IKPAN WETLAND RAINFOREST: AN AREA OF HIGH BIODIVERSITY IMPORTANCE IN SOUTH-EASTERN NIGERIA

Edem A. ENIANG¹ & Luca LUISELLI²

RÉSUMÉ

Un inventaire détaillé des mammifères, reptiles et amphibiens a été conduit dans les zones humides et de forêt de terre ferme du bloc forestier d'Ikpan (Cross River State, sud-est du Nigéria) durant la période 1998-2001. Les prospections ont montré que l'Ikpan Forest, bien que formellement non protégée tant au niveau régional qu'au plan national, héberge une remarquable biodiversité qui inclut de nombreux vertébrés de grand intérêt écologique et biogéographique et qui sont importants en termes de conservation. Parmi les mammifères, les taxons les plus importants sont les primates (11 espèces différentes dont Mandrillus leucophaeus, Pan troglodytes, Procolobius badius preussi), les éléphants et les buffles de forêt et beaucoup d'ongulés. Des lamantins et des panthères sont vraisemblablement aussi présents. Parmi les reptiles, Osteolaemus tetraspis, Kinixys spp., Varanus niloticus ornatus, Python sebae, et des caméléons sont particulièrement importants du point de vue de la conservation. De plus, cette forêt abrite une remarquable diversité d'espèces de serpents (27) et d'amphibiens (27), dont beaucoup sont de bons indicateurs de qualité écologique forestière et certaines n'avaient fait l'objet jusqu'ici que de rares mentions au Nigéria (e.g. les anoures Bufo superciliaris et Conraua crassipes). La zone montre de grandes potentialités en matière de conservation et de développement durable (écotourisme) mais doit être soigneusement gérée dans les toutes prochaines années pour éviter les effets négatifs de l'exploitation forestière, de la chasse locale, de l'agriculture et de la pêche à la dynamite.

SUMMARY

A careful survey for mammals, reptiles, and amphibians, was conducted at the wetlands and rainforests of Ikpan Forest block, Cross River State (south-eastern Nigeria), during the period 1998-2001. The surveys proved that Ikpan Forest, although formally not protected at either regional or national levels, houses an extraordinarily rich biodiversity, including many vertebrates of ecological, biogeographic, and conservation importance. Among mammals, the most important taxa are primates (11 different species, including *Mandrillus leucophaeus*, *Pan troglodytes, Procolobus badius preussi*), forest elephants, forest buffalos, and many ungulates. Manatees and leopards may also be present. Among reptiles, *Osteolaemus tetraspis, Kinixys* spp., *Varanus niloticus ornatus, Python sebae*, and chameleons are especially important in conservation terms. Moreover, the study forest houses a conspicuous

Rev. Écol. (Terre Vie), vol. 57, 2002.

¹ Department of Forestry and Wildlife, University of Uyo, P.M.B. 1017, Uyo, Akwa-Ibom State, Nigeria; and Biodiversity Preservation Group, 93 Ndidem Usang Iso Road, H.E. P.O. Box 990, Calabar, Cross River State, Nigeria (e-mails:edemeniang@yahoo.com; gabc@beta-linkserve.com)

² F.I.Z.V., Via Olona 7, I-00188 Rome, Italy. Institute of Environmental Studies "Demetra", via dei Cochi 48/B, I-00133 Rome, Italy (e-mails: lucamlu@tin.it; lucalui@iol.it)

diversity of species of snakes (27) and amphibians (27), including many species which are good ecological indicators of forest quality, and some species which were rarely recorded in Nigeria before (*e.g.*, the anurans *Bufo superciliaris* and *Conraua crassipes*). The study area has potentials for conservation and sustainable development (eco-tourism), but should certainly be monitored carefully in the next years to avoid the negative effects of timber extraction, local hunting, farming, and fishing with dynamites.

