Science of the Total Environment 746 (2020) 141134



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## Science of the Total Environment

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/scitotenv

## How microbial community composition, sorption and simultaneous application of six pharmaceuticals affect their dissipation in soils



Radka Kodešová <sup>a,\*</sup>, Alica Chroňáková <sup>b</sup>, Kateřina Grabicová <sup>c</sup>, Martin Kočárek <sup>a</sup>, Zuzana Schmidtová <sup>a</sup>, Zuzana Frková <sup>b,d</sup>, Andrea Vojs Staňová <sup>c,e</sup>, Antonín Nikodem <sup>a</sup>, Aleš Klement <sup>a</sup>, Miroslav Fér <sup>a</sup>, Roman Grabic <sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Czech University of Life Sciences Prague, Faculty of Agrobiology, Food and Natural Resources, Dept. of Soil Science and Soil Protection, Kamýcká 129, CZ-16500 Prague 6, Czech Republic

<sup>b</sup> Institute of Soil Biology, Biology Centre CAS, Na Sádkách 7, CZ-37005 České Budějovice, Czech Republic

<sup>c</sup> University of South Bohemia in České Budějovice, Faculty of Fisheries and Protection of Waters, South Bohemian Research Center of Aquaculture and Biodiversity of Hydrocenoses, Zátiší 728/II, CZ-38925 Vodňany, Czech Republic

<sup>d</sup> University of Luxembourg, Faculty of Science, Technology and Communication, 6, rue Richard Coudenhove-Kalergi, L-1359, Luxembourg

e Comenius University in Bratislava, Faculty of Natural Sciences, Department of Analytical Chemistry, Ilkovičova 6, SK-84215 Bratislava, Slovak Republic

### HIGHLIGHTS

## GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT

- Soils groups according to basic soils properties and sorption of compounds matched.
- Soils groups according to microbial community structure and half-lives corresponded.
- Half-lives could be predicted using one microbial criterion and sorption coefficient.
- Simultaneous application of all compounds mostly reduced their dissipation in soils.
- The average increase in multiple-solute half-lives varied between 7 and 39%.

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 5 June 2020 Received in revised form 17 July 2020 Accepted 19 July 2020 Available online 24 July 2020

Editor: Jose Julio Ortega-Calvo

Keywords: Soil properties Freundlich sorption equation Half-life Phospholipid fatty acids Regression models for estimating half-lives



## ABSTRACT

Pharmaceuticals may enter soils due to the application of treated wastewater or biosolids. Their leakage from soils towards the groundwater, and their uptake by plants is largely controlled by sorption and degradation of those compounds in soils. Standard laboratory batch degradation and sorption experiments were performed using soil samples obtained from the top horizons of seven different soil types and 6 pharmaceuticals (carbamazepine, irbesartan, fexofenadine, clindamycin and sulfamethoxazole), which were applied either as single-solute solutions or as mixtures (not for sorption). The highest dissipation half-lives were observed for citalopram (average  $DT_{50,S}$  for a single compound of 152  $\pm$  53.5 days) followed by carbamazepine (106.0  $\pm$  17.5 days), irbesartan (24.4  $\pm$  3.5 days), fexofenadine (23.5  $\pm$  20.9 days), clindamycin (10.8  $\pm$  4.2 days) and sulfamethoxazole (9.6  $\pm$  2.0 days). The simultaneous application of all compounds increased the half-lives (DT<sub>50,M</sub>) of all compounds (particularly carbamazepine, citalopram, fexofenadine and irbesartan), which is likely explained by the negative impact of antibiotics (sulfamethoxazole and clindamycin) on soil microbial community. However, this trend was not consistent in all soils. In several cases, the DT<sub>50.5</sub> values were even higher than the DT<sub>50.M</sub> values. Principal component analyses showed that while knowledge of basic soil properties determines grouping of soils according sorption behavior, knowledge of the microbial community structure could be used to group soils according to the dissipation behavior of tested compounds in these soils. The derived multiple linear regression models for estimating dissipation half-lives (DT<sub>50.S</sub>) for citalopram, clindamycin, fexofenadine,

Corresponding author.

E-mail address: kodesova@af.czu.cz (R. Kodešová).

irbesartan and sulfamethoxazole always included at least one microbial factor (either amount of phosphorus in microbial biomass or microbial biomarkers derived from phospholipid fatty acids) that deceased half-lives (i.e., enhanced dissipations). Equations for citalopram, clindamycin, fexofenadine and sulfamethoxazole included the Freundlich sorption coefficient, which likely increased half-lives (i.e., prolonged dissipations).

© 2020 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Treated wastewater is often utilized to irrigate agricultural land in countries suffering from water deficiency that have a warm and dry climate, such as countries in the Middle East and Southern Europe (e.g., Carter et al., 2019; Lesser et al., 2018; Picó et al., 2020). Reclaimed wastewater is also increasingly utilized for irrigation in countries that have not previously suffered from a water shortage but face a change in a rainfall distribution throughout a year and a scarcity of water during vegetation seasons due to climate change (e.g., Helmecke et al., 2020). Another product of wastewater management is sewage sludge, which is frequently used as amendment to increase organic matter and nutrient content in soils (e.g., Ivanová et al., 2018; Verlicchi and Zambello, 2015). It has been documented that some pollutants of emerging concern, such as human pharmaceuticals, are not entirely removed from treated wastewater (e.g., Peña-Guzmán et al., 2019; Khan et al., 2020; Loos et al., 2013). Similarly, sewage sludge can contain a large number of pharmaceuticals, and some of them can occur in high concentrations (e.g., Ivanová et al., 2018; Kodešová et al., 2019b; Verlicchi and Zambello, 2015). The environment can also be polluted by veterinary pharmaceuticals from animal urine or farm waste (e.g., Charuaud et al., 2019). Pharmaceuticals that contaminate soils may subsequently leach to groundwater (e.g., Burri et al., 2019; Godfrey et al., 2007; Fram and Belitz, 2011; Lesser et al., 2018; Li, 2014; Loos et al., 2010) or can be taken up by plants (e.g., Ahmed et al., 2015; Al-Farsi et al., 2017; Christou et al., 2019; Goldstein et al., 2014; Klement et al., 2020; Kodešová et al., 2019a, 2019b; Li et al., 2018, 2019a, 2019b; Malchi et al., 2014; Montemurro et al., 2017; Mordechay et al., 2018; Shenker et al., 2011; Winker et al., 2010; Wu et al., 2013). Further propagation of pharmaceuticals in the environment depends on their sorption and dissipation in the vadose zone (e.g., Carter et al., 2019; Kümmerer, 2009a, 2009b; Zhi et al., 2019). The knowledge of the properties characterizing sorption and dissipation of various pharmaceuticals in this environment is crucial, for example, when using models simulating transport of these compounds in soils and their uptake by plants (e.g., Brunetti et al., 2019).

While sorption of pharmaceuticals in soils and sediments is increasingly studied (e.g., Li et al., 2020; Schaffer and Licha, 2015; Zhi et al., 2019), dissipation of these compounds is studied less frequently (e.g., Zhi et al., 2019). Studies have focused on the impacts of soil sterilization, incubation conditions (e.g., aerobic vs. anaerobic, diverse temperatures) and different amendments on the degradation of a specific compound. Compounds' dissipation rates are mostly due to biodegradation as demonstrated by comparing dissipation rates for sterile and nonsterile soils and sediments (e.g., Al-Khazrajy et al., 2018; Hurtado et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2010; Shen et al., 2018; Srinivasan and Sarmah, 2014; Wu et al., 2012; Yu et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2017). Among others, Biel-Maeso et al. (2019) showed that dissipation of the most studied compounds was considerably increased under aerobic conditions compared with anaerobic conditions. Dissipation of some pharmaceuticals (e.g., antibiotics) may also be controlled by the initial compound concentration in soils, i.e., inhibition of degrading microorganisms in the context of higher concentrations (e.g., sulfadiazine and sulfamethoxazole studied by Shen et al., 2018; sulfamethoxazole tested by Srinivasan and Sarmah, 2014). On the other hand, Zhang et al. (2017) did not found significant differences in dissipation half-lives of the same compounds (sulfadiazine and sulfamethoxazole) at varying initial concentrations. Dissipation of compounds could also be enhanced by increased nutrient content and microbial biomass due to manure amendments (Zhang et al., 2017; Shen et al., 2018). In contrast, Albero et al. (2018) found that the amendment of soil with composted manure increased half-lives of six veterinary antibiotics (one fluoroquinolone, two tetracyclines, two sulfonamides and one lincosamide) between 6 and 53% likely due to higher sorption of compounds in manured soil and thus reduced availability. Similar effects of organic fertilization (e.g., a sewage sludge, green waste compost and farmyard manure, or composted sewage sludge, respectively) on sulfamethoxazole, its main metabolites N-ac-sulfamethoxazole and ciprofloxacin, or triclosan and carbamazepine was observed by Andriamalala et al. (2018) and Shao et al. (2018).

Whereas there have been developed several models for estimating sorption coefficients of pharmaceuticals in soils and sediments from sorbent and compound properties (e.g., Carter et al., 2020; Klement et al., 2018; Kodešová et al., 2015; Li et al., 2020), models for estimating dissipation half-lives has not been proposed. Previous studies only evaluated dissipation half-lives in few soils or sediments. Therefore, they could not correlate assessed half-lives to soil properties, parameters characterizing sorption of compound in soils or soil microbial community composition. Al-Khazrajy et al. (2018) attempted to estimate dissipation rates from selected freshwater sediment properties and microbial activity (assessed using 2,3,5-triphenyltetrazolium chloride solution, Monteiro and Boxall, 2009). They identified equations for predicting dissipation rates for 3 of 6 tested compounds: dissipation rates of diltiazem using clay content and logarithm of microbial activity, dissipation rates of ranitidine using organic carbon content and logarithm of microbial activity, and dissipation rates of cimetidine using silt content. All mentioned factors increased dissipation rates in tested sediments. No significant relationships were obtained for other compounds (amitriptyline, atenolol and mefenamic acid). Kodešová et al. (2016) documented that dissipation half-lives of 7 tested compounds (atenolol, metoprolol, trimethoprim, sulfamethoxazole, clindamycin, clarithromycin, carbamazepine) mostly did not depend on basic soil properties but on the overall soil type conditions. In general, for compounds that were degradable in the studied soils, lower average dissipation half-lives and variability were determined for better quality soils (soils with well-developed structure, high nutrition content and associated biological conditions as Chernozems) compared with lower quality soils (Cambisols). However, actual soil microbial properties were not evaluated.

Some pharmaceuticals may largely affect activity of the soil microbial community, i.e., antibiotics can inhibit the degradation of microorganisms (Caracciolo et al., 2015; D'Alessio et al., 2019; Grenni et al., 2018). As a result, dissipation of other compounds that occur in soils together with such compounds can be reduced. On the other hand, biodegradation of pharmaceuticals that simultaneously occur in soils might also be enhanced if these compounds interact with each other (Grenni et al., 2018). To date, degradation of a single compound in soils or sediments have been mostly studied, or studies were focused on behaviors of antibiotic mixtures and their influence on respective microbial community (e.g., Chen and Xie, 2018; Grenni et al., 2018; Thelusmond et al., 2019; Zhi et al., 2019).

Dissipation of many pharmaceuticals in soils is unknown. In addition, dissipation rates of pharmaceuticals in different soil types can be quite different. Therefore, the first goal of this study was to determine whether knowledge of soil properties and initial microbial composition of 7 diverse soils (samples were obtained from topsoils of 7 soil types) can help to estimate probable trends in dissipation of 6 selected compounds in these soils. Although dissipation of 3 compounds (carbamazepine, clindamycin and sulfamethoxazole) under soil conditions have been evaluated in several studies (e.g., Kodešová et al., 2016; Koba et al., 2016, 2017), dissipation of 3 other compounds (citalopram, fexofenadine, irbesartan) in soils have not been explored to date. The influence of sorption of compounds in soils, which can reduce availability of compounds for microbial degradation, was also suggested. The second goal of this study was to determine whether and how two antibiotics (clindamycin and sulfamethoxazole) applied together with other four pharmaceuticals influence dissipation rates of all compounds in tested soils compared to applications as single compounds.

## 2. Materials and methods

## 2.1. Soils

The study was performed on the soil samples obtained from the 0- to 25-cm surface layer of 7 soil types (Table 1) that were previously used in studies by Kodešová et al. (2015, 2016) and Klement et al. (2018): SChS - Stagnic Chernozem Siltic developed on marlite. HCh - Haplic Chernozem on loess, GP - Grevic Phaeozem on loess, HL - Haplic Luvisol on loess, AE - Arenosol Epieutric on sand, HCa - Haplic Cambisol on paragneiss, and DCa - Dystric Cambisol on orthogneiss. The new samples were taken from the surface horizons (0-25 cm). A part of each sample was homogenized and stored at 4 °C prior measuring microbial activities; subsamples for biomarker analysis were freeze dried and stored at -80 °C until extraction. The remaining soils were air-dried, ground, and sifted through a 2-mm sieve. Standard laboratory procedures (see Appendix A part S2.1) were used to determine basic physical and chemical properties in Table 1 by Schmidtová et al. (2020): particle density  $(\rho_s)$ , particle size distribution (fractions of clay, silt, and sand), organic carbon content  $(C_{ox})$ , CaCO<sub>3</sub> content, pH  $(pH_{H2O}, pH_{KCI}, and$ pH<sub>CaCl2</sub>), cation exchange capacity (CEC), hydrolytic acidity (i.e., sum of H+ cations) (HA), base cation saturation (BCS, the difference

## between CEC and HA), sorption complex saturation (SCS, the percentage of BCS in CEC), exchangeable acidity (EA), and salinity in water and ethanol. In addition, properties mainly affecting microbial conditions were measured: nitrogen content ( $N_{min}$ , $N_{NO3}$ , $N_{NH4}$ ) (ISO, 11261:1995), total carbon (TC) and nitrogen (TN), and TC/TN ratio (C/ N). Soil TC and TN concentrations were determined by dry combustion on an elemental analyzer (MicroCube, Elementar, Germany). The average values and standard deviations (Table 1) indicate large range of evaluated properties and thus suitability of these soil samples for this type of study.

