EXPOSURE DRAFT

PROPOSED UNIFORM ACCOUNTANCY ACT

DECEMBER 2, 1990

Prepared by the State Legislation Committee Model Bill Task Force, American Institute of Certified Public Accountants; the Model Bill and Model Rules Committee, National Association of State Boards of Accountancy; and the Conference Committee on Uniformity of Regulation of the Accounting Profession Model Bill Task Force

Comments should be received by June 30, 1991, and addressed to AICPA State Legislation Department, 1455 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W., Ste. 400, Washington, D.C. 20004–1007

National Association of State Boards of Accountancy, Inc., 545 Fifth Ave., New York, N.Y. 10017–3698

MEMORANDUM

DATE: December 2, 1990

TO: Those Interested in State Accountancy Legislation

FROM: Thomas W. Rimerman, Chairman, AICPA
Jerome P. Solomon, President, NASBA

RE: Uniform Accountancy Act Exposure Draft

An exposure draft of the Uniform Accountancy Act accompanies this memorandum.

This draft Uniform Act was prepared by the AICPA State Legislation Committee's Model Bill Task Force, NASBA Model Bill and Model Rules Committee and the Conference Committee on Uniformity of Regulation of the Accounting Profession Model Bill Task Force. The purpose of the revisions included in the draft is to update the 1984 AICPA/NASBA Model Public Accountancy Bill.

Scope of Current Revisions

Beginning with this edition, the Uniform Accountancy Act will be designed as a living document. The current revisions address some of the most important issues facing the profession, such as the 150-hour education requirement, continuing professional education for all certificate and permit holders, quality review and legal liability issues.

The current edition does not make any revision to the 1984 Model Bill with regard to such issues as foreign reciprocity, code of conduct, commissions and contingent fees, independence, non-CPA ownership, specializations and corporate form of practice. Future editions of the Uniform Accountancy Act may revise or add sections on those subjects. To ensure that the Uniform Act will remain "evergreen," it will be published and amended in loose-leaf format.

In the exposure draft, additions to the 1984 Bill are underlined and deletions are stricken. Text of the statutory sections are in boldface type. Commentary is in ordinary type.

During the revision process, which began in 1989, comments were sought from AICPA and NASBA committees and from other interested parties. In recommending changes, the AICPA and

NASBA participants have attempted to follow the legislative policies formulated by their respective organizations rather than chart a new course.

Listed below is a synopsis of each of the issues in which changes were recommended or made to the Uniform Act.

Title/Preface

The Title has been changed to emphasize the goal of uniformity and to reflect the broader scope of the revised Uniform Act. A sentence outlining the objectives of the Act has been added to the Preface. Further changes to the Preface will be made after the exposure period.

Introduction, Purpose and Format

The purpose and introduction have been slightly modified to reflect the broader scope of the Uniform Act revisions. For example, the introduction now includes reference to the need for continuing professional education for those certificate holders not in public practice. The new format for the Uniform Act is set out along with an explanation of the scope of the current revisions and statement of issues which have not been dealt with in the current round of revisions.

<u>Definition of Practice - Section 3</u>

Language has been added to the definition of practice of public accountancy to include financial planning. The change will make it clear that financial advisory services are a part of the practice of public accountancy.

Education - Section 5

Two changes have been made to the education section of the Uniform Act. The first changes the language of the minimum education required to become a CPA from a baccalaureate degree plus thirty additional hours of study to at least 150 hours of college education including a baccalaureate or higher degree. This change was made to conform with the new model 150-hour education statute.

The second change removes the provision which allows candidates to sit for the examination before completing their education. The change is in accord with a recommendation made by the Accounting Education Change Commission. In addition, the AICPA education policy has been changed to require completion of education requirements before candidates may apply to take the examination. The change will make it easier for boards to determine whether candidates have completed their education. The change will also allow students to concentrate on their

senior-year curriculum rather than prepare for the CPA examination.

Examinations - Section 5

The language of the current Model Bill under which state boards "may" use the AICPA Uniform Examination and Advisory Grading Service has been retained; however the comments have been modified to stress the need for uniformity regarding the examination. The section has been modified to provide flexibility as to exam subjects to accommodate changes that will be made to the examination. The changes are minor and were made at the request of the Board of Examiners so that the Act will conform to the terminology to be used in the restructured examination.

Continuing Professional Education - Section 5 & 6

The committees reviewing the Uniform Act recommend a number of changes to the provisions which deal with the continuing professional education requirements. The first change would recognize the importance of specialized CPE courses to CPAs who offer services other than traditional public accounting courses to their clients. The provision would also meet the needs of CPAs in industry and assist them in maintaining their professional expertise. This provision will permit maximum flexibility regarding CPE credit and eliminate barriers to reciprocity.

The committees also recommend changes to Section 5 and 6 of the Uniform Act to require CPAs not in public practice to complete 90 hours of CPE every three years, as well as CPAs in public practice to complete 120 hours of CPE every three years. These changes were made by adding a new subsection, (i), to Section 5. This new subsection would make the CPA certificate subject to renewal on a one, two or three year basis in the same way the permit to practice will be renewed. In order for people not in public practice to keep their certificates they would be required to take 90 hours of CPE in the previous three years, with a minimum of 15 hours each year. Those CPAs in public practice would renew their permits. In renewing their permits, their certificates would automatically be renewed. To renew a permit 120 hours of CPE would be required with a minimum of 20 hours each year.

Reciprocity - Section 6

The committees made two additional changes to Section 6 of the Uniform Act. These two changes deal with reciprocity of individual permits. The first is to change from four to five years the experience requirement for receiving a reciprocal permit to prevent the problem of candidates going to a state without the 150-hour education requirement to receive a certificate and then returning to the 150-hour state to receive a permit.

The second change is to make the certificate as well as the permit to practice reciprocal. This change will accommodate those licensees who have received their initial certificate from a one-tier state. One-tier states require the renewal of both the certificate and permit to practice. The current Model Bill only provides of reciprocity for permits. Under the current Model Bill, those who hold certificates in one-tier states would be required to keep their certification in effect in the original state even after relocating to a two-tier state.

Quality Review - Section 7

Optional Section 7(g) has been modified to specify that quality reviews which may be required under the subsection need be performed no more frequently than once every three years. The commentary has been rewritten to state the policies of the AICPA and NASBA. The commentary notes that the AICPA believes quality review materials should be confidential and that the board should not have access to reports, workpapers, the letter of comments and response developed in the course of equivalent reviews that are accepted by the board.

Temporary Transfer and Retention of Workpapers - Section 19

A minor change has been made to Section 19(a) to make clear that CPAs must temporarily transfer material needed to perform quality reviews. A new subsection has been added to Section 19 to make clear that the section does not impose any additional record-keeping burden. The CPA or firm need only retain workpapers for the period already required under applicable state law.

Legal Liability - New Sections

Two new sections on legal liability are recommended for inclusion in the Uniform Act. These two new sections will fall at the end of the Act, just before the Old Section 20 - Construction; Severability. The first new Section is privity and the second is a statute of limitations. The statute of limitations is set at one year from the date of discovery of the alleged act or omission or three years from the date of the service, whichever comes first.

Comments or suggestions on any aspect of the draft Act will be welcomed, but those directed to the particular features of the Act described above will be of particular interest.

Comments should be sent to the AICPA State Legislation Department, 1455 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Ste. 400, Washington, D.C. 20004 or to National Association of State Boards of Accountancy, Inc., 545 Fifth Avenue, New York, NY 10017-3698 and should be received by June 30, 1991 for consideration by the Committees.

Thomas W. Rimerman

Chairman

American Institute of

Certified Public Accountants

Jerome P. Solomon

President

National Association of

State Boards of

Accountancy

The following committees developed this exposure draft:

AICPA State Legislation Committee Model Bill Task Force Model Rules Committee

NASBA Model Bill and

Jimmie Lee Mason, Chrm. Thomas M. Feeley Albert E. Cartlidge Kathy G. Eddy Robert Lawrence Garrity Larry S. Kamanitz

Robert E. Billings, CPA, Chrm. Milton Brown, PA C. Kenneth Cobb, CPA Ralph A. Cordova, CPA V. Frank Kadlec, CPA Noel P. Kirch, CPA H. William Kuehl, Jr., CPA Joseph J. Renda, PA Michael M. Vekich, CPA H. Lawrence Yancey, Esq.

Staff

John M. Sharbaugh Virgil W. Webb

Staff

Lisa Axisa

Conference Committee on Uniformity of Regulation of the Accounting Profession Model Bill Task Force

Jerome P. Solomon, Chairman Gerald E. Finnell B. Bradford Floyd Gerald A. Polansky

Staff

James E. Thomashower

This exposure draft has been sent to:

- Members of AICPA Council 0
- State board of accountancy chairmen and administrators 0
- Officers and members of selected NASBA committees 0
- State CPA society presidents, chairmen of legislation committees, and executive directors
- 0 Members of the AICPA State Legislation Committee, State Legislation Area Planning Subcommittees and other selected committees
- National accounting firms and a sample of smaller firms 0
- 0 Members of IFAC Committee on Education
- Others who have requested copies

Foreword

This <u>Model Bill</u> <u>Uniform Accountancy Act</u> was approved for publication by the Boards of Directors of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) and the National Association of State Boards of Accountancy (NASBA). It was prepared by the AICPA-NASBA Special Committee on Model Accountancy Bill.

_____, 1990

Contents

Section		Page
	PREFACE	4
	INTRODUCTORY COMMENTS	6
	The Fundamental Principles That Should Govern the Regulation of Public Accountancy	6
	Implementation of the Governing Principles in the Model Public Accountancy Act Uniform Accountancy Act	9
	A Note About Format	11
	MODEL PUBLIC ACCOUNTANCY BILL UNIFORM ACCOUNTANCY	ACT
1	Title	13
2	Purpose	13
3	Definitions	14
4	State Board of Accountancy	19
5	Certified Public Accountants	24
6	Permits to PracticeIndividual	28
7	Permits to PracticeFirms	32
8	Public Accountants and Firms of Public Accountants	36
9	Appointment of Secretary of State as Agent	37
10	Enforcement Against Holders of Certificates and Permits	37
11	Enforcement ProceduresInvestigations	39
12	Enforcement ProceduresHearings by the Board	40
13	Reinstatement	43

14	Unlawful Acts	44
15	Injunctions Against Unlawful Acts	49
16	Criminal Penalties	49
17	Single Act Evidence of Practice	49
18	Confidential Communications	50
19	Licensees' Working Papers; Clients' Records	50
20	Privity of Contract	51
21	Uniform Statute of Limitations	52
22	Construction; Severability	53
23	Repeal of Prior Law	53
24	Effective Date	54
	APPENDIX A: Legislative Policy (Annotated), American Institute of Certified Public Accountants	54
	ACCOUNTAILS	J4

Preface

The modern public accounting profession originated in Great Britain during the latter half of the nineteenth century. In 1896 the New York state legislature passed the first law creating the title "certified public accountant," thereby setting the pattern for state government regulation of the public accounting profession in the United States.

As with other professions, the public accounting profession is built upon a statutory foundation providing for the examination and licensing of members of the profession, and for the regulation of their professional conduct. All CPAs are examined, licensed, and regulated under state accountancy laws, and there is such a law in every American jurisdiction.

A model bill to regulate the practice of public accountancy was first published in 1916 by the American Institute of Accountants, the predecessor of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA), the national membership organization of certified public accountants. A substantial majority of the state accountancy laws now in force follow, in their principal provisions, the example provided by AICPA model accountancy bills.

The National Association of State Boards of Accountancy (NASBA) in April 1980 published a Model Public Accountancy Act reflecting legislative policies that had been worked out over the course of a number of years. It was appropriate that NASBA develop legislative policies because its members, the individual state boards, which have responsibility for administering existing laws, are often called upon to make recommendations to the state legislatures with regard to possible amendments to such laws, both in connection with "Sunset Law" reviews and otherwise.

In January 1983, a Committee of AICPA and NASBA was formed and charged with combining and harmonizing, to the extent possible, the models separately developed by the AICPA and NASBA, so that a single bill could be jointly issued by both organizations: The present Model Public Accountancy Bill is the result of that effort. It is intended as a forward-looking document, with provisions that both the public accounting profession and the general public should deem worthy of adoption as law.

Differing requirements for CPA certification, reciprocity, temporary practice, and other aspects of state accountancy legislation in the fifty-four American licensing jurisdictions (the fifty states, Puerto Rico, the District of Columbia, the U.S. Virgin Islands, and Guam) constitute artificial barriers to the interstate practice and mobility of certified public accountants. The Model Bill Uniform Act seeks to eliminate such differences and the barriers that they pose to effective practice of public accountancy under modern conditions.

Many of the organizations requiring the professional services of public accountants transact business on an interstate, and even on an international, basis; as a result, the practice of public

accountancy typically extends across state lines, and often, international boundaries as well. Thus, there is compelling need for the enactment of uniform state accountancy laws that foster rather than inhibit interstate professional practice and for laws that provide appropriately for international practice.

The Model Bill Uniform Act here offered is drafted as a single comprehensive piece of legislation that could be adopted in place of existing public accountancy laws. Because there is an accountancy law now in effect in every jurisdiction, however, the Model Bill Uniform Act is also designed to the extent possible with separable provisions, so that particular parts of this bill could, with appropriate amendments, be added to existing laws instead of replacing such laws entirely.

The Model Bill Uniform Act reflects applicable AICPA and NASBA legislative policies. The principal AICPA legislative policy, as approved by its governing Council, is set out (in annotated form)

in Appendix A.

The Uniform Accountancy Act is designed to achieve several objectives. As the name of the Act suggests, the Act advances the goal of uniformity. In addition the Act's provisions protect the public interest and promote high professional standards.

Introductory Comments

The Fundamental Principles That Should Govern the Regulation of Public Accountancy

The fundamental principles of the AICPA's and NASBA's legislative policies, and of the resulting Model Bill Uniform Act, are few, and can be simply stated.

First, statutory regulation of public accountancy, as of any other profession or occupation, is justified only by considerations of the public interest. The public interest must be a substantial one, since regulation necessarily involves restrictions on who can practice public accountancy and the manner in which it is practiced. The conventional formulation is that regulatory legislation must be reasonably designed to protect the public health, safety, or welfare; the practice of public accountancy has a significant impact on the public welfare.

Second, appropriately designed regulation of public accountancy serves to protect the public welfare in two principal ways: by providing reasonable assurance of competence on the part of persons and entities that perform those services that require a substantial degree of skill and competence for proper performance and regarding which the consequences of inadequate performance may be of serious dimension; and (b) by preventing deception of the public regarding the level of competence that may reasonably expected of a given practitioner. A central element in the protection of the public welfare through the regulation of public accountancy is prevention of circumstances in which persons who are not themselves in a position to judge the competence of a particular practitioner or the reliability of particular financial information may be induced to rely on assurances of such competence or reliability (explicit or implied) that are not reasonably supported in fact. Third-party reliance--reliance by persons not themselves clients of the public accountants whose professional work is relied on--is characteristic of public accountancy, giving particular force to the need for its regulation in the public interest.