INTRODUCTION

According to Ramsar Convention Bureau's definition (1997), wetlands are "areas of marsh, fen, peat-land or water, whether natural or artificial, permanent or temporary, with water that is static or flowing, fresh, brackish or salt, including areas of marine water the depth of which at low tide does not exceed six meters". According to the United States Department of Fish and Wildlife Services (1979), there are six major categories of wetlands, all of them with important examples inside the political territory of the Federal Republic of Nigeria (Singh et al., 1995). Marine wetlands are well represented by Lagos-Lekki lagoons, estuarine wetlands by Stubbs Creek Forest Reserve (Akwa-Ibom State) and Bakasi Peninsula (Cross River State), lacustrine wetlands by Hadejia Nguru and Lake Chad, palustrine wetlands by Niger Delta marshes, artificial wetlands by Festac Town Canal, Lagos, and riverine wetlands by, e.g., Ikpa River and Ikpan Forest block. Therefore, Nigeria is a country of exceptional importance for wetlands, and also an important hotspot for biodiversity, especially in the south-eastern part of the country where rainforest and mangrove forest blocks are found (Singh et al., 1995; Olajide & Eniang, 2000), and where several endemic taxa are found in many faunal and floral groups (Kingdon, 1990).

Among the remnant rainforest wetlands of south-eastern Nigeria, the Ikpan Forest block appears to be a truly extraordinary important biodiversity hotspot, but nevertheless it has been almost totally forgotten by previous ecological research in this part of Africa. However, because of the importance of its natural resources, it should certainly be categorized among the most important areas of the Nigeria-Cameroon (= Biafran) forest block. In this paper, we present the results of a long-term ecological survey of the Ikpan Forest block, with emphasis on the populations of medium to large-sized mammals, reptiles and amphibians, and with conservation and management implications. We would strongly urge, by means of the present scientific paper, the international conservation community and the Federal Government of Nigeria to adopt immediate and serious conservation measures for the preservation of this extraordinary Afrotropical wetland area.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

STUDY AREA

The Ikpan Forest block is situated, in the Cross River State (capital city: Calabar), on longitudes $08^{\circ} 35'-08^{\circ} 45'$ E, and latitudes $05^{\circ} 00'-05^{\circ} 15'$ N. It is a typical riverine wetland ecosystem, which has a system of four major rivers (*i.e.* Ebe, Akpa Yafe, Akpa Korup, and Ikpan), over 8 permanent streams, and 12

seasonal streams with tributaries traversing the entire length and breadth of the forest. It is the forest block adjacent to Korup National Park in Cameroon, and falls originally under the Oban Hills Forest Reserve. kpan forest block covers approximately 100 km² of rainforest, either disturbed or even undisturbed. The environmental conditions of the kpan forests are similar to those of the Oban forests, although slightly different in botanical composition. The plant species found in approximately 70 % of the forested territory include, but are not limited to, members of the families Caesalpiniaceae, Mimosaceae, Papilionaceae, Rubiaceae, Lecythidaceae, Palmae, Euphorbiaceae and Bignoniaceae. The rest 30 % is secondary forest and the trees found belong to families such as Mimosaceae. Rhizophoraceae, Meliaceae, Palmae, Moraceae, Rutaceae, Euphorbiaceae and Bignoniaceae. From amongst this great diversity of tropical timber species, are some trees of high economic value still existing in some of the relatively undisturbed forest patches. The prevailing wetness of those ecosystems for most of the year has made commercial timber logging a difficult venture in the area, which has saved wide sectors of this remarkable forested block. In fact, it is easily observed that the remnant intact forests of Cross River State (including this one) are those to be found in very difficult terrains that neither facilitate logging, agriculture, nor infrastructural development. Moreover, our study area is either too hilly, rocky, mountainous and swampy for being extensively used for human industry practices. Thus, on a typical forest survey plot, there is still a significant density of large trees with about 260 stems greater than 30 cm diameter at breast height (dbh) per hectare, and about 45-65 stems of 1 m and above dbh per hectare.

The people impacting directly on the Ikpan forest are mainly from Ekonganaku community, which is the major human settlement within the area. They are a migrant tribe who settled in Ikpan forest block, about 1904, from the Korup tribe of Cameroon. Farming, hunting, and gathering of wild fruits and other non-timber forest products are their major occupations.

