folklore (Ross 1992) but has also
18 entered the scientific literature (e.g. Lamb and Frydendahl 1992; Provoost *et al.* 2011) where
19 it is treated as fact rather than fiction.
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34

35 *Stabilization with vegetation: dune grasses*

36
37 The second paradigm is an economically-driven, pragmatic response, holding, and, in some
38 cases, advancing the line, by using vegetation to prevent sand movement and encourage
39 dune stabilisation. This approach has a very long history that is related to the extent to which
40 coastal lands have been owned and actively managed in Western Europe. It also in part pre-
41 dates the late 18th century move towards 'improvement' (Smout 2000) In Britain for example,
42 the use of coastal dunes in Cornwall and Lancashire was the subject of cases dealt with by
43 manorial courts and assizes. The relationship between dune vegetation and blowing sand
44 appears to have been well understood and records going back to at least the 15th century
45 indicate that dune vegetation was reinforced by additional planting while the removal of dune
46 vegetation, without the landowners' permission, was prohibited.
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

What was this dune vegetation? In the earliest authoritative annotated plant lists dating from the late 16th and early 17th centuries the dune grass *Ammophila arenaria* was described for the first time as English Mat Weede and initially given the name *Spartum Anglicanum* by Gerard (1597). He describes it as follows: “*English Mat weede hath a rushie roote, deeply creeping and growing in heapes of sand and gravel, from the which arise stiff sharp pointed leaves, a foot and a halfe long*” Gerard (1597, 39). Parkinson (1640) in his *Theatrum Botanicum*, identifies two types of Matweed or Mat Rushes: “*Our Matweed or Marram (Spartum marinum nostras)*” and “*The other of our Sea Matweedes (Spartum marinum nostras alternum)*”, which is probably lyme grass (*Elymus arenaria*). Threlkeld (1726) describes *Spartum anglicanum* as “*Gramen Sparteum Spicatum folijs Mucronatis Longioribus, vel specâ seculinâ, English Sea-matweed, Marram or Helm..*” Although *Ammophila arenaria* has a number of synonyms (*Arundo arenaria* (L), *Calamagrostis arenaria*(G), Smith, 1799) the use of the common names (matweed, marram, bent, bennet, starr, rush) is confusing since some of these names, bent for example, have in turn have been applied to a range of different grasses (Wright 1961, 244). Although marram is now the dominant common name for *Ammophila arenaria*, it was originally a name only associated with the Norfolk and Suffolk coasts (Parkinson, 1640), in Lancashire the name was starr, in Cornwall it was rush, while in Scotland and Ireland the name bent was used. Whatever the name, there is evidence of the active planting of dune grasses to combat sand movement from the late 15th century onwards in Britain.

Issues of dune management, including both the planting and the removal of dune grasses, are highlighted in various estate records. In Lancashire the deed of covenant drawn up at Sefton between Sir Richard Molyneux of Sefton and Henry Halsall of Halsall dated 10th

1
2 January 1560 includes the following injunction: *“shall not permit nor suffer...any of their*
3 *tenants farmers or occupiers.. or any other pson or psons to doe or comthe any acte or thinge*
4 *as in getting of starr or distroing of the same with there cattell beastes or otherwise”* (LRO
5
6 DDIN/49/11). ‘Haweslookers’, literally sand-hill watchers, were appointed by the manor court
7
8 from the 1630s in Birkdale and Ainsdale and fines were imposed on those people caught
9
10 removing the starr grass. From the mid 18th century ‘starr-setters’ were appointed to plant
11
12 dune grasses (Harrop, 1985) a practise that continued on the Ince Blundell estates near
13
14 Formby into the early 20th century (Royal Commission on Coast Erosion 1911).
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

23 On the Arundell Estate, near Gwithian in Cornwall there are records of payments to tenants to
24
25 plant rushes *“on the sand to preserve the lord’s land”* (CRO AR/2/982) and also of fines
26
27 imposed when rushes were cut or removed without the permission of the landowner. Records
28
29 from the Estate include payments of 6s to tenants for planting rushes in 1491/2, 1497/8,
30
31 1620/1, 1632, 1679-1688 and 1703 as well as lists of fines for cutting/removal of rushes in
32
33 1461/2 (6s, 8d), 1508-1510, 1528-9 and 1529-30. In the early 18th century the estate was still
34
35 experiencing problems with sand drift as the following correspondence demonstrates:
36
37
38
39
40
41

42 *“London, Saturday 3 April 1703. Sir Richard Arundell to George Bere, Lanherne*

43
44 *I am very sorry to finde by yours of 22 March that the overflowing of the sands have*
45
46 *done this winter so much damage to our lands in yr mannor of Connorton. I hope your*
47
48 *planting of rushes will provide successfull and that God will be pleas’d to blesse your*
49
50 *endeavours in putting a stop to this desolation.”* (CRO AR10/164)
51
52
53
54
55

56 *“Lanherne 3 April 1704 George Bere to Lady Bellings, London*
57
58
59
60

1
2 *I have been again at Gwithian planting rushes with I hope by Gods blessings may*
3
4 *prevail this ruin which is...threatened by the sands.” (CRO AR10/192)*
5
6
7
8

9 The earliest record of marram planting on the Holkham Estate of the Earls of Leicester dates
10 from 1746 and records a payment of £2 – 1s – 8d for “*planting 125 rods of the sea bank with*
11 *marrum at 4d a rod*”(Holkham Hall Archive A/AU22). The planting of ‘bent’ on the sand dunes
12 along the coast of the Palmerston’s Sligo Estate was undertaken at the behest of the 3rd
13 Viscount Palmerston at an annual cost of between £120 and £220 from the late 1820s to the
14 1860s. By 1833, Palmerston’s Irish Agent was able to report: “*Bent Planting – this has*
15 *succeeded beyond anything that could have been expected, not only in preventing the Sand*
16 *from Blowing over the adjoining grounds but in converting an immense Tract of bare Sand*
17 *banks as barren and uninviting as the Deserts of Arabia into a firm soil and a beautiful green*
18 *pasture*” (BR145/5/20). The success of the bent planting was celebrated in an article that
19 appeared in the Gardener’s Chronicle and Agricultural Gazette of 5th April 1845 written by
20 John Lynch, Palmerston’s agriculturalist.
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 The situation with sand drift around the coasts of Britain was sufficiently serious that two Acts
41 of Parliament were passed in 1695 and 1742 to prohibit the removal of dune vegetation. The
42 original common name for *Ammophila arenaria* of Matweed gives a hint of its potential utility
43 and places like Birkdale, in Lancashire, and Newborough, in Anglesey, supported mat-making
44 activities (Harrop 1985; Griffiths 1997).
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53