Third, although an expectation of some minimal level of competence is involved when a person or entity is engaged to perform services for hire, whatever the services may be, the degree to which such an expectation involves a substantial public interest and, in consequence, the degree to which it justifies legal regulation, varies significantly with both the level of skill required for adequate performance of the service, and the range and severity of adverse consequences that may derive from inadequate performance. Among the many different professional services included in the practice of public accountancy, one is, to a far greater degree than any other, affected by considerations of competence, namely, the expression of formal professional opinions

upon financial statements--familiarly known as the audit function. Not only does the expression of opinions on financial statements call for the greatest breadth and most intense development of the professional skills employed in the practice of public accountancy, but it invites the highest degree of reliance by the widest segment of the public. When auditing services are not competently and properly performed, the breadth and severity of the possible adverse consequences are far greater than those attendant upon other public accountancy services.

For these reasons, the keystone of the <u>Model Bill Uniform Act</u> is reservation of the audit function to licensees. The <u>Model Bill Uniform Act</u> does not include provisions for licensing any other class of practitioner than those who have demonstrated their qualifications to perform the audit function.

A professional service similar in nature to the audit function, although differing in the level of assurance implied, is the conduct of "reviews" of financial statements and the issuance of reports upon such reviews. Formal standards have been promulgated by the AICPA in a series of Statements on Standards for Accounting and Review Services (SSARS), and reviews conducted in accordance with such standards may call upon the same level of knowledge as does an audit. Although the degree of assurance (explicit and implied) in reports upon reviews purporting to comply with AICPA's formal standards is less than that expressed and implied by reports represented to be based upon an audit, the issuance of such reports is restricted to persons who have demonstrated the qualifications necessary to perform the audit function.

Still another professional service, founded on the same array of skills and the same level of knowledge as audits, but not involving any explicit assurance, is the issuance of reports on "compilations" of financial statements. Again, formal standards have been promulgated in the SSARS pronouncements for the conduct of such compilations and for reports thereon. A danger of innocent reliance on the implicit representations of skill and assurances of reliability of such reports exists if they are issued by persons not having the professional qualifications that such reports imply.

Accordingly, this <u>Model Bill Uniform Act</u> extends the reservation of the audit function to include the issuance of reports on both reviews and compilations when those reports are in standard form, and prescribed by authoritative pronouncements, so as to imply assurances and the professional qualifications underlying such assurances.

Fourth, the requirements for licensing persons to perform the professional services thus reserved should be designed to provide significant assurance that those who undertake to perform such have at least minimum level of services a professional qualification for adequate performance. Two means are commonly employed to provide this kind of assurance of competence (not only with respect to the public accounting profession, but other professions as well): (a) Reserve the performance of the services in question to persons licensed to do so; and (b) require, as a condition of such licensing, demonstration of skill and knowledge,

typically by means of examinations, education requirements, and, in some instances, experience requirements. Uniformity of the required demonstration of skill and competence among licensees within a given state and those of different states is obviously desirable from the public interest point of view. In the interest of equity, legislatures of most jurisdictions have made provisions for "grandfathering" persons who, though they had not met the requirements for issuance of a certificate as certified public accountant, were nonetheless engaged in unregulated audit work when the licensing law became effective. Because relatively few jurisdictions exist without "grandfathering" provisions, this Model Bill Uniform Act does not include a provision for a new "grandfathered" entitlement to perform the audit function. It does, however, contain provisions to deal with such a class of public accountants where the prior law established such a class.

Fifth, an effective regulatory plan will also prohibit persons who have not met the licensing requirements from representing to the public that they have done so, thus protecting the public against incompetence and deception. Provisions should be designed to prevent would-be practitioners from representing to the public, directly or indirectly, that they have a higher degree of competence than they in fact command.

Sixth, the need to assure the public of reasonable competence and the need to protect the public against deception combine to support regulation of the conduct of persons who have been licensed for the audit function, even in their performance of non-audit work, which unlicensed persons may also perform. If a given person has demonstrated the high level of competence required for licensure, even though the license has its central justification and purpose in the performance of the audit function, nonetheless the qualifications required to be demonstrated in order to merit such a license will reasonably support expectations that the licensee has special competence in other areas of practice as well. Such a reasonable expectation of special competence in other areas than the one for which a license is specifically required calls for regulation of the professional conduct of licensees in all of the areas to which such an expectation applies.

Seventh, the need to assure the public of reasonable competence supports the requirement that all certificate and permit holders, including those not in public practice, maintain professional competence in their area of responsibility through continuing professional education. Also, the public interest demands that those not in public practice take continuing professional education because they may return to public practice. The provisions for such education should provide for wide latitude in selection of continuing education courses and should prescribe full credit for any course that contributes to the general professional competence of the licensee.

Seventh Eighth, and finally, it is desirable that there be, to the maximum extent feasible, uniformity among jurisdictions with regard to those aspects of the regulatory structure that bear upon the qualifications required of licensees. Because many of the

clients for public accountancy services are multistate enterprises, much of the practice of public accountancy has an interstate character; consequently, practitioners must be able to move freely between states. The need for interstate mobility and maintenance of high minimum standards of competence in the public interest requires uniform licensing qualifications, insofar as possible, among the states.

In the interest of obtaining maximum uniformity, interstate mobility and to assure that CPAs are subject to only one regulatory scheme, the Uniform Act should be adopted as the exclusive source of regulation for certificate holders.

Implementation of the Governing Principles in the Model Bill Uniform Accountancy Act

Reflecting the fundamental principles just discussed, following are the key features of the Model Bill Uniform Act.

- 1. The only kinds of professional services for which licensing is required are (a) the audit function—the expression of opinions on financial statements; (b) the issuance of reports in standard form upon reviews of financial statements; and (c) the issuance of reports in standard form upon compilations of financial statements. (See section 3(i), defining the term "report"; and sections 14(a), (b) and (c), respectively prohibiting unlicensed persons from issuing reports on audits, reviews, and compilations of financial statements.) Anyone, whether licensed or not, may offer and perform any other kind of accounting service, including tax services, management advisory services, and the preparation of financial statements without such reports. (See section 14(a).)
- 2. In order to perform the audit function, one must have a permit to practice (under section 6 for individuals or section 7 for firms). A principal qualification for a permit to practice is a certificate as certified public accountant (issuance of which is governed by section 5). The process of granting this certificate the professional is the principal means of determining qualifications of persons who are to be licensed to perform the audit function. However, since not everyone who obtains a certificate will necessarily wish to engage in (or remain in) the practice of public accountancy, the certificate itself does not constitute the license necessary to practice. In order to secure an individual permit, one may be required to have, in addition to a certificate, a specified amount of experience in the practice of public accountancy (shown as an optional provision, section 6(c)(2), in this Model Bill Uniform Act); and in order to renew the permit, which must be done every two three years, one must have completed a specified amount of continuing professional education (section 6(e).) regardless of whether one is in public of continuing practice (section 6(e)) or not in public practice (section 5(i)). In thus providing for both certificates and permits, the Model Bill Uniform Act, like some, though not all, existing accountancy laws, involves a "two-tier" system.

- 3. In order to facilitate interstate practice and free movement of practitioners between states, a provision is made for reciprocal recognition of licenses issued by other states. This is done by providing for the issuance of a <u>certificate and/or a</u> permit to practice to a holder of a certificate of another state upon a demonstration that the qualifications for the other state's certificate were comparable to those of the state where the permit is to be issued, or, if they are not closely comparable, allowing for a demonstration of experience in the practice of public accountancy as a substitute for the comparable qualifications (section 6(d)).
- 4. The Model Bill Uniform Act includes provisions that would preserve a class of "grandfathered" practitioners licensed to use the title "public accountant" and to perform the audit function, where an existing accountancy law to be superseded by the Model Bill Uniform Act has provided for such licensing (section 8), but would not provide for the creation of any new such class where it had not existed under prior law. There are six states where the accountancy law currently in effect, though providing for the issuance of CPA certificates, does not restrict unlicensed persons from performing any sort of professional accounting service, including the audit function. If those states should decide to change to a form of accountancy law that restricts the audit function to licensees, like all other American jurisdictions, the recommendation implicit in this Model Bill Uniform Act is that they not create any second class of licensees, "grandfathered" or other. There are some states where a provision is currently made for a second class of licensees, given exclusive right to use a particular title but not the right to perform the audit function. Because no public interest is served by such a second class of licensees, this Model Bill Uniform Act contains no such provision.
- 5. Once licensed, holders of permits to practice are subject to regulation in their performance of the full array of professional services constituting the practice of public accountancy, even with respect to those services for which a license is not required and regarding which, in consequence, other persons are entirely unregulated under the Bill Act; although in the interest of avoiding unduly broad application of such regulation, definition of the practice of public accountancy requires a holding out to the public that one has a certificate or permit. (See section 3(g), defining the "practice of public accountancy"; section 14(j), prohibiting only holders of certificates from practicing public accountancy without a permit; section 4(h)(4), directing the State Board of Accountancy to promulgate rules of professional conduct governing the practice of public accountancy; section 10(a)(5), providing that dishonesty, gross negligency, or fraud in the practice of public accountancy is grounds for disciplinary action.)
- 6. In order to prevent misleading the public regarding the qualifications or licensure status of persons who are not licensed, the Model Bill Uniform Act contains a series of prohibitions on the use by unlicensed persons or firms of titles restricted to holders

of certificates or permits under the Act, or titles misleadingly similar to such titles (see sections 14(d)-(i)).

- 7. The Model Bill Uniform Act contemplates that, as with most accountancy laws now in effect, responsibility for administration and implementation will be vested in a state Board of Accountancy (section 4). The board administers examinations and issues certificates (section 5); issues permits (sections 6 and 7); promulgates rules that govern the conduct of licensees and that otherwise implement the Act (section 4(h)); and has principal responsibility for disciplinary enforcement (sections 10-13, 15).
- 8. The desirability of uniformity among jurisdictions, mentioned above as one of the fundamental principles of both the AICPA's and NASBA's legislative policies, is recognized in the Model Bill Uniform Act provisions dealing with such matters as education and experience requirements for initial licensing (sections 5(c) and 6(c)), and the continuing professional education requirements for the renewal of certificates and permits to practice (sections 5(i) and 6(e)). As mentioned in the comments following several of these provisions, they are framed in a substantially more detailed fashion than might otherwise be expected (dealing with matters that might often be addressed by regulation rather than statute) in order to encourage uniformity among the various states.

A Note About Format

Beginning with this edition, the Uniform Accountancy Act will be designed as a living document. The current revision addresses some of the most important issues facing the profession, such as the 150-hour education requirement, continuing professional education for all certificate and permit holders, quality review and legal liability issues. The current edition does not make any revisions in the 1984 edition of the Model Bill with regard to such issues as foreign reciprocity, code of conduct, commissions and contingent fees, independence, non-CPA ownership, specializations and corporate form of practice. Future editions of the Uniform Accountancy Act may revise or add sections on those subjects. To ensure that the Model Bill Uniform Act will remain "Evergreen," it will be published and amended in looseleaf format.

The Model Bill Uniform Act comprises the complete text of a statute that could be adopted in place of any accountancy law now in effect, with explanatory comments (not intended to be enacted as part of the law) following some provisions and printed in sans serif type. It may happen that a particular legislature will be interested in considering, not a complete new law but only certain provisions, to be substituted for or added to provisions of the law already in effect. An effort has been made to make the provisions of the Model Bill Uniform Act readily adaptable for this purpose. However, in the event of piecemeal adoption, it is likely that changes in particular provisions will be required in order to

tailor them to the terminology and structure of the existing legislation. The comments attempt to identify important matters that might need to be considered in such circumstances, but no effort has been made to identify every point regarding which adaptation might be required; that can better be done (and in any event would have to be done) when particular legislation is actually under consideration.

Whether the Model Bill Uniform Act is considered for adoption wholly or only in part, adjustments may also be appropriate in light of other laws in effect in the particular state in question. Some provisions included in the Model Bill Uniform Act may be unnecessary, for example, because they are covered by other laws of general applicability, such as a state administrative procedure act. Other provisions may be at odds with the way a particular matter is generally dealt with in the state—for example, the authority of licensing boards, or their procedures, or their composition. Again, the comments attempt to identify the principal points requiring consideration in this regard.

Two provisions in the <u>Model Bill Uniform Act</u> are presented as optional ones, because they are ones on which the legislative policies of the two sponsoring organizations differ. One such optional provision is an experience requirement as a condition of issuance of an individual permit to practice (section 6(c)(2)). This provision, like certain lesser matters on which this <u>Model Bill Uniform Act</u> presents specific choices, is flagged by brackets. The other optional provision is one contemplating a requirement for quality reviews as a condition of renewal of firm permits (section 7(g)), which appears in a footnote following section 7(f).

Model Public Accountancy Bill

Uniform Accountancy Act

An Act to provide for the issuance of certificates as certified public accountants and the issuance of permits to practice public accountancy; to regulate the practice of public accountancy in the public interest; and to establish a Board of Accountancy and prescribe its powers and duties.

SECTION 1 TITLE

This Act may be cited as the "Public Accountancy Act of 19 ."

SECTION 2 PURPOSE

It is the policy of this State, and the purpose of this Act, to promote the reliability of information that is used for guidance in financial transactions or for accounting for or assessing the financial status or performance of commercial, noncommercial, and governmental enterprises. The public interest requires that persons professing special competence in accountancy or offering assurance as to the reliability or fairness of presentation of such information shall have demonstrated their qualifications to do so, and that no persons who have not demonstrated and maintained such qualifications, including certificate holders not in public practice, be permitted to hold themselves out as having such special competence or to offer such assurance that the professional conduct of persons licensed as having special competence in accountancy be regulated in all aspects of the practice of public accountancy; that a public authority competent to prescribe and assess the qualifications and to regulate the professional conduct of practitioners of public accountancy be established; and that the use of titles relating to the practice of public accountancy that are likely to mislead the public as to the status or competence of the persons using such titles be prohibited.

 COMMENT. This statement of legislative purposes reflects the fundamental principles governing the regulation of public accountancy and holders of certificates as certified public accountants who are not in public practice discussed in the introductory comments.

SECTION 3 DEFINITIONS

When used in this Act, the following terms have the meanings indicated:

 (a) "Board" means the _____ Board of Accountancy established under Section 4 of this Act or its predecessor under prior law.

COMMENT. The general purpose of references to prior law, in this provision and others below, is to assure maximum continuity in the regulatory system, except where particular changes are specifically intended to be brought about by amendment of the law.

 (b) "Certificate" means a certificate as "certified public accountant" issued under Section 5 of this Act or corresponding provisions of prior law, or a corresponding certificate as certified public accountant issued after examination under the law of any other state.

COMMENT. The term here defined is used in section 3(h), defining the term quality review; section 4(a), regarding the composition of the board of accountancy; section 4(h)(6), regarding board rules governing use, by holders of certificates who do not also hold permits, of the titles certified public accountant and CPA; section 6(c), regarding the prerequisites for a permit to practice; section 10(a), regarding enforcement proceedings; section 14(d), prohibiting use of the titles certified public accountant and CPA by persons not holding certificates; and section 14(j), regarding the practice of public accountancy by certificate holders who do not hold a permit.