## 2.2. Microbial analyses

Basal respiration (BR), substrate induced respiration (SIR), and microbial biomass C ( $C_{mic}$ ), N ( $N_{mic}$ ) and P ( $P_{mic}$ ) (Table 1) were measured in soils after a preincubation period (see Section 2.4) in triplicate. BR and SIR were estimated from the headspace CO<sub>2</sub> accumulation rates (Anderson and Domsch, 1985).  $C_{mic}$ ,  $N_{mic}$  and  $P_{mic}$  were determined using the chloroform fumigation extraction method (Brookes et al., 1982, 1985; Vance et al., 1987). The methods are in detail described in the Appendix A part S2.2.

The composition of soil microbial communities was determined using phospholipid fatty acid (PLFA) analysis. The method has been presented by Frková et al. (2020). The procedure is also explained in the Appendix A part S2.2. Briefly, PLFAs were extracted according to Bligh and Dyer (1959) with modifications by Frostegård et al. (2011). Phospholipids were eluted with 2 cm<sup>3</sup> methanol and subjected to mild alkaline metanolysis according to Dowling et al. (1986) and Oravecz et al. (2004). Samples were analyzed on an Agilent Trace 1310 GC (Agilent, Wilmington, Delaware, USA) equipped with a flame ionization detector and a 60 m × 0.32 mm BPX70 × 0.25 µm column (SGE Analytical Science) (Kotas et al., 2018). The results were processed using Chromeleon 7.2. PLFAs with <12 C and >20 C atoms were excluded from the analysis of soil microbial communities, as well as PLFAs with less than 0.5% of total in peak area. The responses from all the remaining PLFAs

#### Table 1

Basic soil and microbial characteristics:  $pH_{H20}$ ,  $pH_{CaCI2}$ , organic carbon content (Cox), salinity in water and ethanol, exchangeable acidity (EA), cation exchange capacity (CEC), soil hydrolytic acidity (HA), basic cation saturation (BCS), sorption complex saturation (SCS), soil particle density, clay, silt and sand contents, nitrogen in nitrate ( $N_{N03}$ ) and ammonium ( $N_{NH4}$ ), sum of mineral nitrogen ( $N_{min}$ ), basal respiration (BR), substrate induced respiration (SIR), microbial biomass C ( $C_{mic}$ ), N ( $N_{mic}$ ) and P ( $P_{mic}$ ), total carbon (TC) and nitrogen (TC), TC/TN ratio (C/N): SChS - Stagnic Chernozem Siltic developed on marlite, HCh - Haplic Chernozem on loess, GP - Greyic Phaeozem on loess, HL - Haplic Luvisol on loess, AE - Arenosol Epieutric on sand, HCa - Haplic Cambisol on paragnesis, DCa - Dystric Cambisol on orthognesis.

		SChS	HCh	GP	HL	HCa	DCa	AE	Average	St. dev.
pH <sub>H20</sub>		8.06	8.08	7.45	7.29	5.84	5.77	5.41	6.84	1.14
pH <sub>KCl</sub>		7.18	7.04	6.92	5.74	4.58	4.68	3.96	5.73	1.34
pH <sub>CaCl2</sub>		7.41	7.35	7.14	6.29	5.36	5.26	4.35	6.17	1.20
Cox	%	2.89	1.75	1.36	1.06	1.85	2.23	0.55	1.67	0.77
Salinity H <sub>2</sub> O	$\mu$ S cm <sup>-1</sup>	210.0	97.2	169.0	57.0	57.3	54.3	15.9	94.4	70.1
Salinity ethanol	$\mu$ S cm <sup>-1</sup>	33.1	9.1	37.3	10.8	14.5	11.9	2.3	17.0	13.0
EA	$mmol^+ kg^{-1}$	0.57	0.82	0.95	0.57	2.84	1.89	5.36	1.85	1.75
CEC	$mmol^+ kg^{-1}$	273.0	235.0	165.0	118.0	183.0	196.0	38.0	172.6	77.2
HA	mmol <sup>+</sup> kg <sup>-1</sup>	3.61	4.21	6.61	16.8	51.7	61.3	30.9	25.0	23.7
BCS	mmol <sup>+</sup> kg <sup>-1</sup>	269.4	230.8	158.4	101.2	131.3	134.7	7.1	147.6	85.8
SCS	%	98.7	98.2	96.0	85.7	71.8	68.7	18.6	76.8	28.5
Qs	g cm <sup>-3</sup>	2.48	2.53	2.54	2.59	2.55	2.49	2.61	2.54	0.05
Clay	%	20.7	36.5	17.0	12.4	18.3	19.4	7.6	18.8	9.0
Silt	%	52.2	58.1	66.5	72.9	41.3	57.7	7.0	50.8	21.8
Sand	%	27.2	5.4	16.5	14.7	40.4	22.9	85.4	30.4	26.6
N <sub>NO3</sub>	mg kg <sup>-1</sup>	52.12	6.78	23.82	11.54	24.79	6.99	1.21	18.2	17.4
N <sub>NH4</sub>	mg kg <sup>-1</sup>	3.58	1.47	1.11	1.07	0.89	2.03	2.10	1.8	0.9
N <sub>min</sub>	mg kg <sup>-1</sup>	55.70	8.58	24.72	13.85	25.52	9.92	4.03	20.33	17.57
BR	$\mu g C g^{-1} h^{-1}$	3.81	2.27	2.07	2.10	2.41	2.08	0.37	2.16	1.00
SIR	$\mu g C g^{-1} h^{-1}$	14.75	4.64	5.15	3.38	9.05	4.69	2.34	6.28	4.28
C <sub>mic</sub>	$\mu$ g C g $^{-1}$	670.5	363.9	298.0	195.6	283.5	218.1	120.0	307.1	178.1
N <sub>mic</sub>	$\mu$ g N g $^{-1}$	59.1	44.5	30.6	26.9	39.8	29.3	12.8	34.7	14.7
P <sub>mic</sub>	$\mu$ g P g <sup>-1</sup>	24.3	19.0	12.5	24.4	26.6	16.7	24.9	21.19	5.22
TC	${ m mg}{ m g}^{-1}$	64.1	25.0	15.7	10.9	18.8	24.9	4.1	23.4	19.5
TN	${ m mg}{ m g}^{-1}$	3.29	1.82	1.53	1.19	1.93	2.49	0.36	1.8	0.9
C/N		19.5	13.8	10.3	9.19	9.77	10.0	11.4	12.0	3.63

(Table S2) were summed to obtain the total PLFA biomass (PLFA<sub>tot</sub>, nmol g<sup>-1</sup> of dry soil). Grouping according to main microbial taxa using indicative fatty acids was performed according to Johansen and Olsson (2005) and Willers et al. (2015) (Table 2). The average values and standard deviations (Tables 1 and 2) indicate large range of evaluated properties and thus suitability of these soil samples for this type of study.

## 2.3. Selected pharmaceuticals

Six compounds (Table S3) were selected based on results of our previous studies partly describing their behaviors in soil environment (Kodešová et al., 2015, 2016; Klement et al., 2018). Three compounds, including carbamazepine (CAR), clindamycin (CLI) and sulfamethoxazole (SUL), were selected from 7 compounds, for which sorption and dissipation of a single compound in 13 soils were evaluated by Kodešová et al. (2015, 2016). In this new study, SUL and CLI represented two antibiotics of low (4.7-15 days) and moderate (9.3-21.3 days) halflives in topsoils, respectively, and CAR represented a compound that is very persistent in soils. The other 3 compounds citalopram (CIT), irbesartan (IRB) and fexofenadine (FEX) were used by Klement et al. (2018) to assess sorption of a single compound in 7 soils. Their degradation behavior in soils is unknown. Selected compounds have different properties and occur as different forms in the environment depending on soil pH (i.e., neutral, anion, cation, and zwitter-ion) (Table S3), which considerably affects their sorption in soils (Kodešová et al., 2015, 2016; Klement et al., 2018) and might influence their transformation in soils. All tested pharmaceuticals were purchased from TCI (Japan) and are of 97% (CAR) and 98% (CIT, CLI, FEX, IRB, and SUL) analytical grade purity. Isotope-labeled analogues of the native compounds (CAR-D<sub>8</sub>, CIT-D<sub>3</sub>, CLI-D<sub>3</sub>, FEX-D<sub>6</sub>, IRB-D<sub>4</sub>, and SUL-D<sub>4</sub>) were purchased from Toronto Research Chemicals (Canada) and used as internal standards for chemical analysis.

## 2.4. Degradation experiment

The batch degradation method (OECD, 2002) was used to evaluate degradation rates and half-lives of pharmaceuticals in soils. Twenty-four 100-mL high-density polyethylene bottles with soil mixed with pharmaceutical were prepared for each soil and compound. Fifty

grams of air-dried soil (time needed for sample drying, grinding, and sieving did not exceed 5 days) was always placed into the bottle, and  $6 \text{ cm}^3$  (3 cm<sup>3</sup> in the case of AE) of fresh water was added using VITLAB®Genius (5-50 mL) (VITLAB GmbH). The soils were incubated in the dark at a constant temperature of 20 °C. This initial incubation with water was chosen in order to guarantee optimal microbial conditions, which could be impaired even by very short drying in air (OECD, 2002). Next, 6 cm<sup>3</sup> (4 cm<sup>3</sup> in the case of Arenosol) of a solution of one pharmaceutical or mixture of all pharmaceuticals was added, and incubation continued. Both doses were designed to achieve approximately the one third (1st step) and two thirds (2nd step) of water holding capacity, respectively. Concentrations of solutions were calculated to reach similar compound loads per dry soil unit  $(1 \ \mu g \ g^{-1})$ , which corresponds to the concentration assumed for instance by Grossberger et al. (2014), Kodešová et al. (2016), Monteiro and Boxall (2009), and Srinivasan and Sarmah (2014). The following concentrations of applied solutions were assumed:  $8.3 \,\mu g \, \text{cm}^{-3}$  (SChS, HCh, HL, GP, HCa, and DCa) and 12.5  $\mu$ g cm<sup>-3</sup> (AE). Precise water and solution volumes in each bottle were calculated from recorded masses of empty bottles, bottles with soil, bottles with soil and fresh water, and of bottles with soil, water and solution. During the incubation, the incubation bottles were regularly weighted at 2-week intervals to assess soil water contents, and water losses were compensated by adding water. After dosing of water or solution, each bottle was shaken for 30 s to achieve uniform water and compound distribution in a soil sample. Three bottles for each soil with each pharmaceutical were placed in the freezer immediately after applying compound solutions (time = 0 days). Three bottles for each pharmaceutical and soil were also removed from the incubator 1, 2, 5, 12, 23, 40 and 61 days after the pharmaceutical application and put in the freezer. Samples were stored at -20 °C until compound extraction, which was performed immediately after completing the degradation experiment. Such short-term storage in freezer should not affect compounds concentration (Fedorova et al., 2014). The approach (except 6 days preincubation with water) was the same as that applied by Kodešová et al. (2016) to obtain comparable results.

Compounds remaining in soils were extracted using the procedure that was adopted from the method validated for all 6 tested pharmaceuticals by Golovko et al. (2016). Briefly, the whole contents of the bottle were extracted with mixtures A (acetonitrile/water mixture - 1:1 v/v, with 0.1% of formic acid) and B (acetonitrile/2-propanol/water mixture

#### Table 2

Microbial biomass assessed using the phospholipid fatty acids (PLFAs): SChS - Stagnic Chernozem Siltic developed on marlite, HCh - Haplic Chernozem on loess, GP - Greyic Phaeozem on loess, HL - Haplic Luvisol on loess, AE - Arenosol Epieutric on sand, HCa - Haplic Cambisol on paragnesis, DCa - Dystric Cambisol on orthognesis.

