



Year: 2020

Long-term mortality after childhood growth hormone treatment: the SAGhE cohort study

Sävendahl, Lars ; Cooke, Rosie ; Tidblad, Anders ; et al ; Kuehni, Claudia E ; Konrad, Daniel

Abstract: Background: Recombinant human growth hormone has been used for more than 30 years and its indications have increased worldwide. There is concern that this treatment might increase mortality, but published data are scarce. We present data from the entire dataset of all eight countries of the Safety and Appropriateness of Growth hormone treatments in Europe (SAGhE) consortium, with the aim of studying long-term overall and cause-specific mortality in young adult patients treated with recombinant human growth hormone during childhood and relating this to the underlying diagnosis. Methods: This cohort study was done in eight European countries (Belgium, France, Germany, Italy, The Netherlands, Sweden, Switzerland, and the UK). Patients were classified a priori based on pre-treatment perceived mortality risk from their underlying disease and followed up for cause-specific mortality. Person-years at risk of mortality and expected rates from general population data were used to calculate standardised mortality ratios (SMRs). Findings: The cohort comprised 24 232 patients treated with recombinant human growth hormone during childhood, with more than 400 000 patient-years of follow-up. In low-risk patients with isolated growth hormone deficiency or idiopathic short stature, all-cause mortality was not significantly increased (SMR 1·1, 95% CI 0·9-1·3). In children born small for gestational age, all-cause mortality was significantly increased when analysed for all countries (SMR 1·5, CI 1·1-1·9), but this result was driven by the French subcohort. In patients at moderate or high risk, mortality was increased (SMR 3·8, 3·3-4·4; and 17·1, 15·6-18·7, respectively). Mortality was not associated with mean daily or cumulative doses of recombinant human growth hormone for any of the risk groups. Cause-specific mortality from diseases of the circulatory and haematological systems was increased in all risk groups. Interpretation: In this cohort, the largest, to our knowledge, with long-term follow-up of patients treated with recombinant human growth hormone during childhood, all-cause mortality was associated with underlying diagnosis. In patients with isolated growth hormone deficiency or idiopathic short stature, recombinant human growth hormone treatment was not associated with increased all-cause mortality. However, mortality from certain causes was increased, emphasising the need for further long-term surveillance.

DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/s2213-8587\(20\)30163-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/s2213-8587(20)30163-7)

Posted at the Zurich Open Repository and Archive, University of Zurich

ZORA URL: <https://doi.org/10.5167/uzh-188828>

Journal Article

Accepted Version



The following work is licensed under a Creative Commons: Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) License.

Originally published at:

Sävendahl, Lars; Cooke, Rosie; Tidblad, Anders; et al; Kuehni, Claudia E; Konrad, Daniel (2020). Long-term mortality after childhood growth hormone treatment: the SAGhE cohort study. *The Lancet. Diabetes Endocrinology*, 8(8):683-692.

DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/s2213-8587\(20\)30163-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/s2213-8587(20)30163-7)

1 Mortality after childhood Growth Hormone treatment – the 2 SAGhE study

3
4 Lars Sävendahl* M.D., Ph.D., Rosie Cooke, Anders Tidblad M.D., Ph.D., Dominique Beckers*
5 M.D., Gary Butler* M.D., F.R.C.P.C.H., Stefano Cianfarani* M.D., Peter Clayton* M.D., Joël
6 Coste* M.D., Ph.D., Anita C.S. Hokken-Koelega* M.D., Ph.D., Wieland Kiess* M.D., Claudia
7 E. Kuehni* M.D., Kerstin Albertsson-Wikland M.D., Ph.D., Annalisa Deodati, Emmanuel
8 Ecosse, Ruth Gausche, Claudio Giacomozzi M.D., Daniel Konrad M.D., Ph.D., Fabienne
9 Landier, Roland Pfaeffle M.D., Grit Sommer Ph.D., Muriel Thomas M.D., Sally Tollerfield,
10 Gladys R.J. Zandwijken M.D., Jean-Claude Carel*# M.D., Anthony J. Swerdlow*# Ph.D.,
11 D.M., D.Sc.

12
13 From Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden (L.S., S.C., A.T.); Institute of Cancer Research,
14 London, UK (R.C.; A.J.S.); University Paris Diderot, France (J-C.C., F.L.); University of
15 Gothenburg, Sweden (K.A-W.); UCL Institute of Child Health, London, UK (G.B., A.D., S.T.);
16 University of Rome Tor Vergata – “Bambino Gesù” Children’s Hospital, Italy (S.C., A.D.);
17 Centre for Pediatric Endocrinology, Pediatric Unit, Carlo Poma Hospital, Mantua, Italy (C.G.);
18 University of Manchester, UK (P.C.); University Paris Descartes, France (J.C., E.E.);
19 University of Leipzig, Germany (R.G., W.K, R.P.); Erasmus University Medical Center and
20 Dutch Growth Research Foundation, Rotterdam, The Netherlands (A.C.S.H-K, G.R.J.Z.);
21 Institute of Social and Preventive Medicine, University of Bern, Switzerland (C.E.K., G.S.),
22 Pediatric Endocrinology, Diabetology and Metabolism, Department of Pediatrics, Inselspital,
23 Bern University Hospital, Switzerland (C.E.K., G.S.); Division of Pediatric Endocrinology and
24 Diabetology and Children's Research Centre, University Children's Hospital, Zurich,
25 Switzerland (D.K.); Université Catholique de Louvain, Yvoir, Belgium (D.B); Belgian Society
26 for Pediatric Endocrinology and Diabetology (BESPEED), Brussels, Belgium (D.B., M.T).

27 *Principal investigators of the SAGhE project in each country

28 #Equal contribution

29 Corresponding author: Prof Lars Sävendahl, Department of Women's and Children's Health,
30 Karolinska Institutet and Pediatric Endocrinology Unit, Karolinska University Hospital J9:30,
31 Visionsgatan 4, SE-17164 Solna, Sweden. Telephone: +4670 5635120; email:
32 lars.savendahl@ki.se

1 **Summary**

2 **Background**

3 Recombinant human growth hormone (r-hGH) has been used for more than 30 years and
4 indications for r-hGH have multiplied worldwide. There has been concern that this treatment
5 might raise mortality, but published data are limited.

6 **Methods**

7 The cohort comprised of 24,232 childhood r-hGH treated patients in eight European countries
8 with >400,000 patient-years of follow-up. Patients were classified a priori based on pre-
9 treatment perceived mortality risk from their underlying disease and followed for cause-specific
10 mortality. Person-years at risk of mortality and expected rates from general population data
11 were used to calculate standardized mortality ratios (SMRs).

12 **Findings**

13 In low-risk patients with isolated GH deficiency or idiopathic short stature all-cause mortality
14 was not significantly increased [SMR 1.1 (95% confidence interval 0.9-1.3)] while in children
15 born small for gestational age it was increased [SMR 1.5 (1.1-1.9)], driven by the French sub-
16 cohort. In patients at moderate or high risk, mortality was clearly increased [SMR 3.8 (3.3-4.4)
17 and 17.1 (15.6-18.7), respectively]. Mortality was not significantly associated with mean daily
18 or cumulative doses of r-hGH for any of the risk groups. Cause-specific mortality from diseases
19 of the circulatory and haematological systems was increased in all risk groups.

20 **Interpretation**

21 In this cohort, the largest with long-term follow-up for r-hGH treated children, all-cause
22 mortality was strongly related to underlying diagnosis. In patients with isolated GH deficiency
23 or idiopathic short stature, r-hGH treatment was not associated with significantly increased all-
24 cause mortality. However, mortality from certain causes was increased, emphasizing the need
25 for further long-term surveillance.

26

1 **Funding**

2 The funding sources of the study had no role in the study design, data collection, data analyses,
3 data interpretation, or writing of the report. All funding sources are listed under Declaration of
4 interests.

1 **Research in context**

2 **Evidence before this study**

3 In 2012, a preliminary report on mortality risk in patients previously treated with recombinant
4 human growth hormone (r-hGH) from the French SAGhE cohort raised significant concerns
5 about the long-term safety of this treatment. Earlier reports from multiple post-marketing
6 surveillance studies have presented reassuring short-term on-treatment safety data in patients
7 treated with r-hGH. However, few previous studies have investigated the long-term mortality
8 in patients treated with r-hGH during childhood.

9 **Added value of this study**

10 This is the first large multi-national population-based cohort study of childhood r-hGH treated
11 patients reporting overall- and cause-specific mortality data from all eight participating SAGhE
12 countries with >400,000 patient-years and up to 25 years of follow-up. All-cause mortality was
13 found to be strongly related to the underlying diagnosis and not significantly associated with
14 increased mean or cumulative r-hGH dose. In patients with isolated GH deficiency or idiopathic
15 short stature, r-hGH treatment was not associated with significantly increased all-cause
16 mortality. However, mortality from certain causes was increased.

17 **Implications of all the available evidence**

18 Our large long-term study enhances earlier published data from post-marketing surveillance
19 studies suggesting no significant effect of childhood r-hGH treatment on overall mortality in
20 patients with isolated GH deficiency or idiopathic short stature. For those patients with an
21 inherent increased mortality risk, our study noted increased mortality rates most likely related
22 to the underlying diagnosis. Although our present data are in general reassuring, we recommend
23 continued long-term surveillance of childhood r-hGH treated patients to allow detection of any
24 increased mortality risks later in life.

25

1 **Introduction**

2 Recombinant human growth hormone (r-hGH) has been used for more than 3 decades and the
3 indications have expanded worldwide, now including not only GH deficiency but also many
4 other causes of short stature. The overall experience from many thousands of patient years of
5 treatment suggests on balance that r-hGH is safe.¹ Nevertheless, a systematic review with meta-
6 analysis of articles published until September 2013 showed a slight but significant increase in
7 all-cause mortality in patients treated with r-hGH in childhood and adolescence.²

8 Unfortunately, most of our knowledge regarding r-hGH safety is based on cohort studies with
9 short follow-up of adverse events within databases kept by pharmaceutical companies. To
10 overcome these limitations and study the long-term safety of r-hGH therapy, we set up a
11 European consortium (SAGhE: Safety and Appropriateness of Growth hormone treatments in
12 Europe) involving eight countries (Belgium, France, Germany, Italy, The Netherlands, Sweden,
13 Switzerland, and United Kingdom) and merged datasets on ~24,000 young adults treated with
14 r-hGH during childhood and adolescence.³

15 Two preliminary reports, based on a subset of local datasets within SAGhE, have presented
16 mortality data in young adult patients who were treated with r-hGH during childhood for
17 isolated idiopathic GH deficiency, small for gestational age or idiopathic short stature: a study⁴
18 from France reported a significant increase in all-cause mortality and cause-specific mortality
19 for bone tumours and cerebral haemorrhage in 6500 patients, while in an analysis⁵ from
20 Sweden, The Netherlands, and Belgium, no deaths from cancer or cerebrovascular disease were
21 identified among 2500 patients.

22 The current study presents data from the entire dataset of all eight countries of the SAGhE
23 consortium. Our main objective was to study long-term overall and cause-specific mortality in
24 young adult patients who were treated with r-hGH during childhood and relate this to the
25 underlying diagnosis. Secondary objectives included analyses of dose-response, mean and

1 cumulative r-hGH dose, impact of time since end of r-hGH treatment, and duration of r-hGH
2 treatment.

3

1 **Methods**

2 **Study population and study design**

3 The cohort study was conducted in eight European countries as described in detail earlier.³ In
4 brief, we attempted to identify, in each country, all resident patients who were born before
5 1991-5 (depending on the country), who had been treated with r-hGH during childhood from
6 the time such treatment was first introduced (1984-6), irrespective of treatment duration, at any
7 time up to a date during 2007-9 (or in France and Sweden up to 1997), and who had never been
8 treated with human pituitary growth hormone.

9 In each country appropriate ethics committee agreement was obtained. Data on demographic
10 and GH-related variables were extracted from existing databases and case-notes. We followed
11 the participants for mortality via national population-based registries in Belgium, The
12 Netherlands, Sweden, and UK and by a range of methods in the other four countries.³ Mortality
13 was followed from the earliest r-hGH treatment date (except Italy: January 1, 1999 or earliest
14 r-hGH treatment date if later) until a censoring date which varied between countries (September
15 21, 2009-December 31, 2013). The cause of death was retrieved from national sources in France
16 (Certification électronique des causes de décès), Belgium (Federal and Regional death
17 registries), and Sweden (Swedish Death Causality Registry) or from individual death
18 certificates in Italy, Switzerland, and the UK, or from medical records and questionnaires in
19 Germany and in The Netherlands from medical records. Information was missing regarding the
20 specific causes of death for a few cases, as reflected by a slightly lower number of patient-years
21 in those analyses (Table S2).