In a few states the law allows for the issuance of "certificates" to certain practitioners who have not passed the examination ordinarily required (and provided for by section 5 of this Model Bill Uniform Act). The definition of the term certificate, insofar as it has reference to those issued by other states, excludes any certificate for which an examination was not required.

(c) "Firm" means a sole proprietorship, a corporation, or a partnership.

COMMENT. This defined term is used in section 7, on permits to practice for firms, in such a way as to allow the $\frac{Model Bill}{Uniform Act}$, unlike some accountancy laws now in effect, to treat both partnerships and corporations in a single provision rather than in two separate but parallel provisions for the two different forms of organization. It is also used in section 12(j), on rights of appeal from an adverse board decision in an enforcement proceeding; sections 14(a)-(c), prohibiting issuance of reports on

financial statements by unlicensed persons and firms; sections 14(e), (g), (h), and (i), regarding use of certain titles by unlicensed persons and firms; section 14(k), regarding misleading firm names; and section 14(l), defining certain rights of foreign licensees to serve foreign clients.

Inclusion of sole proprietorships in the definition of the term firm has the effect of requiring sole practitioners to secure both individual permits to practice under section 6 and firm permits to practice under section 7. This will assure that all practice units have firm permits. The board would have the power to alleviate the burden of duplicate permit applications (where the same person must secure both an individual and a firm permit) by providing for joint application forms.

(d) "He," "his," and "him" mean, where applicable, the corresponding feminine and neuter pronouns also.

COMMENT. In some states there may be a statute of general application which specifies that personal pronouns are interchangeable whenever used in a statute; in such a state, this provision would not be necessary in the public accountancy act.

(e) "Licensee" means the holder of a certificate issued under Section 5 of this Act, or of a permit issued under Sections 6 or 7; or, in each case, a certificate or permit issued under corresponding provisions of prior law.

COMMENT. This term is intended simply to allow for briefer references in provisions that apply to both holders of certificates and holders of permits: See section 3(g), defining practice of public accountancy; section 4(h), regarding rules to be promulgated by the board of accountancy; section 5(b), regarding the meaning of "good character" in relation to the professional responsibility of a licensee; sections 11(c) and (d), regarding board investigations; sections 12(a)-(c), (i), and (k), relating to hearings by the board; section 18, relating to confidential communications; and sections 19(a) and (b), regarding licensees' working papers and clients' records.

One place where the term is not used even though it could be is the caption of section 10, Enforcement Against Holders of Certificates and Permits; there, it seems desirable to use the fuller, more informative phrase.

- (f) "Permit" means a permit to practice public accountancy issued under Sections 6 or 7 of this Act or corresponding provisions of prior law or under corresponding provisions of the laws of other states.
- (g) "Practice of (or practicing) public accountancy" means the performance or the offering to perform by a person or firm holding itself out to the public as a licensee, for a client or potential client, of one or more kinds of services

involving the use of accounting or auditing skills, including the issuance of reports on financial statements, or of one or more kinds of management advisory, financial advisory or consulting services, or the preparation of tax returns or the furnishing of advice on tax matters.

2

3

4

5 6 7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27 28

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41 42

43

44

45

46

47 48

49

50

51 52 COMMENT. The principal purpose of this definition is to describe, inclusively, the range of services with respect to which licensees under the bill are subject to regulation. The term is used, and the definition has operative significance, for this purpose in section 4(h)(4), regarding rules of professional conduct to be promulgated by the board; section 10(a)(5), specifying dishonesty, fraud, or gross negligence in the practice of public accountancy as grounds for sanctions against licensees; section 10(a)(10), regarding conduct reflecting adversely on a licensee's fitness to practice; section 14(j), requiring certificate holders to hold a permit as well before engaging in the practice of public accountancy; section 14(k), prohibiting use of misleading professional or firm names by holders of permits; and section 14(1), making clear the entitlements of holders of foreign licenses whose activities are limited to serving foreign clients. The term is also used in a more general, shorthand descriptive fashion, in section 3(f), defining permit, and sections 6(a) and 7(a) providing for issuance of permits; section 3(h), defining the term quality review; the optional provision section 6(c)(2), regarding the experience required for an individual permit to practice; section 6(d)(2)(C), regarding experience requirements for certain applicants for "reciprocal" permits to practice; section 7(f), requiring applicants for firm permits to list other states in which they are practicing public accountancy; section 10(a)(2), which makes reference, in an enforcement context, to licenses to practice in another state; and section 14(1), dealing with the permissible scope of activities by holders of foreign licenses.

It bears emphasis that, by reason of the broad definition of practice of public accountancy, and the manner in which the defined term is used in operative provisions of the bill, licensees are subject to regulation in a wide range of activities as to which nonlicensees are subject to no regulation at all. The key provision in this regard is section 14(j), which prohibits any holder of a certificate, but no one else, from engaging in the practice of public accountancy without a permit. This requirement that certificate holders have a permit applies to any aspect of the practice of public accountancy as broadly defined, even though it may be other than audit practice and even though it may be ancillary to some other principal occupation. However, the definition of practice of public accountancy is not so broad as to extend to otherwise unrestricted services when they are performed or offered by a person who, although holding a certificate, is not encouraging clients or customers to rely on that fact, by holding himself out to the public as a certificate holder. This requirement of "holding out" is intended to prevent application of the law to

 regulate persons who, though they have a certificate, are not making use of it in connection with their business activities. Section 4(h)(5) gives the board authority to issue rules specifying actions and circumstances constituting such a "holding out."

(h) "Quality Review" means a study, appraisal, or review of one or more aspects of the professional work of a person or firm in the practice of public accountancy, by a person or persons who hold certificates and who are not affiliated with the person or firm being reviewed.

COMMENT. This defined term, which includes but is not limited to what is sometimes also referred to as a peer review, is employed in section 4(h)(7), which empowers the board to issue rules prescribing how such reviews are to be performed; the optional provision, section 7(g) (which appears in a footnote following section 7(f)), contemplating such reviews in connection with renewals of firm permits; section 10(b), specifying that such reviews are available as remedies in enforcement proceedings; section 13(c), providing that the board may require such reviews as a condition of reinstatement after a suspension or revocation of a certificate or permit; and section 18, on confidential communications, which recognizes an exception for quality reviews. The rules issued by the board under section 4(h)(7) would presumably prescribe, among other things, how the requirement of independence, or nonaffiliation, of the reviewer to the person or firm being reviewed is to be implemented.

(i) "Report," when used with reference to financial statements, means an opinion, report, or other form of language that states or implies assurance as to the reliability of any financial statements and that also includes or is accompanied by any statement or implication that the person or firm issuing it has special knowledge or competence in accounting or auditing. Such a statement or implication of special knowledge or competence may arise from use by the issuer of the report of names or titles indicating that he or it is an accountant or auditor, or from the language of the report itself. The term "report" includes any form of language which disclaims an opinion when such form of language conventionally understood to imply any positive assurance as to the reliability of the financial statements referred to and/or special competence on the part of the person or firm issuing such language; and it includes any other form of language that is conventionally understood to imply such assurance and/or such special knowledge or competence.

COMMENT. As has been explained in the introductory comments, the audit function, which this term is intended to define, is the principal kind of professional accounting service for which a

license would be required under the Model Bill Uniform Act. The term has its most important operative use in section 14(a) of the Bill, which prohibits persons not licensed from performing that function. See also sections 14(b) and (c).

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37 38

39

40 41 42

43 44

45

46

It is a point of fundamental significance that the audit function is defined, not in terms of the work actually done, but rather in terms of the issuance of an opinion or a report--that is, the making of assertions, explicit or implied--about work that has been done. It is such reports, or assertions, upon which persons using financial statements (whether clients or third parties) rely, reliance being invited by the assertion, whether explicit or by implication, of expertise on the part of the person or firm issuing the opinion or report. Thus, this definition is sought to be drawn broadly enough to encompass all those cases where either the language of the report itself, or other language accompanying the report, carries both a positive assurance regarding the reliability of the financial information in question, and an implication (which may be drawn from the language of the report itself) that the person or firm issuing the report has special competence which gives substance to the assurance.

The definition includes disclaimers of opinion when they are phrased in a fashion which is conventionally understood as implying some positive assurance, because authoritative accounting literature contemplates several circumstances in which a disclaimer of opinion in standard form implies just such assurances.

The same reasoning that makes it appropriate to include disclaimers of opinion in conventional form within the definition of this term makes it appropriate to apply the prohibition on the issuance by unlicensed persons of reports, as so defined, on "reviews" and "compilations" within the meaning of the AICPA's Statement on Standards for Accounting and Review Services No. 1 (SSARS 1), when the language in which the report is phrased is that prescribed by SSARS 1. This is done in sections 14(b) and (c). These prohibitions, again, do not apply to the services actually performed -- which is to say that there is no prohibition on the persons of by unlicensed either performance reviews compilations, in the sense contemplated by SSARS 1, but only on the issuance of reports asserting or implying that their author has complied with the SSARS 1 standards for such reviews and compilations and has the demonstrated capabilities so to comply.

- (j) "Rule" means any rule, regulation, or other written directive of general application duly adopted by the Board.
- (k) "State" means any state of the United States, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, the U.S. Virgin Islands, and Guam; except that "this State" means the State of _____.

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28

29

30

31

32 33

34

35

36 37

1 2

There is hereby created the Board of Accountancy, which shall have responsibility for the administration and enforcement of this Act. The Board shall consist of members, appointed by the Governor, all of whom shall be residents of this State. At least [a majority plus one] of such members shall be holders of certificates and of currently valid permits issued under Section 6 of this Act or corresponding provisions of prior law; and any members of the having such qualifications shall not have had professional or practical experience in the use of accounting services and financial statements, so as to be qualified to make judgments about the qualifications and conduct of persons and firms subject to regulation under this Act. The term of each member of the Board shall be four years; except that, of the members first to be appointed, shall hold office for one year, for two years, and three years from the effective date of this Act, the term of each to be designated by the Governor. [Alternatively: except that members of the Board of Accountancy appointed and serving as such under prior law at the effective date of this Act shall serve out the terms for which they were appointed, as members of the Board created by this Section.] Vacancies occurring during a term shall be filled by appointment by the Governor for the unexpired term. Upon the expiration of his term of office, a member shall continue to serve until his successor shall have been appointed and taken Any member of the Board whose permit under Section office. 6 of this Act is revoked or suspended shall automatically cease to be a member of the Board, and the Governor may, after a hearing, remove any member of the Board for neglect of duty or other just cause. No person who has served two successive complete terms shall be eligible for reappointment, but appointment to fill an unexpired term shall not be considered a complete term for this purpose.

38 39 40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47 48

49

50

COMMENT. A number of decisions have to be made with regard to the structure and composition of licensing bodies such as state boards of accountancy, and these decisions will vary from state to state according to the patterns prevailing in the different states with respect to other licensing boards. This provision of the Model Bill Uniform Act is intended to identify the principal decision points and to suggest, on the basis of general experience, what seem to be the preferable solutions.

As respects the number of board members, it is suggested that the appropriate range is from five to nine, and that the number should be an odd one, so as to minimize the likelihood of tie votes.

51 52

 This provision assumes that, as is ever more widely the case, one or more members of the board will be other than licensees (sometimes called "public" members). It also reflects the view that, in light of the technical nature of much of the board's responsibilities, it is desirable that an effective majority of the board be permit holders: This would be achieved by the requirement that one more than a majority of the board be permit holders.

As respects the terms of board members, it is desirable that the terms be staggered; that they be long enough to allow effective service, though not so long that a board member who proves ineffective remains in office any longer than necessary; and that they be renewable, that there be a limit on the number of times they may be renewed. This provision reflects the view that the length of the term should be four years rather than three years, as is now more commonly the case. Although there seems to be an increasing trend toward not reappointing board members for a second term, it takes any new board member some time in office before he is fully effective. A somewhat longer term seems an appropriate way of balancing these two considerations.

The Board shall elect annually from among its members a (b) chairman and such other officers as the Board may determine to be appropriate. The Board shall meet at such times and places as may be fixed by the Board. Meetings of the Board shall be open to the public except insofar as they are concerned with investigations under Section 11 of this Act and except as may be necessary to protect information that is required to be kept confidential by Board rules or by the laws of this State. A majority of the Board members then in office shall constitute a quorum at any meeting duly called. Board shall have a seal which shall be judicially noticed. The Board shall retain or arrange for the retention of all applications and all documents under oath that are filed with the Board and also records of its proceedings, and it shall maintain a registry of the names and addresses of all licensees under this Act. In any proceeding in court, civil or criminal, arising out of or founded upon any provision of this Act, copies of any of said records certified as true copies under the seal of the Board shall be admissible in evidence as tending to prove the contents of said records.

 COMMENT. This subsection, like the preceding one, presents a number of decision points that may vary according to state practice, and it includes some provisions (notably the ones regarding open meetings and confidential information) that may be unnecessary in the accountancy law because they are covered by state laws of general application. Subject to such variances, the provisions recommended appear to be desirable ones in the light of general experience.

(c) Each member of the Board shall be paid an amount established by law for each day or portion thereof spent in the discharge of his official duties and shall be reimbursed for his actual and necessary expenses incurred in the discharge of his official duties.

(d) All moneys collected by the Board from fees authorized to be charged by this Act shall be received and accounted for by the Board and shall be deposited in the State Treasury to the credit of the Board. Appropriation shall be made for the expenses of administering the provisions of this Act, which may include, but shall not be limited to, the costs of conducting investigations and of taking testimony and procuring the attendance of witnesses before the Board or its committees; all legal proceedings taken under this Act for the enforcement thereof; and educational programs for the benefit of the public and licensees and their employees.

COMMENT. A provision of this kind, effectively providing that at least a substantial portion of the revenues raised from fees required to be paid by applicants and licensees will be applied to defraying the expenses of administering the law, has proved a desirable one in those jurisdictions where the statute contains The typical pattern is that the regulation of such a provision. is, accountancy from the state's point public self-supporting. The extent to which the Board has adequate staff to assist it (as provided in subsection (f) below) and other resources necessary to do its job effectively may well depend on the extent to which such revenues are available for use in the administration of the act.

(e) The Board shall file an annual report of its activities with the Governor and the legislature, which report shall include a statement of all receipts and disbursements and a listing of all current licensees under this Act. The Board shall mail a copy of the annual report to any person requesting it and paying a reasonable charge therefor.

(f) The Board may employ an executive director and such other personnel as it deems necessary in its administration and enforcement of this Act. It may appoint such committees or persons, to advise or assist it in such administration and enforcement, as it may see fit. It may retain its own counsel to advise and assist it in addition to such advice and assistance as is provided by the Attorney General of this State.

COMMENT. Adequate staffing can be an important determinant of how effective a board of accountancy is in discharging its statutory obligations. The same is true of the ability of a board to employ

independent counsel from time to time for special purposes, in addition to the counsel normally provided to it by the state attorney general's office. With regard to the financing necessary to implement such provisions, see the comment following subsection (d).

