# Selection of potential molecular markers for cheese ripening and quality prediction by MMR spectroscopy

- 4
- 5 Yangyi Chen<sup>1\*</sup>, William MacNaughtan<sup>1</sup>, Paul Jones<sup>2</sup>, Qian Yang<sup>1</sup>, Huw Williams<sup>3</sup>, Tim
  6 Foster<sup>1</sup>
- 7 <sup>1</sup>Division of Food, Nutrition and Dietetics, School of Biosciences, the University of
- 8 Nottingham, Loughborough, UK, LE12 5RD. Author current address Nestle research and
- 9 development, Beijing, China, 100016
- 10 <sup>2</sup> South Caernarfon Creameries Ltd, Pwllheli, UK, LL53 6SB
- <sup>11</sup> <sup>3</sup>School of Chemistry, University of Nottingham, UK, NG7 2RD
- 12 Yangyi CHEN email address: abigailchen1215@outlook.com
- 13 William MacNaughtan email address: <a href="mailto:sczbim@exmail.nottingham.ac.uk">sczbim@exmail.nottingham.ac.uk</a>
- 14 Paul Jones email address: pjones@sccwales.co.uk
- 15 Qian Yang email address: <u>sbzqy@exmail.nottingham.ac.uk</u>
- 16 Huw Williams email address: Huw.Williams@nottingham.ac.uk
- 17 Tim Foster email address: <u>sbztjf@exmail.nottingham.ac.uk</u>
- 18 <u>\*Corresponding author. Tel:</u>+447421227241
- 19

20 Abstract

Predicting cheese quality as early as possible after ripening is important for 21 22 quality control in the cheese industry. The main aim of this study was to investigate potential metabolites for predictive models of Cheddar cheese quality. 23 24 Metabolites in aqueous extracts of Cheddar cheese were identified by Nuclear 25 Magnetic Resonance. The metabolites were used to measure the kinetics of up to 450 days ripening in Cheddar cheese. The proton ratios of citrulline and 26 27 arginine relative to the overall proton content of the aqueous extract are the most important indices for assessing the ripening of Cheddar cheese. The ratios 28 29 of both citrulline and arginine decrease by 59% and 69% respectively after 450 30 days ripening. In comparison to the premium batch B cheese, batch C which was 31 predicted to attain a lower quality level, had higher serine and  $\beta$ -galactose as 32 well as lower lactic acid levels and also had a less mature sensorial profile. 33 Tyrosine, tyramine and lysine are highly correlated with mature Cheddar cheese 34 sensory attributes. β-Galactose and glycerol are correlated with young Cheddar 35 cheese sensory attributes. These metabolites can be used to predict cheese 36 quality.

37 Highlights

Metabolites in aqueous extracts of cheese during ripening were identified
 Metabolites responsible for batch variations in Cheddar cheese were
 characterised

The normalised intensity of citrulline and arginine decreased during
 maturation

- Tyrosine and lysine are correlated with mature Cheddar cheese sensory
  attributes
- 45 β-Galactose and glycerol are correlated with young cheese sensory
  46 attributes

47 Keywords: Cheddar cheese; NMR; Metabolites; Maturation; Sensory evaluation;

### 48 1 Introduction

49 Many factors can affect the final quality of Cheddar cheese, such as milk quality, 50 production procedures, and choice of starter culture (Mazzei & Piccolo, 2012; 51 Pisano, Scano, Murgia, Cosentino, & Caboni, 2016). However, some Cheddar 52 cheese defects do not develop, or are only observed, when the cheese is aged. 53 This is due to ripening being a complicated process, and the cheese undergoing 54 many chemical/physical and enzymatic modifications (Consonni & Cagliani, 55 2008). These biochemical transformations can maximise flavour, taste, and 56 appearance of defects in the samples. The cheese grader must therefore 57 continuously evaluate cheese quality throughout the ageing process. However, 58 Cheddar cheese ripening needs to be performed in a constant temperature and 59 humidity environment, which is a time-consuming and costly process (Fox, 60 Cogan, & Guinee, 2017). In Cheddar cheese manufacturing practice, a machine-61 based predictive grading method is needed to help the manufacturer efficiently 62 manage cheese production, and storage. Fortunately, the maturation process in 63 cheese is sufficiently slow that advanced techniques for a machine based predictive model such as high resolution nuclear magnetic resonance 64 65 spectroscopy (NMR) can be used. Samples can be analysed remotely and the

66 results fed back to the commercial producer to facilitate decisions concerning the fate of the cheese. Cheese that has the potential to mature to premium 67 68 quality needs to be kept longer, whereas other cheese with a lower potential can be sold at early times as low-value young cheese. A grading model using simple 69 70 initial chemical and physicochemical composition which predicts the quality of 71 Cheddar cheese is still used as an index in Cheddar cheese grading and 72 manufacture. Cheese can be characterised as "premium" or lower quality 73 "graded" cheese. Cheese that fails to meet these levels is referred to as 74 "downgraded" cheese by the professional graders (Giles & Lawrence, 1973). 75 Kraggerud, Næs, and Abrahamsen (2014) reported that sensory characteristics at 280 days were not well forecast from early spectroscopic and basic chemical 76 77 measurement on cheese (56 days). This indicated the complexity of the cheese 78 ripening process.

79 In order to show the small deviations from normal cheese composition and the 80 resultant quality defects, a metabolomics approach has often been chosen in the 81 literature. Metabolomics produces a fingerprint at a molecular level that accurately represents all aspects of the food product from sensorial taste and 82 flavour to rheological properties (Pisano et al., 2016). All the bio-transformation 83 84 processes directly or indirectly affect the final metabolome of cheese (Mazzei & 85 Piccolo, 2012). The metabolomics of Cheddar cheese can provide a framework for correlation between the composition and the prediction of cheese quality 86 during maturation. Water-soluble metabolites in cheese are mainly amino acids, 87 88 organic acids and carbohydrates. Taste-active compounds contributing to 89 sensations and taste of Cheddar cheese are mostly peptides and free amino

acids (Andersen, Ardö, & Bredie, 2010; Lawlor, Delahunty, Wilkinson, & Sheehan,
2001; O'Shea, Uniacke-Lowe, & Fox, 1996).

92 Amino acids contribute indirectly to the cheese flavour by acting as precursors 93 for the production of volatile compounds (Consonni & Cagliani, 2008). Free 94 amino acids are hydrolysed from a range of intermediate-sized peptides 95 produced by proteinases and peptidases from the starter lactic acid bacteria. In 96 the cheese matrix, after carbohydrate exhaustion, amino acids are the simplest 97 molecules available for weakly lipolytic bacteria to metabolise to generate 98 adenosine triphosphate (ATP) and so produce compounds that impact flavour 99 (Ganesan & Weimer, 2017).

100 High resolution nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy (NMR) is a method for 101 the structural determination and assignment of major metabolites in cheese 102 (Ruyssen et al., 2013). It is a highly reproducible chemical analysis method, 103 offering in a single experiment, an overview of a wide range of compounds 104 present in the food matrix (Piras et al., 2013). Chemometric methods are 105 commonly used in conjunction with NMR to identify patterns among samples 106 from the large amount of NMR data (Mannina, Sobolev, & Viel, 2012). NMR 107 spectroscopy combined with multivariate chemometrics analysis can determine 108 the metabolic profile of intact cheeses such as Mozzarella di Bufala Campana, 109 Parmigiano Reggiano and Emmental and distinguish the geographical origins, 110 ripening and freshness by statistical methods (Consonni & Cagliani, 2008; Mazzei & Piccolo, 2012; Shintu & Caldarelli, 2005, 2006). NMR allows a thorough 111 112 analysis of components in the solution extracted from the sample. Unknown and 113 unexpected substances can also be identified (Gianferri, Maioli, Delfini, & Brosio, 114 2007).

115 However, to date, there are no studies which successfully predict quality in Cheddar cheese after ripening. Previous research concerning the prediction of 116 117 cheese sensory characteristics have insufficient chemical coverage and 118 development trajectories. A substantial body of metabolomic work has been 119 carried out on Parmigiano Reggiano, Mozzarella and Emmental cheeses, however, no similar work has been completed on Cheddar cheese. The 120 121 assignment of <sup>1</sup>H spectra of water-soluble cheese extracts in previous studies 122 has not been particularly accurate mainly due to the strong overlapping peaks in the water-soluble extracts. There is also no work related to correlations 123 124 between metabolites and sensory variables of cheese. This study has 125 investigated the kinetics of ripening in Cheddar cheese batches which were 126 predicted to produce different quality cheeses based on the Giles and Lawrence quality grading model, combined with a professional cheese grader's grading 127 128 predictions. Metabolites from cheese with the potential for ripening and guality 129 prediction were explored. Ripening and sensorial related metabolite markers in 130 cheese aqueous extracts were investigated. This study seeks to inform the future 131 Cheddar cheese researcher which molecules will be significant in any model of 132 quality prediction

- 133 2 Material and methods
- 134 2.1 Cheddar Cheese samples

Six 20 kg blocks of Cheddar were obtained from a commercial Cheddar producer
in the UK. These blocks of Cheddar cheese were produced on the same day and
production line. They are labelled as batches A, B, C, D, E, and F. All six batches

138 were predictively graded after manufacture based on the Giles and Lawrence quality grading model using four composition attributes; the percentage of salt 139 140 in moisture (S/M), moisture in the fat free substance (MNFS), fat in the dry 141 matter (FDM) and pH (Giles & Lawrence, 1973). All the grading composition 142 attributes were provided by the Cheddar cheese producer and are available in Supplementary Data Table 1. None of the batches had flavour defects 143 144 immediately after manufacture, which is a condition for the applicability of the 145 Giles and Lawrence grading model. The predicted Cheddar cheese guality is 146 presented in Supplementary Data Figure 1 indicating that batches B would 147 mature to be premium quality, whereas batches A, C, D, E and F would mature 148 to a lower graded guality as expressed in the Giles and Lawrence model. The 149 lower predicted quality is due to the lower amount of fat in dry mass content 150 and lower pH. After 56 days of maturation, all the batches of Cheddar cheese 151 were further assessed sensorially by an experienced Cheddar cheese quality 152 grader. The grading result from the professional cheese grader stated that 153 batches C and E were likely to result in low-quality Cheddar cheese whereas 154 batch B was likely to mature to premium quality. Other batches required further 155 grading in order to confirm the quality prediction. After 13 months of maturation, 156 the grader rechecked all batches Cheddar cheese. Batch B was further confirmed 157 as premium Cheddar cheese and batch E was confirmed as downgraded lowquality cheese. Batches A, C, D, F were graded as being of acceptable cheese 158 159 quality. The predictive grading and further grading results were hidden from the 160 researcher until all experiments were completed to avoid bias.

