

Whittier College
Poet Commons

# Physics

Faculty Publications & Research

2011

# Erratic Jet Wobbling in the BL Lacertae Object OJ287 Revealed by Sixteen Years of 7mm VLBA Observations

B. Glenn Piner gpiner@whittier.edu

Alan P. Marscher

Svetlana G. Jorstad

Jose L. Gomez

Manel Perucho

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://poetcommons.whittier.edu/phys

Part of the Physics Commons

# **Recommended Citation**

Erratic Jet Wobbling in the BL Lacertae Object OJ287 Revealed by Sixteen Years of 7mm VLBA Observations. Astrophysics, 747. 10.1088/0004-637X/747/1/63

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Faculty Publications & Research at Poet Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Physics by an authorized administrator of Poet Commons. For more information, please contact library@whittier.edu.

# Authors

B. Glenn Piner, Alan P. Marscher, Svetlana G. Jorstad, Jose L. Gomez, Manel Perucho, Maria Rioja, and Richard Dodson

## ERRATIC JET WOBBLING IN THE BL LACERTAE OBJECT OJ287 REVEALED BY SIXTEEN YEARS OF 7 mm VLBA OBSERVATIONS

IVÁN AGUDO<sup>1,2</sup>, ALAN P. MARSCHER<sup>2</sup>, SVETLANA G. JORSTAD<sup>2,3</sup>, JOSÉ L. GÓMEZ<sup>1</sup>, MANEL PERUCHO<sup>4</sup>,

B. Glenn Piner<sup>5</sup>, María Rioja<sup>6,7</sup>, and Richard Dodson<sup>7</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Instituto de Astrofísica de Andalucía, CSIC, Apartado 3004, 18080 Granada, Spain; iagudo@iaa.es

<sup>2</sup> Institute for Astrophysical Research, Boston University, 725 Commonwealth Avenue, Boston, MA 02215, USA

<sup>3</sup> Astronomical Institute, St. Petersburg State University, Universitetskij Pr. 28, Petrodvorets, 198504 St. Petersburg, Russia

Departament d'Astronomia i Astrofísica, Universitat de València, Dr. Moliner 50, E-46100 Burjassot, València, Spain

<sup>5</sup> Department of Physics and Astronomy, Whittier College, 13406 East Philadelphia Street, Whittier, CA 90608, USA

<sup>6</sup> Observatorio Astronómico Nacional, Apdo. 112, E-28803 Alcalá de Henares, Madrid, Spain

<sup>7</sup> ICRAR/University of Western Australia, 35 Stirling Highway, Crawley, WA 6009, Australia

Received 2011 November 14; accepted 2011 December 19; published 2012 February 14

# ABSTRACT

We present the results from an ultra-high-resolution 7 mm Very Long Baseline Array study of the relativistic jet in the BL Lacertae object OJ287 from 1995 to 2011 containing 136 total intensity images. Analysis of the image sequence reveals a sharp jet-position-angle swing by >100° during [2004,2006], as viewed in the plane of the sky, which we interpret as the crossing of the jet from one side of the line of sight to the other during a softer- and longer-term swing of the inner jet. Modulating such long-term swing, our images also show for the first time a prominent erratic wobbling behavior of the innermost ~0.4 mas of the jet with fluctuations in position angle of up to ~40° over timescales ~2 yr. This is accompanied by highly superluminal motions along non-radial trajectories, which reflect the remarkable non-ballistic nature of the jet plasma on these scales. The erratic nature and short timescales of the observed behavior rule out scenarios such as binary black hole systems, accretion disk precession, and interaction with the ambient medium as possible origins of the phenomenon on the scales probed by our observations, although such processes may cause longer-term modulation of the jet direction. We propose that variable asymmetric injection of the jet flow, perhaps related to turbulence in the accretion disk, coupled with hydrodynamic instabilities leads to the non-ballistic dynamics that causes the observed non-periodic changes in the direction of the inner jet.

*Key words:* BL Lacertae objects: general – BL Lacertae objects: individual (OJ287) – galaxies: active – galaxies: jets – polarization – radio continuum: galaxies

Online-only material: color figures, machine-readable tables

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

The most luminous long-lived sources of radiation in the cosmos-active galactic nuclei (AGNs)-are powered by gas falling from an accretion disk onto a supermassive black hole (BH,  $\gtrsim 10^7 M_{\odot}$ ) at their center. AGNs classified as blazars are characterized by wild variability of flux of non-thermal radiation from radio to  $\gamma$ -ray frequencies. Members of this class include BL Lacertae objects (BL Lac objects) and, at higher emitted power, flat spectrum radio quasars (FSRQs). The remarkable properties of blazars include superluminal apparent motions that can exceed 40 c (Jorstad et al. 2005), as well as substantial changes in flux and linear polarization on timescales as short as minutes. These phenomena are thought to be caused by relativistic jets of highly energized, magnetized plasma that are propelled along the rotational poles of the BH-disk system (e.g., Blandford & Znajek 1977). AGN jets pointing within  $\leq 10^{\circ}$  of our line of sight beam their radiation and shorten the variability timescales to give blazars their extreme properties.

High-resolution long-term very long baseline interferometric (VLBI) monitoring of blazars is revealing a growing number of cases where the observed (in projection) jets undergo rapid swings of position angle in their innermost regions while remaining collimated out to kiloparsec scales or farther (e.g., Homan et al. 2003; Stirling et al. 2003; Tateyama & Kingham 2004; Bach et al. 2005; Lobanov & Roland 2005; Mutel & Denn 2005; Savolainen et al. 2006; Agudo et al. 2007).

Timescales ranging between ~2 and  $\gtrsim 20$  yr and projected oscillations of the jet position angle with amplitudes from ~25° to  $\gtrsim 100^{\circ}$  are typical for the reported cases (see Agudo 2009, for examples). This phenomenon—which has previously been termed *jet precession*, although we prefer *wobbling* given the doubts about its periodicity in some sources (e.g., Mutel & Denn 2005)—has been reported so far only in the innermost (parsecscale) regions of jets. This already implies that the origin of jet wobbling might be a mechanism intimately tied to the origin of the jet. Bends and helical structures in AGNs are also claimed to be produced by changes in direction near the base of the jet (e.g., Dhawan et al. 1998; Lister et al. 2003; Hardee et al. 2005; Agudo et al. 2006a), which may be related to the wobbling phenomenon as well.

