

IZVORNI ZNANSTVENI RAD  
ORIGINAL SCIENTIFIC PAPER

UDK: 338.48-44(560)  
JEL classification: Z32; L83  
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.22598/at/2020.32.1.75>

Lüfti ATAY\*  
Serkan TÜRKMEN\*\*  
Mustafa CARUS\*\*\*

## UTJECAJI IDENTITETA DESTINACIJE NA ZADOVOLJSTVO I NAMJERE PONAŠANJA: SLUČAJ PAMUKKALE – HIERAPOLIS

### DESTINATION PERSONALITY IMPACTS ON THE SATISFACTION AND BEHAVIORAL INTENTIONS: A CASE OF PAMUKKALE – HIERAPOLIS SITE

---

**SAŽETAK:** Jedan od glavnih elemenata tržišne marke koji razlikuje neku lokaciju od svojih konkurenata je identitet destinacije. Cilj ovog istraživanja je identificirati učinke identiteta destinacije na zadovoljstvo turista i namjere ponašanja na primjeru Pamukkale, jednog od vodećih centara kulturne baštine i turizma u Turskoj. Podaci za istraživanje dobiveni su osobnim anketiranjem prigodnog uzorka od 350 turista koji su odsjeli u hotelima na lokaciji Pamukkale-Hierapolis od travnja do lipnja 2018. godine, a na dobivenim podacima provedene su faktorska i regresijska analiza. Rezultati istraživanja ukazuju na to da percipirani identitet destinacije ima četiri dimenzije: vrsnu profinjenost, prirodnu radost, iskrenost i krševitost, što je sve pozitivno utjecalo na lojalnost i namjere ponašanja posjetitelja. Ovo istraživanje potvrdilo je da pozitivna percepcija identiteta destinacije ima vrlo važnu ulogu u povećanju zadovoljstva destinacijom i u predviđanju budućeg ponašanja turista za destinaciju koju posjećuju.

**KLJUČNE RIJEČI:** identitet destinacije, zadovoljstvo destinacijom, namjere ponašanja

**ABSTRACT:** Destination personality is one of the major brand components that differentiates a location from its competitors. This research was intended to identify the effects of destination personality on tourist satisfaction and behavioral intentions using Pamukkale, one of Turkey's leading cultural heritage and tourism centers. The research data were obtained by convenience sampling through a face-to-face questionnaire given to 350 domestic tourists who stayed in hotels located in Pamukkale-Hierapolis from April to June 2018. Factor and regression analyses were carried out for the data. The research findings indicate that perceived destination personality for Pamukkale-Hierapolis had four dimensions: competence-sophistication, natural-happy, sincerity and ruggedness, which had positive effects on destination loyalty and behavioral intentions among visitors. This research determined that a positive perception of destination personality had a very important role in increasing destination satisfaction and predicting future behaviors of tourists for the destination they visited.

**KEYWORDS:** destination personality, destination satisfaction, behavioral intentions

---

\* Associate Professor Lüfti Atay, Çanakkale University Faculty of Tourism, Turkey, e-mail: [lutfiatay@comu.edu.tr](mailto:lutfiatay@comu.edu.tr)

\*\* Assistant Professor Serkan Türkmen, Çanakkale University Faculty of Tourism, Turkey, e-mail: [serkanturkmen17@hotmail.com](mailto:serkanturkmen17@hotmail.com)

\*\*\* Mustafa Carus, Master's Student, Department of Tourism Management, Turkey, e-mail: [mustafacarus@hotmail.com](mailto:mustafacarus@hotmail.com)

## 1. UVOD

Turizam ima veliki potencijal za stvaranje značajnog ekonomskog i društvenog doprinosa zemlji u kojoj se razvija pod uvjetom da se njime planirano upravlja (Cornelissen, 2017). Čini se da države i regije shvaćaju veličinu tog doprinosa pa uključuju turizam u razvojne planove (Tosun *et al.*, 2003). Kako bi izvukle što veće koristi od turizma pojavilo se oštro natjecanje između država, regija, čak i gradova (Hultman *et al.*, 2015). Putovanja su postala sve dostupnija s rastom ekonomskih mogućnosti, slobodnog vremena i razvojem mogućnosti komunikacija (Massidda i Etzo, 2012; Tfaily, 2018; Choi i Wu, 2018).

Zbog sve više slobodnog vremena i viška raspoloživog dohotka, postoji tendencija učestalijih i duljih putovanja pa se broj turista povećava iz godine u godinu (Burnaz i Ayyıldız, 2018). Ipak, uz povećani broj putnika, broj destinacija koje turisti mogu odabrati povećao se s tehnološkim dostignućima u komunikaciji i prijevozu (Navío-Marco *et al.*, 2018). Stoga prirodne i kulturne atrakcije samih destinacija možda nisu dovoljne da bi potakle putnike na izbor upravo njih. Unatoč oštrim uvjetima natjecanja u turizmu, destinacije se trebaju razlikovati kako bi privukle više turista ili održale postojeću situaciju (Artuğer i Ercan, 2015). Turistička destinacija, koja se sastoji od mnogih apstraktnih i konkretnih sastavnica, može postati poželjnija i posjećenija pod uvjetom uspješnog dovršenja procesa brendiranja (Salehzadeh *et al.*, 2016).

Jedna od najvažnijih komponenti diferencijacije roba i usluga je identitet tržišne marke kojom se nastoji stvoriti jedinstvenost kako nalaže atribucija određenih osobina lokaciji, uslugama i proizvodima (Aysen *et al.*, 2012). Ta je osebjnost učinkovit alat za usmjeravanje ponašanja potrošača i davanje komparativne prednosti robnoj marki (Papadimitriou *et al.*, 2015). Identitet tržišne marke u turizmu također se naziva i iden-

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The tourism sector has a great potential to make a considerable economic and social contribution to the country where it develops if it is managed in a planned manner (Cornelissen, 2017). Countries and regions appear to understand the magnitude of such a contribution and include the tourism sector in their development plans (Tosun *et al.*, 2003). To benefit more from the tourism sector, a challenging competition has begun among countries, regions and even cities (Hultman *et al.*, 2015). People have become increasingly more able to travel as economic opportunities have become available, increasing free time, and developing communication facilities (Massidda and Etzo, 2012; Tfaily, 2018; Choi and Wu, 2018).

People tend to travel more as their leisure time and individual disposable income increase, and each year the number of tourists has risen (Burnaz and Ayyıldız, 2018). However, in addition to the increased number of travelers, the number of destinations that can be chosen by tourists have increased through technological developments in communications and transportation (Navío-Marco *et al.*, 2018). Therefore, the natural and cultural attractiveness of destinations alone may not be enough to make travelers select it. Despite the challenging competition conditions in the tourism sector, it appears that destinations must distinguish themselves to attract more tourists or maintain their current position (Artuğer and Ercan, 2015). A tourism destination, comprised of many abstract and concrete components, can become more desirable and visited by tourists if it successfully completes the branding process (Salehzadeh *et al.*, 2016).

One of the most important components in differentiation of goods and services is brand personality, which attempts to create uniqueness as required through attributing a number of personality traits to location, services and products (Aysen *et al.*, 2012). This distinctiveness is an effective tool to direct

titetom destinacije (Hosany *et al.*, 2006). Tako turistička mjesta trebaju imati dobar identitet destinacije za određene svrhe, kao npr. da su prvi izbor na ciljanim tržištima, stvaraju potražnju i postaju središte privlačnosti potičući zanimanje potencijalnih skupina turista (Usakli i Baloglu, 2011; Pan *et al.*, 2017). Stoga otkrivanje percipiranih osobina i dimenzija osobnosti destinacije može imati učinkovitu ulogu u predviđanju ponašanja turista (Wu *et al.*, 2019).

Broj turista koji posjećuju Pamukkale-Hierapolis povećava se iz godine u godinu budući da ta važna turistička destinacija posjeduje izvore termalnih voda, sedrene slapove i drevne ruševine. Prema podacima Ministarstva kulture i turizma, Pamukkale-Hierapolis posjetilo je 2016. godine 974.000 posjetitelja, 2017. godine 1.494.893, a 2018. godine 2.189.529 posjetitelja, čineći ga jednim od najvažnijih turističkih atrakcija u Turskoj (DOSIM, 2019). Najčešći motiv za posjet destinaciji Pamukkale je kulturni turizam, a domaći turisti dolaze u svim godišnjim dobima (Bertan, 2009). Namjera ovoga istraživanja je identificirati percipirani identitet destinacije te ispitati učinak percipiranog identiteta destinacije na zadovoljstvo destinacijom i namjere ponašanja.

## 2. PREGLED LITERATURE

Identitet destinacije jedan je od najvažnijih alata kojima potrošači razlikuju destinacije (Bridson i Evans, 2004; Pitt *et al.*, 2007). Identitet destinacije ima veliku važnost u izvlačenju koristi za lokacije u turističkom natjecanju (Umur i Eren, 2016). Aaker (1997) je definirao identitet tržišne marke kao „asociranje sklopa osobina s tržišnom markom“, a Hosany *et al.* (2006) su adaptirali tu definiciju na destinaciju opisavši identitet destinacije kao „sklop osobina koje se poistovjećuju s destinacijom“.

Kao i tržišne marke, potrošači mogu destinacije percipirati prema određenim osobi-

consumer behaviors and provide brands with a competitive advantage (Papadimitriou *et al.*, 2015). Brand personality is also called “destination personality” in the tourism sector (Hosany *et al.*, 2006). Thus, tourism sites need to have a good destination personality for some purposes such as being preferred by the targeted markets, creating demand, and becoming a center of attraction arousing interest of potential tourist groups (Usakli and Baloglu, 2011; Pan *et al.*, 2017). Therefore, revealing the perceived personality characteristics and personality dimensions of destinations can have an effective role in predicting the tourist behavior (Wu *et al.*, 2019).

The number of tourists visiting Pamukkale-Hierapolis has increased each year as it is an important tourism destination with thermal springs, travertine and ancient ruins. According to data of the Ministry of Culture and Tourism, Pamukkale-Hierapolis was visited by 974,000, 1,494,893 and 2,189,529 people in 2016, 2017, and 2018 respectively, making it one of Turkey’s most important tourist attractions (DOSIM, 2019). Pamukkale is especially visited by cultural tourists as well as domestic tourists almost every period of the year (Bertan, 2009). This research is intended to identify the destination’s perceived personality as well as examine the effect of the perceived destination personality on the destination satisfaction and behavioral intentions.

## 2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Destination personality is one of the most powerful tools that differentiates destinations to consumers (Bridson and Evans, 2004; Pitt *et al.*, 2007). Destination personality has a great importance for the sites to derive advantage within the tourism competition (Umur and Eren, 2016). Aaker (1997) defined brand personality as “association of a set of personality traits with brand”, then Hosany *et al.* (2006) adapted this definition to destination and described destination personality as “a set of personality traits identified with a destination”.

nama. Dok Španjolska ima obiteljski orijentirani i prijateljski identitet, Pariz u percepciji turista ima romantičan identitet (Morgan i Pritchard, 2002). Prayag (2007) je pisao o emotivnim vezama između turista i destinacija koje proistječu iz asocijacije osobina turista i onih koje pripisuju destinacijama. Emocionalnom vezom između potrošača i tržišne marke identitet tržišne marke gradi pozitivan utjecaj na povjerenje u marku i lojalnost. Zbog toga je potrebno odrediti točne osobine destinacije putem procesa kreiranja destinacijske tržišne marke (Lee i Kang, 2013). Aguilar *et al.* (2014) naglašavaju da destinacije koje žele biti različite i graditi snažnu tržišnu marku trebaju kreirati identitet destinacije kako bi usmjerile ponašanje potrošača. Osim toga, ovakvo pozicioniranje lokacije olakšalo bi menadžerima destinacije njezin marketing (Rojaz-Mendez *et al.*, 2013).

