



The University of  
**Nottingham**

UNITED KINGDOM · CHINA · MALAYSIA

Simmons, M.M. and Chaplin, M.J. and Vickery, C.M. and Simon, S. and Davis, L. and Denyer, M. and Lockey, R. and Stack, M.J. and O'Connor, M.J. and Bishop, Keith and Gough, Kevin C. and Maddison, Ben C. and Thorne, L. and Spiropoulos, J. (2015) Does the presence of scrapie affect the ability of current statutory discriminatory tests to detect the presence of BSE? *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, 53 (8). pp. 2593-2604. ISSN 0095-1137

**Access from the University of Nottingham repository:**

[http://eprints.nottingham.ac.uk/30239/1/Does%20the%20presence%20of%20scrapie%20affect%20the%20ability%20of%20current%20statutory%20discriminatory%20tests%20to%20detect%20the%20presence%20of%20BSE\\_\\_Simmons\\_etal.pdf](http://eprints.nottingham.ac.uk/30239/1/Does%20the%20presence%20of%20scrapie%20affect%20the%20ability%20of%20current%20statutory%20discriminatory%20tests%20to%20detect%20the%20presence%20of%20BSE__Simmons_etal.pdf)

**Copyright and reuse:**

The Nottingham ePrints service makes this work by researchers of the University of Nottingham available open access under the following conditions.

- Copyright and all moral rights to the version of the paper presented here belong to the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners.
- To the extent reasonable and practicable the material made available in Nottingham ePrints has been checked for eligibility before being made available.
- Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.
- Quotations or similar reproductions must be sufficiently acknowledged.

Please see our full end user licence at:

[http://eprints.nottingham.ac.uk/end\\_user\\_agreement.pdf](http://eprints.nottingham.ac.uk/end_user_agreement.pdf)

**A note on versions:**

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of record. If you wish to cite this item you are advised to consult the publisher's version. Please see the repository url above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information, please contact [eprints@nottingham.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@nottingham.ac.uk)

1 **Does the presence of scrapie affect the ability of current**  
2 **statutory discriminatory tests to detect the presence of BSE?**

3  
4  
5 MM Simmons<sup>1#</sup>, MJ Chaplin<sup>1</sup>, CM Vickery<sup>1</sup>, S Simon<sup>3</sup>, L Davis<sup>1</sup>, M Denyer<sup>1</sup>, R  
6 Lockey<sup>1\*</sup>, MJ Stack<sup>2</sup>, MJ O'Connor<sup>4</sup>, K Bishop<sup>5</sup>, KC Gough<sup>4</sup>, BC Maddison<sup>5</sup>, L  
7 Thorne<sup>2</sup>, J Spiropoulos<sup>1</sup>

8  
9 <sup>1</sup> Department of Pathology, <sup>2</sup> Department of Virology, Animal and Plant Health  
10 Agency Weybridge, Surrey, UK

11 <sup>3</sup> CEA Saclay, Service de Pharmacologie et d'Immunoanalyse, iBiTec-S, Gif  
12 sur Yvette, France

13 <sup>4</sup> School of Veterinary Medicine and Science, The University of Nottingham,  
14 Leicestershire, UK.

15 <sup>5</sup> ADAS UK, School of Veterinary Medicine and Science, The University of  
16 Nottingham, Leicestershire, UK.

17  
18 Running title: Detection of BSE in the presence of scrapie

19  
20 # Address correspondence to: [marion.simmons@apha.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:marion.simmons@apha.gsi.gov.uk)

21  
22 \*Current address, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK.

23  
24  
25  
26

27 **ABSTRACT**

28

29 The present EC surveillance regulations require discriminatory testing of all  
30 transmissible spongiform encephalopathy (TSE) positive small ruminant  
31 samples, in order to classify them as BSE or non-BSE. This requires a range  
32 of tests including, characterisation by bioassay in mouse models. Since 2005  
33 naturally-occurring BSE has been identified in two goats. It has also been  
34 demonstrated that more than one distinct TSE strain can co-infect a single  
35 animal in natural field situations.

36 This study assesses the ability of the statutory methods as listed in the  
37 regulation to identify BSE in a blinded series of brain samples in which ovine  
38 BSE and distinct isolates of scrapie are mixed in varying ratios from 99% to  
39 1%. Additionally, these current statutory tests were compared with that of a  
40 new in vitro discriminatory method which uses serial protein misfolding cyclic  
41 amplification (sPMCA).

42 Western blotting consistently detected 50% BSE within a mixture, but at  
43 higher dilutions it had variable success. The ELISA method consistently  
44 detected BSE only when it was present as 99% of the mixture, with variable  
45 success at higher dilutions. Bioassay and sPMCA reported BSE in all samples  
46 where it was present, down to 1%. sPMCA could also consistently detect the  
47 presence of BSE in mixtures at 0.1%.

48 While bioassay is the only validated method that allows comprehensive  
49 phenotypic characterisation of an unknown TSE isolate, sPMCA assay  
50 appears to offer a fast and cost-effective alternative for the screening of  
51 unknown isolates when the purpose of the investigation is solely to determine  
52 the presence or absence of BSE.

53

54

55 **INTRODUCTION**

56

57 The transmissible spongiform encephalopathies (TSE's) are fatal

58 neurodegenerative diseases of animals, of which scrapie in small ruminants is

59 the archetype, and has been recognised as a disease in sheep and goats for

60 almost 300 years although many aspects of the disease are still poorly

61 understood. Despite the relative uniformity of the clinical signs, scrapie can be

62 caused by 'strains' with differing biological and biochemical features [1,2].

63 Polymorphisms in the host *PRNP* gene, which encodes for the cellular protein64 PrP<sup>C</sup>, also influence scrapie susceptibility, strain selection and the ultimate

65 disease phenotype displayed by the host [3,4]. Historically, the diversity of the

66 scrapie agent has been demonstrated by the serial passaging of natural

67 isolates to a panel of inbred mouse lines, but this does not provide a

68 comprehensive and reliable picture of the diversity of the TSE agents in small

69 ruminants. However, transgenic mice are proving to be susceptible to a wider

70 range of TSEs, enabling more comprehensive characterisation of strains [2].

71 In 1998, the definition of ovine TSEs was extended by the discovery, in

72 Norway, of an experimentally transmissible, neurological disease of sheep

73 that was clearly distinguishable by all phenotypic parameters from the

74 'classical' cases that had been reported so far. It was therefore considered to

75 be an 'atypical' form of scrapie [4].

76 Despite similar diseases occurring in man (e.g. [5]) the animal TSEs were not

77 regarded as zoonotic until the emergence in 1996 of variant Creutzfeldt-Jakob

78 Disease (vCJD), linked to bovine spongiform encephalopathy (BSE) [6] which

79 was first described in cattle in the 1980s [7].

80 Experimental studies in food animal species showed the potential  
81 transmissibility of BSE to a range of alternative hosts [8] and it became clear  
82 that the small ruminant population had been potentially exposed to infection  
83 by dissemination through concentrate feed which may have contained  
84 contaminated meat and bone meal, implicated as the origin of the BSE  
85 epidemic in cattle [9].

86 Experimental studies in sheep demonstrated that disease can result from oral  
87 challenge with cattle BSE [10] and, once established, it can transmit naturally  
88 [11]. In addition, the biological properties of the resulting ovine BSE in  
89 laboratory models indicate a potentially enhanced virulence for other species  
90 including man [12,13].

91 Although ovine BSE has not yet been identified in the field, two naturally-  
92 occurring cases of caprine BSE have been reported [14,15,16]. As a  
93 consequence of this potential risk of BSE in the small ruminant (SR)  
94 population, the current EC regulations (999/2001 as amended 36/2005)  
95 require the discriminatory testing of all TSE positive SR surveillance samples  
96 to enable the discrimination of BSE from classical scrapie in these samples.

