DECENT WORK SCALE AUSTRALIA

1

Decent Work's Association with Job Satisfaction, Work Engagement, and Withdrawal Intentions in Australian Working Adults

Peter McIlveen, University of Southern Queensland
P. Nancey Hoare, University of Southern Queensland
Harsha N. Perera, University of Nevada Las Vegas
Chris Kossen, University of Southern Queensland
Louisa Mason, University of Southern Queensland
Shannon Munday, University of Southern Queensland
Carolyn Alchin, University of Southern Queensland
Allison Creed, University of Melbourne
Nicole McDonald, University of Southern Queensland

AUTHOR NOTE

Peter McIlveen, School of Education, University of Southern Queensland; P. Nancey Hoare, School of Psychology & Counselling, University of Southern Queensland; Harsha N. Perera, Department of Educational Psychology & Higher Education, University of Nevada Las Vegas; Chris Kossen, School of Humanities & Communication, University of Southern Queensland; Louisa Mason, School of Psychology & Counselling, University of Southern Queensland; Shannon Munday, School of Psychology & Counselling, University of Southern Queensland; Carolyn Alchin, Institute for Resilient Regions, University of Southern Queensland; Allison Creed, Faculty of Arts, University of Melbourne; Nicole McDonald, Institute for Resilient Regions, University of Southern Queensland.

Correspondence concerning this article may be addressed to Peter McIlveen, School of Education, University of Southern Queensland; Toowoomba, QLD 4350, Australia; +61 7 46312375; peter.mcilveen@usq.edu.au

Abstract

The present research is focused on the measurement properties of the Decent Work Scale (DWS) in Australia and adds to cumulating evidence of the measure's international utility for psychological research into the role of work in people's lives. The study contributes new evidence via a survey of a sample of workers (N = 201) who completed the DWS and criterion measures of career-related factors including job satisfaction, work engagement, and withdrawal intentions. Correlated factors, higher order, and bifactor models were tested using confirmatory factor analysis. All models were satisfactory and the bifactor model evinced preferable fit. The DWS Values Congruence subscale predicted all criterion measures. Workers' incomes and ratings of their occupations' prestige had no main effects or interaction effect on the DWS subscales. Recommendations for future research include testing the DWS's relations with measures of mental health which are known correlates of career-related outcomes.

Keywords: decent work, psychology of working, job satisfaction, work engagement, withdrawal intentions

Decent Work's Association with Job Satisfaction, Work Engagement, and Withdrawal

Intentions

The psychological effects of job insecurity (De Witte, Pienaar, & De Cuyper, 2016), unemployment (Paul & Moser, 2009) poor quality work (Butterworth, Leach, McManus, & Stansfeld, 2013; Butterworth et al., 2011) are reasons to take a psychological perspective on the qualities of decent work in peoples' lives. The notion "decent work" is defined by the International Labour Office (ILO; 2015, 2017) as work that includes safety, access to health care, adequate remuneration, time and rest from work, and congruence—or at least lack of conflict—between workplace values and those of workers and their communities. Decent work is the subject of psychological theory and research (Blustein, Olle, Connors-Kellgren, & Diamonti, 2016) and is the conceptual centrepiece of the Psychology of Working Theory (PWT; Duffy, Blustein, Diemer, & Autin, 2016). The PWT has been the stimulus for research into the development and evaluation of a psychometric measure, the Decent Work Scale (DWS; Duffy et al., 2017) which has been validated in different nations (Duffy, Blustein, Allan, Diemer, & Cinamon, 2019). The present research is the first to appraise the measurement properties of the DWS in an Australian context.

The Psychology of Working Theory and Decent Work

The PWT describes "...how contextual and psychological variables affect an individual's ability to secure decent work and how doing so affects the fulfilment of individual needs" (Duffy et al., 2016, p. 128). Thus, the PWT specifies economic constraints and marginalization as the contextual predictors that affect the psychological predictors of decent work, namely, work volition and career adaptability. Furthermore, the PWT proposes proactive personality, critical consciousness, social support, and economic conditions as moderators of the effects of economic constraints and marginalization on work volition and

career adaptability. The proposed outcomes of decent work include satisfaction of survival needs (e.g., food, shelter), social connection needs, self-determination needs (e.g., self-regulation), and, ultimately, satisfaction of these needs predicts work fulfilment and wellbeing. Thus, the PWT proposes that decent work, indirectly, via satisfaction of these needs, predicts positive psychological outcomes for workers.