All six blocks were cut into 14 pieces and re-vacuum packed in bags, furtherpackaged in cardboard and located in the factory warehouse for maturation at a

163 constant controlled temperature of 8 °C. Aqueous fractions of cheese were 164 extracted and analyses carried out at various stages during the ripening period 165 namely 56, 90, 180, 270, 360 and 450 days. At each time point, one bag of 166 cheese was removed at random for each batch and placed in a 4 °C refrigerator 167 before measurement.

**168** 2.2 Sample preparation

169 A chloroform/methanol/water extract for each cheese sample (6 batches at 6 170 ripening times, n=36) was made in triplicate (36  $\times$ 3=108) based on a modified 171 Bligh and Dyer method (Bligh & Dyer, 1959). A 60-mL cold mixed solution was 172 prepared using chloroform and methanol at a volume ratio of 1:2. Cheddar 173 cheese (20 g) was ground in liquid nitrogen with a pestle and mortar and 174 extracted with the cold mixture solution. The suspension was stirred for 2 mins 175 at 4 °C and transferred to a glass tube. The pestle and mortar were rinsed with 176 20 mL chloroform and the washing solvent combined with the suspension. 177 Distilled water (30 mL) was added to the suspension. After stirring, the 178 suspension was stored in a cold chamber at 4 °C for 40 mins. Phase separation 179 was obtained using a Beckman J2-21 centrifuge with fixed rotor JA-10 at 11700g 180 with the temperature maintained at 4 °C for 30 mins. The supernatant (aqueous phase) was collected and filtered through filter paper (Whatman, grade1) and 181 182 the aqueous fraction concentrated by vacuum concentration and lyophilisation. 183 The dried sample, containing the hydroalcoholic compounds, was capped and 184 stored at 4 °C (Gianferri et al., 2007).

Phosphate buffer (ionic strength 25 mmol/L, pH 6.5, in D<sub>2</sub>O) containing 0.1
mmol/L 4,4-dimethyl-4-silapentane-1-sulfonic acid (DSS) was added to weighed

187 samples of water-soluble compounds. The buffer was added in a ratio of 0.028
188 mL per mg cheese sample. Sample solution (0.6 mL) was then put into a 5mm
189 diameter NMR tube.

**190** 2.3 High-resolution NMR measurements

191 NMR experiments were performed on a Bruker 800 MHz Avance III spectrometer using a 5 mm QCI Cryoprobe. The temperature was set at 25 °C (298.15 K). 192 193 Proton spectra were acquired using 128 scans of 32K points with a spectral width 194 of 13 ppm. The free induction decays (FID) were multiplied by an exponential 195 weighting function with a line broadening of 0.3 Hz before Fourier transformation. 196 After phasing and baseline correction, all spectra were referenced to the signal 197 of the added internal standard reference of DSS (0 ppm). All spectra were 198 processed using Bruker Topspin Software. Two-dimensional experiments: homo-199 nuclear <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>1</sup>H Total Correlation Spectroscopy (TOCSY) and hetero-nuclear <sup>1</sup>H-200 <sup>13</sup>C Hetero-Nuclear Single Quantum Correlation (HSQC) were used for selected samples to identify components. All two-dimensional experiments were 201 202 performed at 25 °C (298.15 K) on the same facility as the one-dimensional 203 experiment. HSOC experiments were performed using a spectral width of 11.96 ppm and 200 ppm in F2 (<sup>1</sup>H) and F1 (<sup>13</sup>C), respectively. HSQC spectra were 204 205 acquired with a time-domain of 1K points (F2) and 256 points (F1) using 8 scans. 206 TOCSY experiments were performed using a spectral width of 15 ppm in both 207 dimensions and 16 scans. Data were compared to the Human Metabolome 208 Database (HMDB: http://www.hmdb.ca/) using literature for further 209 confirmation (Consonni & Cagliani, 2008; Piras et al., 2013). The chemical shifts

of carbon given by the HSQC spectrum allowed assignment of the spin systemsunambiguously by comparison with literature.

212 2.4 NMR Data Processing

213 To enable the statistical analysis, 108 proton spectra (three replicates per 214 sample) were split into 966 non-overlapping integrated bins of 0.01 ppm width. 215 The spectral range from 4.61 to 4.91 ppm was excluded from the integration to 216 avoid interference from residual water. The spectral range from 3.34 to 3.36 217 ppm was removed from the integration procedure to eliminate variability due to 218 the small amounts of residual methanol. The spectral range due to the DSS 219 internal standard (0.05 to -0.05 ppm) was also excluded. The integrals were 220 normalised to the total area to compensate for the overall concentration 221 differences (Craig, Cloarec, Holmes, Nicholson, & Lindon, 2006).

222 2.5 Sensory analysis

223 Descriptive sensory analysis was conducted on cheese batches A, B, C, D, E at 224 six ripening time points which were the same as the NMR analytical time points. 225 The sensory method and protocol were described in previous work (Chen, 226 MacNaughtan, Jones, Yang, & Foster, 2020). However, a brief outline is given here. The descriptive sensory attributes vocabulary describes appearance, 227 228 aroma, taste, flavour, texture by touch and in mouth texture. The sensory panel 229 comprised ten assessors, with extensive experience in sensory evaluation. 230 Cheese samples were equilibrated at 18 °C for 5 hours. All the descriptive 231 sensory analysis data for the six-ripening time points are presented here namely after 56, 90, 180, 270, 360, 450 days ripening. We were only able to use five 232

batches (A, B, C, D, E) of cheese for sensory evaluation at these six ripening
time points, but the batch F sensory profile was evaluated with the other five
batches after 540 days of ripening.

236 2.6 Chemometrics

237 The modulated spectral data matrix (integrals of 6 batch  $\times$  6 ripening time point 238  $\times$  3 replicates  $\times$  747 bins) was analysed using the Principal Component Analysis 239 (PCA), multivariate statistical analysis method embedded in Unscrambler X 240 (CAMO ASA, Trondheim, Norway). All the values of the integrals were mean centre corrected and weighted by dividing by the standard deviation. Some 241 242 sample replicates were discarded as outliers because they lay outside the ellipse 243 based on the Hotelling' T2 (multivariate t-statistic) corresponding to a 95% 244 confidence limit. A second PCA was performed on the remaining objects. One 245 further PCA was also recalculated using variables whose correlation loading was 246 higher than 70 %.

247 The quantitative descriptive sensory data for the six ripening time points was 248 analysed firstly by analysis of variance (ANOVA) and all the significantly different 249 sensory attributes were examined by PCA in XLSTAT (Addinsoft, France). For 250 modelling the correlation between the metabolite aqueous extracts and the 251 sensory data, Partial Least Squares (PLS) regression was used in Unscrambler X 252 (CAMO ASA, Trondheim, Norway). The X data matrix contained aqueous extract metabolite integral data. The Y matrix contained the results of sensory mean 253 254 scores for all significantly different cheese attributes. To simplify the PLS analysis, 255 only the four highest associated spectral bins with each sensorial attribute were 256 chosen.

257 One-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was performed on the normalised 258 intensity of citrulline and arginine of Cheddar cheese during ripening and on 259 serine and  $\beta$ -galactose among batches variation. These analyses were followed 260 by Tukey's honestly significant difference (HSD) post-hoc test. The ANOVA tests 261 were analysed by XLSTAT with a significance level alpha=0.05 (Addinsoft, 262 France).

- 263
- 264 3 Results and discussion

265 3.1 Metabolite assignment and identification

266 In Figure 1 (a) and (c), 2 spectral regions of a 2D HSQC spectrum of a water-267 soluble extract of Cheddar cheese are shown. A representative proton spectrum 268 of one aqueous extract of vintage Cheddar cheese is presented in Figures 1(b) 269 and (d). The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of the Cheddar cheese aqueous extracts were 270 assigned from one-dimensional and two-dimensional experiments including 271 HSQC (Figure 1) and TOCSY (Data not shown). The details of the assignments 272 were shown in Table 1. In total, four organic acids, nineteen amino acids, two 273 sugars, one amine and glycerol, were assigned. Seven resonances involved in 274 maturation were labelled consistently as unknowns A, B, D, E, F, G, and H. 275 Spectra were dominated by free amino acids in combination with small quantities 276 of organic acids and carbohydrates. As expected, the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum revealed 277 the predominance of the lactic acid resonance signals, but part of the peak was 278 overlapped with a threonine methyl group (Ruyssen et al., 2013).

Other compounds which were detected in Fiore Sardo cheese by Piras *et al.* (2013) but which were not observed in these Cheddar cheese spectra were citric acid, succinic acid and glucose. This could be due to the sensitivity limitation of NMR and the abundance of other compounds. There were some compounds which were observed and confirmed but had no distinct non-overlapping bin integrals in proton spectrum such as alanine and pyruvic acid.

