



Queensland University of Technology
Brisbane Australia

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted/accepted for publication in the following source:

Khemka, Aditya, [Frossard, Laurent](#), Lord, Sarah, Bosley, Belinda, & Al Muderis, Munjed
(2015)

Transcutaneous bone-anchoring prosthesis with hip replacement: A novel treatment for amputees. In
XV World Congress of the International Society for Prosthetics and Orthotics (ISPO), 22-25 June 2015, Lyon, France. (Unpublished)

This file was downloaded from: <http://eprints.qut.edu.au/89032/>

© Copyright 2015 [please consult the authors]

Notice: *Changes introduced as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing and formatting may not be reflected in this document. For a definitive version of this work, please refer to the published source:*

Transcutaneous bone-anchoring prosthesis with hip replacement: a novel treatment for amputees

Aditya Khemka⁽¹⁾, Laurent Frossard^(2,3), Sarah Lord⁽¹⁾, Belinda Bosley⁽¹⁾, Munjed Al Muderis⁽¹⁾

⁽¹⁾ University of Notre Dame, Sydney, Australia

⁽²⁾ Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane, QLD, Australia

⁽³⁾ University of the Sunshine Coast, Maroochydore, QLD, Australia

Khemka A, Frossard L, Lord S, Bosley B, Al Muderis M. Transcutaneous bone-anchoring prosthesis with hip replacement: a novel treatment for amputees. XV World Congress of the International Society for Prosthetics and Orthotics (ISPO). 2015. Lyon, France. Abs 510. p 463

Background

Over the last two decades, Transcutaneous Bone-Anchored Prosthesis (TCBAP) has proven to be an effective alternative for prosthetic attachment for above knee amputees, particularly for individuals suffering from socket interface related complications. [1-17] Amputees with a very short femoral residuum (<15 cm) are at a considerable higher risk for these complications as well as high risk of implant failure, if they underwent a typical TCBAP due to the relatively small bony-implant contact leading to a need of a novel technique.

Aim

- A. To describe the surgical procedure combining THR with TCBAP for the first time; and
- B. To present preliminary data on potential risks and benefits with assessment of clinical and functional outcomes at follow up

Method

We used a TCBAP connected to the stem of a Total Hip Replacement (THR) prosthesis enabling the femoral residuum and the hip joint to act as weight sharing structures by transferring the load directly to the pelvis.

We performed a tri-polar THR connected to a custom made TCBAP at the first stage followed by creating a skin implant interface as a second stage. We retrospectively reviewed three cases of transfemoral amputations presenting with extremely short femoral residuum. Patients were assessed clinically and functionally including standard measures of health-related quality of life, amputee mobility predictor tool, ambulation tests and actual activity level. Progress was monitored for 6-24 months.

Results

Clinical outcomes including adverse events show no major complications. Functional outcomes improved for all participants as early as 6 months follow up. All cases were wheelchair bound preoperatively (K0 – AMPRO) improved to walking with One stick (K3 – AMPRO) at 3 months follow up.

Discussion & Conclusion

THR and TCBAP were combined for the first time in this proof-of-concept case series. The preliminary outcomes indicated that this procedure is potentially a safe and effective alternative despite the theoretical increase in risk of ascending infection through the skin-implant interface to the external environment for this patient group. We suggest larger

comparative series to further validate these results.