U većini studija u literaturi o turizmu pokušalo se identificirati čimbenike koji utječu na namjere ponašanja turista kako bi se predvidjela njihova ponašanja (Gonzalez *et al.*, 2007; Chen i Chen, 2010; Prayag *et al.*, 2013; Hultman *et al.*, 2017). Namjere ponašanja sklop su stalnih postupaka koji pokazuju hoće li neki turist doživjeti turistički proizvod u budućnosti. Namjere ponašanja za destinaciju uključuju ponovni posjet destinaciji i preporuke drugima (Yang *et al.*, 2011). Poznato je da identitet destinacije uspješno usmjerava ponašanja potrošača i sklonosti posjećivanja (Ekinci *et al.*, 2007). Stokburger-Sauer (2011) navodi kako turisti koji osjete suglasnost između identiteta destinacije i njihovog vlastitog identiteta rado posjećuju te lokacije. Istraživanje koje su proveli Kumar i Nayak (2018) otkrilo je da različiti identiteti destinacija imaju utjecaj na stavove turista. Papadimitrou *et al.* (2015) odredili su da percepcija turista o identitetu destinacije prema specifičnoj destinaciji utječe na njihovu namjeru za posjet. U turističkoj literaturi postoje studije koje identificiraju pozitivan učinak identiteta

As with brands, the destinations may be perceived by the consumers through certain personality traits. Spain has a friendly and family-oriented personality whereas Paris is perceived by the tourists as having a romantic personality (Morgan and Pritchard, 2002). Prayag (2007) reported an emotional bond between the tourist and the destination as a result of the association between the personality traits of tourists and the personality traits they attribute to the destination. Brand personality has a positive influence on the trust in brand and brand loyalty through this emotional bond between the consumer and the brand. For this reason, it is necessary to create a correct destination personality during the process of creating a destination brand (Lee and Kang, 2013). Aguilar *et al.* (2014) underlined that destinations that wish to be different and build a powerful destination brand should create a destination personality to direct consumer behaviors. Besides, this type of positioning could make it easier to market that destination by the destination managers (Rojaz-Mendez *et al.*, 2013).

Most studies in the tourism literature attempted to identify the factors affecting a tourist's behavioral intentions to predict tourist behaviors (Gonzalez *et al.*, 2007; Chen and Chen, 2010; Prayag *et al.*, 2013; Hultman *et al.*, 2017). The behavioral intentions are a set of consistent behaviors indicating whether a tourist would buy a tourist product experienced by that tourist in the future. The behavioral intentions for a destination include re-visiting and recommending a destination to others (Yang *et al.*, 2011). The destination personality is known to be effective in directing consumer behaviors and in the tendency to visit the destination (Ekinci *et al.*, 2007). Stokburger-Sauer (2011) suggested that tourists experiencing an accord between the destination personality and their own personality had a tendency to visit that site. The research carried out by Kumar and Nayak (2018) found that different dimensions of destination personality had an influence on the tourist attitudes. Papadimitrou and

destinacije na namjere ponašanja (Usakli i Baloglu, 2011; Kılıç i Sop, 2012; Xie i Lee, 2013; Baloglu *et al.*, 2014; Türkmen *et al.*, 2018). Na osnovi rezultata tih studija slijedi hipoteza  $H_1$ :

**$H_1$ :** *Percipirani identitet destinacije ima učinke na namjere ponašanja.*

Iako nema dostupnih studija koje ispituju učinak identiteta destinacije na zadovoljstvo destinacijom, poznato je iz literature da elementi imidža destinacije imaju učinak na zadovoljstvo destinacijom (O'Leary i Deegan, 2005; Prayag, 2007). Prethodne studije identificirale su odnos između identiteta destinacije i imidža destinacije (Hosany *et al.*, 2006; Ekinci *et al.*, 2007). Xie i Lee (2013) su u svom istraživanju došli do zaključka da imidž destinacije ima utjecaj na identitet destinacije. Ekinci i Hosany (2006) dokazali su pozitivan utjecaj identiteta destinacije na imidž destinacije.

Hultman *et al.* (2015) istraživali su učinak identiteta destinacije na zadovoljstvo destinacijom kod tajvanskih turista koji posjećuju druge zemlje i zaključili su da identitet destinacije ima vrlo velik učinak na zadovoljstvo turista. Prema Hultman *et al.* (2016) u strukturalnom modelu, formiranom između varijabli identiteta destinacije, zadovoljstva turista i namjera ponašanja, pojavljuje se pozitivan učinak identiteta destinacije na zadovoljstvo turista.

Isto tako Türkmen *et al.* (2018) zaključuju da identitet destinacije ima pozitivan utjecaj na zadovoljstvo posjeta, dok Chi *et al.* (2018) tvrde da turisti koji su povezivali svoj identitet s osobinama destinacije imaju veću razinu zadovoljstva destinacijom. Istraživanje provedeno na Sardiniji utvrdilo je pozitivan učinak identiteta destinacije na zadovoljstvo destinacijom. Tako je druga hipoteza ovog istraživanja sljedeća:

**$H_2$ :** *Percipirani učinci identiteta destinacije imaju utjecaj na zadovoljstvo destinacijom.*

colleagues (2015) determined that tourist's destination personality perception towards a specific destination affected their intention to visit. In the tourism literature, there are studies identifying a positive effect of destination personality on the behavioral intentions (Usakli and Baloglu, 2011; Kılıç and Sop, 2012; Xie and Lee, 2013; Baloglu *et al.*, 2014; Türkmen *et al.*, 2018). Based on the results of those studies, the hypothesis  $H_1$  is as follows:

**$H_1$ :** *The perceived destination personality has effects on the behavioral intentions.*

There is not available study examining the effect of destination personality on the destination satisfaction, however, the elements of destination image are known to be effective on the destination satisfaction in the tourism literature (O'Leary and Deegan, 2005; Prayag, 2007). The previous studies identified a relationship between the destination personality and the destination image (Hosany *et al.*, 2006; Ekinci *et al.*, 2007). Xie and Lee (2013) concluded that destination image had an effect on the destination personality in their research. Ekinci and Hosany (2006) determined a positive influence of destination personality on the destination image.

Hultman *et al.* (2015) investigated the effect of destination personality on destination satisfaction in their study on Taiwanese tourists visiting foreign countries. In this study, it was concluded that the destination personality is highly effective on tourist satisfaction. According to Hultman *et al.* (2016) the structural model formed among the variables of destination personality, tourist satisfaction and behavioral intentions, the positive effect of destination personality on tourist satisfaction emerge.

In addition, Türkmen *et al.* (2018) concluded that destination personality had a positive influence on visit satisfaction. Chi *et al.* (2018) reported that tourists that associated their personality with the personality traits of a destination had a higher level of destination satisfaction. The research in Sar-

Zadovoljstvo je opće vrednovanje koje izražava zadovoljstvo neke osobe nakon što je doživjela istakstvo nekih proizvoda ili usluga (Castaneda *et al.*, 2007). Zadovoljstvo destinacijom rezultat je ocjene turista o njihovim doživljajima u destinaciji. Turisti ocjenjuju sve proizvode i usluge koje konzumiraju tijekom odmora, kao i svoju interakciju s turističkim dionicima u destinaciji pa će biti zadovoljni ako se ispune njihova očekivanja ili nezadovoljni ako se ne ispune (Vetitnev *et al.*, 2013). Zbog toga, nakon što turisti iskuse doživljaj u destinaciji, javljaju se brojna kognitivna i emotivna stanja (Al-Ansi i Han, 2019) i, posljedično tomu, oni pokazuju određena ponašanja u odnosu na destinacije. Stoga je važno ispitati zadovoljstvo turista u predviđanju i razumijevanju ponašanja turista nakon doživljaja (Martin *et al.*, 2019).

Nakon zadovoljstva doživljenog na odmoru, turisti pokazuju sklonost za ponovni posjet destinaciji i preporučuju ju drugima (prijateljima, obitelji, poznanicima, itd.) (Žabkar *et al.*, 2010). Istraživanje koje je provedeno na različitim skupinama turista u različitim destinacijama pokazalo je da su turisti, koji su bili zadovoljni s iskustvom destinacije, željeli ponovno posjetiti ili preporučiti drugima tu destinaciju (Kozak i Rimmington, 2000; Yoon i Uysal, 2005; Wang i Hsu, 2010; Prayag i Ryan, 2012; Chen i Phou, 2013; Song *et al.*, 2013; Papadimitriou *et al.*, 2015; Matzler *et al.*, 2016).

Temeljeno na ovome, slijedi hipoteza  $H_3$ :

$H_3$ : *Zadovoljstvo destinacijom ima učinke na namjere ponašanja.*

dinia found a positive effect of dimensions of destination personality on the destination satisfaction. The second hypothesis of this research is as follows:

$H_2$ : *The perceived destination personality has effects on the destination satisfaction.*

Satisfaction is a general evaluation that expresses pleasure of a person after he or she has experienced any goods or services (Castaneda *et al.*, 2007). Destination satisfaction is a result of evaluation by the tourist of their vacation experience in a destination. The tourists evaluate all the goods and services consumed by them during their vacation and their interaction with the tourism stakeholders in the destination, and they will be satisfied if their expectations are met, or they will be unsatisfied if their expectations are not met (Vetitnev *et al.*, 2013). Therefore, after a tourist's experience at the destination, a number of cognitive and emotional states emerge in relation to the destination (Al-Ansi and Han, 2019), and consequently the tourists display certain behaviors related to the destination. Hence, it is important to examine the satisfaction of tourists in predicting and understanding tourist behavior after the experience (Martin *et al.*, 2019).

After a satisfactory vacation experience, tourists show a tendency to re-visit the same destination and recommend it to others (friends, family, acquaintances, etc.) (Žabkar *et al.*, 2010). The research conducted on different groups of tourists at different destinations showed that tourists who were satisfied with the destination experience desired to re-visit that destination or recommended it to others (Kozak and Rimmington, 2000; Yoon and Uysal, 2005; Wang and Hsu, 2010; Prayag and Ryan, 2012; Chen and Phou, 2013; Song *et al.*, 2013; Papadimitriou *et al.*, 2015; Matzler *et al.*, 2016). Based on this, the hypothesis  $H_3$  is as follows:

$H_3$ : *Destination satisfaction has effects on the behavioral intentions.*

### 3. METODOLOGIJA ISTRAŽIVANJA

Prema dostupnoj literaturi nije poznato istraživanje koje određuje identitet destinacije arheološkog lokaliteta Pamukkale-Hierapolis u turskoj provinciji Denizli. Jasan je nedostatak podataka koji bi pokazali učinkovitost identiteta destinacije na zadovoljstvo i namjere ponašanja posjetitelja. Posebno je nedostatna literatura o općim učincima identiteta destinacije na zadovoljstvo destinacijom na lokalitetu Pamukkale-Hierapolis. Zato je vrijedno ispraviti taj nedostatak i ustanoviti utjecaj identiteta destinacije na zadovoljstvo destinacijom kako bi se usmjerio rad destinacijske menadžmentske organizacije. U tom je smislu cilj ovog istraživanja bio identificirati percipirani identitet destinacije arheološkog lokaliteta Pamukkale-Hierapolis i odrediti učinke identiteta destinacije na zadovoljstvo destinacijom i namjere ponašanja. Istraživanje je također imalo za cilj odrediti učinke zadovoljstva destinacijom na namjere ponašanja. Ovo istraživanje moglo bi voditi k dubljim znanstvenim istraživanjima i olakšati DMO-ima iznalaženje načina korištenja identiteta destinacije kao marketinškog alata.

Prema podacima Ministarstva kulture i turizma (YIGM, 2019), broj posjetitelja u objektima s dozvolom rada u provinciji Denizli pokazuje da je 2016. godine bilo otprilike 477.000 posjetitelja i približno 700.000 noćenja. Broj posjetitelja porastao je 2017. godine na 587.000, a noćenja na 845.000 od čega je domaćih turista bilo oko 552.000. Nadalje, 709.000 od ukupnog broja noćenja u provinciji Denizli zabilježeno je u Pamukkale-i, a od tog broja bilo je 428.000 domaćih turista. Prosjek noćenja bio je 1,72 dana.