Decent work is germane to testing hypotheses drawn from the PWT. Consistent with the tenets of the PWT, research involving individuals who identify as a sexual minority revealed direct predictive relations between social class and marginalization and decent work, and indirect effects via work volition (Douglass, Velez, Conlin, Duffy, & England, 2017). However, Douglas et al. found no direct effect of career adaptability on decent work. Other research has affirmed a predictive relations between marginalization and decent work and, indirectly via work volition, in an ethnically-diverse American sample; however, again, there was no predictive relation with career adaptability (Duffy et al., 2018). Career adaptability has, nonetheless, been found to predict decent work in other samples (e.g., Tokar & Kaut, 2018). With respect to the proposed outcomes of decent work specified in the PWT, research has found positive correlations between the DWS and satisfaction of survival needs, social connection needs, and self-determination needs, which predicted job and life satisfaction (Autin et al., 2019). Other research affirmed decent work as a predictor of survival, social contribution, and self-determination needs, and, moreover, a predictor of physical health and mental health, indirectly via needs satisfaction (Duffy, Kim, et al., 2019). In summary, the DWS has accreted a body of evidence that attests to its empirical utility and conceptual fidelity to the PWT.

Measurement Properties of the DWS in Different Nations

A special issue of the *Journal of Vocational Behavior* (Duffy, Blustein, et al., 2019) reported on other nations' versions of the DWS, including Brazil (Ribeiro, Teixeira, &

Ambiel, 2019), Italy (Di Fabio & Kenny, 2019), France (Vignoli et al., 2019), Portugal (Ferreira et al., 2019), South Korea (Nam & Kim, 2019), Switzerland (Masdonati, Schreiber, Marcionetti, & Rossier, 2019), Turkey (Buyukgoze-Kavas & Autin, 2019), and the UK (Dodd, Hooley, & Burke, 2019). With some modifications to suit the respective cultural contexts, the proposed five dimensions of decent work were consistently evident in the international studies.

The original US version and subsequent international versions examined the DWS' factor structure as correlated factors, higher order, and bifactor models. The bifactor model had superior fit in the US and Italy and equivocal fit in the UK and Portugal, whereas the correlational model was preferable in Turkey, and a higher-order model was preferable in Switzerland. The present research investigated the measurement properties of DWS in an Australian context by assessing its factor structure and its relations with psychological correlates of decent work, in particular, job satisfaction, withdrawal intentions, and work engagement.

Job satisfaction. According to the PWT, "...performing decent work leads to need satisfaction, work fulfilment, and well-being" (Duffy et al., 2016, p. 128). Job satisfaction is germane to a psychological understanding of work in people's lives, as is evident in more than 100 years of research (Judge, Weiss, Kammeyer-Mueller, & Hulin, 2017). Job satisfaction is associated with decent work, however, not all elements of decent work predict job satisfaction. For example, access to health care did not predict job satisfaction in multiple international samples (Di Fabio & Kenny, 2019; Dodd et al., 2019; Duffy et al., 2017), but did in others (Buyukgoze-Kavas & Autin, 2019; Ferreira et al., 2019). Adequate remuneration is a predictor of job satisfaction (Buyukgoze-Kavas & Autin, 2019; Di Fabio & Kenny, 2019; Dodd et al., 2019; Duffy et al., 2017), yet meta-analytic research reveals the relation between job satisfaction and pay as relatively small at r = .15 (Judge, Piccolo,

