International Journal of Advances in Pharmaceutical Analysis IJAPA Vol. 4 Issue 1 (2014) 27-37 Journal Home Page http://www.ijapa.ssjournals.com

## Development of validated stability indicating assay method for the simultaneous estimation of hydrochlorothiazide Amlodipine besylate and Losartan potassium in combine dosage form

## M. S. Charde\*, K. D. Somkuwar, A. S. Welankiwar, J. Kumar and R. D. Chakole

#### *Government College of Pharmacy, Kathora Naka, Amravati-444604, (M.S.) India – 444604* Abstract

A Stability indicating Reverse-Phase liquid chromatographic method for the simultaneous estimation of HCTZ, LOSA and AMLO was developed. The chromatographic assay involves the use of SUPELCO LC-8-DB column (15 cm x 4.6 mm, 5  $\mu$ m) with a simple mobile phase composition of Buffer (monobasic Potassium Dihydrogen phosphate of 0.025 M having pH 3.7): Acetonitrile (60:40) at a flow rate of 1mL/min with U.V detection at wavelength of 232 nm. The method showed good linearity in the concentration range of 4-40  $\mu$ g/mL for HCTZ and 2-22  $\mu$ g/mL for AMLO and 15-150  $\mu$ g/mL for LOSA. The proposed method was also successfully applied to 20 tablets of marketed formulation (Trilopace). The developed method was successfully validated as per the ICH guidelines for following parameters. Accuracy, precision, ruggedness, robustness, system suitability tests, etc. The RSD for system precision was found to be 0.89-0.49 for HCTZ, AMLO, and LOSA and for method precision 1.0-1.4 for HCTZ, AMLO, and LOSA. The average percentage recoveries 99.75, 99.88, 98.93 for HCTZ, AMLO, LOSA which was in good agreement with labeled amount of Pharmaceutical formulation. The stability indicating capacity was tested by accelerated degradation of marketed formulation in acidic (0.1 N HCL), basic (0.1 N NaOH), , Oxidative (3% H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>), Thermal (80<sup>o</sup>C) **Keywords:** LOSA, HCTZ, AMLO, Stability Indicating, Force degradation, Assay method

#### 1. Introduction

The technique HPLC is so called because of its improved performance over the classical column chromatography. The technique basically involves the use of porous material as a stationary phase and the liquid mobile phase is pumped into the column under high pressure. The development of this technique is attributed to the small particle size of stationary phase. As the particle size is small the resistance to the flow of mobile phase is very high that is the reason why the high pressure is recommended.<sup>1, 2</sup> The stability indicating assays are defined as validated quantitative analytical methods that can detect the changes with time in the chemical, physical, or microbiological properties of the drug substance and drug product, and that are specific so that the contents of active ingredient, degradation products, and other components of interest can be accurately measured without interference. Stress testing is the main tool that is use to predict stability problems, develop analytical methods, and identify degradation product and pathways. Stress testing is likely to be carried out on single batch of the drug substance. It should include the effect of temperature in 10°C increments (Eg.50°C, 60°C etc). Above that for accelerated testing, humidity (Eg. 75% RH or greater) where appropriate oxidation and photolysis on the drug substance. The testing should also evaluate the susceptibility of the drug substance to hydrolysis across a wide range of pH values when in solution or suspension. Photostability testing should be an integral part of stress testing. The review of literature<sup>9-13, 17-19</sup> suggested that very few stability indicating assay method for the above combination

has been reported so the present work is undertaken with following objectives The present work is undertaken with an objective to develop economical, simple, accurate, precise and reproducible stability indicating assay method for estimation of these drugs in their combined dosage form. Amlodipine besylate [Figure 1] chemically is 3-ethyl 5-methyl 2-[(2aminoethoxy) methyl]-4- (2-chlorophenyl)-6- methyl-1,4-dihydropyridine-3,5-dicarboxylate. It is a white to off-white crystalline powder and Solubility Slightly soluble in water, freely soluble in Methanol, sparingly soluble in ethanol. While the losartan potassium [figure 2] chemically is (2-butyl-4-chloro-1-{[2'-(1Htetrazol-5-yl) biphenyl-4-yl]methyl}-1H-imidazol-5yl)methanol. It is white to off-white free flowing crystalline powder and it is freely soluble in water, soluble in alcohols, and slightly soluble acetonitrile and methyl ethyl ketone.

While hydrochlorothiazide is [Figure 3] chemically is 6-chloro-1,1-dioxo-3,4-dihydro-2*H*-1,2,4-benzothiadiazine-7-sulfonamide and it is white crystalline powder and it is slightly soluble in Water methanol and Soluble in Acetone<sup>14-16, 5</sup>.

#### Figure 1: Chemical structure of amlodipine besylte



#### Figure 2: Chemical structure of losartan



Figure 3: chemical structure of

hydrochlorothiazide



## 2. Experimentals

Chemicals and reagents: The gift sample of Hydrochlorothiazide, Amlodipine besylate and Losartan Potassium was provided bv ZIM Laboratories, Nagpur. The tablet formulation of Hydrochlorothiazide, Amlodipine besylate and Losartan Potassium was purchased from the local market. All the chemicals used of HPLC Grade (Merk Ltd., Mumbai) and double distilled water was used for mobile phase preparation.

**Instrument:** An HPLC system of shimadzu with pump- LC 2010 CHT and Detector- PDA with Software- LC Solution (Shimadzu) with column of SUPELCO LC-8-DB (15 cm x 4.6 mm, 5  $\mu$ m) and isocratic elution is performed using Buffer (monobasic Potassium Dihydrogen phosphate of 0.025 M having pH 3.7) : Acetonitrile (60: 40) as mobile phase. At flow rate of 1 ml/min at detection wavelength of 232 nm.