METHODS

A long-term research study (years 1998-2001) was conducted at the study area. The research study was conducted in three main phases as follows:

(1) *Reconnaissance Survey.* — The research team went into the area in December 1998, for a 12-days-long preliminary survey, to familiarize with the area, people, and accesses to see if it was feasible to undertake a long-term field ecological research. At the end of the visit, it was accepted as a potential project site and a pilot study was embarked upon.

(2) *Pilot Study.* — A pilot study lasting three months (September-November 1999) was undertaken. A simple "broad sweep survey" of the entire area was used as study method, with recording of all the mammals, reptiles, amphibians, and of several birds, encountered. The study team was divided into two groups, and different areas of the forest were surveyed simultaneously. either during daylight and night hours, in sunny as well as in rainy days, with a view to narrow down the scope and concentrate on the most viable areas.

(3) Final Research Period. — After three months of pilot study, three principal areas were selected for intensive studies. They were: (i) the Erat road area downstream of Akpa Korup River, (ii) Ekon road area (north-east of Ekonganaku village), and (iii) the north-eastern upstream of Akpa Korup River.

A base camp was established in each of the three selected areas, and three transects of 4 km (a standard length established for the transects in all the chosen locations) were followed in each of the selected areas. The three transects were located on the ground, and on a map of the area in such a way that each was cut across the forest, without falling outside the study site. After cutting the whole length, it was left for two weeks before sampling was embarked upon. Two field camps which were close to our transects (< 500 m away) were relocated to prevent noise from the camp reaching the transect and influencing faunal activities. Since the study area has been subjected to hunting by local people persistently for many years, the animals were very shy, hence the need to leave the transects for at least two weeks after initial cutting. Research equipment included the prismatic compass, the Global Positioning System (GPS) "Garmin 12", binoculars, photocameras, video cameras, camping kits/equipment, cooking ustensils, etc.

For efficient sampling, two people walked along the transect silently, one 50 m ahead of the other. The first was watching for arboreal animals, and the second, coming behind, for ground animals. Movement was steady with a maximum speed of 1 km × hour⁻¹, stopping frequently, and watching left and right, and listening for calls. Signs of human activities, *e.g.* hunting or harvesting of non-timber forest products, were noted. Snare-traps, spent shotgun cartridges, and carbide dumps were also noted. Animal feeding signs, foot prints, tracks, etc., were recorded. Such locations were quickly determined by the use of GPS, and the relative coordinates were located on the map. In addition, specimens already dead at the time of observation (*e.g.* snakes killed by people, etc.), were preserved in alcohol for later examination of their stomach contents, etc. Moreover, photographic records for the most important species have been deposited at the University of Uyo, Department of Agricultural Sciences.

The whole research aimed at establishing the terrestrial vertebrate faunal composition of the highly important Ikpan forest block, but did not try to establish the density and population abundance of the various species, for which much more long research should have been planned.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

MAMMALS

The list of mammal species observed directly in the study area is presented in Table I. This list does not include bats and small mammals (small sized rodents and shrews), which are often of problematic identification and may require specialists' examination. The area proved to have the highest primate diversity in the Cross River State region. The area proved to be inhabited by at least 11 resident primate species (see Table I), all practically sympatric. This number of primate species is nearly identical to that observed by Oates *et al.* (1990) in the Okomu forest (western Niger Delta, southern Nigeria), and is almost equal to the total number of species observed in the whole eastern Niger Delta (*i.e.* 12 species, *cf.* Angelici *et al.*, 1999a). Drills and chimpanzees are certainly among the most important primates of the study area due to their endangered status (Oates, 1986; Gippoliti & Carpaneto, 1995), but it is also noteworthy that *Procolobus badius preussi* was just confirmed to occur here (first recent record as for Nigeria is