An additional way for a board to increase its effectiveness, which does not involve significant expense, is the appointment of committees or individuals not on the board or its staff, to advise and assist it in various ways, including disciplinary investigations (see section 11(b)).

The Board shall have the power to take all action that is necessary and proper to effectuate the purposes of this Act, including the power to sue and be sued in its official name as an agency of this State; to issue subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; to administer oaths; to take testimony and to receive evidence concerning all matters within its jurisdiction. In case of disobedience of a subpoena, the Board may invoke the aid of any court of this State in requiring the attendance and testimony of witnesses and the production of documentary evidence. The Board, its members, and its agents shall be immune from personal liability for actions taken in good faith in the discharge of the Board's responsibilities, and the State shall hold the Board, its members, and its agents harmless from all costs, damages, and attorneys' fees arising from claims and suits against them with respect to matters to which such immunity applies.

COMMENT. In many accountancy laws now in effect, the provisions regarding subpoenas and testimony that are included in this paragraph dealing with board powers generally are found instead in the section dealing with hearings, which is section 12 in this Model Bill Uniform Act.

(h) The Board may adopt rules governing its administration and enforcement of this Act and the conduct of licensees, including but not limited to--

(1) Rules governing the Board's meetings and the conduct of its business;

(2) Rules of procedure governing the conduct of investigations and hearings by the Board;

 (3) Rules specifying the educational qualifications required for the issuance of certificates under Section 5 of this Act [the experience required for initial issuance of permits under Section 6(c)(2)] and the continuing professional education required for renewal of certificates under 5(i) and permits under Section 6(e);

- (4) Rules of professional conduct directed to controlling the quality and probity of the practice of public accountancy by permit holders, and dealing among other things with independence, integrity, and objectivity; competence and technical standards; responsibilities to the public; and responsibilities to clients;
- (5) Rules specifying actions and circumstances that shall be deemed to constitute holding oneself out as a licensee in connection with the practice of public accountancy within the meaning of Section 3(g);
- (6) Rules governing the manner and circumstances of use by holders of certificates who do not also hold permits under this Act of the titles "certified public accountant" and "CPA";
- (7) Rules regarding quality reviews that may be required to be performed under provisions of this Act; and
- (8) Such other rules as the Board may deem necessary or appropriate for implementing the provisions and the purposes of this Act.

COMMENT. See the comment following section 3(g) regarding paragraph (5); see the comment following section 3(h) regarding paragraph (7).

(i) At least 60 days prior to the proposed effective date of any rule or amendment thereto under subsection (h) of this Section or any other provision of this Act, the Board shall publish notice of such proposed action and of a public hearing to be held no more than 30 days prior to such effective date, in [the State Register or equivalent official publication].

COMMENT. The provision for publication of proposed rules and amendments thereto in an official state register, and for public hearings thereon, may be covered in some states by a state statute of general application, such as an Administrative Procedure Act; but where this is not the case, it appears a desirable provision for a state accountancy law. Some existing laws also have a provision requiring separate notice by mail to all licensees of any proposed rule or amendment; but, no such provision is included here because the expense of notice by mail seems unjustified when adequate notice by publication is available.

(a) The Board shall grant the certificate of "certified public accountant" to any person who meets the good character, education, and examination requirements of, and who pays the fees prescribed by, the following subsections of this Section.

COMMENT. As mentioned in the introductory comments, this Model Bill Uniform Act, like many accountancy laws now in effect, involves a "two-tier" system: That is, it provides for the granting of certificates and the separate, subsequent granting of permits which constitute a license to practice. The second tier is attended by a requirement of continuing professional education as a condition for renewal of the permits (section 6(e)); the certificates do not have to be renewed. In addition, an optional provision in this Model Bill Uniform Act, section 6(c)(2), would impose a requirement of experience in the practice of public accountancy as a prerequisite to issuance of the permit; there is such an experience requirement under some accountancy laws now in effect as a requisite for the issuance of certificates.

It may be noted that this provision contemplates that there will be no certificate requirements with respect to citizenship, age, citizenship requirement or residency. would not Α constitutional; in view of the education requirement, a separate age requirement seems without utility; and in light of the desirability, explained in the introductory comments, of achieving maximum uniformity and reciprocity among the various states, a residency requirement seems not merely useless counterproductive.

(b) Good character for purposes of this Section means lack of a history of dishonest or felonious acts. The Board may refuse to grant a certificate on the ground of failure to satisfy this requirement only if there is a substantial connection between the lack of good character of the applicant and the professional responsibilities of a licensee and if the finding by the Board of lack of good character is supported by clear and convincing evidence. When an applicant is found to be unqualified for a certificate because of a lack of good character, the Board shall furnish the applicant a statement containing the findings of the Board, a complete record of the evidence upon which the determination was based, and a notice of the applicant's right of appeal.

COMMENT. This provision is intended both to assure that the requirement of good character will be narrowly and precisely construed, avoiding problems of both vagueness and overbreadth and to assure procedural fairness in any instance where a certificate is denied on the basis of lack of good character. The right of appeal referred to would presumably be prescribed by a statute of general application, such as an Administrative Procedure Act.

- (c) The education requirement for a certificate, which must be met no later than _____ days after an applicant sits before an applicant is eligible to apply for the examination prescribed in subsection (d), shall be as follows:
 - cffective date of this Act, a baccalaureate degree or its equivalent conferred by a college or university acceptable to the Board, with an accounting concentration or equivalent as determined by the Board by rule to be appropriate;

COMMENT. Paragraph (2) of this provision would, after the lapse of the specified number of years, put into effect a 150-hour education requirement. The report of the Commission on <u>Professional</u> Accounting Education (issued in August 1983) sets out the considerations that underlie the policies of both the AICPA and NASBA favoring establishment of such a requirement.

This provision follows the pattern of provisions found in most laws in allowing an applicant to sit for the examination within a specified period (ordinarily two or three months) prior to completing his education. The basis for this pattern is that for some years the Uniform CPA Examination has been given in November and May, and the latter date is often shortly before the end of a college term. The reasoning is that students so close to graduation should not be required to wait another six months before sitting for the examination. The precise period should be specified in subsection (c): It is recommended that the period not exceed 120 days.

*(d) The examination required to be passed as a condition for the granting of a certificate shall be in writing, shall be held

^{*} Board of Examiners anticipates changes.

20 21 22

23 24 25

26

> 34 35 36

37

43 44 45

46

47 48 49

50 51

52

subjects of accounting theory, accounting practice, and auditing, and such other related subjects as the Board may specify by rule. The time for holding such examination shall be fixed by the Board and may be changed from time to time. The Board shall prescribe by rule the methods of applying for and conducting the examination, including methods for grading papers and determining a passing grade required of an applicant for a certificate provided, however, that the Board shall to the extent possible see to it that the grading of the examination, and the passing grades, are uniform with those applicable in all other states. The Board may make such use of all or any part of the Uniform Certified Public Accountant Examination and Advisory Grading Service of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and may contract with third parties to perform such administrative services with respect to the examination as it deems appropriate to assist it in performing its duties hereunder.

twice a year, and shall test the applicant's knowledge of the

The Uniform Certified Public Accountant Examination and COMMENT. Advisory Grading Service, referred to in this provision, has for some years been consistently used by the board of accountancy (or its equivalent) of every American jurisdiction. Although the grading provided by that service is, as the name implies, only advisory, with each state board retaining ultimate authority to determine grades and passing requirements, it is obvious that uniformity among jurisdictions in these matters is a matter of considerable importance. Uniformity respecting the examination is essential to ensuring interstate mobility for the certificate and permit holders of this state.

- An applicant shall be required to pass all parts sections of (e) the examination provided for in subsection (d) in order to If at a given sitting of the qualify for a certificate. examination an applicant passes two or more but not all parts (with the accounting practice part of the examination being treated for this purpose as two parts; sections, then the applicant shall be given credit for those parts sections that he has passed and need not sit for reexamination in those parts sections, provided that -
 - the applicant wrote all parts sections of the examination at that sitting;

(2) the applicant attained a minimum grade of 50 on each part section not passed at that sitting;

1 2

5

- (3) the applicant passes the remaining parts sections of the examination within six consecutive examinations given after the one at which the first parts sections were passed;
- (4) at each subsequent sitting at which the applicant seeks to pass any additional parts sections, the applicant writes all parts sections not yet passed; and
- (5) in order to receive credit for passing additional parts sections in any such subsequent sitting, the applicant attains a minimum grade of 50 on parts sections written but not passed on such sitting.

COMMENT. This provision goes into unusual detail in prescribing the requirements applicable to the granting of partial credits where an applicant passes part but not all of the CPA examination at a given sitting (these requirements are commonly referred to as "conditioning" requirements). The reason for such detail is, as explained in the introductory comments, the desirability of uniform requirements among all jurisdictions so as to provide maximum latitude for transferability of credits and consequent mobility of applicants.

- (f) An applicant shall be given credit for any and all parts sections of an examination passed in another state if such credit would have been given, under then applicable requirements, if the applicant had taken the examination in this State.
- (g) The Board may in particular cases waive or defer any of the requirements of subsections (e) and (f) regarding the circumstances in which the various parts sections of the examination must be passed, upon a showing that, by reason of circumstances beyond the applicant's control, he was unable to meet such requirement.
- (h) The Board may charge, or provide for a third party administering the examination to charge, each applicant a fee, in an amount prescribed by the Board by rule, for each part section of the examination or reexamination taken by the applicant.
- (i) A certificate of certified public accountant shall be issued and renewed for periods of not more than three years with renewal subject to requirements of continuing professional education and payment of fees prescribed by the Board. A minimum of ninety (90) hours of continuing professional education over a three-year period is required, with a minimum

of fifteen hours in each year. The Board may prescribe by rule the content, duration and organization of continuing professional education which contributes to the general professional competence of the applicant.

COMMENT: Requiring renewal of a certificate after completion of the appropriate hours of continuing professional education allows accountants who have met the original requirements to obtain a certificate, but who are not in public practice, to maintain their certificate and the name "certified public accountant" without having a permit to practice.

When establishing credit for all courses, state boards are to acknowledge the equal importance of courses to CPAs who offer specialized services other than traditional public accounting to their clients, and maintain the professional expertise of CPAs who offer such specialized services.

SECTION 6 PERMITS TO PRACTICE--INDIVIDUAL

(a) The Board shall grant or renew permits to practice public accountancy to persons who make application and demonstrate their qualifications therefor in accordance with the following subsections of this Section.

 COMMENT. With regard to the "two-tier" licensing system of which the permit provisions of this section are a part, see the comments following section 5(a), above. Those comments also discuss the lack of any citizenship, age, or residency requirement for licensing.

This Model Bill Uniform Act differs from a number of accountancy laws now in effect in having two separate sections—this one and section 7—dealing with individual permits and firm permits, respectively, rather than a single section dealing with both. Clarity seems better served by having two sections, since the requirements are not the same for the two kinds of permits. The difference is one of form and not substance, but it bears mention because it may affect the interchangeability between these provisions and the corresponding provisions of existing laws.

(b) Permits shall be initially issued, and renewed, for periods of two not more than three years but in any event shall expire on the second [specified date] following issuance or renewal. Applications for such permits shall be made in such form, and in the case of applications for renewal, between such dates, as the Board shall by rule specify, and the Board shall grant or deny any such application no later than ______ days after the application is filed in proper form. In any case where the applicant seeks the opportunity to show that issuance or renewal of a permit was mistakenly denied, or where the Board is not able to determine whether it should be granted or denied, the Board may issue to the applicant a provisional permit, which shall expire ninety days after its issuance or

when the Board determines whether or not to issue or renew the permit for which application was made, whichever shall first occur.

COMMENT. This provision reflects the pattern of some laws now in effect in contemplating a biennial <u>or triennial</u> rather than an annual renewal. The purpose of this is to <u>make it possible to</u> tie the renewal period to the period for completion of continuing professional education (CPE) requirements, as provided by subsection (e) below.

(c) An applicant for initial issuance of a permit under this Section shall show--

(1) that he holds a valid certificate;

[(2) that he has had two years of experience in the practice of public accountancy or its equivalent, meeting requirements prescribed by the Board by rule; or, if the applicant's educational qualifications comprise a baccalaureate degree and not less than thirty semester hours of additional study at least 150 semester hours of college education including a baccalaureate degree or higher meeting the requirements set out in Section 5(c)(2) of this Act, then that he has had one year of experience in such practice or equivalent;] and

(3) if the applicant's certificate was issued more than four years prior to his application for issuance of an initial permit under this Section, that he has fulfilled the requirements of continuing professional education that would have been applicable under subsection (e) of this Section if he had secured his initial permit within four years of issuance of his certificate and was now applying under subsection (e) for renewal of such permit.

COMMENT. The optional provision appearing in paragraph (2) of this subsection for an experience requirement as a condition to the initial issuance of a permit to practice constitutes one of the two differences between the two levels of licensing contemplated by the statute (the other being the requirement of continuing professional education as a condition to renewal of a permit, in subsection (e)). The provision is shown as optional because the legislative policies of the AICPA and NASBA differ on it. NASBA favors it. In accordance with the AICPA policy statement, Education Requirements for Entry Into the Accounting Profession, experience is not required for the CPA certificate or the permit to practice. AICPA Council has directed, however, that an AICPA Model Bill Uniform Act should include an alternate provision for an experience requirement

for use in those states that choose to retain it. The certificate is issued upon a showing that essentially academic requirements (education and examination) have been met; but, before the applicant may engage in practice on his own, which is what the permit to practice entitles him to do, he must get some actual experience in the practice of public accountancy or experience that the board deems equivalent to such experience. The prohibition against a holder of a certificate engaging in the practice of public accountancy without a permit, in section 14(j), excepts certificate holders who so practice as employees of a person or firm holding such a permit specifically to allow certificate holders to acquire the necessary experience, but limited to the period necessary to acquire the experience. It is contemplated that the board will issue rules under section 4(h)(3) specifying the kinds of experience (such as audit practice) necessary to meet the requirement applicable to initial issuance of a permit. The board may think it advisable to require that some specified portion of the experience be current--that is, during a period immediately preceding the application.

It should be noted that the second clause of this provision, reducing the amount of experience required when the applicant has additional educational qualifications, would become automatically applicable to all applicants once the time period specified in section 5(c)(2) had expired, and a higher level of educational attainment was required of all applicants.

Paragraph (3) is intended to assure that, where an extended period has passed between issuance of a certificate and the certificate holder's first application for a permit to practice, the applicant has fulfilled at least a substantial portion of the CPE requirements that would have been applicable if the initial permit had been more promptly secured and then regularly renewed.

- (d) The Board shall issue a permit or a certificate to a holder of a certificate issued by another state upon a showing that--
 - (1) The applicant passed the examination required for issuance of his certificate with grades that would have been passing grades at the time in this State;
 - (2) The applicant--

- (A) meets all current requirements in this State for issuance of a certificate at the time application is made; or
- (B) at the time of the issuance of the applicant's certificate in the other state, met all such requirements then applicable in this State; or

, 8 9

(3) The applicant meets the requirements of subsection (c) [2 and] (3).