285

**286** 3.2 Summary of ripening and batch variation using chemometrics

287 The metabolic trajectories for each batch of cheese were determined by taking 288 the mean position for the <sup>1</sup>H spectrum in the PCA score plot (Figure 2). The first 289 two principal components of PCA explained 78% of the total variance. From the 290 plot, a separation of samples based on ripening time exists in the direction of 291 the PC1 axis. Batch variation was mainly but not exclusively represented in the 292 PC2 axis direction. The full PC1 and PC2 loading plots for Figure 2 are presented 293 in Supplementary Figure 2. The PCA plot showed the general trajectories for 294 water-soluble metabolite development during cheese ripening. Cheese ripening 295 trajectories for two batches of cheese were highlighted, namely premium cheese 296 (batch B), and a "downgraded" cheese sample (batch C) (Figure 2). As ripening 297 proceeded, the cheese sample developed from a positive to negative score along 298 PC1, which was correlated with the changes in metabolites. Batches C and D 299 were initially distinct from the other batches. As ripening progressed batch D 300 gradually merged with the general ripening group, whereas batch C remained 301 on a different trajectory.

All the batch C samples had a positive PC2 value, during maturation. Batch B sample ripening time points were in the lowest score region along the PC2 axis. Batch E, a "downgraded" sample, behaved differently from other batches exhibiting an earlier development at 180 days ripening. The differences between batch E and other samples can be seen along the PC1 axis.

307 In Figure 2, at the early stage of ripening, all the batches of cheese were grouped 308 loosely together. Sample C approached the general group of Cheddar cheese 309 samples at a late stage of ripening. A similar phenomenon was reported in that 310 at an early stage of maturation, the rating of Cheddar cheese flavour and mouth 311 coating character were associated with the composition of cheese and the 312 associations weakened as the cheese matured (Muir, Hunter, Banks, & Horne, 313 1995). Piras et al. (2013) also reported that Fiore Sardo cheese aqueous extracts 314 that are treated with different adjunct bacterial species became more similar 315 with ripening.

#### 316 **3.2.1** Loading coefficients and the discrimination of ripening and batch variation

NMR spectra give a comprehensive picture of the aqueous fraction composition and enable the discrimination between samples. The significant loading coefficients for the chemical shift bins from the PCA plot in Figure 2 are presented in Figure 3. This provides an indication of the extent to which metabolites have changed during ripening and enables discrimination between batches. Not all the bins associated with the same compounds are significant. This is due to overlap and the presence of different components in same bins.

324 From Figure 3 arginine, asparagine, citrulline, glycerol, lactic acid, serine,
325 unknown G, α-galactose, β-galactose, glycine and glycerol (bin at 3.55-3.56)

326 ppm), lactic acid and proline (bins at 4.12-4.14 ppm) and lactic acid and threonine (bins at 1.32-1.34 ppm) all have positive contributions to PC1. As 327 328 ripening proceeded, all the compounds that positively contributed to PC1 329 decreased in the Cheddar cheese aqueous extract ratio. Mature Cheddar cheese 330 had a greater content of isoleucine, leucine, lysine, methionine, pyroglutamic 331 acid, phenylalanine, threonine, tyramine, and valine in the Cheddar cheese 332 aqueous extract ratio. Some bins associated with PC1 are not discussed since 333 they are associated with multiple metabolites

From Figure 3, in terms of batch variation, batch C was characterized by a smaller amount of lactic acid (bin at 1.34-1.36 ppm) and related bins (4.12-4.14 ppm and 1.32-1.34 ppm), asparagine (Asn, bin 2.95-2.97 ppm), arginine (Arg, bin 3.23-3.26 ppm), leucine (Leu, bin at 1.73-1.74 ppm) and tyrosine (Tyr, bin at 3.17-3.18 ppm) and unknowns G,A and F.

The larger the absolute number of coefficient loading, the more important the contribution of the variable to the explanation of the variance. Citrulline (bin from 1.59-1.60 ppm) and arginine (bin from 3.24-3.25ppm) have the most significant contributions to PC 1, see Figure 3, which characterises the Cheddar cheese ripening process.

With regard to the batch variation related to PC2, serine (bin at 3.83-3.84 ppm), tyrosine and unknown (bin at 3.17-3.18 ppm) and  $\beta$ -Galactose (bin at 3.46-3.47 ppm) are the most important metabolites. They have the largest contributions to the loadings. As chemical shift region tyrosine and unknown (bin at 3.17-3.18 ppm) is not deconvoluted, only serine (bin at 3.83-3.84 ppm) and  $\beta$ -galactose (bin at 3.46-3.47 ppm) highlighted as triangle symbol in Figure 3.

350

**351** 3.3 The Evolution of metabolites during maturation

352 Citrulline and arginine were the most critical metabolites for monitoring ripening. 353 The individual batch developments of citrulline and arginine with aging are 354 presented in Figure 4. As batches A, D, F had similar metabolite development 355 with ageing and the overall batch variance of the group, batches A, D, F were 356 grouped together and were compared with individual batches B, C, E in Figure 357 4. For all batches of cheese, the normalised intensity of citrulline (bin from 1.59-358 1.60 ppm) and arginine (bin from 3.24-3.25ppm) decreased by 59% and 69%, 359 respectively.

Arginine is closely correlated with maturation, at least in part, because starter lactococci are capable of shifting metabolism from sugar to arginine, which is then the first amino acid metabolised for energy (Ganesan & Weimer, 2017). Arginine is hydrolysed to NH<sub>3</sub> and citrulline with the production of ATP (Fox, McSweeney, Guinee, & Cogan, 2000). Arginine and citrulline consequently follow the same trend during maturation.

Asparagine is one of the amino acids which also characterizes the ripening process. The ratio of asparagine to the overall metabolite content decreased during ripening. The metabolism of asparagine in lactic acid bacteria produces acetic acid and propionic acid via aspartate and provides oxaloacetate for the production of diacetyl and acetaldehyde (Ganesan, Seefeldt, Koka, Dias, & Weimer, 2004; Ganesan & Weimer, 2017).

372 Conversely, during ripening, the methionine ratio in the aqueous extracts 373 increased. The increase in methionine during ageing was accompanied by an

increase in the absolute concentration of its precursor amino acid serine. In mostbatches the serine ratio reached a limit (Stuart, Chou, & Weimer, 1999).

376 As ripening proceeded, the lactic acid ratio in the aqueous extract decreased. 377 Previous studies showed a decline in lactic acid during ripening (Ganesan & 378 Weimer, 2017; Piras et al., 2013). Lactic acid produced by starter lactic acid 379 bacteria was consumed by the nonstarter microbiota and by the indigenous cheese microbiota (Eliskases-Lechner, Ginzinger, Rohm, & Tschager, 1999). The 380 381 reduction in lactic acid ratio in our study was probably due to the decrease of 382 lactic acid but could have been due to the increase in the content of other water-383 soluble metabolites.

384 The galactose ratio in the aqueous extract, including a and  $\beta$  forms decreased 385 during ripening. Galactose is a constituent monosaccharide of lactose whereas 386 neither a or  $\beta$  forms of lactose were detected in spectra even at the earliest time 387 of 56 days, which was consistent with the observation in mozzarella and Fiore 388 Sardo cheese (Mazzei & Piccolo, 2012; Piras et al., 2013). The rapid decrease of 389 the carbohydrate content during the ripening process was attributed to the 390 metabolism of monosaccharides by homofermentative starter lactic acid bacteria 391 (Piras *et al.*, 2013).

The glycerol ratio of aqueous extracts decreased during maturation. Enzymatic hydrolysis of triglycerides produces fatty acids and glycerol. Glycerol can enter the glycolysis pathway as a carbon source for the growth of lactic acid bacteria (Hatti-Kaul, Chen, Dishisha, & Enshasy, 2018; McSweeney & Sousa, 2000). In the present work, the decreased glycerol ratio in the aqueous extracts could have been due to the extensive depletion of glycerol when used as a carbon source.

**399** 3.4 Metabolites responsible for batch variation

Based on the Giles and Lawrence grading model, batches A, C, D E and F were predicted to be "graded" quality Cheddar cheese with defects in various characteristics. Aqueous extracts of Cheddar cheese show that batches C and E are different from the other batches. As demonstrated in the present work, the batch variation among different predictive quality Cheddar cheese from the same dairy can be characterized by NMR analysis of aqueous extracts. Batch variations are crucial to grading in the cheese manufacturing industry.

407 Serine and β-galactose are the most critical metabolites for monitoring batch
408 variation. The individual batch developments of serine and β-galactose with
409 aging are presented in Figure 5.

The serine ratio was higher in batch C predicted low-quality cheese and lower in batch B premium cheese. The higher the serine content of the aqueous extract, the more methionine biosynthesis will occur and therefore more sulphur volatile compounds will be generated. Sulphur volatile compounds are neither desirable nor typical for this type of Cheddar cheese.

Galactose level was lower in the aqueous extracts of premium batch B and higher in the downgraded batch C. The decrease of residual sugar content observed after 15 days of ripening is typical of a secondary fermentation due to the activity of non-starter lactic acid bacteria (Piras *et al.*, 2013). This indicated that lactose metabolism in batch C which produced lactic acid was incomplete and probably resulted in an undesirable secondary flora.

Batch C has less lactic acid than other batches. The production of lactic acid from
residual lactose depends on the percentage of salt in moisture S/M (Shakeel Ur,

Waldron, & Fox, 2004; Upreti, McKay, & Metzger, 2006). The percentage of salt
in moisture content of batch C is 5.86%, which is 12.8% higher than the average
of all batches. Cheddar cheese with a high salt concentration had lower levels of
lactic acid compared with other cheeses (Guinee, Kilcawley, & Beresford, 2008;
Møller, Rattray, Bredie, Høier, & Ardö, 2013).