54 The driving force behind the 1695 Act was the economic damage done to meadows and
55 pasture lands “*ruined and overspread in many places of the Kingdom, by Sand driven from*
56 *adjacent Sand-hills, the which has been mainly occasioned by the pulling up by the Root of*
57
58
59
60

1
2 *Bent, Juniper and Broom Bushes, which did loose and break the Surface and Scroof of the*
3
4 *said Hills*" (William III c.30 1695). Specific reference is made in the Act to the loss of the lands
5
6 of the Culbin estate and the owner of the estate lobbied parliament in 1695 to avoid paying
7
8 land tax (cess) on the estate on the grounds that two-thirds of the estate were covered in
9
10 sand (Ross 1992).
11
12

13
14
15
16 The second piece of legislation, an "*Act for the more effectual preventing of the cutting of starr*
17
18 *or bent*" (6 George II c.33 1742) was directed at the problems of the north-west coasts of the
19
20 Kingdom and specifically in the county of Lancashire where the "*Sea is bounded, and the*
21
22 *adjacent Lands are prevented from being overflowed by large Sand-Hills*" The 1742 Act notes
23
24 that "*when any violent strong west winds happen to blow, the sand is carried away and*
25
26 *thrown on the adjacent lands, not only to the damage thereof but also to the great Terror and*
27
28 *Danger of the Inhabitants who are thereby exposed to the indundation of the sea: And*
29
30 *whereas it has been found by Experience, that the best Way to preserve the said Hills from*
31
32 *being blown away as aforesaid, is to plant them with a certain Rush or Shrub called Starr or*
33
34 *Bent, which proves an effectual Method for keeping the same firm and solid, and which the*
35
36 *Owners of the said Lands are at great Costs and Charges, in yearly setting and Planting for*
37
38 *that Purpose;*" (6 George II c.33 1742) thus highlighting the role of the dunes as the first line
39
40 of defence against coastal flooding and the role of landowners in managing the sand-hills.
41
42 The act also notes that people are cutting or pulling up the dune grasses and using them to
43
44 make "*Mats, Brushes, and Brooms or Besoms*". While the 1695 act prohibited removal of
45
46 vegetation by anyone, including the landowner, the 1742 act prohibited the pulling up and
47
48 carrying off of dune grasses without the landowner's permission. The 1742 Act was certainly
49
50 enforced in Lancashire in 1857 when Peter Wright was fined 20s with costs by the
51
52 Magistrates at Southport on behalf of the landowner, Mr Thomas Weld-Blundell, for
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2 possessing starr grass (LRO DDIN/66/39). Bailey (1955) observes that, as a consequence of
3
4 the almost unheard of decision to enforce the Act, the basket makers of Birkdale had to make
5
6 do with willow instead. But this was actually not the case, as an article in the Liverpool
7
8 Mercury of 31st May 1889 records a meeting between the agent of Mr Charles Weld-Blundell
9
10 and about 100 mat makers on Birkdale Common at which arrangements for permitted cutting
11
12 of starr grass were announced (Liverpool Mercury 1889).
13
14
15
16
17

18
19 Active management of dune areas and the distinction between cutting and pulling up dune
20
21 grasses is important since many researchers, including Doody (1989a) and Westhoff (1989),
22
23 have regarded all sand drift problems as inherently caused by human activity. While cutting
24
25 allowed for re-growth, pulling up of the whole plant, including the roots, did not. Writing of the
26
27 Netherlands, Westhoff (1989, 48), claims that *"Until far into the previous (19th) century the*
28
29 *North Sea coastal dunes were much more mobile and much less overgrown than they are*
30
31 *nowadays, mainly as a result of exhaustive cropping by man...the dune area was rather like a*
32
33 *desert"*. This scenario of feckless exploitation should not go unchallenged as it is apparent
34
35 that some dune areas were subject to careful management. Marram grass was used for
36
37 thatch and in 1692 the manor court for Birkdale and Ainsdale appointed officers *'to set people*
38
39 *on where to get thatch starr so that no damage may ensue'* (Harrop 1985, 30). The mat
40
41 making activities at Birkdale and Ainsdale were also controlled, with the landowner's agent
42
43 noting that *"..bona-fide mat makers resident in Birkdale or Ainsdale would be permitted to cut*
44
45 *the grass in the proper season (July) under the superintendence of the estate starr watcher,*
46
47 *but must register their names and addresses at the estate office."*(Liverpool Mercury, 31st May
48
49 1889, 2). The establishment of mat-making and basket-making industries at Birkdale and
50
51 Newborough suggests that in some areas the cutting of marram was sustainable. The 1871
52
53 census entries for the two settlements, record 57 individuals with occupations variously
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2 described as Mat Maker, Table Mat Maker and Star Mat Maker in Birkdale while there were
3
4 122 Mat Makers in Newborough (of whom only three were male) equivalent to 45% of the
5
6 female population. At Newborough, plots were leased for marram cutting and farm incomes
7
8 depended on cutting and mat-making (Griffiths 1997). The Newborough Mat-makers
9
10 Association (Figure 3), established in 1913, continued to flourish into the 1920s supplying
11
12 horticultural mats to the Army and Navy Co-op Stores in Frances Street, London and rush
13
14 rope to the London and North West Railway at Crewe (ARO WM/301) before declining by the
15
16 late 1930s (ARO WM/2118).
17
18
19
20