97 The phenotype of experimental ovine BSE [17] bears a clear resemblance to  
98 naturally-occurring scrapie, which is endemic in many sheep populations.

99 However, there are some subtle differences in the biochemical signatures of  
100 these diseases. The disease-specific isoform ( $\text{PrP}^{\text{Sc}}$ ), of the normal host  
101 protein ( $\text{PrP}^{\text{C}}$ ) is the target for all current TSE biochemical diagnostic tests.

102 Depending on the TSE isolate, e.g. BSE or one of the various forms of  
103 scrapie, there are differences in the molecular location of protease K (pK)  
104 cleavage sites, and/or relative pK sensitivity. These differences, as visualised

105 by comparing the relative binding of antibodies against various epitopes  
106 around these PK cleavage sites, form the basis of the discriminatory tests  
107 currently listed in the regulations, which use either immunohistochemistry  
108 (IHC) [18] Western blot (WB) [19,20] or ELISA [21] formats.

109 In the absence of identified, naturally-occurring ovine BSE, the development  
110 and evaluation of the discriminatory tests which form the basis of the current  
111 EU statutory requirements was based on panels of samples comprising  
112 naturally-occurring classical scrapie, experimentally induced ovine BSE and  
113 bovine BSE all of which were demonstrated to be readily distinguishable by  
114 these tests [22].

115 The only experimental study that has been undertaken [23], suggests that the  
116 picture with co-infection in sheep is complicated; the WB and IHC data from  
117 central nervous system (CNS) tissues resemble classical scrapie, while in the  
118 lymphoreticular system (LRS) they may resemble either classical scrapie *or*  
119 BSE. Subsequent bioassay using two transgenic models (tg110, a line which  
120 overexpresses bovine PrP on a null murine PrP background [24] and tg338, a  
121 line that overexpresses a VRQ allele of the ovine PrP on a null murine PrP  
122 background [25]) has shown that both BSE and scrapie can be identified by  
123 bioassay from the brains of these sheep despite only a classical scrapie signal  
124 being detectable on initial screening with WB and IHC [23]. These two  
125 transgenic mouse lines, used in combination, are widely accepted to be a  
126 robust approach to biological discrimination, because the ovinised line would  
127 preferentially propagate scrapie isolates, and the bovinised line would  
128 preferentially propagate BSE. They are endorsed by the EU Reference  
129 Laboratory Strain Typing Group in the guidance document for discriminatory

130 testing in the context of EU regulation 36/2005 ([http://www.tse-lab-](http://www.tse-lab-net.eu/documents/tse-oie-rl-handbook.pdf)  
131 [net.eu/documents/tse-oie-rl-handbook.pdf](http://www.tse-lab-net.eu/documents/tse-oie-rl-handbook.pdf)).

132 Although not a widely reported occurrence, there is evidence that animals can  
133 be naturally co-infected with atypical and classical scrapie [26] and  
134 experimentally with BSE and scrapie [23,27]. There are concerns that current  
135 *in vitro* tests would not be able to provide reliable discrimination in situations  
136 where a sheep or goat was co-infected with BSE and scrapie. This uncertainty  
137 applies to a lesser extent to bioassay models using wild-type mice [27,28]  
138 although transgenic models offer greater potential through differing strain  
139 susceptibility [29,30,31].

140 Any *in vitro* mixing study cannot replicate a natural host co-infection situation,  
141 and results must not be extrapolated in that way. However, a preliminary  
142 estimate of how tests *might* perform can be sought through the testing of  
143 scrapie samples 'spiked' with BSE, and *vice versa*. Even this *in vitro* approach  
144 cannot cover all the potential variables relating to the scrapie isolates from  
145 donors, and issues such as the timing and route of infection (e.g. temporally  
146 separated, or not; same route, or not; compatibility of donor and recipient  
147 genotypes; age at challenge etc.) without becoming unworkably complicated,  
148 so the study reported here must be viewed in this context.

149 Recently, a new *in vitro* method has been developed [32] that exploits the  
150 differential amplification of PrP<sup>Sc</sup> from BSE and classical scrapie sources in  
151 different substrates using serial protein misfolding cyclic amplification  
152 (sPMCA), with retention of strain-specific biochemical characteristics.  
153 Although presently sPMCA is not a statutory test it was included  
154 retrospectively in this blinded assessment to enable a preliminary direct



155 comparison of this new discriminatory approach with the current statutory  
156 discriminatory methods, including the bioassay 'gold standard'.

157

## 158 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### 159 *Materials*

160 Experimentally generated ovine classical BSE [17] and four different naturally  
161 occurring scrapie isolates which had been characterised pathologically,  
162 biochemically and biologically, were sourced (see Table 1 for details) and  
163 prepared as a 10% (w/v) homogenate in 0.85% sterile saline [33,34]. For  
164 each scrapie source selected, the ovine BSE was mixed with it at 1%, 10%,  
165 25%, 50%, 75%, 90% and 99% based on volume. These mixtures, together  
166 with the neat ovine BSE and scrapie samples were subdivided into aliquots,  
167 blinded and stored frozen as test panels comprising 33 samples (Panel 1).

168 Estimations of the PrP<sup>res</sup> (the proteinase K (PK) resistant moiety of PrP<sup>Sc</sup>  
169 which is usually detected by biochemical tests such as Western blot and  
170 ELISA) present in each 'neat' sample were obtained so that estimates of the  
171 relative proportions of PrP<sup>res</sup> contributed by the two components of the mixture  
172 could be calculated retrospectively, but this did not affect the choice of  
173 material, since known biological phenotype criteria (i.e. distinct bioassay  
174 characteristics) were considered more relevant for this study.

175 The sample panels were provided 'blinded' to teams in the APHA (for  
176 discriminatory Western blotting) and CEA laboratories (for discriminatory  
177 ELISA), and both laboratories were asked to provide an initial interpretation of  
178 'BSE-like' or 'not BSE-like' before samples were de-coded; samples were also

179 provided to ADAS/University of Nottingham for sPMCA analysis, and were  
180 similarly interpreted before decoding.

181 All the neat sources used to prepare the panel were selected for bioassay in  
182 transgenic mice, together with the mixture with the highest undetectable  
183 percentage of BSE and the mixture containing 1% BSE from each  
184 scrapie/BSE combination. For animal bioassays, a bovinised (tg110) and an  
185 ovinised (tg338) mouse line were used. A total of 24 assays were performed.

186

187

188 *Discriminatory Western blot*

189 The samples were subjected to discriminatory Western immunoblotting using  
190 the APHA BioRad-Hybrid Western blot method as described in detail in the  
191 EU discriminatory testing handbook ([http://www.tse-lab-net.eu/documents/tse-](http://www.tse-lab-net.eu/documents/tse-oie-rl-handbook.pdf)  
192 [oie-rl-handbook.pdf](http://www.tse-lab-net.eu/documents/tse-oie-rl-handbook.pdf)). TSE strains can be characterised as 'classical' or  
193 'atypical' based on the profile of the protein bands detected by different  
194 antibodies following Western immunoblotting. Within this study, the PrP forms  
195 in the sample panel tested originated from either classical scrapie , classical  
196 ovine BSE or atypical scrapie.

197 Classical forms of TSE typically present with a 3 band profile consisting of a  
198 diglycosylated (top), monoglycosylated (middle) and diglycosylated (bottom)  
199 pattern and a high molecular mass migration of the unglycosylated PrP band  
200 with the core antibody (SHA31) and a similar or stronger intensity of overall  
201 signal with the N-terminal antibody (P4) is observed for classical scrapie. A  
202 low molecular mass migration of the unglycosylated PrP band with the core  
203 antibody (SHA31) and a much reduced, or lack of, intensity of overall signal  
204 with the N-terminal antibody (P4) is observed for classical ovine BSE. Atypical

205 scrapie typically presents with a four band profile where all bands give a  
206 distinctive downward shift with the lowest band at 15kD or lower.