428 3.5 Sensory profile for batches of Cheddar cheese and correlation with metabolites

429 The PCA plot of sensory attributes is shown on Figure 6(a). PC1 mainly separated 430 sensory attributes from young to mature cheese. As expected, 56 days ripened 431 Cheddar cheese was associated with rubbery, buttery, dairy odour, oily and 432 yellow sensorial attributes. Mature Cheddar cheese had higher sour, tangy, 433 umami astringent attributes and a lingering aftertaste as well as being sweaty 434 and crumbly. However, batch C matured noticeably slower than other batches. 435 Most of the batch C sample points were grouped into the previous ripening time 436 point for the other samples. Only for the last ripening time point, namely ripening 437 after 450 days, are all the cheese samples grouped together. Batch C had 438 significantly less overall flavour intensity compared with the others until the 450 439 day ripening time point. At comparable ripening times batch C was lower in all 440 the mature cheese sensory attributes compared with the other samples. This 441 was probably due to the low lactic acid environment in batch C which caused the 442 lactobacilli to develop later and generate a lower overall rate of proteolysis (Moynihan et al., 2016). Moreover, lactic acid was recognised as a key taste 443 444 driver in mature Cheddar (Møller et al., 2013) and the overall flavour intensity 445 was associated with the extent of protein breakdown (Banks, Brechany, Christie, 446 Hunter, & Muir, 1992). This is probably the reason for the bland flavour of the batch C sample. The metabolite ratio in aqueous fractions measured by NMR
successfully distinguished cheese that matured slower than other cheeses even
at early stage of ripening.times.

450 Batch E samples matured faster than other cheeses (Figure 6(a)). The panel also 451 mentioned that batch E had strong flavour defects. Unfortunately, the metabolite 452 ratio in aqueous fractions does not distinguish between batch E and other 453 batches.

454 The PLS correlation loading plot showed the correlation between sensory 455 attributes and metabolites. The younger cheese sensorial attributes were 456 associated with  $\beta$ -galactose, glycerol and some unknown compounds (Figure 6 457 (b)). In Figure 7, the normalised glycerol and lysine intensity of batch C lagged behind the general ripening trend until the later stage of ripening. Tyrosine, 458 459 tyramine and lysine were highly correlated with more mature sensory attributes. 460 Lysine was catabolized to fatty acids which were associated with a mature 461 cheese flavour (Ganesan & Weimer, 2017). The amino carbon in tyrosine was 462 trans-aminated to produce aromatic pyruvate which was further reduced to an aromatic acid, aldehyde or alcohol (Ganesan & Weimer, 2017). All these flavour 463 464 compounds were found in mature Cheddar cheese. Tyramine is also an amine 465 derived from tyrosine.

466 Carbon sources such as glycerol and galactose were more likely to be present in 467 less mature Cheddar cheese, as during ripening, they were depleted. Some 468 metabolites such as serine were highly significant in discriminating between 469 batches but are not highly correlated with sensory attributes because they are 470 not directly or indirectly taste active in the Cheddar cheese.

# 471 4 Conclusions

The present results showed that high field NMR spectroscopy can characterise 472 473 the metabolic profile of Cheddar cheese during maturation and statistically 474 distinguish between Cheddar cheese destined to attain different quality levels. 475 The ratio of citrulline and the ratio of arginine in aqueous extracts were the most 476 important indices for assessing the ripening of Cheddar cheese. These 477 metabolites decreased in the aqueous extracts during maturation. Tyrosine, 478 tyramine and lysine are highly correlated with more mature Cheddar cheese sensory attributes whereas β-galactose and glycerol are correlated with young 479 480 sensory attributes. The metabolite profile in aqueous extracts of Cheddar cheese 481 only significantly discriminates the low-guality batch C from other batches. Batch E, which from the sensory profile is another lower quality Cheddar cheese, 482 483 requires further physiochemical exploration. This work indicated which 484 metabolites can potentially be used to predict cheese quality, however, only six 485 batches of Cheddar cheese were investigated, and additional work is necessary 486 to confirm the present findings.

## 487 Acknowledgement

The authors gratefully acknowledge SI (Systems integration) for the input of scientific and commercial knowledge. We thank Innovate UK (grant number: 102329) for financial support for this project.

491 Ethical statement

492 The sensory ethics in this project have been approved by the University of

- 493 Nottingham, School of Biosciences Research Ethics Committee. The approval
- 494 code is SBREC160127A
- 495 Conflict of interest
- 496 The authors have no conflict of interest to declare
- 497 Funding Sources
- 498 This work was supported by Innovate UK (grant number 102329)
- 499 References
- Andersen, L. T., Ardö, Y., & Bredie, W. L. P. (2010). Study of taste-active compounds in the
  water-soluble extract of mature Cheddar cheese. *International Dairy Journal, 20*(8), 528536. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.idairyj.2010.02.009.
- Banks, J. M., Brechany, E. Y., Christie, W. W., Hunter, E. A., & Muir, D. D. (1992). Volatile
  components in steam distillates of Cheddar cheese as indicator indices of cheese maturity,
  flavour and odour. *Food Research International, 25*(5), 365-373.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/0963-9969(92)90111-H.
- 507 Bligh, E. G., & Dyer, W. J. (1959). A rapid method of total lipid extraction and purification.
  508 *Canadian Journal of Biochemistry and Physiology, 37*(8), 911-917.
  509 https://doi.org/10.1139/o59-099.
- Chen, Y., MacNaughtan, W., Jones, P., Yang, Q., & Foster, T. (2020). The state of water and fat
  during the maturation of Cheddar cheese. *Food Chemistry*, *303*, 125390.
  https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2019.125390.
- 513 Consonni, R., & Cagliani, L. R. (2008). Ripening and geographical characterization of Parmigiano
  514 Reggiano cheese by 1H NMR spectroscopy. *Talanta, 76*(1), 200-205.
  515 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2008.02.022.

- 516 Craig, A., Cloarec, O., Holmes, E., Nicholson, J. K., & Lindon, J. C. (2006). Scaling and
  517 normalization effects in NMR spectroscopic metabonomic data sets. *Analytical Chemistry*,
  518 78(7), 2262-2267. https://doi.org/10.1021/ac0519312.
- Eliskases-Lechner, F., Ginzinger, W., Rohm, H., & Tschager, E. (1999). Raw milk flora affects
  composition and quality of Bergkase. 1. Microbiology and fermentation compounds. *Lait*, *79*(4), 385-396. <u>https://doi.org/10.1051/lait:1999432</u>.
- Fox, P. F., Cogan, T. M., & Guinee, T. P. (2017). Factors that affect the quality of cheese. In P.
  L. H. McSweeney, P. F. Fox, P. D. Cotter & D. W. Everett (Eds.), *Cheese : Chemistry, Physics and Microbiology* 4th ed., (pp. 637). London: Academic Press.
- Fox, P. F., McSweeney, P. L. H., Guinee, T. P., & Cogan, T. M. (2000). Starter Cultures. In P. F.
  Fox, P L. H. McSweeney, T. P. Guinee & T. M. Cogan (Eds.), *Fundamentals of cheese science* Gaithersburg, Maryland.: Aspen Publishers.
- Ganesan, B., Seefeldt, K., Koka, R. C., Dias, B., & Weimer, B. C. (2004). Monocarboxylic acid
  production by lactococci and lactobacilli. *International Dairy Journal*, 14(3), 237-246.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.idairyj.2003.07.004.
- Ganesan, B., & Weimer, B. C. (2017). Amino acid catabolism and its relationship to cheese
  flavour outcomes In P. L. H. McSweeney, P. F. Fox, P. D. Cotter & D. W. Everett (Eds.), *Cheese : chemistry, physics and microbiology* (pp. 483-515). London: Academic Press.
- Gianferri, R., Maioli, M., Delfini, M., & Brosio, E. (2007). A low-resolution and high-resolution
   nuclear magnetic resonance integrated approach to investigate the physical structure and
   metabolic profile of Mozzarella di Bufala Campana cheese. *International Dairy Journal, 17*(2), 167-176. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.idairyj.2006.02.006.
- Giles, J., & Lawrence, R. C. (1973). The assessment of cheddar cheese quality by compositional
  analysis. *New Zealand Journal of Dairy Science and Technology*, *8*, 148-151.
- Guinee, T. P., Kilcawley, K. N., & Beresford, T. P. (2008). How variable are retail vintage brands
  of Cheddar cheese in composition and biochemistry? *Australian Journal of Dairy Technology*, 63(2), 50-60. https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.054849441226&partnerID=40&md5=f26dcdd9d23ef09fe011ac2da6264dc5.