During the past few decades, the BL Lac object OJ287 (z = 0.306) has shown quasi-periodic double-peaked optical flares every ~12 yr, most recently in [2005, 2008] (e.g., Villforth et al. 2010). This quasi-periodicity has been used to support binary BH models, as well as other scenarios such as accretion disk or jet instabilities (see Villforth et al. 2010, for a review of these different scenarios). Consistent with the binary BH hypothesis, Tateyama & Kingham (2004) reported jet wobbling in a 3.5 cm long-term Very Long Baseline Array (VLBA) study from 1994 to 2002. They interpreted this as the result of ballistic precession of the jet, also with a periodicity of ~12 yr. Based on the Valtonen et al. (2008) binary BH model for OJ287, Valtonen et al. (2011) have proposed a new model for wobbling of the jet



Figure 1. Sequence of 7 mm VLBA maps of OJ287 from 1995 to 2011, convolved with a circular Gaussian beam with FWHM = 0.15 mas (represented by the circle in the left bottom corner). Contours symbolize the observed total intensity with levels in factors of two from 0.1% to 51.2% plus 90.0% of peak = 9.018 Jy beam<sup>-1</sup>. A movie corresponding to this sequence of images can be found at the URL https://w3.iaa.es/~iagudo/research/OJ287/OJ287LT.mov.

in this source with a periodicity of  $\sim 120$  yr and a modulation of the jet position angle on a  $\sim 12$  yr timescale driven by changes in orientation of the inner accretion disk of the proposed primary BH.

To re-evaluate the origin of jet wobbling in general, and in OJ287 in particular, we present here the results of a detailed 7 mm VLBA study of OJ287 from 1995 to 2011 that includes 136 VLBI images. Owing to the reduced effect of synchrotron self-absorption in blazar jets at these short wavelengths, compared with centimeter-wave radio bands, and the ultrahigh (~0.15 milliarcsec (mas)) angular resolution of the images, we scrutinize the inner jet regions in much finer detail than is possible at centimeter wavelengths. We adopt hereafter the standard  $\Lambda$ CDM cosmology with  $H_0 = 71$  km s<sup>-1</sup> Mpc<sup>-1</sup>,  $\Omega_M = 0.27$ , and  $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.73$ . Under this assumption, at the redshift of OJ287, 1 mas translates to a projected distance of 4.48 pc, and a proper motion of 1 mas yr<sup>-1</sup> corresponds to a superluminal speed of 19.09 *c*.

#### 2. OBSERVATIONS, DATA REDUCTION, AND MODELING

We present 136 total-intensity VLBA images of OJ287 at 7 mm obtained from 1995 January to 2011 June; see Table 1 and Figure 1. Linear polarization 7 mm VLBA images from 2010 December to 2011 June are also shown in Figure 2 (see Agudo et al. 2011a for polarization maps before 2010 December). Within the time range 1997.86–2001.95, most of the data were obtained on a monthly basis under a program that monitored the compact structure of the radio galaxy 3C 120 (Gómez et al. 2000, 2001, 2008, 2011), where OJ287 was one of the main calibrators. During the time frame 2006.38–2011.45, most of the observations were performed on a roughly monthly basis under the Boston University 7 mm blazar monitoring program (D'Arcangelo et al. 2010; Agudo et al. 2011a; Chatterjee et al. 2011; Agudo et al. 2011b). The data from these programs are



Figure 1. (Continued)

also supplemented by OJ287 observations from several other independent 7 mm VLBA programs dating back to 1995 (e.g., Jorstad et al. 2001; Agudo et al. 2006a, 2007; Piner et al. 2006; Agudo 2009). To cover gaps in the time coverage of the source, we obtained data at eight observing epochs from the VLBA archive.

The data were reduced following the standard method for high-frequency VLBA polarimetric data described in, e.g., Jorstad et al. (2005), Agudo et al. (2006a), and Gómez et al. (2011). For further details on the data reduction procedure followed for each particular data set, we refer to the corresponding publications indicated in Table 1.

To make a simpler representation of the brightness distribution in our images, we have performed model fits in which the emission features are represented by circular Gaussian emission components, with the number of components kept as small as possible. To estimate the uncertainties of the model fit parameters, we follow the prescription of Jorstad et al. (2005). Our fits typically include no more than two or three compact components within the innermost  $\sim 0.2-0.4$  mas of the jet (Table 2). We use the position of these components in the inner  $\sim 0.4$  mas of the observed jet to define the jet position angle (JPA) in OJ287 as observed from Earth (see Figure 3).

## 3. RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

#### 3.1. The Innermost VLBI Jet Feature

We define the "core" of the brightness distribution of OJ287 as the innermost jet feature visible in our 7 mm VLBA images. Given that the core is not usually associated with moving blobs (e.g., Jorstad et al. 2005), we assume that it is a stationary feature in the jet of OJ287. Identification of the core in a multi-epoch kinematic VLBI study like ours—where selfcalibrated VLBI images do not preserve the absolute positional information—is important to define a kinematic reference point of the system.

For the time period from the beginning of 1995 to mid-2004, the identification of the core is clear. The bright jet feature at the eastern end (northeastern end at some epochs) of the brightness distribution, i.e., model-fit component C (see Figure 1), from



Figure 1. (Continued)

which superluminal features emerge and travel downstream (see Section 3.3), corresponds to the core. However, the identification of the core is more complicated during the time range from mid-2004 to mid-2011. Whereas the outer knots (beyond 0.5 mas from component C, labeled *C0* in Agudo et al. 2011a) continue propagating toward the southwest, the region within the innermost ~0.4 mas behaves in a completely different way than observed before. The motion of knots emerging from the core suddenly shifted to the north (and later to the northwest) direction after mid-2004. The new direction is nearly perpendicular to the typical jet position angle during the 1995–2003 time range (see Figure 1).