21
22
23 The management of dune areas also went beyond controlling marram use. In Lancashire, for
24
25 example, dunes were '*not just heaps of sand but valuable economic units – in this case,*
26
27 *rabbit warrens*' (Harrop 1985, 31) and on the Blundell and Formby estates rabbit warrens
28
29 were carefully regulated and provided fur, flesh and fertilizer with no part of the animal going
30
31 to waste (Harrop 1985). Not all coastal dunes were as well-managed, as exemplified by the
32
33 Stewart Estate in County Donegal, Ireland, where marram was removed on the orders of the
34
35 landowner during period 1914-1918 and the estate, including Horn Head House, was covered
36
37 by the resultant sand drift (Quinn 1977). Another example of poor management involved
38
39 forest clearance of the Island of Anholt, Denmark, by 1600, and it is thought that subsequent
40
41 uncontrolled cropping of dune vegetation resulted in sand drift affecting much of the island
42
43
44
45
46
47 (Christensen and Johnsen 2001).
48
49
50

51
52 *Stabilization with vegetation: afforestation and the economic impact of stabilisation from*
53
54 *landed estates to government agencies*
55

56
57 The economically-driven paradigm took on additional force in the late 18th century with the
58
59 use maritime pine (*Pinus pinaster*) to fix dunes not only to 'hold the line' but also to provide
60

1
2 additional income and industry to boost the local economy from the sale of pine resin and
3
4 turpentine (Blanchard, 1926). The move to plant trees began in earnest in south-west France
5
6 with the dunes of Aquitaine (Brémontier 1797) and the techniques used (Brown 1878) were
7
8 subsequently adopted in Denmark, Great Britain and Ireland. By the end of the 19th century
9
10 90,000 ha of Aquitaine dunes were forested (Guinaudeau 1974; Sargos, 1997) along with
11
12 39,000 ha of dunes in Jutland (Skarregaard 1989). In Britain and Ireland afforestation of sand
13
14 dunes from the mid-19th century relied on the efforts of individual landowners in County Sligo,
15
16 Fife, Lancashire and Norfolk (Clarke and Rendell 2011). The perceived success of the
17
18 Aquitaine planting attracted the attention of the 3rd Viscount Palmerston, then UK Foreign
19
20 Secretary, who contacted the British Consul in Bordeaux in 1835 to arrange for seeds of
21
22 maritime pine to be sent to Ireland for planting on his Sligo Estate (BR145/7/36). The first
23
24 consignment of ca. 450 kg of seeds was stopped in customs and had to be extricated by
25
26 Palmerston's Irish Agent whose letter to the Treasury concludes "*..it cannot be expedient or*
27
28 *politic to prohibit the importation of an article which – if it should succeed may prove the*
29
30 *means of rescuing & protecting large tracts of land upon the Western & Northwestern Coast*
31
32 *of Ireland & other parts of the British Isles from the devastation by sand flood*" (BR145/8/4).
33
34 Pine seed was sown directly into the sand hills of Mullaghmore, and seed continued to be
35
36 imported through the 1840s and 1850s at a cost of ca. £20 per consignment. The planting
37
38 was seen as a great 'experiment' which subsequently spread to Holkham in Norfolk. Although
39
40 the planting strategy involved a substantial commitment of time and resources and it is worth
41
42 noting that the economic incentives of the Aquitaine/Landes campaign (i.e. timber, resin and
43
44 turpentine) were not necessarily exploited elsewhere, particularly at the smaller scale. At
45
46 Holkham the planting was undertaken in the 1870s
47
48 to fix the coastal dune barrier protecting newly drained agricultural land (Royal Commission
49
50 on Coast Erosion 1911). The afforestation of coastal areas in Britain to provide both
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2 employment and timber was one focus of the Royal Commission on Coast Erosion (1907
3
4 1909 1911). Afforestation remained the management tool of choice well into the 20th century
5
6 in Britain with the fundamental aims of arresting sand movement as well as providing
7
8 commercial forestry with the planting of Culbin (2,560 ha), Tentsmuir (1,500 ha) and
9
10 Newborough (750 ha) before and after the second world war by the UK Forestry Commission
11
12 (Clarke and Rendell 2011).
13
14
15
16
17

18
19 *From pragmatic stabilisation to biodiversity and dynamic management*

20
21 By the second half of the 20th century the coastal dunes of Western Europe were
22
23 experiencing an unprecedented period of stability, resulting from planting of dune grasses and
24
25 particularly coastal pine forests, but ecologists increasingly viewed afforestation as malign,
26
27 destroying complex dune habitats and associated biodiversity (Martínez *et al.* 2004) by
28
29 'overstabilising' the sand dunes (Doody 2001). Regrets about afforestation were also shared
30
31 by some geomorphologists. Writing in 1937, Steers rather wistfully comments that "*(w)hilst*
32
33 *the afforestation has once again made Culbin a valuable national asset ... the physiographer*
34
35 *cannot help regretting that the nearest approach to a desert in the British Isles is rapidly*
36
37 *disappearing"* (Steers 1937, 502). Ecologists were also alarmed by the rapid development of
38
39 potentially damaging recreational activities and argued that remaining dune habitats required
40
41 protection from such developments (Doody 1989a; van der Zande 1989). In Britain the post-
42
43 war legislation (National Parks and Access to the Countryside Act, 1949) allowed for the
44
45 establishment of both National Nature Reserves (NNRs) and Sites of Special Scientific
46
47 Interest (SSSIs) and provided a new context for coastal dune management. The coastal dune
48
49 sites of Ainsdale, Newborough, Kenfig, mentioned above, together with Braunton Burrows
50
51 (Devon), feature in the list of the top 22 sites considered for conservation status by the Nature
52
53 Reserves Investigating Committee in 1944 (Sheail 1976). Members of the British Ecological
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2 Society were prominent in driving the NNR designation agenda (Sheail 1976). The
3
4 establishment of NNRs was not without problems and tensions, created by the conflicting
5
6 demands of nature conservation, development, agriculture and recreation, and exacerbated
7
8 by issues of land ownership and jurisdiction (Doody 1989a). Land ownership was often
9
10 complicated as a result of the break-up of large estates such as the Ince-Blundell and Formby
11
12 Estates in Lancashire (Jones *et al.* 1993). Management was however increasingly driven by
13
14 the perceived need to accommodate (or facilitate) dune mobility rather than arresting it with
15
16 vegetation (Nordstrom and Lotstein 1989; Westoff 1989; Wanders 1989; Doody 1989b, van
17
18 Zoest 1992). This perception reflects both the understanding of dunes as inherently dynamic
19
20 systems and the increasing role of ecological theory in informing conservation practice. The
21
22 emergence of this more 'dynamic' management approach is captured in the proceedings of a
23
24 series of conferences held in the late 1980s and early 1990s (van der Meulen *et al.* 1989;
25
26 Gimmingham *et al.* 1989; Carter *et al.* 1990) and is effectively enshrined in the 1992 EC
27
28 Habitats Directive 92/43/EEC. This piece of legislation requires, amongst other things, regular
29
30 reporting from Member States on the conservation status of species and habitats, including
31
32 coastal sand dunes.
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43