Reference

1. Hagberg, K., E. Hansson, and R. Branemark, Outcome of Percutaneous Osseointegrated Prostheses for Patients With Unilateral Transfemoral Amputation at Two-Year Follow-Up. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*, 2014. 95(11): p. 2120-2127.
2. Frolke, J.P. and H. van de Meent, [The endo-exo prosthesis for patients with a problematic amputation stump]. *Ned Tijdschr Geneesk*, 2010. 154: p. A2010.
3. Van de Meent, H., M.T. Hopman, and J.P. Frolke, Walking ability and quality of life in subjects with transfemoral amputation: a comparison of osseointegration with socket prostheses. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*, 2013. 94(11): p. 2174-2178.
4. Berlin, Ö., P. Bergh, M. Dalen, S. Eriksson, K. Hagberg, S. Inerot, B. Gunterberg, and R. Brånemark, Osseointegration in transfemoral amputees: the gothenburg experience. *Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery, British Volume*, 2012. 94-B(SUPP XIV): p. 55.
5. Frossard, L., N. Stevenson, J. Smeathers, D. Lee Gow, S. Gray, J. Sullivan, C. Daniel, E. Häggström, K. Hagberg, and R. Brånemark, Daily activities of a transfemoral amputee fitted with osseointegrated fixation: continuous recording of the loading for an evidence-based practice. *Kinesitherapie Revue*, 2006. 6(56-57): p. 53-62.
6. Frossard, L., K. Hagberg, E. Häggström, D.L. Gow, R. Brånemark, and M. Pearcy, Functional Outcome of Transfemoral Amputees Fitted With an Osseointegrated Fixation: Temporal Gait Characteristics. *JPO Journal of Prosthetics and Orthotics*, 2010. 22(1): p. 11-20.
7. Hagberg, K., R. Branemark, B. Gunterberg, and B. Rydevik, Osseointegrated trans-femoral amputation prostheses: Prospective results of general and condition-specific quality of life in 18 patients at 2-year follow-up. *Prosthetics and Orthotics International*, 2008. 32(1): p. 29 - 41.
8. Hagberg, K. and R. Branemark, One hundred patients treated with osseointegrated transfemoral amputation prostheses-rehabilitation perspective. *J Rehabil Res Dev*, 2009. 46(3): p. 331-44.
9. Frossard, L., N. Stevenson, J. Smeathers, E. Haggstrom, K. Hagberg, J. Sullivan, D. Ewins, D.L. Gow, S. Gray, and R. Branemark, Monitoring of the load regime applied on the osseointegrated fixation of a trans-femoral amputee: a tool for evidence-based practice. *Prosthet Orthot Int*, 2008. 32(1): p. 68-78.
10. Hagberg, K., E. Haggstrom, M. Uden, and R. Branemark, Socket versus bone-anchored trans-femoral prostheses: hip range of motion and sitting comfort. *Prosthet Orthot Int*, 2005. 29(2): p. 153-163.
11. Branemark, R., O. Berlin, K. Hagberg, P. Bergh, B. Gunterberg, and B. Rydevik, A novel osseointegrated percutaneous prosthetic system for the treatment of patients with transfemoral amputation: A prospective study of 51 patients. *Bone Joint J*, 2014. 96(1): p. 106-113.
12. Branemark, R., P.I. Branemark, B. Rydevik, and R.R. Myers, Osseointegration in skeletal

- reconstruction and rehabilitation: a review. *J Rehabil Res Dev*, 2001. 38(2): p. 175-81.
13. Lee, W.C., L.A. Frossard, K. Hagberg, E. Haggstrom, D.L. Gow, S. Gray, and R. Branemark, Magnitude and variability of loading on the osseointegrated implant of transfemoral amputees during walking. *Med Eng Phys*, 2008. 30(7): p. 825-833.
14. Frossard, L., R. Tranberg, E. Haggstrom, M. Pearcy, and R. Branemark, Fall of a transfemoral amputee fitted with osseointegrated fixation: loading impact on residuum. *Gait & Posture*, 2009. 30(Supplement 2): p. S151-S152.
15. Frossard, L.A., R. Tranberg, E. Haggstrom, M. Pearcy, and R. Branemark, Load on osseointegrated fixation of a transfemoral amputee during a fall: loading, descent, impact and recovery analysis. *Prosthet Orthot Int*, 2010. 34(1): p. 85-97.
16. Frossard, L., K. Hagberg, E. Haggstrom, and R. Branemark, Load-relief of walking aids on osseointegrated fixation: instrument for evidence-based practice. *IEEE Trans Neural Syst Rehabil Eng*, 2009. 17(1): p. 9-14.
17. Lee, W., L. Frossard, K. Hagberg, E. Haggstrom, and R. Brånemark, Kinetics analysis of transfemoral amputees fitted with osseointegrated fixation performing common activities of daily living. *Clinical Biomechanics*, 2007. 22(6): p. 665-673.