10 11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24 25 COMMENT. This provision offers a means of providing for reciprocal recognition of licensees of other states. Reciprocity for certificates is added to the Uniform Act to prevent licensees from a one-tier licensing state from having to renew their certificate in such a state. Paragraph (2) requires a determination that the certificate of the other state has been issued on the basis of education and examination "conditioning" requirements comparable to those of this state, but makes allowance for an experience requirement as a substitute for these.

The reciprocity so offered would be limited to CPAs--that is, it would exclude "grandfathered" PAs of other jurisdictions--since it rests upon the applicant having a certificate in the other jurisdiction, and, although there are a few jurisdictions where certificates have been issued to grandfathered public accountants, the term certificate is defined in section 3(b) to refer only to certificates issued after examination.

272829

30

31

32

33

34 35

36 37

38

39 40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49 50

51

52

26

An applicant for renewal of a permit under this Section shall show that he has fulfilled requirements of continuing professional education consisting of no less than 80 hours in each two-year renewal period (including no less than 20 hours in each year of such period) that has elapsed since the permit was last renewed (or, if never renewed, first issued), of such general kinds and in such subjects as shall have been specified by the Board by rule. The Board may provide by rule that fulfillment of continuing professional education requirements of other states will be accepted in lieu of the foregoing requirements. For renewal of a permit under this section an applicant shall show that he/she has completed 120 hours of continuing professional education during a threeyear period with a minimum of twenty hours each year. The Board may prescribe by rule the content, duration and organization of continuing professional education courses which contribute to the general professional competence of the The Board may also provide by rule for prorated applicant. continuing professional education requirements to be met by applicants whose initial permits were issued substantially less than two three years prior to the renewal date, and it may prescribe by rule special lesser requirements to be met by applicants for permit renewal whose prior permits lapsed substantially prior to their applications for renewal, and

regarding whom it would in consequence be inequitable to require a full compliance with all requirements of continuing professional education that would otherwise have been applicable to the period of lapse.

COMMENT. This provision for mandatory CPE as a condition for renewal of permits to practice is an important provision of this Model Bill Uniform Act aimed at assuring that persons licensed under the bill maintain an acceptable level of current knowledge in their field. The provision for recognition of the requirements of other states is intended to lessen the possible burdens of a practitioner who holds a permit in several states whose deadlines, procedures, and/or substantive requirements vary in minor but potentially onerous details. When establishing credit for all courses, state boards are to acknowledge the equal importance of courses to CPAs who offer specialized services other than traditional public accounting to their clients, and maintain the professional expertise of CPAs who offer such specialized services.

(f) The Board shall charge a fee for each application for initial issuance or renewal of a permit under this Section in an amount prescribed by the Board by rule.

(g) Applicants for initial issuance or renewal of permits under this Section shall in their applications list all states in which they have applied for or hold certificates or permits, and each holder of or applicant for a permit under this Section shall notify the Board in writing, within 30 days after its occurrence, of any issuance, denial, revocation, or suspension of a certificate or permit by another state.

SECTION 7
PERMITS TO PRACTICE--FIRMS

(a) The Board shall grant or renew permits to practice public accountancy to firms that make application and demonstrate their qualifications therefor in accordance with the following subsections of this Section.

COMMENT. This Model Bill Uniform Act departs from the pattern of some accountancy laws now in effect not only in having separate sections dealing with individual permits and firm permits, as discussed in the comment to section 6(a), but also in eliminating any separate requirement for the registration of firms and of offices. The information-gathering and other functions accomplished by such registration should be equally easily accomplished as part of the process of issuing firm permits under this section. The difference is, again, one of form more than of substance but one that should be kept in mind if consideration is given to fitting

the permit provisions of this Model Bill Uniform Act into an existing law.

As pointed out in the comment following section 3(c), above, because a firm is defined to include a sole proprietorship, the permits contemplated by this section would be required of sole practitioners as well as larger practice entities. To avoid unnecessary duplication of paperwork, a board could, if it deemed appropriate, offer a joint application form for individual and firm permits.

(b) Permits shall be initially issued and renewed for periods of two not more than three years but in any event expiring on the second [specified date] following issuance or renewal. Applications for permits shall be made in such form, and in the case of applications for renewal, between such dates as the Board may by rule specify, and the Board shall grant or deny any such application no later than days after the application is filed in proper form. In any case where the applicant seeks the opportunity to show that issuance or renewal of a permit was mistakenly denied or where the Board is not able to determine whether it should be granted or denied, the Board may issue to the applicant a provisional permit, which shall expire ninety days after its issuance or when the Board determines whether or not to issue or renew the permit for which application was made, whichever shall first occur.

COMMENT. See the comment following section 6(b) regarding the two-year renewal period.

(c) An applicant for initial issuance or renewal of a permit to practice under this Section shall be required to show that each partner, officer, or shareholder who regularly works in this State, and each employee holding a certificate who regularly works in this State [except for employees who have not yet accumulated sufficient experience to qualify for a permit under Section 6(c)(2)], holds a valid individual permit to practice issued under Section 6 of this Act or the corresponding provision of prior law and that each other partner, officer, or shareholder holds a certificate and is licensed to practice public accountancy in some other state.

 COMMENT. The limitation of the requirement of individual permits to partners, officers, shareholders, and employees who regularly work in the state is intended to allow some latitude for occasional visits and limited assignments within the state of firm personnel who are based elsewhere.

The bracketed phrase is intended to make provision, in the event that the optional provision of section 6(c), requiring experience

in the practice of public accountancy as a condition for issuance of a permit, is adopted for certificate holders to acquire that experience without running afoul of requirements that they have a permit in order to practice. See also section 14(j).

It should be pointed out that, since section 8 contemplates continuation of a grandfathered class of public accountants entitled to individual permits to practice (and thereby entitled to perform the audit function), and since this provision requires, for firm permits to practice only, that all partners, officers, and shareholders who regularly work within the state hold permits to practice but does not require them to hold certificates, the effect is to allow, for wholly intrastate firms, mixed partnerships or corporations of CPAs and PAs. However, because when some partners, officers, or shareholders are in other states they are required to have a certificate, interstate firms of mixed character would not be entitled to firm permits.

(d) An applicant for initial issuance or renewal of a permit to practice under this Section shall be required to register each office of the firm within this State with the Board and to show that each such office is under the charge of a person holding a valid permit to practice issued under Section 6 of this Act or the corresponding provision of prior law.

(e) The Board shall charge a fee for each application for initial issuance or renewal of a permit under this Section in an amount prescribed by the Board by rule.

(f) Applicants for initial issuance or renewal of permits under this Section shall in their application list all states in which they have applied for or hold permits to practice public accountancy, and each holder of or applicant for a permit under this Section shall notify the Board in writing, within 30 days after its occurrence, of any change in the identities of partners, officers, or shareholders who work regularly within this State, any change in the number or location of offices within this State, any change in the identity of the persons in charge of such offices, and any issuance, denial, revocation, or suspension of a permit by any other state.

NOTE: The following provision is presented as optional, because the policies of the AICPA and NASBA presently differ with regard to it, as explained in the comment.

Optional Section 7(g)

(g) The Board may by rule require, on either a uniform or a random basis, as a condition to renewal of permits under this Section, that applicants undergo quality reviews conducted no more frequently than once every three years in such fashion

manner and producing such satisfactory result as the Board may specify, provided, however, that any such requirement (1) shall be promulgated reasonably in advance of the time when it is first required to be met, and (2) shall include reasonable provision for compliance by an applicant's showing that it has undergone a satisfactory quality review performed for other purposes which was substantially equivalent to quality reviews generally required pursuant to this subsection and completion of such review was within the three years immediately preceding the renewal period.

1 2

COMMENT. The AICPA and NASBA both agree that periodic quality reviews are a useful an important means of maintaining the general quality of professional practice. However, the AICPA believes that such reviews should be undertaken voluntarily and not under the auspices of a government agency, whereas NASBA believes that state boards of accountancy should be authorized to require that such reviews be undertaken as a condition for the renewal of permits to practice. NASBA believes that state boards of accountancy should be authorized to require that such reviews be undertaken as a condition for the renewal of permits to practice. The AICPA, however, believes that such reviews should not be undertaken under the auspices of a government agency since the AICPA has implemented a nationwide program for its members which is conducted pursuant to published, well-established standards and procedures. Thus, this provision is presented as an optional one.

In the interests of flexibility and of avoiding a sudden, wholesale imposition of requirements that could not effectively be met, the NASBA-favored provision would give the Board latitude with regard to when and whether to require reviews and also with regard to whether, if they are required, they should be imposed uniformly upon all applicants for renewal, or only on a random basis. The proviso is intended to recognize that there are other <u>valid</u> reasons besides state regulation for which firms may undergo quality reviews (for example, as a condition to membership in the AICPA's Division for Firms) and to avoid unnecessary duplication of such reviews. (for example, as a condition to membership in the AICPA). It is also intended to avoid unnecessary duplication of such reviews and provide for the acceptance of quality reviews performed by other groups or organizations whose work could be relied on by the State Board of Accountancy. In the event consideration is to be given to the establishment of a quality review requirement by a State Board, the AICPA believes that any documents developed in connection with the review should be confidential. Thus, the AICPA believes that the optional section 7(q) should also indicate that neither the State Board nor any other third party should have access to the workpapers, report, letter of comments and response thereto related to reviews performed in conformity with the provisions of this subsection. The fact that the quality reviews required for the AICPA's Division for Firms are on a triennial cycle, while firm permits under this provision are renewed biennially, should present no difficulty: A requirement for quality

reviews under this provision could easily enough give full recognition to such triennial reviews without requiring a change in their frequency-by, for example, requiring that a quality review has been conducted within three years preceding the time when application is made for renewal of the permit, rather than within the two-year permit renewal period.

The term quality review is defined in section 3(h).

SECTION 8 PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS AND FIRMS OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

Persons and firms who on the effective date of this Act hold registrations as public accountants and permits to practice public accountancy issued under prior law of this State shall be entitled to have their permits to practice renewed under Sections 6 and 7 of this Act, provided that they fulfill all requirements for renewal under those provisions. So long as such licensees hold valid permits to practice under Sections 6 and 7, they shall be entitled to engage in the practice of public accountancy to the same extent as other holders of such permits, and in addition they shall be entitled to use the designations "public accountants" and "PA," but no other designation, in connection with the practice of public accountancy.

COMMENT. This provision would be of use in jurisdictions where under the previous law a class of "grandfathered" public accountants was licensed to perform the audit function. Many accountancy laws now in effect have substantially more elaborate provisions to deal with public accountants, but a comparatively simple provision such as this one should be sufficient. Those coming within this provision would, like holders of certificates, be required to have a permit to practice (under section 6 in the case of individuals, and section 7 for firms) in order to engage in the practice of public accountancy, and they would be subject to the same continuing professional education requirements for renewal of such permits and the same rules respecting all aspects of the practice of public accountancy, as holders of certificates. They would in fact be treated the same as holders of certificates for virtually all purposes, the principal differences being in the titles they and their firms would be permitted to use, and in a lack of reciprocity to comparable licensees of other states (see comments following sections 6(d) and 7(c)).

SECTION 9

APPOINTMENT OF SECRETARY OF STATE AS AGENT

Application by a person or a firm not a resident of this State for a certificate under Section 5 of this Act or a permit to practice under Section 6 or Section 7 shall constitute appointment of the Secretary of State as the applicant's agent upon whom process may be served in any action or proceeding against the applicant arising out of any transaction or operation connected with or incidental to the practice of public accountancy by the applicant within this State.

COMMENT. In many laws now in effect, a provision of this kind appears in each of the sections dealing with the issuance of a certificate or any form of permit. Since there are a number of such provisions in this Model Bill Uniform Act (as there are in many existing laws), repetition is here avoided by having this single comprehensive provision.

SECTION 10 ENFORCEMENT AGAINST HOLDERS OF CERTIFICATES AND PERMITS

- (a) After notice and hearing pursuant to Section 12 of this Act, the Board may revoke any certificate or permit issued under Sections 5, 6, or 7 of this Act or corresponding provisions of prior law; suspend any such certificate or permit or refuse to renew any such certificate and/or permit for a period of not more than five years; reprimand, censure, or limit the scope of practice of any licensee; impose an administrative fine not exceeding \$1000, or place any licensee on probation, all with or without terms, conditions, and limitations, for any one or more of the following reasons:
 - (1) Fraud or deceit in obtaining a certificate or permit;
 - (2) Cancellation, revocation, suspension or refusal to renew authority to engage in the practice of public accountancy in any other state for any cause;
 - (3) Failure, on the part of a holder of a permit under Sections 6 or 7, to maintain compliance with the requirements for issuance or renewal of such permit or to report changes to the Board under Sections 6(g) or 7(f);
 - (4) Revocation or suspension of the right to practice before any state or federal agency;

(5) Dishonesty, fraud, or gross negligence in the practice of public accountancy or in the filing or failure to file his own income tax returns;

- (6) Violation of any provision of this Act or rule promulgated by the Board under this Act;
- 7) Violation of any rule of professional conduct promulgated by the Board under Section 4(h)(4) of this Act;
- (8) Conviction of a felony, or of any crime an element of which is dishonesty or fraud, under the laws of the United States, of this State, or of any other state if the acts involved would have constituted a crime under the laws of this State;
- (9) Performance of any fraudulent act while holding a certificate or permit issued under this Act or prior law; and
- (10) Any conduct reflecting adversely upon the licensee's fitness to engage in the practice of public accountancy.

COMMENT. This provision departs from the typical corresponding provision of accountancy laws now in effect in two respects. One of these is the provision for an administrative fine of up to \$1000, in addition to other possible penalties. There is such a provision in some accountancy laws; whether such a provision is permissible in the laws of other states is a matter for individual determination in each jurisdiction.

The other departure from the common pattern is in paragraph (10), a catch-all provision which is phrased in terms of conduct reflecting adversely on the licensee's fitness to engage in the practice of public accountancy, rather than the broader and vaguer conventional phrase, "conduct discreditable to the accounting profession." This narrower provision is intended to avoid problems of vagueness and overbreadth. A similar change is involved in the requirement of "good character" in section 5(b).

- (b) In lieu of or in addition to any remedy specifically provided in subsection (a) of this Section, the Board may require of a licensee--
 - (1) A quality review conducted in such fashion as the Board may specify; and/or
 - (2) Satisfactory completion of such continuing professional education programs as the Board may specify.

COMMENT. This subsection is intended to provide rehabilitative remedies for enforcement proceedings against licensees, in addition

11

12 13 14

15 16 17

18

19

30

44 45 46

47

48

43

to (or in place of) the more traditional punitive remedies provided in subsection (a). The term quality review is defined in section 3(h).

(c) In any proceeding in which a remedy provided by subsections (a) or (b) of this Section is imposed, the Board may also require the respondent licensee to pay the costs of the proceeding.