				•						
		SChS	HCh	GP	HL	HCa	DCa	AE	Average	St. dev.
PLFA <sub>tot</sub>	nmol g <sup>-1</sup>	175.28	116.62	148.67	136.26	173.62	171.44	46.72	138.37	45.97
PLFA origin										
Actinomycetes	nmol $g^{-1}$	12.08	11.13	11.24	9.30	11.05	9.91	1.98	9.53	3.45
Fungi	nmol $g^{-1}$	7.24	2.54	6.56	5.11	6.52	7.26	4.77	5.71	1.70
General bacteria	nmol $g^{-1}$	30.40	22.21	26.56	22.28	30.06	27.98	7.45	23.85	7.96
Gram-negative bacteria	nmol $g^{-1}$	50.01	32.65	43.89	33.83	42.77	45.09	8.67	36.70	13.82
Gram-positive bacteria	$nmol g^{-1}$	29.12	17.23	23.86	22.21	28.62	27.61	6.74	22.20	8.01
Microphototrophs/plants	$nmol g^{-1}$	2.00	1.12	1.91	1.18	2.01	2.15	1.25	1.66	0.45
Protozoa	nmol $g^{-1}$	0.82	0.64	0.91	1.45	0.99	1.44	0.87	1.02	0.31
Protozoa/fungi	nmol $g^{-1}$	11.46	6.52	9.65	8.17	15.76	11.39	4.87	9.69	3.61
Not-specific/NA	nmol $g^{-1}$	32.16	22.58	24.07	32.74	35.86	38.61	10.12	28.02	9.82
General marker										
Bacteria	nmol $g^{-1}$	121.60	83.22	105.55	87.61	112.50	110.59	24.84	92.27	32.74
Fungi	nmol $g^{-1}$	7.24	2.54	6.56	5.11	6.52	7.26	4.77	5.71	1.70
NA	nmol $g^{-1}$	46.44	30.87	36.55	43.54	54.61	53.59	17.11	40.39	13.35
Saturation										
Branched	nmol $g^{-1}$	41.20	28.36	35.10	31.50	39.68	37.52	8.72	31.73	11.09
Monounsaturated	nmol $g^{-1}$	70.15	47.78	61.73	48.60	63.44	64.98	14.52	53.03	18.93
OH-subs	nmol $g^{-1}$	2.03	1.41	1.80	1.92	1.77	1.67	0.28	1.55	0.60
Polyunsaturated	nmol $g^{-1}$	22.42	11.86	20.16	18.11	26.57	23.89	12.41	19.35	5.61
Saturated	nmol $g^{-1}$	31.92	21.16	23.37	30.72	35.63	37.98	7.33	26.87	10.54
NA	nmol $g^{-1}$	7.56	6.05	6.51	5.42	6.53	5.40	3.46	5.85	1.29

- 3:3:4 v/v/v, with 0.1% formic acid) in three consequent steps (A:B:B, 60:35:20 mL) using an ultrasonic bath (DT255, Bandelin electronic, Sonorex digitec, Berlin, Germany). After soil particle sedimentation, three supernatants from each bottle were mixed, and 10-cm<sup>3</sup> aliquots were filtered through a syringe filter (0.45 µm, regenerated cellulose, Labicom, Olomouc, Czech Republic) into 10-cm<sup>3</sup> vials. The possible impact (due to compound sorption) of the syringe filter material on the measured pharmaceuticals' concentrations was tested previously (Lindberg et al., 2014). No noticeable effect on the recovery of the studied compounds was found. Actual concentrations of studied compounds in applied solutions and extracts were determined using liquid chromatography with high-resolution mass spectrometry (LC-HRMS), which is described below.

Compound concentrations in soils (c,  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup>) were calculated based on the concentrations of soil extracts, their volumes and soil mass. Recoveries (Table S4) of each compound in each soil (3 bottles per treatment) were calculated from the initially applied compound load into the bottle (solute concentration ( $\mu$ g cm<sup>-3</sup>) in solution multiplied by its volume (cm<sup>3</sup>)) and recovered compound amount at day 0 (solute concentration in soil ( $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup>) multiplied by soil mass (g)). Recoveries of compounds in all 7 soils (%) were: CAR 96 ± 26 (S) and 99 ± 25 (M), CIT 100 ± 21 (S) and 98 ± 24 (M), CLI 73 ± 6 (S) and 77 ± 13 (M), FEX 77 ± 5 and 78 ± 12 (M), IRB 87 ± 16 (S) and 92 ± 23 (M), and SUL 84 ± 14 (S) and 87 ± 15 (M). High variability in recovery is given by the measurement uncertainty that can be in this matrix (i.e., 7 soils of a high variability of soil properties) up to 30% (Golovko et al., 2016; Kodešová et al., 2016).

Since mathematical models for simulating transport of organic contaminants in soils usually assume the first-order kinetic model to describe compound dissipation in soils (e.g., Beulke et al., 2000; Šimůnek et al., 2016), the data points given by time (=0, 1, 2, 5, 12, 23, 40 and 61 days) and corresponding remaining compound's concentrations in soils were fitted with the first-order kinetic model:

$$\frac{c_t}{c_0} = e^{-k_R t} \tag{1}$$

where  $c_0 (\mu g g^{-1})$  is the initial concentration,  $c_t (\mu g g^{-1})$  is the concentration in time, t (day) is time, and  $k_R (day^{-1})$  is the first-order rate constant (Table S5). Next, compound dissipation half-life DT<sub>50</sub> (day) was calculated as follows (Table 3):

$$DT_{50} = \frac{\ln 2}{k_R} \tag{2}$$

It should be noted that coefficients of determinations (Table S5) expressing the correspondences between the measured remaining concentrations and those calculated using Eq. (1) calculated for CAR and CIT were low in some cases due to larger variability of measured values compared with the other compounds. The reason for this increased variability could be significant persistence of these two compounds in soils and greater sensitivity to actual conditions in the incubation bottle. The other reason for the low correlation values for CAR and CIT was, that while trends in dissipation of largely degradable compounds played a greater role than measurement inaccuracy (impact of which decreased with decreasing concentration in soils), in the case of the more persistent compounds the measurement uncertainty exceeded the effect of the dissipation trend. It should be noted that recently there have been developed new tools for a kinetic evaluation of a chemical degradation data (e.g., Ranke et al., 2018) using various functions, which could likely in few cases provide better fits of measured values for SUL, CLI, FEX and IRB and more accurate estimate of DT<sub>50</sub> values. However, these tools would not help to provide better estimates of the dissipation half-lives of CAR and CIT. In addition, the resulting DT<sub>50</sub> values could not be adopted in simulation models. Therefore, these tools were not utilized in this study. The medium uncertainty of the evaluated DT<sub>50</sub> values for these two compounds could potentially influence the results of the following statistical analyses particularly when analyzing correlations between half-lives and various soil and microbial factors.

#### 2.5. Sorption experiment

A batch equilibrium method (OECD, 2000) was used to evaluate sorption isotherms for single compound solutions and the same soils by Schmidtová et al. (2020), which were expressed using the Freundlich equation:

$$s = K_F c^{1/n} \tag{3}$$

where  $K_F$  (cm<sup>3/n</sup> µg<sup>1-1/n</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>) and n are empirical coefficients. The methods are described in Schmidtová et al. (2020), Bořík et al. (2020) and the Appendix A part S2.5. Final values were presented by Schmidtová et al. (2020). In present study, to relate compounds' sorption affinities (which were described by  $K_F$  values that were n dependent) to their half-lives as well as soil and microbial characteristics, the same procedure proposed by Kodešová et al. (2015) and Klement et al. (2018) was applied. The average n coefficient ( $n_{avg}$ ) was calculated for each pharmaceutical, and new  $K_{F,navg}$  values were optimized assuming a fixed value of  $n_{avg}$  for all soils (Table 3).

## 2.6. Chemical analyses

One hundred-µL aliquots of extracted samples from degradation experiments were spiked with isotopically labeled internal standards and analyzed by LC-HRMS (HTS XT-CTC autosampler from CTC Analytics AG; LC pump Accela 1250 and Q-Exactive plus mass spectrometer, both from Thermo Fisher Scientific) in full scan and electrospray positive mode (scan range for mass was 100–700 *m/z*, resolution 70,000 FWHM) using the 16-minute method according to Koba et al. (2016). A Hypersil Gold aQ column ( $50 \times 2.1$  mm; 5 µm particles, Thermo Fisher Scientific) was used for chromatographic separation. More information about conditions of analysis, including gradient elution conditions, *m/z* values, retention time, and limits of quantification (LOQ), is provided in Table S5A. TraceFinder 3.3 software (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was used for calculation of concentrations of chosen pharmaceuticals.

## 2.7. Statistical analyses

Simple correlations between measured physical, chemical and microbiological soil properties; Freundlich sorption coefficients; and dissipation half-lives were assessed using the Pearson product moment correlation coefficient and p-value, which tests the statistical significance of the estimated correlations. Multiple linear regressions were also used to obtain models for estimating dissipation half-lives resulting from single compound applications based on K<sub>F</sub> values, PLFAs and soil properties related to microbial abundance and activity. It should be noted that DT<sub>50</sub> values for FEX in AE were identified as outliers and excluded from all statistical analyses. Next, principal components analyses were used to evaluate the general behavior of compounds with respect to soil conditions. Analyses were performed using STAGRAPHICS Centurion XV Version 15.2.06.

#### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. Dissipation of a single pharmaceutical in soils

The largest persistence (i.e., the largest dissipation half-lives in Table 3) of compounds in tested soils was observed for CIT (average  $DT_{50,5}$ , 152.1 days; range, 86.7–223.1 days) followed by CAR (105.6 days; 90.2–140.9 days). Considerably lower dissipation half-lives were obtained for IRB (average  $DT_{50,5}$ , 24.4 days; range, 19.0–29.2 days),

#### Table 3

The Freundlich sorption coefficient,  $K_F$  (cm<sup>3/n</sup> µg<sup>1-1/n</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>), the half-lives  $DT_{50,S}$  (days) resulted from the application of a single pharmaceutical and  $DT_{50,M}$  (days) resulted from application of the mixture of all compounds: SChS - Stagnic Chernozem Siltic developed on marlite, HCh - Haplic Chernozem on loess, GP - Greyic Phaeozem on loess, HL - Haplic Luvisol on loess, AE - Arenosol Epieutric on sand, HCa - Haplic Cambisol on paragneiss, DCa - Dystric Cambisol on orthogneiss, CAR – carbamazepine, CIT – citalopram, CII – clindamycin, FEX – fexofenadine, IRB – irbesartan, SUL – sulfamethoxazole.

			SChS	HCh	GP	HL	HCa	DCa	AE	Average	St. dev.
CAR	$K_F$ for $n = 1.02$	${ m cm^{3/n}\mu g^{1-1/n}g^{-1}}$	4.05	2.52	2.07	1.67	2.31	3.70	1.05	2.48	1.07
CIT	$K_F$ for $n = 0.92$	$cm^{3/n} \mu g^{1-1/n} g^{-1}$	$6.77 \ 10^{6}$	3.21 10 <sup>6</sup>	1.68 10 <sup>6</sup>	8.88 10 <sup>5</sup>	2.80 10 <sup>5</sup>	1.06 10 <sup>5</sup>	1.06 10 <sup>5</sup>	1.86 10 <sup>6</sup>	2.43 10 <sup>6</sup>
CLI	$K_F$ for $n = 0.91$	$cm^{3/n} \mu g^{1-1/n} g^{-1}$	19.28	12.13	10.12	8.39	6.09	4.61	3.44	9.15	5.41
FEX	$K_F$ for $n = 1.05$	${ m cm}^{3/n}\mu{ m g}^{1-1/n}{ m g}^{-1}$	29.3	22.5	18.1	18.4	40.0	54.3	65.7	35.5	18.7
IRB	$K_F$ for $n = 0.51$	${ m cm}^{3/n}\mu{ m g}^{1-1/n}{ m g}^{-1}$	1.50	0.75	0.58	0.87	3.32	6.08	8.97	3.15	3.23
SUL	$K_F$ for $n = 1.25$	${ m cm}^{3/n}\mu{ m g}^{1-1/n}{ m g}^{-1}$	0.565	0.374	0.367	0.800	3.132	4.173	0.978	1.48	1.53
CAR	DT50,S	days	140.9	100.7	101.2	93.7	99.9	91.7	90.2	102.6	17.5
	DT <sub>50,M</sub>	days	160.6	145.6	170.9	85.1	128	146.9	97	133.4	32.1
	DT50,M-DT50,S	days	19.7	44.9	69.7	-8.6	28.1	55.2	6.8	30.8	27.6
	DT50,M-DT50,S	%	14.0	44.6	68.9	-9.2	28.1	60.2	7.5	30.6	28.7
CIT	DT <sub>50,S</sub>	days	208.2	146.3	223.1	124.9	86.7	179.6	95.7	152.1	53.5
	DT <sub>50,M</sub>	days	310.8	134.6	236.7	172.4	175	59	241.9	190.1	81.9
	DT50,M-DT50,S	days	102.6	-11.7	13.6	47.5	88.3	-120.6	146.2	38.0	88.2
	DT50,M-DT50,S	%	49.3	-8.0	6.1	38.0	101.8	-67.1	152.8	39.0	72.5
CLI	DT <sub>50,S</sub>	days	14.7	18.4	9.1	8.6	7.4	7.4	10.3	10.8	4.2
	DT <sub>50,M</sub>	days	8.2	17.5	9	13.5	10.3	15.6	8.7	11.8	3.7
	DT50,M-DT50,S	days	-6.5	-0.9	-0.1	4.9	2.9	8.2	-1.6	1.0	4.8
	DT <sub>50,M</sub> -DT <sub>50,S</sub>	%	-44.2	-4.9	-1.1	57.0	39.2	110.8	-15.5	20.2	52.3
FEX	DT <sub>50,S</sub>	days	9	26	11.5	21.7	13.3	14.3	69	23.5	20.9
	DT <sub>50,M</sub>	days	16.4	23.9	13.5	35.8	14.4	11.1	88.5	29.1	27.5
	DT <sub>50,M</sub> -DT <sub>50,S</sub>	days	7.4	-2.1	2	14.1	1.1	-3.2	19.5	5.5	8.6
	DT <sub>50,M</sub> -DT <sub>50,S</sub>	%	82.2	-8.1	17.4	65.0	8.3	-22.4	28.3	24.4	37.8
IRB	DT <sub>50,S</sub>	days	24.2	29.2	26.4	23.1	19	21.8	27.2	24.4	3.5
	DT <sub>50,M</sub>	days	25.7	34.1	31.4	28.1	20.4	37	45	31.7	8.0
	DT <sub>50,M</sub> -DT <sub>50,S</sub>	days	1.5	4.9	5	5	1.4	15.2	17.8	7.3	6.6
	DT <sub>50,M</sub> -DT <sub>50,S</sub>	%	6.2	16.8	18.9	21.6	7.4	69.7	65.4	29.4	26.7
SUL	DT <sub>50,S</sub>	days	7.8	9.6	6.8	9.2	12.7	10.8	10.6	9.6	2.0
	DT <sub>50,M</sub>	days	11.8	10.3	7.3	9.5	13.3	8.3	10.4	10.1	2.0
	DT50,M-DT50,S	days	4	0.7	0.5	0.3	0.6	-2.5	-0.2	0.5	1.9
	DT <sub>50,M</sub> -DT <sub>50,S</sub>	%	51.3	7.3	7.4	3.3	4.7	-23.1	-1.9	7.0	22.2

#### Table 4

The correlation coefficients describing relationship between the half-lives  $DT_{50,S}$  (days) resulted from the application of a single pharmaceutical or  $DT_{50,M}$  (days) resulted from application of the mixture of all compounds and the Freundlich sorption coefficient,  $K_F$  (cm<sup>3/n</sup> µg<sup>1-1/n</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>), basal respiration, BR (µg C g<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>), substrate induced respiration, SIR (µg C g<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>), microbial biomass C,  $C_{mic}$  (µg g<sup>-1</sup>) and P,  $P_{mic}$  (µg g<sup>-1</sup>) and microbial biomass assessed using the phospholipid fatty acids, PLFAs (nmol g<sup>-1</sup>): CAR – carbamazepine, CIT – citalopram, CLI – clindamycin, FEX – fexofenadine, IRB – irbesartan, SUL – sulfamethoxazole.