Podsakoff, Shaw, & Rich, 2010). The relation between pay and satisfaction may be moderated by individual differences in workers' dispositional traits, such as core self-evaluations (Judge & Bono, 2001; Keller & Semmer, 2013), need for pay satisfaction and work values (Hofmans, De Gieter, & Pepermans, 2013), and characteristic adaptations, such as career adaptability (Fiori, Bollmann, & Rossier, 2015) and self-efficacy (Maggiori, Johnston, & Rossier, 2016). Work-life balance, which may be a proxy for decent work's condition of time and rest away from work, is associated with job satisfaction (Haar, Russo, Suñe, & Ollier-Malaterre, 2014), however, in the DWS studies, adequate rest and time away from work did not consistently predict job satisfaction (Dodd et al., 2019; Ferreira et al., 2019). In summary, the international studies validating the DWS (Duffy, Blustein, et al., 2019) suggest that some, but not all, of the elements of decent work measured by the DWS predict job satisfaction.

Withdrawal intentions. Workers' withdrawal intentions reflect their dissatisfaction with and diminished commitment to their current work, and may lead to separation from work (e.g., resignation, retirement). In terms of decent work, workers are likely to experience withdrawal intentions in a workplace that is unsafe, unhealthy, offers unfair pay and insufficient time to rest and recover, and operates in ways that conflicts with their values. Whilst this assumption may be rational in contexts where there is sufficient alternative work in the labor market, it may not hold up where there are few other opportunities for gainful work reflected by high rates of unemployment and underemployment. Research using the DWS in Turkey found that all elements of decent work predicted withdrawal intentions (Buyukgoze-Kavas & Autin, 2019). In Italy, however, access to health care did not predict withdrawal intentions (Di Fabio & Kenny, 2019); in the USA (Duffy et al., 2017) and Portugal (Ferreira et al., 2019), health care and time and rest did not predict withdrawal intentions. In the UK (Dodd et al., 2019), safety, access to health care, and time and rest did

not predict withdrawal intentions. Thus, as it is with job satisfaction, there are varied predictive relations among the subscales of DWS and withdrawal intentions in different international samples.

Work engagement. The work engagement construct (Bakker, 2011; Bakker & Demerouti, 2008, 2017) has potential as an alternative outcome indicator of workers' experiences of decent work. According to Bakker (2011, p. 265), "Work engagement is different from job satisfaction in that it combines high work pleasure (dedication) with high activation (vigor and absorption); job satisfaction is typically a more passive form of employee well-being". A work environment with sufficient job resources (e.g., psychological climate, time for recovery, rewards and recognition) is positively associated with engagement, whereas, a work environment loaded with hindrance demands (e.g., emotional conflict and role overload) is negatively associated with work engagement (Crawford, LePine, & Rich, 2010; Lesener, Gusy, & Wolter, 2019; Rattrie, Kittler, & Paul, 2020). The Utrecht Work Engagement Scale (UWES; Schaufeli, Bakker, & Salanova, 2006) was included in the Portuguese validation study (Ferreira et al., 2019) and its factors Vigor, Dedication, and Absorption were predicted by DWS's Safe Conditions and Values subscales. The present research extends the DWS literature by assessing relations among its subscales and the UWES.

The Present Research

At the time the research was conducted, Australia's population was approximately 25.5 million; 66.1% were participating in the labour market; 12,935,000 were in some level of employment; and the unemployment rate was 5.3% (Australian Bureau of Statistics, 2019). Australia is a federation of States and Territories, each with their own constitutions and legislatures, brought together as a nation subsumed by the national, federal Australian Government. Each level of government has defined responsibilities for regulating the labour

market. The Australian Government's *Fair Work Act* (Australian Government, 2009) and other legislative instruments and government agencies (e.g., the 10 National Employment Standards; Australian Government, 2019; Safe Work Australia, 2019) directly and indirectly regulate the labour market and workplaces in ways consistent with the ILO's definition of decent work and the DWS.

Measurement Models. Decent work has been a matter of interest to psychology and career development researchers in Australia (Athanasou, 2010); however, until the present research, there has been no published Australian measure of decent work to advance research and development. The DWS's international validation studies (Duffy, Blustein, et al., 2019) revealed acceptable correlated factors, higher-order, and bifactor measurement models with some variations among nations (e.g., bifactor model had superior fit in the US and Italy, and equivocal fit in the UK and Portugal). We had no reason to assume any one of the models would be superior in the present dataset.