44 **The future: From dynamic management to utilitarian ecosystem services?**

45
46 The logical consequence of the dynamic approach to coastal dune management is to
47
48 increase the areas of bare sand by removing dune vegetation. One issue involves the choice
49
50 of the size of the clearance area (Rhind and Jones 2009): too small and they rapidly
51
52 revegetate, too large and extensive and sand blow may ensue. Initial experiments in
53
54 removing dune vegetation in the Netherlands (Arens and Geelen 2006) suggest that scale is
55
56 indeed a key issue, i.e. what may work effectively on a small plot (a 100-300m wide coastal
57
58
59
60

1
2 strip 3km long) in what was a supply-limited system may create problems of sand drift if
3
4 undertaken on a larger scale. This is exemplified by the impact of marram removal on the
5
6 Stewart Estate at Horn Head, County Donegal (Ireland) in the 1930s which created more than
7
8 100 ha of bare sand and sand drift subsequently covered local roads, threatened Dunfanaghy
9
10 harbour (Quinn 1977) and blocked the upper part of the Sheephaven estuary creating the
11
12 New Lake (Wilson and Braley 1997). The Estate was acquired by the Irish Land Commission
13
14 who began a marram planting scheme on the bare sand areas in 1934, followed in 1946 by
15
16 forest planting on the stabilized areas (Quinn 1977). One consequence of the more dynamic
17
18 approach has been an increase in the clear-felling of some of the coastal forest areas
19
20 particularly where the trees are deemed to be non-native species. In the Netherlands,
21
22 considerable resource has recently been devoted to deforestation on sparsely populated
23
24 islands such as Terschelling in order to re-mobilize the sand. On a visit to the island Durkin
25
26 (2011, 2) comments '*Seeing the wind (on a relatively calm sunny day) move blizzards of sand*
27
28 *from the fore shore to the dunes and seeing places where that volume of sand has piled 20*
29
30 *feet high in a week left me in awe of the potential of nature's architecture*'. This provides a
31
32 different perspective on sand drift from that given in the historic accounts quoted above, as
33
34 well as instantly capturing some of the associated issues such as scale. Ironically, in the case
35
36 of the dunes on the Sefton Coast, the clear-felling of pine woodlands was opposed by local
37
38 residents and the local council on the grounds that this would damage the red squirrels'
39
40 (*Sciurus vulgaris*) woodland habitat (Hansard 2007): a habitat that owes its existence to dune
41
42 stabilization in the late 19th century (Jones *et al.* 1993). A similar clear-felling proposal in
43
44 relation to Newborough Dune Forest on Anglesey has also proved highly controversial (Rhind
45
46 and Jones 2009). While the Countryside Council for Wales perceive the pine plantations of
47
48 Newborough as a direct threat to the favourable conservation status of coastal dune habitats,
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2 and in direct contravention of EU legislation, the plantations are regarded as highly valuable
3
4 assets by local inhabitants and visitors (Rhind and Jones 2009).
5
6
7

8
9 The aim of the dynamic management approach appears to be to return the system to a
10
11 notional pristine state. This is both a geomorphological and ecological challenge. The key
12
13 question is whether vegetation clearance, particularly the clear-felling of coastal pine forests,
14
15 can restore the desired sand dune habitats. While bulldozers can be used to recreate
16
17 passable imitations of dune shapes and blow-outs, re-establishing floral and faunal
18
19 communities is more difficult, particularly given that simple assumptions about succession can
20
21 no longer be made (Miyanishi, and Johnson 2007; Johnson and Miyanishi 2008). The results
22
23 from empirical studies involving vegetation removal are equivocal (Sturgess and Atkinson
24
25 1993; van Boxel *et al.* 1997; Lemauviel and Roze 2000; Arens and Geelen 2006) and gauging
26
27 success depends in part on the ultimate restoration goal (Jones *et al.* 2010). According to the
28
29 EC Habitats Directive, the goal is to ensure that a favourable condition of sand dune habitats
30
31 is achieved or maintained and within the UK this is done by specifying targets as part of a
32
33 Biodiversity Action Reporting System. Ironically, some moves to remobilise sand dunes may
34
35 require the destruction of the protected habitat of grey dunes which is also in contravention of
36
37 the EU Habitats Directive. Using an ecosystem approach to the management of coastal
38
39 dunes, while appropriately holistic, also has the effect of polarising environmental attributes
40
41 between the benign and the malign; active development of blow-outs, for example, is 'good'
42
43 while proliferation of non-native species or the disruption of dune vegetation by trampling is
44
45 'bad'.
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55

56 However, the 'ecosystem approach' not only increasingly provides 'a framework for
57
58 environmental policy development' (Holt *et al.* 2011, 213) but is also reinforced by the
59
60

