

Soukoulis, Christos and Behboudi-Jobbehdar, Solmaz and Yonekura, Lina and Parmenter, C.D.J. and Fisk, Ian D. (2014) Stability of Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG in prebiotic edible films. Food Chemistry, 159 . pp. 302-308. ISSN 0308-8146

Access from the University of Nottingham repository:

http://eprints.nottingham.ac.uk/28788/1/Stability%20of%20Lactobacillus%20rhamnosus %20GG%20in%20prebiotic%20edible%20films.pdf

Copyright and reuse:

The Nottingham ePrints service makes this work by researchers of the University of Nottingham available open access under the following conditions.

- Copyright and all moral rights to the version of the paper presented here belong to the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners.
- To the extent reasonable and practicable the material made available in Nottingham ePrints has been checked for eligibility before being made available.
- Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or notfor-profit purposes without prior permission or charge provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.
- Quotations or similar reproductions must be sufficiently acknowledged.

Please see our full end user licence at: http://eprints.nottingham.ac.uk/end_user_agreement.pdf

A note on versions:

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of record. If you wish to cite this item you are advised to consult the publisher's version. Please see the repository url above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information, please contact eprints@nottingham.ac.uk

Food Chemistry 159 (2014) 302-308

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Food Chemistry

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/foodchem

Short communication

Stability of Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG in prebiotic edible films

Christos Soukoulis^{a,1}, Solmaz Behboudi-Jobbehdar^a, Lina Yonekura^{a,2}, Christopher Parmenter^b, Ian D. Fisk^{a,*}

^a Division of Food Sciences, School of Biosciences, University of Nottingham, Sutton Bonington Campus, Loughborough LE12 5RD, Leicestershire, United Kingdom ^b Nottingham Nanotechnology and Nanoscience Centre, University of Nottingham, University Park, Nottingham NG7 2RD, Nottinghamshire, United Kingdom

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 23 December 2013 Received in revised form 26 February 2014 Accepted 2 March 2014 Available online 12 March 2014

Keywords: Edible films Probiotics Synbiotics Survival Encapsulation

ABSTRACT

The concept of prebiotic edible films as effective vehicles for encapsulating probiotic living cells is presented. Four soluble fibres (inulin, polydextrose, glucose-oligosaccharides and wheat dextrin) were selected as prebiotic co-components of gelatine based matrices plasticised with glycerol and used for the immobilisation of *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* GG. The addition of prebiotics was associated with a more compact and uniform film structure, with no detectable interspaces or micropores; probiotic inclusion did not significantly change the structure of the films. Glucose-oligosaccharides and polydextrose significantly enhanced *L. rhamnosus* GG viability during air drying (by 300% and 75%, respectively), whilst a 33% and 80% reduction in viable counts was observed for inulin and wheat dextrin. Contrarily, inulin was the most effective at controlling the sub-lethal effects on *L. rhamnosus* GG during storage. However, in all cases the supplementation of edible films with prebiotics ameliorated the storage stability of *L. rhamnosus* GG.

© 2014 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/).

1. Introduction

According to (FAO/WHO, 2002) the term probiotics is used to define "viable organisms which when administered in adequate amount $(10^6 \text{ to } 10^7 \text{ CFU/g})$ to the human host confer health benefits". Delivering probiotics through ingestion of functional foods has been proposed to be associated with several health benefits including regulation of the gastro-intestinal tract, stimulation of the immune system, reduction of serum cholesterol levels, relief of lactose intolerance and irritable bowel syndrome symptomatology, prevention of cardiovascular disease and several forms of cancer (Chong, 2014; Kumar et al., 2010; Saad, Delattre, Urdaci, Schmitter, & Bressollier, 2013). Incorporation of probiotics in real food matrices is rather challenging due to the wide range of detrimental processes that take place due to food processing and storage practises. For instance, probiotic living cells are subjected to osmotic, heat and acid induced stresses and mechanical injuries (Fu & Chen, 2011). Encapsulation of probiotic cells in low moisture (spray or freeze dried matrices), cross-linked or self-assembled biopolymer microparticulates and recently immobilisation in

¹ Present address: Environment and Agro-Biotechnologies Department, Public Research Centre – Gabriel Lippmann, rue du Brill 41, L-4422 Belvaux, Luxembourg.

single or composite biopolymer substrates e.g. edible films, are currently the commonest strategies to surpass the obstacles relating to probiotics lethality due to food processing (Anal & Singh, 2007; Cook, Tzortzis, Charalampopoulos, & Khutoryanskiy, 2012; Kanmani & Lim, 2013; López De Lacey, López-Caballero, Gómez-Estaca, Gómez-Guillén, & Montero, 2012; Soukoulis, Behboudi-Jobbehdar, Yonekura, Parmenter, & Fisk, 2013; Soukoulis et al., 2014; Yonekura, Sun, Soukoulis, & Fisk, 2014).