- Hatti-Kaul, R., Chen, L., Dishisha, T., & Enshasy, H. E. (2018). Lactic acid bacteria: from starter
  cultures to producers of chemicals. *FEMS Microbiology Letters, 365*(20).
  https://doi.org/10.1093/femsle/fny213.
- 547 Kraggerud, H., Næs, T., & Abrahamsen, R. K. (2014). Prediction of sensory quality of cheese
  548 during ripening from chemical and spectroscopy measurements. *International Dairy*549 *Journal*, 34(1), 6-18. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.idairyj.2013.07.008.
- Lawlor, J. B., Delahunty, C. M., Wilkinson, M. G., & Sheehan, J. (2001). Relationships between
  the sensory characteristics, neutral volatile composition and gross composition of ten
  cheese varieties. *Lait*, *81*(4), 487-507. https://doi.org/10.1051/lait:2001147.
- Mannina, L., Sobolev, A. P., & Viel, S. (2012). Liquid state 1H high field NMR in food analysis. *Progress in Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy*, 66, 1-39.
  https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pnmrs.2012.02.001.
- Mazzei, P., & Piccolo, A. (2012). 1H HRMAS-NMR metabolomic to assess quality and traceability
  of mozzarella cheese from Campania buffalo milk. *Food Chemistry*, *132*(3), 1620-1627.
  https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2011.11.142.
- McSweeney, P. L. H., & Sousa, M. J. (2000). Biochemical pathways for the production of flavour
  compounds in cheeses during ripening: A review. *Lait, 80*(3), 293-324.
  https://doi.org/10.1051/lait:2000127.
- Møller, K. K., Rattray, F. P., Bredie, W. L. P., Høier, E., & Ardö, Y. (2013). Physicochemical and sensory characterization of Cheddar cheese with variable NaCl levels and equal moisture content. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 96(4), 1953-1971. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2012-5524.
- Moynihan, A. C., Govindasamy-Lucey, S., Molitor, M., Jaeggi, J. J., Johnson, M. E., McSweeney,
  P. L. H., & Lucey, J. A. (2016). Effect of standardizing the lactose content of cheesemilk
  on the properties of low-moisture, part-skim Mozzarella cheese. *Journal of Dairy Science*,
  99(10), 7791-7802. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2016-11215.
- Muir, D. D., Hunter, E. A., Banks, J. M., & Horne, D. S. (1995). Sensory properties of Cheddar
  cheese: changes during maturation. *Food Research International, 28*(6), 561-568.
  https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/0963-9969(95)00039-9.

- 573 O'Shea, B. A., Uniacke-Lowe, T., & Fox, P. F. (1996). Objective assessment of cheddar cheese
  574 quality. *International Dairy Journal*, 6(11), 1135-1147.
  575 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/0958-6946(95)00065-8.
- Piras, C., Cesare Marincola, F., Savorani, F., Engelsen, S. B., Cosentino, S., Viale, S., & Pisano,
  M. B. (2013). A NMR metabolomics study of the ripening process of the Fiore Sardo
  cheese produced with autochthonous adjunct cultures. *Food Chemistry*, 141(3), 21372147. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2013.04.108.
- Pisano, M. B., Scano, P., Murgia, A., Cosentino, S., & Caboni, P. (2016). Metabolomics and
   microbiological profile of Italian mozzarella cheese produced with buffalo and cow milk.
   *Food Chemistry*, 192, 618-624. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2015.07.061.
- 583 Ruyssen, T., Janssens, M., Van Gasse, B., Van Laere, D., Van der Eecken, N., De Meerleer, 584 M., . . . De Vuyst, L. (2013). Characterisation of Gouda cheeses based on sensory, 585 analytical and high-field 1H nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy determinations: 586 Effect of adjunct cultures and brine composition on sodium-reduced Gouda cheese. 587 Dairy International Journal, 33(2), 142-152. 588 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.idairyj.2013.04.009.
- Shakeel Ur, R., Waldron, D., & Fox, P. F. (2004). Effect of modifying lactose concentration in
  cheese curd on proteolysis and in quality of Cheddar cheese. *International Dairy Journal*, *14*(7), 591-597. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.idairyj.2003.11.008.
- Shintu, L., & Caldarelli, S. (2005). High-Resolution MAS NMR and Chemometrics:
  Characterization of the Ripening of Parmigiano Reggiano Cheese. *Journal Of Agricultural And Food Chemistry*, *53*(10), 4026-4031. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf048141y.
- Shintu, L., & Caldarelli, S. (2006). Toward the Determination of the Geographical Origin of
  Emmental(er) Cheese via High Resolution MAS NMR: A Preliminary Investigation. *Journal*Of Agricultural And Food Chemistry, 54(12), 4148-4154.
  https://doi.org/10.1021/jf060532k.
- Stuart, M. R., Chou, L. S., & Weimer, B. C. (1999). Influence of carbohydrate starvation and
  arginine on culturability and amino acid utilization of lactococcus lactis subsp. lactis. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 65*(2), 665.
  https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC91077/pdf/am000665.pdf.

- Upreti, P., McKay, L. L., & Metzger, L. E. (2006). Influence of calcium and phosphorus, lactose,
  and salt-to-moisture ratio on cheddar cheese quality: changes in residual sugars and
  water-soluble organic acids during ripening. *Journal of Dairy Science*, *89*(2), 429-443.
  https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(06)72107-5.
- 607



### 608 Figure captions

Figure 1 Representative 2D HSQC (a,c) and <sup>1</sup>H NMR (b,d) spectra of water-soluble extracts
of vintage Cheddar cheese using DSS as a reference, showing aliphatic region(a, b) and aromatic
(c, d). A range of the most intense peaks are labelled. Some peak labels have been omitted for
clarity

615 **Table 1** NMR assignment of peaks measured in water soluble extracts of Cheddar cheese. <sup>1</sup>H

616 and <sup>13</sup>C chemical shifts and multiplicity are reported based on TOCSY, HSQC experiments and

617 literature values.

Compound	Multiplicity	1H shift(ppm)	13C shift(ppm)	Assignment
Acetic acid	S	1.928	25.26	a-CH <sub>3</sub>
Lactic acid	d	1.325	22.62	β-CH <sub>3</sub>
	q	4.124	71.11	a-CH
Pyruvic acid	S	2.35	29.46	CH <sub>3</sub>
Formic acid	S	8.44	173.48	HCOO-
Valine	d	0.980	19.17	γ'-CH <sub>3</sub>
	d	1.033	20.48	γ-CH <sub>3</sub>
	m	2.268	31.66	β-CH
	d	3.603	62.91	a-CH
Leucine	d	0.945	23.39	$\delta'$ -CH <sub>3</sub>
	d	0.956	24.63	$\delta$ -CH <sub>3</sub>
	unresolved	1.703	26.74	γ-CH
	unresolved	1.684	42.38	$\beta'$ -CH <sub>2</sub>
	unresolved	1.731	42.38	β-CH <sub>2</sub>
	unresolved	3.728	55.97	a-CH
Isoleucine	t	0.930	13.60	$\delta$ -CH <sub>3</sub>
	d	1.001	17.23	γ-CH <sub>3</sub>
	m	1.463	26.87	γ-CH <sub>2</sub>
	m	1.974	38.44	β-CH
	d	3.664	62.16	a-CH
Alanine	d	1.471	18.61	β-CH <sub>3</sub>
	q	3.773	53.07	a-CH
Glutamic acid	m	2.056	29.43	$\beta$ -CH <sub>2</sub> , $\beta$ '-CH <sub>2</sub>
	m	2.345	35.86	γ-CH <sub>2</sub>
	dd	3.754	57.05	a-CH
Glutamine	m	2.12	29.37	β-CH <sub>2</sub>
	m	2.44	33.32	γ-CH <sub>2</sub>

	t	3.75	57.01	a-CH
Methionine	unresolved	2.122	16.52	S-CH <sub>3</sub>
	m	2.192	32.25	β-CH <sub>2</sub>
	t	2.633	31.40	γ-CH <sub>2</sub>
	dd	3.860	56.43	a-CH
Glycine	S	3.551	44.00	a-CH <sub>2</sub>
Threonine	unresolved	1.32	21.3	γ-CH <sub>3</sub>
	d	3.603	62.82	a-CH
	unresolved	4.252	68.41	β-CH
Lysine	unresolved	1.436	24.16	γ-CH <sub>2</sub>
	unresolved	1.500	23.99	$\gamma$ '-CH <sub>2</sub>
	m	1.714	28.84	δ-CH <sub>2</sub>
	m	1.898	32.32	β-CH <sub>2</sub>
	t	3.010	41.62	ε-CH <sub>2</sub>
	t	3.755	57.00	a-CH
Arginine	m	1.906	30.23	β-CH <sub>2</sub>
	unresolved	3.237	43.07	$\delta, \delta'$ -CH <sub>2</sub>
	unresolved	3.755	57.07	a-CH
Asparagine	dd	2.869	36.984	β-CH <sub>2</sub>
-	dd	2.9427	36.984	β'-CH <sub>2</sub>
	unresolved	4.002	53.717	a-CH
Proline	m	1.996	26.47	γ-CH <sub>2</sub>
-	m	2.061	31.16	β-CH
	m	2.342	31.59	β'-CH
	m	3.330	48.54	δ-CH
	m	3.41	48.59	δ'-CH
	m	4.123	63.80	a-CH
Phenylalanine - -	m	3.122	38.84	β-CH <sub>2</sub>
	m	3.279	38.83	β'-CH <sub>2</sub>
	dd	3.989	58.50	a-CH
	m	7.310	131.81	C <sub>2,6</sub> ring