1
2 Millennium Ecosystem Assessment with its emphasis on ecosystem services (Gómez-
3 Baggethun and Ruiz-Pérez, 2011). In their paper addressing the societal importance of sand
4 dunes, Everard *et al.* (2010) argue that since the only protection that coastal dune
5 environments currently receive is derived from biodiversity considerations, embedded in the
6 legislative framework of the EC Habitats Directive, their value in providing coastal defence
7 'services' is poorly recognised. Yet climate change impacts on coastal dune systems have
8 largely been confined to discussions of the implications of sea level rise (e.g. Carter 1991;
9 Rhind and Jones 2009) linked to the importance of coastal dune systems as bulwarks against
10 coastal flooding. In addition, Psuty and Silveira (2010) highlight the importance of
11 understanding changing sediment budgets, again in the context of sea level rise, but little
12 mention is made of the changing magnitude and frequency of coastal storms in mobilising
13 sediment.
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31

32 The consensus amongst climate modellers is that Atlantic storminess, however defined, is set
33 to either stay the same or increase in intensity during the 21st century (Clarke and Rendell
34 2011, Table 2). Under these conditions, and provided there is a source of sand, the
35 devegetation of dunes will result in sand blow on a scale determined by the vegetation
36 removal. Although Sherman and Nordstrom (1994, 263) regard sand drift as '*a nuisance
37 rather than a danger*' and point out that, as natural hazards go, '*lives are not threatened and
38 structures are not usually destroyed*', we have estimated that sand drift problems over the last
39 700 years affected over a quarter of a million hectares of coastal land in Western Europe
40 (Clarke and Rendell 2011) which represents a 'nuisance' of considerable spatial extent. By
41 ignoring the original biophysical drivers for planting dune vegetation, those promoting the
42 ecosystem approach are taking a narrow and ahistoric view that is also closed to any
43 consideration of 'ecologically inappropriate' coastal forests as having economic, aesthetic or
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2 cultural values for local populations. Perhaps a place-based perspective (Potschin and
3
4 Haines-Young, 2012) would provide a more appropriate framework for future management of
5
6 coastal sand dunes.
7
8
9

10 11 **Conclusions**

12
13
14 In Western Europe, recent ideas of a managed naturalness or 'dynamic dune management'
15
16 have evolved against a backdrop of unprecedented stability of coastal dunes. These ideas
17
18 have also developed in an ecological framework within which anthropogenic influence is
19
20 almost always regarded as inherently malign whether in initiating sand movement by
21
22 removing dune vegetation or by stabilising dune systems with dune grasses or conifer
23
24 plantations. This perspective fails to recognise both the extent to which dune areas were
25
26 managed sustainably and the resources invested in the attempts to stabilise dunes areas on
27
28 the part of local populations. The comparative lack of contemporary dune building and sand
29
30 drift in Western Europe is the result of hundreds of years spent combating it and the
31
32 substantial investment of resources on the part of landowners and local and national
33
34 governments. This historic economic legacy needs to be factored into future management
35
36 strategies and we make a plea for its inclusion within a place-centred ecosystem services
37
38 approach. In the absence of such an approach and in the context of abundant sand supply
39
40 together with unchanged or increasing Atlantic storminess the consequences of active
41
42 devegetation of sand dune landscapes on even a modest scale are likely to be dramatic.
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

50 51 **Acknowledgements**

52
53
54 We thank the Parliamentary Archives, House of Lords, the Archives and Special Collections,
55
56 Hartley Library, University of Southampton, for access to the Broadland Papers; the Holkham
57
58
59
60

1
2 Estate for access to their archives; the Public Record Office Northern Ireland (PRONI) and
3
4 the Anglesey, Cornwall and Lancashire County Records Offices for their assistance.
5
6
7

8 9 **References**

10
11
12
13
14 **Alexandersson H, Schmith T, Iden K and Tuomenvirta H** 1998 Long term variations of the
15 storm climate over NW Europe *Global Atmospheric and Ocean Systems* 6 97-120
16
17

18
19
20
21 **Angus S and Elliott MM** 1992 Erosion in Scottish machair with particular reference to the
22 Outer Hebrides in **Carter RWG, Curtis TGF and Sheehy-Skeffington MJ** eds *Coastal*
23 *dunes: geomorphology, ecology and management for conservation* Balkema, Rotterdam 93-
24
25
26
27
28 112
29

30
31
32
33 **Anon** 1841 Restraining sand-drift *The Farmer's Register* 229
34
35

36
37
38 **Arens SM and Geelen LHWT** 2006 Dune landscape rejuvenation by intended destabilisation
39 in the Amsterdam water supply dunes *Journal of Coastal Research* 22 1094-1107
40
41
42

43
44
45 **Ashton W** 1909 *The battle of land and sea on the Lancashire, Cheshire and North Wales*
46 *coasts with special reference to the origin of the Lancashire sandhills* Southport Wm Ashton &
47
48
49 Sons Ltd
50

51
52
53
54 **Baeyens G** 1989 Wildlife management in Dutch coastal dunes in **van der Meulen F,**
55
56
57 **Jungerius P D and Visser J H** eds *Perspective in coastal dune management* 111-120
58
59
60

1
2 **Bagnold RA** 1937 The Culbin Sands and Burghead Bay: Discussion *The Geographical*
3
4 *Journal* 90 523-528
5
6
7

8
9 **Bailey FA** 1955 *A history of Southport* Angus Downie, Southport
10
11

12
13 **Beardwood FC** 1970 *Notes on the history of Formby* (Lancashire Record Office EO2FOR)
14

15
16 **Blanchard WO** 1926 *The Landes: reclaimed waste lands of France* Economic Geography
17
18 2:249-255
19
20

21
22
23 **Brémontier NT** 1797 *Mémoire sur les dunes et particulièrement sur celles qui se trouvent*
24
25 *entre Bayonne et la pointe de Grave, à l'embouchure de la Gironde.* l'imprimerie de la
26
27 République Paris
28
29

30
31
32 **Brown JC** 1878 *Pine plantations on the sand-wastes of France* Oliver and Boyd Edinburgh
33
34

35
36
37 **Carew R** 1603 *The survey of Cornwall* John Jaggard London
38
39

40
41
42 **Carter RWG** 1991 Near-future sea level impacts on coastal dune landscapes *Landscape*
43
44 *Ecology* 6 29-39.
45
46