207

208 The resulting band profile for each sample was visually assessed and  
209 categorised based on the above criteria. (Figure 1) The initial WB results were  
210 reported as BSE, scrapie or a mixture in which the BSE and each of the  
211 scrapie sources were provisionally classified

212

### 213 *Discriminatory ELISA*

214 The samples were tested in duplicate, using the discriminatory ELISA method  
215 that has been described in detail elsewhere [21]. This method treats each  
216 sample with one of two different PK digestion protocols (mild and stringent),  
217 and expresses the subsequent differences in antibody binding as a ratio. This  
218 ratio is further normalised against the BSE control sample in each assay run.  
219 Three internal controls were included: one classical scrapie sample (highly PK  
220 resistant, normalised ratio inferior to 0.3), an unusual scrapie sample  
221 previously reported [21] to give an 'intermediate' result (PK resistant,  
222 normalised ratio comprised between 0.3 and 0.7) and an experimental BSE  
223 sample (PK sensitive, normalised ratio comprised between 0.7 and 1.3).  
224 According to these values, the blinded samples were categorised as 'scrapie',  
225 'intermediate scrapie' or 'BSE'. Samples with a normalised ratio above 1.3  
226 were classified as 'atypical scrapie'.

227

### 228 *PrP<sup>res</sup> estimation*

229 Aliquots of the un-mixed 10% brain homogenates (in normal saline) of ovine  
230 BSE and scrapie were pelleted out by high-speed centrifugation and re-  
231 homogenised in Bio-Rad TeEsE ELISA kit proprietary buffer to give 20% w/v  
232 as specified in the kit instructions. A dilution series of each isolate was  
233 prepared, and samples were analysed in accordance with the manufacturer's  
234 instructions. The end point dilution assays were used to generate PrP<sup>res</sup>  
235 protein estimations for each homogenate (Table 1), and these were  
236 normalised for the ovine BSE sample.

237

#### 238 *Animal bioassays*

239 All intracerebral inoculations were carried out under general anaesthesia, and  
240 in accordance with the United Kingdom (UK) Animal (Scientific Procedures)  
241 Act 1986, under Licence from the UK Government Home Office (Project  
242 licence number 70/7159). Such licences are only granted following approval  
243 by the internal APHA ethical review process as mandated by the Home Office.  
244 Each inoculum (10% w/v brain homogenate in normal saline) was used to  
245 challenge 10 tg110 and 10 tg338 mice intracerebrally (20µl per mouse). The  
246 mice were allowed to develop TSE disease and were euthanized when they  
247 reached terminal disease stage, or due to other welfare reasons. At post  
248 mortem each brain was sectioned parasagittally; 2/3 was fixed and  
249 subsequently processed for histology and immunohistochemistry whilst the  
250 remaining 1/3 was kept frozen.

251 All samples were examined for the presence of TSE specific vacuolation in  
252 H&E slides and for PrP<sup>Sc</sup> detection using immunohistochemistry with the  
253 polyclonal antibody Rb486 according to standard methodology as previously  
254 described [34].

255  
256 *Discriminatory sPMCA*  
257  
258 Following completion of this ring trial and bioassay, a potentially discriminatory  
259 sPMCA method became available. This method, which is described in detail  
260 elsewhere [32], uses five sPMCA rounds with AHQ/AHQ and VRQ/VRQ  
261 sheep brain homogenates as the substrates being used in alternate rounds,  
262 followed by PK digestion and visualisation in WB using the monoclonal  
263 antibody (mAb) SHa31. This method selects for the amplification of BSE, but  
264 not scrapie.  
265 Amplified products that are detected in WB are then additionally probed  
266 separately by both P4 and SHa31 antibodies in order to confirm BSE status.  
267 A panel of original aliquots from this comparative study was supplied, blinded,  
268 for testing (Panel 1), then, following initial results Panel 2, generated from the  
269 original sources, and extending the dilution range of the ovine BSE to  
270 1:10,000, was also tested 'blind'.

271

## 272 **RESULTS**

273

274 *Estimated PrP<sup>res</sup> concentration in the brains of the sources that contributed to*  
275 *the mixtures*

276

277 The PrP<sup>res</sup> concentration in the brains of the sources that contributed to the  
278 mixtures is shown in Table 1. There was less than 1 log difference between  
279 the concentration of PrP<sup>res</sup> in the ovine BSE source and each of the scrapie  
280 sources. Assuming that PrP<sup>res</sup> is a reliable indicator for infectivity, the ratios of  
281 BSE relative to scrapie in the series of mixtures used in this study is accurate  
282 within 0.27-0.63 logs depending on the classical scrapie source. With the  
283 exception of the VRQ/VRQ classical scrapie source, the PrP<sup>res</sup> concentration  
284 in the BSE source was lower compared to the other scrapie sources.

285 Therefore, with the exception mentioned above, in the mixtures of scrapie  
286 sources with BSE the concentration of PrP<sup>res</sup> attributed to scrapie was higher  
287 than that indicated by the percentage ratio of the scrapie source in the  
288 mixture.

289

#### 290 *Discriminatory Western blot*

291 The WB results for each sample were recorded using the following criteria;  
292 high or low molecular migration with the core antibody (SHa31); strong,  
293 medium, weak or negative with the N-terminal antibody (P4) and a description  
294 of either classic 3 band or atypical profile for each antibody. Using the  
295 combination of results, each sample was assigned a concluding result of BSE,  
296 scrapie, atypical scrapie or a description of combined TSE types.  
297 Representative descriptions for 14 blinded samples are presented in Table 2,  
298 with corresponding Western blots shown in Fig 1.

299

300 Western blotting could consistently detect BSE present within a mixture with  
301 scrapie when it was present as 50% of the mixture (Table 3).

302

#### 303 *Discriminatory ELISA*

304 The ELISA method could consistently detect BSE when mixed with scrapie  
305 when it was present as 99% of the mixture (Table 3). However the results vary  
306 depending on the scrapie strains. BSE was detected when it was present as  
307 75% of the mixture (for classical scrapie VRQ/VRQ and ARQ/ARQ), 90%  
308 (classical scrapie 1-4-7 ARQ/ARQ) or 99% (atypical scrapie). When the data  
309 are unblinded, and the ELISA results grouped by scrapie type (Figure 2) it can  
310 be seen that this apparent inability to detect BSE is partly due to the

311 restrictions of having a numerical result and cut-offs. There is no qualitative  
312 data to aid interpretation of 'intermediate' cases.

313

314 A summary of the ability of the biochemical tests to discriminate BSE in the  
315 presence of scrapie, at a ratio of 1% to 99% (Panel 1) when the samples are  
316 blinded, is presented in Table 3. Different isolates resulted in different  
317 discriminatory thresholds, which were different between the tests. For  
318 example, BSE could be detected at very low levels against a background of  
319 atypical scrapie in the WB, but the BSE signal was masked by small amounts  
320 of atypical scrapie when present as a mixture in the ELISA.

321

322 *Bioassay*

323

324 Mixtures of BSE with VRQ/VRQ or ARQ/ARQ classical scrapie

325 Both tests, WB and ELISA, failed to identify the presence of BSE in the  
326 dilution series when its ratio in the mixture with VRQ/VRQ classical scrapie  
327 dropped below 50% (Table 3). Therefore the inoculum just below the cut-off  
328 point (25% BSE ratio relative to scrapie) and the inoculum with the lowest  
329 BSE ratio relative to scrapie (1% BSE) were subjected to bioassays. WB and  
330 ELISA also failed to identify the presence of BSE when the ratio of BSE to  
331 ARQ/ARQ classical scrapie was below 25% (Table 3). Therefore the inocula  
332 with 10% and 1% BSE concentration relative to scrapie were subjected to  
333 bioassays.