With respect to the industrial feasibility of probiotic edible films and coatings, a number of applications including chilled processed fruit, vegetable and fish products as well as probiotic bakery products have been developed to-date (Altamirano-Fortoul, Moreno-Terrazas, Quezada-Gallo, & Rosell, 2012; López De Lacey et al., 2012; Soukoulis et al., 2014; Tapia et al., 2007).

Prebiotics are regarded as selectively fermented ingredients that allow specific changes both in the composition and activity of the gastrointestinal microbiota which confers benefits to host well-being and health (Gibson, Probert, Van Loo, Rastall, & Roberfroid, 2004). It is well documented that the synbiotic combination of prebiotics with probiotic strains promotes colonisation in the intestinal tract inhibiting the growth of human or animal pathogens and promoting bifdogenicity (Mugambi, Musekiwa, Lombard, Young, & Blaauw, 2012). Moreover, ingestion of prebiotics has been reported as enhancing the intestinal absorption of Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺ (bone mineralisation and lipid metabolism), as well as preventing several forms of cancer (Franck, 2002; Roberfroid, 2007b; Saad et al., 2013). In addition, prebiotics have been





CrossMark

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +44 (0) 115 951 16037; fax: +44 (0) 115 951 16142. *E-mail address:* Ian.Fisk@nottingham.ac.uk (I.D. Fisk).

² Present Address: Kagawa University, Faculty of Agriculture, 2393 Ikenobe, Miki, Kagawa 761-0795, Japan.

^{0308-8146/© 2014} The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/).

successfully tested as co-components for microencapsulation and in the case of anhydrobiotics (viable probiotics stabilised in a dried format) have conferred a beneficial effect on cell viability (And & Kailasapathy, 2005; Fritzen-Freire et al., 2012).

The aims of the present work were to develop and investigate several plasticised gelatine-prebiotic composite edible films containing *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* GG. Four oligomer carbohydrate materials with known prebiotic functionality (Roberfroid, 2007a) (inulin, polydextrose, glucose oligosaccharides and wheat dextrin) were evaluated for the first time in probiotic edible films.

2. Methods and materials

2.1. Materials

A probiotic strain (*L. rhamnosus* GG, E-96666, VTT culture collection, Espoo, Finland) with established probiotic functionality was used for the preparation of the edible films. Gelatine bovine skin type B, hexahydrate magnesium nitrate and glycerol (purity > 99%) were purchased from Sigma–Aldrich (Gillingham, UK). Inulin (Fibruline[®] S) was obtained from Cosucra SA (Wincoing, Belgium), whereas wheat dextrin (Nutriose[®]), polydextrose (Promitor[®]), and glucose-oligosaccharides (Glucofibre[®]) were kindly provided as a gift from Roquette, (France) and Tate & Lyle GmbH, (Germany) respectively.

2.2. Stock culture preparation and growth conditions of L. rhamnosus GG

Preparation of stock culture was carried out as described previously (Behboudi-Jobbehdar, Soukoulis, Yonekura, & Fisk, 2013). Growth of *L. rhamnosus* GG was carried out at 37 °C for 48 h under anaerobic conditions in plastic jars containing Anaerogen[®] (Oxoid Ltd., Basingstoke, UK). The obtained cell culture broth (found in the stationary bacterial growth stage) was aseptically transferred to sterile 50 mL plastic centrifuge tubes (Sarstedt Ltd, Leicester, UK) and centrifuged at 3000g for 5 min. Supernatant liquid was discarded and the harvested bacterial cells were twice washed with phosphate buffer saline (Dulbecco A, Oxoid Ltd, Basingstoke, UK).

2.3. Preparation of the probiotic edible films

Gelatine and prebiotic fibres (wheat dextrin, polydextrose, glucose-oligosaccharides and inulin) were dispersed in distilled water at 50 °C to obtain five individual biopolymer solutions. Glycerol was adjusted at the 40% w/w of the aliquots' total solids. In all cases, the total solids composition of the solutions was 4% w/w of biopolymers and 1.6% w/w of glycerol. The gelatine solution was left to fully hydrate for 30 min at 50 °C, 1:1 mixed with the prebiotic solutions, and after pH adjustment at 7.0 with sodium hydroxide 0.1 M, the obtained aliquots were heat treated at 80 °C for 15 min to destroy pathogens and to fully dissolve gelatine. Then, the heated aliquots were cooled at 40 °C and kept isothermally to avoid gelatine setting until inoculation with probiotics.

Six pellets of *L. rhamnosus* GG (corresponding to 300 mL of culture broth) were added to individual film forming solutions (100 mL) and degassed using a vacuum pump at 40 °C for 10 min. Then, 30 mL of the solution was aseptically transferred using a serologic pipette to sterile petri dishes (inner diameter 15.6 cm; Sarstedt Ltd., Leicester, UK). The cast solutions were air dried at 37 °C for 15 h in a ventilated incubator (Sanyo Ltd., Japan) in order to obtain films that could be easily peeled off and had acceptable mechanical properties (absence of brittleness and adequate flexibility/extensibility). After drying, the probiotic edible films were peeled intact from the petri dishes and conditioned at room (25 ± 1 °C) or chilled temperature (4 ± 1 °C) under controlled relative humidity conditions (54% RH) in desiccators containing saturated magnesium nitrate solution.