22 As detailed earlier, follow-up for mortality was 96.7% complete, excluding Italy, where
23 information on completeness was not available.³ Cause-specific mortality data and population

1 counts for the general population were obtained to derive expected mortality from national
2 mortality statistics. Cancer mortality has earlier been reported from this cohort.⁶

3 **Risk group classification**

4 Certain diagnoses leading to GH treatment are known to be themselves associated with
5 increased mortality, which complicates analyses in a mixed cohort with underlying diagnoses
6 stretching from healthy individuals with idiopathic short stature to those patients with a brain
7 tumour or chronic renal failure diagnosed prior to treatment start. In an attempt to overcome
8 this problem, we decided to categorize all patients a priori into three “risk groups” based on
9 their diagnosis leading to GH treatment. If a patient had several diagnoses, categorization was
10 based on the diagnoses belonging to the highest risk group.

11 The details of the risk classification have been described earlier³ and the distribution by country
12 are presented in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. Risk group 1 was further sub-divided into patients
13 treated for isolated GH deficiency or idiopathic short stature (group 1a) and short children born
14 small for gestational age (group 1b; birth weight and/or length <-2SDS according to the
15 different national references).⁷ Risk group 2 included treated patients with: multiple pituitary
16 hormone deficiency (GH and at least one additional pituitary hormone deficiency), defined
17 paediatric syndromes (such as Turner, Noonan, neurofibromatosis type 1, Prader-Willi and
18 Fanconi syndromes) known to be associated with an increased risk of mortality, benign pituitary
19 tumours, severe craniofacial or other malformations, and severe paediatric chronic diseases.
20 Risk group 3 included patients who had been treated for cancer, craniopharyngioma and chronic
21 renal failure.

22

1 **Statistical analyses**

2 We calculated person-years at risk of death for each patient by sex, 5 year age-group, single
3 calendar year, and country, starting from the date of first treatment with r-hGH and ending at
4 whichever occurred earliest of: death, loss to follow-up, or a fixed end-date for each country as
5 previously detailed.³ The analyses were further stratified by different time scales and GH-
6 dosing categories as detailed in the tables. The mean daily dose of r-hGH was calculated from
7 data retrieved at each clinic visit. Time-dependent variables (time since treatment and
8 cumulative dose) were analysed in a time-dependent manner i.e. person-years and events for
9 each participant were split and allocated to the level of the variable the participant belonged to
10 at each point in follow-up, so that they contributed to different levels of the variable as they
11 progressed through these. The cumulative dose was calculated by multiplying the mean daily
12 dose by the total number of treatment days. National population rates were used to calculate
13 standardized mortality ratios (SMRs) and trends tested by the Poisson trend statistic. Absolute
14 excess rates (AERs) were calculated by subtracting expected from observed numbers of cases,
15 dividing by person-years at risk and multiplying by 10,000.

16 Main outcome analyses included long-term overall and cause-specific mortality related to the
17 underlying diagnosis. Conclusion about treatment effect was based on the confidence intervals
18 reported. Sub-analyses included effects of mean and cumulative doses of r-hGH, impact of
19 time since end of treatment, and duration of treatment. Sub-analyses were also performed
20 stratifying data into France, and all other countries, to explore any country bias linked to the
21 high proportion of patients from France. Another sub-analysis was conducted where the risk
22 was recalculated once patients had ceased r-hGH for a period greater than two years, because
23 an adverse event, irrespective of causality, often leads to treatment termination.⁹ All p-values
24 are 2-sided and a value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

25

1 **Role of the funding source**

2 The funders of the study had no role in study design, data collection, data analysis, data
3 interpretation, or writing of the report. The corresponding author had full access to all the data
4 in the study and had final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

1 **Results**

2 **Characteristics of the study cohort**

3 The cohort consisted of 24,232 patients and of these 13,145 (54.2%) were classified as low risk
4 (groups 1a and 1b), 7,188 (29.7%) moderate risk (group 2), 3,587 (14.8%) high risk (group 3),
5 and 312 (1.3%) not classifiable. Patient characteristics by risk group are detailed in Table 3.
6 There was a male predominance except in risk group 2, which included the patients with Turner
7 syndrome. Between risk groups, there were small differences in age at treatment start (9.9-11.1
8 years) and treatment duration (4.5-6.0 years). The mean dose of r-hGH was lower in risk groups
9 1a and 3 (26.3 and 25.6 µg/kg/day, respectively) than in risk groups 1b and 2 (33.3 and 35.0
10 µg/kg/day, respectively). In total, 10,316 patients (42.6%) came from France and 13,916
11 (57.4%) from the other seven countries (Table 2).

12 **Overall mortality by risk group**

13 For patients in the low risk group 1a, overall mortality was not significantly increased [SMR
14 1.1 (95% confidence interval (CI) 0.9-1.3)] (Table 4). When analysed separately, this was true
15 for both France [SMR 1.1 (0.9-1.4); Table S3] and the other seven countries [SMR 1.0 (0.7-
16 1.4); Table S4]. Mean daily dose of r-hGH as well as the cumulative dose of r-hGH did not
17 affect mortality in risk group 1a (Table 4). Time since start of r-hGH treatment was borderline
18 significantly associated with mortality in risk group 1a (p trend = 0.05; Table 4). The highest
19 SMR was seen for those with a treatment duration <2 years [SMR 1.6 (1.1-2.3)] and those with
20 the shortest time since end of treatment [<1 year; SMR 3.3 (1.8-5.7); Table 4]. However, in the
21 analysis with a 2-year lag period after end of r-hGH treatment, the SMR was no longer
22 significant with treatment duration <2 years [SMR 1.2 (0.8-2.0); Table S5].

23 For patients belonging to risk group 1b, overall mortality was significantly increased when
24 analysed for all countries [SMR 1.5 (1.1-1.9); Table 4]. When analysed separately, risk was
25 significantly increased in France [SMR 1.7 (1.2-2.4); Table S3], but not significantly in the

1 other seven countries combined [SMR 1.2 (0.8-1.9) Table S4]. For cumulative dose of r-hGH
2 and mean daily dose, there was no association with increased mortality, but for the highest mean
3 daily dose category [$> 50 \mu\text{g}/\text{kg}/\text{d}$] a SMR of 2.7 (1.4-5.4) was noted (Table 4). Time since
4 start of r-hGH treatment was not associated with mortality in risk group 1b, but an increased
5 risk in the early years after end of treatment was found (Table 4).

6 For patients belonging to risk groups 2 and 3, overall mortality was markedly increased [SMRs
7 3.8 (3.3-4.4) and 17.1 (15.6-18.7), respectively; Table 4] and when analysed separately, the
8 risk was similar in France [Table S3] and the other seven countries combined [Table S4]. Risks
9 in these groups did not relate to daily dose or cumulative dose of GH and decreased with longer
10 duration of treatment. As detailed in Table S1, all-cause mortality was increased for patients
11 with several individual underlying diagnoses belonging to risk groups 2 and 3. The highest
12 mortality was found in patients with tumour diagnoses prior to treatment start, greatest for
13 patients with a pre-existing central nervous system tumour [SMR 23.6 (21.0-26.6); Table S1].
14 In risk group 3, a higher SMR was noted in females [33.2 (28.8-38.3)] compared with males
15 [12.7 (11.2-14.3)], but the difference decreased notably when comparing AER [83.7 (71.9-
16 96.9) and 73.4 (64.1-83.6) for females and males, respectively], Table S2.

17 **Cause-specific mortality by risk group**

18 Cause-specific mortality is detailed in Table 5. Although the category accidents and violence
19 was by far the most common individual cause of death for risk groups 1a and 1b, the mortality
20 rate from this cause was not significantly increased when compared with that in the general
21 population. Mortality from neoplasms was also not increased for risk groups 1a and 1b [SMR
22 0.9 (0.4-1.8) and 0.6 (0.1-2.4), respectively]. In contrast, mortality from diseases in blood and
23 blood forming organs was significantly increased for risk group 1a [SMR 8.2 (2.6- 25.4)].
24 Mortality from diseases of the circulatory system was significantly increased for both groups
25 1a and 1b [SMR 2.4 (1.2-4.6) and 3.7 (1.7-8.3), respectively], where the risk for 1b was mostly

1 driven by the French sub-cohort. Within the circulatory system, mortality due to
2 cerebrovascular disease was significantly increased for risk group 1a [SMRs 4.7 (1.8-12.5)]
3 while the risk of circulatory diseases other than ischemic heart disease and cerebrovascular
4 disease was raised in group 1b [SMRs 5.0 (2.1-11.9)].

5 Table 6 details the cause of death for each of the 19 patients who died from a circulatory disease
6 or a disease in blood and blood-forming organs in risk groups 1a (n=12) and 1b (n=7). A cardiac
7 cause was reported in eight patients and a cerebrovascular disease was the second most common
8 cause of death (n=5). All patients in risk groups 1a and 1b who died from a circulatory cause
9 were treated within the approved dose ranges except for one patient who died from cardiac
10 arrest and was treated with a higher r-hGH dose (61.9 µg/kg/day). Of the four deaths from
11 blood and blood-forming organs, two were caused by immunodeficiency and one each by
12 aplastic anaemia and coagulation defect.

13 For the moderate and high-risk groups (groups 2 and 3), cause-specific mortality was increased
14 for several specific categories most likely due to the underlying diagnosis within these risk
15 groups (Table 5).

1 **Discussion**

2 Through a collaboration of eight European countries, creating a joint cohort of childhood r-
3 hGH treated patients, we have been able to carry out the largest long-term mortality follow-up
4 study of the included patient groups to date. Due to the heterogeneity of patients treated with r-
5 hGH, a risk classification was carried out to investigate the overall and cause-specific mortality
6 in the different risk groups. In patients with an a priori low mortality risk, no increased overall
7 mortality was seen. However, an increased overall mortality was confirmed for patients whose
8 underlying diagnosis was known a priori to be associated with increased mortality risk.

9 For risk group 1a, comprising isolated GH deficiency and idiopathic short stature, no increased
10 overall mortality was found. This finding improves upon previous studies, as none of them are
11 directly comparable to ours, since we have analysed a large patient group without an apparent
12 underlying inherent increased mortality risk.¹⁰⁻¹³ Furthermore, most earlier studies are smaller,
13 include a mix of adult- and childhood-onset patients, differing in the indication of starting
14 treatment, and with shorter follow-up time, which altogether limits possible conclusions of
15 long-term mortality risks. There was a relation to short duration of treatment, but analyses with
16 a 2-year lag period showed this likely to be an artefact of cessation of treatment in severely ill
17 children. Moreover, no association was found between daily or cumulative dose and overall
18 mortality, arguing against a relationship between r-hGH dose and overall mortality in this risk
19 group.

20 In patients born small for gestational age, risk group 1b, we found an increased overall
21 mortality, where a sensitivity analysis showed that this was driven by the French sub-cohort. It
22 is uncertain if this increased risk could be attributed to the r-hGH treatment per se as it has been
23 shown in a large population-based study that children born small for gestational age have an
24 increased mortality risk at younger ages compared with normal birth weight children.¹⁴ In
25 contrast to our cohort, those risks were however reduced compared with the general population

1 with increasing age. Another study on r-hGH treated low-risk patients also showed the
2 importance of birth size in relation to mortality risk, where an increased SMR by conventional
3 calculations normalized using a continuous hazard model also including birth characteristics.¹⁵
4 Although mortality was increased for the highest dose category (>50 µg/kg/d), no overall
5 association was found between daily or cumulative dose and mortality arguing against a
6 relationship between r-hGH dose and mortality in risk group 1b. When analysing cause-specific
7 mortality for risk groups 1a and 1b, we found a significantly increased mortality risk due to
8 diseases of the circulatory system. In line with our findings, increased mortality risk due to
9 circulatory diseases has previously been reported in a mixed cohort of adult- and childhood
10 onset isolated GH deficiency patients.¹² Within circulatory diseases in our risk group 1a,
11 mortality was increased in the sub-category cerebrovascular diseases in line with an earlier
12 report¹⁶ and a previous publication regarding cerebrovascular morbidity in the French SAGhE
13 cohort.¹⁷ Several possible mechanisms could be considered for this association. As recently
14 reviewed by di Somma *et al*, both states of excess as well as insufficiency of GH are associated
15 with increased cardiovascular risks.¹⁸ Thus, it is likely that GH levels and cardiovascular health
16 are related and that both excess and insufficiency of GH should be avoided.

17 In risk group 1b, the increased risk of circulatory mortality is in accordance with the known
18 raised risks of cardiovascular diseases in patients born small for gestational age, as first reported
19 by Barker *et al*¹⁹ and later confirmed in large epidemiological studies.²⁰⁻²² Furthermore, subjects
20 born small for gestational age are known to have higher blood pressure and increased risk for
21 cardiovascular events at a relatively young age, which might contribute or even explain their
22 higher vascular mortality.²³

23 Cause-specific mortality from diseases of blood and blood forming organs seemed to be
24 increased for both risk group 1a and 1b, but only significantly for group 1a. However, it is

1 important to note that the total number of deaths was low; in risk group 1a three cases and in
2 group 1b only one case.

