

Predictors of medical students' research degree pursuit: a convenience poll pilot study

Ivan Buljan¹ , Esther Park²

¹University of Split School of Medicine, Department for Research in Biomedicine and Health, Split, Croatia

²Oxford University Hospitals NHS Foundation, Oxford, United Kingdom

Correspondence to:

Ivan Buljan
Department of Research in Biomedicine and Health, University of Split School of Medicine, Šoltanska 2, 21000 Split, Croatia
ivan.buljan@mefst.hr

Cite as:

Buljan I, Park E. Predictors of medical students' research degree pursuit: a convenience poll pilot study. ST-OPEN. 2020; 1: e2020.1919.32.

Aim: The evidence about factors predicting the desire of medical graduates for pursuing research careers are inconsistent. Identification of factors which influence decision for pursuing research career would be important information to medical schools to improve research environment for students. For that reason, we performed an international survey of medical students to analyze the factors predicting research careers in medicine.

Methods: An international online survey of undergraduate and graduate medical students was performed, using the data from *Res Medica* student journal database, about their research activities, future interests and plans and desire to pursue research careers.

Results: In total, 486 students took the survey. Logistic regression revealed a single significant factor influencing medical students' desire to pursue a research degree: increased motivation for participation in research in future ($R^2=0.33$).

Conclusion: In order to encourage students and training doctors to more readily engage in research, exposure to research and research participation could have an incremental value to existing research education in medical schools.

Background

A career in research is one of the many paths that medical students can take during their professional life. It is estimated that 17% of first year medical students are interested in an exclusively research-oriented career [1].

Male gender, less financial concerns, having a higher degree before matriculation and perceived competitiveness of the (desired) residency programs have been described as factors related to research involvement and scientific productivity of students [1]. A recent retrospective study also showed that a person who will start a research career in medi-

cine is more likely to be male, have low debt at graduation, have strong positive attitudes towards research at graduation and have a greater social pressure towards research [2]. Senior students appear to be more interested in research compared to junior students [3]. For these reasons, it was recommended that students' exposure to research during medical school be increased [4]. However, another study claimed that the primary reasons for trainees taking up research were the desire to increase their competitiveness for a residency application and the time gained to pursue other opportunities [5]. A systematic review revealed four groups of variables associated with research career choice in medicine: personal values, gender and social factors, research interest and financial issues [6], but concluded that the true reasons that affect a student's decision for a research career remained insufficiently clarified. Finally, a recent review points out that the reasons for a research career choice may be country-specific, that more emphasis should be put on environmental factors [7], and that studies should be performed cross-culturally to compare the factors which influence one's decision to pursue a research career. The aim of this study was to conduct a pilot study to determine the common factors influencing medical trainees' self-reported desire for the pursuit of a research career using a convenience sample through online survey, using an opportunity of having contacts from a student journal.

Methods

Study design and participants

In order to examine the attitudes of medical students towards research careers, we created an online survey (**Appendix**). Most of the participants were medical undergraduate and graduate students who did yet complete their current medical degree training at the time of the survey (a complete list of countries and nationalities of the participants can be found in the **Appendix**).

Setting

Medical schools in the UK identified through the authors of articles published in the *Res Medica* student journal (Journal of the Royal Medical Society, <http://journals.ed.ac.uk/res-medica>), which contains a list of all medical schools in the UK and international medical schools whose students published in *Res Medica*. Medical schools were contacted through their administrative offices. After obtaining e-mail addresses advertised on university websites, we sent a message to students containing a link to the online survey and invitation to participate, as well as to share the survey with their student colleagues and with relevant organizations/student groups. We used Survey Monkey (SVMK Inc, Dublin Ireland), where the IP address memorization was disabled, in order to ensure anonymity of the participants. Data collection started on 13 September 2016 and finished on 30 November 2016.

Funding and ethical approval

The study was sponsored by the University of Split School of Medicine with the “Professionalism in Health Care” research grant funded by the Croatian Science Foundation (Grant No. IP-2014-09-7672) and approved by the Ethics Committee of the University of Split School of Medicine.