47
48
49 **Carter RWG, Nordstrom KF and Psuty NP** 1990 The study of coastal dunes in **Nordstrom**
50
51 **KF, Psuty NP and Carter RWG** eds *Coastal Dunes: Form and Process* Wiley and Sons,
52
53 Chichester 1-14
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2 **Carter RWG, Curtis TGF and Sheehy-Skeffington MJ** eds 1992 *Coastal Dunes:*
3
4 *geomorphology, ecology and management for conservation* Balkema Rotterdam
5
6
7

8
9 **Christensen SN and Johnson I** 2001 The lichen-rich coastal heath vegetation on the isle of
10
11 Anholt, Denmark – description history and development *Journal of Coastal Conservation* 7 1-
12
13 12.
14
15
16

17
18 **Clarke ML, Rendell HM, Tastet J-P, Clavé B and Massé L** 2002 Late Holocene sand
19
20 invasion and North Atlantic storminess along the Aquitaine coast, southwest France *The*
21
22 *Holocene* 12 231-238
23
24
25

26
27
28 **Clarke, ML and Rendell, HM** 2011 Atlantic storminess and historical sand drift in Western
29
30 Europe: implications for future management of coastal dunes *Journal of Coastal Conservation*
31
32 15 227-236 DOI 10.1007/s11852-010-0099-y
33
34
35

36
37 **Daniel JJ** 1880 *A compendium of the history and geography of Cornwall*
38
39
40

41
42 **Dawson AG, Hickey K, Mayewski PA and Nesje A** 2007 Greenland (GISP2) ice core and
43
44 historical indicators of complex North Atlantic climate changes during the fourteenth century
45
46
47 *The Holocene* 17 427-434
48
49

50
51 **de Rance CE** 1877 *The superficial geology of the country adjoining the coasts of SW*
52
53 *Lancashire* Memoir of the Geological Survey
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2 **Doody JP** 1989a Management for nature conservation. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of*
3
4 *Edinburgh* 96B 247-265
5
6

7
8
9 **Doody J.P.** 1989b Conservation and development of the coastal dunes in Great Britain in
10
11 **van der Meulen F, Jungerius PD and Visser, JH** eds 1989 *Perspectives in coastal dune*
12 *management. Proceedings of the European Symposium Leiden, September 7-11, 1987* SPB
13
14 Academic Publishing The Hague 53-67
15
16

17
18
19
20
21 **Doody JP** 2001 *Coastal conservation and management: an ecological perspective* Kluwer
22
23 Academic Publishers Boston
24
25

26
27
28 **Durkin C** 2011 Study tour of Terschelling *Sand Dune and Shingle Network Twelfth*
29
30 *Newsletter July 2011* 2
31
32

33
34
35 **Everard M, Jones L and Watts B** 2010 Have we neglected the societal importance of sand
36
37 dunes? *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems* 20 476–487 DOI:
38
39 10.1002/aqc.1114
40
41

42
43
44 **Gerard J** 1597 *The Herball or Generall Historie of Plantes* John Norton London
45
46

47
48
49 **Gimingham CH Ritchie W Willetts BB and Willis AJ** eds 1989 *Coastal Sand Dunes.*
50
51 *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh* 96B 313pp.
52
53

1
2 **Gómez-Baggethun E and Ruiz-Pérez M** 2011 Economic valuation and the commodification
3 of ecosystem services *Progress in Physical Geography* 35 613-628 DOI:
4 10.177/309133311421708
5
6
7

8
9
10
11 **Greenly E** 1919 Geology of Anglesey *Memoir of the Geological Survey* Vol 11
12

13
14
15
16 **Griffiths M** 1997 *The marram weavers of Newborough: an ancient Anglesey craft* Magma
17 Books Anglesey
18
19

20
21
22
23 **Guinaudeau J** 1974 Fixation des dunes de Gascogne en France *International Journal of*
24 *Biometeorology* 18 133-136.
25
26

27
28
29
30 **Hamilton W** 1797 Memoir on the climate of Ireland *Transactions of the Royal Irish Academy*
31 6 27-55.
32
33

34
35
36
37 **Hansard** 2007 House of Commons Written Answers 20th November 2007 Column 13P
38 Petitions Environment, Food and Rural Affairs Sefton Coastal Woods.
39
40

41
42
43
44 **Harrop S** 1985 *Old Birkdale and Ainsdale: life on the southwest Lancashire coast 1600-1851*
45 The Birkdale and Ainsdale Historical Research Society, Birkdale
46
47

48
49
50
51 **Hearne T** 1711 *The itinerary of John Leland the antiquary* Vol.4
52

53
54
55
56 **Higgins LS** 1933 An investigation into the problem of the sand dune areas on the south
57 Wales coast *Archaeologia Cambrensis* 88 26-67
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 **Hitchens F and Drew S** 1824 The history of Cornwall Vol. 2
5
6
7
8

9 **Holt AR, Godbold, JA, White PCL, Slater A-M, Pereira, EG and Solan M** 2011 Mismatches
10 between legislative frameworks and benefits restrict the implementation of the ecosystem
11 approach in coastal environments *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 434 213-228 doi:
12
13
14
15
16 10.3354/meps09260
17
18

19
20
21 **Jameson I** 1636 *Iter Lancastrensi*
22
23

24
25
26 **Johnson EA Miyanishi K** 2008 Testing the assumptions of chronosequences in succession.
27
28 *Ecology Letters* 11 1-13
29
30

31
32
33 **Jones CR, Houston JA and Bateman D** 1993 A history of human influence on the coastal
34 landscape. in **Atkinson D and Houston J** eds *The sand dunes of the Sefton coast* National
35 Museums and Galleries on Merseyside Liverpool
36
37
38
39

40
41
42 **Jones MLM Norman K and Rhind PM** 2010 Factors affecting vegetation establishment and
43 development in a sand dune chronosequence at Newborough Warren, North Wales *Journal*
44
45
46
47 *of Coastal Conservation* 14(2) 139-151
48
49