The identification of the core with component C is justified by (1) the detection of superluminal motion away from component

C, toward jet feature a, and then outward (see component n)<sup>8</sup>; (2) the progressive decrease of jet intensity toward the west of component a (labeled *C1* in Agudo et al. 2011a; see Figure 1) at epochs after mid-2010; (3) the confirmation of a continuous jet flow tracing the arc C–a–n after mid-2010 in both total and polarized intensity and in polarization angle (which remains parallel to the local jet axis; see Figure 2); and (4) the smaller mean size and higher mean observed brightness temperature<sup>9</sup>

 $<sup>^{8}\;</sup>$  This is also clearly seen in the evolution of the total intensity of the jet in an animation at the URL

https://w3.iaa.es/~iagudo/research/OJ287/OJ287\_2010\_ejection.mov, as well as in Figures 1 and 2 and Table 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> The observed brightness temperature was computed as in Marscher et al. (1979) and Agudo et al. (2006b)



Figure 1. (Continued)

of component C from fall 2004 ( $\phi$ (C) = (0.02 ± 0.02) mas and  $T_{\rm B}$ (C) ~ 2.7 × 10<sup>12</sup> K, respectively) compared to that measured for component a ( $\phi$ (a) = (0.05 ± 0.03) mas and  $T_{\rm B}$ (a) ~ 1.1 × 10<sup>12</sup> K) on the same dates. All of these lines of evidence together suggest that the jet flows downstream from component C toward component a, and at epochs after mid-2010 toward components m and n. This unambiguously identifies component C with the innermost visible jet feature in our 7 mm images, i.e., with the "core" of OJ287 as defined above.

#### 3.2. Jet Wobbling in OJ287

Figures 1 and 3 show a clear clockwise swing of the jet in OJ287 over the time range between the beginning of 1995 (with JPA  $\sim -100^{\circ}$ ) and mid-2004 (with JPA  $\sim -150^{\circ}$ ). This trend is modulated by shorter-term jet wobbling on timescales  $\sim 2$  yr (as measured from local JPA maxima and minima) and relatively small amplitude,  $\gtrsim 25^{\circ}$ . During the [1995,2004] time range, this phenomenology is not necessarily inconsistent with the interpretation of strictly periodic jet precession by Tateyama & Kingham (2004), as long as the short-term wobbling on fine angular scales seen in our data is superposed on long-term, sinusoidal modulation (with period  $\sim 12$  yr) as inferred by these authors on coarser angular scales. In addition to the wobbling of the jet, our images feature superluminal knots that propagate down the jet at speeds ranging from  $\sim 2 c$  to  $\sim 13 c$  (see Table 3).

In mid-2004, the 7 mm brightness distribution of OJ287 is dominated by emission from the nearly point-like core

 Table 1

 Observing Log and Image Information

Epoch	t <sub>int</sub> (min)	Bit Samp.	$\Delta v_{\rm obs}$ (MHz)	S <sub>int</sub> (Jv)	$S_{\text{peak}}$ (Jy beam <sup>-1</sup> )	Noise (mJy beam <sup>-1</sup> )	Reference
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1995 Jan 5	68	1	32	1.01	0.87	2.5	1
1995 Apr 18	25	1	32	1.29	0.93	3.1	1
1995 Jun 4	34	1	32	1.15	0.93	1.8	1
1995 Oct 15	14	1	32	2.57	1.93	4.4	1
1996 May 3	30	1	64	1.18	0.90	1.6	1
1996 Nov 24	35	1	64	1.19	0.85	1.3	1
1997 Jul 30	35	1	64	1.45	1.09	0.8	1
1997 Nov 10	30	1	64	1.48	1.06	0.6	2
1997 Dec 11	32	1	64	1.26	0.81	0.6	2
1998 Jan 11	32	1	64	1.58	1.13	1.3	2

**Notes.** Columns are as follows: (1) observing epoch, (2) total integration time, (3) number of bits used for signal digitalization sampling, (4) observing frequency bandwidth, (5) total integrated flux density, (6) peak flux density, (7) noise level of the resulting image, and (8) reference where data were published. **References.** (1) Jorstad et al. 2001; (2) Gómez et al. 2001; (3) Agudo et al. 2006a; (4) Bach et al. 2006; (5) Gómez et al. 2008; (6) Gómez et al. 2011; (7) Piner et al. 2006; (8) data from VLBA archive; (9) Agudo et al. 2007; (10) D'Arcangelo et al. 2009; (11) Agudo et al. 2010; (12) Agudo et al. 2011a; (13) this paper.

(This table is available in its entirety in a machine-readable form in the online journal. A portion is shown here for guidance regarding its form and content.)

Table 2           Model Fit Parameters						
Component	S	r	θ	FWHM		
	(mJy)	(mas)	(deg)	(mas)		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
		1995 Jan 5				
С	$902 \pm 60$	$0.00\pm0.00$	$0\pm 0$	$0.022 \pm 0.001$		
А	$96 \pm 7$	$0.23\pm0.03$	$-83\pm7$	$0.176 \pm 0.009$		
В	$55\pm4$	$0.62\pm0.03$	$-88\pm2$	$0.243 \pm 0.012$		
		1995 Apr 18				
С	$1034\pm69$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0\pm 0$	$0.061 \pm 0.001$		
А	$210 \pm 15$	$0.24 \pm 0.03$	$-95\pm7$	$0.130 \pm 0.006$		
В	$54 \pm 3$	$0.78\pm0.03$	$-94 \pm 2$	$0.259 \pm 0.013$		
D	$63 \pm 4$	$1.09\pm0.03$	$-90 \pm 1$	$0.186 \pm 0.009$		
E	$91\pm10$	$1.40\pm0.25$	$-92\pm10$	$0.510\pm0.051$		
		1995 Jun 4				
С	$1034 \pm 69$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0\pm 0$	$0.049 \pm 0.001$		
А	$41 \pm 4$	$0.30\pm0.01$	$-118 \pm 1$	$0.000 \pm 0.001$		
D	$142\pm17$	$1.11\pm0.29$	$-91\pm14$	$0.570 \pm 0.057$		

**Notes.** Columns are as follows: (1) jet feature, (2) flux density, (3) distance to the core, (4) position angle, and (5) FWHM.