Variables

The survey gathered students’ responses to questions about the: (1) *demographic variables* (gender, age, nationality and current stage of training), (2) *medical school program and characteristics* (the country, duration and whether research is mandatory), (3) *prior research experience* (research during medical school, positivity/negativity of research experience, publications, previous degrees, and presence of a role model in research), (4) *attitudes about research in medicine* (desire of pursuing research in their career, frequency of advice-seeking about research), (5) *encouraging and discouraging factors* for involvement in research and (6) *possible field of interest* if they were to participate in research during their career. The questionnaire can be found in the **Appendix**.

Data management

The participants’ responses are kept at the University of Split School of Medicine. The raw data can be obtained from the authors upon request.

Study size

The sample size was calculated using the online sample size calculator for one proportion (<http://epitools.ausvet.com.au>). We used previously reported data which indicated that 17% of the students were interested in research during their medical careers [1], with a 95% confidence interval and 5% precision. This resulted in a minimal sample size of 217 participants.

Statistical analysis

We used frequencies and percentages to describe the demographic characteristics and responses, and medians with 95% confidence intervals (CI) for continuous variables. Due to the large number of comparisons, the level of significance was decreased to $P=0.005$, in order to avoid Type I error. The chi-square test was used for testing the differences between categorical variables and the Mann-Whitney U test for the differences between ordinal variables and non-normally distributed variables. We posed the question, “Do you intend to pursue a further research degree at some point in your career?”, which was answered as a binary outcome in order to differentiate the characteristics that signified a greater commitment to research. Logistic regression was used to examine the significant differences in answers between the groups who intended to pursue a post-graduate research degree and who did not, where all variables with significant differences were entered in the regression model and assessed for possible prediction. The size of the predictors was expressed as odds ratios (OR) with 95% CI and the proportion of explained variance of the

criteria was expressed with McFadden R^2 . SPSS 18 (IBM Corp., released 2010, IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 19.0, Armonk, NY, USA) was used for all statistical analysis.

Results

In total, 486 participants took the survey. The sample structure was predominantly female ($n=343$, 67.1%) and the majority of participants were in the 21-25 age group ($n=326$, 64.8).

More than half of the respondents who provided an answer to the question about the intention to pursue a research career stated that they intended to pursue a postgraduate research degree, and around one-third of them had already published a paper (Table 1). Although most of the participants had exposure to research at some point in their edu-

Table 1. Research education characteristics of the sample (N=486)

Characteristics	n (%)	Df	χ^2	P	
How many times did you attend seminars on research?					
0 times	160 (31.3)				
1-3 times	258 (50.3)				
4-6 times	44 (8.6)	4	485.15	<0.001	
7-9 times	10 (2.0)				
More than 10 times	14 (2.7)				
	Yes	No			
Involved in research	349 (71.8)	137 (28.1)	1	92.48	<0.001
Research increased interest*	207 (59.3)	142 (41.7)	1	12.01	<0.001
Research was a compulsory part of education	213 (43.8)	273 (56.2)	1	7.41	<0.001
Published a paper	172 (35.4)	314 (64.6)	1	41.49	<0.001
Pursued a research degree	163 (33.5)	323 (66.5)	1	52.68	<0.001
Intend to pursue a research degree†	167 (61.9)	103 (38.1)	1	39.64	<0.001

χ^2 – chi squared; Df – degrees of freedom

*Chi-square was calculated only for the students who were involved in research (N=349). Significant differences are in bold.

†The option “not sure” was removed from the analysis, leaving 270 participants in the sample.

cation (Table 1). However, most of those who had research as a compulsory part of their education reported that the exposure had increased their interest in research (Table 1). The respondents who reported that they were more likely to pursue a research degree sought advice and attended seminars about research more often than those who were less likely (Table 2). Those who planned to pursue a research degree and those who did not differed significantly in background characteristics (Table 2) and attitudes toward medical research (Table 3).