3 Increased overall mortality was found in risk groups 2 and 3, but it is not possible to conclude
4 that this is due to r-hGH treatment per se, since these groups have underlying diagnoses that are
5 associated with increased mortality, as described in multiple reports in such untreated
6 patients.^{24,25} Furthermore, groups 2 and 3 did not show any relation of risk to daily or
7 cumulative GH dose, which argues against an effect of GH treatment on mortality. Patients in
8 risk group 2, and particularly those in risk group 3, were found to have increased cause-specific
9 mortality for neoplasms which is not surprising and likely related to the underlying
10 diagnoses.^{26,27} Furthermore, the overall SMR in risk group 3 was clearly higher in females
11 compared with males, likely explained mainly by a lower mortality risk in the female general
12 population, as indicated by the lesser difference in AERs. A higher mortality risk in females
13 has also been reported in a large follow-up study of childhood cancer survivors.²⁷

14 Our study has several limitations. First, this study, similarly to other r-hGH safety studies,
15 lacked an untreated control group and we may therefore either have under- or overestimated
16 any difference in mortality risk by comparing instead with the general population. In risk group
17 1a, underlying risk factors such as being born small for gestational age or having other severe
18 diagnoses have been excluded, making them less likely to have certain underlying mortality
19 risks compared with the general population, in contrast to the other risk groups, where the
20 underlying diagnosis was expected to increase their mortality. Secondly, we have not been able
21 to adjust for possible confounders, such as socio-economic factors or birth characteristics and
22 we do not have any information on adult r-hGH treatment or adherence to the r-GH treatment
23 which could influence mortality risks. Thirdly, although our cohort of treated patients is large,
24 mortality in this age group is quite rare leading to wide confidence intervals and some
25 uncertainty for certain point estimates of SMR. Fourthly, comparisons of SMRs rely for strict

1 validity on whether there was interaction, and will be less valid if there was appreciable
2 interaction. Lastly, combining patients from eight different countries, with potential differences
3 in diagnostic and clinical practice, may have created heterogeneity in the data.

4 In conclusion, this European multi-national collaborative study shows no significant increase
5 in overall mortality in low-risk patients with isolated GH deficiency or idiopathic short stature,
6 although the possibility of certain cause-specific cardiovascular and haematological mortality
7 risks remains. For those patients with an inherent increased mortality risk, we confirmed
8 increased mortality rates most likely related to the underlying diagnosis. Although our present
9 data are in general reassuring, we acknowledge several limitations of our study and recommend
10 continued long-term surveillance of childhood r-hGH treated patients to allow detection of any
11 increased mortality risks later in life.

12

1 **Contributors**

2 LS, GB, SC, PC, JC, AHK, WK, RP, JCC and AJS conceived the study and formulated the
3 analysis plan. RC and AJS did the statistical analyses. LS and AT wrote the manuscript. All
4 authors contributed to the interpretation of the data, critical revision of the manuscript and
5 approval of the final manuscript.

6

7 **Declaration of interests**

8 This work was supported by funding in all countries from the European Union (HEALTH-F2-
9 2009-223497). In addition, there was funding, in Belgium, from the Belgian Study Group for
10 Paediatric Endocrinology; in France, the Agence Française de Sécurité Sanitaire des Produits
11 de Santé (the French drug safety agency; to J.-C.C.), Direction Générale de la Santé (French
12 Ministry of Health; to J.-C.C.), and the Institut National du Cancer (to J.-C.C.); in Sweden,
13 from the Swedish Research Council (K2014-55X-22516 and 2015-02406; to L.S.), Regional
14 University Hospital Grants (20090376, 20120597, 20140566, 20150528 and 20170064; to
15 L.S.), the Swedish Cancer Society (CAN 2011/704; to L.S.), the Swedish Childhood Cancer
16 Foundation (PROJ11/100 and PR2014-0167; to L.S.), and from Government grants under the
17 ALF agreement ALFGBG-812951 (to K.A.-W.); in Switzerland, from the Swiss Cancer League
18 (KLS-02586-02-2010 and KLS-2948-02-2012); and in the United Kingdom, University
19 College London and the UK Child Growth Foundation (to G.B.), and NHS funding to the NIHR
20 Biomedical Research Centre (A.S.). The funders of the study had no role in study design, data
21 collection, data analysis, data interpretation, or writing of the report.

22

23 LS reports personal fees and non-financial support from Ascendis, Hexal, Merck, Novo
24 Nordisk, Pfizer, and Sandoz, outside the submitted work. AT reports personal fees from Pfizer,
25 outside the submitted work. DB reports personal fees from the Belgian Society for Paediatric
26 Endocrinology and Diabetology, personal fees and non-financial support from Novo Nordisk,
27 Merck, and Ferring, during the conduct of the study; personal fees and non-financial support
28 from Novo Nordisk, Merck, and Ferring, outside the submitted work. GB reports other support
29 from Novo Nordisk, Pfizer, Sandoz, and Ferring, outside the submitted work. SC reports
30 personal fees from Merck, Pfizer, Sandoz, Novo Nordisk, and Merck, outside the submitted
31 work. PC reports other support from Merck and Lumos, outside the submitted work. AHK
32 reports personal fees from Pfizer and Novo Nordisk as member of Pfizer International Growth
33 Database (KIGS) Steering Committee and Novo Nordisk Advisory Board on GH treatment,
34 and personal fees from Novo Nordisk, Merck-Serono and Pfizer, outside the submitted work.

1 RP reports personal fees from Novo Nordisk, Pfizer, Merck Serono, Ipsen, and Sandoz, outside
2 the submitted work. GS reports non-financial support from Novo Nordisk, outside the
3 submitted work. ST reports personal fees from Merck, Novo Nordisk, Ferring, and Sandoz,
4 outside the submitted work. JCC reports other support from Lilly and Pfizer, outside the
5 submitted work. All the other authors report no competing interests.

6

7 **Data sharing**

8 Data obtained for the study will not be made available to others.

9

10 **Acknowledgements**

11 We gratefully acknowledge the assistance in all countries of the GH patients and their families.
12 We also thank: in Belgium, Colienne de la Barre, Christine Derycke, Siska Verlinde for
13 collecting and verifying data, the members of the Belgian Society for Pediatric Endocrinology
14 and Diabetology (BESPEED, previously BSGPE), Liesbet van Eycken and the persons of the
15 Belgian Cancer Registry, the persons of the National Population Registry, the Federal and
16 Regional Death Registries for their essential contribution sharing their data with us, and
17 Professor Marc Maes; in France, Caroline Arnaud-Sarthou, Vean-Eng Ly, and Florentia
18 Kaguelidou for their invaluable contributions. Members of the French SAGhE study steering
19 committee, Jean-Louis Chaussain (Académie de Médecine), Natalie Hoog-Labouret (INCa).
20 We thank all the physicians involved in the follow-up of patients and in the review process at
21 Association France Hypophyse and, in particular, those who contributed to the collection of
22 data for the study; in Germany, the physicians and nurses caring for the GH patients and their
23 families, and Mandy Vogel, Leipzig; in Italy, Flavia Pricci, Rome; Pietro Panei, Rome;
24 Giuseppe Scirè, Rome; Gian Luigi Spadoni, Rome; Franco Cavallo, Torino; Patrizia Matarazzo,
25 Torino; Gianluca Aimaretti, Torino; Laura Perrone, Napoli; in The Netherlands, we thank the
26 research assistants of the Dutch Growth Research Foundation for verifying data. We thank the
27 members of the Dutch Growth Hormone Advisory Board of the Dutch Society for Paediatrics,
28 involved in GH treatment of children, and the registration teams of the Comprehensive Cancer
29 Centre Netherlands and Comprehensive Cancer Centre South for the collection of data for The
30 Netherlands Cancer Registry and the scientific staff of the Comprehensive Cancer Centre
31 Netherlands; in Sweden, Birgit Borgström, member of the Swedish SAGhE team, the Board of
32 the National Growth Hormone Registry and also *Principle investigator for national r-hGH
33 trials 1985-1997; *Kerstin Albertsson-Wikland, Stefan Aronson, Peter Bang, Jovanna
34 Dahlgren, Maria Elfving, Jan Gustafsson, Claude Marcus, Svante Norgren, Martin Ritzén,

1 Johan Svensson, Ulf Westgren, Otto Westphal, and all pediatric endocrinologists and
2 pediatricians involved in the GH treatment of children for their assistance in collecting and
3 verifying data; in Switzerland, Rahel Kuonen, Bern for collecting the data; Urs Eiholzer,
4 Zurich; Jürg Girard, Basel; Sylvia Gschwendt, Zug; Michael Hauschild, Lausanne; Marco
5 Janner, Bern; Beatrice Kuhlmann, Aarau; Dagmar L'Allemand, St. Gallen; Udo Meinhardt,
6 Zurich; Christa Flück, Bern; Franziska Phan-Hug, Lausanne; Eugen Schoenle, Zurich; Michael
7 Steigert; Chur; Urs Zumsteg, Basel for sharing the data; in the UK, the members of the British
8 Society for Paediatric Endocrinology and Diabetes in the 21 historic UK Growth centres for
9 tracking down and contacting former and current patients, in particular; Justin Davies,
10 Southampton; Jo Blair, Liverpool; Fiona Ryan, Oxford; Liz Crowne, Bristol; Savitha Shenoy,
11 Leicester; Jeremy Kirk, Birmingham; Tim Cheetham, Newcastle; Leo Dunkel, London Barts;
12 Tabitha Randell, Nottingham; Sabah Alvi, Leeds; Neil Wright, Sheffield; Justin Warner,
13 Cardiff; Amalia Mayo, Aberdeen; Guftar Shaikh, Glasgow; Louise Bath, Edinburgh; the
14 National Institute for Health Research Medicines for Children Research Network and the
15 Scottish Medicines for Children Network who supported the network of research nurses; the
16 former Pfizer UK KIGS team for assistance in making electronic database records of treated
17 patients available to the local investigators at each of the UK Growth Centres, and Michael
18 Jones and Sharon Squires, London.

1 References

- 2 1. Rosenfeld RG, Cohen P, Robison LL, et al. Long-term surveillance of growth
3 hormone therapy. *The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism* 2012; **97**(1): 68-72.
- 4 2. Deodati A, Ferroli BB, Cianfarani S. Association between growth hormone therapy
5 and mortality, cancer and cardiovascular risk: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Growth*
6 *hormone & IGF research : official journal of the Growth Hormone Research Society and the*
7 *International IGF Research Society* 2014; **24**(4): 105-11.
- 8 3. Swerdlow AJ, Cooke R, Albertsson-Wikland K, et al. Description of the SAGhE
9 Cohort: A Large European Study of Mortality and Cancer Incidence Risks after Childhood
10 Treatment with Recombinant Growth Hormone. *Hormone research in paediatrics* 2015;
11 **84**(3): 172-83.
- 12 4. Carel JC, Ecosse E, Landier F, et al. Long-term mortality after recombinant growth
13 hormone treatment for isolated growth hormone deficiency or childhood short stature:
14 preliminary report of the French SAGhE study. *The Journal of clinical endocrinology and*
15 *metabolism* 2012; **97**(2): 416-25.
- 16 5. Savendahl L, Maes M, Albertsson-Wikland K, et al. Long-term mortality and causes
17 of death in isolated GHD, ISS, and SGA patients treated with recombinant growth hormone
18 during childhood in Belgium, The Netherlands, and Sweden: preliminary report of 3 countries
19 participating in the EU SAGhE study. *The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism*
20 2012; **97**(2): E213-7.
- 21 6. Swerdlow AJ, Cooke R, Beckers D, et al. Cancer risks in patients treated with growth
22 hormone in childhood: the SAGhE European cohort study. *The Journal of clinical*
23 *endocrinology and metabolism* 2017.
- 24 7. Clayton PE, Cianfarani S, Czernichow P, Johannsson G, Rapaport R, Rogol A.
25 Management of the child born small for gestational age through to adulthood: a consensus
26 statement of the International Societies of Pediatric Endocrinology and the Growth Hormone
27 Research Society. *The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism* 2007; **92**(3): 804-10.
- 28 8. Breslow NE, Day NE. Statistical methods in cancer research. Volume I - The analysis
29 of case-control studies. *IARC Sci Publ* 1980; (32): 5-338.
- 30 9. Savendahl L, Pournara E, Pedersen BT, Blankenstein O. Is safety of childhood growth
31 hormone therapy related to dose? Data from a large observational study. *Eur J Endocrinol*
32 2016; **174**(5): 681-91.
- 33 10. Mo D, Hardin DS, Erfurth EM, Melmed S. Adult mortality or morbidity is not
34 increased in childhood-onset growth hormone deficient patients who received pediatric GH
35 treatment: an analysis of the Hypopituitary Control and Complications Study (HypoCCS).
36 *Pituitary* 2014; **17**(5): 477-85.
- 37 11. Child CJ, Zimmermann AG, Chrousos GP, et al. Safety Outcomes During Pediatric
38 GH Therapy: Final Results From the Prospective GeNeSIS Observational Program. *The*
39 *Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism* 2019; **104**(2): 379-89.
- 40 12. van Bunderen CC, van Nieuwpoort IC, Arwert LI, et al. Does growth hormone
41 replacement therapy reduce mortality in adults with growth hormone deficiency? Data from
42 the Dutch National Registry of Growth Hormone Treatment in adults. *The Journal of clinical*
43 *endocrinology and metabolism* 2011; **96**(10): 3151-9.
- 44 13. Quigley CA, Child CJ, Zimmermann AG, Rosenfeld RG, Robison LL, Blum WF.
45 Mortality in Children Receiving Growth Hormone Treatment of Growth Disorders: Data
46 From the Genetics and Neuroendocrinology of Short Stature International Study. *The Journal*
47 *of clinical endocrinology and metabolism* 2017; **102**(9): 3195-205.
- 48 14. Wennerstrom EC, Simonsen J, Melbye M. Long-Term Survival of Individuals Born
49 Small and Large for Gestational Age. *PLoS one* 2015; **10**(9): e0138594.

