

Health-related quality of life of individuals with transfemoral amputation fitted with the Transcutaneous Bone Anchoring Prosthesis following the OGAAP

Health-related quality of life of individuals with transfemoral amputation fitted with the Transcutaneous Bone Anchoring Prosthesis following the OGAAP

Aditya Khemka⁽¹⁾, Laurent Frossard^(2,3), Sarah Lord⁽¹⁾, Belinda Bosley⁽¹⁾, Munjed Al Muderis⁽¹⁾

⁽¹⁾ University of Notre Dame, Sydney, Australia

⁽²⁾ Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane, QLD, Australia

⁽³⁾ University of the Sunshine Coast, Maroochydore, QLD, Australia

Khemka A, Frossard L, Lord S, Bosley B, Al Muderis M. Health-related quality of life of individuals with transfemoral amputation fitted with the Transcutaneous Bone Anchoring Prosthesis following the OGAAP. XV World Congress of the International Society for Prosthetics and Orthotics (ISPO). 2015. Lyon, France. Abs 512. p 465

Background

The benefits and safety transcutaneous bone anchored prosthesis relying on a screw fixation are well reported.^[1-17] However, most of the studies on press-fit implants and joint replacement technology have focused on surgical techniques.^[3, 18-23] One European centre using this technique has reported on health related quality of life (HRQOL) for a group of individuals with transfemoral amputation (TFA).^[3] Data from other centres are needed to assess the effectiveness of the technique in different settings.

Aim

This study aimed at reporting HRQOL data at baseline and up to 2-year follow-up for a group of TFAs treated by Osseointegration Group of Australia who followed the Osseointegration Group of Australia Accelerated Protocol (OGAAP), in Sydney between 08/12/2011 and 09/04/2014.


Method

A total of 16 TFAs (7 females and 9 males, age 51 ± 12 y, height 1.73 ± 0.12 m, weight 83 ± 18 kg) participated in this study. The cause of amputation was

trauma or congenital limb deficiency for 11 (69%) and 5 (31%) participants, respectively. A total of 12 (75%) participants were prosthetic users while 4(25%) were wheelchair bound prior the surgery. The HRQOL were obtained from Questionnaire for Persons with Transfemoral Amputation (Q-TFA) using the four main scales (i.e., Prosthetic use, Mobility, Problem, Global) one year before and between 6.5 and 24 months after the Stage 1 of the surgeries for the baseline and follow-up, respectively.

Results

Figure 1. Percentage of participants reporting the baseline and improvement, no change or deterioration for main score of the Q-TFA at 2-year follow-up compare to baseline.



The lapse of time before and after Stage 1 was -6.19 ± 3.54 and 10.83 ± 3.58 months respectively. The raw score and percentage of improvement are presented in Figures 1 and 2, respectively.

Discussion & Conclusion

The average results demonstrated an improvement in each domain, particularly in the reduction of problems and an increase in global state. Furthermore, 56%, 75%, 94% and 69% of the participants reported an improvement in Prosthetic use, Mobility, Problem, Global scales, respectively. These results were comparable to previous studies relying of screwed fixation confirming that press-fit implantation is a viable alternative for bone-anchored prostheses.^[1, 7, 8]

Reference

1. Hagberg, K., E. Hansson, and R. Brånemark, Outcome of Percutaneous Osseointegrated Prostheses for Patients With Unilateral Transfemoral Amputation at Two-Year Follow-Up. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*, 2014. 95(11): p. 2120-2127.
2. Frolke, J.P. and H. van de Meent, [The endo-exo prosthesis for patients with a problematic amputation stump]. *Ned Tijdschr Geneesk*, 2010. 154: p. A2010.
3. Van de Meent, H., M.T. Hopman, and J.P. Frolke, Walking ability and quality of life in subjects with transfemoral amputation: a comparison of osseointegration with socket prostheses. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*, 2013. 94(11): p. 2174-2178.
4. Berlin, Ö., P. Bergh, M. Dalen, S. Eriksson, K. Hagberg, S. Inerot, B. Gunterberg, and R. Brånemark, Osseointegration in transfemoral amputees: the gothenburg experience. *Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery, British Volume*, 2012. 94-B(SUPP XIV): p. 55.
5. Frossard, L., N. Stevenson, J. Smeathers, D. Lee Gow, S. Gray, J. Sullivan, C. Daniel, E. Häggström, K. Hagberg, and R. Brånemark, Daily activities of a transfemoral amputee fitted with osseointegrated fixation: continuous recording of the loading for an evidence-based practice. *Kinesitherapie Revue*, 2006. 6(56-57): p. 53-62.
6. Frossard, L., K. Hagberg, E. Häggström, D.L. Gow, R. Brånemark, and M. Pearcy, Functional Outcome of Transfemoral Amputees Fitted With an Osseointegrated Fixation: Temporal Gait Characteristics. *JPO Journal of Prosthetics and Orthotics*, 2010. 22(1): p. 11-20.
7. Hagberg, K., R. Brånemark, B. Gunterberg, and B. Rydevik, Osseointegrated trans-femoral amputation prostheses: Prospective results of general and condition-specific quality of life in 18 patients at 2-year follow-up. *Prosthetics and Orthotics International*, 2008. 32(1): p. 29 - 41.
8. Hagberg, K. and R. Brånemark, One hundred patients treated with osseointegrated transfemoral amputation prostheses- rehabilitation perspective. *J Rehabil Res Dev*, 2009. 46(3): p. 331-44.
9. Frossard, L., N. Stevenson, J. Smeathers, E. Haggstrom, K. Hagberg, J. Sullivan, D. Ewins, D.L. Gow, S. Gray, and R. Brånemark, Monitoring of the load regime applied on the osseointegrated fixation of a trans-