2.4. Enumeration of L. rhamnosus GG

One mL of the probiotic film forming solution was suspended in sterile PBS and vortexed for 30 s to ensure adequate mixing using the method described by Lopéz de Lacey et al. (2012) with minor modifications. More specifically, individual 1 g film samples containing L. rhamnosus GG were transferred to 9 mL of sterile PBS and left to hydrate and dissolve under constant agitation in an orbital incubator at 37 °C for 1 h. The complete dissolution of the edible films had been previously been tested using edible films without probiotics and no residual insoluble material could be identified. In both cases, the resulting solutions were subjected to serial dilutions using phosphate buffer saline. Each dilution was pour plated on a MRS agar (MRS Agar, Oxoid Ltd., Basingstoke, UK) and the plates were stored at 37 °C for 72 h under anaerobic conditions to allow colonies to grow. Enumeration of the bacteria on agar plates was performed in triplicates by colony counting (Champagne, Ross, Saarela, Hansen, & Charalampopoulos, 2011) and the total counts of the viable bacteria were expressed as log colony forming units per gram (log CFU/g, CFU/g = CFU/plate \times dilution factor).

The survival rate of the bacteria throughout the film forming solution drying process was calculated according to the following equation:

$$\% \text{ viability} = 100 \times \frac{N}{N_0} \tag{1}$$

where N_0 , N represent the number of viable bacteria prior and after the implemented drying process (Behboudi-Jobbehdar et al., 2013).

L. rhamnosus GG inactivation upon storage data was expressed as the value of the relative viability fraction N/N_0 . The viability data were fitted to a first order reaction kinetics model as described by the formula:

$$N_t/N_0 = 1 - k_T t \tag{2}$$

where N_0 represents the initial number of the viable bacteria and N_t the number of viable bacteria after a specific time of storage (in CFU/g), t is the storage time (in day), and k_T is the inactivation rate constant at T temperature (day⁻¹).

2.5. Physicochemical, optical and colour characteristics

A digital micrometre with a sensitivity of 0.001 mm was used for the measurement of the thickness of the probiotic edible films. Eight measurements were taken from different parts of the films to ensure results consistency.

Residual water content (g/100 g of film) was calculated according to AACC method 44-1502. Samples (approx. 0.5 g) were dried at 105 °C in aluminium pans for 48 h to constant weight. Residual water content was calculated according to the formula:

% residual water content =
$$100 \times \frac{w_i - w_f}{w_i}$$
 (3)

here w_i , w_f are the initial and final weight of the edible films.

Colour characteristics of the edible films were determined using a Hunterlab (Reston, USA) colourimeter. The CIELab colour scale was used to measure the L^* (black to white), a^* (red to green), and b^* (yellow to blue) parameters. The total colour difference ΔE^* between the control sample and synbiozic films was calculated according to the formula:

$$\Delta E^{*} = \sqrt{(\Delta L^{*})^{2} + (\Delta a^{*})^{2} + (\Delta b^{*})^{2}}$$
(4)

where ΔL^* , Δa^* , Δb^* , are the luminosity, redness and yellowness intensity difference from the control sample.

Opacity of films was determined according to the method described by Núñez-Flores et al. (2012). Film specimen were cut into rectangles ($0.7 \times 1.5 \text{ cm}^2$) and placed carefully on the surface of plastic cuvettes. Absorbance at 550 nm was measured using a UV–VIS spectrophotometer (Jenway Ltd., UK) (calibrated using an empty cuvette as blank) and films opacity was calculated according to the formula:

$$Opacity = \frac{A_{550}}{thickness}$$
(4)

2.6. Morphological characterisation

A rectangular film sample was carefully deposited onto carbon tabs (Agar Scientific, Stansted, UK) and coated with carbon (Agar turbo carbon coater) to improve conductivity. The scanning electron microscope analysis (SEM) was performed on a FEI Quanta 3D 200 dual beam focused Ion Beam Scanning Electron Microscope (FIB-SEM). The images were acquired using secondary electron imaging at an accelerating voltage of 5–15 kV.