The students intending to pursue a research degree were more likely to agree with the statements that it is important to have a role model in their academic career, that research should be a compulsory part of medical education, that research is one of doctors' princi-

Table 2. Comparison of students who do not (n=103) and do (n=167) intend to pursue a research degree on role model preference, encouraging and discouraging factors for pursuing a research career and research area preferences

Variable	No. (%) of students who intend to pursue a research degree (N=270) Yes (%)	Df	χ^2	P
Gender				
Male	66 (39.5)	1	4.27	0.039
Female	101 (60.5)			
Age group				
15 to 20	33 (19.8)			
21 to 25	110 (65.9)			
26 to 30	19 (11.4)	5	18.27	0.003
31 to 40	2 (1.2)			
41 to 50	2 (1.2)			
51 and older	1 (0.6)			
How many times did you attend seminars on research?				
0 times	27 (16.2)			
1-3 times	96 (57.5)			
4-6 times	26 (15.6)	4	45.33	<0.001
7-9 times	7 (4.2)			
More than 10 times	11 (6.6)			
Research was a compulsory part of education	73 (43.7)	1	0.10	0.758
Published a paper	77 (46.1)	1	2.71	0.100
Involved in research	124 (74.3)	1	0.01	0.932
Research increased interest	21 (12.6)	1	51.48	<0.001
Pursued a research degree	72 (43.1)	1	4.58	0.032
Role model*:				
Professor	62 (37.1)	1	8.38	<0.001
Clinical tutor	31 (18.6)	1	6.01	0.014
Another student	12 (7.2)	1	3.56	0.059
Medical figure	10 (6.0)	1	0.57	0.449
Encouraging factors to pursue a research career*:				
Protected time for research while doing clinical work	142 (85.0)	1	22.74	<0.001
More prestige given to medical academics	41 (24.6)	1	5.67	0.017
Opportunities to travel overseas (short term or long term)	96 (57.5)	1	7.12	0.008
Funding	136 (81.4)	1	12.36	<0.001
Interest in research area	135 (80.8)	1	0.94	0.333
Improved career opportunity	115 (68.9)	1	6.53	0.011
Being part of research groups/initiative teams	80 (47.9)	1	17.66	<0.001
Discouraging factors to pursue an academic career*:				
Limited time/ other priorities	132 (79.0)	1	0.81	0.367
Lack of prestige given to medical academics	20 (12.0)	1	0.03	0.875
Limited opportunities in your place of training/practice	100 (59.9)	1	4.54	0.033
Lack of funding	136 (81.4)	1	5.56	0.018
Lack of research groups/initiative teams	53 (31.7)	1	1.29	0.255
Lack of interest in research	85 (50.9)	1	15.11	<0.001
If you were an academic researcher, what would be your research area?*				
Basic sciences (e.g. genetics, microbiology, immunology)	73 (43.7)	1	16.65	<0.001
Primary care/ general practice	40 (24.0)	1	8.53	0.003
Tertiary care	59 (35.3)	1	11.16	0.001

Table 2. Continued

Variable	No. (%) of students who intend to pursue a research degree (N=270)	Df	χ^2	P
	Yes (%)			
Medical technology	36 (21.6)	1	1.03	0.310
Patient safety	28 (16.8)	1	0.01	0.955
Treatment efficacy and development	81 (48.5)	1	3.48	0.062
Public health	54 (32.3)	1	0.19	0.658
Medical education	55 (32.9)	1	0.25	0.615

χ^2 – chi squared; Df – degrees of freedom

*Chi-square was calculated only for the students who were involved in research (N=349). Significant differences are in bold.

†More than one answer was possible.

pal responsibilities, along with patient care and teaching, that research improves patient care and that it is important to be treated by a doctor experienced in research (Table 2).

In the analysis on the sample of 270 respondents who provided clear (they stated either YES or NO and did not choose the option “Not sure”) answer to the question about the research degree pursuit, the only significant predictor of the desire to pursue research degrees was the self-reported desire to participate in research in the future (OR=10.99, 95% CI 6.19 to 19.49), explaining around one-third of the variance of the criteria (McFadden $R^2=0.33$, $P<0.005$).