Iz navedenog proizlazi da je arheološki lokalitet Pamukkale-Hierapolis bitna turistička destinacija u Turskoj, što dokazuje i dalje rastući broj domaćih posjetitelja. Iz istog razloga istraživanje je obuhvatilo turske turiste u Pamukkale-Hierapolis koji su

### 3. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

No study was found in the literature available to determine the destination personality of Pamukkale-Hierapolis archaeological site in Denizli Province of Turkey. The gap in the data to indicate how effective of destination personality on the satisfaction and behavioral intention of tourist visiting this destination was clear. Especially, the literature showing the general effects of destination personality on the destination satisfaction in Pamukkale-Hierapolis site was not available. Therefore, it is important to fill the gap in the literature about the destination personality of Pamukkale-Hierapolis site and to find out the influence of destination personality on the destination satisfaction to guide destination management organizations (DMO). In this respect, the purpose of this study was to identify the perceived destination personality of Pamukkale-Hierapolis archaeological site and determine the effects of destination personality on the destination satisfaction and behavioral intentions. The research also aimed to determine the effects of destination satisfaction on the behavioral intentions. This research may guide scholars towards deeper research to guide DMO's how to use the destination personality as a marketing tool.

According to data of the Ministry of Culture and Tourism (YIGM, 2019), the number of visits to facilities with operation licenses in Denizli indicates that approximately 477,000 tourists visited in 2016 and there were approximately 700,000 overnights. In 2017, the number of visitors went up to 587,000 and the total number of overnights increased to 845,000. Approximately 552,000 of 845,000 overnights in Denizli belonged to domestic tourists. Furthermore, 709,000 of total overnights in Denizli were recorded in Pamukkale including 428,000 overnight stays by domestic tourists. The average of overnights was 1.72 for tourists who stayed overnight in Pamukkale.

Thus, The Pamukkale-Hierapolis archaeological site is an important domestic tourist destination of Turkey and the number of do-

odsjeli barem jednu noć u lokalnim hotelima za razliku od jednodnevnih posjetitelja. Podaci su prikupljeni prigodnim uzorkovanjem uz dozvole hotela koji su ih i distribuirali. U razdoblju istraživanja od 1. travnja do 30. lipnja 2018. godine ispunjeno je 373 upitnika. U naknadnom pregledu ustanovljena su 23 nepotpuna upitnika pa je tako konačno analizirano 350 turskih turista.

Upitnik se sastojao od četiri odjeljka. U prvom se koristila *Skala identiteta destinacije* koju su razvili Hosany *et al.* (2006), a uključuje 27 osobina. Usakli i Baloglu (2011) istaknuli su da bi destinacije mogle imati posebna obilježja kada su mjerili identitet destinacije Las Vegasa pa su dodali razne osobine gore navedenoj skali (Hosany *et al.*, 2006). U ovom istraživanju uvršten je i pregled komentara o lokalitetu Pamukkale-Hierapolis na Tripadvisor-u i drugim platformama društvenih medija, što je dovelo do deset osobina povezanih s lokacijom (djevičanska, elegantna, mirna, autentična, čista, osvježavajuća, nonšalantna, radosna i dražesna). Deset osobina dobiveno je stručnim mišljenjem dvaju znanstvenika koji su radili na brendiranju destinacije i koji su potvrdili da bi se te osobine mogle pripisati toj destinaciji. Zatim je 20 turskih posjetitelja Pamukkale-i pozvano pridružiti lokaciji deset novih osobina, a prosječna vrijednost za svaku od njih bila je preko 3,60 na Likertovoj skali od 5 točaka. Zatim se skala identiteta destinacije s 37 osobina, koja je uključivala i nove elemente, testirala na 50 turista u pilot studiji nakon čega nije eliminiran niti jedan element. Ispitanike smo zamolili da shvate Pamukkale kao osobu i ocijene lokaciju na osnovi 37 osobina.

Šest elemenata za mjerenje zadovoljstva destinacijom postavljeno je na skalu Hultman *et al.* (2015), a za mjerenje namjera ponašanja korištena je skala s četiri tvrdnje u studiji prema Ilban *et al.* (2016). Hultman *et al.* (2015) koristili su tehniku prijevoda i ponovnog prijevoda za svoju skalu zadovoljstva destinacijom, a kako je skala identiteta destinacije upotrijebljena puno puta u turskim

mestic visitors increases each year. For this reason, the research universe was comprised of Turkish tourists visiting Pamukkale-Hierapolis. The research data was acquired from the tourists who stayed in the hotels operating in Pamukkale at least one night to separate them from same-day visitors. Convenience sampling was carried out with the hotels' permissions and aid in distributing the questionnaires. During the research period between 1 April and 30 June 2018 373 questionnaire forms were completed by the participants. The review of the questionnaire forms showed 23 incomplete forms, which resulted in a sample 350 Turkish tourists for the analysis.

The questionnaire form had four sections. The first section used the Destination Personality Scale (DPS) developed by Hosany *et al.* (2006) and DPS includes 27 personality traits. Usakli and Baloglu (2011) underlined that destinations might have specific characteristics and measured the destination personality of Las Vegas by adding different personality traits to the Scale (Hosany *et al.*, 2006). For this research, the comments in Tripadvisor and in other social media platforms of Pamukkale-Hierapolis on the destination were reviewed, and ten personality traits associated with the site were identified in the visitors' comments on Pamukkale (virgin, elegant, peaceful, authentic, clean, refreshing, debonair, natural, joyful and cute). Expert opinion was obtained from two academicians working on destination branding as regards 10 new personality traits, and they confirmed that these personality traits could be attributed to the destination. Then, 20 Turkish tourists who visited Pamukkale were asked to associate 10 new personality traits with the site and the average of answers provided for each personality trait was more than 3.60 (5-point Likert scale). So, the destination personality scale with 37 personality traits together with newly included items was tested on 50 tourists as pilot study. None of the items was removed as a result of the pilot study. The respondents were instructed to consider Pamukkale as a person and assess the site on the basis of 37 personality traits.



studijama (Artuğer i Çetinsöz, 2014; Artuğer i Ercan, 2015; Sağlık i Türkeri, 2015; Türkmen i Köroğlu, 2017), za ovu studiju nije bilo potrebno prevoditi i ponovno prevoditi skalu. Kategorije odgovora na elemente na skali ocijenjene su na Likertovoj skali (1: uopće se ne slažem; .... 5: snažno se slažem). Na kraju upitnika postavljena su demografska pitanja kako bi se odredio profil sudionika, kao npr. spol, starost, obrazovanje i visina prihoda, kao i jesu li već prije posjetili lokaciju Pamukkale-Hierapolis.

U analizi dobivenih podataka korišten je statistički software SPSS 20.0 za deskriptivnu statistiku i eksplanatornu faktorsu (EFA) i jednostavnu linearnu regresijsku analizu.

#### 4. REZULTATI ISTRAŽIVANJA

Deskriptivna statistika pokazala je da je distribucija prema dobi sudionika bila kako slijedi: 40,7% imalo je 26-35 godina, 23,5% imalo je 18-25 godina, 17,8% imalo je 36-45 godina i 18,1% imalo je 46 i više godina, a bilo je 54,2% sudionika i 45,8% sudionica. S obzirom na školsku spremu 6,6% ih je imalo samo osnovnu školu, 43,8% srednju školu, 21,2% ih je završilo stručni studij, 20,9% je završilo dodiplomski, a 7,4% diplomski studij. U odnosu na mjesečna primanja, 19% je zarađivalo TRY 1,650 (€300) i manje, 27% je primalo TRY 1,651-3,000 (€300-575), 19% je imalo prihod TRY 3,001-5,000 (€576-950), a 14% zarađivalo je TRY5,001 (€951) i više. Postotak sudionika koji su rekli da su već prije posjetili lokalitet Pamukkale-Hierapolis bio je 83%.

##### 4.1. Eksplanatorna faktorska analiza (EFA)

Prije izvođenja eksplanatorne faktorske analize (EFA) učinjeni su testovi vjerodostojnosti i normalne distribucije na elementima skala koje su korištene u istraživanju. Pouzdanost skala bila je preko ( $\alpha$ ) 0,85. Pomoću Kolmogorov-Smirnova testa utvrđeno je da

There were six items to measure destination satisfaction and the scale used by Hultman *et al.* (2015) was utilized to measure destination satisfaction. The scale with four statements in the study of İlban *et al.* (2016) was used to measure the behavioral intentions. Hultman *et al.* (2015) used the translation-retranslation technique for the destination satisfaction scale in their study. As the destination personality scale is used many times by Turkish studies (Artuğer and Çetinsöz, 2014; Artuğer and Ercan, 2015; Sağlık and Türkeri, 2015; Türkmen and Köroğlu, 2017), it was found unnecessary to translate and retranslate the scale. The categories of response to scale items were assessed by the 5-point Likert rating (1: I strongly disagree; .... 5: I strongly agree) The final section of the questionnaire included demographic questions to determine the profile of participants such as gender, age, education and income level, and the participants were asked whether they had visited Pamukkale- Hierapolis site before.

A statistical package program SPSS 20.0 was used to analyze the research data. The data were analyzed by the use of descriptive statistics, explanatory factor analysis (EFA) and simple linear regression analysis.

#### 4. RESEARCH FINDINGS

According to descriptive statistics, age distribution of participants was as follows: 40.7% were 26-35, 23.5% were 18-25, 17.8% were 36-45 and 18.1% were 46 years old and above. Of participants, 54.2% were men and 45.8% were women. Of the participants, 6.6% were primary school graduates, 43.8% were high school graduates, 21.2% had an associate degree diploma, 20.9% had a bachelor's degree, and 7.4% had a graduate diploma. As for monthly income of participants, 19% had an income of TRY1,650 (300€) and below, 27% had an income between TRY1,651 and TRY3,000 (€300-575), 19% had an income between TRY3,001 and 5,000 (€576-950),

podaci imaju normalnu distribuciju ( $p < 0,05$ ) te se smatraju statistički signifikantnim. Međutim, podaci s Likertovih skala nisu pokazali normalnu distribuciju općenito pa su kod vrednovanja podataka uzete u obzir vrijednosti iskrivljenosti (Büyüköztürk, 2002). Budući da su te vrijednosti bile u rasponu od  $\pm 1,5$ , smatra se da imaju normalnu distribuciju (Tabachnick i Fidell, 2013: 53).

Tablica 1 pokazuje rezultate eksplanatorne faktorske analize skale identiteta destinacije. Na toj skali vrijednost KMO je bila 0,965, približna vrijednost hi-kvadrat ( $\chi^2$ ) bila je 8084,750 prema Bartlett testu, a razina signifikantnosti bila je  $p < 0,001$ . Rezultati pokazuju da skala odgovara za eksplanatornu faktorsku analizu (EFA). Identitet destinacije Pamukkale-Hierapolis-a mjeren je pomoću 37 osobina. Međutim, faktorska opterećenja pet elemenata bila su manja od 0,50 (Tabachnick i Fidell, 2013), a četiri su bila unakrsno opterećena (Lu *et al.*, 2016) pa ih je ukupno devet izuzeto iz faktorske analize. Iz faktorske analize proizašla su četiri čimbenika koja objašnjavaju 69,342% od ukupne varijabilnosti.