TABLE I

English Name	SCIENTIFIC NAME	Efik Name	KORUP NAME
Primates			
Preuss' red colobus	Procolobus badius preussi	Okoyo ebok	Konimnwok
Red eared guenon	Cercopithecus erythrotis	Adat Isim ebok	Onkoot
Crowned guenon	Cercopithecus pogonias	?	Komfong
Mona monkey	Cercopithecus mona	Awanda ebok	Enkei/bunker
Putty nose guenon	Cercopithecus nictitans	Ubit ebok	Obin
Red capped mangabey	Cercocebus torquatus	Ikpok ebok	Ikpok ebok
Drill	Mandrillus leucophaeus	Nsimbo	Etom
Chimpanzee	Pan troglodytes	Idiok	Konou/Konow
Angwantibo	Arctocebus calabarensis	Angwantibo	Kabia
Needle-clawed galago	Euoticus elegantulus	Nsama	Kombom
Demidoff's galago	Galagoides demidoffi	?	Kombom
Insectivores	с "		
Giant otter shrew	Potamogale velox	Ekwek	?
Rodents	U		?
Beecroft's flying squirrel	Anomalurus beecrofti	Efe	?
Gambian giant rat	Cricetomys gambianus	Eyot	?
Brush tailed porcupine	Atherurus africanus	Ebiong	?
African dormouse	Graphiurus murinus	?	?
Carnivores	-		
Marsh mongoose	Atilax paludinosus	Nkukwa	?
Flat-headed cusimanse	Crossarchus platycephalus	?	?
Ichneumon mongoose	Herpestes ichneumon	?	?
Forest genet	Genetta cristata	?	?
Scaly ant-eaters			
Giant pangolin	Manis gigantea	Akwa ekara	?
Tree pangolin	Manis tricuspis	Ekara	?
Hyraxes			
Western tree hyrax	Dendrohyrax dorsalis	Awawa	?
Proboscids			
African forest elephant	Loxodonta africana cyclotis	Enin	?
Ungulates			
Blue duicker	Cephalophus monticola	Aso	?
African buffalo	Syncerus caffer nanus	Enang ikot	?
Sitatunga	Tragelaphus spekei	Edop	?
Water chevrotain	Hyemoschus aquaticus	Edop idim	?
Red river hog	Potamochoerus porcus	Edi ikot	?

List of the mammal species observed at the Ikpan Forest. Local names (from both Efik and Korup people) are also included.

concerned) in 1999 (Eniang, 1999; Eniang & Nwufoh, 2000). Bush-babies appeared also very abundant in the study area (E.A. Eniang *et al.*, unpublished data).

Among the other mammals, the forest elephant is possibly the most relevant taxon in conservation terms. This species appeared rare in the study area, as well as in other forest areas of south-eastern Nigeria, but is still subjected to illegal hunting by groups of native hunters (Tooze, 1994; Schmitt, 1996). Noteworthy is also the presence of *Genetta cristata*, a rare carnivore species which is endemic of the Nigerian-Cameroon border (Powell, 1997; Angelici *et al.*, 1999b; Angelici,

2000), and which appears not uncommon here. The rest of the mammal fauna observed is quite typical of the swamp-forest habitats of south-eastern Nigeria, and includes species which are relatively abundant and widespread (*e.g.*, see Angelici *et al.*, 1999a). Although not directly observed by us, the manatee (*Trichecus senegalensis*) may be present in the river system of the study area, as it has been perfectly described by natives (with the Efik name of "Ituh"). According to natives, manatees should be very rare in the study area. The leopard (*Panthera pardus*), recorded in the adjacent Cross River National Park (Schmitt, 1996), may occur also in the study area, but firm evidence of its presence has not been obtained.