m         7.367         130.11         CaH,ring           Pyroglutamic acid         Unresolved         2.021         27.3         β-CH           Unresolved         2.392         32.18         γ-CH           Unresolved         2.562         27.87         β'-CH           dd         4.167         60.82         a-CH           dd         3.053         38.01         β-CH           dd         3.053         38.01         β-CH           dd         3.053         38.01         β-CH           dd         3.053         38.01         β-CH           dd         3.935         58.52         a-CH           dd         3.935         58.52         a-CH           dd         3.839         58.85         a-CH           dd         3.839         58.85         a-CH           dd         3.953         62.63         β,β'-CH <sub>2</sub> m         1.592         27.22         γ,γ'-CH <sub>2</sub> m         1.592         27.22         γ,γ'-CH <sub>2</sub> m         1.866         30.26         β',β-CH <sub>2</sub> d         3.75         57.02         o-CH           ft		m	7.417	131.69	C <sub>3,5</sub> ring
Pyroglutamic acid         Unresolved         2.021         27.3         β-CH           Unresolved         2.392         32.18         γ-CH           Unresolved         2.562         27.87         β'-CH           dd         4.167         60.82         a-CH           dd         3.053         38.01         β-CH           dd         3.053         38.01         β-CH           dd         3.935         58.52         a-CH           dd         3.935         58.52         a-CH           dd         3.935         58.52         a-CH           dd         3.839         58.85         a-CH           dd         3.839         58.85         a-CH           dd         3.953         62.63         β, β'-CH2           Grinuline         m         1.592         27.22         γ, γ'-CH2           m         1.592         27.22         γ, γ'-CH2            Gd         3.75         57.02         a-CH           dd         3.75         57.02         a-CH2           f         m         1.826         24.92         β-CH2           f         3.04         41.26         δ-CH	-	m	7.367	130.11	C <sub>4</sub> H,ring
Unresolved         2.392         32.18         γ-CH           Unresolved         2.562         27.87         β'-CH           dd         4.167         60.82         α-CH           dd         3.053         38.01         β-CH           dd         3.188         37.98         β'-CH           dd         3.188         37.98         β'-CH           dd         3.935         58.52         α-CH           dd         6.892         118.34         2,6 ring CH           d         7.183         133.27         3,5 ring CH           dd         3.953         62.63         β, β'-CH <sub>2</sub> m         1.592         27.22         Y,Y'-CH <sub>2</sub> m         1.86         30.26         β',β-CH <sub>2</sub> q         3.13         41.60         δ,δ'-CH <sub>2</sub> d         3.75         57.02         α-CH           Ornithine         m         1.826         24.92         β-CH <sub>2</sub>	Pyroglutamic acid	Unresolved	2.021	27.3	β-CH
Unresolved         2.562         27.87         β'-CH           dd         4.167         60.82         α-CH           dd         3.053         38.01         β-CH           dd         3.188         37.98         β'-CH           dd         3.188         37.98         β'-CH           dd         3.935         58.52         α-CH           dd         6.892         118.34         2,6 ring CH           d         7.183         133.27         3,5 ring CH           dd         3.839         58.85         α-CH           dd         3.953         62.63         β, β'-CH <sub>2</sub> m         1.86         30.26         β', β-CH <sub>2</sub> dd         3.75         57.02         α-CH           g         3.13         41.60         δ,δ'-CH <sub>2</sub> d         3.75         57.02         α-CH           m         1.826         24.92         β-CH <sub>2</sub> d         3.73	-	Unresolved	2.392	32.18	ү-СН
dd         4.167         60.82         α-CH           Tyrosine         dd         3.053         38.01         β-CH           dd         3.188         37.98         β'-CH           dd         3.935         58.52         α-CH           dd         6.892         118.34         2,6 ring CH           d         7.183         133.27         3,5 ring CH           dd         3.839         58.85         α-CH           dd         3.953         62.63         β, β' -CH2           Citrulline         m         1.592         27.22         γ,γ' -CH2           q         3.13         41.60 $\delta, \delta'$ -CH2           q         3.13         41.60 $\delta, \delta'$ -CH2           dd         3.75         57.02         α-CH           0rnithine         m         1.826         24.92         β-CH2           t         3.04         41.26         δ-CH2           t         3.04         41.26         δ-CH2           t         3.73         63.59         CCH2           m         3.78         70.25         C2H           m         3.78         70.25         C2H	-	Unresolved	2.562	27.87	β'-CH
Tyrosine         dd         3.053         38.01         β-CH           dd         3.188         37.98         β'-CH           dd         3.935         58.52         o-CH           d         6.892         118.34         2,6 ring CH           d         7.183         133.27         3,5 ring CH           d         7.183         133.27         3,5 ring CH           dd         3.839         58.85         o-CH           dd         3.953         62.63         β, β' - CH <sub>2</sub> dd         3.953         62.63         β, β' - CH <sub>2</sub> dd         3.953         62.63         β, β' - CH <sub>2</sub> m         1.592         27.22         γ, γ' - CH <sub>2</sub> m         1.86         30.26         β', β-CH <sub>2</sub> q         3.13         41.60         δ, δ' - CH <sub>2</sub> dd         3.75         57.02         o- CH           Ornithine         m         1.826         24.92         β-CH <sub>2</sub> t         3.73         63.59         C6H <sub>2</sub> t         3.73         63.59         C6H <sub>2</sub> m         3.73         63.59         C6H <sub>2</sub>	-	dd	4.167	60.82	a-CH
dd         3.188         37.98         β'-CH           dd         3.935         58.52         a-CH           d         6.892         118.34         2,6 ring CH           d         7.183         133.27         3,5 ring CH           d         7.183         133.27         3,5 ring CH           dd         3.839         58.85         a-CH           dd         3.953         62.63         β, β' - CH <sub>2</sub> dd         3.953         62.63         β, β' - CH <sub>2</sub> m         1.592         27.22         Y,Y' - CH <sub>2</sub> m         1.86         30.26         β',β-CH <sub>2</sub> q         3.13         41.60 $\delta,\delta'-CH_2$ q         3.13         41.60 $\delta,\delta'-CH_2$ dd         3.75         57.02         a-CH           Ornithine         m         1.826         24.92         β-CH <sub>2</sub> t         3.04         41.26 $\delta$ -CH <sub>2</sub> t         3.73         63.59         a-CH           m         3.78         70.25         C2H           m         3.78         70.25         C2H           m	Tyrosine	dd	3.053	38.01	β-CH
dd         3.935         58.52         α-CH           d         6.892         118.34         2,6 ring CH           d         7.183         133.27         3,5 ring CH           dd         3.839         58.85         α-CH           dd         3.953         62.63         β, β'-CH <sub>2</sub> dd         3.953         62.63         β, β'-CH <sub>2</sub> dd         3.953         62.63         β, β'-CH <sub>2</sub> m         1.592         27.22         Y, Y'-CH <sub>2</sub> m         1.86         30.26         β', β-CH <sub>2</sub> q         3.13         41.60         δ, δ'-CH <sub>2</sub> dd         3.75         57.02         α-CH           Ornithine         m         1.826         24.92         β-CH <sub>2</sub> m         1.930         30.12         β-CH <sub>2</sub> 1           t         3.756         56.99         α-CH           a-galactose         d         3.73         63.59         C6H <sub>2</sub> m         3.73         63.59         C2H           m         3.73         63.59         C3H           m         3.73         63.59         C3H <td>-</td> <td>dd</td> <td>3.188</td> <td>37.98</td> <td>β'-CH</td>	-	dd	3.188	37.98	β'-CH
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c } \hline d & 6.892 & 118.34 & 2,6 ring CH \\ \hline d & 7.183 & 133.27 & 3,5 ring CH \\ \hline d & 3.839 & 58.85 & a-CH \\ \hline d & 3.953 & 62.63 & \beta, \beta'-CH_2 \\ \hline d & 3.953 & 62.63 & \beta, \beta'-CH_2 \\ \hline d & 3.953 & 62.63 & \beta, \beta'-CH_2 \\ \hline m & 1.592 & 27.22 & \gamma, \gamma'-CH_2 \\ \hline m & 1.86 & 30.26 & \beta', \beta-CH_2 \\ \hline q & 3.13 & 41.60 & \delta, \delta'-CH_2 \\ \hline d & 3.75 & 57.02 & a-CH \\ \hline Ornithine & m & 1.826 & 24.92 & \beta-CH_2 \\ \hline d & 3.75 & 57.02 & a-CH \\ \hline 0 rnithine & m & 1.826 & 24.92 & \beta-CH_2 \\ \hline t & 3.04 & 41.26 & \delta-CH_2 \\ \hline t & 3.756 & 56.99 & a-CH \\ \hline a -galactose & d & 3.73 & 63.59 & C6H_2 \\ \hline m & 3.78 & 70.25 & C2H \\ \hline m & 3.78 & 70.25 & C2H \\ \hline m & 3.8435 & 71.759 & C3H \\ \hline m & 3.972 & 72.165 & C4H \\ \hline Unresolved & 4.050 & 73.493 & C5H \\ \hline d & 5.256 & 94.743 & C1H \\ \hline \beta -galactose & m & 3.484 & 74.29 & C2H \\ \hline m & 3.645 & 75.11 & C3H \\ \hline Unresolved & 3.699 & 77.63 & C5H \\ \hline Unresolved & 3.730 & 63.59 & C6H_2 \\ \hline \end{array}$	-	dd	3.935	58.52	a-CH