2.7. Statistical analysis

Two-way ANOVA (prebiotic supplements and storage temperature as factors) followed by Duncan's post hoc comparison was carried out for unveiling the significance of prebiotics on the survivability of *L. rhamnosus* GG during drying and storage. All analyses were performed using SPSS release 17 statistical software (SPSS Inc., USA).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Morphological characterisation and appearance of prebiotic films

The addition of prebiotic fibre was associated with a detectable decrease (p < 0.05) of the transparency of the edible films compared to the exclusively gelatine containing ones (Table 1). There was slight impact of probiotic addition on the opacity of the films, but the increase was not significant (p > 0.05); this is in accordance with the observations of Kanmani & Lim (2013). No significant differences in the luminosity (L^*) of the films were observed, whilst wheat dextrin and inulin based films exhibited the highest (p < 0.05) scores for green and yellow hue colour components (a^* and b^*). In terms of colour difference (ΔE^*), polydextrose had the lowest and wheat dextrin the highest colour divergence from films without prebiotic fibre. However, it should be noted that in all cases ΔE^* values were lower than 3 which is considered as the threshold of human perceivable colour differences (Martínez-Cervera, Salvador, Muguerza, Moulay, & Fiszman, 2011). No effects

(p > 0.05) of the prebiotic fibres on film thickness were observed, and thus opacity and colour differences could be primarily attributed to the presence and type of prebiotic fibres.

FIB-SEM microscopic analysis of the gelatine based films allowed visualisation of L. rhamnosus GG cells (Fig. 1a and b). The addition of L. rhamnosus GG cell pellets in the edible film did not confer any noticeable modification to the structural conformation of the films (Fig. 1b), apart from the presence of the bacterial cells embedded (tiny rod-like shapes as indicated by the arrows) in the plasticised gelatine matrix. In both cases, the gelatine based films retained their cohesive, non-uniform, and reticular microstructure, as it has been also confirmed in previous studies (Jeya Shakila, Jeevithan, Varatharajakumar, Jeyasekaran, & Sukumar, 2012). The addition of prebiotics resulted in detectable changes in the microstructure of the symbiotic films (Fig. 2). As is illustrated in the SEM micrographs, blending prebiotic fibre with gelatine prior to film formation resulted to a more compact and uniform structure, with no detectable interspaces or micropores, suggesting that prebiotics act as fillers of the interspaces of entangled gelatin network. Although in all cases no bacterial cells were detected on the surface of the probiotic edible films (data not shown), cross-sectional visualisation of films unveiled enhanced coverage (and consequently better barrier properties) of the bacterial cells in the symbiotic edible films compared to those composed only of gelatine. No remarkable differences between the cross-sectional structure conformations of the films containing inulin, polydextrose and gluco-oligosaccharides were detected. It is also noteworthy that the cracks and corrugations observed in the case of polydextrose and gluco-oligosaccharides based films are related to the carbon coating and not the film structure. Films comprised wheat dextrin maintained their compact, non-porous and void-less structure, albeit more reticular and fibrous-like structure were observed. However, it should be noted, that in all cases, prebiotics exerted a good compatibility and miscibility (possibly through hydrogen bond interactions) with gelatine as no phase separation or aggregation phenomena were shown, further studies to fully characterise phase compatibility within the biopolymers were not included within this work as it was not the primary focus of the study.

3.2. Effect of prebiotics on L. rhamnosus GG throughout drying

The viable counts of *L. rhamnosus* GG in film forming solution (start-point) and edible film (end-point) expressed on total solids basis (d.b.) are displayed in Fig. 3. The sub-lethal effects of the air drying step were found to be strongly dependent on the type of the plasticised substrate. More specifically, the addition of gluco-oligosaccharides and polydextrose provided the highest protection allowing the retention of the 60.68% and 26.36% of the initial number of living *L. rhamnosus* GG cells. A rather mediocre protection was achieved in the case of gelatine based films whilst the addition of inulin and wheat dextrin resulted into an adverse

Table 1

Edible film	Water activity <i>a_w</i>	Residual water content † (g/100 g of film)	Thickness (mm)	Opacity	L*	<i>a</i> *	b *	ΔE^*
Control	0.48 ± 0.01^{a}	9.77 ± 0.23^{a}	0.142 ± 0.02^{a}	0.490 ± 0.07^{a}	87.3 ± 0.9^{a}	-1.44 ± 0.15^{a}	8.9 ± 0.2^{b}	-
Wheat dextrin	0.46 ± 0.02^{a}	9.18 ± 0.47^{a}	0.144 ± 0.03^{a}	0.938 ± 0.06^{c}	85.6 ± 0.7^{a}	-2.01 ± 0.19^{b}	10.4 ± 0.2 ^c	2.23 ± 0.11 ^d
Inulin	0.46 ± 0.02^{a}	9.87 ± 0.18^{a}	0.145 ± 0.01^{a}	$0.602 \pm 0.03^{\text{b}}$	86.2 ± 0.2^{a}	-1.83 ± 0.09^{b}	9.9 ± 0.1 ^c	1.59 ± 0.09 ^c
Gluco-	0.48 ± 0.02^{a}	11.2 ± 0.34^{b}	0.141 ± 0.04^{a}	$0.629 \pm 0.04^{\text{b}}$	86.9 ± 0.8^{a}	-1.60 ± 0.12^{a}	7.8 ± 0.3 ^a	1.19 ± 0.13 ^b
oligosaccharides Polydextrose	0.48 ± 0.01^{a}	11.7 ± 0.23^{b}	0.140 ± 0.01^{a}	0.808 ± 0.05 ^c	87.0 ± 0.5^{a}	-1.55 ± 0.10^{a}	$8.4 \pm 0.1^{a,b}$	0.58 ± 0.05^{a}

a-d Different letter between rows indicate significantly different values (p < 0.05) according to Duncan's post hoc means comparison test. Data are presented as mean ± SD (n = 3).