334 In tg338 mice the scrapie agents isolated from both classical scrapie sources  
335 were indistinguishable, with very short incubation periods - 69.5 and 75.5  
336 mean dpi for VRQ/VRQ and ARQ/ARQ scrapie isolates respectively (Figures

337 3A and 4A), similar lesion profiles (Figures 3B and 4B) and similar brain  
338 distribution of PrP<sup>Sc</sup> types as assessed by IHC (data not shown).

339 All tg338 mice that were challenged with BSE succumbed to TSE 624 dpi or  
340 later (Figure 3A). In contrast, inoculation of tg338 mice with BSE mixed with  
341 either the VRQ/VRQ or the ARQ/ARQ classical scrapie sources produced  
342 incubation periods less than 90 dpi that were compatible with those produced  
343 by the respective scrapie sources alone (Figures 3A and 4A). These data  
344 indicate that the component isolated in the mice from the BSE mixtures with  
345 VRQ/VRQ or ARQ/ARQ classical scrapie only had classical scrapie  
346 properties. The vacuolation lesion profiles alone were not conclusive because  
347 the VRQ/VRQ and the ARQ/ARQ classical scrapie, and BSE profiles were not  
348 dissimilar enough to allow unequivocal interpretation, although the mixtures  
349 did align more closely with the classical scrapie profiles produced by the  
350 100% scrapie sources (Figures 3B and 4B).

351 All tg110 mice challenged with the VRQ/VRQ source were TSE negative or, in  
352 the case of the ARQ/ARQ source, showed low attack rates with the first  
353 positive animal identified 581 dpi (Figures 3A and 4A). In contrast, mixtures of  
354 BSE with either VRQ/VRQ or ARQ/ARQ classical scrapie produced clinical  
355 stage TSE with incubation periods of 236-326 dpi (Figures 3A and 4A). These  
356 incubation periods are comparable with those generated by the original BSE  
357 source (221-267 dpi) albeit slightly longer, probably as a result of the slightly  
358 reduced titre of BSE in the mixtures. These data suggest that in this mouse  
359 line only the BSE component was isolated from the mixtures. The lesion  
360 profiles from the tg110 mice (Figures 3C and 4C) further support the  
361 conclusion that the isolated agent had only BSE properties although it was not



362 possible to construct lesion profiles from either scrapie source due to the lack  
363 of sufficient clinically positive mice diagnosed with TSE.

364  
365 1-4-7 ARQ/ARQ classical scrapie and ovine BSE

366  
367 Both tests failed to identify the presence of BSE in the dilution series when its  
368 concentration in the mixture dropped below 50% (Table 3). Therefore the  
369 inoculum just below the cut off point (25% BSE ratio relative to scrapie) and  
370 the inoculum with 1% BSE ratio relative to scrapie were subjected to  
371 bioassay.

372 Figure 4A shows that tg338 mice challenged with either of these mixtures  
373 succumbed to disease with incubation periods that were compatible with the  
374 incubation periods produced by the scrapie source alone, indicating that the  
375 agent isolated from the 25% and 1% BSE mixtures was the scrapie  
376 component. The lesion profiles are not conclusive because the ARQ/ARQ  
377 scrapie and BSE profiles are indistinguishable (Figure 5B).

378 The incubation periods of tg110 mice challenged with the 1-4-7 classical  
379 scrapie isolate were relatively shorter than the incubation periods caused by  
380 BSE in this mouse line (Figure 5A). The incubation periods produced by the  
381 25% and 1% BSE mixture in this mouse line were aligned with the incubation  
382 periods produced by the 1-4-7 ARQ/ARQ classical scrapie source (Figure 5A).  
383 Lesion profiles concur with this interpretation as the lesion profiles produced  
384 by the mixtures align with the lesion profile of the 1-4-7 classical scrapie whilst  
385 BSE produces a distinct separate profile (Figure 5C).

386

387 Tg110 mice challenged with either the scrapie or the BSE source succumbed  
388 to disease with relatively short incubation periods, therefore the mice

389 inoculated with the mixtures were further compared to those challenged with  
390 the original sources using immunohistochemistry (IHC) (Figure 6).

391 Tg110 mice challenged with the 1-4-7 classical scrapie source showed a  
392 pattern characterised by intraneuronal and fine punctate PrP<sup>Sc</sup> deposits in the  
393 neuropil; when aggregates were present they were distinct, well demarcated  
394 and ovoid (Figure 6A). BSE challenged tg110 mice also showed  
395 intraneuronal PrP<sup>Sc</sup> but the neuropil was populated with diffuse granular  
396 deposits, coalescing aggregates and plaque-like formations (Figure 6B). In  
397 addition to the IHC attributes associated with the 1-4-7 classical scrapie  
398 pattern, tg110 mice challenged with either mixture additionally showed  
399 features that were associated with the BSE-induced pattern (Figures 5C and  
400 5B). This BSE associated pattern also appeared to be more extensive in the  
401 mice that were challenged with the 25% BSE mixture compared with the mice  
402 that received the 1% BSE mixture.

403 Although the incubation periods and the lesion profiles, particularly those  
404 generated by mice that were inoculated with 25% BSE mixture, suggest that  
405 the BSE agent did not propagate selectively in the tg110 mice, it was still  
406 possible to identify the BSE component reliably in the Tg110 mice using IHC.

407  
408 Atypical scrapie and ovine BSE

409  
410 Both WB and ELISA failed to identify the presence of BSE in the dilution  
411 series when its concentration in the mixture dropped below 10% (Table 3).  
412 Therefore the inoculum with 1% BSE concentration relative to scrapie was  
413 subjected to bioassays.

414 The incubation period data in tg338 indicate that the agent isolated from these  
415 mixtures was compatible with the agent isolated from the atypical scrapie

416 source; in tg110 mice the incubation period data indicate that agent isolated  
417 from the mixtures was compatible with the agent isolated from the BSE source  
418 (Figure 7A). The lesion profiles from tg338 (Figure 7B) and tg110 mice (Figure  
419 7C) provide further support to the incubation period data although it was not  
420 possible to construct lesion profiles from the scrapie source in tg110 as all of  
421 the mice challenged with this source were TSE negative.

422  
423 *Discriminatory sPMCA*

424  
425 The original panel of 33 samples (Panel 1) were all correctly reported as 'BSE  
426 present', with the correct exception of the un-mixed scrapie samples (Table  
427 3). The analysis of Panel 2 gave identical results for the 1% to 99% mixtures.  
428 sPMCA also correctly reported the presence of BSE in all samples in which  
429 BSE was diluted to 0.1%. BSE was also successfully detected in one sample  
430 where it was diluted to 0.01% with atypical scrapie (Figure 8).

431  
432 **Discussion**

433  
434 Co-infection studies in animals using mixtures of known infectious titre remain  
435 the hypothetical ideal for this type of study, but for several reasons such  
436 studies are not necessarily as appropriate as they might appear on initial  
437 consideration. The observed titre of a TSE isolate is not an absolute measure  
438 of the infectivity of that isolate, but is also affected by the susceptibility of the  
439 host, which may differ for different isolates. For example, some scrapie strains  
440 that readily infect sheep and transgenic mouse models do not cause disease  
441 in conventional inbred mouse lines [2,33]. Therefore it is unwise to assume  
442 that two isolates with similar observed levels of infectivity in any one model  
443 will necessarily have the same infectivity potential in other species, or indeed

444 in animals of different genotypes. Equally, PrP<sup>res</sup> concentration cannot be  
445 considered to be a consistent proxy for the level of infectivity in an isolate [37].  
446 The interaction of strains either *in vitro* or within a single host is also very  
447 poorly understood. If strain properties are conferred by tertiary molecular  
448 structure, then mixing isolates together might affect the ability of a strain to  
449 infect a host either in an inhibitory or potentiating way. This may also affect  
450 tests applied to a sample with both isolates represented. However, the data  
451 from this study demonstrate that this is not the case, at least with the BSE and  
452 scrapie combinations used; all tests and models, except the sPMCA, could  
453 correctly classify both of the strains contributing to each of the mixtures,  
454 including the successful isolation, in mice, of all the component isolates of  
455 each mixture, with retention of the biological phenotypes of the unmixed  
456 controls.