(This table is available in its entirety in a machine-readable form in the online journal. A portion is shown here for guidance regarding its form and content.)

(Figure 1). A few months later, the core region starts to expand essentially in the north–south direction. By the end of 2005, and even more so by the end of 2007, this expansion allows us to discern two separate emission regions oriented in the north–south or northwest–southeast direction. This relatively sudden change in the structure of the jet leads to an extremely sharp swing of JPA in mid-2004, as shown in Figure 3. Given that this sudden jump in JPA is produced during a relatively short time period (<1-2 yr) when the dominant emission structure is extremely compact, we propose that the event is produced by a





**Figure 2.** Similar to Figure 1 but restricted to the period of 2010 December to 2011 June. In addition, linearly polarized intensity (color scale) and polarization electric vector position angle distributions (short black sticks) are superimposed at each observing epoch.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

progressive change of orientation with respect to the observer. The innermost jet axis should have passed from one side of the line of sight (before mid-2004), to essentially along the line of sight (in mid-2004), and finally to the other side of the line of sight (after mid-2004). This can explain the sharp, relatively short change of JPA in mid-2004, as projected on the sky in our images (Figures 1 and 3). This interpretation is further supported by the sharp peak of core emission at about the time of the sharp JPA swing in mid-2004 (Figure 3) owing to enhanced



Figure 3. Top: time evolution of the 7 mm jet position angle (JPA) of OJ287. Different symbols indicate the various jet features whose positions are used to estimate the JPA; see also Figure 1. Bottom: 7 mm total flux density evolution of the core.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Doppler boosting of the jet emission when it points closer to the observer's line of sight.

Following Jorstad et al. (2005), we have estimated the variability Doppler factors ( $\delta_{var}$ ), viewing angles ( $\theta_{var}$ ), and Lorentz factors ( $\Gamma_{var}$ ) from the decaying flux density patterns and superluminal speeds of well-defined and bright components in our images of OJ287 (Table 4). To perform estimates of these physical parameters that are as reliable as possible, we select only jet features with well-determined positions and maximum apparent speeds. These are associated with isolated jet features or with a leading perturbation, rather than to trailing features, which are known to display intrinsic pattern speeds much lower than those of the corresponding leading features (Agudo et al. 2001). We also require the model-fit components to be identified over more than five epochs, with peak (mean) flux densities higher than 200 mJy (90 mJy), and with clearly decaying flux density patterns. The scatter in our time-dependent estimates of viewing angle  $\Delta \theta_{var} \lesssim 2.7$ , which we primarily attribute to small uncertainties in the determination of  $\theta_{var}$ , does not allow us to verify the proposed hypothesis of the crossing of the jet from one side of the line of sight to the other. However, the small values of the viewing angle,  $\theta_{var} \in [0.7, 3.4]$ , during the 1997–2010 time range favor this hypothesis, which is more likely for jets with  $\theta$  close to zero.

The new brightness distribution of OJ287 after the extreme JPA change in mid-2004 (see Figure 3) also shows clear

wobbling of the jet from mid-2004 to mid-2011. Such longterm wobbling, which is essentially tracked by the JPA defined by the core and the nearby, bright jet feature a, causes the jet to rotate in the plane of the sky from  $\sim 0^{\circ}$  at the beginning of 2005 to  $\sim -50^{\circ}$  at the beginning of 2011. Superposed on this long-term JPA variation after mid-2004, we also find clear shortterm JPA variability. Although the amplitude of these short-term fluctuations in the 2004.5–2011.5 time range ( $\sim 40^{\circ}$ ) seems to be larger than that from 1995 to 2004.5, the timescale is roughly similar in both cases ( $\sim 2$  yr).

#### 3.3. Non-ballistic Superluminal Motion

We use the model fits performed for every image to identify all jet features that persist over several epochs or more (Figure 1 and Tables 2 and 3). From these identifications, we derive the trajectories of every prominent jet feature (with average flux density  $\langle S \rangle \gtrsim 40$  mJy). Among all identified components at several epochs we find both stationary and moving features, the latter showing both rectilinear (or slightly bent) and non-radial superluminal motion (see Table 3). For rectilinear trajectories (with superluminal speeds in the range 2-13 c), we calculate linear fits of r (distance to the core) versus t (time) to estimate average apparent speeds and ejection times  $(t_0)$ . For nonradial superluminal trajectories (showing apparent speeds up to  $\sim 15 c$ ), we follow the second-order polynomial method of Agudo et al. (2007) to fit the trajectories and determine the kinematic properties of the corresponding jet features. Although superluminal non-radial motions have been reported before, e.g., in NRAO 150 (Agudo et al. 2007), this is the first time that superluminal non-radial motions  $\gtrsim 10 c$  have been reported in a blazar (jet feature l, Table 3).

We find highly non-radial superluminal motions in the compact jet of OJ287 only after mid-2004, when the projected jet axis appears to be sharply bent. The moving jet features during the 2004.5–2011.5 time range (i.e., components a, l, m, and n, Table 3) follow highly bent trajectories. This demonstrates the non-ballistic motion of the jet fluid on the scales covered by our VLBA observations during this time range. The fact that only rectilinear *projected* trajectories are observed during the time range when the jet exhibits a more rectilinear shape, i.e., 1995–2004.5, does not rule out that even during this time range the fluid is non-ballistic in three dimensions.

### 4. DISCUSSION

#### 4.1. Erratic versus Periodic Jet Wobbling

Neither the short-term nor long-term variations in JPA displayed by Figure 3 correspond to a simple pattern that we can relate to regular or periodic motion, at least over the timescales covered by our observations (~16 yr). Instead, they seem to be related to some erratic process acting on short observed timescales  $\leq 2$  yr with amplitudes  $\leq 40^{\circ}$  (see JPA swings during 1995, 2007, 2009, and 2011 in Figure 3).