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c } \hline d & 7.183 & 133.27 & 3,5 ring CH \\ \hline & dd & 3.839 & 58.85 & a-CH \\ \hline & dd & 3.953 & 62.63 & \beta, \beta'-CH_2 \\ \hline & dd & 3.953 & 62.63 & \beta, \beta'-CH_2 \\ \hline & dd & 3.953 & 62.63 & \beta, \beta'-CH_2 \\ \hline & m & 1.592 & 27.22 & \gamma, \gamma'-CH_2 \\ \hline & m & 1.86 & 30.26 & \beta', \beta-CH_2 \\ \hline & q & 3.13 & 41.60 & \delta, \delta'-CH_2 \\ \hline & dd & 3.75 & 57.02 & a-CH \\ \hline & dd & 3.75 & 57.02 & a-CH \\ \hline & 0rnithine & m & 1.826 & 24.92 & \beta-CH_2 \\ \hline & m & 1.930 & 30.12 & \beta-CH_2 \\ \hline & t & 3.04 & 41.26 & \delta-CH_2 \\ \hline & t & 3.756 & 56.99 & a-CH \\ \hline & t & 3.756 & 56.99 & a-CH \\ \hline & a-galactose & d & 3.73 & 63.59 & C6H_2 \\ \hline & m & 3.8435 & 71.759 & C3H \\ \hline & m & 3.972 & 72.165 & C4H \\ \hline & Unresolved & 4.050 & 73.493 & C5H \\ \hline & d & 5.256 & 94.743 & C1H \\ \hline & \beta-galactose & m & 3.484 & 74.29 & C2H \\ \hline & m & 3.645 & 75.11 & C3H \\ \hline & Unresolved & 3.699 & 77.63 & C5H \\ \hline & Unresolved & 3.730 & 63.59 & C6H_2 \\ \hline \end{array}$	-	d	6.892	118.34	2,6 ring CH
	-	d	7.183	133.27	3,5 ring CH
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline dd & 3.953 & 62.63 & $$\mathcal{P}$, $$\mathcal{P}$'-CH2 \\ \hline m & 1.592 & 27.22 & $$\mathcal{V}$, $$\mathcal{V}$'-CH2 \\ \hline m & 1.86 & 30.26 & $$\mathcal{P}$, $$\mathcal{P}$-CH2 \\ \hline q & 3.13 & 41.60 & $$\mathcal{D}$, $$\mathcal{D}$'-CH2 \\ \hline q & 3.13 & 41.60 & $$\mathcal{D}$, $$\mathcal{D}$'-CH2 \\ \hline dd & 3.75 & 57.02 & $$\mathcal{Q}$-CH \\ \hline dd & 3.75 & 57.02 & $$\mathcal{Q}$-CH \\ \hline 0 \mathcal{D}$ or order & $$\mathcal{D}$ or order & $$\end{D}$ or order & $$\mathcal{D}$ or order & $\mathcal{D}$ or order & $\mathcal{D}$ or order & $\$	Serine	dd	3.839	58.85	a-CH
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c } \hline \mmodel{Citrulline} & m & 1.592 & 27.22 & \gamma_r \gamma' - CH_2 \\ \hline \mmodel{matrix} & m & 1.86 & 30.26 & \beta', \beta - CH_2 \\ \hline \mmodel{matrix} & q & 3.13 & 41.60 & \delta, \delta' - CH_2 \\ \hline \mmodel{matrix} & dd & 3.75 & 57.02 & a - CH \\ \hline \mmodel{matrix} & dd & 3.75 & 57.02 & g - CH_2 \\ \hline \mmodel{matrix} & m & 1.826 & 24.92 & \beta - CH_2 \\ \hline \mmodel{matrix} & 1.930 & 30.12 & \beta - CH_2 \\ \hline \mmodel{matrix} & 1.930 & 30.12 & \beta - CH_2 \\ \hline \mmodel{matrix} & 1.930 & 30.12 & \beta - CH_2 \\ \hline \mmodel{matrix} & 1.930 & 30.12 & \beta - CH_2 \\ \hline \mmodel{matrix} & 1.930 & 30.12 & \beta - CH_2 \\ \hline \mmodel{matrix} & 1.930 & 30.12 & \beta - CH_2 \\ \hline \mmodel{matrix} & 1.930 & 30.12 & \beta - CH_2 \\ \hline \mmodel{matrix} & 1.930 & 30.12 & \beta - CH_2 \\ \hline \mmodel{matrix} & 1.930 & 30.12 & \beta - CH_2 \\ \hline \mmodel{matrix} & 1.930 & 30.12 & \beta - CH_2 \\ \hline \mmodel{matrix} & 1.930 & 30.12 & \beta - CH_2 \\ \hline \mmodel{matrix} & 1.930 & 63.59 & C6H_2 \\ \hline \mmodel{matrix} & 3.8435 & 71.759 & C3H \\ \hline \mmodel{matrix} & 3.8435 & 71.759 & C3H \\ \hline \mmodel{matrix} & 3.843 & 71.759 & C3H \\ \hline \mmodel{matrix} & 3.844 & 74.29 & C2H \\ \hline \mmodel{matrix} & 3.645 & 75.11 & C3H \\ \hline \mmodel{matrix} & 0.111 & C3H \\ \hline \mmodel{matrix} & 0.121 & 0.314 \\ \hline \mmodel{matrix} & 0.699 & 77.63 & C5H \\ \hline \mmodel{matrix} & 0.699 & 77.63 & C5H \\ \hline \mmodel{matrix} & 0.730 & 63.59 & C6H_2 \\ \hline \mmodel{matrix} & 0.730 & 63.59 & C6H_2 \\ \hline \mmodel{matrix} & 0.750 & 6.51 \\ \hline \mmodel{matrix} & 0.751 & C3H \\ \hline \mmodel{matrix} & 0.751 & C3H \\ \hline \mmodel{matrix} & 0.750 & 6.51 \\ \hline \mmodel{matrix} & 0.751 & 6.51 \\ \hline \mmodel{matrix} & 0.751 & 6.51 \\ \hline \mmodel{matrix} & 0.751$	-	dd	3.953	62.63	β, β' -CH <sub>2</sub>
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c } \hline m & 1.86 & 30.26 & \beta',\beta-CH_2 \\ \hline q & 3.13 & 41.60 & \delta,\delta'-CH_2 \\ \hline dd & 3.75 & 57.02 & a-CH \\ \hline dd & 3.75 & 57.02 & \beta-CH_2 \\ \hline m & 1.826 & 24.92 & \beta-CH_2 \\ \hline m & 1.930 & 30.12 & \beta-CH_2 \\ \hline t & 3.04 & 41.26 & \delta-CH_2 \\ \hline t & 3.756 & 56.99 & a-CH \\ \hline a-galactose & d & 3.73 & 63.59 & C6H_2 \\ \hline m & 3.78 & 70.25 & C2H \\ \hline m & 3.8435 & 71.759 & C3H \\ \hline m & 3.972 & 72.165 & C4H \\ \hline Unresolved & 4.050 & 73.493 & C5H \\ \hline d & 5.256 & 94.743 & C1H \\ \hline \beta-galactose & m & 3.484 & 74.29 & C2H \\ \hline m & 3.645 & 75.11 & C3H \\ \hline Unresolved & 3.699 & 77.63 & C5H \\ \hline Unresolved & 3.730 & 63.59 & C6H_2 \\ \hline \end{array} $	Citrulline	m	1.592	27.22	$\gamma,\gamma'$ -CH <sub>2</sub>
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c } \hline q & 3.13 & 41.60 & \delta, \delta'-CH_2 \\ \hline dd & 3.75 & 57.02 & a-CH \\ \hline dd & 3.75 & 57.02 & \beta-CH_2 \\ \hline m & 1.826 & 24.92 & \beta-CH_2 \\ \hline m & 1.930 & 30.12 & \beta-CH_2 \\ \hline t & 3.04 & 41.26 & \delta-CH_2 \\ \hline t & 3.756 & 56.99 & a-CH \\ \hline d & 3.73 & 63.59 & C6H_2 \\ \hline m & 3.78 & 70.25 & C2H \\ \hline m & 3.8435 & 71.759 & C3H \\ \hline m & 3.972 & 72.165 & C4H \\ \hline Unresolved & 4.050 & 73.493 & C5H \\ \hline d & 5.256 & 94.743 & C1H \\ \hline \beta -galactose & m & 3.484 & 74.29 & C2H \\ \hline m & 3.645 & 75.11 & C3H \\ \hline Unresolved & 3.699 & 77.63 & C5H \\ \hline Unresolved & 3.730 & 63.59 & C6H_2 \\ \hline \end{array} $	-	m	1.86	30.26	β',β-CH <sub>2</sub>
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline dd & 3.75 & 57.02 & $a$-CH \\ \hline 0rnithine & m & 1.826 & 24.92 & $\beta$-CH_2 \\ \hline m & 1.930 & 30.12 & $\beta$-CH_2 \\ \hline t & 3.04 & 41.26 & $\delta$-CH_2 \\ \hline t & 3.756 & 56.99 & $a$-CH \\ \hline t & 3.756 & 56.99 & $a$-CH \\ \hline t & 3.73 & 63.59 & C6H_2 \\ \hline m & 3.78 & 70.25 & C2H \\ \hline m & 3.8435 & 71.759 & C3H \\ \hline m & 3.972 & 72.165 & C4H \\ \hline Unresolved & 4.050 & 73.493 & C5H \\ \hline d & 5.256 & 94.743 & C1H \\ \hline \beta$-galactose & m & 3.484 & 74.29 & C2H \\ \hline m & 3.645 & 75.11 & C3H \\ \hline Unresolved & 3.699 & 77.63 & C5H \\ \hline Unresolved & 3.699 & 77.63 & C5H \\ \hline unresolved & 3.730 & 63.59 & C6H_2 \\ \hline \end{tabular}$	-	q	3.13	41.60	δ,δ'-CH <sub>2</sub>
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c }\hline Ornithine & m & 1.826 & 24.92 & \beta-CH_2 \\ \hline m & 1.930 & 30.12 & \beta-CH_2 \\ \hline t & 3.04 & 41.26 & \delta-CH_2 \\ \hline t & 3.756 & 56.99 & a-CH \\ \hline a-galactose & d & 3.73 & 63.59 & C6H_2 \\ \hline m & 3.78 & 70.25 & C2H \\ \hline m & 3.8435 & 71.759 & C3H \\ \hline m & 3.972 & 72.165 & C4H \\ \hline Unresolved & 4.050 & 73.493 & C5H \\ \hline d & 5.256 & 94.743 & C1H \\ \hline \beta-galactose & m & 3.484 & 74.29 & C2H \\ \hline m & 3.645 & 75.11 & C3H \\ \hline Unresolved & 3.699 & 77.63 & C5H \\ \hline Unresolved & 3.730 & 63.59 & C6H_2 \\ \hline \end{array}$	-	dd	3.75	57.02	a-CH
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c } \hline m & 1.930 & 30.12 & \beta-CH_2 \\ \hline t & 3.04 & 41.26 & \delta-CH_2 \\ \hline t & 3.756 & 56.99 & \alpha-CH \\ \hline a-galactose & d & 3.73 & 63.59 & C6H_2 \\ \hline m & 3.78 & 70.25 & C2H \\ \hline m & 3.8435 & 71.759 & C3H \\ \hline m & 3.972 & 72.165 & C4H \\ \hline Unresolved & 4.050 & 73.493 & C5H \\ \hline d & 5.256 & 94.743 & C1H \\ \hline \beta-galactose & m & 3.484 & 74.29 & C2H \\ \hline m & 3.645 & 75.11 & C3H \\ \hline Unresolved & 3.699 & 77.63 & C5H \\ \hline Unresolved & 3.699 & 77.63 & C5H \\ \hline Unresolved & 3.730 & 63.59 & C6H_2 \\ \hline \end{array} $	Ornithine	m	1.826	24.92	β-CH <sub>2</sub>
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline t & 3.04 & 41.26 & $\delta$-CH_2$ \\ \hline t & 3.756 & 56.99 & $a$-CH$ \\ \hline $a$-galactose & $d$ & 3.73 & $63.59 & $C6H_2$ \\ \hline $m$ & $3.78 & $70.25 & $C2H$ \\ \hline $m$ & $3.8435 & $71.759 & $C3H$ \\ \hline $m$ & $3.972 & $72.165 & $C4H$ \\ \hline $Unresolved & $4.050 & $73.493 & $C5H$ \\ \hline $d$ & $5.256 & $94.743 & $C1H$ \\ \hline $\beta$-galactose & $m$ & $3.484 & $74.29 & $C2H$ \\ \hline $m$ & $3.645 & $75.11 & $C3H$ \\ \hline $Unresolved & $3.699 & $77.63 & $C5H$ \\ \hline $Unresolved & $3.699 & $77.63 & $C5H$ \\ \hline $Unresolved & $3.730 & $63.59 & $C6H_2$ \\ \hline \end{tabular}$	-	m	1.930	30.12	β-CH <sub>2</sub>
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline t & 3.756 & 56.99 & $a$-CH$ \\ \hline $a$-galactose & $d$ & 3.73 & 63.59 & C6H_2$ \\ \hline $m$ & 3.78 & 70.25 & C2H$ \\ \hline $m$ & 3.8435 & 71.759 & C3H$ \\ \hline $m$ & 3.972 & 72.165 & C4H$ \\ \hline $m$ & 3.972 & 72.165 & C4H$ \\ \hline $u$nresolved & 4.050 & 73.493 & C5H$ \\ \hline $d$ & 5.256 & 94.743 & C1H$ \\ \hline $d$ & 5.256 & 94.743 & C1H$ \\ \hline $h$-galactose & $m$ & 3.484 & 74.29 & C2H$ \\ \hline $m$ & 3.645 & 75.11 & C3H$ \\ \hline $u$nresolved & 3.699 & 77.63 & C5H$ \\ \hline $u$nresolved & 3.730 & 63.59 & C6H_2$ \\ \hline \end{tabular}$	-	t	3.04	41.26	δ-CH <sub>2</sub>
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	-	t	3.756	56.99	a-CH
m3.7870.25C2Hm3.843571.759C3Hm3.97272.165C4HUnresolved4.05073.493C5Hd5.25694.743C1Hβ-galactosem3.48474.29C2Hm3.64575.11C3HUnresolved3.69977.63C5HUnresolved3.73063.59C6H2	a-galactose	d	3.73	63.59	C6H <sub>2</sub>
m3.843571.759C3Hm3.97272.165C4HUnresolved4.05073.493C5Hd5.25694.743C1Hβ-galactosem3.48474.29C2Hm3.64575.11C3HUnresolved3.69977.63C5HUnresolved3.73063.59C6H2	-	m	3.78	70.25	C2H
m3.97272.165C4HUnresolved4.05073.493C5Hd5.25694.743C1Hβ-galactosem3.48474.29C2Hm3.64575.11C3HUnresolved3.69977.63C5HUnresolved3.73063.59C6H2	-	m	3.8435	71.759	СЗН
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c } \hline Unresolved & 4.050 & 73.493 & C5H \\ \hline d & 5.256 & 94.743 & C1H \\ \hline & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline & & & & & & &$		m	3.972	72.165	C4H
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c } \hline d & 5.256 & 94.743 & C1H \\ \hline $\beta$-galactose & m & 3.484 & 74.29 & C2H \\ \hline $m$ & 3.645 & 75.11 & C3H \\ \hline $U$nresolved & 3.699 & 77.63 & C5H \\ \hline $U$nresolved & 3.730 & 63.59 & C6H_2 \\ \hline \end{tabular}$		Unresolved	4.050	73.493	C5H
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		d	5.256	94.743	C1H
m         3.645         75.11         C3H           Unresolved         3.699         77.63         C5H           Unresolved         3.730         63.59         C6H <sub>2</sub>	β-galactose	m	3.484	74.29	C2H
Unresolved         3.699         77.63         C5H           Unresolved         3.730         63.59         C6H2	-	m	3.645	75.11	СЗН
Unresolved 3.730 63.59 C6H <sub>2</sub>	-	Unresolved	3.699	77.63	C5H
	-	Unresolved	3.730	63.59	C6H <sub>2</sub>
m 3.917 71.41 C4H	-	m	3.917	71.41	C4H