[†] Data refer to films conditioned at 54% RH.



Fig. 1. SEM visualisation of the cross-section of edible films comprised gelatine plasticised with glycerol (2:1) in the absence (a) and presence (b) of *L. rhamnosus* GG. Bar scale = 20 μ m.



Fig. 2. SEM visualisation of the cross-section of prebiotic gelatine edible films containing *L. rhamnosus* GG. Inulin (a), polydextrose (b), wheat dextrin (c) and glucose oligosaccharides (d). Bar scale = 20 μ m.



Fig. 3. Survival of *L. rhamnosus* GG throughout air drying at 37 °C for 15 h. Viable counts are shown prior to drying (light grey) and after drying (black) with the listed% viability figure. Data are presented as mean \pm SD (*n* = 3).

effect on cells survivability. It is well established that upon convective thermal processes, the viability of living cells is strictly influenced by both intrinsic (heat, osmotic and mechanical stress tolerance of the bacterial strains, damage of the cellular structures) and extrinsic (heat or osmotic stress pre-adaptation of the bacteria, drying kinetics and conditions, composition and structural aspects of the drying substrate, presence of thermoprotectants etc.) factors (Fu & Chen, 2011). No acute toxic effects on the viability of L. rhamnosus GG were observed in the film forming solutions. Moreover, viability losses due to heat induced injuries should be considered as negligible due to low drying temperatures (Ghandi, Powell, Chen, & Adhikari, 2012). By monitoring the drying kinetics (data not shown) no significant differences in the drying rates (steady and falling drying rate) and the drying time required to achieve the endpoint water activity (0.45–0.48) were detected. Thus, we presume that the detected effects on L. rhamnosus GG appear to be due to differences in osmotic stress. In addition, considering that during the first 4.5-5 h of drying, the water activity of the systems is higher than the critical water activity for growth of Lactobacilli (\sim 0.91), it is also presumed that the adaptation of L.

rhamnosus GG in the drying substrate plays an important role in maintaining its biological activity. In this context, polydextrose and glucofibre can be considered as very good substrates for *L. rhamnosus* GG. Moreover, the ability of *L. rhamnosus* GG to adhere better to specific substrates has been proposed as a substantial factor for overcoming heat or osmotic induced stress with proteins being characterised by excellent adhesion properties (Burgain et al., 2013). This might be also the fact in the case of polydextrose and gluco-oligosaccharides, though further investigation is required for fully understanding the underlying mechanisms.

3.3. Effect of prebiotics on storage stability of L. rhamnosus GG

In Fig. 4 the inactivation curves of *L. rhamnosus* GG immobilised in edible films and stored for 25 days period at room and chilling temperature conditions are displayed. The inactivation rates (Table 1) of *L. rhamnosus* GG were, as it was expected, significantly higher (p < 0.001) in the systems stored at room temperature. With the exception of polydextrose edible films stored at 25 °C the presence of prebiotics in the plasticised matrices improved the storage stability of *L. rhamnosus* GG (Table 2). Inulin was the most effective fibre (based on its ability to maintain the viability of *L. rhamnosus* GG) at both storage temperatures, followed by wheat dextrin, glucose oligosaccharides and polydextrose. Increase of storage temperature induced approximately a 4-fold acceleration of the inactivation rate of *L. rhamnosus* GG, although no significant interactions between storage temperature and substrate composition were detected (p > 0.05). The shelf-life of the edible films (in terms of L. rhamnosus GG survival) ranged from 63 to 100 days and 17 to 30 days for the systems stored at chilled (4 °C) or room temperature (25 °C) conditions (Table 2). Extrinsic factors such as water activity, temperature and presence of oxygen are known to adversely influence the viability of encapsulated probiotic living cells (Fu & Chen, 2011). Moreover, the molecular mobility of solutes driven by the structural and physical state of the immobilising matrix can also influence the stability of probiotics. Thus, the acquirement of low residual water-glassy matrices with low permeability to gases containing free radical scavenging agents (to control lipid oxidation of cellular membranes) has been reported as an efficient strategy for improving probiotics viability in food systems (Dong et al., 2013; Soukoulis et al., 2013). In the case of intermediate moisture systems (including edible films) the presence of high amounts of solutes together with the rubbery physical state (solutes' increased molecular mobility) facilitates the occurrence of enzymatic and chemical reactions that damage essential cellular structures e.g. phospholipid membrane bilayers (Fu & Chen, 2011). The stability of the prebiotic films at room temperature is generally comparable to that of anhydrobiotics (e.g. spray dried powders) stored at the same relative humidity conditions (Ying, Sun, Sanguansri, Weerakkody, & Augustin, 2012). Although a full mechanistic understanding of probiotics stability in biopolymer matrices during storage is not available, it appears



Fig. 4. Inactivation curves of L rhamnosus GG through storage at chilling (4 °C) and room (25 °C) temperature conditions for 25 days.