Although based on poorer time and spatial sampling than that employed in our study, slower JPA changes than those reported here have been suggested before on larger projected scales (tens of parsecs) than those probed by our 7 mm observations (a few parsecs); see Tateyama & Kingham (2004) and Moór et al. (2011), who used 3.5 cm observations, and Valtonen et al. (2011), who employed VLBI observations at 2–6 cm. VLBA observations at similar radio wavelengths (2 cm) compiled

Comp	$\langle S \rangle$ (mJy)	$\langle \theta \rangle$ (deg)	$\langle r \rangle$ (mas)	$\langle v_{\rm app} \rangle$ (mas yr <sup>-1</sup> )	$\langle v_{ m app}  angle \ c$	$t_0$ (yr)	$\langle v_{\rm app}^{\rm nonradial} \rangle$ (mas yr <sup>-1</sup> )	$\langle v^{\rm nonradial}_{\rm app}  angle$	$\langle \dot{\Theta} \rangle$ (deg yr <sup>-1</sup> )
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
				Quasi-stationary	or slowly movir	g features			
Aa	$294 \pm 182$	$-113 \pm 8$	$0.28\pm0.06$						
F	$47 \pm 25$	$-98\pm6$	$1.38\pm0.11$						
G <sup>b</sup>	$77 \pm 41$	$-110 \pm 2$	$1.02\pm0.06$						
Lc	$174 \pm 148$	$-102 \pm 15$	$0.15\pm0.04$						
e	$158 \pm 111$	$-89\pm19$	$0.26\pm0.07$						
f	$400\pm228$	$-70\pm16$	$0.19\pm0.03$						
g	$89 \pm 36$	$-127 \pm 9$	$0.74\pm0.03$						
k	$200\pm138$	$-57\pm12$	$0.18\pm0.02$						
				Superlumina	al rectilinear fea	tures			
H <sup>d</sup>	$93 \pm 63$	$-116 \pm 6$	$0.43 \pm 0.08$	$0.32 \pm 0.08$	$6.0 \pm 1.5$	$1997.71 \pm 0.25$			
Je	$119 \pm 99$	$-111 \pm 3$	$0.57\pm0.16$	$0.40\pm0.07$	$7.7 \pm 1.3$	$1998.02 \pm 0.24$			
Kf	$37 \pm 24$	$-113 \pm 8$	$0.79\pm0.34$	$0.41\pm0.04$	$7.7 \pm 0.7$	$1999.22 \pm 0.18$			
O <sup>g</sup>	$243\pm135$	$-116 \pm 4$	$1.19\pm0.26$	$0.50\pm0.01$	$9.5 \pm 0.1$	$2000.16 \pm 0.03$			
N	$288 \pm 152$	$-122 \pm 2$	$0.58\pm0.09$	$0.39\pm0.14$	$7.5\pm2.6$	$2000.76 \pm 0.33$			
R	$91 \pm 16$	$-123 \pm 1$	$0.85\pm0.18$	$0.68\pm0.06$	$13.0 \pm 1.2$	$2001.92 \pm 0.12$			
Т	$97 \pm 46$	$-124 \pm 6$	$0.97\pm0.30$	$0.40\pm0.08$	$7.6 \pm 1.5$	$2003.22 \pm 0.38$			
V	$221\pm314$	$-130\pm10$	$0.51\pm0.44$	$0.54\pm0.05$	$10.2\pm0.9$	$2004.30 \pm 0.10$			
b	$72 \pm 36$	$-120 \pm 3$	$1.17\pm0.13$	$0.46\pm0.15$	$8.8\pm2.8$	$2006.34\pm0.48$			
d	$71 \pm 46$	$-126\pm14$	$0.60\pm0.24$	$0.24\pm0.01$	$4.5\pm0.2$	$2004.77 \pm 0.13$			
h	$120 \pm 50$	$-98\pm22$	$0.25\pm0.09$	$0.30\pm0.02$	$5.7 \pm 0.4$	$2006.78 \pm 0.06$			
j	$157 \pm 49$	$-126\pm7$	$0.81\pm0.39$	$0.35\pm0.02$	$6.7\pm0.4$	$2007.85\pm0.12$			
				Superlum	ninal bent featur	es			
a	$2293 \pm 1631$	$-22 \pm 23$	$0.17 \pm 0.06$	$0.07\pm0.01^{\rm h}$	$1.4 \pm 0.1^{\rm h}$		$0.07 \pm 0.01^{h}$	$1.4 \pm 0.1^{h}$	$-19\pm1^{h}$
1	$444\pm404$	$-62\pm21$	$0.33\pm0.07$	$0.94\pm0.21$	$17.9\pm4.0$		$0.78\pm0.29$	$14.9\pm5.5$	$-145 \pm 40$
m	$463\pm284$	$-75\pm9$	$0.35\pm0.04$	$0.62\pm0.14$	$11.9\pm2.6$		$0.52\pm0.18$	$10.0\pm3.5$	$-87\pm21$
n	$531 \pm 149$	$-67\pm13$	$0.40\pm0.13$	$0.54\pm0.02$	$10.3\pm0.5$		$0.22\pm0.09$	$4.3\pm1.7$	$-40\pm4$

Table 3Properties of Model Fit Components

**Notes.** Columns are as follows: (1) jet feature, (2) average flux density, (3) average position angle, (4) average distance to the core, (5) average proper motion in mas  $yr^{-1}$ , (6) average proper motion in units of *c*, (7) estimated ejection time if any, (8) average proper motion in non-radial direction in mas  $yr^{-1}$ , (9) average proper motion in non-radial direction in units of *c*, and (10) average angular speed.

<sup>a</sup> This jet feature corresponds to stationary component A2 as identified by Jorstad et al. (2005), and C1 as identified by Jorstad et al. (2001).

<sup>b</sup> This jet feature corresponds to stationary component A3 as identified by Jorstad et al. (2005).

<sup>c</sup> This jet feature corresponds to stationary component A1 as identified by Jorstad et al. (2005).

<sup>d</sup> This feature may correspond in some epochs to superluminal knot B4 identified by Jorstad et al. (2005). We prefer our identification owing to our better time sampling during 1998 though.