	d	4.577	98.907	C1H
Glycerol	m	3.547	65.01	CH <sub>2</sub>
	m	3.640	64.96	CH <sub>2</sub>
	Unresolved	3.773	74.55	СН
Tyramine	t	2.92	34.34	β-CH₂
	t	3.25	42.6	a-CH₂
	d	6.90	118.34	2,6 ring CH
	d	7.21	132.75	3,5 ring CH
Unknown A		2.798	38.96	
Unknown H		2.696	39.02	
Unknown B		1.763	32.92	
Unknown D		1.207	21.35	
Unknown E		1.208	27.06	
Unknown F		2.7653	40.119	
Unknown G		7.1388	133.09	

618 <sup>a</sup> <sup>1</sup>H chemical shifts reported with respect to DSS signal(0.00ppm).

619 <sup>b</sup> Multiplicity definitions: s, singlet; d, doublet; t, triplet; q, quartet; dd, doublet of doublets; m,

620 multiplet.



622

623

Figure 2 The score plot of the PCA obtained from the mean of each ripening time point for batches A-F. The PCA metabolic trajectory plot maps the average position of the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of aqueous extracts for each ripening time. The symbols and colours indicate ripening time in days:56 days (inverted triangle; brown), 90 days (star; pink), 180 days (□; dark blue), 270 days (O; red), 360 days (Δ; green), 450 days (◊; light blue). The letter and number denote the batch and number of ripening days e.g. E-270 is batch E at 270 days. The dashed line indicates the ripening trajectory of batch B; the solid line indicates the ripening trajectory of batch C.



\*Key to Compound identification: Ile, Isoleucine; Leu, Leucine; Val, Valine; Thr, Threonine; Lys, Lysine; Ala, Alanine; Cit, Citrulline; Arg, Arginine; Met, Methionine; Glu, Glutamic acid; Pro, Proline; PCA, Pyroglutamic acid; Asn, Asparagine; Tyr, Tyrosine; Phe, Phenylalanine; Gly, Glycine; Ser, Serine; a-Gal, a-Galactose;  $\beta$ -Gal,  $\beta$ - Galactose;

**Figure 3** Loading coefficients for the chemical shift intervals from the PCA plot shown on Figure 2, comparing different ages and batch variations. The Y-axis is the bin interval with corresponding assignments. The four individual metabolite bins which have the highest loading coefficient in PC1 and PC2 and which discriminate the ripening and batch variation are labelled with star and triangle symbols respectively.

- 643
- 644



**Figure 4** The most significant metabolites that change during the ripening process (a) citrulline bin at 1.59-1.60 ppm (b) arginine bin at 3.24-3.25 ppm. The displayed values are the mean of the normalised intensity of the metabolite. Error bars are the standard deviation of three replicates. The different capital letters on the histograms indicate significant statistical difference among ripening days for that batch (Tukey's test P<0.05).</p>

651

652



654 *Figure* 5 The most significant metabolites that differentiate variation in different batches: (a)
655 serine bin at 3.83-3.84 ppm (b) β-galactose bin at 3.46-3.47 ppm. The displayed values are the
33

- 656 mean of the normalised intensity of the metabolite. Error bars are the standard deviation of
- 657 three replicates. The different capital letters on the histograms indicate significant statistical
- 658 *difference between batches for that ripening time (Tukey's test P<0.05).*

Biplot (axes F1 and F2: 92.58 %)



Figure 6 (a) PCA bi-plot carried out on sensory attributes by the training panel. All the sample
points are labelled as batch number and ripening time in days. Symbols and colours of the

samples indicate different ripening times: 56 days ripening (□; orange), 90 days ripening (◊; green), 180 days ripening (Δ; purple), 270 days ripening (−; grey), 360 days ripening (o; yellow),450 days (•; blue). All the sample points are the mean of three replicates ×10 panel evaluations. The sensory attributes that correlated with mature Cheddar cheese attributes are in the dashed box (b) Partial Least Squares correlation biplot of sensory attributes evaluated at all ripening days and most relevant metabolites of the Cheddar cheese aqueous extract spectra that explain the most variance of the sensory profile.(color used in these graphs)





Figure 7 The most important individual metabolites that are correlated with most of sensory
attributes: (a) Lysine bin at 3.03-3.04ppm (b) Glycerol bin at 3.63-3.64ppm. The displayed
values are the mean of the normalised intensity of the metabolite. Error bars are the standard
deviation of three replicates.