- 1 15. Albertsson-Wikland K, Martensson A, Savendahl L, et al. Mortality Is Not Increased
2 in Recombinant Human Growth Hormone-treated Patients When Adjusting for Birth
3 Characteristics. *The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism* 2016; **101**(5): 2149-59.
- 4 16. Gaillard RC, Mattsson AF, Akerblad AC, et al. Overall and cause-specific mortality in
5 GH-deficient adults on GH replacement. *European journal of endocrinology / European
6 Federation of Endocrine Societies* 2012; **166**(6): 1069-77.
- 7 17. Poidvin A, Touze E, Ecosse E, et al. Growth hormone treatment for childhood short
8 stature and risk of stroke in early adulthood. *Neurology* 2014; **83**(9): 780-6.
- 9 18. Di Somma C, Scarano E, Savastano S, Savanelli MC, Pivonello R, Colao A.
10 Cardiovascular alterations in adult GH deficiency. *Best practice & research Clinical
11 endocrinology & metabolism* 2017; **31**(1): 25-34.
- 12 19. Barker DJ. Fetal origins of coronary heart disease. *Bmj* 1995; **311**(6998): 171-4.
- 13 20. Kajantie E, Osmond C, Barker DJ, Forsen T, Phillips DI, Eriksson JG. Size at birth as
14 a predictor of mortality in adulthood: a follow-up of 350 000 person-years. *International
15 journal of epidemiology* 2005; **34**(3): 655-63.
- 16 21. Baker JL, Olsen LW, Sorensen TI. Weight at birth and all-cause mortality in
17 adulthood. *Epidemiology (Cambridge, Mass)* 2008; **19**(2): 197-203.
- 18 22. Risnes KR, Vatten LJ, Baker JL, et al. Birthweight and mortality in adulthood: a
19 systematic review and meta-analysis. *International journal of epidemiology* 2011; **40**(3): 647-
20 61.
- 21 23. Arends NJ, Boonstra VH, Duivenvoorden HJ, Hofman PL, Cutfield WS, Hokken-
22 Koelega AC. Reduced insulin sensitivity and the presence of cardiovascular risk factors in
23 short prepubertal children born small for gestational age (SGA). *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)* 2005;
24 **62**(1): 44-50.
- 25 24. Stochholm K, Hjerrild B, Mortensen KH, Juul S, Frydenberg M, Gravholt CH.
26 Socioeconomic parameters and mortality in Turner syndrome. *Eur J Endocrinol* 2012; **166**(6):
27 1013-9.
- 28 25. Reiss U, Wingen AM, Scharer K. Mortality trends in pediatric patients with chronic
29 renal failure. *Pediatr Nephrol* 1996; **10**(1): 41-5.
- 30 26. Schoemaker MJ, Swerdlow AJ, Higgins CD, Wright AF, Jacobs PA, Group UKCC.
31 Cancer incidence in women with Turner syndrome in Great Britain: a national cohort study.
32 *Lancet Oncol* 2008; **9**(3): 239-46.
- 33 27. Fidler MM, Reulen RC, Winter DL, et al. Long term cause specific mortality among
34 34 489 five year survivors of childhood cancer in Great Britain: population based cohort
35 study. *Bmj* 2016; **354**: i4351.

1 Mortality after childhood Growth Hormone treatment – the 2 SAGhE study

3
4 Lars Sävendahl* M.D., Ph.D., Rosie Cooke, Anders Tidblad M.D., Ph.D., Dominique Beckers*
5 M.D., Gary Butler* M.D., F.R.C.P.C.H., Stefano Cianfarani* M.D., Peter Clayton* M.D., Joël
6 Coste* M.D., Ph.D., Anita C.S. Hokken-Koelega* M.D., Ph.D., Wieland Kiess* M.D., Claudia
7 E. Kuehni* M.D., Kerstin Albertsson-Wikland M.D., Ph.D., Annalisa Deodati, Emmanuel
8 Ecosse, Ruth Gausche, Claudio Giacomozzi M.D., Daniel Konrad M.D., Ph.D., Fabienne
9 Landier, Roland Pfaeffle M.D., Grit Sommer Ph.D., Muriel Thomas M.D., Sally Tollerfield,
10 Gladys R.J. Zandwijken M.D., Jean-Claude Carel*# M.D., Anthony J. Swerdlow*# Ph.D.,
11 D.M., D.Sc.

12
13 From Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden (L.S., S.C., A.T.); Institute of Cancer Research,
14 London, UK (R.C.; A.J.S.); University Paris Diderot, France (J-C.C., F.L.); University of
15 Gothenburg, Sweden (K.A-W.); UCL Institute of Child Health, London, UK (G.B., A.D., S.T.);
16 University of Rome Tor Vergata – “Bambino Gesù” Children’s Hospital, Italy (S.C., A.D.);
17 Centre for Pediatric Endocrinology, Pediatric Unit, Carlo Poma Hospital, Mantua, Italy (C.G.);
18 University of Manchester, UK (P.C.); University Paris Descartes, France (J.C., E.E.);
19 University of Leipzig, Germany (R.G., W.K, R.P.); Erasmus University Medical Center and
20 Dutch Growth Research Foundation, Rotterdam, The Netherlands (A.C.S.H-K, G.R.J.Z.);
21 Institute of Social and Preventive Medicine, University of Bern, Switzerland (C.E.K., G.S.),
22 Pediatric Endocrinology, Diabetology and Metabolism, Department of Pediatrics, Inselspital,
23 Bern University Hospital, Switzerland (C.E.K., G.S.); Division of Pediatric Endocrinology and
24 Diabetology and Children's Research Centre, University Children's Hospital, Zurich,
25 Switzerland (D.K.); Université Catholique de Louvain, Yvoir, Belgium (D.B); Belgian Society
26 for Pediatric Endocrinology and Diabetology (BESPEED), Brussels, Belgium (D.B., M.T).

27 *Principal investigators of the SAGhE project in each country

28 #Equal contribution

29 Corresponding author: Prof Lars Sävendahl, Department of Women's and Children's Health,
30 Karolinska Institutet and Pediatric Endocrinology Unit, Karolinska University Hospital J9:30,
31 Visionsgatan 4, SE-17164 Solna, Sweden. Telephone: +4670 5635120; email:
32 lars.savendahl@ki.se

1 **Summary**

2 **Background**

3 Recombinant human growth hormone (r-hGH) has been used for more than 30 years and
4 indications for r-hGH have multiplied worldwide. There has been concern that this treatment
5 might raise mortality, but published data are limited.

6 **Methods**

7 The cohort comprised of 24,232 childhood r-hGH treated patients in eight European countries
8 with >400,000 patient-years of follow-up. Patients were classified a priori based on pre-
9 treatment perceived mortality risk from their underlying disease and followed for cause-specific
10 mortality. Person-years at risk of mortality and expected rates from general population data
11 were used to calculate standardized mortality ratios (SMRs).

12 **Findings**

13 In low-risk patients with isolated GH deficiency or idiopathic short stature all-cause mortality
14 was not significantly increased [SMR 1.1 (95% confidence interval 0.9-1.3)] while in children
15 born small for gestational age it was increased [SMR 1.5 (1.1-1.9)], driven by the French sub-
16 cohort. In patients at moderate or high risk, mortality was clearly increased [SMR 3.8 (3.3-4.4)
17 and 17.1 (15.6-18.7), respectively]. Mortality was not significantly associated with mean daily
18 or cumulative doses of r-hGH for any of the risk groups. Cause-specific mortality from diseases
19 of the circulatory and haematological systems was increased in all risk groups.

20 **Interpretation**

21 In this cohort, the largest with long-term follow-up for r-hGH treated children, all-cause
22 mortality was strongly related to underlying diagnosis. In patients with isolated GH deficiency
23 or idiopathic short stature, r-hGH treatment was not associated with significantly increased all-
24 cause mortality. However, mortality from certain causes was increased, emphasizing the need
25 for further long-term surveillance.

26

1 **Funding**

2 The funding sources of the study had no role in the study design, data collection, data analyses,
3 data interpretation, or writing of the report. All funding sources are listed under Declaration of
4 interests.

1 **Research in context**

2 **Evidence before this study**

3 In 2012, a preliminary report on mortality risk in patients previously treated with recombinant
4 human growth hormone (r-hGH) from the French SAGhE cohort raised significant concerns
5 about the long-term safety of this treatment. Earlier reports from multiple post-marketing
6 surveillance studies have presented reassuring short-term on-treatment safety data in patients
7 treated with r-hGH. However, few previous studies have investigated the long-term mortality
8 in patients treated with r-hGH during childhood.

9 **Added value of this study**

10 This is the first large multi-national population-based cohort study of childhood r-hGH treated
11 patients reporting overall- and cause-specific mortality data from all eight participating SAGhE
12 countries with >400,000 patient-years and up to 25 years of follow-up. All-cause mortality was
13 found to be strongly related to the underlying diagnosis and not significantly associated with
14 increased mean or cumulative r-hGH dose. In patients with isolated GH deficiency or idiopathic
15 short stature, r-hGH treatment was not associated with significantly increased all-cause
16 mortality. However, mortality from certain causes was increased.

17 **Implications of all the available evidence**

18 Our large long-term study enhances earlier published data from post-marketing surveillance
19 studies suggesting no significant effect of childhood r-hGH treatment on overall mortality in
20 patients with isolated GH deficiency or idiopathic short stature. For those patients with an
21 inherent increased mortality risk, our study noted increased mortality rates most likely related
22 to the underlying diagnosis. Although our present data are in general reassuring, we recommend
23 continued long-term surveillance of childhood r-hGH treated patients to allow detection of any
24 increased mortality risks later in life.

25

1 **Introduction**

2 Recombinant human growth hormone (r-hGH) has been used for more than 3 decades and the
3 indications have expanded worldwide, now including not only GH deficiency but also many
4 other causes of short stature. The overall experience from many thousands of patient years of
5 treatment suggests on balance that r-hGH is safe.¹ Nevertheless, a systematic review with meta-
6 analysis of articles published until September 2013 showed a slight but significant increase in
7 all-cause mortality in patients treated with r-hGH in childhood and adolescence.²

8 Unfortunately, most of our knowledge regarding r-hGH safety is based on cohort studies with
9 short follow-up of adverse events within databases kept by pharmaceutical companies. To
10 overcome these limitations and study the long-term safety of r-hGH therapy, we set up a
11 European consortium (SAGhE: Safety and Appropriateness of Growth hormone treatments in
12 Europe) involving eight countries (Belgium, France, Germany, Italy, The Netherlands, Sweden,
13 Switzerland, and United Kingdom) and merged datasets on ~24,000 young adults treated with
14 r-hGH during childhood and adolescence.³

15 Two preliminary reports, based on a subset of local datasets within SAGhE, have presented
16 mortality data in young adult patients who were treated with r-hGH during childhood for
17 isolated idiopathic GH deficiency, small for gestational age or idiopathic short stature: a study⁴
18 from France reported a significant increase in all-cause mortality and cause-specific mortality
19 for bone tumours and cerebral haemorrhage in 6500 patients, while in an analysis⁵ from
20 Sweden, The Netherlands, and Belgium, no deaths from cancer or cerebrovascular disease were
21 identified among 2500 patients.

22 The current study presents data from the entire dataset of all eight countries of the SAGhE
23 consortium. Our main objective was to study long-term overall and cause-specific mortality in
24 young adult patients who were treated with r-hGH during childhood and relate this to the
25 underlying diagnosis. Secondary objectives included analyses of dose-response, mean and

1 cumulative r-hGH dose, impact of time since end of r-hGH treatment, and duration of r-hGH
2 treatment.