50
51
52 **Kjærgaard T** 1994 *The Danish revolution, 1500-1800 an ecohistorical interpretation*
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
60 Cambridge University Press Cambridge

1
2 **Klijn JA** 1990 The younger dunes in the Netherlands; chronology and causation in **Bakker**
3
4 **ThWM, Jungerius PD and Klijn JA** eds Dune of the European Coasts *Catena Supplement*
5
6 18 89-100.
7
8

9
10
11 **Lamb HH and Frydendahl K** 1991 Historic storms of the North Sea, British Isles and
12
13 Northwest Europe Cambridge University Press Cambridge
14
15

16
17
18 **Lemauviel S and Roze F** 2000 Ecological study of pine forest clearings along the French
19
20 Atlantic sand dunes: perspectives of restoration *Acta Oecologica* 21 179-192
21
22

23
24
25 **Lewis D** 1992 The sands of time: Cornwall's Hayle to Gwithian Towans in **Carter RWG,**
26
27 **Curtis TGF and Sheehy-Skeffington MJ** eds *Coastal Dunes: geomorphology, ecology and*
28
29 *management for conservation* Balkema, Rotterdam, 463-473.
30
31

32
33
34
35 **Martínez ML, Maun MA and Psuty NP** 2004 The fragility and conservation of the world's
36
37 coastal dunes: geomorphological, ecological and socioeconomic perspectives in **Martínez ML**
38
39 **and Psuty NP** eds *Coastal dunes, ecology and conservation* Ecological Studies 171,
40
41 Springer-Verlag, Berlin 355-369.
42
43

44
45
46 **Matthews JA and Briffa KR** 2005 The 'Little Ice Age': re-evaluation of an evolving concept
47
48
49 *Geografiska Annaler* 87A 17-36
50
51

52
53
54 **Matulla C, Schöner W, Alexandersson H, von Storch H and Wang XL** 2008 European
55
56 storminess late nineteenth century to present. *Climate Dynamics* 31 1125-1130. DOI
57
58 10.1007/s00382-007-0333-y
59
60

1
2
3
4 **Miyanishi K and Johnson EA** 2007 Coastal dune succession and the reality of dune
5 processes in **Johnson EA and Miyanishi K** eds *Plant disturbance ecology: the processes*
6 *and the response* Academic Press Amsterdam 249-282.
7
8

9
10
11
12
13 **Norden J** 1597-1604 *Survey of Cornwall*
14
15

16
17
18 **Nordstrom KF and Lotstein EL** 1989 Perspectives on resource use of dynamic coastal
19 dunes. *Geographical Review* 79 1-12
20
21
22

23
24
25 **Owen H** 1953 *Hanes plwyf Niwbwrch ym Môm Caernarfon*.
26
27

28
29
30 **Parkinson J** 1640 *Theatrum Botanicum: the Theater of plants or an Herball of large extent*
31 *etc.* T Cotes, London 2 vols
32
33
34

35
36
37 **Pinto AA** 1938 *O pinhal do rei* Alçobaca 2 vols.
38
39

40
41
42 **Polsue J** 1868 *A complete parochial history of the county of Cornwall* Volume II Lake Truro
43
44

45
46
47 **Potschin M and Haines-Young R** 2012 Landscapes, sustainability and the place-based
48 analysis of ecosystem services *Landscape Ecology* DOI: 10.1007/s10980-0112-9756-x
49
50

51
52
53
54 **Proovost S, Jones MLM and Edmondson SE** 2011 Changes in landscape and vegetation
55 of coastal dunes in northwest Europe: a review *Journal of Coastal Conservation* 15 207-226
56
57
58
59 doi 10.1007/s11852-009-0068-5
60

1
2
3
4 **Psuty NP and Silveira TM** 2010 Global climate change: an opportunity for coastal dunes?
5
6

7 *Journal of Coastal Conservation* 14 153-160.
8
9

10
11 **Pye K and Neal A** 1993 Late Holocene dune formation on the Sefton coast, northwaet
12
13 England in Pye K (ed) *The dynamics and environmental context of aeolian sedimentary*
14
15 *systems* Geological Society Special Publication 72 201-217.
16
17

18
19
20
21 **Quinn ACM** 1977 *Sand dunes: formation, erosion and management* An Foras Forbartha
22

23 Dublin
24
25

26
27
28 **Rhind P Jones R** 2009 A framework for the management of sand dune systems in Wales
29

30 *Journal of Coastal Conservation* 13 15-23
31
32

33
34
35 **Roberts J** 2003 *Glass: the strange history of the Lyne Stephens fortune* Templeton Press,
36

37 Chippenham UK.
38
39

40
41
42 **Roeland H and Roelse P** 1995 Dynamic preservation of the coastline of the Netherlands
43

44 *Journal of Coastal Conservation* 1 17-28
45
46

47
48
49 **Ross S** 1992 *The Culbin sands – fact and fiction* Centre for Scottish Studies, University of
50

51 Aberdeen, Aberdeen
52
53

54
55
56 **Royal Commission on Coast Erosion** 1907, 1909, 1911. H.M.S.O. 3 vols
57
58
59
60

1
2 **Sargos J** 1997 *Histoire de al Forêt Landaise: du désert à l'âge d'or* L'Horizon Chimerique,
3
4 Cahors 3^{me} edition
5
6
7

8
9 **Sheail J** 1976 *Nature in trust* Blackie Glasgow
10
11

12
13 **Sherman DJ, Nordstrom KF** 1994 Hazards of wind-blown sand and coastal drift sands: a
14 review in **Finkl CW** ed *Coastal Hazards: perception susceptibility and mitigation* *Journal of*
15 *Coastal Research* SI 12:263-275
16
17
18
19

20
21
22 **Skarregaard P** 1989 Dune stabilisation in Denmark in **van der Meulen F, Jungerius PD and**
23 **Visser JH** eds *Perspectives in coastal dune management* SPB Academic Publishing The
24 Hague 151-161.
25
26
27
28
29

30
31
32 **Smout TC** 2000 *Nature contested: environmental history in Scotland And Northern England*
33 *since 1600* Edinburgh University Press, Edinburgh
34
35
36