Hypothesis 1. We predicted that the DWS would evince acceptable measurement properties in a correlated factors model, higher-order model, and a bifactor model.

Safe Conditions. Australian workplaces must comply with regulations that require employers to ensure the health and safety of employees and other people who may come in contact with a workplace (Safe Work Australia, 2019). Similarly, employees are obliged to follow workplaces' policies and procedures. The Australian Governments' safety legislations have provisions for the imposition of fines and criminal charges in cases of breaches.

Consistent with the other DWS studies, previous Australian research into safe conditions demonstrates evident links between psychosocial safety climate and psychological health problems, exhaustion, and work outcomes (Bailey & Dollard, 2019; Dollard & Bailey, 2014; Zadow, Dollard, Parker, & Storey, 2019).

Hypothesis 2. Safe Conditions will predict job satisfaction, withdrawal intentions, and work engagement.

Access to Health Care. Australia has a universal health care system, *Medicare*, which is funded by a compulsory 2% taxation levy in addition to income tax paid annually by employees. In addition, individuals who earn more than \$AU90,000 per annum are required to pay an additional 1% to 1.5% of annual income, as the Medicare Levy Surcharge, if they do not purchase private hospital insurance, in addition to the coverage provided by the universal Medicare system. Individuals whose annual incomes fall beneath a low-income threshold are entitled to pay a lesser levy or no levy. Australian employers do not fund their employees' health care insurance, thus, the present research required amendments to the Access to Health Care items of the DWS in a way similar to other nations reported in the international DWS project (Duffy, Blustein, et al., 2019), such as South Korea and the UK, which have government-funded universal health care systems. Accordingly, the wording of items of the DWS Access to Health Care subscale were amended to suit the health-care systems of nations with full "universal" or partial government funding, such as South Korea (Nam & Kim, 2019), the UK (Dodd et al., 2019), and Switzerland (Masdonati et al., 2019). In the present study, we too implemented amendments to the DWS items to suit the Australian health-care system, which includes universal coverage. Access to Health did not predict job satisfaction and withdrawal intentions in the UK, however, it did in the South Korean validation study. We did not offer specific hypotheses regarding Access to Health Care because there was insufficient Australian empirical research to justify hypotheses about a putative relation between the Australian universal health care system Medicare and psychological outcomes associated with decent work.

Adequate Compensation. At the time of this research, the average weekly earnings of Australians was \$AU1,633 (Australian Bureau of Statistics, 2019) and the national

minimum wage was \$AU740.80 per week or \$AU19.49 per hour for employees covered by registered agreements (Australian Government, 2019). It is important to note that the present research was conducted in a period of stagnated wage growth associated with relatively high levels of underemployment, low inflation, and coming off an economic boom driven by mining exports (Gilfillan, 2019). The Australian Workplace Barometer (Dollard & Bailey, 2014) study found small-to-moderate significant correlations between income and some job demands (e.g., work pressure, r = .22; work family conflict, r = .28), job resources (e.g., skill discretion, r = .34), and work outcomes (e.g., engagement, r = .11). Longitudinal Australian research using the Household, Income and Labour Dynamics in Australia (HILDA) dataset found that underemployment—which may be a proxy for insufficient remuneration apropos one's qualifications and skills—produced a strong negative effect on job satisfaction (Kifle, Kler, & Shankar, 2019). Furthermore, other HILDA research suggests that the association of salary and job satisfaction is not only about one's own income per se, but comparisons with other workers' incomes (Kifle, 2014).

Hypothesis 3. Adequate Compensation will predict job satisfaction, withdrawal intentions, and work engagement.