457 The bioassay data, particularly from the bovinised mice, also suggest that if  
458 sheep are exposed to both BSE and scrapie, the two agents will most likely  
459 propagate as independent entities according the dynamics of titre, time of  
460 exposure to each agent and ovine PrP genotype. Therefore exposure to both  
461 agents is unlikely to result in a novel agent with previously undetected  
462 biochemical or biological properties, although this possibility should always be  
463 considered when a new or unusual isolate is identified. Under these  
464 circumstances exposure to both agents would give rise to a mixture in which  
465 BSE can be detected with the current biochemical and biological tests  
466 provided that the titre of scrapie is not overwhelming. The data also show that  
467 the choice of diagnostic test, and which scrapie strain is present, both dictate  
468 the level of scrapie that “overwhelms” the detection of BSE. For BSE mixed

469 with atypical scrapie the presence of 10% scrapie masked BSE detection by  
470 ELISA whereas, at the other extreme, BSE mixed with atypical scrapie and  
471 detected by WB required the presence of above 90% scrapie to mask BSE.

472 Additional unknowns, if trying to use an *in vivo* challenge model to recreate  
473 possible co-infection, are the age of the animal at challenge, the order in  
474 which the challenges occur, and possibly the length of time between the  
475 challenges [38,39,40].

476 The main purpose of this study was to attempt the identification of the BSE  
477 component of the mixture by using the approved discriminatory tests (EU  
478 regulation 36/2005), followed by discriminatory bioassay in tg338 mice to  
479 selectively propagate the scrapie strains, and tg110 mice to selectively  
480 propagate the BSE agent, in mixtures in which the identifiable biochemical  
481 signature of BSE has been lost or obscured. We conclude that the  
482 biochemical rapid tests can discriminate BSE in the presence of scrapie to  
483 varying degrees depending on the scrapie source, but this variation does not  
484 appear to be attributable to the amount of PrP<sup>res</sup>. The WB was more reliable  
485 than ELISA in discriminating BSE in the presence of scrapie, regardless of the  
486 scrapie isolate.

487 The bioassay was capable of resolving cases of co-infection even where BSE  
488 represented just 1% of the total TSE infectivity. In order to achieve this,  
489 bioassay systems should include a bovinised mouse line that favours  
490 propagation of BSE over scrapie, and an ovinised line with complementary  
491 properties, i.e. a line that preferentially facilitates the propagation of scrapie  
492 prions over the BSE agent. In addition to the ability to identify BSE in mixed  
493 infections, bioassay continues to be the only validated method available that

494 enables the comprehensive phenotypic characterisation of an unknown  
495 isolate.

496 It is probably not possible to source 'ideal' mouse lines with the above  
497 properties particularly as classical scrapie consists of various strains with  
498 widely variable properties. However, the selected mouse lines (tg338 and  
499 tg110) are as close as possible to that ideal situation and could be used in  
500 combination to resolve co-infection cases in a surveillance context if they  
501 arise. In addition, IHC may be useful to resolve a small proportion of  
502 bioassays in which the relative mouse line susceptibility is not, by itself,  
503 conclusive.

504 Within this study, the new discriminatory sPMCA approach was the only *in*  
505 *vitro* method which consistently detected BSE when it was present in these  
506 mixtures, even at very low concentration (down to 0.1%). This sensitivity could  
507 potentially be exploited to screen pooled ovine TSE brain samples for the  
508 presence of BSE, greatly increasing the throughput, and decreasing the costs  
509 of such screening programmes in the future. When the purpose of an  
510 investigation is solely to determine the presence or absence of BSE (as  
511 opposed to characterising whatever is in the isolate), this assay would appear  
512 to offer the potential for a fast and cost-effective alternative to bioassay, and  
513 will be proposed to the EURL Strain Typing Expert Group as a useful addition  
514 to the panel of tests currently used for the screening of unknown isolates.

515

#### 516 *Acknowledgements*

517 The authors would like to thank the many staff in the Pathology, Virology and  
518 Animal Services Departments of APHA without whose technical expertise and

519 support this work would not have been possible. This work was funded by the  
520 European Commission, through its support of the TSE EU Reference  
521 Laboratory, and Defra through its support of the TSE National Reference  
522 Laboratory. MJO was funded by a BBSRC DTP studentship.  
523

## 524 References

- 525  
526 1. **Beck KE, Sallis RE, Lockey R, Simmons MM, Spiropoulos J.** 2010. Ovine PrP  
527 genotype is linked with lesion profile and immunohistochemistry patterns after  
528 primary transmission of classical scrapie to wild-type mice. *J Neuropathol Exp*  
529 *Neurol.* **69**:483-97.  
530
- 531 2. **Thackray AM, Hopkins L, Lockey R, Spiropoulos J, Bujdoso R.** 2012.  
532 Propagation of ovine prions from "poor" transmitter scrapie isolates in ovine PrP  
533 transgenic mice. *Exp Mol Pathol.* **92**:167-74.  
534
- 535 3. **Spiropoulos J, Casalone C; Caramelli M and Simmons M.** 2007.  
536 Immunohistochemistry for PrPSc in natural scrapie reveals patterns which are  
537 associated with the PrP genotype. *Neuropathol Applied Neurobiol.* **33**: 398-409.  
538
- 539 4. **Benestad SL, Arsac JN, Goldmann W, Nöremark M.** 2008. Atypical/Nor98 scrapie:  
540 properties of the agent, genetics, and epidemiology. *Vet Res.* **39**(4):19.  
541
- 542 5. **Ironside JW.** 1998. Prion diseases in man. *J Pathol.* **186**:227-34.  
543
- 544 6. **Bruce ME, Will RG, Ironside JW, McConnell I, Drummond D, Suttie A, McCardle**  
545 **L, Chree A, Hope J, Birkett C, Cousens S, Fraser H, Bostock CJ.** 1997..  
546 Transmissions to mice indicate that 'new variant' CJD is caused by the BSE  
547 agent. *Nature.* **389**:498-501  
548
- 549 7. **Wells GA, Scott AC, Johnson CT, Gunning RF, Hancock RD, Jeffrey M, Dawson**  
550 **M, Bradley R.** 1987. A novel progressive spongiform encephalopathy in cattle.  
551 *Vet Rec.* **121**:419-20.  
552