- femoral amputee: a tool for evidence-based practice. *Prosthet Orthot Int*, 2008. 32(1): p. 68-78.
10. Hagberg, K., E. Haggstrom, M. Uden, and R. Branemark, Socket versus bone-anchored transfemoral prostheses: hip range of motion and sitting comfort. *Prosthet Orthot Int*, 2005. 29(2): p. 153-163.
 11. Branemark, R., O. Berlin, K. Hagberg, P. Bergh, B. Gunterberg, and B. Rydevik, A novel osseointegrated percutaneous prosthetic system for the treatment of patients with transfemoral amputation: A prospective study of 51 patients. *Bone Joint J*, 2014. 96(1): p. 106-113.
 12. Branemark, R., P.I. Branemark, B. Rydevik, and R.R. Myers, Osseointegration in skeletal reconstruction and rehabilitation: a review. *J Rehabil Res Dev*, 2001. 38(2): p. 175-81.
 13. Lee, W.C., L.A. Frossard, K. Hagberg, E. Haggstrom, D.L. Gow, S. Gray, and R. Branemark, Magnitude and variability of loading on the osseointegrated implant of transfemoral amputees during walking. *Med Eng Phys*, 2008. 30(7): p. 825-833.
 14. Frossard, L., R. Tranberg, E. Haggstrom, M. Percy, and R. Branemark, Fall of a transfemoral amputee fitted with osseointegrated fixation: loading impact on residuum. *Gait & Posture*, 2009. 30(Supplement 2): p. S151-S152.
 15. Frossard, L.A., R. Tranberg, E. Haggstrom, M. Percy, and R. Branemark, Load on osseointegrated fixation of a transfemoral amputee during a fall: loading, descent, impact and recovery analysis. *Prosthet Orthot Int*, 2010. 34(1): p. 85-97.
 16. Frossard, L., K. Hagberg, E. Haggstrom, and R. Branemark, Load-relief of walking aids on osseointegrated fixation: instrument for evidence-based practice. *IEEE Trans Neural Syst Rehabil Eng*, 2009. 17(1): p. 9-14.
 17. Lee, W., L. Frossard, K. Hagberg, E. Haggstrom, and R. Brånemark, Kinetics analysis of transfemoral amputees fitted with osseointegrated fixation performing common activities of daily living. *Clinical Biomechanics*, 2007. 22(6): p. 665-673.
 18. Pendegrass, C., C. Fontaine, and G. Blunn, Intraosseous transcutaneous amputation prostheses vs dental implants: a comparison between keratinocyte and gingival epithelial cell adhesion in vitro. *Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery, British Volume*, 2012. 94-B(SUPP XXXVI): p. 10.
 19. Kang, N.V., C. Pendegrass, L. Marks, and G. Blunn, Osseocutaneous integration of an intraosseous transcutaneous amputation prosthesis implant used for reconstruction of a transhumeral amputee: Case report. *The Journal of Hand Surgery*, 2010. 35(7): p. 1130-1134.
 20. Pendegrass, C.J., A.E. Goodship, and G.W. Blunn, Development of a soft tissue seal around bone-anchored transcutaneous amputation prostheses. *Biomaterials*, 2006. 27(23): p. 4183-91.
 21. Hillock, R., J. Keggi, R. Kennon, E. McPherson, T. Clyburn, D. Brazil, and T. McTighe, A Global Collaboration - Osteointegration Implant (OI) for Transfemoral

- Amputation - Case Report.
Reconstructive review - Joint
Implant Surgery & Research
Foundation, 2013. 3(2): p. 50-54.
22. Aschoff, H.-H. and R. McGough,
The Endo-Exo Femoral Prosthesis:
a new rehabilitation concept
following above knee amputation.
Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery,
British Volume, 2012. 94-B(SUPP
XXXIX): p. 77.
23. Aschoff, H.H., R.E. Kennon, J.M.
Keggi, and L.E. Rubin,
Transcutaneous, distal femoral,
intramedullary attachment for
above-the-knee prostheses: an
endo-exo device. J Bone Joint Surg
Am, 2010. 92 Suppl 2(Supplement
2): p. 180-6.