Najveću eksplanatornu razinu za ovu skalu imao je čimbenik 1 (21,22%). Ovaj faktor ima šest elemenata s devet osobina u dimenziji vrsnosti i tri u dimenziji profinjenosti na izvornoj skali, zbog čega je faktor nazvan *vrsna profinjenost*. Ova dimenzija je također imala najveću stopu participacije ( $\bar{x} = 3,63$ ). Kako su tvrdnje u drugoj dimenziji uvrštene u dimenziju iskrenosti na izvornoj skali, ova se dimenzija zove *iskrenost*. Ona objašnjava ukupno 19,908% varijabilnosti i sudjelovanje od 3,51 u ovoj dimenziji. U trećoj dimenziji uvršteni su *prirodnost*, *radost*, *mirnoća*, *elegantija*, *dražesnost*, *svježina*, *nonšalantnost*, *čistoća*. Budući da su elementi *prirodnost* i *radost* pokazali najveću faktorsku opterećenost participacija u ovoj dimenziji je 3,61, i objašnjava 18,393% ukupne varijabilnosti. Tri elementa u zadnjoj dimenziji uvrštena su u zadnju dimenziju nazvanu *krševitost*, što objašnjava 9,813 % varijabilnosti i najmanju stopu participacije ( $\bar{x} = 3,38$ ).

and 14% had an income of TRY5,001 (€951) and over. The ratio of participants reported that they had visited Pamukkale-Hierapolis site before was 83%.

#### 4.1. Explanatory Factor Analysis (EFA)

Before performing the Explanatory Factor Analysis (EFA), a reliability test and normal distribution test were performed on the items contained in the scales used for the research. The reliability of scales was over ( $\alpha$ ) .85. To verify the normal distribution of the research data, the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was performed ( $p < 0,05$ ) and was considered significant and the normal distribution of data was confirmed. However, the data from Likert scales did not show normal distribution in general, and the kurtosis-skewness values were considered for assessing the data. Therefore, the normal distribution of statements contained in the scales was assessed by the kurtosis-skewness values (Büyüköztürk, 2002). As these values were in the range of  $\pm 1,5$ , they were considered to have normal distribution (Tabachnick and Fidell, 2013: 53).

Table 1 below shows the results of explanatory factor analysis for the destination personality scale. The KMO value on the scale was 0.965, the approximate chi-square ( $\chi^2$ ) value was 8084.750 according to Bartlett test, and the significance level was  $p < 0,001$ . The results indicate that scale was suitable for the explanatory factor analysis (EFA). The destination personality of Pamukkale-Hierapolis was measured with 37 personality traits. However, the factor loadings of five items was lower than 0.50 (Tabachnick and Fidell, 2013) and four items were cross-loaded (Lu *et al.*, 2016), therefore nine items in total were excluded from the factor analysis. The factor analysis resulted in four factors and the explained variance for four factors was 69.342%.

Factor 1 had the highest explanatory level (21.22%) for the scale. Six items of this factor with nine personality traits are in the competence dimension and three items are in

**Tablica 1: Reultati EFA za identitet destinacije Pamukkale-Hierapolis**

Elementi	EFA Faktorska opterećenja				Komunaliteti
	Vrsna profinjenost	Iskrenost	Prirodna radost	Krševitost	
Uspješnost	0,770				0,727
Samouvjerenost	0,766				0,747
Inteligencija	0,761				0,718
Modernost	0,649				0,668
Pouzdanost	0,648				0,632
Sigurnost	0,648				0,660
Prvoklasnost	0,642				0,635
Maštovitost	0,603				0,676
Glamuroznost	0,556				0,602
Iskrenost		0,801			0,777
Blagotvornost		0,783			0,766
Obiteljska usmjerenost		0,760			0,706
Jedinstvenost		0,752			0,741
Radost		0,688			0,724
Ljubaznost		0,640			0,656
Racionalnost		0,632			0,579
Smionost		0,562			0,647
Prirodnost			0,734		0,759
Radost			0,725		0,739
Mirnoća			0,712		0,690
Elegancija			0,684		0,711
Dražesnost			0,640		0,648
Svježina			0,629		0,661
Nonšalantnost			0,581		0,670
Čistoća			0,553		0,568
Muževnost				,849	0,779
Krševitost				,733	0,784
Žilavost				,704	0,746
Srednja vrijednost	3,63	3,51	3,61	3,38	
Cronbach $\alpha$	0,936	0,929	0,935	0,830	
Svojstvena vrijednost	5,944	5,574	5,150	2,748	
Objašnjena varijanca (%)	21,227	19,908	18,393	9,813	
Ukupna objašnjena varijanca (%)	21,227	41,135	59,528	69,342	
Kaiser-Meyer-Olkinova prikladnost uzorka		0.965			
Bartlettov test sferičnosti		Approx. Hi-kvadrat: 8019.816 df:378 sig: p<.001			

Napomena: Varimax Rotation, Principal Component analysis

**Table 1: EFA Results for Destination Personality of Pamukkale-Hierapolis**

Items	EFA Factor Loadings				Communalities
	Competence -sophistication	Sincerity	Naturas – Happy	Ruggedness	
Successful	.770				.727
Confident	.766				.747
Intelligent	.761				.718
Up to date	.649				.668
Reliable	.648				.632
Secure	.648				.660
Upper class	.642				.635
Imaginative	.603				.676
Glamorous	.556				.602
Sincere		.801			.777
Wholesome		.783			.766
Family-oriented		.760			.706
Unique		.752			.741
Cheerful		.688			.724
Friendly		.640			.656
Down to earth		.632			.579
Daring		.562			.647
Natural			.734		.759
Happy			.725		.739
Peaceful			.712		.690
Elegant			.684		.711
Cute			.640		.648
Refreshing			.629		.661
Debonair			.581		.670
Clean			.553		.568
Masculine				.849	.779
Rugged				.733	.784
Tough				.704	.746
Mean	3.63	3.51	3.61	3.38	
Cronbach's $\alpha$	.936	.929	.935	.830	
Eigenvalue	5.944	5.574	5.150	2.748	
Explained variance (%)	21.227	19.908	18.393	9.813	
Total explained variance (%)	21.227	41.135	59.528	69.342	
Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin Sample Adequacy					.965
Bartlett's Sphericity Test					Approx. Chi-Square: 8019.816 df:378 sig: p<.001

Note: Varimax Rotation, Principal Component analysis

**Tablica 2: Rezultati EFA za zadovoljstvo destinacijom**

Zadovoljstvo destinacijom	EFA opterećenja	Komunaliteti
Pamukkale-Hierapolis bila je sjajna destinacija za posjetiti.	0,805	0,648
Posjetom Pamukkale-Hierapolisu ostvario/la sam svrhu svog odmora.	0,866	0,749
Uzevši sve u obzir (npr., vrijeme, napor/trud/poduhvat, novac), zadovoljan/a sam posjetom Pamukkale-Hierapolisu.	0,735	0,540
Moj posjet Pamukkale-Hierapolisu ispunio je moja očekivanja.	0,851	0,725
Sve u svemu moj posjet Pamukkale-Hierapolisu bio je pametan izbor.	0,890	0,792
Imam lijepe uspomene s posjeta Pamukkale-Hierapolisu.	0,854	0,728
Srednja vrijednost	3,35	
Cronbach $\alpha$	0,911	
Svojevstvena vrijednost	4,182	
Ukupna objašnjena varijanca (%)	69,705	
Kaiser-Meyer-Olkinova prikladnost uzorka	0,869	
Bartlettov test sfernosti	Približan hi-kvadrat: 1450,724 df: 15 sig: p<0,001	

*Napomena: Varimax Rotation, Principal Component analysis*

**Table 2: EFA Results for Destination Satisfaction**

Destination Satisfaction	EFA Loadings	Communalities
Pamukkale-Hierapolis was the great destination to visit.	.805	.648
During my visit to Pamukkale-Hierapolis, I accomplished the purpose of my vacation	.866	.749
All things considered (e.g., time, effort, money), I am satisfied with my visit to Pamukkale-Hierapolis.	.735	.540
My visit to Pamukkale-Hierapolis met my expectations.	.851	.725
On the whole, my choice to visit Pamukkale-Hierapolis has been a wise one.	.890	.792
I have pleasant memories from my visit to Pamukkale-Hierapolis.	.854	.728
Mean	3.35	
Cronbach's $\alpha$	.911	
Eigenvalue	4.182	
Total explained variance (%)	69.705	
Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin Sample Adequacy	.869	
Bartlett's Sphericity Test	Approx. Chi-Square: 1450.724 df: 15 sig: p<.001	

*Note: Varimax Rotation, Principal Component analysis*

Tablica 2 pokazuje rezultate testova KMO i Bartlettove sferičnosti za skalu zadovoljstva destinacijom. Vrijednost KMO 0,869 približna je vrijednosti hi-kvadrata ( $\chi^2$ ) 1450,724 prema Bartlettovom testu, a razina signifikantnosti je  $p < .001$ . Rezultati otkrivaju da skala odgovara za eksplanatornu faktorsku analizu (EFA) (Altunışık *et al.*,

the sophistication dimension in the original scale, therefore this factor is called *competence-sophistication*. This dimension also had highest participation rate ( $\bar{x} = 3.63$ ). As the statements in the second dimension are included in the sincerity dimension in the original scale, this dimension is called *sincerity*. It explained the scale by 19.908% and the

**Tablica 3: Rezultati EFA za Skalu namjera ponašanja**

Namjere ponašanja	EFA opterećenja	Komunaliteti
Želim posjetiti Pamukkale ponovno u budućnosti.	0,900	0,811
Mogu drugima govoriti pozitivno o Pamukkale.	0,930	0,866
Preporučio bih drugima da posjete Pamukkale.	0,911	0,830
Nagovaram bliske prijatelje i rođake da posjete Pamukkale.	0,880	0,774
Srednja vrijednost	3,46	
Cronbach $\alpha$	0,926	
Svojevrsna vrijednost	3,281	
Ukupna objašnjena varijanca (%)	82,014	
Kaiser-Meyer-Olkinova prikladnost uzorka	0,842	
Bartlettov test sfernosti	Približan hi-kvadrat: 1111,592 df: 6 sig: $p < 0,001$	

*Napomena: Varimax Rotation, Principal Component analysis*

**Table 3: EFA Results for Behavioral Intentions Scale**

Behavioral Intentions	EFA Loadings	Communalities
I want to visit Pamukkale again in the future.	.900	.811
I can tell positive things for Pamukkale to others.	.930	.866
I would recommend to visit Pamukkale.	.911	.830
I encourage my close friends and relatives to visit Pamukkale.	.880	.774
Mean	3.46	
Cronbach's $\alpha$	.926	
Eigenvalue	3.281	
Total explained variance (%)	82.014	
Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin Sample Adequacy	.842	
Bartlett's Sphericity Test	Approx. Chi-Square: 1111.592 df: 6 sig: $p < .001$	

*Note: Varimax Rotation, Principal Component analysis*

2012). Zadovoljstvo destinacijom mjereno je na temelju šest tvrdnji, a faktorska analiza polučila je jednodimenzionalnu skalu, a ukupna objašnjena varijanca bila je 69,705%.

Tablica 3 prikazuje rezultate testova KMO i Bartlettove sfernosti za skalu namjera ponašanja. Vrijednost KMO je 0,842, približna vrijednost hi-kvadrata ( $\chi^2$ ) je 1111,592 prema Bartlettovom testu, a razina signifikantnosti je  $p < 0,001$ . Rezultati kazuju da je skala prikladna za eksplanatornu faktorsku analizu (EFA). Skala namjera ponašanja izmjerena je na temelju četiri tvrdnje, faktorska analiza je polučila jednodimenzionalnu skalu, a ukupna objašnjena varijanca je bila 82,014%.

#### 4.2. Testiranje hipoteza istraživanja

Multipla regresijska analiza korištena je za testiranje hipoteza istraživanja. Tablica 4 prikazuje rezultate regresijske analize kojom su mjereni učinci dimenzija identiteta destinacije na namjere ponašanja.