	DT <sub>50,S</sub> - Single compound application						DT <sub>50,M</sub> - Multiple compounds application					
	CAR	CIT	CLI	FEX <sup>a</sup>	IRB	SUL	CAR	CIT	CLI	FEX <sup>a</sup>	IRB	SUL
K <sub>F</sub>	0.651	0.557	0.672	-0.323	-0.082	0.714	-	-	-	-	-	-
BR	0.819*	0.527	0.315	-0.494	-0.290	-0.321	0.563	0.211	-0.013	-0.164	$-0.755^{*}$	0.289
SIR	0.922**	0.350	0.251	-0.659	-0.335	-0.162	0.504	0.511	-0.416	-0.372	-0.660	0.567
C <sub>mic</sub>	0.971***	0.560	0.560	-0.416	0.043	-0.451	0.622	0.508	-0.219	-0.214	-0.503	0.345
N <sub>mic</sub>	$0.846^{*}$	0.420	0.557	-0.261	-0.101	-0.240	0.602	0.255	0.017	-0.196	-0.664	0.441
P <sub>mic</sub>	0.172	-0.720	-0.017	0.038	-0.367	0.529	-0.645	0.286	-0.263	0.375	-0.273	$0.847^{*}$
PLFA <sub>tot</sub>	0.444	0.458	-0.192	$-0.873^{*}$	-0.648	-0.068	0.545	-0.152	0.066	-0.663	$-0.772^{*}$	0.101
PLFA origin												
Actinomycetes	0.496	0.540	0.198	-0.469	-0.270	-0.286	0.657	-0.095	0.226	-0.506	$-0.761^{*}$	0.046
Fungi	0.344	0.409	-0.606	$-0.924^{**}$	-0.677	-0.073	0.349	0.176	-0.512	-0.602	-0.344	-0.026
General bacteria	0.486	0.487	-0.076	$-0.900^{*}$	-0.554	-0.116	0.624	-0.115	0.079	-0.777	$-0.794^{*}$	0.120
Gram negative bacteria	0.533	0.625	-0.058	$-0.961^{**}$	-0.475	-0.258	0.685	-0.063	0.045	-0.772	-0.718	-0.004
Gram positive bacteria	0.461	0.441	-0.231	$-0.878^{*}$	-0.682	-0.065	0.513	-0.106	0.005	-0.595	$-0.786^{*}$	0.126
Microphototrophs/plants	0.349	0.462	-0.425	$-0.904^{*}$	-0.616	0.025	0.631	-0.001	-0.317	$-0.883^{*}$	-0.384	0.025
Protozoa	-0.404	-0.056	-0.728	-0.008	-0.600	0.120	-0.387	-0.514	0.249	0.210	-0.044	-0.340
Protozoa/fungi	0.296	0.067	-0.419	-0.688	$-0.853^{*}$	0.317	0.355	-0.084	-0.182	-0.592	$-0.783^{*}$	0.416
General marker												
Bacteria	0.509	0.547	-0.078	$-0.953^{**}$	-0.531	-0.183	0.636	-0.091	0.063	-0.753	$-0.769^{*}$	0.063
Fungi	0.344	0.409	-0.606	$-0.924^{**}$	-0.677	-0.073	0.349	0.176	-0.512	-0.602	-0.344	-0.026
Saturation												
Branched	0.488	0.487	-0.105	$-0.918^{**}$	-0.577	-0.136	0.575	-0.106	0.074	-0.660	$-0.804^{*}$	0.105
Monounsaturated	0.510	0.584	-0.074	$-0.951^{**}$	-0.508	-0.203	0.673	-0.094	0.061	-0.808	-0.732	0.029
OH-subs	0.464	0.504	-0.061	-0.652	-0.466	-0.307	0.436	-0.049	0.112	0.066	$-0.814^{*}$	-0.004
Polyunsaturated	0.271	0.195	-0.572	-0.800	$-0.885^{**}$	0.205	0.331	-0.079	-0.242	-0.590	-0.673	0.221
Saturated	0.246	0.236	-0.319	-0.446	$-0.779^{*}$	0.162	0.278	-0.368	0.236	-0.289	-0.702	0.133

<sup>a</sup> Half-lives values for FEX in AE were as outliers excluded from analyses.

\* p < 0.05.

\*\* p < 0.01.

\*\*\* p < 0.001.

FEX (23.5 days; 9.0-69 days), CLI (10.8 days; 7.4-18.4) and SUL (9.6 days; 6.8-12.7). A large persistence of CAR in soils has also been reported in previous studies. Our DT<sub>505</sub> values for CAR (Table 3) were lower than the  $DT_{50}$  values reported by Dalkmann et al. (2014) (355-1624 days), Shao et al. (2018) (108-1732 days), and Martinez-Hernandez et al. (2016) (194–326 days) as well as DT<sub>50</sub> values evaluated in outdoor mesocosms by Walters et al. (2010) (462-533 days) and Grossberger et al. (2014) (147 and >200 days). Significant stability of CAR in tested soils (observed dissipation could not be fitted by the first-order kinetic model) was also documented by Biel-Maeso et al. (2019). Lower values, which were obtained under laboratory conditions, were reported by Monteiro and Boxall (2009) (60 days) and Yu et al. (2013) (28-39 days). Slightly lower values were found under field conditions (98 and 75 days for surface and subsurface soils, respectively) by Al-Rajab et al. (2015). Similar values were reported by Li et al. (2013) (46–173 days). Hurtado et al. (2017) reported DT<sub>50</sub> > 40 days. Interestingly, considerably higher values of DT<sub>50</sub> were obtained for the same soils in our previous study (Kodešová et al., 2016). In this former study, the DT<sub>50</sub> values were generally greater than 1000 days except HL (329 days) and DCa (418 days). One possible reason for differences in half-lives obtained from our previous and present studies could be differences in soils sampling time, i.e., spring 2018 (present study) versus autumn 2014 (previous study). It has been assumed that the organic matter fraction can reduce carbamazepine bioavailability (e.g., Al-Rajab et al., 2015; Shao et al., 2018; Yu et al., 2013). Jirků et al. (2013) documented that organic content varied within the year and considerably differed in different years for 3 of the tested soils (i.e., GP, HL and HCa). However, basic soil properties (particularly organic carbon content) of soils sampled for our previous and present study did not considerably differ. Soil samples obtained in different seasons could exhibit different microbial biomass and activity with values higher during the spring compared with autumn. For instance, nitrification can have a moderately positive (Dawas-Massalha et al., 2014) or no (Kruglova et al., 2014) influence on CAR dissipation in soils, and soil bacteria, Streptomycetes in particular, can efficiently degrade carbamazepine under laboratory conditions (Popa et al., 2014; Ungureanu et al., 2015). Unfortunately, we do not have information about microbial conditions in previously studied soil samples; thus, we cannot compare those criteria. Another reason for observed differences in CAR half-lives between studies could be slightly different experimental procedures. In our previous study, we did not preincubate soils (i.e., 6 days of incubation of soils samples under 20 °C and soil water content corresponding to a half of the soil water capacity) before application of pharmaceuticals. Although soil sample processing followed the same method and was very fast in both experiments, bacterial activity could be suppressed. During the preincubation period, bacterial activity could be triggered that resulted in a better starting point for CAR degradation in soils compared with no preincubation.

The other two compounds that were assessed in our previous study (Kodešová et al., 2016) were CLI and SUL. Regarding CLI, the  $DT_{50,S}$  values (Table 3) were again slightly lower than values (13–21 days) reported by Kodešová et al. (2016) in the same topsoils. Our results could also be compared with dissipation half-lives in biosolids presented by Wu et al. (2009) and Chenxi et al. (2008), who documented faster degradation in the first few days followed by stabilization afterwards. Both effects can be explained by better initial conditions for compound microbial degradation in preincubated soils (present study) and biosolids with large microbial abundance (Wu et al., 2009; Chenxi et al., 2008) compared with nonpreincubated soils (Kodešová et al., 2016).

In the case of SUL, the  $DT_{50,S}$  values (Table 3) were similar those (5–15 days) reported by Kodešová et al. (2016). This finding indicates that different preincubation treatments (i.e., not and preincubated soil samples in our previous and present study, respectively) did not influence dissipation of SUL in the selected soils. The finding could potentially be explained by a very fast dissipation of this compound in the soil environment, which was also documented by other studies in

different soils by Srinivasan and Sarmah (2014) (4–13 days) and Lin and Gan (2011) (9–11 days). On the other hand, higher dissipation half-lives in topsoils were published by Albero et al. (2018) (18–24 days), Shen et al. (2018) (29–36 days) and Wu et al. (2012) (38–55 days). Higher dissipation half-lives were also observed in subsoils by Kodešová et al. (2016) (66 and 152 days) and lake sediments by Zhang et al. (2013) (42–57 days). The biotic SUL transformation again appeared to be the major factor affecting compound dissipation (Srinivasan and Sarmah, 2014; Wu et al., 2012). At broad spectrum of soil bacteria or mixed microbial consortia may degrade SUL by metabolic or cometabolic pathways (reviewed in Wang and Wang, 2018). Thus, different half-lives found in the literature were results of different bioavailabilities of SUL dependent on its concentration together with presence of microbial members responsible for degradation in tested soils and sediments.

While dissipation of CAR and SUL (also partly CLI) in soils has been documented in several studies, dissipation of CIT in this environment has not been studied to date. The moderately lower persistence of CIT (DT<sub>50</sub> of 41 days) compared to the DT<sub>50,S</sub> values in Table 3 was documented by Iranzo et al. (2018), who studied dissipation of CIT in composted sludge from a waste-water treatment plant. The large persistence of CIT could be partly explained by its very large sorption affinity to soils (e.g., Table 3 or Klement et al., 2018). Similar to CIT, our DT<sub>50,S</sub> values for IRB could be compared only with half-lives reported by Iranzo et al. (2018) in composted sludge from the wastewater treatment plant. Their values (9–27.7 days) were similar or slightly lower than our obtained values (Table 3). To the best of our knowledge, no study has addressed FEX dissipation in soils, sediments or in soils related materials.

#### 3.2. Simultaneous degradation of all pharmaceuticals in soils

The dissipation half-lives  $(DT_{50,M})$  of compounds applied into soils simultaneously (Table 3) were mostly increased compared with values  $(DT_{50,S})$  from a single compound application, which may indicate a negative impact of antibiotics on soil microbial communities (e.g., Caracciolo et al., 2015; Grenni et al., 2018). However, the impact

#### Table 5

Multiple linear regression models for estimating DT<sub>50.S</sub> values (days) from K<sub>F</sub> (cm<sup>3/n</sup> µg<sup>1-1/</sup> <sup>n</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>), microbial biomass P, P<sub>mic</sub> (µg g<sup>-1</sup>), microbial biomass assessed using the phospholipid fatty acids (nmol g<sup>-1</sup>), i.e., total PLFA (PLFAtot), total fungal PLFA (Fungi), total bacterial PLFA (Bacteria), total Gram-negative bacterial PLFA (Gnegative), and total polyunsaturated PLFA (Poly): CAR – carbamazepine, CIT – citalopram, CLI – clindamycin, FEX – fexofenadine, IRB – irbesartan, SUL – sulfamethoxazole.

Pharmaceutical	Multiple linear regression models for estimating $\text{DT}_{50,S}$	R <sup>2</sup> (%)
CAR	$DT_{50.5} = 76.2^{**} + 0.10.6 K_F$	42.3 (p = 0.114)
CIT	$DT_{50,S} = 290.2^{**} - 7.66 P_{mic}^{*} + 0.0000130$ $K_F^{*}$	$86.7^*(p=0.018)$
CLI	$DT_{50,S} = 14.9^{**} - 1.58 \text{ Fungi}^* + 0.546 \text{ K}_F^*$	86.9 <sup>*</sup> (p = 0.017)
FEX	DT <sub>50,S</sub> = 58.7*** - 0.413 Bacteria**	$90.8^{**} (p = 0.002)^{a}$
	$DT_{50,S} = 61.0^{**} - 0.468$ Bacteria <sup>**</sup> + 0.109	$95.0^* (p = 0.011)^a$
	$\Lambda_{\rm F}$ DT <sub>roc</sub> = - 69.4 <sup>***</sup> - 0.573 Bacteria <sup>***</sup> +	99.2***(n —
	0.197 K <sup>*</sup>	0.0001)
	$DT_{50,S} = 52.4^{**} - 0.237 PLFAtot^*$	$76.3^* (p = 0.023)^a$
	$DT_{50,S} = 59.2^{**} - 0.325 PLFAtot^* + 0.219$	$89.0^*  (p = 0.036)^a$
	$R_F$	98 5*** (n —
	$0.311 \text{ K}_{\text{r}}^{**}$	(p = 0.0001)
IRB	$DT_{50.5} = 35.0^{***} - 0.549 \text{ Poly}^{**}$	$78.4^{**} (p = 0.008)$
SUL	$DT_{50,S} = 8.28^{**} + 0.921 K_F$	51.0 (p = 0.072)
	$DT_{50,S} = 11.4^{**} - 0.631 \text{ Fungi} + 1.26 \text{ K}_{F}^{*}$	73.8 (p = 0.069)
	$DT_{50,S} = 10.5^{**} - 0.0678$ Gnegative + 1.08	72.0 (p = 0.078)
	Κ <sub>F</sub> <sup>*</sup>	

<sup>a</sup> half-lives values for FEX in AE were excluded from analyses.