3

1 **Methods**

2 **Study population and study design**

3 The cohort study was conducted in eight European countries as described in detail earlier.³ In
4 brief, we attempted to identify, in each country, all resident patients who were born before
5 1991-5 (depending on the country), who had been treated with r-hGH during childhood from
6 the time such treatment was first introduced (1984-6), irrespective of treatment duration, at any
7 time up to a date during 2007-9 (or in France and Sweden up to 1997), and who had never been
8 treated with human pituitary growth hormone.

9 In each country appropriate ethics committee agreement was obtained. Data on demographic
10 and GH-related variables were extracted from existing databases and case-notes. We followed
11 the participants for mortality via national population-based registries in Belgium, The
12 Netherlands, Sweden, and UK and by a range of methods in the other four countries
13 (Supplementary Appendix).³ Mortality was followed from the earliest r-hGH treatment date
14 (except Italy: January 1, 1999 or earliest r-hGH treatment date if later) until a censoring date
15 which varied between countries (September 21, 2009-December 31, 2013). The cause of death
16 was retrieved from national sources in France (Certification électronique des causes de décès),
17 Belgium (Federal and Regional death registries), and Sweden (Swedish Death Causality
18 Registry) or from individual death certificates in Italy, Switzerland, and the UK, or from
19 medical records and questionnaires in Germany and in The Netherlands from medical records.
20 Information was missing regarding the specific causes of death for a few cases, as reflected by
21 a slightly lower number of patient-years in those analyses (Table S2).

22 As detailed earlier, follow-up for mortality was 96.7% complete, excluding Italy, where
23 information on completeness was not available.³ Cause-specific mortality data and population

1 counts for the general population were obtained to derive expected mortality from national
2 mortality statistics. Cancer mortality has earlier been reported from this cohort.⁶

3 **Risk group classification**

4 Certain diagnoses leading to GH treatment are known to be themselves associated with
5 increased mortality, which complicates analyses in a mixed cohort with underlying diagnoses
6 stretching from healthy individuals with idiopathic short stature to those patients with a brain
7 tumour or chronic renal failure diagnosed prior to treatment start. In an attempt to overcome
8 this problem, we decided to categorize all patients a priori into three “risk groups” based on
9 their diagnosis leading to GH treatment, ~~as previously³ described (Supplementary Appendix
10 and Table S1).~~ If a patient had several diagnoses, categorization was based on the diagnoses
11 belonging to the highest risk group.

12 The details of the risk classification have been described earlier³ and the distribution by country
13 are presented in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. Risk group 1 was further sub-divided into patients
14 treated for isolated GH deficiency or idiopathic short stature (group 1a) and short children born
15 small for gestational age (group 1b; birth weight and/or length <-2SDS according to the
16 different national references).⁷ Risk group 2 included treated patients with: multiple pituitary
17 hormone deficiency (GH and at least one additional pituitary hormone deficiency), defined
18 paediatric syndromes (such as Turner, Noonan, neurofibromatosis type 1, Prader-Willi and
19 Fanconi syndromes) known to be associated with an increased risk of mortality, benign pituitary
20 tumours, severe craniofacial or other malformations, and severe paediatric chronic diseases.
21 Risk group 3 included patients who had been treated for cancer, craniopharyngioma and chronic
22 renal failure.

23

1 **Statistical analyses**

2 We calculated person-years at risk of death for each patient by sex, 5 year age-group, single
3 calendar year, and country, starting from the date of first treatment with r-hGH and ending at
4 whichever occurred earliest of: death, loss to follow-up, or a fixed end-date for each country as
5 previously detailed.³ The analyses were further stratified by different time scales and GH-
6 dosing categories as detailed in the tables. The mean daily dose of r-hGH was calculated from
7 data retrieved at each clinic visit. Time-dependent variables (time since treatment and
8 cumulative dose) were analysed in a time-dependent manner i.e. person-years and events for
9 each participant were split and allocated to the level of the variable the participant belonged to
10 at each point in follow-up, so that they contributed to different levels of the variable as they
11 progressed through these. The cumulative dose was calculated by multiplying the mean daily
12 dose by the total number of treatment days. National population rates were used to calculate
13 standardized mortality ratios (SMRs) and trends tested by the Poisson trend statistic.⁷ Absolute
14 excess rates (AERs) were calculated by subtracting expected from observed numbers of cases,
15 dividing by person-years at risk and multiplying by 10,000.

16 Main outcome analyses included long-term overall and cause-specific mortality related to the
17 underlying diagnosis. Conclusion about treatment effect was based on the confidence intervals
18 reported. Sub-analyses included effects of mean and cumulative doses of r-hGH, impact of
19 time since end of treatment, and duration of treatment. Sub-analyses were also performed
20 stratifying data into France, and all other countries, to explore any country bias linked to the
21 high proportion of patients from France. Another sub-analysis was conducted where the risk
22 was recalculated once patients had ceased r-hGH for a period greater than two years, because
23 an adverse event, irrespective of causality, often leads to treatment termination.⁸⁹ All p-values
24 are 2-sided and a value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

25

1 **Role of the funding source**

2 The funders of the study had no role in study design, data collection, data analysis, data
3 interpretation, or writing of the report. The corresponding author had full access to all the data
4 in the study and had final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

1 **Results**

2 **Characteristics of the study cohort**

3 The cohort consisted of 24,232 patients and of these 13,145 (54.2%) were classified as low risk
4 (groups 1a and 1b), 7,188 (29.7%) moderate risk (group 2), 3,587 (14.8%) high risk (group 3),
5 and 312 (1.3%) not classifiable. Patient characteristics by risk group are detailed in Table [43](#).
6 There was a male predominance except in risk group 2, which included the patients with Turner
7 syndrome. Between risk groups, there were small differences in age at treatment start (9.9-11.1
8 years) and treatment duration (4.5-6.0 years). The mean dose of r-hGH was lower in risk groups
9 1a and 3 (26.3 and 25.6 µg/kg/day, respectively) than in risk groups 1b and 2 (33.3 and 35.0
10 µg/kg/day, respectively). In total, 10,316 patients (42.6%) came from France and 13,916
11 (57.4%) from the other seven countries (Table [S22](#)).

12 **Overall mortality by risk group**

13 For patients in the low risk group 1a, overall mortality was not significantly increased [SMR
14 1.1 (95% confidence interval (CI) 0.9-1.3)] (Table [24](#)). When analysed separately, this was
15 true for both France [SMR 1.1 (0.9-1.4); Table [S5S3](#)] and the other seven countries [SMR 1.0
16 (0.7-1.4); Table [S6S4](#)]. Mean daily dose of r-hGH as well as the cumulative dose of r-hGH did
17 not affect mortality in risk group 1a (Table [24](#)). Time since start of r-hGH treatment was
18 borderline significantly associated with mortality in risk group 1a (p trend = 0.05; Table [24](#)).
19 The highest SMR was seen for those with a treatment duration <2 years [SMR 1.6 (1.1-2.3)]
20 and those with the shortest time since end of treatment [<1 year; SMR 3.3 (1.8-5.7); Table [24](#)].
21 However, in the analysis with a 2-year lag period after end of r-hGH treatment, the SMR was
22 no longer significant with treatment duration <2 years [SMR 1.2 (0.8-2.0); Table [S7S5](#)].
23 For patients belonging to risk group 1b, overall mortality was significantly increased when
24 analysed for all countries [SMR 1.5 (1.1-1.9); Table [24](#)]. When analysed separately, risk was
25 significantly increased in France [SMR 1.7 (1.2-2.4); Table [S5S3](#)], but not significantly in the

1 other seven countries combined [SMR 1.2 (0.8-1.9) Table [S6S4](#)]. For cumulative dose of r-
2 hGH and mean daily dose, there was no association with increased mortality, but for the highest
3 mean daily dose category [$> 50 \mu\text{g}/\text{kg}/\text{d}$] a SMR of 2.7 (1.4-5.4) was noted (Table [24](#)). Time
4 since start of r-hGH treatment was not associated with mortality in risk group 1b, but an
5 increased risk in the early years after end of treatment was found (Table [24](#)).

6 For patients belonging to risk groups 2 and 3, overall mortality was markedly increased [SMRs
7 3.8 (3.3-4.4) and 17.1 (15.6-18.7), respectively; Table [24](#)] and when analysed separately, the
8 risk was similar in France [Table [S5S3](#)] and the other seven countries combined [Table [S6S4](#)].
9 Risks in these groups did not relate to daily dose or cumulative dose of GH and decreased with
10 longer duration of treatment. As detailed in Table [S3S1](#), all-cause mortality was increased for
11 patients with several individual underlying diagnoses belonging to risk groups 2 and 3. The
12 highest mortality was found in patients with tumour diagnoses prior to treatment start, greatest
13 for patients with a pre-existing central nervous system tumour [SMR 23.6 (21.0-26.6); Table
14 [S3S1](#)]. In risk group 3, a higher SMR was noted in females [33.2 (28.8-38.3)] compared with
15 males [12.7 (11.2-14.3)], but the difference decreased notably when comparing AER [83.7
16 (71.9-96.9) and 73.4 (64.1-83.6) for females and males, respectively], Table [S4S2](#).

17 **Cause-specific mortality by risk group**

18 Cause-specific mortality is detailed in Table [35](#). Although the category accidents and violence
19 was by far the most common individual cause of death for risk groups 1a and 1b, the mortality
20 rate from this cause was not significantly increased when compared with that in the general
21 population. Mortality from neoplasms was also not increased for risk groups 1a and 1b [SMR
22 0.9 (0.4-1.8) and 0.6 (0.1-2.4), respectively]. In contrast, mortality from diseases in blood and
23 blood forming organs was significantly increased for risk group 1a [SMR 8.2 (2.6- 25.4)].
24 Mortality from diseases of the circulatory system was significantly increased for both groups
25 1a and 1b [SMR 2.4 (1.2-4.6) and 3.7 (1.7-8.3), respectively], where the risk for 1b was mostly

1 driven by the French sub-cohort. Within the circulatory system, mortality due to
2 cerebrovascular disease was significantly increased for risk group 1a [SMRs 4.7 (1.8-12.5)]
3 while the risk of circulatory diseases other than ischemic heart disease and cerebrovascular
4 disease was raised in group 1b [SMRs 5.0 (2.1-11.9)].

5 Table 46 details the cause of death for each of the 19 patients who died from a circulatory
6 disease or a disease in blood and blood-forming organs in risk groups 1a (n=12) and 1b (n=7).

7 A cardiac cause was reported in eight patients and a cerebrovascular disease was the second
8 most common cause of death (n=5). All patients in risk groups 1a and 1b who died from a
9 circulatory cause were treated within the approved dose ranges except for one patient who died
10 from cardiac arrest and was treated with a higher r-hGH dose (61.9 µg/kg/day). Of the four
11 deaths from blood and blood-forming organs, two were caused by immunodeficiency and one
12 each by aplastic anaemia and coagulation defect.

13 For the moderate and high-risk groups (groups 2 and 3), cause-specific mortality was increased
14 for several specific categories most likely due to the underlying diagnosis within these risk
15 groups (Table 35).

1 Discussion

2 Through a collaboration of eight European countries, creating a joint cohort of childhood r-
3 hGH treated patients, we have been able to carry out the largest long-term mortality follow-up
4 study of the included patient groups to date. Due to the heterogeneity of patients treated with r-
5 hGH, a risk classification was carried out to investigate the overall and cause-specific mortality
6 in the different risk groups. In patients with an a priori low mortality risk, no increased overall
7 mortality was seen. However, an increased overall mortality was confirmed for patients whose
8 underlying diagnosis was known a priori to be associated with increased mortality risk.

9 For risk group 1a, comprising isolated GH deficiency and idiopathic short stature, no increased
10 overall mortality was found. This finding improves upon previous studies, as none of them are
11 directly comparable to ours, since we have analysed a large patient group without an apparent
12 underlying inherent increased mortality risk.^{9-12,10-13} Furthermore, most earlier studies are
13 smaller, include a mix of adult- and childhood-onset patients, differing in the indication of
14 starting treatment, and with shorter follow-up time, which altogether limits possible conclusions
15 of long-term mortality risks. There was a relation to short duration of treatment, but analyses
16 with a 2-year lag period showed this likely to be an artefact of cessation of treatment in severely
17 ill children. Moreover, no association was found between daily or cumulative dose and overall
18 mortality, arguing against a relationship between r-hGH dose and overall mortality in this risk
19 group.