- 553 8. **Simmons MM, Spiropoulos J, Hawkins SA, Bellworthy SJ, Tongue SC.** 2008.  
554 Approaches to investigating transmission of spongiform encephalopathies in  
555 domestic animals using BSE as an example. *Vet Res.***39**:34.  
556
- 557 9. **Wilesmith JW, Ryan JB, Atkinson MJ.** 1991. Bovine spongiform encephalopathy:  
558 epidemiological studies on the origin. *Vet Rec.* **128**:199-203.  
559
- 560 10. **Jeffrey M, Ryder S, Martin S, Hawkins SA, Terry L, Berthelin-Baker C,**  
561 **Bellworthy SJ.** 2001. Oral inoculation of sheep with the agent of bovine  
562 spongiform encephalopathy (BSE). 1. Onset and distribution of disease-specific  
563 PrP accumulation in brain and viscera. *J Comp Pathol.***124**:280-9.  
564
- 565 11. **Bellworthy SJ, Dexter G, Stack M, Chaplin M, Hawkins SA, Simmons**  
566 **MM, Jeffrey M, Martin S, Gonzalez L, Hill P.**2005. Natural transmission of BSE  
567 between sheep within an experimental flock. *Vet Rec.***157**:206.  
568
- 569 12. **Espinosa JC, Andréoletti O, Castilla J, Herva ME, Morales M, Alamillo E, San-**  
570 **Segundo FD, Lacroux C, Lugan S, Salguero FJ, Langeveld J, Torres JM.**  
571 2007. Sheep-passaged bovine spongiform encephalopathy agent exhibits  
572 altered pathobiological properties in bovine-PrP transgenic mice. *J Virol* **81**:835-  
573 43.  
574
- 575 13. **Padilla D, Béringue V, Espinosa JC, Andreoletti O, Jaumain E, Reine F, Herzog**  
576 **L, Gutierrez-Adan A, Pintado B, Laude H, Torres JM.** 2011. Sheep and  
577 goat BSE propagate more efficiently than cattle BSE in human PrP transgenic  
578 mice. *PLoS Pathog* **7**:e1001319.  
579
- 580 14. **Eloit M, Adjou K, Couplier M, Fontaine JJ, Hamel R, Lilin T, Messiaen S,**  
581 **Andreoletti O, Baron T, Bencsik A, Biacabe AG, Beringue V, Laude H, Le**

- 582           **Dur A, Vilotte JL, Comoy E, Deslys JP, Grassi J, Simon S, Lantier F,**  
583           **Sarradin P.** 2005. BSE agent signatures in a goat. *Vet Rec* **156**:523-4.  
584
- 585       15. **Jeffrey M, Martin S, Gonzalez L, Foster J, Langeveld JP, van Zijderveld FG,**  
586           **Grassi J, Hunter N.** 2006. Immunohistochemical features of PrP(d)  
587           accumulation in natural and experimental goat transmissible spongiform  
588           encephalopathies. *J Comp Pathol.* **134**:171-81.  
589
- 590       16. **Spiropoulos J, Lockey R, Sallis RE, Terry LA, Thorne L, Holder TM, Beck KE,**  
591           **Simmons MM.** 2011. Isolation of prion with BSE properties from farmed goat.  
592           *Emerg Infect Dis.* **17**:2253-61.  
593
- 594       17. **Konold T, Bone G, Vidal-Diez A, Tortosa R, Davis A, Dexter G, Hill P, Jeffrey M,**  
595           **Simmons MM, Chaplin MJ, Bellworthy SJ, Berthelin-Baker C.** 2008. Pruritus  
596           is a common feature in sheep infected with the BSE agent. *BMC Vet Res.***4**:16  
597
- 598       18. **Jeffrey M, Martin S, González L, Ryder SJ, Bellworthy SJ, Jackman R.** 2001.  
599           Differential diagnosis of infections with the bovine spongiform encephalopathy  
600           (BSE) and scrapie agents in sheep. *J Comp Pathol.* **125**:271-84.  
601
- 602       19. **Stack MJ, Chaplin MJ, Clark J.** 2002. Differentiation of prion protein glycoforms  
603           from naturally occurring sheep scrapie, sheep-passaged scrapie strains (CH1641  
604           and SSBP1), bovine spongiform encephalopathy (BSE) cases and Romney and  
605           Cheviot breed sheep experimentally inoculated with BSE using two monoclonal  
606           antibodies. *Acta Neuropathol.* **104**:279-86.  
607
- 608       20. **Lezmi S, Martin S, Simon S, Comoy E, Bencsik A, Deslys JP, Grassi J, Jeffrey**  
609           **M, Baron T.** 2004. Comparative molecular analysis of the abnormal prion protein  
610           in field scrapie cases and experimental bovine spongiform encephalopathy in

- 611 sheep by use of Western blotting and immunohistochemical methods. *J Virol.*  
612 **78**:3654-62.
- 613
- 614 21. **Simon S, Nugier J, Morel N, Boutal H, Créminon C, Benestad SL, Andréoletti O,**  
615 **Lantier F, Bilheude JM, Feysaguet M, Biacabe AG, Baron T, Grassi J.** 2008.  
616 Rapid typing of transmissible spongiform encephalopathy strains with  
617 differential ELISA. *Emerg Infect Dis* **14**:608-16.
- 618
- 619 22. **Stack M, Jeffrey M, Deslys JP, Grassi J, Baron T, Safar J, Groschup M, Agrimi**  
620 **U, Langeveld J, Matthews D, Hope J, Bostock C.** 2008 An evaluation of  
621 techniques for the discrimination of natural scrapie and experimental bovine  
622 spongiform encephalopathy in sheep. TSE EURL website. [http://www.tse-lab-](http://www.tse-lab-net.eu/documents/tse-rl-ringtrial.pdf)  
623 [net.eu/documents/tse-rl-ringtrial.pdf](http://www.tse-lab-net.eu/documents/tse-rl-ringtrial.pdf)
- 624
- 625 23. **Lantier I, Berthon P, Leroux H, Rossignol C, Lacroux C, Torres JM, Simon S,**  
626 **Anreoletti O and Lantier F.** 2009. Ovine and bovine PRNP transgenic mice  
627 allows discrimination between scrapie and BSE in co-infected mice and sheep.  
628 *Prion* 2009, Chalkidiki, Greece, Sept 23-25<sup>th</sup> 2009. **P.2.70**
- 629
- 630 24. **Castilla J, Gutiérrez Adán A, Brun A, Pintado B, Ramírez MA, Parra B, Doyle D,**  
631 **Rogers M, Salguero FJ, Sánchez C, Sánchez-Vizcaíno JM, Torres JM.** 2003.  
632 Early detection of PrPres in BSE-infected bovine PrP transgenic mice. *Arch Virol.*  
633 **148**: 677-91.
- 634
- 635 25. **Laude H, Vilette D, Le Dur A, Archer F, Soulier S, Besnard N, Essalmani R,**  
636 **Vilotte JL.** 2002. New in vivo and ex vivo models for the experimental study of  
637 sheep scrapie: development and perspectives. *C R Biol.* **325**:49-57
- 638
- 639 26. **Mazza M, Iulini B, Vaccari G, Acutis PL, Martucci F, Esposito E, Peletto S,**  
640 **Barocci S, Chiappini B, Corona C, Barbieri I, Caramelli M, Agrimi U,**