\*\*\* p < 0.001.

\*\* p < 0.01.

<sup>\*</sup> p < 0.05.



of simultaneous application on the half-lives of selected pharmaceuticals was not consistent in all soils. The least influence on half-lives was observed for SUL (higher DT50,M in SChS, HCh, GP, HL, and HCa and lower DT<sub>50 M</sub> in DCa and AE). An ambiguous trend was observed for CLI (higher DT<sub>50 M</sub> in SChS, HCh, GP and AE and lower DT<sub>50 M</sub> in HL, HCa, and DCa). Dissipations of other non-antibiotic compounds were likely mostly decreased by the presence of antibiotics. However, lower DT<sub>50,M</sub> values compared with DT<sub>50,S</sub> values were also obtained for CIT and FEX in HCh and DCa and CAR in HL. The results of our study cannot confirm that enhanced dissipation of selected compounds in some soils was due to interactions with each other (e.g., Grenni et al., 2018). It can be hypothesized that compounds were less sorbed in some soils due to a competition of compounds for sorption sites and thus were more available for microbes. An inconsistent influence of simultaneous applications of compounds on their dissipations in different soils is also documented by insignificant positive correlations between DT<sub>50.S</sub> and DT<sub>50,M</sub> values (Table S7). Therefore, it is not possible to propose general relationships for estimating compounds half-lives in mixtures with other compounds from values obtained from single compound applications. The large persistence of some compounds in the mixture with other compounds increases their potential to migrate in the subsurface water environment and thus should be assumed in studies assessing potential threats related to the spread of pharmaceuticals in the environment. Despite the large variability of the percentage of increase/ decrease in half-lives, average values that vary between 7 and 39% (Table 3) can be at least used to adjust half-lives resulting from single compound dissipation tests at diverse levels to simulate limit scenarios when assessing potential migration of these compounds in the soil environment.

#### 3.3. Relationships among half-lives, K<sub>F</sub> values and soil properties

Regarding K<sub>F</sub> values, a significant positive relationship (Table S7) was found between the CAR  $K_F$  sorption coefficient and Cox (R = 0.971, p < 0.05 in all cases, when not different), CEC (R = 0.862) and BCS (R = 0.756) (Table S1). This finding indicates that the sorption affinity of organic compounds in neutral forms correlates positively to organic matter content, which was documented previously (Kodešová et al., 2015), and properties that correlate with Cox (Table S7). A significant positive relationship (R = 0.976) was identified between the K<sub>F</sub> sorption coefficients of the positively charged compounds CIT and CLI, and the K<sub>F</sub> values of both compounds positively correlated with BCS (R = 0.836 and R = 0.875, for CIT and CLI, respectively). This finding can be explained by a sorption of cations on the negatively charged surface of soil components (Kodešová et al., 2015; Klement et al., 2018). The significant positive relationship (R = 0.985) was also identified between the K<sub>F</sub> sorption coefficients of FEX and IRB, and a significantly negative correlation was observed between the K<sub>F</sub> values for both compounds and SCS (R = -0.889, and R = -0.942, for FEX and IRB, respectively). These findings are again consistent with results of Klement et al. (2018) and associated with repulsion between the negative charges of their molecules and component surface. Finally, as observed by Kodešová et al. (2015), a strong positive relationship (R = 0.957) was found between the SUL K<sub>F</sub> values and HA, which can be again explained by its negative charge in soils with higher pH and neutral form in soils with low pH and high HA (i.e., Cambisols), in which its sorption is less or not influenced by repulsion between negative charges.

While correlation analyses showed meaningful statistically significant relationships (p < 0.05) between some soil properties and the K<sub>F</sub> values (Table S7), analyses for half-lives generally showed no relationships (Table S8). One exception is that the DT<sub>50,S</sub> values for SUL

correlated with HA (R = 0.806, p = 0.0285). This finding may be associated with the positive correlation between the K<sub>F</sub> values and HA (Table S7). Therefore, the dissipation half-live increased with increasing sorption affinity of SUL to soils, thus decreasing its availability. However, the positive correlations between the K<sub>F</sub> and DT<sub>50 S</sub> values of SUL were not statistically significant (Table 4 or S8). Similar correlations (Table S8) between the  $DT_{50,S}$  values for CAR and BCS (R = 0.759) can be partly explained by the positive relationship between K<sub>F</sub> values and BCS (Table S7). However, the positive correlations between the K<sub>F</sub> and DT<sub>50,S</sub> values of CAR were not statistically significant (Table 4 or S8). Nonsignificant positive correlations were also identified between the K<sub>F</sub> and DT<sub>50.S</sub> values for CIT and CLI, and even negative correlations were obtained between the K<sub>F</sub> and DT<sub>50,S</sub> values for FEX and IRB (Table 4 or S8). In general, as also found in our previous study (Kodešová et al., 2016), dissipation half-lives cannot be related to a single property of soils. In addition, although Kodešová et al. (2016) documented statistically significant positive relationships between the K<sub>F</sub> values and half-lives of CLI and SUL measured in topsoils, a nonsignificant correlation was observed in the present study.

Sorption coefficients for mixture of all compounds were not measured. Since sorption coefficients of all or some compounds should be impacted by their competition for sorption sites or synergy (Kočárek et al., 2016; Fér et al., 2018; Schmidtová et al., 2020), correlations between  $DT_{50,M}$  and evaluated  $K_F$  were not calculated.

#### 3.4. Relationships between half-lives and soil microbial biomass/biomarkers

Half-lives of FEX and IRB negatively correlated with some of the microbial factors (Table 4), i.e., dissipation of these two compounds increased with some of the increasing microbial factors. For instance, significant and insignificant correlations were found between the  $DT_{50,S}$  values and the overall microbial biomass (PLFA<sub>tot</sub>) for FEX (R = -0.873, p = 0.023) and IRB (R = -0.648, p = 0.116), respectively, and between the  $DT_{50,M}$  values and PLFA<sub>tot</sub> for IRB (R = -0.772, p = 0.042) and FEX (R = -0.663, p = 0.152), respectively. These findings suggest a scenario wherein "the higher the biomass, the faster the dissipation". However, in general, no meaningful correlations were found for CAR, CLI, SUL and CIT. The reason could be that CAR is mainly metabolized by enzymes in human, animal and plant bodies (e.g., Kodešová et al., 2019a; Malchi et al., 2014; Paltiel et al., 2016) that are generally not present in soils (e.g., Thelusmond et al., 2019), and microbial degradation is likely very slow and linked to specific microbial members of the community (Popa et al., 2014; Ungureanu et al., 2015). CIT is strongly sorbed in soils, thus being mostly unavailable for degradation. Therefore, microbial factors did not play a major role. Regarding CLI and SUL, these compounds could variably modify microbial activity (Frková et al., 2020). However, the DT<sub>50</sub> s values of CLI negatively but insignificantly correlated with the total fungal PLFA (Fungi) (R = -0.606, p = 0.149 for  $DT_{50.S}$  and R = -0.512, p = 0.240 for  $DT_{50.M}$ ) and the total protozoal PLFA (Protozoa) (R = -0.728, p = 0.064 for  $DT_{50,S}$ ).

# 3.5. Estimation of dissipation half-lives from K<sub>F</sub> values, soil properties and microbial indicators

Results of multiple linear regressions were evaluated with respect to expected impacts of particular factors on the dissipation of compounds in soils, e.g., increased microbial biomass or specific microbial markers stimulate dissipation of biodegradable compounds, while the higher sorption of compounds in soils would inhibit it. This notion means that the equations showing the opposite effects were excluded. Resulting regression models for  $DT_{50,S}$  of CIT, CLI, FEX, IRB and SUL in

**Fig. 1.** Grouping soils according: a) basic soil properties (first 15 lines in Table 1); b) Freundlich sorption coefficients, K<sub>F</sub> (Table 3); c) phospholipid fatty acids, PLFAs (Table S2); d) half-lives DT<sub>50</sub> resulted from both treatments, DT<sub>50,S</sub> and DT<sub>50,M</sub> (Table 3); e) half-lives resulted from the single compound applications, DT<sub>50,S</sub>; f) half-lives resulted from multiple compound applications, DT<sub>50,M</sub>; g) all soil properties in Table 1; f) half-lives resulted from both treatments, DT<sub>50,S</sub> and DT<sub>50,M</sub>; g) all soil properties in Table 1; f) half-lives resulted from both treatments, DT<sub>50,S</sub> and DT<sub>50,M</sub>; g) all soil properties and datasets shown in this figure (i.e., in a, b, c, d, e and f) are shown in Fig. S1 and corresponding Figs. S1a, b, c, d, e and f.

Table 5 always included at least one microbial factor (either Pmic or PLFA-derived microbial markers). In the case of CAR, CIT, CLI, FEX and SUL equations included the K<sub>F</sub> values. However, it should be mentioned that in the case of CAR and SUL, the resulting multiple linear regression models were not statistically significant at the 95% or greater confidence level. Despite this, the models for both antibiotics CLI and SUL (of the highest R<sup>2</sup> and lowest p-value) included the same factors (i.e., fungal PLFA content and K<sub>F</sub>), which may indicate similar mechanisms (i.e., stimulation and inhibition, respectively) controlling their dissipation in soils. The potential of fungal members of the microbial community to degrade SUL in soils was documented by their enhanced activity (Chen et al., 2016) or increased proportion of fungal biomass in loamy sand soil (Gutiérrez et al., 2010) or in Chernozem Haplic and Phaeozem Greyic (Frková et al., 2020). Antibiotics are efficiently degraded by various soil microorganisms (Wang and Wang, 2018; Martin-Laurent et al., 2019), including resistant soil bacteria, which exhibit increased numbers due to environmental pollution (e.g., Fahrenfeld et al., 2014; Goodman and Gilman, 2011; Heuer et al., 2008). In our study, the second model for SUL includes K<sub>F</sub> and biomass of Gram-negative bacteria (Table 5) likely because these bacteria are more likely to acquire and spread plasmid-mediated antibiotic resistance in the environment (Stokes and Gillings, 2011). A decreased G+/G-ratio, which indicates a stimulatory effect on Gram-negative bacteria after the application of SUL or CLI into some soils, was also observed by Frková et al. (2020).

Bacteria seemed to be the main factors controlling dissipation of FEX (Tables 4 and 5). An increased  $R^2$  was achieved when the K<sub>F</sub> values were also included (Table 5). However, the impact of the K<sub>F</sub> values was statistically insignificant, and the statistical significance of the model decreased. Close correlations were observed between half-lives and other microbial indicators (Table 5), including PLFAtot. Models derived either from PLFAtot or from PLFAtot and K<sub>F</sub> (Table 5) were less significant than those derived for the total bacterial biomass (General bacteria). Statistical analyses (Table 4) and previous multiple linear regressions (Table 5) were performed without the  $DT_{50,S}$  values for FEX in AE. Similar models (to those discussed above) of greater statistical significance were obtained when all the  $DT_{50,S}$  values for FEX were included (Table 5). Resulting models showed an overall stimulation effect of the entire microbial community and the inhibitory influence of relatively high sorption of FEX in soils although the simple correlation between DT<sub>50,S</sub> and K<sub>F</sub> was negative (Table 4). The sum of polyunsaturated PLFAs (e.g., fungi, protozoa, microphototrophs/plants and some of nonspecific organisms, i.e., microeukaryotes) was the only factor affecting DT<sub>50.S</sub> in the best model derived for IRB (Table 5). Inclusion of the K<sub>F</sub> values did not improve model performance. However, Table 4 shows that all microbial PLFA markers negatively (not statistically significantly) correlated with the DT<sub>505</sub> values and correlations increased for the DT<sub>50 M</sub> values. Similar to FEX, these findings may illustrate the overall stimulation effect of the entire microbial community on IRB dissipation in soils but no considerable impact of K<sub>F</sub>.

In the case of highly sorbed CIT, dissipation was negatively related to the amount of phosphorus in microbial biomass and positively related to the coefficient describing its sorption in soils. In fact, no reliable model was derived for CAR. It has been previously documented that dissipation of CAR in soils is very slow likely because the enzymes responsible for the transformation of carbamazepine are not common in agriculture soils (Thelusmond et al., 2016, 2018, 2019). It should also be mentioned that statistical analyses for CIT and CAR were affected by moderate uncertainty in the evaluated  $DT_{50,S}$  values (as discussed in part 2.7). Thus, the model derived for CIT is also uncertain.

The presented models for predicting dissipation half-lives of tested pharmaceuticals are more difficult to use in practice compared with those proposed for instance by Al-Khazrajy et al. (2018) for diltiazem, ranitidine and cimetidine, who used more easily measured indicators (i.e., microbial activity, clay content, silt content and carbon content). Correlations between half-lives and easier to determine indicators of microbial abundance and activity (BR and SIR in Table 4) were weak or not meaningful (i.e., positive correlations). Multiple linear regressions also did not result in statistically significant models. Nevertheless, our results proved the main impact of microbial PLFA markers on halflives of compounds rapidly dissipating from soils (i.e., CLI, SUL, FEX and IRB). In addition, our findings also confirmed that sorption of some compounds in soils could reduce their dissipation from soils.