20 In patients born small for gestational age, risk group 1b, we found an increased overall
21 mortality, where a sensitivity analysis showed that this was driven by the French sub-cohort. It
22 is uncertain if this increased risk could be attributed to the r-hGH treatment per se as it has been
23 shown in a large population-based study that children born small for gestational age have an
24 increased mortality risk at younger ages compared with normal birth weight children.^{13,14} In
25 contrast to our cohort, those risks were however reduced compared with the general population

1 with increasing age. Another study on r-hGH treated low-risk patients also showed the
2 importance of birth size in relation to mortality risk, where an increased SMR by conventional
3 calculations normalized using a continuous hazard model also including birth
4 characteristics.⁴⁴¹⁵ Although mortality was increased for the highest dose category (>50
5 µg/kg/d), no overall association was found between daily or cumulative dose and mortality
6 arguing against a relationship between r-hGH dose and mortality in risk group 1b. When
7 analysing cause-specific mortality for risk groups 1a and 1b, we found a significantly increased
8 mortality risk due to diseases of the circulatory system. In line with our findings, increased
9 mortality risk due to circulatory diseases has previously been reported in a mixed cohort of
10 adult- and childhood onset isolated GH deficiency patients.⁴⁴¹² Within circulatory diseases in
11 our risk group 1a, mortality was increased in the sub-category cerebrovascular diseases in line
12 with an earlier report⁴⁵¹⁶ and a previous publication regarding cerebrovascular morbidity in the
13 French SAGhE cohort.⁴⁶¹⁷ Several possible mechanisms could be considered for this
14 association. As recently reviewed by di Somma *et al*, both states of excess as well as
15 insufficiency of GH are associated with increased cardiovascular risks.⁴⁷¹⁸ Thus, it is likely that
16 GH levels and cardiovascular health are related and that both excess and insufficiency of GH
17 should be avoided.

18 In risk group 1b, the increased risk of circulatory mortality is in accordance with the known
19 raised risks of cardiovascular diseases in patients born small for gestational age, as first reported
20 by Barker *et al*⁴⁸ ~~and later confirmed in large epidemiological studies.~~¹⁹ and later confirmed in
21 large epidemiological studies.⁴⁹⁻²¹²⁰⁻²² Furthermore, subjects born small for gestational age are
22 known to have higher blood pressure and increased risk for cardiovascular events at a relatively
23 young age, which might contribute or even explain their higher vascular mortality.²²²³
24 Cause-specific mortality from diseases of blood and blood forming organs seemed to be
25 increased for both risk group 1a and 1b, but only significantly for group 1a. However, it is

1 important to note that the total number of deaths was low; in risk group 1a three cases and in
2 group 1b only one case.

3 Increased overall mortality was found in risk groups 2 and 3, but it is not possible to conclude
4 that this is due to r-hGH treatment per se, since these groups have underlying diagnoses that are
5 associated with increased mortality, as described in multiple reports in such untreated
6 patients.^{23,24,25} Furthermore, groups 2 and 3 did not show any relation of risk to daily or
7 cumulative GH dose, which argues against an effect of GH treatment on mortality. Patients in
8 risk group 2, and particularly those in risk group 3, were found to have increased cause-specific
9 mortality for neoplasms which is not surprising and likely related to the underlying
10 diagnoses.^{25,26,27} Furthermore, the overall SMR in risk group 3 was clearly higher in females
11 compared with males, likely explained mainly by a lower mortality risk in the female general
12 population, as indicated by the lesser difference in AERs. A higher mortality risk in females
13 has also been reported in a large follow-up study of childhood cancer survivors.^{26,27}

14 Our study has several limitations. First, this study, similarly to other r-hGH safety studies,
15 lacked an untreated control group and we may therefore either have under- or overestimated
16 any difference in mortality risk by comparing instead with the general population. In risk group
17 1a, underlying risk factors such as being born small for gestational age or having other severe
18 diagnoses have been excluded, making them less likely to have certain underlying mortality
19 risks compared with the general population, in contrast to the other risk groups, where the
20 underlying diagnosis was expected to increase their mortality. Secondly, we have not been able
21 to adjust for possible confounders, such as socio-economic factors or birth characteristics.
22 Thirdly, and we do not have any information on adult r-hGH treatment and/or adherence to the
23 r-GH treatment which could influence mortality risks. Thirdly, although our cohort of treated
24 patients is large, mortality in this age group is quite rare leading to wide confidence intervals
25 and some uncertainty for certain point estimates of SMR. Fourthly, comparisons of SMRs rely

1 for strict validity on whether there was interaction, and will be less valid if there was appreciable
2 interaction. Lastly, combining patients from eight different countries, with potential differences
3 in diagnostic and clinical practice, may have created heterogeneity in the data.

4 In conclusion, this European multi-national collaborative study shows no significant increase
5 in overall mortality in low-risk patients with isolated GH deficiency or idiopathic short stature,
6 although the possibility of certain cause-specific cardiovascular and haematological mortality
7 risks remains. For those patients with an inherent increased mortality risk, we confirmed
8 increased mortality rates most likely related to the underlying diagnosis. Although our present
9 data are in general reassuring, we acknowledge several limitations of our study and recommend
10 continued long-term surveillance of childhood r-hGH treated patients to allow detection of any
11 increased mortality risks later in life.

1 **Contributors**

2 LS, GB, SC, PC, JC, AHK, WK, RP, JCC and AJS conceived the study and formulated the
3 analysis plan. RC and AJS did the statistical analyses. LS and AT wrote the manuscript. All
4 authors contributed to the interpretation of the data, critical revision of the manuscript and
5 approval of the final manuscript.

6

7 **Declaration of interests**

8 This work was supported by funding in all countries from the European Union (HEALTH-F2-
9 2009-223497). In addition, there was funding, in Belgium, from the Belgian Study Group for
10 Paediatric Endocrinology; in France, the Agence Française de Sécurité Sanitaire des Produits
11 de Santé (the French drug safety agency; to J.-C.C.), Direction Générale de la Santé (French
12 Ministry of Health; to J.-C.C.), and the Institut National du Cancer (to J.-C.C.); in Sweden,
13 from the Swedish Research Council (K2014-55X-22516 and 2015-02406; to L.S.), Regional
14 University Hospital Grants (20090376, 20120597, 20140566, 20150528 and 20170064; to
15 L.S.), the Swedish Cancer Society (CAN 2011/704; to L.S.), the Swedish Childhood Cancer
16 Foundation (PROJ11/100 and PR2014-0167; to L.S.), and from Government grants under the
17 ALF agreement ALFGBG-812951 (to K.A.-W.); in Switzerland, from the Swiss Cancer League
18 (KLS-02586-02-2010 and KLS-2948-02-2012); and in the United Kingdom, University
19 College London and the UK Child Growth Foundation (to G.B.), and NHS funding to the NIHR
20 Biomedical Research Centre (A.S.). The funders of the study had no role in study design, data
21 collection, data analysis, data interpretation, or writing of the report.

22

23 LS reports personal fees and non-financial support from Ascendis, Hexal, Merck, Novo
24 Nordisk, Pfizer, and Sandoz, outside the submitted work. AT reports personal fees from Pfizer,
25 outside the submitted work. DB reports personal fees from the Belgian Society for Paediatric
26 Endocrinology and Diabetology, personal fees and non-financial support from Novo Nordisk,
27 Merck, and Ferring, during the conduct of the study; personal fees and non-financial support
28 from Novo Nordisk, Merck, and Ferring, outside the submitted work. GB reports other support
29 from Novo Nordisk, Pfizer, Sandoz, and Ferring, outside the submitted work. SC reports
30 personal fees from Merck, Pfizer, Sandoz, Novo Nordisk, and Merck, outside the submitted
31 work. PC reports other support from Merck and Lumos, outside the submitted work. AHK
32 reports personal fees from Pfizer and Novo Nordisk as member of Pfizer International Growth
33 Database (KIGS) Steering Committee and Novo Nordisk Advisory Board on GH treatment,
34 and personal fees from Novo Nordisk, Merck-Serono and Pfizer, outside the submitted work.

1 RP reports personal fees from Novo Nordisk, Pfizer, Merck Serono, Ipsen, and Sandoz, outside
 2 the submitted work. GS reports non-financial support from Novo Nordisk, outside the
 3 submitted work. ST reports personal fees from Merck, Novo Nordisk, Ferring, and Sandoz,
 4 outside the submitted work. JCC reports other support from Lilly and Pfizer, outside the
 5 submitted work. All the other authors report no competing interests.

7 **Data sharing**

8 Data obtained for the study will not be made available to others.

10 **Acknowledgements**

11 We gratefully acknowledge the assistance in all countries of the GH patients and their families.
 12 We also thank: in Belgium, Colienne de la Barre, Christine Derycke, Siska Verlinde for
 13 collecting and verifying data, the members of the Belgian Society for Pediatric Endocrinology
 14 and Diabetology (BESPEED, previously BSGPE), ~~L~~Liesbet van Eycken and the persons of the
 15 Belgian Cancer Registry, the persons of the National Population Registry, the Federal and
 16 Regional Death Registries for their essential contribution sharing their data with us, and
 17 Professor Marc Maes; in France, Caroline Arnaud-Sarthou, Vean-Eng Ly, and Florentia
 18 Kaguelidou for their invaluable contributions. Members of the French SAGhE study steering
 19 committee, ~~Michel Andrejak (CHU d'Amiens), Juliette Bloch (IVS), Anne Castot (AFSSAPS),~~
 20 ~~Jean-Louis Chaussain (Académie de Médecine), Dominique Costagliola (INSERM),~~
 21 ~~Nathalie~~Natalie Hoog-Labouret (INCa), ~~Carmen Kreft-Jais (AFSSAPS), Anne Périllat (DGS),~~
 22 ~~Béatrice Porokhov (AFSSAPS), Catherine Rey Quinio (AFSSAPS).~~ We thank all the
 23 physicians involved in the follow-up of patients and in the review process at Association France
 24 Hypophyse and, in particular, those who contributed to the collection of data for the study; in
 25 Germany, the physicians and nurses caring for the GH patients and their families, and Mandy
 26 Vogel, Leipzig; in Italy, Flavia Pricci, Rome; ~~Cristina Fazzini, Rome;~~ Pietro Panei, Rome;
 27 Giuseppe Scirè, Rome; Gian Luigi Spadoni, Rome; Franco Cavallo, Torino; Patrizia Matarazzo,
 28 Torino; Gianluca Aimaretti, Torino; Laura Perrone, Napoli; in The Netherlands, we thank the
 29 research assistants of the Dutch Growth Research Foundation for verifying data. We thank the
 30 members of the Dutch Growth Hormone Advisory Board of the Dutch Society for Paediatrics,
 31 involved in GH treatment of children, and the registration teams of the Comprehensive Cancer
 32 Centre Netherlands and Comprehensive Cancer Centre South for the collection of data for The
 33 Netherlands Cancer Registry and the scientific staff of the Comprehensive Cancer Centre
 34 Netherlands; in Sweden, Birgit Borgström, member of the Swedish SAGhE team, the Board of

1 the National Growth Hormone Registry and also *Principle ~~investigators~~investigator for
2 national r-hGH trials 1985-1997; *Kerstin Albertsson-Wikland, ~~A~~-Stefan Aronson, Peter Bang,
3 Jovanna Dahlgren, Maria Elfving, Jan Gustafsson, ~~*Lars Hagenäs, Anders Häger, Sten A~~
4 ~~Ivarsson,~~Claude Marcus, ~~Christian Moell, *Karl-Olof Nilsson,~~Svante Norgren, Martin Ritzén,
5 Johan Svensson, ~~*Torsten Tuvemo,~~Ulf Westgren, Otto Westphal, ~~Jan Åman,~~ and all pediatric
6 endocrinologists and pediatricians involved in the GH treatment of children for their assistance
7 in collecting and verifying data; in Switzerland, Rahel Kuonen, Bern for collecting the data;
8 Urs Eiholzer, Zurich; ~~Juerg~~Jürg Girard, Basel; Sylvia Gschwendt, Zug; Michael Hauschild,
9 Lausanne; Marco Janner, Bern; Beatrice Kuhlmann, Aarau; Dagmar L'Allemand, St. Gallen;
10 Udo Meinhardt, Zurich; ~~Primus Eugen Mullis~~Christa Flück, Bern; Franziska Phan-Hug,
11 Lausanne; Eugen Schoenle, Zurich; Michael Steigert; Chur; Urs Zumsteg, Basel; ~~Rolf~~
12 ~~Zurbruegg, Bienne~~ for sharing the data; in the UK, the members of the British Society for
13 Paediatric Endocrinology and Diabetes in the 21 historic UK Growth centres for tracking down
14 and contacting former and current patients, in particular; Justin Davies, Southampton; Jo Blair,
15 Liverpool; Fiona Ryan, Oxford; Liz Crowne, Bristol; Savitha Shenoy, Leicester; ~~Carlo Acerini,~~
16 ~~Cambridge;~~Jeremy Kirk, Birmingham; Tim Cheetham, Newcastle; Leo Dunkel, London Barts;
17 Tabitha Randell, Nottingham; Sabah Alvi, Leeds; Neil Wright, Sheffield; Justin Warner,
18 Cardiff; Amalia Mayo, Aberdeen; Guftar Shaikh, Glasgow; Louise Bath, Edinburgh; the
19 National Institute for Health Research Medicines for Children Research Network and the
20 Scottish Medicines for Children Network who supported the network of research nurses; the
21 former Pfizer UK KIGS team for assistance in making electronic database records of treated
22 patients available to the local investigators at each of the UK Growth Centres, and Michael
23 Jones and Sharon Squires, London.