<sup>e</sup> This feature corresponds to superluminal knot B5 identified by Jorstad et al. (2005), although they reported faster superluminal speed ( $12 \pm 2c$ ) perhaps owing to their better time sampling during 1999, hence producing better kinematic estimates.

<sup>f</sup> This feature may correspond to superluminal knot B6 identified by Jorstad et al. (2005). We prefer our identification owing to our better time sampling during 2001 though.

<sup>g</sup> This feature corresponds to superluminal knot B7 reported by Jorstad et al. (2005).

<sup>h</sup> Computed only for the time range from 2008 October 22 to 2010 October 24, when the bent trajectory of component a is better defined and reaches superluminal non-radial speeds.

by the MOJAVE team<sup>10</sup> (Lister et al. 2009) over more than 10 yr also support the results of Tateyama & Kingham (2004), Moór et al. (2011), and Valtonen et al. (2011). However, the periodic precession model proposed by Tateyama & Kingham (2004) predicted a jet structural position angle  $\sim -100^{\circ}$  in 2007, whereas we measure a drastically different inner JPA of  $\sim 0^{\circ}$ . Hence, the jet structure shown in Figure 1 does not follow Tateyama & Kingham's 12 yr periodic precession model. The JPA observed by Moór et al. (2011) is also  $\gtrsim 100^{\circ}$  off our 7 mm measurements on similar dates. Moreover, the JPA evolution on the scales observed through our observations (Figure 3) conflicts with the JPA evolution model presented by Valtonen et al. (2011). This model predicts a JPA value in the range  $-110^{\circ}$  to  $-150^{\circ}$  at the beginning of 2009, whereas we measure  $\sim -10^{\circ}$ .

This apparent inconsistency could be reflecting a (perhaps periodic) long-term JPA variation in the jet on larger scales than those probed by our 7 mm VLBA observations, together with more erratic behavior modulating the long-term JPA variations in the innermost jet regions probed by our millimeter monitoring. It thus appears that a single phenomenon cannot explain both the JPA variations observed on the scales of tens of parsecs and the much-shorter-term (~2 yr) JPA variations reported in this work. This implies that processes other than those producing the long-term JPA variations at large jet scales need to take place near the jet origin to modulate the jet direction on shorter temporal and spatial scales.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> www.physics.purdue.edu/astro/MOJAVE/animated/0851+202.i.mpg

#### 4.2. Possible Origin of Observed Jet Wobbling

Different explanations have been proposed for wobbling in blazar jets. Orbital motion of compact objects in a binary BH system has been suggested as a possibility to explain bends in AGN jets (Begelman et al. 1980). Alternatively, accretion disk precession could arise from either a companion supermassive BH or another massive object inducing torques in the accretion disk of the primary (e.g., Lister et al. 2003), or by the Lense–Thirring effect (Bardeen & Petterson 1975) in the presence of a Kerr BH with its rotational axis misaligned with regard to that of the accretion disk (e.g., Caproni et al. 2004). However, these processes cannot, by themselves, explain the short timescales ( $\sim$ 2 yr) and erratic nature of the JPA changes reported here.

Pressure gradients in the ambient external medium are also not, by themselves, a feasible explanation for the short-term JPA variations reported here. They might, however, explain the smoothly bent jet structure observed on scales of hundreds of kiloparsecs (Marscher & Jorstad 2011).

A geometrical model based on non-ballistic motions of blobs and misidentification of components has also been proposed as a possible explanation of JPA variations in general (Gong 2008). However, we can exclude misidentification in our observations of OJ287 owing to the good time sampling of our monitoring.

Other kinds of more erratic disk or jet instabilities (e.g., similar to those produced by turbulent accretion; McKinney & Blandford 2009) have not been extensively explored previously as an explanation of jet wobbling in blazar jets. Such instabilities could explain the apparently erratic JPA variations that modulate the behavior of the innermost jet of OJ287. We discuss this possibility in the following subsections.

#### 4.3. Jet Wobbling Produced by Variations of Flow Injection

Since the fast and erratic JPA modulations that we report in this paper are observed in the innermost jet regions, we propose that time variations occur in the injection of the jet flow at the origin of the jet, perhaps resulting from changes in the particle density or magnetic field configuration caused by turbulence or irregularities in the accretion process. Such variations, combined with the axial rotation of the jet material in the presence of significant toroidal magnetic field components, can produce non-periodic JPA variations (McKinney & Blandford 2009). If transverse gradients in pressure and velocity at the jet injection persist for extended time intervals, they should generate bent jet structures (e.g., Aloy et al. 2003) that—amplified by projection effects from small mean jet viewing angles—may produce sharp apparent bends such as those observed in OJ287 (see Figure 2).

## 4.4. Large Apparent JPA Variations and Sharp Jet Bends

The small viewing angle of the jet axis in some sources allows us to see the jet from inside its cone, in which case asymmetric changes in the jet can cause it to appear to cross the line of sight. The sharp jump in JPA in mid-2004 from JPA  $\approx -150^{\circ}$ to JPA  $\approx 0^{\circ}$  seen in our 7 mm sequence of images supports this scenario (Section 3.2). This requires only minor changes of the jet structure provided that the mean viewing angle of the jet is close to  $0^{\circ}$ , as we show for OJ287 (see Table 4).

Projection effects from very small viewing angles can also create the illusion of very sharp bends from slightly bent jet structures, as is the case of the main bend in the jet of OJ287 from north–northeast to southeast (see Figure 2). This bend could result from jet instabilities (Hardee 2011; Perucho

 Table 4

 Physical Parameters of Well-defined Superluminal Components

Component	$t_0$ (yr)	$\delta_{ m var}$	$\theta_{\rm var}$ (deg)	$\Gamma_{var}$
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Н	$1997.71 \pm 0.25$	15.5	2.7	8.7
J <sup>a</sup>	$1998.61 \pm 0.09$	16.1	3.4	12.3
0	$2000.16 \pm 0.03$	33.3	0.9	18.0
V	$2004.30 \pm 0.10$	22.6	1.9	13.6
h	$2006.78 \pm 0.06$	25.2	1.0	13.3
n	>2010.64	36.2	0.7	19.1

**Note.** <sup>a</sup> We show the parameters computed by Jorstad et al. (2005), who made a better determination of the kinematics of this jet feature (see Table 3).