## 3.6. Behavior of compounds with respect to soil type

Principal component analysis (Figs. 1 and S1) showed that separation of soil types first by two PC (Figs. 1b and S1b) derived from all K<sub>F</sub> values in Table 3 corresponds to the distribution derived from the basic soil properties (Figs. 1a and S1a) in Table 1 (15 lines pH<sub>H2O</sub> -Sand), i.e., Group 1: soils developed on loess HCh, GP, and HL; Group 2: both Cambisols HCa and DCa; Group 3: AE; Group 4: SChS. Division of soil types (Figs. 1e and S1e) based on DT<sub>50,S</sub> values in Table 3 closely corresponds to distributions (Figs. 1c and S1c) derived from the microbial community composition (PLFA) in Table S2, i.e., Group 1: HCa, Dca, and HL; Group 2: GP and SChS; Group 3: AE; Group 4: HCh. Similarity in soil distribution (Figs. 1d and S1d) derived from both DT<sub>50</sub> values (i.e.,  $DT_{50,S}$  and  $DT_{50,M}$ ) with that derived from the PLFA (Figs. 1c and S1c) was also documented. Similar correspondence was not found for the DT<sub>50.M</sub> values (Figs. 1f and S1f) likely due to the variable impact of simultaneous application in different soils. When assuming all soil properties (Table 1), both characteristics describing compounds' behavior in soil (i.e., K<sub>F</sub> and DT<sub>50</sub>) distributions (Fig. 1f, h) differ. However, two groups can be identified in both cases, i.e., Group 1: both Cambisols HCa and DCa; Group 2: two of soils developed on loess GP and H-Ch. These findings proved previously formulated hypothesis by Kodešová et al. (2016) for trimethoprim, sulfamethoxazole, clindamycin, atenolol and metoprolol. This hypothesis states that while sorption of pharmaceuticals in soils is related to basic soil properties, their dissipation is controlled by overall soil properties controlling microbial composition in soils. Of note, in a study by Koba et al. (2017) who studied transformation of 3 antibiotics (CLI, SUL and trimethoprim) in 12 soil materials (including 7 soils in present study), soils were grouped according their substrates and character as follows: Group 1: three soils developed on loess and one on marlite substrate; Group 2: two soils developed on sandy materials; Group 3: four Cambisols. The results (Fig. 1d, e) slightly differ from results in our previous paper (Koba et al., 2017). The difference can be explained by the fact that our present study did not only include antibiotics (i.e., antibiotic behaviors can follow similar patterns). In addition, the behaviors of some of compounds (e.g., CAR) considerably differ from behaviors of other compounds.

# 3.7. Potential environmental threat related to the occurrence of studied compounds in soils

Given its great persistency and low sorption affinity in soils (Table 3 or Kodešová et al., 2015, 2016), CAR is a highly mobile compound in the subsurface water environment. Thus, this compound frequently occurs in groundwater (e.g., Fram and Belitz, 2011; Godfrey et al., 2007; Li, 2014; Huntscha et al., 2012; Loos et al., 2010; Radovic et al., 2015). It has also been documented that CAR is freely taken up by plants (e.g., Goldstein et al., 2014; Hurtado et al., 2017; Klement et al., 2020; Kodešová et al., 2019a, 2019b; Malchi et al., 2014; Montemurro et al., 2017; Mordechay et al., 2018; Shenker et al., 2011; Winker et al., 2010; Wu et al., 2013).

On the other hand, due to its low dissipation half-lives and higher sorption (Table 3 or Kodešová et al., 2015, 2016) CLI should not extensively migrate in the vadose zone (e.g., de Jongh et al., 2012). Potential uptake of CLI by plants is likely very limited. For instance, Kodešová et al. (2019b) reported no uptake of CLI and very low uptake of its metabolite clindamycin sulfoxide from sewage sludge applied into 7 soils as a soil amendment. Although SUL rapidly dissipates in topsoils, it can rapidly migrate though topsoils under particular conditions (e.g., under intensive irrigation with contaminated water) due to its very low sorption (Table 3 or Kodešová et al. (2015, 2016)). Subsequently, due to its high dissipation half-life in subsoils (Kodešová et al., 2016) and low sorption affinity to soils and sediments, SUL can easily migrate in the subsurface water environment (i.e., frequent SUL occurrences in groundwater have been published by Fram and Belitz (2011), Godfrey et al. (2007), Li (2014) and Loos et al. (2010)). Furthermore, it has been documented that SUL can also be taken up by plants (e.g., Ahmed et al. (2015); Klement et al., 2020; Kodešová et al., 2019a; Malchi et al., 2014; Wu et al., 2013).

Given its large sorption, CIT is less mobile in the subsurface water environment. However, CIT can be taken up by plants from sewage sludge incorporated into soils (e.g., Kodešová et al., 2019b).

The sorption of IRB in soil (Table 3 or Klement et al., 2018) is strongly soil-specific. It can be either very low (soils developed on loess) or high (DCa and AE). Since dissipation half-lives of IRB in different soils were relatively similar, IRB's potential to migrate in a subsurface water environment is moderate (soils developed on loess) or low (DCa and AE). No plant uptake of IRB from sewage sludge was reported by Kodešová et al. (2019b).

Finally, the stability of FEX is moderate ( $DT_{50,S}$  values in Table 3), but its sorption affinity to soils (Table 3 or Klement et al., 2018) is relatively high. Thus, its mobility in the vadose zone seems to be very low. Negligible plant uptake of FEX from sewage sludge was reported by Kodešová et al. (2019b).

## 4. Conclusions

Results of our study (i.e., results from the principal component analysis of different data sets) showed that while knowledge of basic soil properties can be used to group soils according sorption behavior of studied compounds in these soils, the knowledge of microbial composition can be used to group soils according their dissipation potential. These findings confirmed the hypothesis suggested in our previous study (Kodešová et al., 2016) and can be used to properly design future experiments, e.g., selection of representative soils, and extrapolate obtained results to similar soils in a particular group. Our results from the multiple linear regressions relating the DT<sub>50</sub> values to soil and microbiological properties also showed that knowledge of initial microbial community composition (or property related to microbial biomass) and sorption of compounds in soils could be used to estimate the dissipation half-lives (DT<sub>50.5</sub> – single solute application) of CIT, CLI, FEX and IRB in tested soils. No statistically significant relationships at the 95% or higher confidence level were found for CAR and SUL, but the derived model for SUL was similar to that obtained for the second antibiotic CLI. The dissipation half-lives (DT<sub>50.M</sub>) generated from the applications of multiple solutes were generally increased compared with the DT<sub>50,S</sub> values, which could be attributed to the negative influence of antibiotics on microbial communities. However, this trend was not consistent in all soils. In several cases, DT<sub>50,S</sub> values were even higher than the DT<sub>50.M</sub> values. Further studies are needed to reveal actual mechanisms occurring during the transformations of various compounds in the soil environment. The experimental design can be improved, e.g., use less pharmaceuticals (starting with 2 compounds) for the multiple-compound applications and monitoring of microbial activity and composition in soils during the entire degradation experiment. DNA/RNA analysis in combination NGS techniques could give indication, how the various compounds affect microbial diversity etc. Despite some limitations discussed in this study, our results and findings can be adopted in environmental studies assessing transport and dissipation of tested compounds in the vadose zone and future experiments dealing with dissipations of organic compounds as pharmaceuticals in the soil environment.

#### **CRediT** authorship contribution statement

Radka Kodešová: Conceptualization, Methodology, Formal analysis, Writing - original draft. Alica Chroňáková: Writing - original draft. Kateřina Grabicová: Investigation, Writing - original draft. Martin Kočárek: Investigation, Data curation. Zuzana Schmidtová: Investigation, Data curation. Zuzana Frková: Investigation, Writing - original draft. Andrea Vojs Staňová: Investigation. Antonín Nikodem: Investigation. Aleš Klement: Investigation. Miroslav Fér: Investigation. Roman Grabic: Validation, Writing - original draft.

#### **Declaration of competing interest**

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the financial support of the Czech Science Foundation project No. 17-08937S, Behavior of pharmaceuticals in soilwater-plant system. Pharmaceutical concentrations were analyzed using devices financially supported by the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of the Czech Republic - projects "CENAKVA" (LM2018099), "CENAKVA Centre Development" (No. CZ.1.05/2.1.00/19.0380). The work was also supported by the European Structural and Investment Funds projects NutRisk (No. CZ.02.1.01/0.0/0.0/16\_019/0000845). Authors also thank Veronika Jílková, David Kahoun and Petr Kotas for access to the lab with GC and GC-FID and advice on PLFA analyses. Anna Koubová and Martina Petrlíková are thanked for help in experimental set up, sample processing, microbial analyses and PLFA extractions. Helena Švecová and Petra Nováková are thanked for analytical sample preparation. Tomáš Picek and Eva Kaštovská are thanked for providing data on TC, TN, and microbial C, N, and P.

#### Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.141134.

#### References

- Ahmed, M.B.M., Rajapaksha, A.U., Lim, J.E., Vu, N.T., Kim, I.S., Kang, H.M., Lee, S.S., Ok, Y.S., 2015. Distribution and accumulative pattern of tetracyclines and sulfonamides in edible vegetables of cucumber, tomato, and lettuce. J. Agric. Food Chem. 63 (2), 398–405. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf5034637.
- Albero, B., Tadeo, J.L., Escario, M., Miguel, E., Pérez, R.A., 2018. Persistence and availability of veterinary antibiotics in soil and soil-manure systems. Sci. Total Environ. 643, 1562–1570. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.06.314.
- Al-Farsi, R.S., Ahmed, M., Al-Busaidi, A., Choudri, B.S., 2017. Translocation of harmaceuticals and personal care products (PPCPs) into plant tissues: a review. Emerg. Contam. 3, 132–137. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.emcon.2018.02.001.
- Al-Khazrajy, O.S.A., Bergstrom, E., Boxall, A.B.A., 2018. Factors affecting the dissipation of pharmaceuticals in freshwater sediments. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 37 (3), 829–838. https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.4015.
- Al-Rajab, A.J., Sabourin, L., Lapen, D.R., Topp, E., 2015. Dissipation of triclosan, triclocarban, carbamazepine, and naproxen in agricultural soil following surface or subsurface application of dewatered biosolids. Sci. Total Environ. 512-513, 480–488. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.01.075.
- Anderson, T.H., Domsch, K.H., 1985. Determination of ecophysiological maintenance carbon requirements of soil microorganisms in a dormant state. Biol. Fert. Soils 1, 81–89. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00255134.
- Andriamalala, A., Vieublé-Gonod, L., Dumeny, V., Cambier, P., 2018. Fate of sulfamethoxazole, its main metabolite N-ac-sulfamethoxazole and ciprofloxacin in agricultural soils amended or not by organic waste products. Chemosphere 191, 607–615. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.10.093.
- Beulke, S., Brown, C.D., Jarvis, N.J., 2000. MACRO: A preferential flow model to simulate pesticide leaching and movement to drains. In: Linders, J.B.H. (Ed.), Modeling of Environmental Chemical Exposure and Risk. Springer, Dordrecht, pp. 117–132. https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-94-010-0884-6\_12.
- Biel-Maeso, M., González-González, C., Lara-Martín, P.A., Corada-Fernández, C., 2019. Sorption and degradation of contaminants of emerging concern in soils under aerobic

and anaerobic conditions. Sci. Total Environ. 666, 662–671. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. scitotenv.2019.02.279.

Bligh, E.G., Dyer, W.J., 1959. A rapid method of total lipid extraction and purification. Can. J. Biochem. 37, 911–917. https://doi.org/10.1139/y59-099.