Table 2
Inactivation rates of L. rhamnosus GG immobilised in plasticised gelatine matrices containing prebiotics and stored either under chilled or room temperature conditions.

Edible film	k 4 °C (% day ^{−1})	Estimated shelf-life [*] at 4 °C	R^2	k 25 °C (%/day ⁻¹)	Estimated shelf-life at 25 °C	R^2
Control	$1.34 \pm 0.03^{\circ}$	67	0.996	$5.32 \pm 0.04^{\circ}$	17	0.989
Wheat dextrin	1.11 ± 0.02^{b}	81	0.988	3.33 ± 0.07^{a}	27	0.959
Inulin	0.90 ± 0.04^{a}	100	0.987	3.00 ± 0.12^{a}	30	0.971
Gluco-oligosaccharides	$1.44 \pm 0.03^{\circ}$	63	0.951	3.98 ± 0.09^{b}	23	0.988
Polydextrose	1.08 ± 0.03^{b}	83	0.950	$5.09 \pm 0.11^{\circ}$	18	0.999

* Shelf-life refers to the time required to induce the fate of the 90% of total viable cells of L. rhamnosus GG.

a-c Different letter between rows indicate significantly different values (p < 0.05) according to Duncan's post hoc means comparison test. Data are presented as mean ± SD (n = 3).

that factors such as steric hindrance of solutes and the matrix translational diffusion of oxygen (both associated with the $T - T_g$ difference), the presence of nutrients and free radical scavenging agents as well as the interaction via hydrogen bonding with the polar head groups of membranes phospholipids can be possible explanations for the stability of probiotics in prebiotic films (Ananta, Volkert, & Knorr, 2005; Kanmani & Lim, 2013; Semyonov, Ramon, & Shimoni, 2011; Soukoulis et al., 2013). Prebiotics such as inulin, fructo-oligosaccharides and polydextrose are able to enhance the stability of probiotics primarily through their impact on the glass transition phenomena albeit no clear evidence on their specific protective action has been provided for each type of probiotics (Ananta et al., 2005; Corcoran, Ross, Fitzgerald, & Stanton, 2004; Fritzen-Freire et al., 2012). In a first attempt to provide some evidence on the impact of physical state of the matrix on the inactivation rates of L. rhamnosus GG, we have calculated the glass transition temperatures of the systems with the highest and lowest inactivation rate at room temperature viz. inulin and polydextrose using the Couchman-Karasz equation (Eq. (5)) assuming a quaternary system comprising fibre, gelatine, water and glycerol

ity, and other factors such as the presence of an energetic substrate for probiotic cells may also be important. Thus, with appropriate selection the presence of prebiotic fibre can be a positive co-component for functionalised polymeric edible films.

4. Conclusions

The incorporation of prebiotic fibres on probiotic edible films exerts several beneficial effects to both the microstructure and the storage stability of immobilised probiotic cells. Notwithstanding some minor differences, prebiotics contribute to the increase of the matrix compactness and the reduction of porous and reticular structure detected in the case of control systems. In this study the stability of *L. rhamnosus* GG during the evaporation – drying film forming process was found to be fibre-dependent with glucose-oligosaccharides and polydextrose enhancing probiotic viability. Storage of the plasticised matrices under chilled and room temperature conditions led to a detectable reduction of the viable counts of *L. rhamnosus* GG with systems supplemented with inulin or wheat dextrin having greatest stability. However, in all cases the

$$T_{g} = \frac{T_{g.fibre}^{*} \Delta C_{P,fibre}^{*} X_{fibre} + T_{g.gel}^{*} \Delta C_{P,gel}^{*} X_{gel} + T_{g.glyc}^{*} \Delta C_{P,glyc}^{*} X_{glyc} + T_{g,water}^{*} \Delta C_{P,water}^{*} X_{water}}{\Delta C_{P,fibre}^{*} X_{fibre} + \Delta C_{P,gel}^{*} X_{gel} + \Delta C_{P,glyc}^{*} X_{glyc} \Delta C_{P,water}^{*} X_{water}}$$