- 641                   **Casalone C, Nonno R.** 2010. Co-existence of classical scrapie and Nor98 in a  
642                   sheep from an Italian outbreak. *Res Vet Sci* **88**: 478–485.
- 643
- 644       27. **Corda E, Thorne L, Beck KE, Lockey R, Green RB, Vickery CM, Holder TM, Terry**  
645                   **LA, Simmons MM, Spiropoulos J.** 2015. Ability of wild type mouse bioassay to  
646                   detect bovine spongiform encephalopathy (BSE) in the presence of excess  
647                   scrapie, *Acta Neuropathol Comm*, In press.
- 648
- 649
- 650       28. **Corda E, Beck KE, Sallis RE, Vickery CM, Denyer M, Webb PR, Bellworthy SJ,**  
651                   **Spencer YI, Simmons MM Spiropoulos J.** 2012. The interpretation of disease  
652                   phenotypes to identify TSE strains in mice: Characterisation of BSE using PrP<sup>Sc</sup>  
653                   distribution patterns in the brain. *Vet Res* **43**:86.
- 654
- 655
- 656       29. **Groschup MH, Buschmann A.** 2008. Rodent models for prion diseases. *Vet Res*  
657                   **39**:32.
- 658
- 659       30. **Espinosa JC, Herva ME, Andréoletti O, Padilla D, Lacroux C, Cassard H, Lantier**  
660                   **I, Castilla J, Torres JM.** 2009. Transgenic mice expressing porcine prion protein  
661                   resistant to classical scrapie but susceptible to sheep bovine spongiform  
662                   encephalopathy and atypical scrapie. *Emerg Infect Dis.* **15**:1214-21.
- 663       31. **Torres JM, Espinosa JC, Aguilar-Calvo P, Herva ME, Relaño-Ginés A, Villa-Diaz**  
664                   **A<sup>1</sup> Morales M, Parra B, Alamillo E, Brun A, Castilla J, Molina S, Hawkins SA,**  
665                   **Andreoletti O.** 2014. Elements modulating the prion species barrier and its  
666                   passage consequences. *PLoS One* **9**:e89722.
- 667       32. **Gough KC, Bishop K, Maddison BC.** 2014. Highly sensitive detection of small  
668                   ruminant BSE within TSE mixes by serial Protein Misfolding Cyclic Amplification.  
669                   JCM doi: 10.1128/JCM.01693-14.
- 670

- 671 33. **Griffiths PC, Spiropoulos J, Lockey R, Tout AC, Jayasena D, Plater JM, Chave**  
672 **A, Green RB, Simonini S, Thorne L, Dexter I, Balkema-Buschmann A,**  
673 **Groschup MH, Béringue V, Le Dur A, Laude H, Hope J.** 2010. Characterization  
674 of atypical scrapie cases from Great Britain in transgenic ovine PrP mice. *J Gen*  
675 *Viro*.**91**:2132-8  
676
- 677 34. **Beck KE, Vickery CM, Lockey R, Holder T, Thorne L, Terry LA, Denyer M, Webb**  
678 **P, Simmons MM, Spiropoulos J.** 2012. The interpretation of disease  
679 phenotypes to identify TSE strains following murine bioassay: characterisation of  
680 classical scrapie. *Vet Res*.**43**:77.  
681
- 682 35. **Beck KE, Chaplin M, Stack M, Sallis RE, Simonini S, Lockey R, Spiropoulos J.**  
683 2010. Lesion profiling at primary isolation in R111 mice is insufficient in  
684 distinguishing BSE from classical scrapie. *Brain Pathol.* ;**20**(2):313-22.  
685
- 686 36. **Beck KE, Sallis RE, Lockey R, Vickery CM, Béringue V, Laude H, Holder TM,**  
687 **Thorne L, Terry LA, Tout AC, Jayasena D, Griffiths PC, Cawthraw S, Ellis R,**  
688 **Balkema-Buschmann A, Groschup MH, Simmons MM, Spiropoulos J.** 2012.  
689 Use of murine bioassay to resolve ovine transmissible spongiform  
690 encephalopathy cases showing a bovine spongiform encephalopathy molecular  
691 profile. *Brain Pathol* **22**:265-79.  
692
- 693 37. **González L, Thorne L, Jeffrey M, Martin S, Spiropoulos J, Beck KE, Lockey RW,**  
694 **Vickery CM, Holder T, Terry L.** 2012. Infectious titres of sheep scrapie and  
695 bovine spongiform encephalopathy agents cannot be accurately predicted from  
696 quantitative laboratory test results. *J Gen Virol.* **93**:2518-27.  
697
- 698 38. **Dickinson AG, Fraser H, McConnell I, Outram GW, Sales DI, Taylor DM.** 1975.  
699 Extraneural competition between different scrapie agents leading to loss of  
700 infectivity. *Nature* **253**:556.

- 701  
702 39. **Schutt CR, Bartz JC.** 2008. Prion interference with multiple prion isolates.  
703 Prion.2:61-3  
704
- 705 40. **Hunter N, Houston F, Foster J, Goldmann W, Drummond D, Parnham D,**  
706 **Kennedy I, Green A, Stewart P, Chong A.** 2012. Susceptibility of young sheep  
707 to oral infection with bovine spongiform encephalopathy decreases significantly  
708 after weaning. J Virol. **86**:11856-62.
- 709
- 710 41. **Andréoletti O, Orge L, Benestad SL, Beringue V, Litaise C, Simon S, Le Dur A,**  
711 **Laude H, Simmons H, Lugan S, Corbière F, Costes P, Morel N, Schelcher F,**  
712 **Lacroux C.** 2012. Atypical/Nor98 scrapie infectivity in sheep peripheral tissues.  
713 PLoS Pathog. 10:e1001285.  
714  
715

**Table 1. Estimation of PrPres concentration in the brains of the sources that contributed to the mixtures**

TSE source	PrPres (µg/ml)	Normalised for BSE	Log10
BSE <sup>1</sup>	2.2	1	0.00
VRQ/VRQ classical scrapie <sup>2</sup>	1.0	0.45	-0.34
ARQ/ARQ classical scrapie <sup>3</sup>	12.0	5.45	0.74
1-4-7 ARQ/ARQ classical scrapie <sup>4</sup>	9.3	4.23	0.63
Atypical scrapie (AHQ/ARQ) <sup>5</sup>	4.1	1.86	0.27

<sup>1</sup> Experimental ovine BSE produced and characterised at APHA

<sup>2</sup> Classical scrapie case supplied by Dr Olivier Andreoletti and characterised in tg338 mice (PG 127 Classical scrapie [41])

<sup>3</sup> Classical scrapie field case characterised at APHA (Scrapie 67 [35])

<sup>4</sup> Classical scrapie field case characterised at APHA (Scrapie 19 [35]) The designation 1-4-7 indicates a lesion profile in RIII mouse bioassay with peaks in areas 1,4 and 7, the same as seen with BSE isolates.

<sup>5</sup> Atypical scrapie field case (UK active surveillance) characterised and titrated (10<sup>6.92</sup> LD50/gr) at APHA (unpublished data)

716

**Table 2** Representative descriptions for 14 blinded samples, illustrated in Figure 1

WB lane number	mAb SHa31			mAb P4		'blind' interpretation	Sample details
	detection affinity	Banding pattern	Molecular mass <sup>a</sup>	detection affinity	Banding pattern		
1	++	Atypical	NA	+	Atypical	Atypical scrapie	100% atypical scrapie
2	+++	Classical <sup>b</sup>	High	+++	Classical	Classical scrapie	100% ARQ 147 scrapie
3	+++	Classical	Low	+	NA <sup>c</sup>	BSE	100% ovBSE
4	+++	Classical	High	+++	Classical	Classical scrapie	100% VRQ scrapie
5	+++	Classical	High	+++	Classical	Classical scrapie	100% ARQ scrapie
6	+++	Classical	Low	-	NA	BSE	99% ovBSE, 1% atypical scrapie
7	+++	Classical	Low	+++	Classical	Scrapie with BSE	50% ovBSE, 50% ARQ147 scrapie
8	++	Classical	Low	++	Atypical	BSE with atypical	10% ovBSE, 90% atypical scrapie
9	+++	Classical	High	+++	Classical	Scrapie	10%ovBSE, 90% VRQ scrapie
10	+++	Classical	Low	+	Classical	BSE with low dilution of scrapie or CH1641	99% ovBSE, 1%ARQ147 scrapie
11	+++	Classical	Low	+	Classical	BSE with low dilution of scrapie or CH1641	75%ovBSE, 25% atypical scrapie
12	+++	Classical	High	+++	Classical	Scrapie	25%ovBSE,75%VRQ scrapie
13	+++	Classical	Low	++	Classical	BSE with scrapie	90% ovBSE, 10% ARQ scrapie
14	++	Atypical	NA	++	Atypical	Atypical	1% ovBSE, 99% atypical scrapie

a. Molecular mass of the unglycosylated band of a classical 3-band pattern

b. Three band pattern associated with classical scrapie and BSE.

