

Remote sensing and GIS based ecological modelling of potential red deer habitats in the test site region DEMMIN (TERENO)



**MCKENNA, A., SCHULTZ, A., BORG, E.,
NEUMANN, K.-M., MUND, J.-P.**



Background

Background

1

Material and
Methods

- The TERENO Initiative aims to study climate and land use changes as well as their regional impacts in order to formulate a sustainable socio-economic framework and estimate its ecological consequences (e.g. Heinrich et al., 2018, Bogena et al., 2019).

Processing of
data

- In both cases, the strategic aim is to optimize the sustainable use and extraction of natural resources in order to protect natural capacities. In particular, the conservation and enhancement of biodiversity requires increasing attention.
- The above-mentioned land use change is more or less pronounced on different spatial and temporal scales (e.g. Schönwiese, 2008; Büntgen et al., 2013).

Statistical
analysis

Results

- The scientific community responded to the challenges by developing experiments and monitoring platforms (e.g. Osmond et al., 2004; Knorr et al., 2005, Mollenhauer, 2018).

Discussion

- Recording biodiversity at regional and superregional levels under changing environmental conditions requires comprehensive monitoring based on sound concepts. Yet, the provision of extensive data sets, required for such monitoring, is cost and resource intensive.

Literature

- In the absence of specific quantitative datasets it is necessary to use every suitable and available data source to create as full a picture as possible of the complex interactions within ecosystems. In the following study, we will use an example to show how conclusions about the distribution of large mammals in a landscape dominated by humans can be derived from spatially and temporally uncertain data.

Background

Material and
Methods

Processing of
data

Statistical
analysis

Results

Discussion

Literature

Objectives

2

The main objectives of the project are:

- Target-oriented pre-processing of uncertain and incomplete spatial ecological observation data (hunting data reports) and identification of correlated landscape structure metrics based on precise RS data (Global Forest Change)
- Development of a binary classifier for the prediction of habitat suitability of Red Deer
- Implementation and evaluation of the derived binary classifier within the test site region DEMMIN (TERENO)

Material and Methods

Background

Material and Methods

Processing of data

Statistical analysis

Results

Discussion


Literature

3

Methods

- FRAGSTATS*
- Correlation Analysis
- Binary Logistic Regression

Test Site Region



Available Data Base

- Global Forest Change (2000-2012) Remote Sensing Product (Hansen et al. 2013)
- Analogous list of Hunting Success Data (2006-2012) Observation data
- Digital Administrative data of Mecklenburg-Vorpommern (e.g. municipalities)
- Literature Studies / Ecological Studies (ecological relevant data) also see slide Nr.7

Landscape structure measures


- Percentage of landscape (PLAND)
- Mean shape index (SHAPE)
- Edge density (ED)
- Area-weighted mean radius of gyration (GYRATE)
- Effective mesh size (MESH)
- Contagion (CONTAG)

Class level

Landscape level

Data processing chain

4



Binary classifier^{MA8}

5

Hypothesis

It is supposed that Red Deer habitat suitability is dependent on landscape structure and can be appropriately expressed with landscape structure metrics:

- Binary Logistic Regression is used as classifier (advantage: no particular data assumptions have to be fulfilled)
- area-wide classified habitats are divided in less or more preferred habitats based on statistical reasoning (habitat suitability 0 or 1) and serve as dichotomous dependent variable
- landscape structure metrics based on RS data serve as independent variables
- the derived regression function with unstandardized coefficients serves as binary classifier; standardized coefficients (King, 2007) allow comparative evaluation of input variables (that is ecological importance of metrics)

Background

Material and Methods

Processing of data

Statistical analysis

Results

Discussion

Literature

Folie 6

MA8

Folie 6 und 7 zusammenfassen!
Alfred schickt mir Inhalt zur Statistik Folie!
McKenna, Amelie; 01.05.2020

Habitat Model Output

6

Background

Material and Methods

Processing of data

Statistical analysis

Results

Discussion

Literature

Summary of output variables	
Nagelkerke R ²	Overall Classification
0.199	68.8

Habitat Model		
Landscape metrics	Unstandardized regression coefficient	Standardized regression coefficient
Contagion	-0.027	-0.166
Edge density	-0.092	-0.065
Percentage of land	0.026	0.164

Habitat Model - Final				
Landscape metrics	B	Wald statistic	p-value of Wald chi-square statistics	Exp(B)
Contagion	-0.027	121.284	0.000	0.974
Edge density	-0.092	37.445	0.000	0.912
Percentage of landscape	0.026	379.739	0.000	1.027
Constant	0.719	13.620	0.000	2.052

$$P(HSI = 1) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-(b_0 + b_1 * 0.027 + b_2 * 0.092 + b_3 * 0.02)}}$$

P (HSI=1) = predicts whether habitats are more or less preferred
 b_0, b_1, b_2, b_3 = coefficient (or weight)

Background

Processing of data

Statistical analysis

Results


Discussion

Literature

Link habitat attributes to red deer species

7

- Red deer responds primarily to landscape composition



- *= Standardized b-coefficient

Habitat preference map

Background

Processing of data

Statistical analysis


Results

Discussion


Literature

Habitat model- Comparison of red deer distribution in Mecklenburg-West Pomerania

Presence and absence map of red deer



Red deer distribution from observation data (hunting statistic)



Input variables (landscape metrics): contagion, edge density, percentage of land		
	Frequency (pixels)	Percent
Missing values	3241	35.9
Preferred	2807	31.1
Less preferred	2972	32.9
Total	9020	100