Free Time and Rest. Australia's National Employment Standards (Australian Government, 2019) stipulate a maximum number of work hours per week (e.g., 38 hours in ordinary conditions) and a range of leave entitlements, including: requests for flexible working arrangements; parental leave and related entitlements, annual leave, personal/carer's leave, compassionate leave and unpaid family and domestic violence leave; community service leave; long service leave; and public holidays. Employees in full-time work are entitled to four weeks of annual paid leave. Shift workers may be provided up to 5 weeks of annual paid leave. Casual employees are not entitled to paid leave; however, some organizations may opt to provide leave. In other research using HILDA data, Australian

workers' satisfaction with their jobs was correlated with income; however, this effect must be interpreted in the context of satisfaction being related to flexibility in work hours (Cassells, 2017). Furthermore, other HILDA research also indicates a positive association between hours worked and job satisfaction, expressed by "over-workers" who exceed standard work hours and, perhaps paradoxically, resent working extra hours (Fabian & Breunig, 2019).

Hypothesis 4. Free Time and Rest will predict job satisfaction, withdrawal intentions, and work engagement.

Complementary Values. Research into Australian workers suggests that congruence between their values and their organizations' values influences their commitment to the organization (Abbott, White, & Charles, 2005; Howell, Kirk-Brown, & Cooper, 2012; Rosete, 2006) and job satisfaction and intention to stay (Newton & Mazur, 2016). Workers' commitment is diminished when organizations fail to enact espoused values (Howell et al., 2012). The community dimension of Complementary Values may be considered from the perspective of an emerging literature about green-person-organizational fit, which addresses corporate social responsibilities and pro-environmental values. A study of Australian workers revealed a positive association between green values congruence and satisfaction and engagement (Hicklenton, Hine, & Loi, 2019). Given the DWS's items specific of mention family, it is notable that levels of work-family conflict were predicted by consistency of family values amongst Australian workers and their supervisors (Thompson, Brough, & Schmidt, 2006). Furthermore, in that study, consistency of worker-supervisor family values had an indirect effect on job satisfaction and emotional exhaustion via supervisor support.

Hypothesis 5. Complementary Values will predict job satisfaction, withdrawal intentions, and work engagement.

Method

Participants

The study involved a broad convenience sample of Australians. There were N = 201participants, n = 70 males (34.8%), n = 131 females (65.2%), with a combined mean age of 42.39 years (SD = 13.04) ranging from 19 to 70 years. Employment status included a mix of full-time employment (n = 96, 49.2%), part-time employment (n = 67, 34.4%), full-time selfemployment (n = 14, 7.2%), part-time self-employment (n = 9, 4.6%), retired but involved in work activities (n = 2, 1.0%), and seeking work at the time of the survey (n = 7, 3.6%). Six participants did not reveal their employment status. Education status included incomplete high school (n = 12, 6.5%), high school certificate (n = 23, 11.4%), incomplete undergraduate degree (n = 38, 18.9%), undergraduate degree (n = 29, 14.4%), postgraduate degree (n = 56,27.9), and trade qualification (n = 43, 21.4%). Annual household gross income (i.e., income before tax, in thousands of Australian dollars) included < \$25,000 (n = 16, 8.0%), \$26,000\$50,000 (n = 39, 19.4%), \$51-\$75,000 (n = 42, 20.9%), \$76,000-100,000 (n = 32, 15.9%),101,000-125,000 (n = 30, 14.9%), 126,000-150,000 (n = 11, 5.5%), 151,000-100175,000 (n = 9, 4.5%), 176,000 - 200,000 (n = 3, 1.5%), > 200,000 (n = 7, 3.5%), andunspecified (n = 12, 6%). Income mode was \$51,000–\$75,000 and median was \$76,000– 100,000. Approximately half of the participants reported a period of unemployment at some time in their adult life (n = 88, 44.2%). To obtain a subjective measure of social class, participants were asked to respond to the question, "How would you describe your current social class?" using one of three responses: working class (n = 101, 50.2%), middle-class (n = 101, 50.2%)96, 47.8%), or upper class (n = 4, 2.0%). To obtain a subjective measure of job prestige, participants were asked the question, "When you think about the work you do compared to others, do you believe your job is a: Low prestige job, Medium prestige job, or High prestige job?" Respondents indicated the prestige of their current occupations as Low (n = 45, 22.4%),

Medium (n = 118, 58.7%), or High (n = 38, 18.9%). The sample included participants with a Non-English-speaking background (n = 24, 11.9%).