REPTILES

The list of reptile species observed directly in the study area is presented in Table II. The species diversity of reptiles was, exactly as it was the case of mammals, exceptional. In fact, within the single forest area, we were able to establish the presence of 1 crocodilian, 4 chelonians, 14 saurians, and 27 snakes (Table II). Comparisons with available literature data indicate that Ikpan forest alone housed, if contrasted with the whole Niger Delta territory (see data in Akani et al., 1999; Luiselli et al., 2000), 1/3 of the total number of crocodilian species, 4/7 of chelonian species, and 26/36 snake species, including also some species (e.g., Thrasops jacksonii) which were not observed in Niger Delta. Moreover, if we compare the snake-fauna richness of Ikpan Forest with that of other forest regions currently protected in Nigeria, the exceptional diversity of Ikpan can be easily seen: the total number of snake species was in fact 27 in Ikpan, versus 21 species in the Upper Orashi Forest Reserve in Rivers State (Akani et al., 1999), 24 species in Stubbs Creek Reserve in Akwa-Ibom State (Luiselli et al., 1998), and 41 species in Cross River National Park (Schmitt, 1996, but it should be noted that this number is likely much exaggerated due to some identification errors; Luiselli et al., unpublished data from checking of preserved specimens in the National Park collections, Akamkpa, Butatong and Calabar). The reptile fauna includes typical species assemblages of lowland rainforest, but is indeed remarkable in that nearly all the species typically linked to mature forests have been observed here (e.g., Bitis nasicornis, Pseudohaje goldii, Thrasops jacksonii, just to cite a few). Noteworthy is also the sympatric occurrence of three chameleon species, as these lizards are rare and generally threatened in Nigeria (Politano, 1998). Other important species in conservation terms are Osteolaemus tetraspis (Akani et al., 1999), Kinixys erosa, K. homeana (Lawson, 2000), Varanus niloticus ornatus (Buffrénil, 1991) and Python sebae (Luiselli et al., 2001). Concerning the diversity of snakes, it is important to stress that the Ikpan Forest community includes species with very different feeding habits, including species preying on practically every type of small and medium-sized vertebrate, from tadpoles to fish, from frogs to lizards, from snakes to rodents, and from birds to monkeys (Luiselli et al., 1998, 2001), which is a very good indicator of environmental quality as snakes are very good ecological indicators in this respect (Luiselli & Akani, in press).

AMPHIBIANS

The list of amphibians is more tentative than those of mammals and reptiles, due to (i) the difficulties in correctly identifying several species in the absence of

TABLE II

ENGLISH NAME	SCIENTIFIC NAME	EFIK NAME
Crocodilians		
Dwarf crocodile Chelonians	Osteolaemus tetraspis	Iba
Serrated hinge-back tortoise	Kinixys erosa	Ikut Akai
Home's hinge-back tortoise	Kinixys homeana	Ikut Akai
African softshell turtle	Trionyx triunguis	Ikut Inyang
West African black turtle	Pelusios niger	Ikut Mmong
Saurians		in the minimum g
Forest Nile monitor lizard	Varanus niloticus ornatus	Utai
Forest banded gecko	Hemidactylus fasciatus	Ukpong eyen akai
House gecko	Hemidactylus brooki angulatus	Unipong eyen
?	Hemidactylus intestinalis	Unipong eyen
?	Lygodactylus conraui	?
?	Mabuya polytropis	Edun dun
?	Mabuya affinis	Edun dun
?	Mabuya maculilabris	Edun dun
?	Mochlus (Riopa) fernandii	Aasaukumi
?	Panaspis togoensis	?
?	Panaspis breviceps	?
Pigmy chameleon	Rhampholeon spectrum	Ekpri Akube
Owen's chameleon	Chamaeleo owenii	?
Crested chameleon Snakes	Chamaeleo cristatus	?
Blind snakes	Typhlops sp.	?
African rock python	Python sebae	Asabo
Royal python	Python regius	Ekpri Asabo
Calabar ground python	Calabaria reinhardtii	Iwod iba
Gaboon viper	Bitis gabonica	Mkpi ibom
Rhinoceros viper	Bitis nasicornis	Ibom
Green bush viper	Atheris squamiger	?
Night adder	Causus maculatus	?
Jameson's green mamba	Dendroaspis jamesoni	Awawa ikon ikpong
Tree cobra	Pseudohaje goldii	Ebre
Spitting cobra	Naja nigricollis	Ebre
Black forest cobra	Naja melanoleuca	Ebre
West African vine snake	Thelotornis kirtlandii	?
Olive-green sand snake	Psammophis cf. phillipsi	?
?	Thrasops flavigularis	Ebre
?	Thrasops occidentalis	Ebre
$\frac{1}{2}$	Thrasops jacksonii	Ebre
Smith's water snake	Grayia smythii	Uta iyak
African marsh snake	Afronatrix anoscopus	?
Collared marsh snake	Natriciteres fuliginoides	?
Variable marsh snake	Natriciteres variegata	?
Emerald snake	Gastropyxis smaragdina	?
Green bush snake	Philothamnus spp.	?
West African file-snake	Mehelya crossii	?
Forest file-snake	Mehelya poensis	$\dot{?}$
House rat-snake	Lamprophis fuliginosus	?
Olive house rat-snake	Lamprophis Julighosus	?