**Preparation of Mobile Phase:** Prepare a mixture of Acetonitrile of HPLC grade and Buffer in 40:60 ratio respectively. This was selected as common solvent for preparation of stock solution and further dilutions from stock solutions were made in the same mixture of Acetonitrile and Buffer in the ratio 40:60.

**Preparation of Buffer:** Dissolve 3.4 gms of monobasic Potassium Dihydrogen phosphate in 1000 ml of HPLC water, and adjust pH to 3.7 with Ortho Phosphoric Acid.

**Preparation of Standard Solution:** Weigh accurately 31.25 mg of Hydrochlorothiazide, 17.36 mg of Amlodipine Besylate equivalent to Amlodipine 12.5 mg and 125 mg of Losartan Potassium working standard in a 100ml volumetric flask and dissolve by sonication in sufficient mobile phase then make up the volume by mobile phase.

**Preparation of working standard solution:** Dilute 5.0 ml of this solution to 50 ml with mobile phase to get the working concentration of amlodipine besylate, losartan potassium and hydrochlorothiazide.

**Selection of detection wavelength:** from the overlain spectra the detection wavelength selected was 232 nm.



Figure 4: overlain spectra of LOSA, HCTZ, and AMLO

**Linearity study:** From the standard stock solution sufficient volume of aliquots were transferred to 10 ml volumetric flask to get the concentration in range of 4-40µg/ml for HCTZ, 2-22 µg/ml for AMLO and 15-150 µg/ml for LOSA. Volume of 20µl of each sample was injected with the help of Hamilton Syringe. All measurements were repeated five times for each concentration and calibration curve was constructed by plotting the peak area versus the drug concentration.

Analysis of Marketed formulation: Weighed accurately 20 tablets and determine the average weight then crushed the tablets into fine powder. Transfer powder equivalent to 125 mg Losartan Potassium in 100 ml volumetric flask and dissolve by sonication for 10 minutes and make up the volume to 100 ml with mobile phase stir the solution for 30 minutes. Centrifuge and dilute 5.0 ml of this solution to 50.0 ml with mobile phase. Equal volume (20µL) of standard and sample solution was injected separately after equilibrium of stationary phase. The chromatograms were recorded and the response i.e. peak area of major peaks were measured. The content of Hydrochlorothiazide, Amlodipine Besylate and Losartan Potassium were calculated by comparing a sample peak with that of standard.

## Method validation<sup>6</sup>:

**1. Accuracy**: It was done by recovery study using standard addition method at 80%, 100% and 120% level; known amount of AMLO, HCTZ and LOSA standard was added to preanalysed sample and subjected to the proposed HPLC method. The percent recovery was then calculated by using following formula

$$\% \text{ Recovery} = \begin{array}{c} E_{w} - B \\ ------ X \ 100 \\ C \end{array}$$

Where,  $E_w = \text{Total drug estimated (mg)}$ 

B= Amount of drug contributed by preanalysed capsule powder (mg)

C= Weight of pure drug added (mg). 2.

Precision:

**2.1 System precision:** System Precision was determined by taking five replicate injections of Standard solution into HPLC system. Weigh accurately 31.25 mg of Hydrochlorothiazide, 17.36 mg of Amlodipine Besylate equivalent to Amlodipine

12.5 mg and 125 mg of Losartan Potassium working standard in a 100ml volumetric flask and dissolve by sonication in sufficient mobile phase then make up the volume by mobile phase. Dilute 5.0 ml of this solution to 50 ml with mobile phase i.e; 31.25 mcg/ml of Hydrochlorothiazide 17.36 mcg/ml of Amlodipine Besylate and 125 mcg/ml of Losartan Potassium.

**2.2 Method precision:** Method Precision was determined by six sample solution of Hydrochlorothiazide Amlodipine Besylate and Losartan Potassium Tablets were prepared and analyzed on the same day by HPLC method.

**2.3 Intermediate precision (Ruggedness):** Six sample solution of HCTZ, AMLO and LOSA Tablets was prepared as per described method and analyzed by different analyst using same make of different HPLC column. The percentage label claim of HCTZ, AMLO and LOSA in HCTZ, AMLO and LOSA tablet was calculated and reported along with the standard deviation (sample) and % RSD of the six samples.

**3. Specificity:** Specificity is the ability to assess unequivocally the analyte in the presence of components that may be expected to be present in the formulation.

**Placebo Interference study:** The solution of analytical placebo (containing all the excipients except HCTZ, AMLO and LOSA) was prepared according to the sample preparation procedure and injected in to HPLC. To identify the interference by these excipients, Standard solution and Marketed formulation of HCTZ, AMLO and LOSA were analyzed by the developed method.

**Placebo Preparation:** Weigh accurately 571.68 mg of Placebo in a 100ml volumetric flask and dissolve by sonication in sufficient mobile phase then make up the volume by mobile phase. Dilute 5 ml of this solution to 50 ml with mobile phase and mix. Filter the solution through  $0.45\mu$ m filter and inject the clear filtrate.

**Standard solution preparation:** Weigh accurately 31.25 mg of HCTZ, 17.36 mg of AMLO equivalent to 12.5 mg of AMLO and 125 mg of LOSA working standard in a 100ml volumetric flask and dissolve by sonication in sufficient mobile phase then make up the volume by mobile phase. Dilute 5.0 ml of this solution to 50 ml with mobile phase i.e 31.25 mcg/ml of Hydrochlorothiazide 17.36 mcg/ml of AMLO and 125 mcg/ml of Losartan Potassium.