37
38
39 **Smith JE** 1799 *English botany, or coloured figures of British plants with their essential*
40 *characters, synonyms, and places of growth* J.Davies, London, 36 vol.
41
42
43
44

45
46 **Sommerville AA, Hansom JD, Sanderson DCW and Housley RA** 2003 Optically stimulated
47 luminescence dating of large storm events in Scotland *Quaternary Science Reviews* 22 1085-
48 1092
49
50
51
52

53
54
55
56 **Steers JA** 1937 The Culbin Sands and Burghead Bay *Geographical Journal* 90 498-523
57
58
59
60

1
2 **Sturgess P and Atkinson D** 1993 The clear-felling of sand-dune plantations: soil and
3
4 vegetational processes in habitat restoration *Biological Conservation* 66 171-183
5
6

7
8
9 **Threlkeld C** 1727 *Synopsis Stirpium Hibernicarum Being a Treatise of Native Plants,*
10
11 *especially such as grow spontaneously in the vicinity of Dublin; with an appendix of*
12
13 *observations made upon Plants, by Dr. Molyneux F Davys, Dublin.*
14
15

16
17
18 **van Boxel JH Jungerius PD Kieffer N and Hampele N** 1997 Ecological effects of
19
20 reactivation of artificially stabilised blowouts in coastal dunes *Journal of Coastal Conservation*
21
22
23 3 57-62
24
25

26
27
28 **van Dijk HWJ** 1989 Ecological impact of drinking-water production in dutch coastal dunes in
29
30 **van der Meulen F, Jungerius PD and Visser JH** eds 1989 *Perspectives in coastal dune*
31
32 *management. Proceedings of the European Symposium Leiden, September 7-11, 1987* SPB
33
34 Academic Publishing, The Hague, 163-182
35
36

37
38
39 **van der Meulen F, Jungerius PD and Visser JH** eds 1989 *Perspectives in coastal dune*
40
41 *management Proceedings of the European Symposium Leiden, September 7-11, 1987* SPB
42
43
44 Academic Publishing The Hague
45
46

47
48
49 **van der Meulen F and van der Maarel E** 1989 Coastal defence alternatives and nature
50
51 development perspectives in **van der Meulen F, Jungerius PD and Visser JH** (eds)
52
53 *Perspectives in coastal dune management. Proceedings of the European Symposium Leiden,*
54
55 *September 7-11, 1987* SPB Academic Publishing The Hague 183-195.
56
57
58
59
60

1
2 **van der Zande AN** 1989 Outdoor recreation and dune conservation in the Netherlands in **van**
3
4 **der Meulen F, Jungerius PD and Visser JH** (eds) *Perspectives in coastal dune*
5
6 *management Proceedings of the European Symposium Leiden, September 7-11, 1987* SPB
7
8 Academic Publishing The Hague 207-215.
9

10
11
12
13 **Wanders E** 1989 Perspectives in coastal-dune-management towards a dynamic approach in
14
15 **van der Meulen F, Jungerius PD and Visser JH** (eds) 1989 *Perspectives in coastal dune*
16
17 *management. Proceedings of the European Symposium Leiden, September 7-11, 1987* SPB
18
19 Academic Publishing The Hague 141-148
20
21

22
23
24
25 **Wilson P and Braley SM** 1997 Development and age structure of Holocene coastal sand
26
27 dunes at Horn Head, near Dunfanaghy, Co Donegal, Ireland *The Holocene* 7 187-197
28
29

30
31
32 **Winter J** (1999) *Secure from rash assault: sustaining the Victorian environment* University of
33
34 California Press Berkley
35
36

37
38
39 **Westhoff V** (1989) Dunes and dune management along the North Sea coasts in **van der**
40
41 **Meulen F, Jungerius PD and Visser JH** eds *Perspectives in coastal dune management.*
42
43 *Proceedings of the European Symposium Leiden, September 7-11, 1987* SPB Academic
44
45 Publishing The Hague 41-51
46
47

48
49
50
51 **Wright J** ed 1961. *English Dialect Dictionary* Oxford University Press Oxford
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Figure Captions

Figure 1. Distribution of European coastal dunes (inset: sites mention in the text)

Figure 2. The 1554 Public Act of Parliament held in the House of Lords Parliamentary
Archive: *An Acte Touching the Sea Sandes In Glamorganshire* (1 Mary St.3 c10.11)

Figure 3. The Newborough Mat Makers Association, Anglesey c. 1914. Founded by Colonel
Cotton (seated centre).

For Peer Review

Table 1. Earliest documentary records of sand drift in Western Europe grouped by century

Century	Locations	Sources of reference
AD 1000 - 1099	Lindholm Høje, Denmark	Kjærgaard, 1994
AD 1100 - 1199	Netherlands	Klijn, 1990
AD 1200 - 1299	Kenfig, Wales	Higgins, 1933
AD 1300 - 1399	Anglesey, Wales Cornwall, England	Owen, 1953 Polsue, 1868
AD 1400 - 1499	Lancashire, England Bordeaux, France Jutland & North Zealand, Denmark Orkney & Shetland, Scotland Marinha Grande, Portugal	Pye & Neal, 1993 Clarke et al., 2002 Lamb & Frydendahl, 1991 Somerville et al., 2003 Pinto, 1938
AD 1500 - 1599	-	
AD 1600 - 1699	Mullaghmore & Horn Head, Ireland Culbin, Scotland	Quinn, 1977 Ross, 1992

Preprint
Peer Review

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Figure 1. Distribution of European coastal dunes (inset: sites mention in the text)
190x254mm (96 x 96 DPI)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Figure 2. The 1554 Public Act of Parliament held in the House of Lords Parliamentary Archive: An Acte Touching the Sea Sandes In Glamorganshire (1 Mary St.3 c10.11) 115x148mm (150 x 150 DPI)



Figure 3. The Newborough Mat Makers Association, Anglesey c. 1914. Founded by Colonel Cotton (seated centre).
89x57mm (300 x 300 DPI)

Review

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60