List of the reptile species observed at the Ikpan Forest. Local names (from Efik people) are also included. Korup name was not recorded.

call recording and detailed comparative voucher materials, and (ii) the elusive habits of most species, which have a very short above-ground activity cycle. Thus, here we include only the species that were carefully identified, plus some other species still unidentified by us, but with genus attribution carefully established. Only anuran amphibians were found in the study area, although, based on interviews of local hunters, we cannot exclude the presence of caecilians. Caecilians have been rarely reported for Nigerian rainforests (e.g., see Schiøtz, 1963, 1966), but are well known in the adjacent Cameroon (e.g., see Perret, 1966) and a few specimens are stored in the collections of the Cross River National Park at Butatong. In total, 27 anurans were collected at the Ikpan Forest. The anuran species collected at the study area were: Silurana (Xenopus) tropicalis (Pipidae), Bufo camerunensis, B. regularis, B. maculatus, B. gracilipes, B. superciliaris, Nectophryne afra (Bufonidae), Ptychadena cf. aequiplicata, P. oxyrhynchus, P. longirostris, Hoplobatrachus occipitalis, Hylarana albolabris, Conraua crassipes, Phrynobatrachus sp. 1, Phrynobatrachus Phrynobatrachus auritus, sp. 2 (Ranidae), Arthroleptis sp. 1 (Arthroleptidae), Afrixalus dorsalis, A. (congicus) paradorsalis, Acanthixalus spinosus, Hyperolius cf. ocellatus, H. sylvaticus, Hyperolius sp. 1, Hyperolius sp. 2, Leptopelis notatus, L. cf. viridis, Leptopelis sp. 1 (Hyperoliidae). Some of these species were already recorded in localities close to our study area: for instance, Afrixalus (congicus) paradorsalis and Hyperolius ocellatus, among the others, were captured at Osomba (Schiøtz, 1967, 1999), a small village situated inside the territory of the Cross River National Park. Among these species, the biogeographically more important ones appear to be Bufo superciliaris and Conraua crassipes, both rarely recorded in Nigeria during earlier surveys. The former species, which may attain very big size in Ikpan, was collected by us inside primary forest, on a leaf litter substratum. Also previous literature on this species is in agreement in considering it as a species present only in primary forests (e.g., Lamotte, 1998). The latter species was found in the rocky spots along streams in the primary forest zone, and was observed in small groups of 2-5 adults at a site. Based on interviews with local hunters, we may suspect the occurrence at Ikpan also of the goliath frog (Conraua goliath), which, however, was not observed during our surveys. In this regard, we could not exclude that the hunters' reports were relative to large Bufo superciliaris or, more likely, Hoplobatrachus occipitalis.

CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, our long-term surveys demonstrated that the Ikpan Forest blocks are crucial areas in south-eastern Nigeria because they house an extraordinary variety of mammals, reptiles, and amphibians, and, likely, of many other faunal and floral groups. For instance, among birds, we recorded the presence of important species such as the parrots *Psittacus erithacus* and *Poicephalus gulielmi* (which was firstly recorded in Nigeria in 1999, see Eniang, 1999), and, among invertebrates, we can cite an extraordinarily high variety of Lepidoptera (cf. Schmitt, 1996).