COMMENT. This provision appears appropriate in terms of both equity and the economics of board operations.

SECTION 11 ENFORCEMENT PROCEDURES--INVESTIGATIONS

- (a) The Board may, upon receipt of a complaint or other information suggesting violations of this Act or of the rules of the Board, conduct investigations to determine whether there is probable cause to institute proceedings under Sections 12, 15, or 16 of this Act against any person or firm for such violation, but an investigation under this Section shall not be a prerequisite to such proceedings in the event that a determination of probable cause can be made without investigation. In aid of such investigations, the Board or the chairman thereof may issue subpoenas to compel witnesses to testify and/or to produce evidence.
- The Board may designate a member, or any other person of appropriate competence, to serve as investigating officer to (b) conduct an investigation. Upon completion of an investigation, the investigating officer shall file a report with the Board. The Board shall find probable cause or lack of probable cause upon the basis of the report or shall return the report to the investigating officer for further investigation. Unless there has been a determination of probable cause, the report of the investigating officer, the complaint, if any, the testimony and documents submitted in support of the complaint or gathered in the investigation, and the fact of pendency of the investigation shall be treated as confidential information and shall not be disclosed to any person except law enforcement authorities and, to the extent deemed necessary in order to conduct the investigation, the subject of the investigation, persons whose complaints are being investigated, and witnesses questioned in the course of the investigation.
- (c) Upon a finding of probable cause, if the subject of the investigation is a licensee, the Board shall direct that a complaint be issued under Section 12 of this Act, and if the subject of the investigation is not a licensee, the Board shall take appropriate action under Sections 15 or 16 of this Act. Upon a finding of no probable cause, the Board shall

close the matter and shall thereafter release information relating thereto only with the consent of the person or firm under investigation.

(d) The Board may review the publicly available professional work of licensees on a general and random basis, without any requirement of a formal complaint or suspicion of impropriety on the part of any particular licensee. In the event that as a result of such review the Board discovers reasonable grounds for a more specific investigation, the Board may proceed under subsections (a) through (c) of this Section.

COMMENT. This provision contemplates "positive enforcement," which is to say review of the professional work of licensees without any triggering requirement of receipt of complaints.

SECTION 12 ENFORCEMENT PROCEDURES--HEARINGS BY THE BOARD

In any case where probable cause with respect to a violation (a) by a licensee has been determined by the Board, whether following an investigation under Section 11 of this Act, or upon receipt of a written complaint furnishing grounds for a determination of such probable cause, or upon receipt of notice of a decision by the Board of Accountancy of another state furnishing such grounds, the Board shall issue a complaint setting forth appropriate charges and set a date for hearing before the Board on such charges. The Board shall, not less than 30 days prior to the date of the hearing, serve a copy of the complaint and notice of the time and place of the hearing upon the licensee, together with a copy of the Board's rules governing proceedings under this Section, either by personal delivery or by mailing a copy thereof by registered mail to the licensee at his address last known to the Board.

(b) A licensee against whom a complaint has been issued under this Section shall have the right, reasonably in advance of the hearing, to examine and copy the report of investigation, if any, and any documentary or testimonial evidence and summaries of anticipated evidence in the Board's possession relating to the subject matter of the complaint. The Board's rules governing proceedings under this Section shall specify the manner in which such right may be exercised.

 COMMENT. Although the procedures followed by many boards of accountancy now include, on either a formal or an informal basis, prehearing disclosure to the respondent of the evidence that will be offered in support of a complaint, it seems desirable to embody so fundamental a procedural right in the governing statute.

- (c) In a hearing under this Section the respondent licensee may appear in person (or, in the case of a firm, through a partner, officer, director, or shareholder) and/or by counsel, examine witnesses and evidence presented in support of the complaint, and present evidence and witnesses on his own behalf. The licensee shall be entitled, on application to the Board, to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documentary evidence.
- (d) The evidence supporting the complaint shall be presented by the investigating officer, by a Board member designated for that purpose, or by counsel. A Board member who presents the evidence, or who has conducted the investigation of the matter under Section 11 of this Act, shall not participate in the Board's decision of the matter.

COMMENT. The provision disqualifying a board member who presents the evidence or who has investigated the case from participating in the board's decision of the case again reflects common practice, but like subsection (b) it appears to involve a sufficiently fundamental point to merit explicit mention in the statute. The purpose is, of course, to separate the prosecutorial and adjudicative functions of the board.

Some or all of the procedural matters of this kind included in this <u>Model Bill Uniform Act</u> may be dealt with by statutes of general applicability, such as Administrative Procedure Acts, and so be unnecessary for inclusion in an accountancy law.

(e) In a hearing under this Section the Board shall be advised by counsel, who shall not be the same counsel who presents or assists in presenting the evidence supporting the complaint under subsection (d) of this Section.

COMMENT. The comments under subsection (d) are applicable here also. It should be noted that this provision would not require two lawyers in all cases: It simply requires that if there is counsel involved in presenting the complaint, in addition to counsel advising the Board, it must not be the same counsel. If there were two counsel, they might both be provided by the state attorney general's office, so long as they were firmly insulated from each other.

(f) In a hearing under this Section the Board shall not be bound by technical rules of evidence.

(g) In a hearing under this Section a stenographic or electronic record shall be made and filed with the Board. A transcript need not be prepared unless review is sought under subsection (j) of this Section or the Board determines that there is other good cause for its preparation.

- (h) In a hearing under this Section a recorded vote of a majority of all members of the Board then in office (excluding members disqualified by reason of subsection (d) of this Section) shall be required to sustain any charge and to impose any penalty with respect thereto.
- (i) If, after service of a complaint and notice of hearing as provided in subsection (a) of this Section, the respondent licensee fails to appear at the hearing, the Board may proceed to hear evidence against the licensee and may enter such order as it deems warranted by the evidence, which order shall be final unless the licensee petitions for review thereof under subsection (j) of this Section, provided, however, that within thirty days from the date of any such order, upon a showing of good cause for the licensee's failure to appear and defend, the Board may set aside the order and schedule a new hearing on the complaint, to be conducted in accordance with applicable subsections of this Section.
- (j) Any person or firm adversely affected by any order of the Board entered after a hearing under this Section may obtain review thereof by filing a written petition for review with the _____ Court within thirty days after the entry of said order. The procedures for review and the scope of the review shall be as specified in [State Administrative Procedure Act, or other statute providing for judicial review of actions of administrative agencies];

COMMENT. This provision would depart from the pattern of some accountancy laws now in effect in providing that, where a decision of the Board is appealed to a court, the court will not conduct a trial de novo but rather will review the Board's decision on the same basis as ordinarily applies in cases of judicial review of decisions by administrative agencies: That is, reversal will be based on errors of law or procedure, or on a lack of substantial evidence to support factual determinations. If in a given state there is no Administrative Procedure Act or analogous statute, it will be necessary to spell out the standards and procedures in this provision.

The right of appeal is not limited to persons or firms against whom disciplinary proceedings are specifically directed but includes anyone who is "adversely affected." Thus, a partner in a

firm that was subjected to discipline in a given case, or a firm of which a partner was disciplined, might be adversely affected by the Board's order so as to be entitled to appeal it.

1 2

(k) In any case where the Board renders a decision imposing discipline against a licensee under this Section and Section 10 of this Act, the Board shall examine its records to determine whether the licensee holds a certificate or a permit to practice public accountancy in any other state; and if so, the Board shall notify the Board of Accountancy of such other state of its decision, by mail, within forty-five days of rendering the decision. The Board may also furnish information relating to proceedings resulting in disciplinary action to other public authorities and to private professional organizations having a disciplinary interest in the licensee.

 COMMENT. The forty-five-day period of delay here specified, before a board which has rendered a disciplinary decision on a licensee notifies boards of other states of the decision, is intended to be longer than the period for the filing of an appeal to the courts from a decision of the board and thus to avoid requiring such notification in cases where an appeal has been taken but not yet resolved. The period for taking such an appeal is specified in section 12(j) as thirty days, which accounts for the forty-five-day period here. If the time for filing such an appeal specified in the accountancy law (or in a statute of general applicability) was other than thirty days, the period appropriate for this provision might differ correspondingly.

SECTION 13 REINSTATEMENT

(a) In any case where the Board has suspended or revoked a certificate or a permit or refused to renew a <u>certificate or</u> permit, the Board may, upon application in writing by the person or firm affected and for good cause shown, modify the suspension, or reissue the certificate or permit.

(b) The Board shall by rule specify the manner in which such applications shall be made, the times within which they shall be made, and the circumstances in which hearings will be held thereon.

(c) Before reissuing, or terminating the suspension of, a certificate or permit under this Section, and as a condition thereto, the Board may require the applicant therefor to show successful completion of specified continuing professional education; and the Board may make the reinstatement of a certificate or permit conditional and subject to satisfactory completion of a quality review conducted in such fashion as the Board may specify.

COMMENT. The term quality review is defined in section 3(h).

SECTION 14 UNLAWFUL ACTS

(a) No person or firm not holding a valid permit issued under Sections 6 or 7 of this Act shall issue a report on financial statements of any other person, firm, organization, or governmental unit. This prohibition does not apply to an officer, partner, or employee of any firm or organization affixing his signature to any statement or report in reference to the financial affairs of such firm or organization with any wording designating the position, title, or office that he holds therein; nor prohibit any act of a public official or employee in the performance of his duties as such; nor prohibit the performance by any persons of other services involving the use of accounting skills, including the preparation of tax returns, management advisory services, and the preparation of financial statements without the issuance of reports thereon.

COMMENT. This provision, giving application to the definition of report in section 3(i) above, is the cornerstone prohibition of the bill, reserving the performance of those professional services calling upon the highest degree of professional skill and having greatest consequence for persons using financial statements—namely, the audit function—to licensees. It is so drafted as to make as clear and emphatic as possible the limited nature of this exclusively reserved function and the rights of unlicensed persons to perform all other functions.

(b) The prohibition contained in subsection (a) of this Section is applicable to issuance, by a person or firm not holding a valid permit, of a report using any form of language conventionally used by licensees respecting a review of financial statements.

COMMENT. This provision is intended to extend the reservation of the audit function to another kind of professional work that also calls for special skill and carries particular consequence for users of financial statements, albeit in each respect to a lesser degree than the audit function: namely, the issuance of reports on reviews of financial statements. The AICPA's Statement on Standards for Accounting and Review Services No. 1 (SSARS 1) sets out the standards to be met in such a review and specifies a form of report to be issued following such a review, which report makes explicit reference to the applicable standards. The reference in this subsection to a "form of language conventionally used by licensees"

is intended to prevent issuance by nonlicensees of reports using that standard language or language deceptively similar to it.

Because the reasons for prohibiting unlicensed persons from the issuing of reports on reviews and on compilations (dealt with in subsection (c) below), though similar in kind to those that require prohibiting such persons to issue audit reports, are less compelling in degree (since lesser levels of assurance are involved), it seems sensible to set out these additional prohibitions in separate subsections.

(c) The prohibition contained in subsection (a) of this Section is applicable to issuance by a person or firm not holding a valid permit of a report using any form of language conventionally used by licensees with respect to a compilation of financial statements.

COMMENT. See the comment following subsection (b).

(d) No person not holding a valid certificate shall use or assume the title or designation "certified public accountant," or the abbreviation "CPA" or any other title, designation, words, letters, abbreviation, sign, card, or device tending to indicate that such person is a certified public accountant.

COMMENT. This subsection prohibits the use by persons not holding certificates of the two titles, "certified public accountant" and "CPA," that are specifically and inextricably tied to the granting of a certificate as certified public accountant under section 5. It should be noted that the board would have authority under section 4(h)(6) to promulgate rules governing the use of these titles by persons who hold certificates but not permits to practice.

(e) No firm shall assume or use the title or designation "certified public accountant," or the abbreviation "CPA," or any other title, designation, words, letters, abbreviation, sign, card, or device tending to indicate that such firm is composed of certified public accountants, unless (1) the firm holds a valid permit issued under Section 7 of this Act, and (2) all partners, officers, and shareholders of the firm hold certificates.

COMMENT. Like the preceding subsection, this one restricts use of the two titles "certified public accountant" and "CPA," but in this instance by firms, requiring the holding of a firm permit to practice.

(f) No person shall assume or use the title or designation "public accountant," or the abbreviation "PA," or any other title, designation, words, letters, abbreviation, sign, card, or

device tending to indicate that such person is a public accountant unless he holds a valid permit issued under Section 6 of this Act.

COMMENT. This subsection, and the one that follows, reserve the title "public accountant" and its abbreviation in the same fashion as subsections (d) and (e) do for the title "certified public accountant" and its abbreviation. The two provisions would of course only be required in a jurisdiction where there were grandfathered public accountants as contemplated by section 8.

(g) No firm not holding a valid permit issued under Section 7 of this Act shall assume or use the title or designation "public accountant," the abbreviation "PA," or any other title, designation, words, letters, abbreviation, sign, card, or device tending to indicate that such firm is composed of public accountants.

COMMENT. See the comment following subsection (f).

(h) No person or firm not holding a valid permit issued under Sections 6 or 7 of this Act shall assume or use the title or designation "certified accountant," "chartered accountant," "enrolled accountant," "licensed accountant," "registered accountant," "accredited accountant," or any other title or designation likely to be confused with the titles "certified public accountant" or "public accountant," or use any of the abbreviations "CA," "EA," "LA," "RA," "AA," or similar abbreviation likely to be confused with the abbreviations "CPA" or "PA," provided, however, that a holder of a certificate who does not also hold a permit may use the titles pertaining to such certificate in any manner not prohibited by rules promulgated by the Board under Section 4(h)(6) of this Act.

COMMENT. This provision is intended to supplement the prohibitions of subsections (d) through (g) on use of titles by prohibiting other titles that may be misleadingly similar to the titles specifically reserved to licensees or that otherwise suggest that their holders are licensed.

(i) No person or firm not holding a valid permit issued under Sections 6 or 7 of this Act shall assume or use any title or designation that includes the words "accountant," "auditor," or "accounting," in connection with any other language (including the language of a report) that implies that such person or firm holds such a permit or has special competence as an accountant or auditor, provided, however, that this subsection does not prohibit any officer, partner, or employee

of any firm or organization from affixing his signature to any statement in reference to the financial affairs of such firm or organization with any wording designating the position, title, or office that he holds therein nor prohibit any act of a public official or employee in the performance of his duties as such.

10

11

12

13

14 15

1

2

3 4

5

COMMENT. Like the preceding subsection, this provision is intended to supplement the prohibitions of subsections (d) through (g), by prohibiting other titles which may be misleadingly similar to the specifically reserved titles or that otherwise suggest licensure. In the interest of making the prohibition against the issuance by unlicensed persons of reports on audits, reviews, and compilations as tight and difficult to evade as possible, there is also some overlap between this provision and the prohibitions in subsections (a) through (c).

16 17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

No person holding a certificate shall engage in the practice of public accountancy unless [(1)] he also holds a valid permit issued under Section 6 of this Act [or (2) he is an employee (and not a partner, officer or shareholder) of a firm holding such a permit issued under Section 7 of this Act and has not been such an employee long enough to meet the experience requirement prescribed by Section 6(c)(2) for an individual permit to practice].