**Sample solution preparation:** Weighed accurately 20 tablets and determine the average weight then crushed the tablets into fine powder. Transfer powder equivalent to 125 mg Losartan Potassium in 100 ml volumetric flask and dissolve by sonication for 10 minutes and make up the volume to 100 ml with mobile phase stir the solution for 30 minutes. Centrifuge and dilute 5.0 ml of this solution to 50.0 ml with mobile phase and mix. Filter the solution through 0.45µm filter and inject the clear filtrate.

**4.** Linearity and Range: For Drug release determination the concentration of Hydrochlorothiazide, Amlodipine Besylate and

Losartan Potassium is 31.25 mcg/ml, 17.36 mcg/ml and 125 mcg/ml respectively. So the working Range of analytes was set between 18 mcg/ml to 43 mcg/ml for Hydrochlorothiazide, 10 mcg/ml to 24 mcg/ml for Amlodipine Besylate and 75 mcg/ml to 175 mcg/ml for Losartan Potassium to show the Linearity standard solutions 18,25,31,37 and 43 mcg/ml for Hydrochlorothiazide, 10,13,17,20 and 24 mcg/ml for Amlodipine Besylate and 75, 100, 125, 150 and 175 mcg/ml for Losartan Potassium corresponding to approximately 60 % to 140 % of the test concentration where prepared as per described method.

**5. Robustness:** The tablet sample of HCTZ, AMLO and LOSA was analyzed using proposed method after a deliberate change in detection wavelength for estimation by  $\pm 2$  nm.

**6. Stability of Analytical Solution:** Prepare standard and sample solutions as per the method and Inject one standard and sample preparation initially at 0 hr and after specified time-intervals i.e after 4hrs, 8 hrs and 12 hrs Monitor the pattern of chromatogram at the pre-determined intervals and compare it against the initial pattern. Calculate the assay at each time interval. The stability of analytical solution is checked for Drugs up to 12 hrs.

**7. System Suitability Study:** Weigh accurately 31.25 mg of Hydrochlorothiazide, 17.36 mg of Amlodipine Besilate equivalent to Amlodipine 12.5 mg and 125 mg of Losartan Potassium working standard in a 100ml volumetric flask and dissolve by sonication in sufficient mobile phase then make up the volume by mobile phase. Dilute 5.0 ml of this solution to 50 ml with mobile phase.

#### **Force Degradation Studies**<sup>4</sup>:

**Preparation of Sample Solution Stock:** Weighed accurately 20 tablets and determine the average weight then crushed the tablets into fine powder. Transfer powder equivalent to 125 mg Losartan Potassium in 100 ml volumetric flask and dissolve by sonication for 10 minutes and make up the volume to 100 ml with mobile phase stir the solution for 30 minutes. Centrifuge and filter the solution through 0.45  $\mu$ m membrane filterate dilute 5.0 ml of this solution to 50.0 ml with mobile phase.

**1. Acid Degradation:** 5 ml of sample stock solution was pipette out in 50 ml Volumetric flask and it was subjected to acid stress degradation by treating the sample with 5 ml of 0.1 N HCL and the solution was kept at room temperature for 8 hour. Neutralize with 0.1 N NAOH and make up the volume to 50 ml with mobile phase and injected into the HPLC system.

**2. Base Degradation:** 5 ml of sample stock solution was pipette out in 50 ml Volumetric flask and it was subjected to Alkali stress degradation by treating the sample with 5 ml of 0.1 N NAOH and the solution was kept at room temperature for 8 hour. Neutralize with 0.1 N HCL and make up the volume to 50 ml with mobile phase and injected into the HPLC system.

**3. Oxidative Degradation:** 5 ml of sample stock solution was pipette out in 50 ml Volumetric flask

and it was subjected to Peroxide stress degradation by treating the sample with 5 ml of 3%  $H_2O_2$  and the solution was kept at room temperature in dark area for 8 hour and make up the volume to 50 ml with mobile phase and injected into the HPLC system.

**4. Thermal Degradation:** 5 ml of sample stock solution was pipette out in 50 ml Volumetric flask, make up the volume to 50 ml with mobile phase and then the solution was subjected to Thermal stress degradation by heating the sample on boiling water

## 3. Results and Discussion

**HPLC method development and optimization:** The finally optimized chromatographic conditions are.

| Column                  | SUPELCO LC-8-DB column   |
|-------------------------|--|
| Mobile Phase            | Buffer (monobasic Potassium Dihydrogen<br>phosphate of 0.025 M having pH 3.7) :<br>Acetonitrile (60: 40) |
| Detection<br>wavelength | 232 nm   |
| Injection volume        | 20 µl  |
| Flow rate               | 1 ml/min   |
| Temperature             | 30°C   |

bath at 80°c for 8 hours and injected into the HPLC system.

**5.** Photo Degradation: Photo degradation studies were carried out on solid dosage form (fine Tablet powder). The sample in a petri plate was spread as a thin layer (1 mm) and exposed to direct UV radiation for 24 hours in UV chamber. The withdrawn samples were dissolving and then diluted with mobile phase as per sample preparation.