2011) causing the jet flow to curve. Such instabilities can be triggered by variations at the jet injection as those mentioned in Section 4.3. Moreover, it is reasonable to expect pressure gradients in the external medium to play an important role in re-directing the northeasterly flow toward the previous JPA of  $\sim -110^{\circ}$  at the edge of the channel carved out by the jet over thousands of years. Such bending would be accomplished via multiple oblique shocks at the outer boundary of the jet. This in turn would be accompanied by compression of the magnetic field and acceleration of relativistic electrons, which would (1) enhance the synchrotron emission near the outer edge and (2) align the magnetic field near the outer edge so that it follows the curvature of the jet. The polarization map of 2011 April 21 (and possibly 2011 June 12; see Figure 2) conform with these expectations, although the angular resolution in the north-south direction is inadequate to confirm the predicted edge brightening.

#### 4.5. Relation to Long Scales

If inhomogeneous jet injection occurs randomly, i.e., with no systematic temporal pattern, its effect on the jet flow in terms of instabilities would decrease with distance and within the jet cross section. Indeed, the mean long-term position angle of the compact jet found by Tateyama & Kingham (2004) is  $\sim -107^{\circ}$ , very similar to the value of  $-109^{\circ}$  of the kiloparsecscale jet within 8" of the nucleus (Marscher & Jorstad 2011). This implies that only short wavelengths are excited, perhaps in the form of high-order body modes. Such short wavelengths grow rapidly in amplitude but are inefficient in jet mixing and disruption in the nonlinear phase, in contrast with instabilities that generate large deformations on the jet surface, i.e., firstorder body and surface modes (Xu et al. 2000; Perucho et al. 2004a, 2004b, 2005, 2007, 2010; Hardee & Eilek 2011). Thus, the small-scale nature of the observed changes in our 7 mm VLBA data do not imply disruption of the jet on larger scales despite the high apparent amplitudes, which are accentuated by projection effects.

#### 5. CONCLUSIONS

Our 7 mm VLBA study has revealed a sharp jet-positionangle swing by  $>100^{\circ}$  during [2004,2006], as viewed in the plane of the sky, that we interpret as the crossing of the jet from one side of the line of sight to the other during a softer and longer term swing of the inner jet. Modulating such long-term swing, our images also show that the innermost  $\sim 0.4$  mas region of the 7 mm jet in OJ287 wobbles following an erratic pattern with amplitudes up to  $\sim 40^{\circ}$  on timescales of  $\sim 2$  yr. The latter phenomenon, reported here for the first time, rules out scenarios such as the putative orbit of the supermassive BH responsible for the production of the jet in a binary system, accretion disk precession induced by either a companion compact object or the Lense-Thirring effect, and jet interaction with the external medium as possible origins of the phenomenon. This is based on the long timescales related to these scenarios compared with the  $\sim 2$  yr timescale and to the apparently erratic nature of the observed wobbling in OJ287. The dense time sampling of our observations also allows us to rule out misidentification of jet features across epochs as a possible explanation. This leads us to propose a new possibility to explain the non-periodic jet wobbling reported here through asymmetric fluctuations in the injection of plasma flow into the jet coupled with instabilities. This could be related to variations in the accretion process and in the disk-jet connection.

Both our observations and those from other VLBI programs at centimeter wavelengths (Moór et al. 2011; Valtonen et al. 2011) rule out the  $\sim$ 12 yr periodic precession model proposed by Tateyama & Kingham (2004), which predicted different evolution of the JPA than observed. In contrast, the 120 yr periodicity of the Valtonen et al. (2011) precession model seems able to reproduce the JPA evolution observed at centimeter wavelengths, although the 12 yr modulation that their model imparts on the predicted JPA changes does not clearly follow their observed JPA variations during the [2005,2011] time range. Such 12 yr modulation might be better reproduced by the 3.5 cm JPA observations by Moór et al. (2011), but more data are still needed to clarify the consistency between new Valtonen's JPA evolution model and the centimeter VLBI jet behavior.

Our interpretation of the apparent mismatch between the JPA evolution reported in this paper (i.e., on scales of a few parsecs) and those observed at centimeter wavelengths (tens of parsecs) leaves open the possibility that different instability modes operate on these two spatial scales. This allows for the JPA on the inner scales to behave in an erratic way, while on the larger scales it can be driven by instabilities coupled to modes with periodic behavior. Periodic models based on binary BH systems are thus not ruled out by our observations, unless future centimeter VLBI observations of the jet in OJ287 show more erratic JPA variations similar to those seen at millimeter wavelengths.

The detailed study of the evolution of the JPA of OJ287 presented here and the main conclusions drawn above were only possible with the ultra-high resolution provided by the VLBA at 7 mm and long-term monitoring of OJ287 with dense time sampling. Studies similar to that presented here can be carried out for a number of blazars that have been monitored intensively with millimeter VLBI during the past decade. Performing these studies will be crucial to evaluate how common erratic wobbling is in the jets of AGNs and to draw general conclusions about the possible relation of this phenomenon to the accretion process.

This research has made use of the MOJAVE database maintained by the MOJAVE team (Lister et al. 2009). The VLBA is an instrument of the National Radio Astronomy Observatory, a facility of the National Science Foundation operated under cooperative agreement by Associated Universities, Inc. The research at the IAA-CSIC is supported in part by the Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación of Spain, and by the regional government of Andalucía through grants AYA2010-14844 and

P09-FQM-4784, respectively. The research at Boston University was funded by U.S. National Science Foundation grant AST-0907893, NASA grants NNX08AJ64G, NNX08AU02G, NNX08AV61G, and NNX08AV65G, and NRAO award GSSP07-0009.