Višestruki regresijski model za zavisnu varijablu (namjere ponašanja) i procijenjene varijable (dimenzije identiteta destinacije) statistički je značajan ( $F_{[4;345]} = 162,490$ ,  $p < 0,001$ ). Dimenzije identiteta destinacije objašnjavaju 61% ukupne varijance namjera ponašanja. *Vrsna profinjenost, iskrenost, prirodna radost i krševitost* dimenzije su identiteta destinacije koje su imale značajne i pozitivne utjecaje na namjere ponašanja ( $\beta = 0,153$ ,  $t_{competence} = 2,594$ ,  $p_{competence} < 0,05$ ;  $\beta = 0,254$ ,  $t_{sincerity} = 4,708$ ,  $p_{sincerity} < 0,001$ ;  $\beta = 0,334$ ,  $t_{natural-happy} = 5,539$ ,  $p_{natural-happy} < 0,001$ ;  $\beta = 0,146$ ,  $t_{ruggedness} = 3,169$ ,  $p_{ruggedness} < 0,05$ ). Prema rezultatima četiri identiteta destinacije imala su statistički pozitivne učinke na namjere ponašanja, čime je **potvrđena hipoteza  $H_1$** .

Tablica 5 prikazuje rezultate višestruke regresijske analize koja je provedena kako bi se izmjerili učinci dimenzija identiteta destinacije na zadovoljstvo destinacijom i pokazuje da je višestruki regresijski model za zavisnu varijablu (zadovoljstvo destina-

participation in this dimension was 3.51. The third dimension includes the items “Natural, Happy, Peaceful, Elegant, Cute, Refreshing, Debonair, and Clean”. As the items “Natural and Happy” have the highest factor load, the participation in this dimension was 3.61, which explains the scale by 18.393%. Three items in the last dimension are included in the ruggedness dimension in the original scale, therefore, this dimension is called *ruggedness*. This explains the scale by 9.813 % and had the lowest participation rate ( $\bar{x} = 3.38$ ).

Table 2 shows the results of KMO and Bartlett’s Sphericity Test for the destination satisfaction scale. The KMO value was 0.869, the approximate chi-square ( $\chi^2$ ) value was 1450.724 according to Bartlett test, and the significance level was  $p < .001$ . The results indicate that the scale was suitable for the explanatory factor analysis (EFA) (Altunışık *et al.*, 2012). The destination satisfaction was measured by six statements. The factor analysis resulted in a one-dimension scale and total explained variance was 69.705%.

Table 3 shows the results of KMO and Bartlett’s Sphericity Test for the behavioral intentions scale. The KMO value was .842, the approximate chi-square ( $\chi^2$ ) value was 1111.592 according to Bartlett test, and the significance level was  $p < .001$ . The results indicate that scale was suitable for the explanatory factor analysis (EFA). The behavioral intentions scale was measured by four statements. The factor analysis resulted in a one-dimension scale and total explained variance was 82.014%.

#### 4.2. Testing of Research Hypotheses

Multiple regression analysis was used to test the research hypotheses. Table 4 shows the results of regression analysis performed to measure the effects of destination personality dimensions on the behavioral intentions.

The multiple regression model for the dependent variable (behavioral intentions) and estimate variables (destination persona-

**Tablica 4: Učinci identiteta destinacije na namjere ponašanja.**

ID→NP	Std. β	T	P	R	R <sup>2</sup>	Prilagođeni R <sup>2</sup>	F	P
Postojanost	-	-1,509	0,132	0,782	0,611	0,607	135,656	0,000***
Vrsna profinjenost	0,153	2,594	0,010*					
Iskrenost	0,254	4,708	0,000***					
Prirodna radost	0,334	5,539	0,000***					
Krševitost	0,146	3,169	0,002*					

\* $p < 0,05$ , \*\* $p < 0,01$ , \*\*\* $p < 0,001$ ; srednje vrijednosti reziduala: 760,258 - 0,518 df: 4 - 345

Napomena: ID: identitet destinacije, NP: namjere ponašanja

**Table 4: Effects of Destination Personality on the Behavioral Intentions**

DP→BI	Std. β	T	P	R	R <sup>2</sup>	Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	F	P
Constant	-	-1.509	.132	.782	.611	.607	135.656	.000***
Competence-sophistication	.153	2.594	.010*					
Sincerity	.254	4.708	.000***					
Natural-Happy	.334	5.539	.000***					
Ruggedness	.146	3.169	.002*					

\* $p < .05$ , \*\* $p < .01$ , \*\*\* $p < .001$ ; mean squares regression-residual: 760.258 - .518 df: 4 - 345

Note: DP: Destination Personality, BI: Behavioral Intentions

cijom) i procijenjene varijable (dimenzije osbnosti destinacije) statistički značajan ( $F_{[4;345]}=121,592$   $p < 0,001$ ). Identitet destinacije objašnjava 58% varijance za lojalnost destinaciji. Dimenzije kompetencije *vrsna profinjenost*, *iskrenosti*, *prirodna radost* i *krševitosti* identiteta destinacije imale su značajne i pozitivne učinke na zadovoljstvo destinacijom ( $\beta=0,188$   $t_{competence}=3,096$   $p_{competence} < 0,05$ ;  $\beta=0,245$   $t_{natural-happy}=4,402$   $p_{natural-happy} < 0,001$ ;  $\beta=0,381$   $t_{sincerity}=4,386$   $p_{sincerity} < 0,001$ ). Dakako, dimenzija *krševitosti* nije imala značajan učinak na zadovoljstvo destinacijom ( $t_{ruggedness}=0,635$   $p_{ruggedness}=0,526$ ). Kako su dimenzije identiteta destinacije (osim dimenzije *krševitosti*) imale statistički pozitivne učinke na zadovoljstvo destinacijom, hipoteza **H<sub>2</sub>** je (3/4 djelomično) potvrđena.

lity dimensions) is statistically significant ( $F_{[4;345]}=162.490$   $p < .001$ ). The destination personality dimensions explained approximately 61% of variance in the behavioral intentions. *Competence-sophistication*, *sincerity*, *natural-happy* and *ruggedness* dimensions of destination personality had significant and positive effects on the behavioral intentions ( $\beta=0.153$   $t_{competence}=2.594$   $p_{competence} < .05$ ;  $\beta=0.254$   $t_{sincerity}=4.708$   $p_{sincerity} < .001$ ;  $\beta=0.334$   $t_{natural-happy}=5.539$   $p_{natural-happy} < .001$ ;  $\beta=0.146$   $t_{ruggedness}=3.169$   $p_{ruggedness} < .05$ ). As the results indicate that four destination personality dimensions had statistically positive effects on the behavioral intentions, **H<sub>1</sub>** was supported.

Table 5 provides the results of multiple regression analysis carried out to measure the effects of destination personality dimensions



**Tablica 5: Učinci identiteta destinacije na zadovoljstvo destinacijom**

OD→ZD	Std. β	T	P	R	R <sup>2</sup>	Prilagođeni R <sup>2</sup>	F	P
Postojanost	-	0,934	0,351	0,765	0,585	0,580	121,592	0,000***
Vrsna profinjnost	0,188	3,096	0,002*					
Iskrenost	0,245	4,402	0,000***					
Prirodna radost	0,381	6,108	0,000***					
Krševitost	0,030	0,635	0,526					

\* $p < 0,05$ , \*\* $p < 0,01$ , \*\*\* $p < 0,001$ ; sredina kvadrata neprotumačena modelom: 53,306 - 0,438 df: 4 - 345

Napomena: ID: identitet destinacije, ZD: zadovoljstvo destinacijom

**Table 5: Effects of Destination Personality on the Destination Satisfaction**

DP→DS	Std. β	T	P	R	R <sup>2</sup>	Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	F	P
Constant	-	.934	.351	.765	.585	.580	121.592	.000***
Competence-sophistication	.188	3.096	.002*					
Sincerity	.245	4.402	.000***					
Natural-Happy	.381	6.108	.000***					
Ruggedness	.030	.635	.526					

\* $p < .05$ . \*\* $p < .01$ . \*\*\* $p < .001$ ; mean squares regression-residual: 53.306 - 0.438 df: 4 - 345

Note: DP: Destination Personality. DS: Destination Satisfaction

Tablica 6 prikazuje rezultate regresijske analize za određivanje učinka zadovoljstva destinacijom na namjere ponašanja. Regresijski model za zavisne varijable (namjere ponašanja) procijenjenju varijablu (zadovoljstvo destinacijom) statistički je značajan ( $F_{[1;348]}=565,814$   $p < 0,001$ ), a za zadovoljstvo destinacijom ustanovljen je značajan i pozitivan učinak na namjere ponašanja s marginom od 0,787 ( $t_{\text{satisfaction}}=23,787$   $p_{\text{satisfaction}} < 0,001$ ). Stoga ovi rezultati pokazuju da je hipoteza **H<sub>3</sub>** potvrđena.

on the destination satisfaction. The multiple regression model for the dependent variable (destination satisfaction) and estimate variables (destination personality dimensions) is statistically significant ( $F_{[4;345]}=121.592$   $p < .001$ ). The destination personality explained 58% of variance in destination loyalty. *Competence-sophistication*, *sincerity*, *natural-happy* and *ruggedness* dimensions of destination personality had significant and positive effects on the destination satisfaction ( $\beta=0.188$   $t_{\text{competence}}=3.096$   $p_{\text{competence}} < .05$ ;  $\beta=0.245$   $t_{\text{natural-happy}}=4.402$   $p_{\text{natural-happy}} < .001$ ;  $\beta=0.381$   $t_{\text{sincerity}}=4.386$   $p_{\text{sincerity}} < .001$ ). However, the ruggedness dimension did not have a significant effect on the destination satisfaction ( $t_{\text{ruggedness}}=.635$   $p_{\text{rugged}}=0.526$ ). As the destination personality dimensions (except ruggedness dimension) had statistically positive effects on the destination satisfaction, **H<sub>2</sub>** was (3/4 partially) supported.

**Tablica 6: Učinci zadovoljstva destinacijom na namjere ponašanja**

ZD→BN	Std. β	T	P	R	R <sup>2</sup>	Prilagođeni R <sup>2</sup>	F	P
Stalnost/konstantnost		3,816	0,000***	0,787	0,619	0,618	565,814	0,000***
Zadovoljstvo destinacijom	0,787	23,787	0,000***					

\* $p < 0,05$ , \*\* $p < 0,01$ , \*\*\* $p < 0,001$ ; sredina kvadrata neprotumačena modelom: 284,645 - 0,503 df: 1 - 348

**Napomena:** ZD: zadovoljstvo destinacijom, BN: bihavioralne namjere

**Table 6: Effects of Destination Satisfaction on the Behavioral Intentions**

DS→BI	Std. β	T	P	R	R <sup>2</sup>	Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	F	P
Constant		3.816	.000***	.787	.619	.618	565.814	.000***
Destination Satisfaction	.787	23.787	.000***					

\* $p < .05$ . \*\* $p < .01$ . \*\*\* $p < .001$ ; mean squares regression-residual: 284.645 - 0.503 df: 1 - 348

**Note:** DS: Destination Satisfaction. BI: Behavioral Intentions

## 5. ZAKLJUČCI I IMPLIKACIJE

Pamukkale-Hierapolis destinacija je bogata prirodnim, povijesnim i kulturnim elementima koji imaju vrlo velik potencijal u privlačenju turista (Ertaş i Gürsoy, 2016). Međutim, nije dovoljno imati nekoliko atrakcija kako bi se postalo preferirana destinacija za turiste, nego je potrebno postati tržišna marka kojom će se destinacija razlikovati od konkurencije (Guiry i Vequist IV, 2014). Jedan od najvažnijih alata za brendiranje destinacije je identitet destinacije (Murphy *et al.*, 2007; Kim i Lehto, 2012; Türkmen i Köroğlu, 2017). Ovo istraživanje identificiralo je dimenzije identiteta destinacije Pamukkale-Hierapolis i razmotrilo učinke ovih dimenzija na zadovoljstvo destinacijom i namjere ponašanja.