25 26 27

28

29 30

31 32

33

34 35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48 49

50

51

52

COMMENT. As pointed out in the comment under section 3(g), where the term "practice of public accountancy" is defined, this prohibition is so framed that it does not prevent the public at large from offering or performing accounting services of any kind, because it applies only to holders of certificates. For certificate holders, on the other hand, it has the effect of requiring that they secure a permit before engaging in any aspect of the practice of public accountancy, even if it be incidental to some other occupation. However, practice of public accountancy is so defined in section 3(g) as to be limited to the performance or offering of services in circumstances where the person involved also holds himself out as having a certificate (or, if a firm, as having a permit). As explained in the comment following section 3(g), the purpose of the "holding out" limitation is to avoid unnecessarily broad application of the requirement of this provision that certificate holders also have a permit before engaging in the practice of public accountancy. The board is given authority by section 4(h)(5) to prescribe what actions and circumstances constitute a "holding out" for purposes of these provisions.

The reason for the bracketed clause (2), with its exception for certificate holders to practice public accountancy as employees of a person or firm holding a permit, would be to allow certificate holders to acquire the experience required by section 6(c)(2), to qualify them for permits. That provision, however, is an optional one. If in a particular law there was no experience requirement for

a permit, it would be appropriate to omit clause (2) also.

9 10

11 12

24 25 26

27

28

23

43

51

52

37

38

44 45 46 47 48 49 50

No person or firm holding a permit under this Act shall engage in the practice of public accountancy using a professional or firm name or designation that is misleading about the legal form of the firm, or about the persons who are partners, officers, or shareholders of the firm, or about any other matter, provided, however, that names of one or more former partners or shareholders may be included in the name of a firm or its successor.

COMMENT. This prohibition with regard to misleading firm names reflects a provision commonly found in ethical codes. Unlike the typical such provision, however, it does not permit a partner surviving the death or withdrawal of all other partners to continue to practice under the partnership name after becoming a sole practitioner. The reason for allowing such continued use of a firm name is, of course, equity to the surviving practitioner, but the countervailing consideration, which dictated its omission here, is that by suggesting the existence of a partnership when in fact there is only a sole proprietorship, such a name is inherently misleading. As a practical matter, of course, in such circumstances there would be a grace period simply because no enforcement action would be brought immediately after the death of the former partner, but a fixed grace period of extended duration appears unnecessary.

None of the foregoing provisions of this Section shall have (1) any application to a person or firm holding a certification, designation, degree, or license granted in a foreign country entitling the holder thereof to engage in the practice of public accountncy or its equivalent in such country, whose activities in this State are limited to the provision of professional services to persons or firms who are residents of, governments of, or business entities of the country in which he holds such entitlement, who issues no reports with respect to the financial statements of any other persons, firms, or governmental units in this State, and who does not use in this State any title or designation other than the one under which he practices in such country, followed by a translation of such title or designation into the English language, if it is in a different language, and by the name of such country.

COMMENT. The right spelled out in this provision, of foreign licensees to provide services in the state to foreign-based clients, looking to the issuance of reports only in foreign countries, is essentially what foreign licensees have a right to do under most laws now in effect, simply because no provision in those laws restricts such a right. Insofar as the foreign titles used by foreign licensees might otherwise run afoul of standard prohibitions with respect to titles (such as one on titles

misleadingly similar to "CPA"), on the other hand, this provision would grant a dispensation not found in most laws now in force.

1 2

SECTION 15 INJUNCTIONS AGAINST UNLAWFUL ACTS

 Whenever, as a result of an investigation under Section 11 of this Act or otherwise, the Board believes that any person or firm has engaged, or is about to engage, in any acts or practices which constitute or will constitute a violation of Section 14 of this Act, the Board may make application to the appropriate court for an order enjoining such acts or practices, and upon a showing by the Board that such person or firm has engaged, or is about to engage, in any such acts or practices, an injunction, restraining order, or other order as may be appropriate shall be granted by such court.

SECTION 16 CRIMINAL PENALTIES

(a) Whenever, by reason of an investigation under Section 11 of this Act or otherwise, the Board has reason to believe that any person or firm has knowingly engaged in acts or practices that constitute a violation of Section 14 of this Act, the Board may bring its information to the attention of the Attorney General of this State (or other appropriate law enforcement officer) who may, in his discretion, cause appropriate criminal proceedings to be brought thereon.

 (b) Any person or firm who knowingly violates any provision of Section 14 of this Act shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be subject to a fine of not more than \$_____ or to imprisonment for not more than one year, or to both such fine and imprisonment.

COMMENT. The word "knowingly" is included in this provision to assure that criminal penalties will not be applied in the absence of conscious wrongdoing.

SECTION 17 SINGLE ACT EVIDENCE OF PRACTICE

In any action brought under Sections 12, 15, or 16 of this Act, evidence of the commission of a single act prohibited by this Act shall be sufficient to justify a penalty, injunction, restraining order, or conviction, respectively, without evidence of a general course of conduct.

SECTION 18 CONFIDENTIAL COMMUNICATIONS

Except by permission of the client engaging a licensee under this Act, or the heirs, successors, or personal representatives of such client, a licensee or any partner, officer, shareholder, or employee of a licensee shall not voluntarily disclose information communicated to him by the client relating to and in connection with services rendered to the client by the licensee in the practice of public accountancy. Such information shall be deemed confidential, provided, however, that nothing herein shall be construed as prohibiting the disclosure of information required to be disclosed by the standards of the public accounting profession in reporting on the examination of financial statements or as prohibiting disclosures in court proceedings, in investigations or proceedings under Sections 11 or 12 of this Act, in ethical investigations conducted by private professional organizations, or in the course of quality reviews.

COMMENT. This provision is similar to those found in a number of accountancy laws as well as ethical codes recognizing the confidentiality of client communications to accountants without, however, extending it to the point of being an evidentiary privilege (which would prevent its disclosure in court in certain circumstances—essentially, those in which the licensee is not a party, such as divorce proceedings where one of the parties is a client of the licensee). The term "quality review" is defined in section 3(h).

SECTION 19 LICENSEES' WORKING PAPERS; CLIENTS' RECORDS

All statements, records, schedules, working papers, memoranda made by a licensee or a partner, shareholder, officer, director, or employee of a licensee, incident to, or in the course of, rendering services to a client in the practice of public accountancy, except the reports submitted by the licensee to the client and except for records that are part of the client's records, shall be and remain the property of the licensee in the absence of an express agreement between the licensee and the client to the contrary. statement, record, schedule, working paper, or memorandum shall be sold, transferred, or bequeathed, without the consent of the client or his personal representative or assignee, to anyone other than one or more surviving partners stockholders or new partners or stockholders of the licensee, or any combined or merged firm or successor in interest to the Nothing in this section should be construed as licensee. prohibiting any temporary transfer of workpapers or other material necessary in the course of carrying out quality reviews.

comment. It should be noted that this provision, which is a fairly standard one in accountancy laws, prohibits the transfer of working papers relating to a particular client without that client's consent in connection with the sale of a practice. The language regarding quality reviews is intended to harmonize this section with section 18 and make it clear that no licensee, partner, shareholder, officer, director or employee of a licensee may withhold any material that might be needed to perform a quality review.

(b) A licensee shall furnish to his client or former client, upon request and reasonable notice--

(1) A copy of the licensee's working papers, to the extent that such working papers include records that would ordinarily constitute part of the client's records and are not otherwise available to the client; and

(2) Any accounting or other records belonging to, or obtained from or on behalf of, the client that the licensee removed from the client's premises or received for the client's account; the licensee may make and retain copies of such documents of the client when they form the basis for work done by him.

COMMENT. This subsection reflects a commonly recognized ethical obligation. It seems of sufficient importance to deserve incorporation in the statute.

(c) Nothing herein shall require a licensee to keep any workpaper beyond the period prescribed in any other applicable statute.

COMMENT. The new subsection is designed to make clear that Section 19 does not impose any new record retention requirement. The retention period may be based on the licensee's professional judgment and any existing law.

SECTION 20 PRIVITY OF CONTRACT

(a) Applicability of Section - Suits for Negligent Performance of Accountancy Services

(1) This section applies to all causes of action of the type specified herein filed on or after the effective date.

(2) This section governs any action based on negligence brought against any accountant or firm of accountants

registered, licensed or practicing in this state by any person or entity claiming to have been injured as a result of financial statements or other information examined, compiled, reviewed, certified, audited or otherwise reported or opined on by the defendant accountant.

(b) Requirement of Privity - No action covered by this section may be brought in any court in this state unless:

(1) The plaintiff (1) is issuer (or successor of the issuer) of the financial statements or other information examined, compiled, reviewed, certified, audited or otherwise reported or opined on by the defendant and (2) engaged the defendant accountant to examine, compile, review, certify, audit or otherwise report or render an opinion on such financial statements; or

The defendant accountant; (1) was aware at the time the engagement was undertaken that the financial statements were to be made available for use in connection with a specified transaction by the plaintiff who was specifically identified to the defendant accountant, (2) was aware that the plaintiff intended to rely upon such financial statements in connection with the specified transaction, and (3) had direct contact and communication with the plaintiff and expressed by words or conduct the defendant accountant's understanding of the reliance on such financial statements or other information.

COMMENT. This section embodies the common law rule that only persons in a relationship of privity of contract (i.e., a direct contractual relationship), or a relationship so close as to approach that of privity, may sue an accountant for negligence. This rule is derived from the seminal decision of Chief Justice Cardozo of the N.Y. Court of Appeals in Ultramares Corporation v. Touche, 255 N.Y. 170 (1931), which was reaffirmed by that court in Credit Alliance v. Arthur Andersen & Co., 65 N.Y. 2D 536 (1985).

SECTION 21 UNIFORM STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS

(a) Applicability of Section - Suits for Breach of Contract or Negligent Performance of Accounting Services

(1) This section applies to all causes of action of the type specified herein filed on or after the effective date.

(2) This section governs any action based on negligence or breach of contract brought against any accountant, any partnership of such accountants or any accounting

corporation registered, licensed or practicing in this state by any person or entity claiming to have been injured as a result of financial statements or other information examined, compiled, reviewed, certified, audited or otherwise reported or opined on by the defendant accountant as a result of an engagement to provide professional accounting services.

- (b) Statute of Limitations No action covered by this section may be brought in any court in this state unless the suit is commenced on or before the earlier of:
 - (1) one year from the date the alleged act, omission or neglect is discovered or should have been discovered by the exercise of reasonable diligence; or
 - three years after the service for which the suit is brought has been performed or the date of the initial issuance of the accountant's report on the financial statements or other information, whichever comes first.

COMMENT. This section establishes a uniform statute of limitations for accountant's negligence and breach of contracts actions of one year from the date of discovery of the claim, but in no event more than three years from the date of the completion of the accounting services that are the subject of complaint or date of the initial issuance of the accountant's report, whichever is earliest. It is intended to reduce the uncertainty attending potential liability exposure under differing state limitations periods.

SECTION 20 22 CONSTRUCTION; SEVERABILITY

If any provision of this Act or the application thereof to any person or entity or in any circumstances is held invalid, the remainder of the Act and the application of such provision to others or in other circumstances shall not be affected thereby.

SECTION 21 23 REPEAL OF PRIOR LAW

1 2

(existing legislation) and all other acts or parts of acts in conflict herewith are hereby repealed, provided, however, that nothing contained in this Act shall invalidate or affect any action taken or any proceeding instituted under any law in effect prior to the effective date hereof.

	1
	2
	2 3 4
	4
	56789012345678901234567890123456
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	۷ ٦
1	4
_ 1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
ユ	9 n
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6 7
2	/ ጸ
2	9
3	0
3	1
3	2
3	3 1
3	5
3	6
3	7
3	8
3	9
4 1	1
444	2
4	3
4	4

46

47

48

49 50

51

52

SECTION 22 24 EFFECTIVE DATE

This Act shall take effect on

Appendix A Legislative Policy (Annotated) American Institute of Certified Public Accountants

1. The public interest warrants the licensing and regulation of persons professing expertise in accounting who perform professional accounting services, including the expression of opinions on financial statements and other information upon which the public necessarily relies.

Protection of the public interest is a basic tenet of society. Good governments, since the beginning of civilization, have enacted laws protecting the health and welfare of the public. These basic human rights are protected, and indeed may only be secure, when the financial resources and economic well-being of society are guarded. Today, financial decisions are made, and resources are allocated, by reference to financial reports and other accounting data. These reports and data must be fair and must be believable. Both qualities are enhanced by the professional certified public accountant's work, and his function needs to be regulated for the public's sake.

The state, under its police power, may pass laws to protect the public against fraud, deception or the consequences of ignorance and incapacity, and may exact the requisite degree of skill and learning of persons in professions and pursuits which affect the public health or welfare, such as accountancy (Davis v. Allen, 307 S.W.2d 800, Tenn. Ct. App., 1957).

2. There is no such compelling need for licensing and regulation of persons offering record-keeping and elementary accounting services performed at the instance of, and for the benefit of, employers and clients. Nor is licensing required in connection with the preparation of tax returns because of regulatory and disciplinary authority presently possessed by the Internal Revenue Service and other taxing authorities.

Freedom of enterprise is a basic concept of American philosophy that must be evaluated against the public's right to protection when determining activities that need to be regulated. There does not appear to be a compelling public interest in restricting the services noted above to licensed persons only. At the same time, courts have held that the expression of opinions on financial

statements and data on which credit grantors, government officials, investors, and other third parties may rely, clearly involves the public interest in such a way as to require regulation. Professional accounting services deemed to merit regulation are perhaps well summarized in a 1964 decision of the Tennessee Court of Appeals. The Court said,

The Courts have generally recognized that the practice of public accountancy is a highly skilled and technical ... profession and, as such, may be regulated by the legislature within proper limits.... However, the Courts consistently have held that legislation which prohibits noncertified accountants from practicing the profession of accountancy is invalid as it infringes upon rights of contract in matters of purely private concern bearing no perceptible relation to the general or public welfare. in so doing, the Courts have indicated that bookkeeping and similar technical services -- as contrasted with auditing and expressing opinions on financial statements--do not involve a sufficient public interest to permit legislative interference with the normal right of an individual to deal with anyone he chooses.... (State of Tennessee ex rel. State Board of Accountancy v. Bookkeepers Business Service Co., 382 S.W.2d 559, Tenn Ct. App., 1964.)

Licensure of tax return preparers would be difficult to administer and ineffective. A major disadvantage is that tax authorities would not automatically obtain information about the returns prepared by a licensee. Without such information, it would be difficult to check on the competence or honesty of the return preparer. Moreover, licensure would not prevent improprieties associated with advertising by commercial tax return preparers and tax return preparers who are unethical. Further, the federal government should be given a fair chance to succeed in its current program of testing methods of regulating tax return preparers.

3. The practice of professional accountancy should ultimately be restricted to certified public accountants who have demonstrated competency by passing the Uniform CPA Examination, by fulfilling educational and other requirements, and by continuing to meet professional standards.