# Figure 5: Optimized chromatogram of HCTZ, LOSA and AMLO



## 2. Linearity

Table 1: Results of Linearity studies of LOSA, HCTZ and AMLO

| Sr. No. | Cor  | ncentration in µg/m | 1                           |         | Peak Area |            |  |  |  |  |
|---------|------|---------------------|-----------------------------|---------|-----------|------------|--|--|--|--|
|         | HCTZ | AMLO                | LOSA                        | HCTZ    | AMLO      | LOSA       |  |  |  |  |
| 1       | 4    | 2                   | 15                          | 271651  | 74553     | 1094766    |  |  |  |  |
| 2       | 8    | 4                   | 30                          | 543422  | 148106    | 2289533    |  |  |  |  |
| 3       | 12   | 6                   | 45                          | 825361  | 223659    | 3284299    |  |  |  |  |
| 4       | 16   | 10                  | 60                          | 1087011 | 288213    | 4279066    |  |  |  |  |
| 5       | 20   | 12                  | 75                          | 1353750 | 372766    | 5473832    |  |  |  |  |
| 6       | 24   | 14                  | 90                          | 1578502 | 446319    | 6668599    |  |  |  |  |
| 7       | 28   | 16                  | 105                         | 1903233 | 521872    | 7663365    |  |  |  |  |
| 8       | 32   | 18                  | 120                         | 2248012 | 595426    | 8658132    |  |  |  |  |
| 9       | 36   | 20                  | 135                         | 2485754 | 670979    | 9852898    |  |  |  |  |
| 10      | 40   | 22                  | 150                         | 2817505 | 747827    | 10847665   |  |  |  |  |
|         | Y    |                     |                             |         |           |            |  |  |  |  |
|         |      | Correlative Co      | efficient (r <sup>2</sup> ) |         |           | NLT: 0.995 |  |  |  |  |

#### Figure 5: Calibration curve of HCTZ



#### Figure 6: Calibration curve of AMLO



Figure 7: Calibration curve of LOSA







**Analysis of Marketed Formulation:** The marketed formulation was Trilopace manufactured by sun pharmaceuticals. **Content:** 

1) Hydrochlorothiazide : 12.5 mg

2) Amlodipine Besylate : 5 mg

3) Losartan Potassium : 50 mg

Average weight : 292.2mg

|           | Table 2: Results of marketed formulation analysis |              |       |                        |         |                       |         |                     |        |         |               |       |       |
|-----------|---|--------------|-------|------------------------|---------|-----------------------|---------|---------------------|--------|---------|---------------|-------|-------|
| Sr.<br>No | Wei   | ght of std.( | mg)   | Weight<br>of<br>sample | Peak    | Peak area of standard |         | Peak area of sample |        |         | % Label claim |       |       |
|           | HCTZ  | AMLO         | LOSA  | (mg)                   | HCTZ    | AMLO                  | LOSA    | HCTZ                | AMLO   | LOSA    | HCTZ          | AMLO  | LOSA  |
| 1         |   |              |       | 738.7                  |         |                       |         | 2118090             | 590519 | 8660513 | 98.27         | 98.69 | 98.56 |
| 2         |   |              |       | 736.0                  | 2171510 | 506426                | 9779221 | 2111385             | 588360 | 8648859 | 98.19         | 99.39 | 98.07 |
| 3         | 32  | 17.5         | 125.9 | 730.8                  | 21/1510 | 390420                | 0//0331 | 2125548             | 584203 | 8617588 | 98.62         | 98.69 | 98.41 |

#### Method Validation:

1. Accuracy:

#### **Table 3: Recovery studies of HCTZ**

| % Level of<br>Standard | Weight of Working Standard (mg)<br>added its dilution (ml) | Area at 232<br>nm | Standard<br>Recovered<br>(mg) | %<br>Recover | Mean<br>Recover | %<br>RSD |
|------------------------|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|----------|
|                        |  | 1713040           |                               |              |                 |          |
| 80                     | 25.0/100/5/50  | 1703150           | 25 1175                       | 100.47       |                 |          |
|                        |  | 1723146           | 25.1175                       | 100.47       |                 |          |
|                        |  | 2141300           |                               |              |                 |          |
| 100                    | 31.3/100/5/50  | 2101299           | 31.1028                       | 99.37        | 99.75           | 0.6227   |
|                        |  | 2121301           |                               |              |                 |          |
|                        |  | 2569560           |                               |              |                 |          |
| `120                   | 37.6/100/5/50  | 2529438           | 37.3819                       | 99.42        |                 |          |
|                        |  | 2549558           |                               |              |                 |          |

#### Table 4: Recovery studies of AMLO

| % Level of<br>Standard | Weight of Working<br>Standard (mg) added its<br>dilution (ml) | Area at 232<br>nm | Standard<br>Recovered<br>(mg) | % Recover | Mean<br>Recover | % RSD  |
|------------------------|---|-------------------|-------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|--------|
|                        |   | 472415            |                               |           |                 |        |
| 80                     | 12 0/100/5/50   | 475321            | 12 0105                       | 100.07    |                 |        |
| 80                     | 13.5/100/5/50   | 477252            | 13.9105                       | 100.07    |                 |        |
|                        |   | 590519            |                               |           |                 |        |
| 100                    | 17.4/100/5/50   | 591810            | 17.3091                       | 99.47     | 99.88           | 0.3589 |
|                        |   | 590807            |                               |           |                 |        |
|                        |   | 708622            |                               |           |                 |        |
| 120                    | 20.9/100/5/50   | 717360            | 20.9230                       | 100.11    |                 |        |
|                        |   | 717358            |                               |           |                 |        |

#### Table 5: Recovery studies of LOSA

| % Level of<br>Standard | Weight of Working<br>Standard (mg) added and its<br>dilution (ml) | Area at 232<br>nm | Standard<br>Recovered<br>(mg) | % Recover | Mean<br>Recover | % RSD  |
|------------------------|---|-------------------|-------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|--------|
|                        |   | 6928410           |                               |           |                 |        |
| 80                     | 100/100/5/50  | 6917269           | 98.9399                       | 98.93     |                 |        |
| 80                     | 100/100/5/50  | 6937271           |                               |           |                 |        |
|                        |   | 8660513           |                               |           |                 |        |
| 100                    | 125/100/5/50  | 8654189           | 123.6754                      | 98.94     | 98.93           | 0.0058 |
| 100                    | 123/100/3/30  | 8664088           |                               |           |                 |        |
|                        |   | 10392615          |                               |           |                 |        |
| 120                    | 150/100/5/50  | 10389897          | 148.4099                      | 98.93     |                 | I      |
| 120                    | 150/100/5/50  | 10391908          |                               |           |                 |        |