### *Teoretske implikacije*

Istraživanje je polučilo četiri dimenzije identiteta destinacije Pamukkale: vrsna profinjenost, iskrenost, prirodna radost i krševitost. Dimenzija nazvana „vrsna profinjenost“ došla je na najvišu razinu na skali (54%).

Table 6 shows the results of regression analysis carried out to determine the effects of destination satisfaction on the behavioral intentions. The regression model for the dependent variable (behavioral intentions) and estimate variable (destination satisfaction) is statistically significant ( $F_{[1,348]} = 565.814$   $p < .001$ ). The destination satisfaction had significant and positive effect on the behavioral intentions by 0.787 ( $t_{\text{satisfaction}} = 23.787$   $p_{\text{satisfaction}} < .001$ ). The results show that **H<sub>3</sub> was supported.**

## 5. CONCLUSIONS AND IMPLICATIONS

Pamukkale-Hierapolis is a destination that is rich in natural, historical and cultural elements that generate a very high potential to attract tourists (Ertaş and Gürsoy, 2016). However, it is not enough to have a number of attractions to become a preferred destination by the tourists, but it should become a brand and be different from competitors (Guiry and Vequist IV, 2014). One of the most important tools for branding a destination is destination personality (Murphy *et al.*, 2007; Kim and Lehto, 2013; Türkmen

U istraživanju koje je proveo Aaker (1997) vrsnost i profinjenost bile su dvije odvojene dimenzije, kao što je to bilo i u nekoliko kasnijih studija (Pitt *et al.*, 2007; Forristal i Leto, 2009; Opoku, 2009; Usakli i Baloglu, 2011; Kim i Lehto, 2012; Kılıç i Sop, 2012; De Moya i Jain, 2013; Chen i Phou, 2013; George i Anandkumar, 2014). Postoje i istraživanja u kojima se ove dvije dimenzije identiteta pojavljuju kao jedna (Murphy *et al.*, 2007). Iskrenost i krševitost originalne su dimenzije Aakerove skale identiteta tržišne marke (1997), a one se pojavljuju i u mnogim studijama koje se bave identitetom destinacije (Murphy *et al.*, 2007; Pitt *et al.*, 2007; Forristal i Lehto, 2009; Opoku, 2009; Kim i Lehto, 2012; Ye, 2012; Chen i Phou, 2013; Guiry i Vequist IV, 2014; Artuğer i Çetinsöz, 2014; Türkmen i Köroğlu, 2017). Skala identiteta tržišne marke prema Aaker-u originalno je imala pet dimenzija (istinitost, uzbudjenje, vrsnost, profinjenost i krševitost), a rezultirala je iz dvije, tri, četiri ili pet dimenzija kojima su opisivane destinacije (Ekinci *et al.*, 2007; Forristal i Lehto, 2009; De Moya i Jain, 2013; Apostolopoulou i Papadimitriou, 2014). To potvrđuje da turisti različito percipiraju svaku destinaciju te da je čak moguće doći i do različitih dimenzija u slučaju kad istu destinaciju percipiraju različite skupine.

Tvrdeći da destinacije mogu imati posebne osobine, Usakli i Baloglu (2011) te d'Astous i Boujbel (2007) izmjerili su osobine percepcije i zaključili da se identitet destinacije Pamukkale pojavljuje u četiri dimenzije. Tri se sastojе od tvrdnje koje su uvrštene u izvornu skalu, a jedna je uključena u naknadnu. Dvije od tih tvrnji isključene su iz skale kao rezultat faktorske analize, a osam preostalih obilježja (*prirodna, sretna, elegantna, mirna, osvježavajuća, nonšalantna, čista i ljupka*) skupljena su unutar jedne dimenzije. Elementi s većim faktorskim opterećenjem razmatrali su se za imenovanje ove nove dimenzije (Ekinci i Hosany, 2006:133). Zasiđurno se ne može reći da bi ove osobine vrijedile za sve destinacije. Međutim, ako se

and Köroğlu. 2017). This research has identified the dimensions of destination personality of Pamukkale-Hierapolis and addressed the effects of these dimensions on the destination satisfaction and behavioral intentions.

### *Theoretical Implications*

The research resulted in the following four dimensions of Pamukkale's destination personality: competence-sophistication, sincerity, natural-happy and ruggedness. The "competence-sophistication" dimension explained the scale at the highest level (54%). In the research by Aaker (1997) the competence and sophistication were two separate dimensions as was the case in several other studies (Pitt *et al.*, 2007; Forristal and Leto, 2009; Opoku, 2009; Usakli and Baloglu, 2011; Kim and Lehto, 2012; Kılıç and Sop, 2012; De Moya and Jain, 2013; Chen and Phou, 2013; George and Anandkumar, 2014). As in this research, there are also studies in which these two dimensions appeared as one (Murphy *et al.*, 2007). The sincerity and ruggedness are the original dimensions in Aaker's (1997) brand personality scale. These two dimensions are addressed as destination personality dimensions in many studies (Murphy *et al.*, 2007; Pitt *et al.*, 2007; Forristal and Lehto, 2009; Opoku, 2009; Kim and Lehto, 2012; Ye, 2012; Chen and Phou, 2013; Guiry and Vequist IV, 2014; Artuğer and Çetinsöz, 2014; Türkmen and Köroğlu, 2017). The brand personality scale developed by Aaker originally had five dimensions (sincerity, excitement, competence, sophistication and ruggedness). This scale resulted in two, three, four or five dimensions when applied to destinations (Ekinci *et al.*, 2007; Forristal and Lehto, 2009; De Moya and Jain, 2013; Apostolopoulou and Papadimitriou, 2014). This indicates that each destination is perceived differently by tourists. Furthermore, it is possible to perceive the same destination by different groups in different dimensions.

Usakli and Baloglu (2011) and d'Astous and Boujbel (2007) stated that destinations can have specific personality traits and tried to measure the perception of tourists by in-

slične strukture pojave u uzorku populacija s većom snagom za predstavljanje cjeline, moći će se govoriti o različitim dimenzijama identiteta destinacije.

Jedan od najvećih doprinosa ovoga istraživanja jest pokušaj predviđanja budućih ponašanja turista putem osobina destinacije. Dimenzije identiteta destinacije imale su pozitivne učinke na namjere ponašanja i zadovoljstvo destinacijom. Ove četiri dimenzije imale su uglavnom pozitivne učinke na namjere ponašanja i zadovoljstvo destinacijom. U prvom slučaju sve su četiri dimenzije imale pozitivan utjecaj, dok su u drugom pozitivno utjecale tri dimenzije s iznimkom dimenzije krševitosti.

Povećana percepcija identiteta destinacije vrlo je važna za ponovni posjet turista destinaciji i preporuke drugima da ju posjete (Stokburger-Sauer, 2011; Xie i Lee, 2013; Papadimitriou *et al.*, 2015). I u ovom istraživanju zaključci se slažu s onima iz literature.

Postoje brojne studije u literaturi kojima se ispituje odnos između imidža identiteta destinacije te namjera ponašanja i lojalnosti (Ekinci *et al.*, 2007; Papadimitriou *et al.*, 2015; Chen i Phou, 2013; Pool *et al.*, 2018). Međutim, mali je broj studija koje pokušavaju objasniti učinak identiteta destinacije na zadovoljstvo turista (Hultman *et al.*, 2015; Hultman *et al.*, 2016; Soiden *et al.*, 2017; Türkmen *et al.*, 2018).

U istraživanjima o utjecaju identiteta destinacije na zadovoljstvo destinacijom i dimenzije vrsne profinjenosti, iskrenost i prirodne radosti, osim krševitosti, imaju pozitivne učinke na zadovoljstvo destinacijom. Hultman *et al.* (2015), Hultman *et al.* (2016) i Türkmen *et al.* (2018) uočili su pozitivan učinak identiteta destinacije na zadovoljstvo posjetom u svojim istraživanjima. I u ovom istraživanju može se smatrati da rezultati ukazuju na pozitivne učinke percepcije identiteta destinacije na zadovoljstvo.

Stoga, identitet destinacije (Ekinci i Hosany, 2006) kao značajna metafora za ra-

cluding different personality traits in their study. In this research, destination personality of Pamukkale appeared in four dimensions. Three dimensions consisted of statements included in the original scale, and one dimension had statements included in the scale afterward. Two of these statements were excluded from the scale as a result of factor analysis, and eight remaining traits (natural, happy, elegant, peaceful, refreshing, debonair, clean, and cute) were gathered under one dimension. The items with higher factor load were considered to name this new dimension (Ekinci and Hosany, 2006:133). Certainly, it cannot be said that these personality traits would apply to all destinations. However, if similar structures occur when it is repeated in sample populations with higher power to represent the universe. It will be possible to speak of different dimensions of destination personality.

One of the major contributions of this research to literature is to attempt to predict the future behaviors of tourists through destination personality. The destination personality dimensions had mostly positive effects on the behavioral intentions and destination satisfaction. The former were affected positively by four dimensions whereas the latter was affected positively by three dimensions with the exception of the ruggedness dimension.

Increased perception of destination personality is very important for tourists to re-visit the destination and recommend others to visit that destination (Stokburger-Sauer, 2011; Xie and Lee, 2013; Papadimitriou *et al.*, 2015). The findings of this research are consistent with this conclusion.

There are many studies in the literature that examine the relationship between the image of the destination personality, and behavioral intentions i loyalty (Ekinci *et al.*, 2007; Papadimitriou *et al.*, 2015; Chen and Phou, 2013; Pool *et al.*, 2018). However, few studies trying to explain the effect of destination personality on tourist satisfaction have been found (Hultman *et al.*, 2015; Hultman *et al.*, 2016; Soiden *et al.*, 2017; Türkmen *et al.*, 2018).

The influence of destination personality on the destination satisfaction was investi-

zumijevanje stavova i ponašanja turista ima važnu ulogu u razumijevanju i objašnjavanju zadovoljstva turista. Istraživanje je konačno obradilo učinke zadovoljstva destinacijom na namjere ponašanja i zaključak je u skladu s literaturom (Faullant *et al.*, 2008; Liu *et al.*, 2017; Wu i Li, 2017), tj. da će turisti radije ponovno posjetiti destinaciju i preporučiti ju drugima ako je njihovo zadovoljstvo destinacijom veće.

### ***Praktične implikacije***

Iz perspektive prakse, čini se da je identitet destinacije relevantan alat marketinške komunikacije za brendiranje gradova i regija te za njihovo plasiranje na tržište. Zato je značaj identiteta destinacije evidentan kod izbora lokacija i stvaranja pozitivnog pomaka. Svaka lokacija može imati posebne osobine pa se i destinacije mogu pojedinačno pozicionirati svojim osobinama baziranima na specifičnim značajkama kako bi se distancirale i prikazale konkurentski jakima (Papadimitriou *i dr.*, 2015). Zato bi menadžeri destinacija trebali istražiti identitet destinacije koju žele tržišno plasirati i identificirati dimenzije identiteta destinacije koje bi mogle imati pozitivne učinke na ponašanja turista.

S obzirom na ukupan pozitivan učinak identiteta destinacije na namjere ponašanja turista, poslovni subjekti u turizmu trebali bi razmotriti strukturu identiteta drevnog grada Pamukkale Hierapolis. Shodno tomu trebale bi se i odrediti marketinške strategije. Struktura identiteta Pamukkale trebala bi se razviti i odraziti na percepciju turista. Ovu strukturu, u kojoj dimenzija *prirodna radost* izlazi u prvi plan, trebaju uzeti u obzir institucije ili organizacije koje rade u području destinacijskog marketinga. Osobine okupljene pod ovom istaknutom dimenzijom poput samouvjerenosti, uspješnosti, inteligentnosti, pouzdanosti, prvoklasnosti, kreativnosti, sigurnosti, privlačnosti te dobrog izgleda trebaju se isticati u primjeni marketinških strategija.

gated and the dimensions of competence-sophistication. sincerity and natural-happy other than ruggedness have positive effects on the destination satisfaction. Hultman *et al.* (2015). Hultman *et al.* (2016) and Türkmen *et al.* (2018) identified a positive effect of destination personality on the visit satisfaction in their research. Based on the results of this research the perception of destination personality can be considered to have positive effects on satisfaction.