c. Sample too weak to determine the banding pattern

717

**Table 3** Ability of biochemical tests to identify BSE when mixed with different scrapie sources (Panel 1)

Scrapie source	Ovine BSE source (%) in the mixture	BSE detection		
		WB	ELISA	sPMCA
	100 (C)	+	+	+
<b>Classical (VRQ/VRQ)</b>	99	+	+	+
	90	+	+	+
	75	+	+	+
	50	+	-	+
	25*	-	-	+
	10	-	±	+
	1*	-	-	+
	0*	-	-	-
<b>Classical (ARQ/ARQ)</b>	99	+	+	+
	90	+	+	+
	75	+	+	+
	50	+	-	+
	25	+	-	+
	10*	-	-	+
	1*	-	-	+
	0*	-	-	-
<b>Classical (1-4-7 ARQ/ARQ)</b>	99	+	+	+
	90	+	+	+
	75	+	-	+
	50	+	-	+
	25*	-	-	+
	10	-	-	+
	1*	-	-	+
	0*	-	-	-
<b>Atypical (AHQ/ARQ)</b>	99	+	+	+
	90	+	-	+
	75	+	-	+
	50	+	-	+
	25	+	-	+
	10	+	-	+
	1*	-	-	+
	0*	-	-	-

(C) indicates the BSE source (100% BSE) that was used to create the pools. The percentage in the other cells in the same column indicates the percentage of BSE in the mixture. The difference reflects the percentage of scrapie material in the mixture. Dotted lines indicate the limit below which both WB and ELISA failed to identify the BSE component in the mixture.

+ BSE detected; - BSE not detected; ± inconclusive result

\* Indicates mixtures selected for bioassay in transgenic mice.

718

719



720 Figures  
721

722 FIG 1. Representative Western Blot for blinded samples, using mAbs SHa31  
723 (upper panel) and P4 (lower panel). This is an original diagnostic blot,  
724 selected to illustrate the nature of the blots on which the initial blind  
725 interpretation was made. The samples represented in lane 1-14 contained  
726 either 100% of a pure form of each TSE strain used in the study (lanes 1-5) or  
727 varying mixtures of the TSE strains (lanes 6-14). Mixed samples exhibit  
728 multiple band patterns dependent on the actual TSE strains contained in each  
729 mix. Interpretation of each sample was based on the observation of the main  
730 characteristics described in the WB method section. Detailed description of  
731 the samples, and their interpretation, in lanes 1-14 can be found in Table 2..  
732 B=classical bovine BSE control, O=classical ovine scrapie control,  
733 M=molecular mass marker.

734

735 FIG 2 Discriminatory ELISA. The mixed samples were tested in duplicates in  
736 blinded conditions. Ovine BSE was mixed with A) atypical scrapie B) classical  
737 scrapie (VRQ/VRQ) C) classical scrapie (1-4-7 ARQ/ARQ) or D) classical  
738 scrapie (ARQ/ARQ). The normalised ratio for classical scrapie samples, which  
739 are highly PK resistant, is less than 0.3, intermediate scrapie samples present  
740 a normalised ratio comprised between 0.3 and 0.7 and experimental ovine  
741 BSE samples have a normalised ratio between 0.7 and 1.3. Atypical scrapie  
742 samples have ratio greater than 1.3. According to these values, the blinded  
743 samples were categorised as "scrapie" (grey), "intermediate scrapie"  
744 (hatched), "BSE" (black) or "atypical scrapie" (white).

745

746 FIG 3 Bioassay data in tg338 and tg110 mice of 25% and 1% BSE mixtures  
747 with VRQ/VRQ classical scrapie. The original BSE and VRQ/VRQ classical  
748 scrapie sources that were used to produce the mixtures were also  
749 bioassayed. (A) Incubation periods; (B) lesion profiles in tg338 mice; (C)  
750 lesion profiles in tg110 mice. At least 5 clinically and histopathologically  
751 positive mice contributed to each lesion profile (solid lines) unless indicated  
752 (dashed line).

753

754 FIG 4 Bioassay data in tg338 and tg110 mice of 10% and 1% BSE mixtures  
755 with ARQ/ARQ classical scrapie. The original BSE and ARQ/ARQ classical  
756 scrapie sources that were used to produce the mixtures were also  
757 bioassayed. (A) Incubation periods; (B) lesion profiles in tg338 mice; (C)  
758 lesion profiles in tg110 mice. At least 5 clinically and histopathologically  
759 positive mice contributed to each lesion profile (solid lines) unless indicated  
760 (dashed line).

761

762 FIG 5 Bioassay data in tg338 and tg110 mice of 25% and 1% BSE mixtures  
763 with 1-4-7 ARQ/ARQ classical scrapie. The original BSE and 1-4-7 ARQ/ARQ  
764 classical scrapie sources that were used to produce the mixtures were also  
765 bioassayed. (A) Incubation periods; (B) lesion profiles in tg338 mice; (C)  
766 lesion profiles in tg110 mice. At least 5 clinically and histopathologically  
767 positive mice contributed to each lesion profile (solid lines) unless indicated  
768 (dashed line).

769

770

771 FIG 6 Immunohistochemistry of tg110 mice challenged with (A) 1-4-7  
772 ARQ/ARQ classical scrapie, (B) ovine BSE, C) mixture with relative BSE:  
773 scrapie ratio 1:3 (25% BSE) and (D) mixture with relative BSE:scrapie ratio  
774 1:99 (1% BSE). All photos show rostral medulla at the same magnification.  
775 Black rectangles in A, B and D indicate areas that have been further  
776 magnified and presented as insets in the corresponding images. The mice  
777 inoculated with the BSE:scrapie mixtures show BSE associated coalescing  
778 PrPSc patterns even at the lowest ratio of BSE:scrapie (D). Red arrowheads  
779 show examples of neurons with intraneuronal PrPSc deposits; blue  
780 arrowheads point to patterns of coalescing PrPSc deposits; black arrowheads  
781 illustrate examples of neurons devoid of intraneuronal PrPSc deposits.

782

783 FIG 7 Bioassay data in tg338 and tg110 mice of a 1% BSE mixture with  
784 atypical scrapie. The original BSE and atypical scrapie sources that were  
785 used to produce the mixtures were also bioassayed. (A) Incubation periods;  
786 (B) lesion profiles in tg338 mice; (C) lesion profiles in tg110 mice. At least 5  
787 clinically and histopathologically positive mice contributed to each lesion  
788 profile.

789

790 FIG 8 Western blot of PK digested sPMCA products. Samples of BSE brain  
791 homogenate were diluted into 4 isolates of ovine scrapie positive brain homogenate  
792 of VRQ, ARQ, Atypical and 1-4-7 ARQ types, as indicated, at 1/10, 1/100, 1/1000 or  
793 1/10000 dilutions (labelled as 1-4 respectively). Samples were amplified in duplicate  
794 including an equal number of scrapie only samples. Positive sPMCA samples were  
795 then further analysed: single sPMCA sample replicates were re-digested with PK and  
796 immunoblotted using the antibodies SHa31 and P4 (as shown). Blotting controls

797 were positive sheep scrapie (+S) or BSE (+B) brain homogenates and an ovine BSE  
798 sPMCA positive sample (+B<sup>P</sup>). Molecular mass markers (M) at 20 and 30kDa are  
799 shown. Blots were probed with either SHa31 or P4 monoclonal antibodies. Samples  
800 were scored positive for BSE (as indicated) if over a signal threshold on the WB and  
801 using a SHa31/P4 WB ratio as previously described [32].

802

