Table 3. Comparison of attitudes towards medical research among students who do (n=167) and do not (n=103) want to pursue a research degree*

Survey question	Md (95% CI)		P†
	Do not intend to pursue research degree (n=103)	Intend to pursue research degree (n=167)	
How likely are you to participate in medical research at some point in the future?	3.0 (2.0 to 3.0)	4.0 (3.0 to 4.0)	<0.001
It is important to have a role model in order to pursue an academic career.	4.0 (4.0 to 4.0)	4.0 (4.0 to 5.0)	0.002
Research should be a compulsory part of medical education.	3.0 (3.0 to 4.0)	4.0 (4.0 to 5.0)	<0.001
Research should be one of the doctors' principal responsibilities, along with patient care and teaching.	2.0 (2.0 to 3.0)	4.0 (3.0 to 4.0)	<0.001
Medical research improves patient care.	4.0 (4.0 to 4.0)	4.0 (4.0 to 5.0)	<0.001
Medical research compromises patient care.	2.0 (2.0 to 2.0)	2.0 (2.0 to 2.0)	0.308
Patients consider it is important to be treated by a doctor with experience in medical research.	2.0 (2.0 to 2.0)	2.0 (2.0 to 3.0)	<0.001

Md – median; CI – confidence interval

*A higher point indicates greater agreement with the statement.

†Mann Whitney nonparametric test for independent samples. Significant differences are in bold.

Discussion

Our study identified a single predictor of students' wish to choose a career in medical research – increased self-reported desire for participation in research in the future.

This result should be interpreted in view of several limitations. There is a potential for selection bias as the study respondents were recruited on a voluntary basis: students who

are already quite interested in research are more likely to have answered the questionnaire that had the title 'Medical Student Research Survey'. For the same reason, we were not able to obtain an accurate response rate. The baseline characteristics were widely variable, so it is difficult to gauge how representative our population is from the rest of the medical students in the countries participating in the survey. Future research should repeat this study in a larger sample with a more systematic sampling procedure to determine whether there are any other environmental factors which contribute to the desire to pursue a research degree. We did not perform any follow-up, so we cannot answer whether the students who intended to pursue research or a research degree in fact eventually did. For a closer understanding of the predictors which influence medical students' research career decisions, a cohort study would be more suitable. Furthermore, we used a structured online questionnaire, with little space for free-text answers. There may have been other factors that significantly affected students but which we did not address, but through logistic regression analysis we identified a single factor which predicted the decision and that single factor accounted for one third of the variation of the criteria. We did not ask for information on respondents' financial status, personality traits or academic performances, which could all influence the association to one's decision to pursue post-graduate research. Future research should focus on elucidating how many students with genuine interests in research are turned away due to the common deterrents identified in our survey: lack of motivation, time, funding or opportunities.

Contrary to previous studies that emphasized that the decision to pursue a research career is influenced by many different environmental factors, our study identified interest for research as the only predictor of the wish for a research career. The students who stated that they are likely to participate in research also said that they are likely to pursue a full-time academic post-graduate degree in the future. However, a significant minority reported having an interest in research without an interest in pursuing a full-time degree. For many such cases, exclusive time for research whilst carrying out clinical duties emerged as an important determinant of whether they were going to participate in research [6]. The majority of the participants reported that they have been involved in research as a medical student. Of these students, many of them reported that their interest was boosted during the previous exposure to research. This is in line with previous findings that suggest that research participation during medical school can increase the likelihood of pursuing a research career and boost academic productivity [7-9].

The potential implication of the results of this preliminary study would be the emphasis on the importance of the integration of research into the undergraduate medical curriculum. It is postulated that if a student is exposed to more research opportunities earlier in the medical curriculum, it is more likely that he/she will feel confident to initiate research without being pressured by mentors or professors. However, in order to successfully engage students in research during the early years of medical school, allocated research time must be flexible and cover the area of students' interests [9]. A possible solution could be the inclusion of journal editors in standard education, which could possibly have an effect on the further academic development of medical professionals [10] or adoption of existing strategies in the curriculum [11].

Conclusion

Based on the findings of this study, motivational factor was defined as the single factor which predicted the decision for pursuing a research career. Therefore, the recommendation for medical education institutions would be to increase the exposure of students to research activities and to provide them an opportunity to become familiar with the research aspects of the medical profession. In this way, research exposure would motivate a greater number of students for further education in that area.

Provenance: Submitted. This study was performed during the PhD study of Ivan Buljan, the first author on this article, at the University of Split School of Medicine.

Received: 21 November 2019 / **Accepted:** 13 February 2020 / **Published online:** 18 May 2020.