## 2. Precision:

**2.1 System precision:** The results indicate that there is no variation in area counts of five consecutive

injections. The RSD values are less than 2.0 % and are well within the limits.

|           | Table 6: System precision data of HC12, AMLO and LOSA |                     |                    |           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|-----------|---|---------------------|--------------------|-----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Injection | Area at 232 nm for                                    | Area at 232 nm for  | Area at 232 nm for | Limit     |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No.       | Hydrochlorothiazide                                   | Amlodipine Besylate | Losartan Potassium |           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1         | 2191200   | 597904              | 8834082            |           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2         | 2189471   | 600107              | 8815351            |           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3         | 2174004   | 596944              | 8758132            |           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4         | 2151882   | 592328              | 873672             | NMT 2.0 % |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5         | 2150994   | 594847              | 8748020            |           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean      | 2171510   | 596426              | 8778331            |           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| +_ SD     | 19511   | 2970                | 43564              |           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| % RSD     | 0.898   | 0.498               | 0.496              |           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

able 6: System precision data of HCTZ, AMLO and LOSA

**2.2 Method Precision:** Six sample weights of Hydrochlorothiazide, Amlodipine Besylate and Losartan Potassium Tablets by same analyst in same laboratories on same day. The analysis results are ranging from 99.07 to 99.34 for Hydrochlorothiazide,

100.97 % to 101.14 % for Amlodipine Besylate and 100.05 % to 100.15 % for Losartan Potassium. The % deviation from mean Value for six samples is less than 2.0 %.

Table 7: Results of method precision

| Sr.   | Sample     |             |        |         |        |         |        | % Deviation | on Form Mean A | Assay Value |
|-------|------------|-------------|--------|---------|--------|---------|--------|-------------|----------------|-------------|
| No.   | Weight (g) | Area at 232 |        |         |        | % Assay |        |             |                |             |
|       |            | HCTZ        | AMLO   | LOSA    | HCTZ   | AMLO    | LOSA   | HCTZ        | AMLO           | LOSA        |
| 1     | 0.7315     | 2102187     | 593360 | 8698271 | 98.50  | 100.14  | 98.27  | -0.021      | -0.43          | +1.69       |
| 2     | 0.7313     | 2099371     | 592104 | 8684515 | 98.39  | 99.96   | 99.11  | -0.1        | -0.25          | +0.85       |
| 3     | 0.7311     | 2124008     | 600844 | 8808122 | 99.57  | 99.65   | 100.55 | -1.28       | +0.06          | -0.59       |
| 4     | 0.7317     | 2101872     | 593338 | 8706062 | 98.45  | 100.11  | 99.30  | -0.16       | -0.4           | +0.66       |
| 5     | 0.7319     | 2060974     | 583857 | 8547020 | 96.51  | 98.47   | 97.46  | +1.78       | +1.24          | +2.5        |
| 6     | 0.7322     | 2100738     | 592951 | 8692276 | 98.33  | 99.98   | 99.08  | -0.04       | -0.27          | +0.88       |
| Mean  |            |             |        | 98.29   | 99.71  | 99.96   |        |             |                |             |
| ± SD  |            |             |        |         | 0.9887 | 0.6358  | 1.040  |             |                |             |
| % RSD |            |             |        |         | 1.0059 | 0.6376  | 1.0402 |             | NMT 2.0 %      |             |

**2.3 Intermediate Precision (Ruggedness):** The Amlodipine Besylate and from 98.53 % to 99.17 % result are ranging from 98.46 % to 101.21 % for Hydrochlorothiazide, from 99.51 % to 99.98 % for assay value for six samples are not more than 2.0 %. **Table 8: Results of Intermediate precision** 

|       | Table 5. Results of interineulate precision |         |             |         |        |         |           |                             |       |       |  |  |
|-------|---|---------|-------------|---------|--------|---------|-----------|-----------------------------|-------|-------|--|--|
| Sr.   | Sample                                      |         | Area at 232 |         |        | % Assay |           | % Deviation Form Mean Assay |       |       |  |  |
| No.   | Weight (g)                                  |         |             |         |        |         |           |                             | Value |       |  |  |
|       |   | HCTZ    | AMLO        | LOSA    | HCTZ   | AMLO    | LOSA      | HCTZ                        | AMLO  | LOSA  |  |  |
| 1     | 0.7310                                      | 2116832 | 589218      | 8630050 | 99.25  | 99.51   | 98.53     | +0.27                       | +0.29 | +0.43 |  |  |
| 2     | 0.7308                                      | 2099349 | 591821      | 8660513 | 98.46  | 99.98   | 98.91     | +1.06                       | -0.18 | +0.05 |  |  |
| 3     | 0.7315                                      | 2108090 | 590519      | 8690976 | 98.77  | 99.66   | 99.16     | +0.75                       | +0.14 | -0.20 |  |  |
| 4     | 0.7320                                      | 2131877 | 592201      | 8698271 | 99.82  | 99.88   | 99.17     | -0.3                        | -0.08 | -0.21 |  |  |
| 5     | 0.7318                                      | 2127310 | 591321      | 8650361 | 99.63  | 99.76   | 98.65     | -0.11                       | +0.04 | +0.31 |  |  |
| 6     | 0.7321                                      | 2161800 | 593361      | 8715188 | 101.21 | 100.06  | 99.35     | -1.69                       | -0.26 | -0.39 |  |  |
| Mean  |   |         |             |         | 99.52  | 99.80   | 98.96     |                             |       |       |  |  |
| +- SD |   |         |             | 0.9713  | 0.2056 | 0.3224  | NMT 2.0 % |                             |       |       |  |  |
| % RSD |   |         |             |         | 0.9759 | 0.2059  | 0.3258    |                             |       |       |  |  |

**3. Specificity:** For the Chromatographic method does not show interference of excipients in chromatogram at the  $\lambda$ -max of the analytes or at the detection wavelength of subject analytes. In this case of HCTZ, AMLO and LOSA the detection wavelength is 232 nm.