- Legend**
- More preferred habitat
 - Less preferred habitat
 - Global Forest Change Data

Scale

Scale: 1: 250.000 for DinA4 printing
 Reference coordinate system
 Coordinate System: WGS84-UTMZone33N
 Projection: Transverse Mercator
 Datum: WGS 1984
 Units: Meter

- Legend**
- Hunting community boundaries
 - No data available
- Red Deer Distribution per municipality [counted animal per 100 ha]**
- 0.00
 - 0.00 - 0.25
 - 0.26 - 0.50
 - 0.51 - 0.75
 - 0.76 - 4.05

Processing/Analysis

Master Degree: *Development of a method to describe potential big mammal habitats*

Analyst: Amelie McKenna
 Supervisor: Prof. Dr. Alfred Schultz

The University of Applied Sciences for Sustainable Development Eberswalde (HNEE)
 Faculty of Forest and Environment
 Study Course: Forest Information Technology

Dr.rer.nat. Erik Borg
 German Aerospace Center (DLR)
 German Remote Sensing Data Center
 National Ground Segment

Discussion - Consequences

Background

Material and Methods

Processing of data

Statistical analysis

Results

Discussion

Literature

Core results

- A binary classifier for the prediction of red deer habitat suitability using logistic regression was developed and provided acceptable classification results
- Simple models can be derived by using spatio-temporal incomplete and uncertain in-situ-observation data and remote sensing based landscape metric data of high quality
- Calculation of standardized coefficient allowed better comparison, interpretation and evaluation of landscape metrics
- Red deer habitat preferences are successfully described using landscape metric (CONTAG index, ED index, PLAND index), certainly the approach is adoptable to other big mammals with similar habitat preferences

Conclusions

- In order to achieve exactable results a great variety of different classification approaches have to be simulated
- The observation dataset is vulnerable to bias as it has limited spatio-temporal character and thus the assignment and averaging to municipalities lead to estimates rather than a fact
- The authors are certain that quantitative accuracy could be improved if detailed biotope data were provided with greater explicitness and further ecological parameters
- Quality of species observation data could be improved including other public data e.g. on traffic and accident

Folie 10

MA15

Bitte um Überprüfung der folie
McKenna, Amelie; 04.05.2020

Literature

10

Background

Material and
Methods

Processing of
data

Statistical
analysis

Results

Discussion

Literature

BOGENA, H.R. ET AL. (2019): TERENO – A decade of long-term observatory based terrestrial research in Germany, PA13B-0990. - AGU 100 Fall Meeting San Francisco, CA 9-13 Dec 2019.

BORGES, F. ET AL. (2017): Assessing the habitat suitability of agricultural landscapes for characteristic breeding bird guilds using landscape metrics. *Environmental monitoring and assessment* 189, (4), p.166.

BÜNTGEN, U., ET AL. (2013): Filling the Eastern European gap in millennium-long temperature reconstructions. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 110:1773-1778.

GUSTAFSON, E., PARKER, G. (1992): Relationships between landcover proportion and indices of landscape spatial pattern. *Landscape Ecology* 7, (2), 101–110.

Hansen, M. C. et al. (2013): High-Resolution Global Maps of 21st-Century Forest Cover Change. *Science* 342 (15 November): 850–53. Data available on-line from: <http://earthenginepartners.appspot.com/science-2013-global-forest>.

HEINRICH, I., ET AL. (2018): Interdisciplinary Geo-ecological Research across Time Scales in the Northeast German Lowland Observatory (TERENO-NE). - *Vadose Zone Journal*, 17, 1. DOI: <http://doi.org/10.2136/vzj2018.06.0116>

KING, J. (2007): RUNNING HEAD: Standardized Coefficients in Logistic Regression – Jason E. King. Baylor College of Medicine. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Southwest Educational Research Association, San Antonio, Texas, Feb. 7–10, 2007. AVAILABLE: <http://www.ccitonline.org/jking/homepage>

KNORR, W. ET AL. (2005): Long-term sensitivity of soil carbon turnover to warming. *Nature* 433:298-301.

MCGARIGAL, K. (2015): FRAGSTATS Help, p.182. Amherst. AVAILABLE: http://dx.doi.org/http://www.umass.edu/landeco/research/fragstats/documents/fragstats_documents.html

MCGARIGAL, K., ENE, E. (2014): FRAGSTATS 4.2 – Spatial Pattern Analysis Program for Categorical and Continuous Maps, University of Massachusetts, Massachusetts.

MOLLENHAUER, H., ET AL. (2018): Long-term environmental monitoring infrastructures in Europe: observations, measurements, scales, and socio-ecological representativeness.- *Science of The Total Environment* 624:968-978, 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.12.095

OSMOND, B. ET AL. (2004): Changing the way we think about global change research: scaling up in experimental ecosystem science. *Global Change Biology* 10:393-407.

NEUMANN, M., ET AL. (2014): Untersuchungen zur Bewirtschaftung von Rot-, Dam-, Muffel, Reh- und Schwarzwild in Wildschwerpunktgebiete MV 2014 Thünen Institut Eberswalde.

SCHÖNWIESE, C.D. (2008): *Klimatologie.* Ulmer, Stuttgart.

TOTTEWITZ, F., NEUMANN, M., (2010): Untersuchungen zur Lebensraumnutzung des Rotwildes (*Cervus elaphus* L.) auf der Halbinsel Darß/Zingst im Nationalpark Vorpommersche Boddenlandschaft durch GPS-Satelliten-Telemetrie, Beiträge zur Jagd- und Wildforschung, pp.15–31.

Thank you for your
attention!



5/4/2020