Peer review: Externally peer reviewed.

Acknowledgements: We thank Professors Matko Marušić, Ana Marušić, Ozren Polašek and Assistant Professor Shelly Pranić for their advice and comments which greatly improved the manuscript.

Availability of data: The dataset was deposited in DABAR repository (<https://urn.nsk.hr/urn:nbn:hr:171:431566>).

Funding: This research was funded by the Croatian Science Foundation as part of the “Professionalism in Health Care” (Grant No. IP-2014-09-7672) research grant. The funder had no role in study design, data collection and analysis or decision for publication.

Authorship declaration: EP conceived the idea for the article and developed the survey used in the research. IB analysed the data and wrote the first version of the manuscript. EP contributed to the subsequent editions. Both authors have edited and approved the final manuscript.

Competing interests: The authors completed the ICMJE Unified Competing Interest form (available upon request from the corresponding author), and declare no conflicts of interest.

Additional material: This article contains electronic supplementary material which is available for download at st-open.unist.hr.

ORCID

Ivan Buljan  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8719-7277>

References

1. Amgad M, Man Kin Tsui M, Liptrott SJ, Shash E. Medical student research: an integrated mixed-methods systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One*. 2015;10(6):e0127470. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0127470.
2. Krupat E, Camargo CA Jr., Strewler GJ, Espinola JA, Fleenor TJ Jr, Dienstag JL. Factors associated with physicians' choice of a career in research: a retrospective report 15 years after medical school graduation. *Adv Health Sci Educ Theory Pract*. 2017;22(1):5-15. doi: 10.1007/s10459-016-9678-5.
3. Vetter MH, Carter M. Differences between first and fourth year medical students' interest in pursuing careers in academic medicine. *Int J Med Educ*. 2016;7:154-7. doi: 10.5116/ijme.571b.af3d.
4. Funston G. The promotion of academic medicine through student-led initiatives. *Int J Med Educ*. 2015;6:155-7. doi: 10.5116/ijme.563a.5e29.

5. Pathipati AS, Taleghani N. Research in medical school: a survey evaluating why medical students take research years. *Cureus*. 2016;8(8):e741. doi: [10.7759/cureus.741](https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.741).
6. Borges NJ, Navarro AM, Grover A, Hoban JD. How, when, and why do physicians choose careers in academic medicine? A literature review. *Acad Med*. 2010;85(4):680-6. doi: [10.1097/ACM.0b013e3181d29cb9](https://doi.org/10.1097/ACM.0b013e3181d29cb9).
7. Peacock JG, Grande JP. A flexible, preclinical, medical school curriculum increases student academic productivity and the desire to conduct future research. *Biochem Mol Biol Educ*. 2015;43(5):384-90. doi: [10.1002/bmb.20875](https://doi.org/10.1002/bmb.20875).
8. Greenberg RB, Ziegler CH, Borges NJ, Elam CL, Stratton TD, Woods S. Medical student interest in academic medical careers: a multi-institutional study. *Perspect Med Educ*. 2013;2(5-6):298-316. doi: [10.1007/s40037-013-0051-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40037-013-0051-6).
9. Thompson RH, Lohse CM, Husmann DA, Leibovich BC, Gettman MT. Predictors of Scholarly Productivity, Pursuit of Fellowship, and Academic Practice Among Urology Residents Using Medical Student Application Materials. *Urology*. 2018;120:49-55. doi: [10.1016/j.urology.2018.07.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.urology.2018.07.016).
10. Marušić A, Malički M, Sambunjak D, Jerončić, Marušić M. Teaching science throughout the six-year medical curriculum: Two-year experience from the University of Split School of Medicine, Split, Croatia. *Acta Medica Academica*. 2014;43(1):50-62. doi: [10.5644/ama2006-124.100](https://doi.org/10.5644/ama2006-124.100).
11. Darbyshire D, Gordon M, Baker P, Agius S, McAleer S. Systematic review of interventions to encourage careers in academic medicine. *Med Teach*. 2019; 41(1):61-67. doi: [10.1080/0142159X.2018.1438590](https://doi.org/10.1080/0142159X.2018.1438590).