using literature data (Ribeiro, Zimeri, Yildiz, & Kokini, 2003; Sobral & Habitante, 2001; Zimeri & Kokini, 2002) for the glass transitions of each pure component (inulin: $Tg = 120 \circ C$, $\Delta C_p = 0.65 \text{ J/gK}$, polydextrose: $T_g = 94 \degree \text{C}$, $\Delta C_p = 0.33 \text{ J/gK}$, water: $T_g = -139 \degree \text{C}$, $\Delta C_p = 1.94 \text{ J/gK}$, glycerol: $T_g = -83 \degree \text{C}$, $\Delta C_p = 1.25 \text{ J/gK}$) and mass fractions x_i calculated according to the residual water content of the 54% RH conditioned films (Table 1) the glass transition temperatures for the inulin and polydextrose systems were predicted to be 15.7 and -14.63 °C, respectively. It therefore appears that phase transitions occurring due to the differing storage conditions and matrix composition could explain the detected differences in the inactivation rates of L. rhamnosus GG. More specifically, whilst both systems will be in the rubbery state at room temperature, inulin based films were in the glassy state when stored at chilled conditions whereas the polydextrose systems were not. A similar behaviour was also observed in our recent work on spray dried powders containing soluble fibres (Yonekura et al., 2014). In this study it was shown that selecting a material that can provide a global protection against the sub-lethal effects of drying and storage conditions and including materials that can promote thermoprotection of bacterial cells do not necessarily shield probiotics upon storage and conversely. On the other hand, calculating the glass transition of the systems containing only gelatine as biopolymer we obtained a value of T_g = 18.1 °C which implies that physical state is not the only factor that governs the L. rhamnosus GG lethalpresence of the tested prebiotics was accompanied either by no change or an enhancement in the storage stability of the embedded living cells. Further investigation to unveil the physicochemical or biochemical phenomena that influence the stability of probiotic cells immobilised in plasticised biopolymer matrices is currently being carried out.

References

- Altamirano-Fortoul, R., Moreno-Terrazas, R., Quezada-Gallo, A., & Rosell, C. M. (2012). Viability of some probiotic coatings in bread and its effect on the crust mechanical properties. *Food Hydrocolloids*, 29, 166–174.
- Anal, A. K., & Singh, H. (2007). Recent advances in microencapsulation of probiotics for industrial applications and targeted delivery. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*, 18, 240–251.
- Ananta, E., Volkert, M., & Knorr, D. (2005). Cellular injuries and storage stability of spray-dried *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* GG. *International Dairy Journal*, 15, 399–409.
- And, C. I., & Kailasapathy, K. (2005). Effect of co-encapsulation of probiotics with prebiotics on increasing the viability of encapsulated bacteria under in vitro acidic and bile salt conditions and in yogurt. *Journal of Food Science*, 70, M18–M23.
- Behboudi-Jobbehdar, S., Soukoulis, C., Yonekura, L., & Fisk, I. (2013). Optimization of spray-drying process conditions for the production of maximally viable microencapsulated *L. acidophilus* NCIMB 701748. *Drying Technology*, 31, 1274–1283.
- Burgain, J., Gaiani, C., Francius, G., Revol-Junelles, A. M., Cailliez-Grimal, C., Lebeer, S., et al. (2013). In vitro interactions between probiotic bacteria and milk proteins probed by atomic force microscopy. *Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces*, 104, 153–162.

(5)

- Champagne, C. P., Ross, R. P., Saarela, M., Hansen, K. F., & Charalampopoulos, D. (2011). Recommendations for the viability assessment of probiotics as concentrated cultures and in food matrices. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 149, 185–193.
- Chong, E. (2014). A potential role of probiotics in colorectal cancer prevention: review of possible mechanisms of action. World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology, 30, 351–374.
- Cook, M. T., Tzortzis, G., Charalampopoulos, D., & Khutoryanskiy, V. V. (2012). Microencapsulation of probiotics for gastrointestinal delivery. *Journal of Controlled Release*, 162, 56–67.
- Corcoran, B. M., Ross, R. P., Fitzgerald, G. F., & Stanton, C. (2004). Comparative survival of probiotic lactobacilli spray-dried in the presence of prebiotic substances. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, 96, 1024–1039.
- Dong, Q. Y., Chen, M. Y., Xin, Y., Qin, X. Y., Cheng, Z., Shi, L. E., et al. (2013). Alginatebased and protein-based materials for probiotics encapsulation: A review. *International Journal of Food Science and Technology*, 48, 1339–1351.
- FAO/WHO. (2002). http://www.who.int/foodsafety/publications/fs_management/ en/probiotics.pdf. Ref Type: Internet Communication.
- Franck, A. (2002). Technological functionality of inulin and oligofructose. British Journal of Nutrition, 87, S287–S291.
- Fritzen-Freire, C. B., Prudêncio, E. S., Amboni, R. D. M. C., Pinto, S. S., Negrão-Murakami, A. N., & Murakami, F. S. (2012). Microencapsulation of bifidobacteria by spray drying in the presence of prebiotics. *Food Research International*, 45, 306–312.
- Fu, N., & Chen, X. D. (2011). Towards a maximal cell survival in convective thermal drying processes. *Food Research International*, 44, 1127–1149.
- Ghandi, A., Powell, I., Chen, X. D., & Adhikari, B. (2012). Drying kinetics and survival studies of dairy fermentation bacteria in convective air drying environment using single droplet drying. *Journal of Food Engineering*, *110*, 405–417.
- Gibson, G. R., Probert, H. M., Van Loo, J., Rastall, R. A., & Roberfroid, M. B. (2004). Dietary modulation of the human colonic microbiota: updating the concept of prebiotics. *Nutrition Research Reviews*, 17, 259–275.
- Jeya Shakila, R., Jeevithan, E., Varatharajakumar, A., Jeyasekaran, G., & Sukumar, D. (2012). Comparison of the properties of multi-composite fish gelatin films with that of mammalian gelatin films. *Food Chemistry*, 135, 2260–2267.
- Kanmani, P., & Lim, S. T. (2013). Development and characterization of novel probiotic-residing pullulan/starch edible films. Food Chemistry, 141, 1041–1049.
- Kumar, M., Kumar, A., Nagpal, R., Mohania, D., Behare, P., Verma, V., et al. (2010). Cancer-preventing attributes of probiotics: an update. *International Journal of Food Sciences and Nutrition*, 61, 473–496.
- López De Lacey, A. M., López-Caballero, M. E., Gómez-Estaca, J., Gómez-Guillén, M. C., & Montero, P. (2012). Functionality of *Lactobacillus acidophilus* and *Bifidobacterium bifidum* incorporated to edible coatings and films. *Innovative Food Science and Emerging Technologies*, 16, 277–282.