#### REFERENCES

- Agudo, I. 2009, in ASP Conf. Ser. 402, Approaching Micro-Arcsecond Resolution with VSOP-2: Astrophysics and Technologies, ed. Y. Hagiwara et al. (San Francisco, CA: ASP), 330
- Agudo, I., Bach, U., Krichbaum, T. P., et al. 2007, A&A, 476, L17
- Agudo, I., Gómez, J. L., Gabuzda, D. C., et al. 2006a, A&A, 453, 477
- Agudo, I., Gómez, J.-L., Martí, J.-M., et al. 2001, ApJ, 549, L183
- Agudo, I., Jorstad, S. G., Marscher, A. P., et al. 2010, in Fermi Meets Jansky-AGN in Radio and Gamma-Rays, ed. T. Savolainen et al. (Bonn: Max-Planck-Institute für Radioastronomie), 143
- Agudo, I., Jorstad, S. G., Marscher, A. P., et al. 2011a, ApJ, 726, L13
- Agudo, I., Krichbaum, T. P., Ungerechts, H., et al. 2006b, A&A, 456, 117
- Agudo, I., Marscher, A. P., Jorstad, S. G., et al. 2011b, ApJ, 735, L10
- Aloy, M.-Á., Martí, J.-M., Gómez, J. L., et al. 2003, ApJ, 585, L109
- Bach, U., Krichbaum, T. P., Ros, E., et al. 2005, A&A, 433, 815
- Bach, U., Villata, M., Raiteri, C. M., et al. 2006, A&A, 456, 105
- Bardeen, J. M., & Petterson, J. A. 1975, ApJ, 195, L65
- Begelman, M. C., Blandford, R. D., & Rees, M. J. 1980, Nature, 287, 307
- Blandford, R. D., & Znajek, R. L. 1977, MNRAS, 179, 433
- Caproni, A., Cuesta, H. J. M., & Abraham, Z. 2004, ApJ, 616, L99
- Chatterjee, R., Marscher, A. P., Jorstad, S. G., et al. 2011, ApJ, 734, 43
- D'Arcangelo, F. D., Marscher, A. P., Jorstad, S. G., et al. 2009, ApJ, 697, 985
- Dhawan, V., Kellermann, K. I., & Romney, J. D. 1998, ApJ, 498, L111
- Gómez, J. L., Marscher, A. P., Alberdi, A., Jorstad, S. G., & Agudo, I. 2001, ApJ,
- 561. L161
- Gómez, J. L., Marscher, A. P., Alberdi, A., Jorstad, S. G., & García-Miró, C. 2000. Science, 289, 2317
- Gómez, J. L., Marscher, A. P., Jorstad, S. G., Agudo, I., & Roca-Sogorb, M. 2008, ApJ, 681, L69
- Gómez, J. L., Roca-Sogorb, M., Agudo, I., Marscher, A. P., & Jorstad, S. G. 2011, ApJ, 733, 11
- Gong, B. P. 2008, MNRAS, 389, 315
- Hardee, P. E. 2011, in IAU Symp 275, Jets at All Scales, ed. G. E. Romero, R. A. Sunyaev, & T. Belloni (Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press), 41
- Hardee, P. E., & Eilek, J. A. 2011, ApJ, 735, 61
- Hardee, P. E., Walker, R. C., & Gómez, J. L. 2005, ApJ, 620, 646
- Homan, D. C., Lister, M. L., Kellermann, K. I., et al. 2003, ApJ, 589, L9
- Jorstad, S. G., Marscher, A. P., Larionov, V. M., et al. 2010, ApJ, 715, 362
- Jorstad, S. G., Marscher, A. P., Lister, M. L., et al. 2005, AJ, 130, 1418
- Jorstad, S. G., Marscher, A. P., Mattox, J. R., et al. 2001, ApJS, 134, 181
- Larionov, V. M., Jorstad, S. G., Marscher, A. P., et al. 2009, A&A, 492, 389
- Lister, M. L., Aller, H. D., Aller, M. F., et al. 2009, ApJ, 137, 3718
- Lister, M. L., Kellermann, K. I., Vermeulen, R. C., et al. 2003, ApJ, 584, 135
- Lobanov, A. P., & Roland, J. 2005, A&A, 431, 831
- Marscher, A. P., & Jorstad, S. G. 2011, ApJ, 729, 26
- Marscher, A. P., Jorstad, S. G., Larionov, V. M., et al. 2010, ApJ, 710, L126
- Marscher, A. P., Marshall, F. E., Mushotzky, R. F., et al. 1979, ApJ, 233, 498
- McKinney, J. C., & Blandford, R. D. 2009, MNRAS, 394, L126
- Moór, A., Frey, S., Lambert, S. B., et al. 2011, AJ, 141, 178
- Mutel, R. L., & Denn, G. R. 2005, ApJ, 623, 79
- Perucho, M. 2011, IJMPD, in press (arXiv:1109.2403)
- Perucho, M., Hanasz, M., Martí, J. M., & Miralles, J. A. 2007, Phys. Rev. E, 75.056312
- Perucho, M., Hanasz, M., Martí, J. M., & Sol, H. 2004a, A&A, 427, 415
- Perucho, M., Martí, J. M., Cela, J. M., et al. 2010, A&A, 519, 41
- Perucho, M., Martí, J. M., & Hanasz, M. 2004b, A&A, 427, 431
- Perucho, M., Martí, J. M., & Hanasz, M. 2005, A&A, 443, 863
- Piner, B. G., Bhattarai, D., Edwards, P. G., & Jones, D. L. 2006, ApJ, 640, 196
- Savolainen, T., Wiik, K., Valtaoja, E., & Tornikoski, M. 2006, A&A, 446, 71
- Stirling, A. M., Cawthorne, T. V., Stevens, J. A., et al. 2003, MNRAS, 341, 405 Tateyama, C. E., & Kingham, K. A. 2004, ApJ, 608, 149
- Valtonen, M. J., Lehto, H. J., Nilsson, K., et al. 2008, Nature, 452, 851
- Valtonen, M. J., Villforth, C., & Wiik, K. 2011, MNRAS, in press (arXiv:1111.1539)
- Villforth, C., Nilsson, K., Heidt, J., et al. 2010, MNRAS, 402, 2087
- Xu, J., Hardee, P. E., & Stone, J. M. 2000, ApJ, 543, 161