- Bořík, A., Staňová, A.V., Kodešová, R., Brooks, B.W., Grabicová, K., Nováková, P., Grabic, R., 2020. Ultrafast laser diode thermal desorption method for analysis of representative pharmaceuticals in soil leachate samples. Talanta 208, 120382. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.talanta.2019.120382.
- Brookes, P.C., Powlson, D.S., Jenkinson, D.S., 1982. Measurement of microbial phosphorus in soil. Soil Biol. Biochem. 14, 319–329. https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-0717(86) 90050-7.
- Brookes, P.C., Landman, A., Pruden, G., Jenkinson, D.S., 1985. Chloroform fumigation and the release of soil nitrogen: a rapid direct extraction method to measure microbial biomass nitrogen in soil. Soil Biol. Biochem. 17, 837–842. https://doi.org/10.1016/ 0038-0717(85)90144-0.
- Brunetti, G., Kodešová, R., Šimůnek, J., 2019. Modeling the translocation and transformation of chemicals in the soil-plant continuum: a dynamic plant uptake module for the HYDRUS model. Water Resour. Res. 55, 8967–8989. https://doi.org/10.1029/ 2019WR025432.
- Burri, N.M., Weatherl, R., Moeck, C., Schirmer, M., 2019. A review of threats to groundwater quality in the Anthropocene. Sci. Total Environ. 684, 136–154. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.05.236.
- Caracciolo, A.B., Topp, E., Grenni, P., 2015. Pharmaceuticals in the environment: biodegradation and effects on natural microbial communities. A review. J. Pharmaceut. Biomed. 106, 25–36. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpba.2014.11.040.
- Carter, LJ., Chefetz, B., Abdee, Z., Boxall, A.B.A., 2019. Emerging investigator series: towards a framework for establishing the impact of pharmaceuticals in wastewater irrigation systems on agro-ecosystems and human health- critical review. Environ. Sci. Process. Impacts 21, 605–622. https://doi.org/10.1039/C9EM00020H.
- Carter, LJ., Wilkinson, J.L., Boxall, A.B.A., 2020. Evaluation of existing models to estimate sorption coefficients for ionisable pharmaceuticals in soils and sludge. Toxics 8 (13). https://doi.org/10.3390/toxics8010013.
- Charuaud, L., Jardem, E., Jaffrezic, A., Thomas, M.F., Le Bot, B., 2019. Veterinary pharmaceutical residues from natural water to tap water: sales, occurrence and fate. J. Hazard. Mater. 361, 169–186. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2018.08.075.
- Chen, J., Xie, S., 2018. Overview of sulfonamide biodedegradation and relevant pathways and microorganisms. Sci. Total Environ. 640-641, 1465–1477. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.06.016.
- Chen, Y., Stemple, B., Kumar, M., Wei, N., 2016. Cell surface display fungal laccase as a renewable biocatalyst for degradation of persistent micropollutants bisphenol A and sulfamethoxazole. Environ. Sci. Technol. 50, 8799–8808. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs. est.6b01641.
- Chenxi, W., Spongberg, A.L., Witter, J.D., 2008. Determination of the persistence of pharmaceuticals in biosolids using liquid–chromatography tandem mass spectrometry. Chemosphere 73, 511–518. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2008.06.026.
- Christou, A., Kyriacou, M.C., Georgiadou, E.C., Papamarkou, R., Hapeshi, E., Karaolia, P., Michael, C., Fotopoulos, V., Fatta-Kassinos, D., 2019. Uptake and bioaccumulation of three widely prescribed pharmaceutically active compounds in tomato fruits and mediated effects on fruit quality attributes. Sci. Total Environ. 647, 1169–1178. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.08.053.
- D'Alessio, M., Durso, L.M., Miller, D.N., Woodbury, B., ray, C., Snow, D.D., 2019. Environmental fate and microbial effects of monensin, lincomycin, and sulfamethazine residues in soil. Environ. Pollut. 246, 60–68. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2018.11.093.
- Dalkmann, P., Siebe, C., Amelung, W., Schloter, M., Siemens, J., 2014. Does long-term irrigation with untreated wastewater accelerate the dissipation of pharmaceuticals in soil? Environ. Sci. Technol. 48, 4963–4970. https://doi.org/10.1021/es501180x.
- Dawas-Massalha, A., Gur-Reznik, S., Lerman, S., Sabbah, I., Dosoretz, C.G., 2014. Cometabolic oxidation of pharmaceutical compounds by a nitrifying bacterial enrichment. Bioresour. Technol. 167, 336–342. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2014.06.003.
- de Jongh, C.M., Kooij, P.J.F., de Voogt, P., ter Laak, T.L., 2012. Screening and human risk assessment of pharmaceuticals and their transformation products in Dutch surface waters and drinking waters. Sci. Total Environ. 427-428, 70–77. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.scitotenv.2012.04.010.
- Dowling, N.J.E., Widdel, F., White, D.C., 1986. Phospholipid ester-linked fatty acid biomarkers of acetate-oxidizing sulphate-reducers and other sulphide-forming bacteria. Microbiology 135, 1815–1825. https://doi.org/10.1099/00221287-132-7-1815.
- Fahrenfeld, N., Knowlton, K., Krometis, LA., Hession, W.C., Xia, K., Lipscomb, E., Libuit, K., Green, B.L., Pruden, A., 2014. Effect of manure application on abundance of antibiotic resistance genes and their attenuation rates in soil: field-scale mass balance approach. Environ. Sci. Technol. 48, 2643–2650. https://doi.org/10.1021/es404988k.
- Fedorova, G., Golovko, O., Randak, T., Grabic, R., 2014. Storage effect on the analysis of pharmaceuticals and personal care products in wastewater. Chemosphere 111, 55–60. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2014.02.067.
- Fér, M., Kodešová, R., Golovko, O., Schmidtová, Z., Klement, A., Kočárek, M., Grabic, R., 2018. Sorption of atenolol, sulfamethoxazole and carbamazepine onto soil aggregates from the illuvial horizon of the Haplic Luvisol on loess. Soil Water Res 13 (3), 177–183. https://doi.org/10.17221/82/2018-SWR.
- Fram, M.S., Belitz, K., 2011. Occurrence and concentrations of pharmaceutical compounds in groundwater used for public drinking-water supply in California. Sci. Total Environ. 409, 3409–3417. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2011.05.053.
- Frková, Z., Vystavna, Y., Koubová, A., Kotas, P., Grabicová, K., Grabic, R., Kodešová, R., Chroňáková, A., 2020. Microbial responses to selected pharmaceuticals in agricultural soils: microcosm study on the roles of soil, treatment and time. Soil Biol. Biochem. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2020.107924 (in print).
- Frostegård, Å., Tunlid, A., Bååth, E., 2011. Use and misuse of PLFA measurements in soils. Soil Biol. Biochem. 43 (8), 1621–1625. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2010.11.021.

- Godfrey, E., Woessner, W., Benotti, M., 2007. Pharmaceuticals in on-site sewage effluent and ground water, Western Montana. Ground Water 45 (3), 263–271. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1745-6584.2006.00288.x.
- Goldstein, G., Shenker, M., Chefetz, B., 2014. Insights into the uptake processes of wastewater-borne pharmaceuticals by vegetables. Environ. Sci. Technol. 48 (10), 5593–5600. https://doi.org/10.1021/es5008615.
- Golovko, O., Koba, O., Kodešová, R., Fedorova, G., Kumar, V., Grabic, R., 2016. Development of fast and robust multiresidual LC-MS/MS method for determination of pharmaceuticals in soils. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 23 (14), 14068–14077. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11356-016-6487-6.
- Goodman, I.S., Gilman, A., 2011. The pharmacological basis of therapeutics. Journal of Medicinal Chemistry, 12th ed McGraw-Hill, New York https://doi.org/10.1021/ jm00324a062.
- Grenni, P., Ancona, V., Caracciolo, A.B., 2018. Ecological effects of antibiotics on natural ecosystems: a review. Microchem. J. 136, 25–39. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. microc.2017.02.006.
- Grossberger, A., Hadar, Y., Borch, T., Chefetz, B., 2014. Biodegrability of pharmaceutical compounds in agricultural soils irrigated with treated wastewater. Environ. Pollut. 185, 168–177. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2013.10.038.
- Gutiérrez, I.R., Watanabe, N., Harter, T., Glaser, B., Radke, M., 2010. Effect of sulfonamide antibiotics on microbial diversity and activity in a Californian Mollic Haploxeralf. J. Soils Sediments 10, 537–544. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11368-009-0168-8.
- Helmecke, M., Fries, E., Schulte, C., 2020. Regulating water reuse for agricultural irrigation: risks related to organic micro-contaminants. Environ. Sci. Eur. 32, 4. https://doi.org/ 10.1186/s12302-019-0283-0.
- Heuer, H., Focks, A., Lamshöft, M., Smalla, K., Matthies, M., Spiteller, M., 2008. Fate of sulfadiazine administered to pigs and its quantitative effect on the dynamics of bacterial resistance genes in manure and manured soil. Soil Biol. Biochem. 40, 1892–1900. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2008.03.014.
- Huntscha, S., Singer, H.P., McArdell, C.S., Frank, C.E., Hollender, J., 2012. Multiresidue analysis of 88 polar organic micropollutants in ground, surface and wastewater using online mixed-bed multilayer solid-phase extraction coupled to high performance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. J. Chromatogr. A 1268, 74–83. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2012.10.032.
- Hurtado, Č., Montano-Chávez, Y.N., Domínguez, C., Bayona, J.M., 2017. Degradation of emerging organic contaminants in an agricultural soil: decoupling biotic and abiotic processes. Water Air Soil Pollut. 228, 243. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11270-017-3402-9.
- International Organization of Standardization, 1995. Soil Quality Determination of Total Nitrogen – Modified Kjeldahl Method. ISO 11261. p. 1995.
- Iranzo, M., Gamónc, M., Boluda, R., Mormeneo, S., 2018. Analysis of pharmaceutical biodegradation of WWTP sludge using composting and identification of certain microorganisms involved in the process. Sci. Total Environ. 640-641, 840–848. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.05.366.
- Ivanová, L., Mackulak, T., Grabic, R., Golovko, O., Koba, O., Vojs Staňová, A., Szabová, P., Grenčíková, A., Bodík, I., 2018. Pharmaceuticals and illicit drugs – a new threat to the application of sewage sludge in agriculture. Sci. Total Environ. 634, 606–615. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.04.001.
- Jirků, V., Kodešová, R., Nikodem, A., Mühlhanselová, M., Žigová, A., 2013. Temporal variability of structure and hydraulic properties of topsoil of three soil types. Geoderma 204-205, 43–58. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2013.03.024.
- Johansen, A., Olsson, S., 2005. Using phospholipid fatty acid technique to study short-term effects of the biological control agent Pseudomonas fluorescens DR54 on the microbial microbiota in barley rhizosphere. Microb. Ecol. 49, 272–281. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s00248-004-0135-2.
- Khan, N.A., Khan, S.U., Ahmed, S., Farooqi, I.H., Yousefi, M., Mohammadi, A.A., Changani, F., 2020. Recent trends in disposal and treatment technologies of emerging-pollutants – a critical review. Trends Anal. Chem. 122, 115744. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. trac.2019.115744.
- Klement, A., Kodešová, R., Bauerová, M., Golovko, O., Kočárek, M., Fér, M., Koba, O., Nikodem, A., Grabic, R., 2018. Sorption of citalopram, irbesartan and fexofenadine in soils: estimation of sorption coefficients from soil properties. Chemosphere 195, 615–623. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.12.098.
- Klement, A., Kodešová, R., Golovko, O., Fér, M., Nikodem, A., Kočárek, M., Grabic, R., 2020. Uptake, translocation and transformation of three pharmaceuticals in green pea plants. J. Hydrol. Hydromech. 68 (1), 1–11. https://doi.org/10.2478/johh-2020-0001.
- Koba, O., Golovko, O., Kodešová, R., Klement, A., Grabic, R., 2016. Transformation of atenolol, metoprolol, and carbamazepine in soils: the identification, quantification, and stability of the transformation products and further implications for the environment. Environ. Pollut. 218, 574–585. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2016.07.041.
- Koba, O., Golovko, O., Kodešová, R., Fér, M., Grabic, R., 2017. Antibiotics degradation in soil: a case of clindamycin, trimethoprim, sulfamethoxazole and their transformation products. Environ. Pollut. 220, 1251–1263. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2016.11.007.
- Kočárek, M., Kodešová, R., Vondráčková, L., Golovko, O., Fér, M., Klement, A., Nikodem, A., Jakšík, O., Grabic, R., 2016. Simultaneous sorption of four ionizable pharmaceuticals in different horizons of three soil types. Environ. Pollut. 218, 563–573. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.envpol.2016.07.039.
- Kodešová, R., Grabic, R., Kočárek, M., Klement, A., Golovko, O., Fér, M., Nikodem, A., Jakšík, O., 2015. Pharmaceuticals' sorptions relative to properties of thirteen different soils. Sci. Total Environ. 511, 435–443. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.12.088.
- Kodešová, R., Kočárek, M., Klement, A., Golovko, O., Koba, O., Fér, M., Nikodem, A., Vondráčková, L., Jakšík, O., Grabic, R., 2016. An analysis of the dissipation of pharmaceuticals under thirteen different soil conditions. Sci. Total Environ. 544, 369–381. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.12.088.
- Kodešová, R., Klement, A., Golovko, O., Fér, M., Nikodem, A., Kočárek, M., Grabic, R., 2019a. Root uptake of atenolol, sulfamethoxazole and carbamazepine, and their

transformation in three soils and four plants. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 26 (10), 9876-9891. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-019-04333-9.