- Martínez-Cervera, S., Salvador, A., Muguerza, B., Moulay, L., & Fiszman, S. M. (2011). Cocoa fibre and its application as a fat replacer in chocolate muffins. *LWT – Food Science and Technology*, 44, 729–736.
- Mugambi, M., Musekiwa, A., Lombard, M., Young, T., & Blaauw, R. (2012). Synbiotics, probiotics or prebiotics in infant formula for full term infants: A systematic review. Nutrition Journal, 11, 81.
- Núñez-Flores, R., Giménez, B., Fernández-Martín, F., López-Caballero, M. E., Montero, M. P., & Gómez-Guillén, M. C. (2012). Role of lignosulphonate in properties of fish gelatin films. *Food Hydrocolloids*, 27, 60–71.
- Ribeiro, C., Zimeri, J. E., Yildiz, E., & Kokini, J. L. (2003). Estimation of effective diffusivities and glass transition temperature of polydextrose as a function of moisture content. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 51, 273–280.
- Roberfroid, M. (2007a). Prebiotics: The Concept Revisited. The Journal of Nutrition, 137, 830S–837S.
- Roberfroid, M. B. (2007b). Inulin-type fructans: Functional food ingredients. *The Journal of Nutrition*, 137, 2493S–2502S.
- Saad, N., Delattre, C., Urdaci, M., Schmitter, J. M., & Bressollier, P. (2013). An overview of the last advances in probiotic and prebiotic field. *LWT – Food Science and Technology*, 50, 1–16.
- Semyonov, D., Ramon, O., & Shimoni, E. (2011). Using ultrasonic vacuum spray dryer to produce highly viable dry probiotics. *LWT – Food Science and Technology*, 44, 1844–1852.
- Sobral, P. J. A., & Habitante, A. M. Q. B. (2001). Phase transitions of pigskin gelatin. Food Hydrocolloids, 15, 377–382.
- Soukoulis, C., Behboudi-Jobbehdar, S., Yonekura, L., Parmenter, C., & Fisk, I. (2013). Impact of milk protein type on the viability and storage stability of microencapsulated *Lactobacillus acidophilus* NCIMB 701748 using spray drying. *Food and Bioprocess Technology*, 1–14.
- Soukoulis, C., Yonekura, L., Gan, H. H., Behboudi-Jobbehdar, S., Parmenter, C., & Fisk, I. (2014). Probiotic edible films as a new strategy for developing functional bakery products: The case of pan bread. *Food Hydrocolloids*, 39, 231–242.
- Tapia, M. S., Rojas-Graü, M. A., Rodríguez, F. J., Ramírez, J., Carmona, A., & Martin-Belloso, O. (2007). Alginate and gellan-based edible films for probiotic coatings on fresh-cut fruits. *Journal of Food Science*, 72, E190–E196.
- Ying, D., Sun, J., Sanguansri, L., Weerakkody, R., & Augustin, M. A. (2012). Enhanced survival of spray-dried microencapsulated *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* GG in the presence of glucose. *Journal of Food Engineering*, 109, 597–602.
- Yonekura, L., Sun, H., Soukoulis, C., & Fisk, I. (2014). Microencapsulation of Lactobacillus acidophilus NCIMB 701748 in matrices containing soluble fibre by spray drying: Technological characterization, storage stability and survival after in vitro digestion. Journal of Functional Foods, 6, 205–214.
- Zimeri, J. E., & Kokini, J. L. (2002). The effect of moisture content on the crystallinity and glass transition temperature of inulin. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 48, 299–304.