Figure 9: Chromatogram of Placebo shows there are no peaks at the retention time of HCTZ, AMLO and LOSA in the chromatograph indicates that there is no placebo interference



Figure 10: Chromatogram of HCTZ, LOSA and AMLO working standard



## 4. Linearity and Range:

| Sr.<br>No. | Weight of Working Standard (mg) added and its<br>dilution (ml) | Concentration<br>(mcg/ml) | Mean Area Count<br>At 232 nm | Limit     |
|------------|--|---------------------------|------------------------------|-----------|
| 1          | 31.30/100/3/50   | 18.78                     | 1312999                      |           |
| 2          | 31.30/100/4/50   | 25.04                     | 1737298                      |           |
| 3          | 31.30/100/5/50   | 31.30                     | 2181399                      |           |
| 4          | 31.30/100/6/50   | 37.56                     | 2604798                      | NMT:0.995 |
| 5          | 31.30/100/7/50   | 43.82                     | 3141098                      |           |
|            | Y  |                           | 70301 X                      |           |
|            | Correlation Coefficient (r <sup>2</sup> )                      |                           | 0.9971                       |           |

 Table 9: Linearity and range study of HCTZ

### Figure 12: Calibration curve of HCTZ



 Table 10: Linearity and range study of AMLO

| Sr.<br>No. | Weight of Working Standard (mg) added and its dilution (ml) | Concentration<br>(mcg/ml) | Mean Area Count<br>At 232 nm | Limit     |
|------------|---|---------------------------|------------------------------|-----------|
| 1          | 17.4/100/3/50   | 10.4                      | 367397                       |           |
| 2          | 17.4/100/4/50   | 13.92                     | 477496                       |           |
| 3          | 17.4/100/5/50   | 17.40                     | 598596                       |           |
| 4          | 17.4/100/6/50   | 20.88                     | 724695                       | NMT:0.995 |
| 5          | 17.4/100/7/50   | 24.36                     | 843794                       |           |
|            | Y   |                           | 34610 X                      |           |
|            | Correlation Coefficient (r <sup>2</sup> )                   |                           | 0.9995                       |           |





| Sr.<br>No. | Weight of Working Standard (mg) added and its<br>dilution (ml) | Concentration<br>(mcg/ml) | Mean Area Count<br>At 232 nm | Limit     |
|------------|--|---------------------------|------------------------------|-----------|
| 1          | 125/ 100/ 3/ 50  | 75                        | 5366988                      |           |
| 2          | 125/ 100/ 4/ 50  | 100                       | 7124984                      |           |
| 3          | 125/ 100/ 5/ 50  | 125                       | 8778981                      |           |
| 4          | 125/ 100/ 6/ 50  | 150                       | 10434990                     | NMT:0.995 |
| 5          | 125/ 100/ 7/ 50  | 175                       | 12392973                     |           |
|            | Y  |                           | 70476 X                      |           |
|            | Correlation Coefficient (r <sup>2</sup> )                      |                           | 0.9988                       |           |





### 5. Robustness:

#### Table 12: Results of Robustness study

| Sr.   | Change in wavelength |        | % Estimation |        |  |
|-------|----------------------|--------|--------------|--------|--|
| No.   | (± 2 nm)             | HCTZ   | AMLO         | LOSA   |  |
| 1     | 230                  | 98.06  | 98.51        | 98.20  |  |
| 2     | 232                  | 98.36  | 98.92        | 98.35  |  |
| 3     | 234                  | 98.12  | 98.23        | 98.28  |  |
|       | Mean                 | 98.18  | 98.55        | 98.28  |  |
| ±S.D. |                      | 0.1587 | 0.3470       | 0.0750 |  |
|       | %R.S.D.              | 0.1617 | 0.3521       | 0.0763 |  |

#### 6. Stability of Analytical solution:

#### Table 13: Results of stability evaluation for HCTZ

| Time (h) | Peak Area of Standard | Peak Area of    | % Estimation |
|----------|-----------------------|-----------------|--------------|
|          | Solution              | Sample Solution |              |
| 0 hrs    | 2172004               | 2117080         | 98.20        |
| 4 hrs    | 2170010               | 2116900         | 98.29        |
| 8 hrs    | 2168471               | 2136765         | 99.28        |
| 12 hrs   | 2155320               | 2158520         | 100.60       |
|          | 99.09                 |                 |              |
|          | 1.1178                |                 |              |
|          | 1.1280                |                 |              |

#### Table 15: Results of stability evaluation of LOSA

| Time (h) | Peak Area of      | Peak Area of    | % Estimation |
|----------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------|
|          | Standard Solution | Sample Solution |              |
| Initial  | 8776321           | 8660412         | 98.59        |
| 4 Hrs    | 8774092           | 8660269         | 98.61        |
| 8 Hrs    | 8770221           | 8689649         | 98.99        |
| 12 Hrs   | 8761532           | 8638718         | 98.51        |
|          | 98.68             |                 |              |
|          | 0.2143            |                 |              |
|          | %R.S.D.           |                 | 0.2172       |