Therefore, the destination personality, which is a significant metaphor in understanding tourist attitudes and behaviors, has an important role in understanding and explaining tourist satisfaction (Ekinici and Hosany, 2006). The research finally addressed the effects of destination satisfaction on the behavioral intentions and it was concluded that. as in the other studies in the literature (Faullant *et al.*, 2008; Liu *et al.*, 2017; Wu and Li, 2017), the tourists were more likely to re-visit the destination and recommend it to others as their satisfaction with destination was increased.

### ***Practical Implications***

From a practical perspective, the destination personality appears to be an important marketing communication tool to make cities or regions a brand and to market them. So, the importance of destination personality is apparent in choosing sites and making a positive difference. Each location can have specific personality traits (Papadimitriou *et al.*, 2015) and it can be possible to position destinations especially through destination personality created by destination-specific characteristics in order to make that site a different and competitively powerful (Papadimitriou *et al.*, 2015). Therefore, the destination managers should investigate the destination personality they wish to market and to identify the dimensions of destination personality that would have positive effects on the tourists' behaviors.

Regarding the overall positive effect of destination personality on the behavioral intentions of tourists. the personality structure

### *Ograničenja i buduća istraživanja*

Ovo istraživanje ima nekoliko ograničenja. Prvo, podaci su prikupljeni u travnju i lipnju 2018, a uzorak je uključio 350 posjetitelja. Autori su skupili podatke do kraja lipnja, ali je za rezultate koji bi se mogli generalizirati u budućim studijama trebalo uzeti uzorke i u visokoj sezoni (srpanj i kolovoz).

Drugo ograničenje bilo je da je istraživanje uključivalo samo domaće turiste, a buduće bi studije trebale uključiti i strane turiste jer oni dolaze iz drugih kultura, što bi također moglo prikazati percepciju destinacije na drugačiji način. Percepcija identiteta destinacije kod turskih i stranih turista može se identificirati radi usporedbe i dobivanja heterogenih rezultata, a oni bi mogli pridonijeti sukladno diferenciranom pristupu marketingu iste destinacije za domaće i strane turiste.

Treće ograničenje jest činjenica da je uzorak uključio samo trenutne posjetitelje, a smatra se da iskustvo destinacije kod takvih posjetitelja oblikuje njihovu osobnu percepciju. Percepcija identiteta destinacije također se treba provjeravati među turistima koji nikad nisu posjetili destinaciju. Potpora percepciji tih turista i uočavanje aspekata koji se trebaju promijeniti biti će učinkovit putokaz za potencijalna tržišta.

of Pamukkale Hierapolis Ancient City should be taken into consideration by businesses operating in the tourism sector. Marketing strategies should be determined accordingly as well. The personality structure of Pamukkale should be developed and it should be felt by the tourists. This personality structure, in which the “nature -happy” dimension comes to the fore, should be taken into consideration by institutions or organizations active in the field of destination marketing. Confident, successful, intelligent, reliable, top class, safe, creative, attractive and good looking personality traits that are gathered under this prominent dimension should be emphasized while applying marketing strategies.

### *Limitations and Future Research*

This research had a number of limitations. Firstly, the data was collected between April and June 2018, and the sample included 350 visitors. The researchers were able to collect the data until the end of June, but the sample group should be extended during the high season (July and August) to achieve the results that can be generalized across future studies.

The second limitation was that the research was conducted only on the domestic tourists. Further studies should also include foreign tourists as they have different cultures, which may also render the perception of a destination differently. The perception of destination personality by Turkish tourists and foreign tourists can be identified for comparison purposes and different results can be obtained. These results may help the destination to be marketed accordingly to foreign tourists and domestic tourists.

The third limitation was that the research sample included current visitors since it is estimated that the experience of a destination by current visitors shapes their personal perception. Hence, the perception of destination personality should also be identified by the tourists who have never visited the destination. Supporting their perception and identifying the aspects that need to be changed will be effective in guidance for potential markets.

**LITERATURA – REFERENCES**

- Aaker, J. L. (1997). Dimensions of brand personality. *Journal of Marketing Research*. Vol. 34. No. 3. pp. 347-356. DOI: 10.2307/3151897
- Aguilar, A. G., Guillén, M. J. Y., Roman, N. V. (2014). Destination brand personality: an application to Spanish tourism. *International Journal of Tourism Research*. Vol. 16. No. 6. pp. 1-10. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1002/jtr.1997>
- Al-Ansi, A., Han, H. (2019). Role of halal-friendly destination performances, value, satisfaction, and trust in generating destination image and loyalty. *Journal of Destination Marketing & Management*, Vol. 13. pp. 51-60, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdmm.2019.05.007>
- Altunışık, R., Coşkun, R., Bayraktaroğlu, S., Yıldırım, E. (2012). *Sosyal bilimlerde araştırma yöntemleri (7. Ed.)*. İstanbul: Sakarya Kitabevi.
- Apostolopoulou, A., Papadimitriou, D. (2014). The role of destination personality in predicting tourist behaviour: implications for branding mid-sized urban destinations. *Current Issues in Tourism*. pp.1-20. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/13683500.2013.878319>
- Artuğer, S., Çetinsöz, B. C. (2014). Destinasyon imajı ile destinasyon kişiliği arasındaki ilişkiyi belirlemeye yönelik bir araştırma. *İşletme Araştırmaları Dergisi*. Vol. 6 No. 1. pp. 366-384. DOI: 10.20491/isader.2014115979
- Artuğer, S., Ercan, F. (2015). Marmaris'in destinasyon kişiliğini belirlemeye yönelik bir araştırma. *Uluslararası Sosyal Araştırmalar Dergisi*. Vol. 8. No. 36. pp. 787-793. DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.17719/jisr.2015369543>
- Aysen, E., Yaylı, A., Helvacı, E. (2012). Üniversitelerin marka kişiliği algısının belirlenmesi üzerine bir araştırma. *İşletme Araştırmaları Dergisi*. Vol. 4. No. 4. pp. 182.-204.
- Baloglu, S., Henthorne, T. L., Sahin, S. (2014). Destination image and brand personality of Jamaica: a model of tourist behavior. *Journal of Travel & Tourism Marketing*. Vol. 31. No. 8. pp. 1057-1070. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/10548408.2014.892468>
- Bertan, S. (2009). Turizmin çevre üzerinde yarattığı etkiler: Pamukkale örneği. *Anatolia: Turizm Araştırmaları Dergisi*, Vol. 20. No. 2. pp. 204-214.
- Bridson, K., Evans, J. (2004). The secret to a fashion advantage is brand orientation. *International Journal of Retail and Distribution Management*. Vol. 32. No. 8. pp. 403-411. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1108/09590550410546223>
- Burnaz, E., Ayyıldız, H. (2018). Destinasyon rekabetçiliği endeksi önerisi. *International Journal of Economic and Administrative Studies*. Vol. 21. pp. 237-254. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.18092/ulik-idince.397002>
- Büyüköztürk, Ş. (2002). Faktör analizi: temel kavramlar ve ölçek geliştirmede kullanımı. *Kuram ve Uygulamada Eğitim Yönetimi Dergisi*. Vol. 32. No. 32. pp. 470-483.
- Castaneda, J. A., Frías, D. M., Rodríguez, M. A. (2007). The influence of the Internet on destination satisfaction. *Internet Research*. Vol. 17. No. 4. pp. 402-420. DOI: 10.1108/10662240710828067
- Chen, C. F., Chen, F. S. (2010). Experience quality, perceived value, satisfaction and behavioral intentions for heritage tourists. *Tourism Management*. Vol. 31. No. 1. pp. 29-35. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2009.02.008>
- Chen, C. F., Phou, S. (2013). A closer look at destination: image, personality, relationship and loyalty. *Tourism Management*. Vol. 36. pp. 269-278. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2012.11.015>
- Chi, C. G. Q., Pan, L., Del Chiappa, G. (2018). Examining destination personal-

- ity: Its antecedents and outcomes. *Journal of Destination Marketing & Management*. Vol. 9. pp. 149-159. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdmm.2018.01.001>
- Choi, S., Wu, H. C. (2018). Tourism communicative actions of sojourners and information recipients. *Journal of Destination Marketing & Management*. Vol. 9. pp. 279-287. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdmm.2018.02.005>
- Cornelissen, S. (2017). *The global tourism system: Governance, development and lessons from South Africa*. London: Routledge. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315239354>
- d'Astous, A., Boujbel, L. (2007). Positioning countries on personality dimensions: scale development and implications for country marketing. *Journal of Business Research*. Vol. 60. No. 3. pp. 231-239. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2006.11.005>
- De Moya, M., Jain, R. (2013). When tourists are your „friends“: exploring the brand personality of Mexico and Brazil on Facebook. *Public Relations Review*. Vol. 39. No. 1. pp. 23-29. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pubrev.2012.09.004>
- DOSIM (2019). Number of Visitors for Pamukkale-Hierapolis, (2016 – 2017 – 2018 Years), available at: <http://dosim.kulturturizm.gov.tr/assets/documents/2018.pdf> (accessed 21 May 2019)
- Ekinci, Y., Sirakaya-Turk, E., Baloglu, S. (2007). Host image and destination personality. *Tourism Analysis*. Vol. 12. pp. 433-446. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3727/108354207783227885>
- Ekinci, Y., Hossany, S. (2006). Destination personality: an application of brand personality to tourism destinations. *Journal of Travel Research*. Vol. 45. No. 2. pp. 127-139. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1177/0047287506291603>
- Ertas, M., Gürsoy Taş, İ. (2016). Destinasyon marka imajında paydaş etkisi: Pamukkale üzerine bir araştırma. *Pamukkale Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü Dergisi*. Vol. 25, 159-179.
- Faullant, R., Matzler, K., Füller, J. (2008). The impact of satisfaction and image on loyalty: the case of Alpine ski resorts. *Managing Service Quality*. Vol. 18. No. 2. pp. 163-178. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1108/09604520810859210>
- Forristal, L. J., Lehto, X. Y. (2009). Place branding with native species: personality as a criterion. *Place Branding and Public Diplomacy*. Vol. 5. No. 3. pp. 213-225. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1057/pb.2009.16>
- George, J., Anandkumar, S. V. (2014). Portrayed and perceived online destination personality of select island destinations. *Anatolia*, Vol. 25. No. 2. pp. 280-289. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/13032917.2014.894480>
- González, M. E. A., Comesaña, L. R., Brea, J. A. F. (2007). Assessing tourist behavioral intentions through perceived service quality and customer satisfaction. *Journal of Business Research*. Vol. 60. No. 2. pp. 153-160. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2006.10.014>
- Guiry, M., Vequist IV, D. G. (2014). South Korea's medical tourism destination brand personality and the influence of personal values. *Asia Pacific Journal of Tourism Research*. pp. 1-22. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/10941665.2014.904804>
- Hosany, S., Ekinci, Y., Uysal, M. (2006). Destination image and destination personality: an application of branding theories to tourism places. *Journal of Business Research*, Vol. (59). No. 5. pp. 638-642. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2006.01.001>
- Hultman, M., Skarmeas, D., Oghazi, P., Beheshti, H. M. (2015). Achieving tourist loyalty through destination personality, satisfaction, and identification. *Journal of Business Research*, Vol. 68. No. 11. pp. 2227-2231. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2015.06.002>