The licensure and regulation of professionals should be conducted as a professional function. State boards of accountancy have as their responsibility the maintenance of adherence to high technical and ethical standards. In this policing activity, board members should be qualified to judge whether the licensee's professional activities conform with standards established to protect the public interest.

4. The enactment of a regulatory accountancy law is not intended to deprive persons who are practicing public accounting as principals at the time of passage of the law of their means of livelihood, and they should be permitted to register as public

accountants and become subject to regulation. All further registration or licensing to practice public accountancy should be limited to persons demonstrating their competence as certified public accountants.

1

2

4 5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23 24

25

26

27 28

29 30

Registration of public accountants is appropriate to protect the interests of those who at the time of the enactment of a law had been entitled to assume the designation "public accountant." However, provision should not be made for additions to the ranks is to protect public accountants. The intention constitutional rights of those already engaged in public accounting--not to create a permanent second class of professional accountants. Those who would enter public practice in the future should do so only by satisfying educational and other requirements and by passing the Uniform CPA Examination.

5. The accounting profession serves a broad public interest as evidenced by the similarity of accounting needs in all political jurisdictions. In order that it may serve this interest, uniform licensing and regulatory requirements should be established, and unnecessary restrictions of a local character should be avoided.

Diversity in requirements for the CPA certificate tends to create confusion over the meaning of the certificate. Further, doubt is raised regarding the comparability of the competence of CPAs. Accounting principles and auditing standards used in the practice of public accounting are national in scope; they are not subject to limitations imposed by geographical boundaries. The preponderance of interstate commerce in our economy makes it necessary for qualified accountants to practice across state borders in response to the needs of the public.

INDEX TO UNIFORM ACCOUNTANCY ACT

	Section <u>Number</u>	
Appeal (of Board decisions in enforcement proceedings)	12(j)	42
Attorney General		
Adviser to Board of Accountancy	4(f)	
Initiation of criminal proceedings	16(a)	49
Auditing, Auditor		
Use of titles prohibited in certain		46.45
circumstances	14(i)	46-47
Board of Accountancy		
Annual reports	4(e)	21
Appointment of board members	4 (a)	19
Committees	4 (f)	21
Counsel	4 (f)	21
Defined	3(a)	14
Disposition of fees	4 (d)	
Employees	4(f)	
Established	4 (a)	
Immunity from personal liability	4 (g)	
Meetings	4 (b)	
	4(h)(1)	
Members who conduct investigations	11(b)	
	12 (d)	41
Members who present evidence	12 (d)	41
Officers	4 (b)	20
Power to sue and be sued	4 (a)	22
Qualifications of Board members	4(a)	19
Removal of Board members	4(a)	19
Remuneration of Board members	4 (c)	21
Term of office	4(a)	19
Certificate		
As condition to use of title "certified		
<pre>public accountant"</pre>	14(d)	45
Defined	3 (b)	14
Educational qualifications for issuance	5(c)	
Holders may not practice public accountancy		
without permit	14(j)	47
Issuance to holders of certificates in		
other states	6(d)	30-31
Procedures for granting	5	24-28
Reinstatement (after suspension or		
revocation)	13(a)	43
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	13 (c)	43-44
Renewal	<u>5(ì)</u>	27-28
Renewal	5(b)	24
Requisite for individual permit to	• •	_
practice	6(a)	28
	• •	

Requisite for membership on Board Rules governing use of titles, by holders of certificates not also holding	4 (a)	19
permits	4(h)(6) 14(h)	23 46
Suspension or revocation	10(a)	37-38
Relation to definition of "certificate" Rules governing use of titles by holders of certificates not also holding permits	3 (b)	14
to practice	4 (h) (6) 14 (d)	23 45
Use of these titles or misleadingly similar ones, by unlicensed persons,		
prohibited	14 (d) 14 (e) 14 (h)	45 45 46
Client Confidential communications to licensee Records	18 19(b)(2) <u>19(c)</u>	50 51 51
Relation to definition of "practice of public accountancy"	3 (g)	15-16
papers	19(b)(1)	51
Compilations of Financial Statements,		
Reports on	14(c)	45
to licensee)	18	50
Confidential Information	4 (b) 11 (b)	20 39
Construction (of the Act)	20 22	53
Consulting Services	3 (g)	15-16
Continuing Professional Education (CPE)		
Condition for reinstatement of suspended		
or revoked certificate or permit	13(c)	
Remedy in enforcement proceeding Requisite for renewal of a certificate	10(b)(2) <u>5(i)</u>	38 27 - 28
Requisite for renewal of permit to practice	4(h)(3)	22
processes	6(e)	31-32
Rules governing	4 (h) (3)	22
corporations)		
Applicants for issuance or renewal of	7 (~ \	2.2
permits to practice	7 (c) 3 (c)	33 14
Of enforcement proceeding; Board's authority to require respondent		

licensee to pay	10(c)	39
Board advised by counsel in enforcement		
proceedings	12(e)	41
Board's authority to retain	4(f)	21
Presentation of evidence supporting the		
complaint by counsel in enforcement	10/3)	4.2
proceedings	12(d)	41
Respondent licensee's right to be		
represented by counsel in enforcement proceedings	12(c)	41
Crime	12 (C)	41
Conviction of, as ground for penalty	10(a)(8)	38
Criminal Penalties (for violation of	10(4)(0)	30
prohibitions of the Act)	16	49
Definitions	3	14-18
Director (of professional service		
corporation)	12(c)	41
-	19(a)	50
Disclaimers (of opinion)		
Relation to definition of "report"	3(i)	17
Educational Requirements		
For certificates	4(h)(3)	22
	5(c)	25
For individual permits to practice,		
as partial substitute for experience	6 (a)	29
requirement	6(c) 22 <u>24</u>	29 54
Employee	zz <u>z4</u> 14(a)	44
rmproyee	14(i)	46-47
	14(j),18	
	19(a)	50
Enforcement	• •	
Against holders of certificates and		
permits	10	37-39
ProceduresInvestigations	11	39-40
ProceduresHearings by the Board	12	40-43
Examination		
As requirement for granting of certificate;	E (3)	25 26
contents thereof	5 (d)	25-26
"Conditioning" requirements	5(e)	26-27
Fees	5(f)	27
Experience Requirements For individual permit to practice	6(c)	29
Fees	0(0)	23
Disposition of	4 (d)	21
For examination	5(f)	27
For firm permits to practice	7(e)	34
For individual permits to practice	6(f)	32
Felony	• •	
Conviction of, as grounds for penalty	10(a)(8)	38
Financial Advisory Services		

Relation to definition of "Practice of Public		
Accountancy"	<u>3 (g)</u>	15-16
Firm Defined	3 (c)	14
Investigations	11(a),11(c) 7	39-40 32-36
Prohibition on use of titles "certified	,	32-30
public accountants" and "CPA" without	244.3	4.5
permit	14(e)	45
accountants" and "PA" without permit	14 (g)	46
Public accountants Unlawful Acts	8	36 44-45
Unlawiul Acts	14(a)-(c) 14(e)	44-45
	14 (g)	46
	14(h) 14(i)	46 46 - 47
	14(1) 14(j)	40-47
	14 (k)	48
Firm Name	14(1)	48
Use of misleading name prohibited	14(k)	48
Foreign Country		
Rights of holder of certificate or other entitlement issued in a foreign		
country	14(1)	48
Fraud Element of crime on which convicted	10/21/81	38
In obtaining certificate or permit	10(a)(8) 10(a)(1)	38 37
In practice of public accountancy	10 (a) (5)	38
Performance of fraudulent act while holding certificate or permit	10(a)(9)	38
Good Character	10(4)(5)	30
Requirement for certificate	5(a),5(b)	24
Hardship Waivers of "conditioning" requirements for		
passage of CPA examination by reason of		
hardship	5 (g)	27
Hearings By Board in enforcement proceedings	12	40-43
Holding Out		
As element of "practice of public accountancy"	3 (g)	15-16
Board's authority to define	4(h)(5)	23
Injunctions (against unlawful acts)	15	49
Investigations Generally	11	39-40
Rules of procedure governing conduct of	4(h)(2)	22
Licensee	12/21	40
Complaints against	12 (a) 18	50
Defined	3(e)	15

Enforcement against	10(a) 4(i) 4(b) 12(b) 12(c) 19	37-38 23 20 40 41 50-51
penalty	16(b) 14(k) 7(d) 7(f)	49 48 34 34
Officers (of professional service corporation)	7(c) 12(c) 14(a)-(c) 14(e) 14(i) 14(j) 14(k) 18, 19(a)	45 46-47 47 48
Opinions (on financial statements)	10, 10(4)	
Preparation of financial statements without issuance of reports	14(a)	44
permit or competence	14(i)	46-47
Relation to definition of "report"	3(Ì)	17
Organization	14(a)-(c)	44-45
-	14(i)	46-47
Partnership	3(c),7(c)	14, 33
Administrative fines	10(a)	37-38
Censure	10(a)	37-38
Criminal	16	49
Limitation of scope of practice	10(a)	37-38
Probation	10(a)	37-38
Reprimand	10(a)	37-38
renew permit or certificate	10(a)	37-38
Defined	3(f)	15
Firm permits; issuance and renewal	7``	32-36
Individual permits: issuance and renewal .	6	28-32
Provisional	6(b),7(b) 28	3-29, 33
Regular	6(a)	28
Reinstatement	13(a)	43
	13(c)	43-44
Relation to definition of "licensee"	3(f)	15

Accountancy by holder of certificate 14(j) 47	Requisite for issuance of reports Requisite for membership on Board Requisite for practice of public	14(a) 4(a)	44 19
Revocation, suspension, and refusal to renew, as penalties	accountancy by holder of certificate	14(e)	45
Policy of State	Revocation, suspension, and refusal to		
Practice of Public Accountancy Conduct reflecting adversely on licensee's fitness for			
Conduct reflecting adversely on licensee's fitness for		2	13
fitness for			
Defined		10(0)(10)	20
Dishonesty, fraud, or gross negligence in . Experience in practice as basis for issuance of certificate to holder of certificate of another state			
Experience in practice as basis for issuance of certificate to holder of certificate of another state			
issuance of certificate to holder of certificate of another state		10(a)(3)	30
certificate of another state			
Prohibited to holders of certificates, without permits		6(d)	30-31
without permits		- (/	
Requisite experience for issuance of permit		14(j)	47
Rules of professional conduct governing		.,,	
Use of professional or firm name or designation in practice		6(c)	29
designation in practice		4(h)(4)	23
Privity			
Professional Conduct Regulation of, as purpose of the Act		\ /	
Regulation of, as purpose of the Act		<u>20</u>	51-52
Rules governing, Board's authority to promulgate		_	
promulgate	Regulation of, as purpose of the Act	2	13
Professional Name (see Firm Name) Professional Service Corporations (see		4 (2) (4)	22
Professional Service Corporations (see Corporations) Pronouns		4(n)(4)	23
Corporations) Pronouns			
Pronouns			
Proprietorship	<u>-</u>	3 (4)	15
Public Accountancy (see Practice of Public Accountancy) Public Accountant, PA Entitlement to engage in practice of public accountancy			
Accountancy) Public Accountant, PA Entitlement to engage in practice of public accountancy		3(0)	
Public Accountant, PA Entitlement to engage in practice of public accountancy			
Entitlement to engage in practice of public accountancy			
accountancy	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
prohibited		8	36
Public Official Excepted from prohibition on issuance of reports	Use of title by unlicensed person or firm		
Excepted from prohibition on issuance of reports		14(h)	46
reports			
Excepted from prohibition of use of titles			
titles	reports	14(a) - (c)	44-45
Purpose of Act (see Policy of State) Quality Reviews As condition for reinstatement of suspended or revoked certificate or permit 13(c) 43-44 As remedy in enforcement proceeding 10(b)(1) 38			46 45
Quality Reviews As condition for reinstatement of suspended or revoked certificate or permit 13(c) 43-44 As remedy in enforcement proceeding 10(b)(1) 38	titles	14(1)	46-47
As condition for reinstatement of suspended or revoked certificate or permit 13(c) 43-44 As remedy in enforcement proceeding 10(b)(1) 38			
or revoked certificate or permit 13(c) 43-44 As remedy in enforcement proceeding 10(b)(1) 38			
As remedy in enforcement proceeding 10(b)(1) 38		13 (c)	43-44
		(- / (- /	

to practice [optional provision] Defined	7(g) 3(h) 4(h)(7)	34-35 17 23
Certificates and permits granted to holders of certificates of other states Records (of client)	6 (d) 19 4 (b)	30-31 50-51 20
Following suspension or revocation of certificate or permit	13	43-44
by the Model Bill Uniform Act)	21 <u>23</u>	53
By officers, partners, or employees of firm or organization to which report pertains Compilations	14(a) 14(c) 3(i) 14(i) 14(b) 14(b)	44 45 17 46-47 44 44
Of certificates and permits of this state	10 7(f)	37 - 39
Of right to practice before state and federal agencies	10(a)(2) 10(a)(4) 4(h) 6(b) 4(h)(1) 4(h)(3) 3(j) 4(h)(3) 4(h)(3) 4(h)(5) 4(h)(2) 12(a) 12(b)	37 22-23 28-29 22 22 18 22 22 23 22 40 40
Practice review as condition to renewal of firm permits [optional provision] Procedures for adoption of rules	7(g) 4(i) 4(h)(4) 4(h)(7) 4(h)(6) 10(a)(6)	34-35 23 23 23 23 23 38

certificates and permits to practice	9	37
Severability (of provisions of the Act, in the event any provision is held invalid) . Shareholders (of professional service corporation)	20 <u>22</u>	53
	7(c),7(f) 12(c) 14(j) 14(k),18 19(a)	33, 34 41 47 48, 50 50
State Defined	3 (k)	18
Statute of Limitations	21	
to issue)	4(g),11(a)	22. 39
Suspension (see Revocation)	- (3//(~/	22, 05
Tax (preparation of returns, furnishing of advice)		
Not prohibited to unlicensed persons and		
firms	14(a)	44
public accountancy"	3 (g)	15-16
Title of Act	1	13
Titles, Use of "Accountant," "auditor," and "accounting," in connection with language implying permit		
or expertise	14(i)	
"Certified public accountant," "CPA"	14(d),14(e)	
Foreign titles	14(i)	
"Public accountant," "PA"	14(f)	45-46
as purpose of Act	2	13
public accountant" and "CPA" by holders		
of certificates but not permits	4 (h) (6)	23
	14 (h)	46
Titles misleadingly similar to "certified public accountant," "public accountant,"		
"CPA" and "PA"	14(h)	46
Unlawful Acts	14(11)	40
Injunctions against	17	49
Prohibitions	14	44-49
Working papers	19(a)	50
	19(b), <u>19(</u>	<u>2)</u> 51



AICPA

American Institute of Certified Public Accountants
1211 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10036-8775

NON-PROFIT ORGANIZATION
U.S. POSTAGE

PAID

American Institute of Certified Public Accountants