## Table 14: Results of stability evaluation

| Time (h) | Peak Area of | Peak Area of | % Estimation |
|----------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
|          | Standard     | Sample       |              |
|          | Solution     | Solution     |              |
| Initial  | 596528       | 590518       | 98.68        |
| 4 Hrs    | 596489       | 590764       | 98.56        |
| 8 Hrs    | 596121       | 589980       | 99.68        |
| 12 Hrs   | 595734       | 588620       | 100.88       |
|          | Mean         |              | 99.45        |
| ±S.D.    |              |              | 1.0774       |
|          | %R.S.D.      |              | 1.0834       |

## Table 16: Results of system suitability for HCTZ

| Sr. No. | Area Reproducibility | Retention Time | Tailing Factor | Theoretical Plates | Resolution |  |
|---------|----------------------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|------------|--|
|         |                      |                | (Asymmetry)    |                    |            |  |
| 1       | 2189472              | 3.602          | 1.801          | 2995.527           | -          |  |
| 2       | 2174882              | 3.578          | 1.805          | 2972.766           | -          |  |
| 3       | 2162726              | 3.558          | 1.807          | 2963.596           | -          |  |
| 4       | 2155432              | 3.546          | 1.806          | 2963.114           | -          |  |
| 5       | 2160294              | 3.554          | 1.797          | 3013.302           | -          |  |
| Mean    | 2168561              | 3.567          | 1.803          | 2981.661           | -          |  |
| % RSD   | 0.6322               | 0.642          | 0.232          | 0.739              | -          |  |
| Limit   | NMT 2.0 %            | NMT 1 %        | NMT 2          | NMT 2000           | NLT 1.5    |  |

## Table 17: Results of system suitability for AMLO

| Sr. No. | Area Reproducibility | <b>Retention Time</b> | <b>Tailing Factor</b> | Theoretical Plates | Resolution |
|---------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|------------|
|         |                      |                       | (Asymmetry)           |                    |            |
| 1       | 597906               | 7.905                 | 1.078                 | 2166.912           | 13.585     |
| 2       | 596468               | 7.886                 | 1.069                 | 2181.686           | 13.607     |
| 3       | 593140               | 7.842                 | 1.064                 | 2164.146           | 13.631     |
| 4       | 592081               | 7.828                 | 1.055                 | 2211.021           | 13.700     |
| 5       | 591552               | 7.821                 | 1.069                 | 2207.671           | 13.700     |
| Mean    | 594229               | 7.856                 | 1.067                 | 2186.287           | 13.645     |
| % RSD   | 0.4722               | 0.469                 | 0.787                 | 1.011              | 0.389      |
| Limit   | NMT 2.0 %            | NMT 1 %               | NMT 2                 | NMT 2000           | NLT 1.5    |

| Sr. No. | Area Reproducibility | Retention Time | Tailing Factor<br>(Asymmetry) | Theoretical Plates | Resolution |
|---------|----------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|------------|
| 1       | 8834084              | 10.504         | 1.613                         | 7959.575           | 7.217      |
| 2       | 8812217              | 10.478         | 1.613                         | 7980.377           | 7.247      |
| 3       | 8773530              | 10.432         | 1.612                         | 7976.077           | 7.266      |
| 4       | 8750822              | 10.405         | 1.619                         | 7966.598           | 7.265      |
| 5       | 8751663              | 10.406         | 1.618                         | 8004.281           | 7.294      |
| Mean    | 8784463              | 10.445         | 1.615                         | 8778.331           | 7.258      |
| % RSD   | 0.4244               | 0.424          | 0.202                         | 0.496              | 0.392      |
| Limit   | NMT 2.0 %            | NMT 1 %        | NMT 2                         | NMT 2000           | NLT 1.5    |

Table 18: Results of system suitability for LOSA

#### **System Suitability Parameters:**

1. Relative standard deviation of the area of analytes peaks in standard chromatograms should not be more than 2.0 %.

2. Theoretical plates of analytes peak in STD chromatograms should not be less than 2000.

3. Tailing Factor (Asymmetry) of analytes peaks in Standard Chromatograms should less than 2.

## **Force Degradation Studies:**

#### **1. Acid Degradation:**





**Table 19: Results of Acid degradation** 

| Stress Condition     | Drugs | % Degrdation | % Assay of Drugs<br>after degradition |
|----------------------|-------|--------------|---------------------------------------|
| Acid degradation:    | HCTZ  | 6.21         | 93.79                                 |
| 5 ml of 0.1N HCL at  | AMLO  | 5.74         | 94.26                                 |
| room temp. for 1 hr. | LOSA  | 5.30         | 94.70                                 |

#### 2. Base Degradation:



| Table 20: Results | of B | ase degrad | ation |
|-------------------|------|------------|-------|
|-------------------|------|------------|-------|

| Stress Condition     | Drugs | %<br>Degrdation | % Assay of Drugs<br>after degradition |
|----------------------|-------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|
| Alkali degradation : | HCTZ  | 6.21            | 93.79                                 |
| 5 ml of 0.1N HCL at  | AMLO  | 5.74            | 94.26                                 |
| room temp. for 1 hr. | LOSA  | 5.30            | 94.70                                 |