1 References

- 2 1. Rosenfeld RG, Cohen P, Robison LL, et al. Long-term surveillance of growth
3 hormone therapy. *The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism* 2012; **97**(1): 68-72.
- 4 2. Deodati A, Ferroli BB, Cianfarani S. Association between growth hormone therapy
5 and mortality, cancer and cardiovascular risk: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Growth*
6 *hormone & IGF research : official journal of the Growth Hormone Research Society and the*
7 *International IGF Research Society* 2014; **24**(4): 105-11.
- 8 3. Swerdlow AJ, Cooke R, Albertsson-Wikland K, et al. Description of the SAGhE
9 Cohort: A Large European Study of Mortality and Cancer Incidence Risks after Childhood
10 Treatment with Recombinant Growth Hormone. *Hormone research in paediatrics* 2015;
11 **84**(3): 172-83.
- 12 4. Carel JC, Ecosse E, Landier F, et al. Long-term mortality after recombinant growth
13 hormone treatment for isolated growth hormone deficiency or childhood short stature:
14 preliminary report of the French SAGhE study. *The Journal of clinical endocrinology and*
15 *metabolism* 2012; **97**(2): 416-25.
- 16 5. Savendahl L, Maes M, Albertsson-Wikland K, et al. Long-term mortality and causes
17 of death in isolated GHD, ISS, and SGA patients treated with recombinant growth hormone
18 during childhood in Belgium, The Netherlands, and Sweden: preliminary report of 3 countries
19 participating in the EU SAGhE study. *The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism*
20 2012; **97**(2): E213-7.
- 21 6. Swerdlow AJ, Cooke R, Beckers D, et al. Cancer risks in patients treated with growth
22 hormone in childhood: the SAGhE European cohort study. *The Journal of clinical*
23 *endocrinology and metabolism* 2017.
- 24 7. [Clayton PE, Cianfarani S, Czernichow P, Johannsson G, Rapaport R, Rogol A.](#)
25 [Management of the child born small for gestational age through to adulthood: a consensus](#)
26 [statement of the International Societies of Pediatric Endocrinology and the Growth Hormone](#)
27 [Research Society. *The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism* 2007; **92**\(3\): 804-10.](#)
- 28 8. Breslow NE, Day NE. Statistical methods in cancer research. Volume **III** - The ~~design~~
29 ~~and analysis of cohort case-control~~ studies. *IARC Scientific Publications No. 82, 1987. Lyon,*
30 *International Agency for Research on Cancer Sci Publ* 1980; (32): 5-338.
- 31 89. Savendahl L, Pournara E, Pedersen BT, Blankenstein O. Is safety of childhood growth
32 hormone therapy related to dose? Data from a large observational study. *Eur J Endocrinol*
33 2016; **174**(5): 681-91.
- 34 910. Mo D, Hardin DS, Erfurth EM, Melmed S. Adult mortality or morbidity is not
35 increased in childhood-onset growth hormone deficient patients who received pediatric GH
36 treatment: an analysis of the Hypopituitary Control and Complications Study (HypoCCS).
37 *Pituitary* 2014; **17**(5): 477-85.
- 38 1011. Child CJ, Zimmermann AG, Chrousos GP, et al. Safety Outcomes During Pediatric
39 GH Therapy: Final Results From the Prospective GeNeSIS Observational Program. *The*
40 *Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism* 2019; **104**(2): 379-89.
- 41 112. van Bunderen CC, van Nieuwpoort IC, Arwert LI, et al. Does growth hormone
42 replacement therapy reduce mortality in adults with growth hormone deficiency? Data from
43 the Dutch National Registry of Growth Hormone Treatment in adults. *The Journal of clinical*
44 *endocrinology and metabolism* 2011; **96**(10): 3151-9.
- 45 1213. Quigley CA, Child CJ, Zimmermann AG, Rosenfeld RG, Robison LL, Blum WF.
46 Mortality in Children Receiving Growth Hormone Treatment of Growth Disorders: Data
47 From the Genetics and Neuroendocrinology of Short Stature International Study. *The Journal*
48 *of clinical endocrinology and metabolism* 2017; **102**(9): 3195-205.

- 1 ~~13~~14. Wennerstrom EC, Simonsen J, Melbye M. Long-Term Survival of Individuals Born
2 Small and Large for Gestational Age. *PloS one* 2015; **10**(9): e0138594.
- 3 ~~14~~15. Albertsson-Wikland K, Martensson A, Savendahl L, et al. Mortality Is Not Increased
4 in Recombinant Human Growth Hormone-treated Patients When Adjusting for Birth
5 Characteristics. *The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism* 2016; **101**(5): 2149-59.
- 6 ~~15~~16. Gaillard RC, Mattsson AF, Akerblad AC, et al. Overall and cause-specific mortality in
7 GH-deficient adults on GH replacement. *European journal of endocrinology / European
8 Federation of Endocrine Societies* 2012; **166**(6): 1069-77.
- 9 ~~16~~17. Poidvin A, Touze E, Ecosse E, et al. Growth hormone treatment for childhood short
10 stature and risk of stroke in early adulthood. *Neurology* 2014; **83**(9): 780-6.
- 11 ~~17~~18. Di Somma C, Scarano E, Savastano S, Savanelli MC, Pivonello R, Colao A.
12 Cardiovascular alterations in adult GH deficiency. *Best practice & research Clinical
13 endocrinology & metabolism* 2017; **31**(1): 25-34.
- 14 ~~18~~19. Barker DJ. Fetal origins of coronary heart disease. *Bmj* 1995; **311**(6998): 171-4.
- 15 ~~19~~20. Kajantie E, Osmond C, Barker DJ, Forsen T, Phillips DI, Eriksson JG. Size at birth as
16 a predictor of mortality in adulthood: a follow-up of 350 000 person-years. *International
17 journal of epidemiology* 2005; **34**(3): 655-63.
- 18 ~~20~~21. Baker JL, Olsen LW, Sorensen TI. Weight at birth and all-cause mortality in
19 adulthood. *Epidemiology (Cambridge, Mass)* 2008; **19**(2): 197-203.
- 20 ~~21~~22. Risnes KR, Vatten LJ, Baker JL, et al. Birthweight and mortality in adulthood: a
21 systematic review and meta-analysis. *International journal of epidemiology* 2011; **40**(3): 647-
22 61.
- 23 ~~22~~23. Arends NJ, Boonstra VH, Duivenvoorden HJ, Hofman PL, Cutfield WS, Hokken-
24 Koelega AC. Reduced insulin sensitivity and the presence of cardiovascular risk factors in
25 short prepubertal children born small for gestational age (SGA). *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)* 2005;
26 **62**(1): 44-50.
- 27 ~~23~~24. Stochholm K, Hjerrild B, Mortensen KH, Juul S, Frydenberg M, Gravholt CH.
28 Socioeconomic parameters and mortality in Turner syndrome. *Eur J Endocrinol* 2012; **166**(6):
29 1013-9.
- 30 ~~24~~25. Reiss U, Wingen AM, Scharer K. Mortality trends in pediatric patients with chronic
31 renal failure. *Pediatr Nephrol* 1996; **10**(1): 41-5.
- 32 ~~25~~26. Schoemaker MJ, Swerdlow AJ, Higgins CD, Wright AF, Jacobs PA, Group UKCC.
33 Cancer incidence in women with Turner syndrome in Great Britain: a national cohort study.
34 *Lancet Oncol* 2008; **9**(3): 239-46.
- 35 ~~26~~27. Fidler MM, Reulen RC, Winter DL, et al. Long term cause specific mortality among
36 34 489 five year survivors of childhood cancer in Great Britain: population based cohort
37 study. *Bmj* 2016; **354**: i4351.

Table 1: Classification of patients*

RISK GROUP 1a[†]	RISK GROUP 2	RISK GROUP 3[§]
Isolated growth hormone deficiency	Multiple pituitary hormone deficiency	All malignancies
Idiopathic short stature	Severe cerebral malformation	Langerhans cell histiocytosis
Mild skeletal dysplasia (hypochondroplasia, dyschondrosteosis)	Short stature and severe extra-cerebral malformations	Chronic renal failure
	Chromosomal anomalies incl Turner syndrome	After bone marrow- or solid transplantation
	Clinically defined syndromes	Syndromes with known increased risk for malignancies; e.g. Bloom, Fanconi, Down, and chromosomal breakage syndromes
RISK GROUP 1b[‡]	Severe chronic paediatric diseases	
Short stature in children born small for age	Long-term steroid use in chronic inflammatory diseases	
	Benign pituitary tumours	
	Cushing syndrome	

*For more detailed description of risk classification, please see Table A2 in Swerdlow et al. Description of the SAGhE Cohort: A Large European Study of Mortality and Cancer Incidence Risks after Childhood Treatment with Recombinant Growth Hormone. Hormone Research in Paediatrics 2015;84:172-83.

[†]Also when associated with minor childhood diseases such as asthma

[‡]Excludes defined syndromes such as Silver-Russell syndrome

[§]Patients are assigned to this risk group irrespectively of their endocrine deficiency (severe vs non severe GH deficiency, isolated vs multiple).

Table 2: Number of patients by country and risk group

Country	Risk group					Total
	1a	1b	2	3	U/K	
Belgium	336	168	607	271	0	1,382
Switzerland	293	76	257	120	5	751
France	5,043	1,823	2,180	1,245	25	10,316
Germany	789	168	644	178	5	1,784
Italy	980	143	167	54	20	1,364
Netherlands	402	244	780	320	22	1,768
Sweden	974	602	852	338	199	2,965
UK	463	643	1,699	1,061	36	3,902
Total	9,280	3,867	7,186	3,587	312	24,232

U/K = Not classifiable

Table 3: Patient characteristics by risk group

	Risk group				
	All groups	1a	1b	2	3
Number of patients	24,232	9,290	3,855	7,188	3,587
Mean follow-up period, years	16.5	16.3	17.2	17.0	15.4
Person-years[^]	400,229	151,004	66,229	122,319	55,392
Number of male patients[†]	13,425 (55.4)	6,331 (68.1)	2,409 (62.5)	2,329 (32.4)	2,168 (60.4)
Birth weight SDS^{**‡}	-0.79 (1.32)	-0.35 (1.02)	-1.65 (1.35)	-0.98 (1.34)	-0.23 (1.15)
Height SDS at treatment start[*]	-2.69 (1.53)	-2.71 (0.92)	-2.95 (2.23)	-3.03 (1.49)	-1.67 (1.40)
Age at treatment start, years[*]	10.5 (3.6)	10.9 (3.3)	10.0 (3.5)	9.9 (3.9)	11.1 (3.2)
Treatment duration, years[*]	5.0 (3.3)	4.5 (3.0)	4.8 (3.1)	6.0 (3.6)	4.8 (3.1)
Duration between GH start and death, years[*]	9.2 (5.7)	10.8 (5.4)	11.4 (5.6)	9.6 (5.7)	8.3 (5.5)
Attained age at death, years[*]	20.1 (6.5)	22.2 (6.1)	23.6 (5.7)	20.2 (7.5)	19.1 (6.0)
Mean dose of GH ($\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}/\text{d}$)[*]	30.1 (12.7)	26.3 (11.0)	33.3 (17.4)	35.0 (10.8)	25.6 (8.6)

[^]Person-years at risk of death³

^{*}Mean (SD)

[†]N (%)