- Kodešová, R., Klement, A., Golovko, O., Fér, M., Kočárek, M., Nikodem, A., Grabic, R., 2019b. Soil influences on uptake and transfer of pharmaceuticals from sewage sludge amended soils to spinach. J. Environ. Manag. 250, 109407. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jenvman.2019.109407.
- Kotas, P., Santruckova, H., Elster, J., Kastovska, E., 2018. Soil microbial biomass, activity and community composition along altitudinal gradients in the High Arctic (Billefjorden, Svalbard). Biogeosciences 15, 1879–1894. https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-15-1879-2018.
- Kruglova, A., Ahlgren, P., Korhonen, N., Rantanen, P., Mikola, A., Vahala, R., 2014. Biodegradation of ibuprofen, diclofenac and carbamazepine in nitrifying activated sludge under 12 °C temperature conditions. Sci. Total Environ. 499, 394–401. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.08.069.
- Kümmerer, K., 2009a. Antibiotics in the aquatic environment a review part I. Chemosphere 74 (5), 417–434. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2008.11.086.
- Kümmerer, K., 2009b. Antibiotics in the aquatic environment a review part II. Chemosphere 75 (4), 435–441. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2008.12.006.
- Lesser, L.E., Mora, A., Moreau, C., Mahlknecht, J., Hernández-Antonio, A., Ramírez, A.I., Barrios-Piña, H., 2018. Survey of 218 organic contaminants in groundwater derived from theworld's largest untreated wastewater irrigation system: MezquitalValley, Mexico. Chemosphere 198, 510–521. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2018.01.154.
- Li, W.C., 2014. Occurrence, sources, and fate of pharmaceuticals in aquatic environment and soil. Environ. Pollut. 187, 193–201. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2014.01.015.
- Li, J., Dodgen, L., Ye, Q., Gan, J., 2013. Degradation kinetics and metabolites of carbamazepine in soil. Environ. Sci. Technol. 47, 3678–3684. https://doi.org/10.1021/es304944c.
- Li, Y., Chuang, Y.H., Sallach, J.B., Zhang, W., Boyd, S.A., Li, H., 2018. Potential metabolism of pharmaceuticals in radish: comparison of in vivo and in vitro exposure. Environ. Pollut. 242, 962–969. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2018.07.060.
- Li, Y., Chiou, C.T., Li, H., Schnoor, J.L., 2019a. Improved prediction of the bioconcentration factors of organic contaminants from soils into plant/crop roots by related physicochemical parameters. Environ. Int. 126, 46–53. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. envint.2019.02.020.
- Li, Y., Sallach, J.B., Zhang, W., Boyd, S.A., Li, H., 2019b. Insight into the distribution of pharmaceuticals in soil-water-plant systems. Water Res. 152, 38–46. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.watres.2018.12.039.
- Li, J., Carter, L.J., Boxall, A.B.A., 2020. Evaluation and development of models for estimating the sorption behaviour of pharmaceuticals in soils. J. Hazard. Mater. 392, 122469. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2020.122469.
- Lin, K., Gan, J., 2011. Sorption and degradation of wastewater-associated no-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and antibiotics in soils. Chemosphere 83, 240–246. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2010.12.083.
- Lindberg, R.H., Östman, M., Olofsson, U., Grabic, R., Fick, J., 2014. Occurrence and behavior of 105 active pharmaceutical ingredients in sewage waters of a municipal sewer collection system. Water Res. 58, 221–229. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2014.03.076.
- Liu, F., Ying, G.G., Yang, J.F., Zhou, L.J., Tao, R., Wang, L., Zhang, L.J., Peng, P.A., 2010. Dissipation of sulfamethoxazole, trimethoprim and tylosin in a soil under aerobic and anoxic conditions. Environ. Chem. 7, 370–376. https://doi.org/10.1071/EN09160.
- Loos, R., Locoro, G., Comero, S., Contini, S., Schwesig, D., Werres, F., Balsaa, P., Gans, O., Weiss, S., Blaha, L., Bolchi, M., Gawlik, B.M., 2010. Pan-European survey on the occurrence of selected polar organic persistent pollutants in ground water. Water Res. 44, 4115–4126. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2010.05.032.
- Loos, R., Carvalho, R., António, D.C., Comero, S., Locoro, G., Tavazzi, S., Paracchini, B., Ghiani, M., Lettieri, T., Blaha, L., Jarosova, B., Voorspoels, S., Servaes, K., Haglund, P., Fick, J., Lindberg, R.H., Schwesig, D., Gawlik, B.M., 2013. EU-wide monitoring survey on emerging polar organic contaminants in wastewater treatment plant effluents. Water Res. 47, 6475–6487. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2013.08.024.
- Malchi, T., Maor, Y., Tadmor, G., Shenker, M., Chefetz, B., 2014. Irrigation of root vegetables with treated wastewater: evaluating uptake of pharmaceuticals and the associated human health risks. Environ. Sci. Technol. 48 (16), 9325–9333. https://doi.org/ 10.1021/es5017894.
- Martinez-Hernandez, V., Meffe, R., Lopez, S.H., De Bustamante, I., 2016. The role of sorption and biodegradation in the removal of acetaminophen, carbamazepine, caffeine, naproxen and sulfamethoxazole during soil contact: a kinetics study. Sci. Tot. Environ. 559, 232–241. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.03.131.
- Martin-Laurent, F., Topp, E., Billet, L., Batisson, I., Malandain, C., Besse-Hoggan, P., Morin, S., Artigas, J., Bonnineau, C., Kergoat, L., Devers-Lamrani, M., Pesce, S., 2019. Environmental risk assessment of antibiotics in agroecosystems: ecotoxicological effects on aquatic microbial communities and dissemination of antimicrobial resistances and antibiotic biodegradation potential along the soil-water continuum. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 26, 18930–18937. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-019-05122-0.
- Monteiro, S.C., Boxall, A.B.A., 2009. Factor affecting the degradation of pharmaceuticals in agricultural soils. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 28 (12), 2546–2554. https://doi.org/ 10.1897/08-657.1.
- Montemurro, N., Postigo, C., Lonigro, A., Perez, S., Barceló, D., 2017. Development and validation of an analytical method based on liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry detection for the simultaneous determination of 13 relevant wastewaterderived contaminants in lettuce. Anal. Bioanal. Chem. 409, 5375–5387. https://doi. org/10.1007/s00216-017-0363-1.
- Mordechay, E.B., Tarchitzky, J., Chen, Y., Shenker, M., Chefetz, B., 2018. Composted biosolids and treated wastewater as sources of pharmaceuticals and personal care products for plant uptake: a case study with carbamazepine. Environ. Pollut. 232 (2018), 164–172. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2017.09.029.
- OECD, 2000. Adsorption desorption using a batch equilibrium method. OECD Guidel. Test. Chem., p. 44 https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264069602-en

- OECD, 2002. Test no. 307: aerobic and anaerobic transformation in soil. OECD Guidelines for the Testing of Chemicals, Section 3: Degradation and Accumulation. OECD, p. 17 https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264070509-en ISBN: 9789264070509.
- Oravecz, O., Elhottová, D., Krištůfek, V., Šustr, V., Frouz, J., Tříska, J., Márialigeti, K., 2004. Application of ARDRA and PLFA analysis in characterizing the bacterial communities of the food, gut and excrement of saprophagous larvae of *Penthetria holosericea* (*Diptera: Bibionidae*): a pilot study. Folia Microbiol 49, 83–93. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/BF02931652.
- Paltiel, O., Fedorova, G., Tadmor, G., Kleinstern, G., Maor, Y., Chefetz, B., 2016. Human exposure to wastewater-derived pharmaceuticals in fresh produce: a randomized controlled trial focusing on carbamazepine. Environ. Sci. Technol. 50, 4476–4482. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.5b06256.
- Peña-Guzmán, C., Ulloa-Sánchez, S., Mora, K., Helena-Bustos, R., Lopez-Barrera, E., Alvarez, J., Rodriguez-Pizón, M., 2019. Emerging pollutants in the urban water cycle in Latin America: a review of the current literature. J. Environ. Manag. 237, 408–423. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2019.02.100.
- Picó, Y., Alvarez-Ruiz, R., Alfarhan, A.H., El-Sheikh, M.A., Alshahrani, H.O., Barceló, D., 2020. Pharmaceuticals, pesticides, personal care products and microplastics contamination assessment of Al-Hassa irrigation network (Saudi Arabia) and its shallow lakes. Sci. Total Environ. 701, 135021. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.135021.
- Popa, C., Favier, L., Dinica, R., Semrany, S., Djelal, H., Bahrim, G., 2014. Potential of newly isolated wild Streptomyces strains as agents for the biodegradation of a recalcitrant pharmaceutical, carbamazepine. Environ. Technol. 35, 3082–3091. https://doi.org/ 10.1080/09593330.2014.931468.
- Radovic, T., Grujic, S., Petkovic, A., Dimkic, M., Lausevic, M., 2015. Determination of pharmaceuticals and pesticides in river sediments and corresponding surface and ground water in the Danube River and tributaries in Serbia. Environ. Monit. Assess. 187, 4092. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-014-4092-z.
- Ranke, J., Wöltjen, J., Meinecke, S., 2018. Comparison of software tools for kinetic evaluation of chemical degradation data. Environ. Sci. Eur. 30, 17. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s12302-018-0145-1.
- Schaffer, M., Licha, T., 2015. A framework for assessing the retardation of organic molecules in groundwater: implications of the species distribution for the sorption influenced transport. Sci. Total Environ. 524–525, 187–194. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. scitotenv.2015.04.006.
- Schmidtová, Z., Kodešová, R., Grabicová, K., Kočárek, M., Fér, M., Švecová, H., Klement, A., Nikodem, A., Grabic, R., 2020. Competitive and synergic sorption of carbamazepine, citalopram, clindamycin, fexofenadine, irbesartan and sulfamethoxazole in seven soils. J. Contam. Hydrol. 234, 103680. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jconhyd.2020.103680.
- Shao, Y., Yang, K., Jia, R., Tian, C., Zhu, Y., 2018. Degradation of triclosan and carbamazepine in two agricultural and garden soils with different textures amended with composted sewage sludge. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 15, 2557. https://doi. org/10.3390/ijerph15112557.
- Shen, G., Zhang, Y., Hu, S., Zhang, H., Yuan, Z., Zhang, W., 2018. Adsorption and degradation of sulfadiazine and sulfamethoxazole in an agricultural soil system under an anaerobic condition: kinetics and environmental risks. Chemosphere 194, 266–274. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.11.175.
- Shenker, M., Harush, D., Ben-Ari, J., Chefetz, B., 2011. Uptake of carbamazepine by cucumber plants – a case study related to irrigation with reclaimed wastewater. Chemosphere 82, 905–910. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2010.10.052.
- Šimůnek, J., van Genuchten, M.Th., Šejna, M., 2016. Recent developments and applications of the HYDRUS computer software packages. Vadose Zone J. 15 (8), 25. https://doi. org/10.2136/vzj2016.04.0033.
- Srinivasan, P., Sarmah, A.K., 2014. Dissipation of sulfamethoxazole in pasture soils as affected by soil and environmental factors. Sci. Total Environ. 479-480, 284–291. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.02.014.
- Stokes, H.W., Gillings, M.R., 2011. Gene flow, mobile genetic elements and the recruitment of antibiotic resistance genes into Gram-negative pathogens. FEMS Microbiol. Rev. 35, 790–819. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6976.2011.00273.x.
- Thelusmond, J.R., Strathmann, T.J., Cupples, A.M., 2016. The identification of carbamazepine biodegrading phylotypes and phylotypes sensitive to carbamazepine exposure in two soil microbial communities. Sci. Total Environ. 571, 1241–1252. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.07.154.
- Thelusmond, J.R., Kawka, E., Strathmann, T.J., Cupples, A.M., 2018. Diclofenac, carbamazepine and triclocarban biodegradation in agricultural soils and the microorganisms and metabolic pathways affected. Sci. Total Environ. 640–641, 1393–1410. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.05.403.
- Thelusmond, J.R., Strathmann, T.J., Cupples, A.M., 2019. Carbamazepine, triclocarban and triclosan biodegradation and the phylotypes and functional genes associated with xenobiotic degradation in four agricultural soils. Sci. Total Environ. 657, 1138–1149. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.12.145.
- Ungureanu, C.P., Favier, L., Bahrim, G., Amrane, A., 2015. Response surface optimization of experimental conditions for carbamazepine biodegradation by Streptomyces MIUG 4.89. New Biotechnol. 32 (3), 347–357. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nbt.2014.12.005.
- Vance, E.D., Brookes, P.C., Jenkinson, D.S., 1987. An extraction method for measuring soil microbial biomass-C. Soil Biol. Biochem. 19, 703–707. https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-0717(87)90052-6.
- Verlicchi, P., Zambello, E., 2015. Pharmaceuticals and personal care products in untreated and treated sewage sludge: occurrence and environmental risk in the case of application on soil - a critical review. Sci. Total Environ. 538, 750–767. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.08.108.
- Walters, E., McClellan, K., Halden, R.U., 2010. Occurrence and loss over three years of 72 pharmaceuticals and personal care products from biosolids-soil mixtures in outdoor mesocosms. Water Res. 44, 6011–6020. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2010.07.051.

- Wang, J., Wang, S., 2018. Microbial degradation of sulfamethoxazole in the environment. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 102 (8), 3573–3582. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-018-8845-4.
- Willers, C., Jansen van Rensburg, P.J., Claassens, S., 2015. Microbial signature lipid biomarker analysis - an approach that is still preferred, even amid various method mod-ifications. J. Appl. Microbiol. 118, 1251–1263. https://doi.org/10.1111/jam.12798.
- Winker, M., Clements, J., Reich, M., Gulyas, H., Otterpohl, R., 2010. Ryegrass uptake of carbamazepine and ibuprofen applied by urine fertilization. Sci. Total Environ. 408, 1902–1908. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2010.01.028.
- Wu, C., Spongberg, A.L., Witter, J.D., 2009. Sorption and biodegradation of selected antibiotics in biosolids. Clean - Soil, Air, Water 38 (3), 230-237. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 10934520902719779.
- Wu, Y., Williams, M., Smith, L., Chen, D., Kookana, R., 2012. Dissipation of sulfamethoxazole and trimethoprim antibiotics from manure-amended soils. J. Environ, Sci. Health
- B 47, 240–249. https://doi.org/10.1080/03601234.2012.636580.
   Wu, X., Ernst, F., Conkle, J.L., Gan, J., 2013. Comparative uptake and translocation of pharmaceutical and personal care products (PPCPs) by common vegetables. Environ. Int. 60, 15-22. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2013.07.015.

- Yu, Y., Liu, Y., Wu, L., 2013. Sorption and degradation of pharmaceuticals and personal care products (PPCPs) in soils. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 20, 4261–4267. https://doi. org/10.1007/s11356-012-1442-7.
- Zhang, Y., Xu, J., Zhong, Z., Guo, C., Li, L., He, Y., Fan, W., Chen, Y., 2013. Degradation of sulfonamides antibiotic in lake water and sediment. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 20, 2372–2380. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-012-1121-8.
- Zhang, Y., Hu, S., Zhang, H., Shen, G., Yuan, Z., Zhang, W., 2017. Degradation kinetics and mechanism of sulfadiazine and sulfamethoxazole in an agricultural soil system with manure application. Sci. Total Environ. 607–608, 1348–1356. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.07.083.
- Zhi, D., Yang, D., Zheng, Y., Yang, Y., He, Y., Luo, L., Zhou, Y., 2019. Current progress in the adsorption, transport and biodegradation of antibiotics in soil. J. Environ. Manag. 251, 109598. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2019.109598.