#### 3. Oxidative Degradation:





Table 21: Results of oxidative degradation

| Stress Condition                            | Drugs | %          | % Assay of Drugs after |
|---|-------|------------|------------------------|
|   |       | Degrdation | degradition            |
| Oxidative degradation:                      | HCTZ  | 8.24       | 91.76                  |
| 5 ml of 3% $H_2O_2$ at room temperature for | AMLO  | 7.76       | 92.24                  |
| 1 hr.                                       | LOSA  | 5.68       | 94.32                  |

#### 4. Thermal Degradation:

#### Figure 18: Chromatogram of thermal degradation



 Table 22: Results of thermal degradation

| Stress Condition                                | Drugs | % Degrdation | % Assay of Drugs after degradition |  |  |
|---|-------|--------------|------------------------------------|--|--|
| Thermal degradation 80 <sup>°</sup> c for 8 hrs | HCTZ  | 8.24         | 91.76                              |  |  |
|   | AMLO  | 7.76         | 92.24                              |  |  |
|   | LOSA  | 5.68         | 94.32                              |  |  |

5. Photo Degradation:



 Table 23: Results of Photo degradation

| Stress Condition                       | Drugs | %<br>Degradation | % Assay of<br>Drugs after<br>degradition |
|--|-------|------------------|--|
| Photo degradation:                     | HCTZ  | 7.31             | 92.69                                    |
| Solid dosage form exposed to direct UV | AMLO  | 6.84             | 93.16                                    |
| radiation for 24 hours in UV chamber.  | LOSA  | 5.37             | 94.63                                    |

#### 4. Conclusion

The proposed method was validated as Per the ICH Guidelines. The proposed method also showed the good resolution between HCTZ, AMLO and LOSA with run time of 10.5 min. The method is very simple and rapid and no where involves complicated sample preparation and mobile phase preparation. Also the proposed method showed good specificity and selectivity in order to determine HCTZ, AMLO and LOSA in the presence of their degradation products. The linearity and reproducibility data of the drugs carried out by this method showed that no major interference is caused in the estimation of the drugs. Therefore the method can be use for routine quality control of these drugs.

## References

- Ranjit singh. Hplc method development and validation-Overview, J Pharm Educ Res. 2013; 4(1): 26-33.
- 2. Vibha gupta et al. Development and validation of HPLC method- a review, Int. Res. *J Pharm. App Sci.* 2012; 2(4): 17-25.
- 3. Bakshi M, Singh S. Stability indicating Assay Review, *J of Pharma and biomed Ana*. 2002; 5(1); 1011-1040.
- 4. George N. Force degradation studies As an integral part of Hplc stability indicating assay method development, J of Drug delivery tech. 2010; 10(5):1-4.
- 5. Indian Pharmacopoeia, Govt. of India, Ministry of Health and family Welfare. New Delhi; Published by The Controller of Publications; (2007), Vol.3, 1033.
- 6. International Conference of Harmonization, "ICH, Q2a, text on Validation of Analytical Procedures", (Octomber, 1994).
- 7. International Conference of Harmonization, "ICH, Q1A, Stability Testing of New Drug Substances and Products", (September, 1994).
- 8. Chandrul Kaushal K.et al. A process of method development: A chromatographic approach, *J. Chem. Pharm. Res.* 2010; 2(2): 519-545.
- 9. Jayaseelan S, Rajasekar M, Ganesh S, Sekar V, Perumal P. RP-HPLC method development and validation for simultaneous estimation of Losartan Potassium, Amlodipine Besilate and Hydrochlorthiazide in tablet dosage form, Der Pharma Chemica. 2010; 2(3): 31-36. (http://derpharmachemica.com/archive.html)

Available online at <u>www.derpharmachemica.com</u>.

10. Hossen. et al. Development and Validation of RP-HPLC Method for the Simultaneous Estimation of Hydrochlorothiazide and Losartan Potassium in Tablet Dosage Form, Dhaka Univ. J. Pharm. Sci. 2011; 10(1): 35-42.

- 11. Wankhede SB, Raka KC, Wadkar SB, and Chitlange SS. Spectrophotometric and HPLC Methods for Simultaneous Estimation of Amlodipine Besylate, Losartan Potassium and Hydrochlorothiazide in Tablets, Ind *J Pharm Sci*. 2010. 72(1). 136–140.
- 12. Patil PR, Rakesh S U, Dhabale PN, Burade KB. Method for Simultaneous Estimation of Losartan potassium and Amlodipine besylate in Tablet Formulation RP-HPLC method, *International Journal of ChemTech Research*. 2009; 1(3): 464-469.
- 13. Safeer K, Anbarasi B, Kumar NS. Analytical Method Development and Validation of Amlodipine and Hydrochlorothiazide in combined dosage form by RP-HPLC, *International Journal of ChemTech Research*. 2010; 2(1): 21-25.
- 14. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Amlodipine.
- 15. http:// en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Losartan.
- 16. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hydrochlorothiazide
- 17. Sivasubramanian Lakshmi et al. Simultaneous estimation of Losartan potassium, Amlodipine besylate and Hydrochlorothiazide in bulk and tablet by High performance thin layer chromatography with UV absorption densitometry, *J Anal Methods Chem.* 2012; 1-6.
- 18. Hertzog DL, Mc Cafferty JF, Fang X, Tyrrell RJ, Reed RA. Development and validation of a stability-indicating HPLC method for the simultaneous determination of Losartan potassium, hydrochlorothiazide, and their degradation products. Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis. 2002; 30(3): 747-760.
- 19. Kasture AV, Ramteke M. Simultaneous UVspectrophotometric method for the estimation of atenolol and amlodipine besylate in combined dosage form. *Indian Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences* 2006; 68(3): 394–396.
- 20. Bakshi M, Singh S. Stability indicating Assay Review, *J of Pharma and biomed Ana*. 2002; 5(1): 1011-1040.