The exceptional biodiversity of Ikpan Forest certainly calls for the attention of both the Nigerian government institutions and the international environmental and conservation organizations. Its relative vicinity with Calabar, the capital city of Cross River State which has a good international airport and is easily linked (mostly via Lagos) with other African countries, Europe, Americas, and even Asia and Australia, suggests that Ikpan Forest may have great potentials for eco-tourism development. It may on one side help to conserve the forest, and on the other side improve the economic conditions of the poor people of the area. Bird watching, primate-targeted expeditions, and the splendid landscape elements (*e.g.*, Akwa Yafe waterfall) may be great attractants to eco-tourists.

Certainly, it is however important to avoid that this splendid rainforest environment may be damaged by local and outside stressors. The most important stressors may be: (i) *excessive timber exploitation*, that may be made by commercial timber loggers as the study area is not protected by laws; (ii) *unsustainable hunting and non-timber forest products extraction*, which are linked exclusively to practices by local communities; (iii) *unsustainable farming systems*, due to individudal farmers who traditionally take over small forest areas, clear the trees, plant crops, and build farm houses; and (iv) use of dynamites to catch fish in the main rivers. All these eventual threats should be carefully monitored, and a priority measure should be to officially include the Ikpan Forest block inside the protected territory of Cross River National Park, or to create a specific protected area for it.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We wish to thank the Chief and people of Ekonganaku village, for their invaluable support in the field, and the field assistants Mr Clement Akpan, Asowo, Charles, Felix, Eteyen, and Raphael. We are also indebted to the General Manager of Cross River National Park, Rev. C.O. Ebin, and his staff for logistic assistance, and the Cartography Department of Cross River State Forestry Commission for providing the map of the study area. E. Eniang would like to thank Prof. John F. Oates ("Hunter College, CUNY", U.S.A.) for his advice and encouragement towards the field work, and sourcing of international support to the project. L. Luiselli is indebted to "Chelonian Research Foundation" (Linnaeus Fund for the years 1999, 2000), IUCN/SSC DAPTF (Seed Grant 2001), and to the companies "Demetra S.p.A.", "F.I.Z.V." (project 35ST-98-P24), "Agip S.p.A.", and "T.S.K.J. Nigeria Ltd.", for financial support, and Dr Zena Tooze ("Cercopan") for a lot of help and useful exchange of information. Dr Godfrey C. Akani, Dr Francesco M. Angelici, and Dr Massimo Capula, Prof. Christian Erard, and anonymous referees, critically commented on a draft version of this article.

REFERENCES

- AKANI, G.C., LUISELLI, L. & POLITANO, E. (1999). Ecological and conservation considerations on the reptile fauna of the eastern Niger Delta (Nigeria). *Herpetozoa*, 11: 141-153.
- ANGELICI, F.M. (2000). Food habits and resource partitioning of Carnivores (Herpestidae, Viverridae) in the rainforests of southeastern Nigeria: Preliminary results. *Rev. Écol. (Terre* et Vie), 55: 67-76.
- ANGELICI, F.M., GRIMOD, I. & POLITANO, E. (1999a). Mammals of the eastern Niger Delta (Rivers and Bayelsa States, Nigeria): an environment affected by a gas-pipeline. Folia Zoologica, 48: 249-264.
- ANGELICI, F.M., LUISELLI, L. & POLITANO, E. (1999b). Distribution and habitat of some carnivores (Herpestidae, Mustelidae, Viverridae) in the rainforests of southeastern Nigeria. Z. Säugetierkunde, 64: 116-120.
- BUFFRÉNIL, V., DE (1991). Données bibliographiques et statistiques sur la biologie et l'exploitation des varans africains. Secrétariat CITES, Lausanne.
- ENIANG, E.A. (1999). Confirmation of the Preuss's red colobus in the rainforest of south eastern Nigeria. Am. J. Primatology, (Suppl.), 146: 54.
- ENIANG, E.A. & NWUFOH, E.I. (2000). Sites of special scientific interest for the conservation of primates in Nigeria. Trans. Nig. Soc. Biol. Conserv., 7: 45-54.

GIPPOLITI, S. & CARPANETO, G.M. (1995). — The conservation of African primates: state of the art, problems and perspectives. Rivista di Antropologia, 73: 193-216.