[‡]Missing data for 26.9%

SDS = Standard Deviation Score

GH = Growth Hormone

Table 4: Overall mortality by risk group, sex and treatment

	Risk group 1a			Risk group 1b			Risk group 2			Risk group 3		
	Obs	Exp	SMR (95% CI)	Obs	Exp	SMR (95% CI)	Obs	Exp	SMR (95% CI)	Obs	Exp	SMR (95% CI)
Overall	90	84.2	1.1 (0.9, 1.3)	49	33.5	1.5 (1.1, 1.9)	192	50.0	3.8 (3.3, 4.4)	456	26.7	17.1 (15.6, 18.7)
Sex												
Male	76	70.8	1.1 (0.9, 1.3)	40	26.6	1.5 (1.1, 2.0)	88	26.0	3.4 (2.7, 4.2)	266	21.0	12.7 (11.2, 14.3)
Female	14	13.4	1.0 (0.6, 1.8)	9	6.9	1.3 (0.7, 2.5)	104	24.0	4.3 (3.6, 5.3)	190	5.7	33.2 (28.8, 38.3)
Time since start of treatment (years)												
0-4	15	19.3	0.8 (0.5, 1.3)	10	8.3	1.2 (0.6, 2.2)	47	16.3	2.9 (2.2, 3.8)	149	6.4	23.2 (19.8, 27.2)
5-9	21	23.2	0.9 (0.6, 1.4)	14	8.3	1.7 (1.0, 2.9)	45	10.9	4.1 (3.1, 5.5)	137	7.4	18.4 (15.6, 21.8)
10-14	30	23.3	1.3 (0.9, 1.8)	9	9.2	1.0 (0.5, 1.9)	51	11.6	4.4 (3.4, 5.8)	91	7.2	12.6 (10.3, 15.5)
15-19	19	15.2	1.3 (0.8, 2.0)	13	6.1	2.1 (1.2, 3.7)	36	8.2	4.4 (3.2, 6.1)	60	4.3	14.1 (11.0, 18.2)
20-24	5	3.0	1.7 (0.7, 4.0)	3	1.6	1.9 (0.6, 5.8)	12	2.8	4.3 (2.4, 7.5)	19	1.3	14.7 (9.4, 23.1)
25-29	0	0.1	0.0 (., .)	0	0.1	0.0 (., .)	1	0.2	4.6 (0.6, 32.7)	0	0.1	0.0 (., .)
p trend	0.05			0.38			0.04			<0.001		
Duration of treatment (years)												
<2	26	16.3	1.6 (1.1, 2.3)	14	5.6	2.5 (1.5, 4.2)	48	5.1	9.5 (7.2, 12.6)	126	3.9	32.6 (27.4, 38.8)
2	12	15.0	0.8 (0.5, 1.4)	9	5.6	1.6 (0.8, 3.1)	21	4.2	4.9 (3.2, 7.6)	71	3.6	19.9 (15.7, 25.0)
3	15	13.9	1.1 (0.7, 1.8)	8	5.0	1.6 (0.8, 3.2)	24	5.1	4.7 (3.2, 7.0)	56	3.9	14.4 (11.1, 18.7)
4-5	14	15.4	0.9 (0.5, 1.5)	8	6.4	1.3 (0.6, 2.5)	31	8.8	3.5 (2.5, 5.0)	81	5.7	14.2 (11.4, 17.7)
6-9	12	11.0	1.1 (0.6, 1.9)	5	5.8	0.9 (0.4, 2.1)	40	10.6	3.8 (2.8, 5.1)	71	5.4	13.3 (10.5, 16.7)
≥10	4	5.7	0.7 (0.3, 1.9)	3	3.4	0.9 (0.3, 2.8)	9	10.8	0.8 (0.4, 1.6)	12	2.0	5.9 (3.4, 10.5)
Unknown	7	6.8	1.0 (0.5, 2.1)	2	1.8	1.1 (0.3, 4.5)	19	5.3	3.6 (2.3, 5.6)	39	2.3	16.8 (12.3, 23.0)
p trend	0.13			0.02			<0.001			<0.001		
Time since end of treatment (years)												
During	2	13.7	0.1 (0.0, 0.6)	1	6.8	0.1 (0.0, 1.1)	12	14.8	0.8 (0.5, 1.4)	12	5.1	2.4 (1.3, 4.2)
<1	12	3.7	3.3 (1.8, 5.7)	3	1.5	2.1 (0.7, 6.4)	25	2.1	11.7 (7.9, 17.4)	93	1.3	70.0 (57.1, 85.7)
1-2	8	3.9	2.0 (1.0, 4.1)	7	1.5	4.7 (2.2, 9.9)	12	2.2	5.5 (3.1, 9.6)	59	1.4	43.0 (33.3, 55.5)
2-4	13	13.5	1.0 (0.6, 1.7)	10	5.2	1.9 (1.0, 3.6)	35	6.9	5.1 (3.7, 7.1)	94	4.4	21.2 (17.3, 25.9)
5-9	19	21.7	0.9 (0.6, 1.4)	13	8.6	1.5 (0.9, 2.6)	46	9.9	4.6 (3.5, 6.2)	95	6.7	14.2 (11.6, 17.3)
10-14	23	15.0	1.5 (1.0, 2.3)	10	5.9	1.7 (0.9, 3.2)	33	6.2	5.4 (3.8, 7.5)	47	4.0	11.8 (8.9, 15.8)
15-19	5	5.4	0.9 (0.4, 2.2)	4	2.3	1.7 (0.7, 4.7)	13	2.4	5.4 (3.2, 9.4)	19	1.4	13.3 (8.5, 20.9)
20-25	1	0.4	2.9 (0.4, 20.5)	1	0.3	3.2 (0.5, 22.8)	0	0.4	0.0 (., .)	3	0.2	12.4 (4.0, 38.3)
Unknown	7	6.9	1.0 (0.5, 2.1)	0	1.6	0.0 (., .)	16	5.2	3.1 (1.9, 5.0)	34	2.2	15.7 (11.2, 21.9)
p trend	0.11			0.04			<0.001			0.45		
Mean daily dose of r-hGH (µg/kg/d)												
<15	3	4.3	0.7 (0.2, 2.1)	3	1.8	1.7 (0.5, 5.2)	4	1.2	3.5 (1.3, 9.2)	39	1.9	20.5 (15.0, 28.0)
15-19	20	19.7	1.0 (0.7, 1.6)	7	5.8	1.2 (0.6, 2.5)	15	3.3	4.5 (2.7, 7.4)	59	3.7	15.9 (12.3, 20.6)
20-24	11	14.4	0.8 (0.4, 1.4)	9	5.1	1.8 (0.9, 3.4)	24	4.8	5.0 (3.4, 7.5)	76	5.6	13.7 (10.9, 17.1)
25-29	10	10.0	1.0 (0.5, 1.9)	5	4.3	1.2 (0.5, 2.8)	21	5.9	3.6 (2.3, 5.5)	70	4.2	16.8 (13.3, 21.3)
30-34	7	7.1	1.0 (0.5, 2.1)	8	4.8	1.7 (0.8, 3.3)	21	6.2	3.4 (2.2, 5.2)	53	2.4	22.2 (17.0, 29.0)
35-39	2	2.3	0.9 (0.2, 3.5)	0	1.3	0.0 (., .)	16	5.4	3.0 (1.8, 4.8)	19	1.0	20.0 (12.7, 31.3)
40-49	3	1.8	1.7 (0.5, 5.2)	0	1.2	0.0 (., .)	28	6.6	4.2 (2.9, 6.1)	9	0.9	9.9 (5.2, 19.1)
≥50	0	1.0	0.0 (., .)	8	3.0	2.7 (1.4, 5.4)	10	2.0	5.1 (2.7, 9.5)	8	0.3	27.2 (13.6, 54.3)
Unknown	34	23.6	1.4 (1.0, 2.0)	9	6.3	1.4 (0.7, 2.8)	53	14.6	3.6 (2.8, 4.7)	123	6.9	17.9 (15.0, 21.4)
p trend	0.85			0.60			0.78			0.68		
Cumulative r-hGH dose (mg/kg)												
<25	31	35.2	0.9 (0.6, 1.3)	20	13.7	1.5 (0.9, 2.3)	50	13.6	3.7 (2.8, 4.9)	171	8.7	19.7 (17.0, 22.9)
25-49	17	18.5	0.9 (0.6, 1.5)	15	7.7	1.9 (1.2, 3.2)	40	11.3	3.6 (2.6, 4.8)	120	7.7	15.7 (13.1, 18.7)
50-99	10	9.6	1.0 (0.6, 1.9)	4	6.0	0.7 (0.2, 1.8)	45	11.5	3.9 (2.9, 5.3)	59	4.5	13.2 (10.3, 17.1)
≥100	2	2.4	0.8 (0.2, 3.3)	3	2.2	1.4 (0.4, 4.3)	24	5.5	4.4 (2.9, 6.5)	11	0.9	12.8 (7.1, 23.1)
Unknown	30	18.4	1.6 (1.1, 2.3)	7	3.9	1.8 (0.9, 3.8)	33	8.2	4.0 (2.9, 5.6)	95	5.1	18.7 (15.3, 22.8)
p trend	0.77			0.40			0.48			<0.001		

SMR = Standardized Mortality Ratio

r-hGH =Recombinant human Growth Hormone

Table 5: Cause-specific mortality by risk group

Cause (ICD code)	Risk group 1a			Risk group 1b			Risk group 2			Risk group 3		
	Obs	Exp	SMR (95% CI)	Obs	Exp	SMR (95% CI)	Obs	Exp	SMR (95% CI)	Obs	Exp	SMR (95% CI)
Infectious and parasitic disease (A00-B99)	3	1.1	2.7 (0.9, 8.2)	0	0.5	0.0 (., .)	0	0.9	0.0 (., .)	5	0.4	11.9 (5.0, 28.7)
Neoplasms (C00-D48)	7	8.1	0.9 (0.4, 1.8)	2	3.4	0.6 (0.1, 2.4)	14	5.8	2.4 (1.4, 4.1)	334	2.9	117.3 (105.4, 130.6)
Diseases of blood and blood-forming organs (D50-D89)	3	0.4	8.2 (2.6, 25.4)	1	0.2	6.4 (0.9, 45.2)	8	0.3	30.9 (15.5, 61.9)	7	0.1	56.8 (27.1, 119.1)
Endocrine, nutritional & metabolic disease (E00-E90)	1	1.2	0.8 (0.1, 5.9)	1	0.6	1.8 (0.2, 12.5)	18	1.1	16.6 (10.5, 26.4)	4	0.5	8.1 (3.0, 21.5)
Mental & behavioral disorders (F00-F99)	1	1.8	0.6 (0.1, 3.9)	0	0.7	0.0 (., .)	3	1.2	2.5 (0.8, 7.9)	1	0.7	1.4 (0.2, 9.7)
Diseases of nervous system, eye & ear (G00-H95)	2	3.2	0.6 (0.2, 2.5)	2	1.5	1.4 (0.3, 5.5)	9	2.3	3.9 (2.1, 7.6)	12	1.2	9.7 (5.5, 17.2)
Diseases of circulatory system (I00-I99)	9	3.8	2.4 (1.2, 4.6)	6	1.6	3.7 (1.7, 8.3)	33	2.6	12.8 (9.1, 18.0)	19	1.4	13.9 (8.9, 21.8)
Diseases of respiratory system (J00-J99)	2	1.4	1.4 (0.4, 5.7)	1	0.7	1.5 (0.2, 10.7)	11	1.2	8.8 (4.9, 16.0)	13	0.6	23.3 (13.5, 40.2)
Diseases of digestive system (K00-K93)	1	0.9	1.1 (0.2, 8.0)	0	0.4	0.0 (., .)	3	0.8	3.7 (1.2, 11.6)	8	0.4	20.1 (10.1, 40.2)
Diseases of skin and subcutaneous tissue (L00-L99)	0	0.0	0.0 (., .)	0	0.0	0.0 (., .)	0	0.0	0.0 (., .)	0	0.0	0.0 (., .)
Diseases of musculoskeletal system & connective tissue (M00-M99)	0	0.2	0.0 (., .)	0	0.1	0.0 (., .)	5	0.2	26.9 (11.2, 64.7)	4	0.1	49.6 (18.6, 132.2)
Diseases of genitourinary system (N00-N99)	0	0.2	0.0 (., .)	0	0.1	0.0 (., .)	2	0.1	15.2 (3.8, 60.7)	12	0.1	194.1 (110.2, 341.7)
Pregnancy, childbirth and the puerperium (O00-O99)	0	0.1	0.0 (., .)	0	0.0	0.0 (., .)	0	0.2	0.0 (., .)	0	0.0	0.0 (., .)
Conditions originating in perinatal period (P00-P96)	0	1.2	0.0 (., .)	0	0.8	0.0 (., .)	0	1.8	0.0 (., .)	0	0.3	0.0 (., .)
Congenital anomalies (Q00-Q99)	2	2.4	0.8 (0.2, 3.3)	2	1.3	1.5 (0.4, 6.1)	33	2.8	11.9 (8.5, 16.7)	10	0.9	11.6 (6.3, 21.6)
Symptoms, signs & ill-defined conditions (R00-R99)	13	7.1	1.8 (1.1, 3.1)	10	2.7	3.7 (2.0, 6.9)	25	4.1	6.1 (4.1, 9.0)	13	1.6	7.9 (4.6, 13.7)
Accidents and violence (V00-Y98)	45	50.0	0.9 (0.7, 1.2)	24	18.3	1.3 (0.9, 2.0)	28	22.5	1.2 (0.9, 1.8)	14	15.1	0.9 (0.5, 1.6)
By circulatory cause												
Ischemic heart disease	1	0.5	2.2 (0.3, 15.7)	0	0.2	0.0 (., .)	2	0.3	7.1 (1.8, 28.3)	1	0.2	5.6 (0.8, 39.5)
Cerebrovascular disease	4	0.9	4.7 (1.8, 12.5)	1	0.4	2.8 (0.4, 20.2)	4	0.6	6.7 (2.5, 17.8)	4	0.3	13.3 (5.0, 35.5)
Other circulatory disease	3	2.3	1.3 (0.4, 4.1)	5	1.0	5.0 (2.1, 11.9)	23	1.5	14.9 (9.9, 22.4)	13	0.8	15.4 (8.9, 26.5)

SMR = Standardized Mortality Ratio