- Hultman, M., Skarmeas, D., Robson, M. (2016). The Effects of Destination Personality on Tourist Satisfaction, Identification, and Behaviour. In Groza, M. D. and Ragland, C. B. (Eds.) *Marketing Challenges in a Turbulent Business Environment* (pp. 285-286). New York: Springer International Publishing
- Hultman, M., Strandberg, C., Oghazi, P., Mostaghel, R. (2017). The role of destination personality fit in destination branding: Antecedents and outcomes. *Psychology & Marketing*, Vol. 34. No. 12. pp. 1073-1083. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1002/mar.21047>
- İlban, M. O., Bezirgan, M., Çolakoğlu, F. (2016). Termal otellerde algılanan hizmet kalitesi, memnuniyet ve davranışsal niyetler arasındaki ilişkilerin incelenmesi: Edremit örneği. *Anatolia: Turizm Araştırmaları Dergisi*, Vol. 27. No. 2. pp. 181-194. DOI:10.17123/atad.vol27iss20004
- Kim, S., Lehto, X. Y. (2012). Projected and perceived destination brand personalities: the case of south Korea. *Journal of Travel Research*, Vol. 52. 1. pp. 117-130. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1177/0047287512457259>
- Kılıç, B., Sop, S. A. (2012). Destination personality, self-congruity and loyalty. *Journal of Hospitality Management and Tourism*, Vol. 3. No. 5. pp. 95-105. DOI: 10.5897/JHMT12.024
- Kozak, M., Rimmington, M. (2000). Tourist satisfaction with Mallorca, Spain, as an off-season holiday destination. *Journal of Travel Research*, Vol. 38. 3. pp. 260-269. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1177/004728750003800308>
- Kumar, V., Nayak, J. K. (2018). Destination personality scale development and validation. *Journal of Hospitality & Tourism Research*, Vol. 42. 1. 3-25. DOI: 10.1177/1096348014561027
- Lee, H. J., Kang, M. S. (2013). The effect of brand personality on brand relationship, attitude, and purchase intention with a focus on brand community. *Academy of Marketing Studies Journal*, Vol. 17. No. 2. pp. 85-97.
- Liu, X., Li, J., Kim, W. G. (2017). The role of travel experience in the structural relationships among tourists' perceived image, satisfaction, and behavioral intentions. *Tourism and Hospitality Research*, Vol. 17. No. 2. pp. 135-146. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1177/1467358415610371>
- Lu, C.-S., Shang, K.-C., Lin, C.-C. (2016). Identifying crucial sustainability assessment criteria for container seaports. *Maritime Business Review*, Vol. 1. No. 2, pp. 90-106. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1108/MABR-05-2016-0009>
- Martín, H. S., Herrero, A., Salmones, M. M. G. (2019). An integrative model of destination brand equity and tourist satisfaction. *Current Issues in Tourism*. Vol. 22. No. 16. pp. 1992-2013. DOI: 10.1080/13683500.2018.1428286
- Massidda, C., Etzo, I. (2012). The determinants of Italian domestic tourism: A panel data analysis. *Tourism Management*. Vol. 33. No.3. pp. 603-610. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2011.06.017>
- Matzler, K., Strobl, A., Stokburger-Sauer, N., Bobovnick, A., Bauer, F. (2016). Brand personality and culture: The role of cultural differences on the impact of brand personality perceptions on tourists' visit intentions. *Tourism Management*, Vol. 52. pp. 507-520. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2015.07.017>
- Morgan, N., Pritchard, A. (2002). Contextualizing destination branding. In Morgan, N., Pritchard, A. and Pride, R. (Eds.). *Destination branding* (pp. 10-41). Oxford: Butterworth-Heinemann.
- Murphy, L., Benckendorff, P., Moscardo, G. (2007). Destination brand personality: visitor perceptions of a regional tourism destination. *Tourism Analysis*, Vol. 12. No. 5-6. pp. 419-432. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3727/108354207783227948>

- Navío-Marco, J., Ruiz-Gómez, L. M., Sevilla-Sevilla, C. (2018). Progress in information technology and tourism management: 30 years on and 20 years after the internet-Revisiting Buhalis & Law's landmark study about eTourism. *Tourism Management*, Vol. 69. pp. 460-470. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2018.06.002>
- O'Leary, S., Deegan, J. (2005). Ireland's image as a tourism destination in France: attribute importance and performance. *Journal of Travel Research*, Vol. 43. 3. pp. 247-260. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1177/0047287504272025>
- Opoku, R. A. (2009). Mapping destination personality in cyberspace: an evaluation of country web sites using correspondence analysis. *Journal of Internet Commerce*, Vol. 8. No. 1-2. pp. 70-87. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/15332860903182438>
- Pan, L., Zhang, M., Gursoy, D., Lu, L. (2017). Development and validation of a destination personality scale for mainland Chinese travelers. *Tourism Management*, Vol. 59, pp. 338-348. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2016.08.005>
- Papadimitriou, D., Apostolopoulou, A., Kaplanidou, K. (2015). Destination personality, affective image, and behavioral intentions in domestic urban tourism. *Journal of Travel Research*, Vol. 54. No. 3. pp. 302-315. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1177/0047287513516389>
- Pitt, L. F., Opoku, R., Hultman, M., Abratt, R., Spyropoulou, S. (2007). What I say about myself: communication of brand personality by African countries. *Tourism Management*, Vol. 28. No. 3. pp. 835-844. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2006.06.003>
- Pool, J.K., Khodadadi, M., Asadi, A. (2018). The impact of congruence between self-concept and destination personality on behavioural intentions for visiting an Islamic-historical destination. *Tourism and Hospitality Research*, Vol. 18. No. 3. pp. 378-387. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1177/1467358416663820>
- Prayag, G. (2007). Exploring the relationship between destination image & brand personality of a tourist destination-an application of projective techniques. *Journal of Travel & Tourism Research*, Vol. 7. No. 2. pp. 111-130.
- Prayag, G., Ryan, C. (2012). Antecedents of tourists' loyalty to Mauritius: The role and influence of destination image, place attachment, personal involvement, and satisfaction. *Journal of Travel Research*, Vol. 51. No. 3. pp. 342-356. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1177/0047287511410321>
- Prayag, G., Hosany, S., Odeh, K. (2013). The role of tourists' emotional experiences and satisfaction in understanding behavioral intentions. *Journal of Destination Marketing & Management*, Vol. 2. No. 2. pp. 118-127.
- Rojas-Méndez, J. I., Murphy, S. A., Papadopoulos, N. (2013). The US brand personality: A Sino perspective. *Journal of Business Research*, Vol. 66 No. 8. pp. 1028- 1034. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2011.12.027>
- Sağlık, E., Türkeri, İ. (2015). Destinasyon imajının ve kişiliğinin destinasyon aidiyeti üzerine etkisi: palandöken kayak merkezi örneği. *Seyahat ve Otel İşletmeciliği Dergisi*, Vol. 12. No. 1. pp. 25-42.
- Salehzadeh, R., Khazaei Pool, J., Soleimani, S. (2016). Brand personality, brand equity and revisit intention: An empirical study of a tourist destination in Iran. *Tourism Review*, Vol. 71. No. 3. pp. 205-218. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1108/TR-02-2016-0005>
- Souiden, N., Ladhari, R., Chiadmi, N. E. (2017). Destination personality and destination image. *Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Management*, Vol. 32. pp. 54-70.
- Song, Z., Su, X., Li, L. (2013). The indirect effects of destination image on destina-

- tion loyalty intention through tourist satisfaction and perceived value: The bootstrap approach. *Journal of Travel and Tourism Marketing*, Vol. 30. No. 4. pp. 386–409. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/10548408.2013.784157>
- Stokburger-Sauer, N. E. (2011). The relevance of visitors' nation brand embeddedness and personality congruence for nation brand identification, visit intentions and advocacy. *Tourism Management*, Vol. 32. No. 6. pp. 1282-1289. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2010.12.004>
- Tabachnick, B. G., Fidell, L.S. (2013). *Using Multivariate Statistics* (6th Ed.). Boston: Pearson.
- Tfaily, R. A. (2018). E-Tourism and the competitiveness of tourism products in the context of the global tourism and travel industry Market. *Revista de Management Comparat Internațional*, Vol.19. No. 2. pp.187-195. DOI: 10.24818/RMCI.2018.2.187
- Tosun, C., Timothy, D. J., Öztürk, Y. (2003). Tourism growth, national development and regional inequality in Turkey. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*. Vol. 11. No.2-3, pp. 133-161. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/09669580308667200>
- Türkmen, S., Atay, L., Türkmen, E. (2018). Destinasyon kişiliği, memnuniyet ve davranışsal niyetler arasındaki ilişkilerin incelenmesi: Çanakkale örneği. *Journal of Yasar University*, Vol. 13. No. 49. pp. 22-32.
- Türkmen, S., Köroğlu, A. (2017). Destinasyon kişiliği araştırması: Türkiye-Yunanistan örneği. *Balıkesir Üniversitesi SBE Dergisi*, Vol. 20. No. 37. pp. 397-429.
- Usakli, A., Baloglu, S. (2011). Brand personality of tourist destinations: an application of self-congruity theory. *Tourism Management*, Vol. 32. No. 1. pp. 114-127. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2010.06.006>
- Umur, M., Eren, D. (2016). Destinasyon imajı ve destinasyon kişiliğinin, ziyaretçi memnuniyeti ve geleceğe yönelik ziyaretçi davranışı üzerine etkisi: Kapadokya örneği. *Kırıkkale Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi*, Vol. 6. No. 1. pp. 271-294.
- Vetitnev, A., Romanova, G., Matushenko, N., Kvetenadze, E. (2013). Factors affecting domestic tourists' destination satisfaction: The case of Russia. *World Applied Sciences Journal*, Vol. 22. No. 8. pp. 1162-1173. DOI: 10.5829/idosi.wasj.2013.22.08.27513
- Wang, C. Y., Hsu, M. K. (2010). The relationships of destination image, satisfaction, and behavioral intentions: An integrated model. *Journal of Travel & Tourism Marketing*, Vol. 27. No. 8. pp. 829-843. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/10548408.2010.527249>
- Wu, H. C., Li, T. (2017). A study of experiential quality, perceived value, heritage image, experiential satisfaction, and behavioral intentions for heritage tourists. *Journal of Hospitality & Tourism Research*, Vol. 41. No. 8. 904-944. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1177/1096348014525638>
- Wu, J., Sun, W., Wang, F., Xu, Z., Xu, Y. (2019). Research on a projected brand and perceived personality differentiation of tourist destination: A case study of Shandong, China. *Journal of Hospitality Management and Tourism*, Vol. 10. No. 3. pp. 20-28. DOI: 10.5897/JHMT2019.0267.
- Xie, K. L., J. Lee. (2013). Toward the perspective of cognitive destination image and destination personality: The case of Beijing. *Journal of Travel & Tourism Marketing*, Vol. 30. No. 6. pp. 538-56. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/10548408.2013.810993>
- Yang, J., Yingkang, G., Jian, C. (2011). Festival tourists' emotion, perceived value, and behavioral intentions: a test of the

- moderating effect of festivals cape. *Journal of Convention & Event Tourism*, Vol. 12. No. 1. pp. 25–44. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/15470148.2010.551292>
- Ye, S. (2012). The impact of destination personality dimensions on destination brand awareness and attractiveness: Australia as a case study. *Turizam: Znanstveno-Stručni Časopis*, Vol. 60. No. 4. pp. 397-409.
- YIGM (2019). Accommodation Statistics, available at: <http://yigm.ktb.gov.tr/TR-208783/yillik-il-ilce-konaklama-tablolar.html> (accessed 21 May 2019).
- Yoon, Y., Uysal, M. (2005). An examination of the effects of motivation and satisfaction on destination loyalty: A structural model. *Tourism Management*, Vol. 26. No. 1. pp. 45–56. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2003.08.016>
- Žabkar, V., Brenčič, M. M., Dmitrović, T. (2010). Modeling perceived quality, visitor satisfaction and behavioural intentions at the destination level. *Tourism Management*, Vol. 31. No. 4. pp. 537-546. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2009.06.005>
- Primljeno: 26. rujna 2019. / Submitted: 26 September 2019*
- Prihvaćeno: 12. travnja 2020. / Accepted: 12 April 2020*