KINGDON, J. (1990). — Island Africa. Academic Press, New York. LAMOTTE, M. (1998). — Panorama d'une faune ouest-africaine d'amphibiens: le peuplement du mont Nimba. Bull. Soc. Herp. Fr. 87-88: 5-23.

LAWSON, D. (2000). — Local harvest of hingeback tortoises, Kinixys erosa and K. homeana, in southwestern Cameroon. Chelonian Conservation and Biology, 3: 722-729.

- LUISELLI, L. & AKANI, G.C. (in press). Are snakes useful 'model organisms' to be regularly used in large-scale environmental monitoring of Afrotropical forests? Ecological Indicators.
- LUISELLI, L., AKANI, G.C. & CAPIZZI, D. (1998). Food resource partitioning of a community of snakes in a swamp rainforest of south-eastern Nigeria, J. Zool. (London), 246: 125-133.
- LUISELLI, L., ANGELICI, F.M. & AKANI, G.C. (2001). Food habits of Python sebae in suburban and natural habitats. African J. Ecology, 39: 116-118.
- LUISELLI, L., POLITANO, E. & ANGELICI, F.M. (2000). Ecological correlates of the distribution of terrestrial and freshwater chelonians in the Niger Delta, Nigeria: A biodiversity assessment with conservation implications. Rev. Écol. (Terre et Vie), 55: 3-23.
- OATES, J.F. (1986). Action Plan for African Primate Conservation 1986-1990. IUCN. Gland.
- OATES, J.F., WHITESIDE, G.H., DAVIES, A.G., WATERMAN, P.G., GREEN, S.M., DASILVA, G.L. & MOLE, S. (1990). — Determinants of variation in tropical forest primate biomass; new evidence from West Africa. Ecology, 71: 328-343.
- OLAJIDE, O. & ENIANG, E.A. (2000). Unguided forest resources exploitation and destruction in Nigeria: immediate and remote socio-ecological impacts. International Journal of Environment and Development, 4: 39-43.

PERRET, J.L. (1966). - Les Amphibiens du Cameroun. Zool. Jb. Syst., 93: 289-464.

- POLITANO, E., ed. (1998). Study of the fauna (Amphibians, Reptiles, Birds, Mammals) of the Niger Delta area and assessment of the environmental impact of the LNG Bonny Project (Port Harcourt, Rivers State, Nigeria). S. Lorenzo in Campo: E.N.I.-Aquater Press.
- POWELL, C.B. (1997). Discoveries and priorities for mammals in the feshwater forests of the Niger Delta. Orvx. 31: 83-85.
- RAMSAR CONVENTION BUREAU (1997). The Ramsar Convention Manual. A Guide to the Convention on Wetlands. Ramsar, Iran, second edition, pp. 161.
- SCHIØTZ, A. (1963). The Amphibians of Nigeria. Vidensk. Medd. Dansk Naturh. Foren., Kbh., 125: 1-92.
- SCHIØTZ, A. (1966). On a collection of Amphibia from Nigeria. Vidensk. Medd. Dansk Naturh. Foren., Kbh., 129: 43-48.
- SCHIØTZ, A. (1967). The tree frogs (Rhacophoridae) of West Africa. Spolia Zool. Mus. Haun., 25: 1-346.
- SCHIØTZ, A. (1999). Tree-frogs of Africa. Editions Chimaira, Frankfurt am Main.
- SCHMITT, K. (1996). Zoological Survey in the Oban Division, Cross River National Park. Oban Hills Programme Report, Calabar.
- SINGH, J., MOFFAT, D. & LINDEN, O. (1995). Defining an environmental development strategy for the Niger Delta (two volumes). World Bank (Industry and Energy Operations Division, West Central Africa Department), Lagos.
- TOOZE, Z. (1994). Elephant distribution, abundance and movements in the Oban Division of Cross River National Park. Report on hunter interviews carried out by Zena Tooze, May & November 1994. Oban Hills Programme Report, Calabar.

- 28 --