The sample of participants was recruited by way of invitations distributed through the researchers' social media accounts. The research was approved by the Human Ethics Research Committee of the University of Southern Queensland.

Measures

Decent work. The 15-item Decent Work Scale (DWS; Duffy et al., 2017) used a 7point Likert scale ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 7 (strongly agree) for its five subscales. The DWS's subscales had acceptable internal consistencies (shown in parentheses) and included the following example items: Safe Conditions ($\alpha = .74$), "I feel physically safe interacting with people at work"; Access to Health Care ($\alpha = .78$) included "I get good healthcare benefits from my country, which reflects amendments to the original wording, "I get good healthcare benefits from my employer"; Adequate Compensation ($\alpha = .85$), "I am rewarded adequately for my work"; Free Time and Rest ($\alpha = .88$), "I have free time during the work week; and, Complementary Values ($\alpha = .93$), "The values of my organisation align with my family values".

Job satisfaction. Participants' job satisfaction was measured by the five-item Job Satisfaction Scale (JSS; Judge, Locke, Durham, & Kluger, 1998) using a 7-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 7 (strongly agree) for its items (e.g., "I feel fairly well satisfied with my present job"). This measure of job satisfaction has been used in prior Australian research involving broad samples of workers in different occupations (von Hippel, Kalokerinos, Haanterä, & Zacher, 2019; Zacher & Yang, 2016). The measure had acceptable internal consistency in the present data ($\alpha = .88$).

Work engagement. Participants' engagement in their work was measured by the nine-item Utrecht Work Engagement Scale (UWES; Schaufeli et al., 2006) using a 7-point Author version: McIlveen, P., Hoare, P. N., Perera, H. N., Kossen, C., Mason, L., Munday, S., Alchin, C.,

Creed, A., McDonald, N. (2020). Decent work's association with job satisfaction, work engagement, and withdrawal intentions in Australian working adults. Journal of Career Assessment.

https://doi.org/10.1177/1069072720922959

Likert scale ranging from 1 (*strongly disagree*) to 7 (*strongly agree*). The UWES subscales had acceptable internal consistencies (shown in parentheses) and included the following example items: Vigor ($\alpha = .83$), "At my work, I feel bursting with energy"; Dedication ($\alpha = .87$), "I am enthusiastic about my job; and, Absorption ($\alpha = .80$), "I feel happy when I am working intensely". The UWES has been used in prior Australian research involving different industries and occupations (e.g., Albrecht, Breidahl, & Marty, 2018; Dollard & Bailey, 2014; Rattrie et al., 2020).

Withdrawal intentions. Participants' dissatisfaction with their work was assessed by a three-item measure of their intentions to withdraw from their present line of work (Blau, 1985), using a 5-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (*strongly disagree*) to 5 (*strongly agree*) for its items (e.g., "I am thinking about leaving my current job). This measure of intent to withdraw from work has been used in Australian research (e.g., Holland, Tham, Sheehan, & Cooper, 2019). The measure had acceptable internal consistency in the present data ($\alpha = .86$).

Plan for Data Analysis

The first aim of the present study was to assess the DWS's factor structure. SPSS v.25 and AMOS v.25 were used for data analysis. The DWS data were assessed by Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA) using a maximum likelihood estimator. Indices of model fit were set at χ^2 < .05, RMSEA < .08, CFI \geq .95, and TLI \geq .95 (Meyers, Gamst, & Guarine, 2013). Multiple regression was used for the second aim of testing the DWS' hypothesized relations with job satisfaction, work engagement, and withdrawal intentions.

Results

Table 1 presents the measures' respective descriptive statistics, internal consistency coefficients, and correlations with one another. There were no missing data or outliers in the scores. All absolute values of skewness and kurtosis values were less than |1| for the DWS subscales and criterion variables of Vigor, Dedication, Absorption, and Withdrawal

Intentions. Job Satisfaction had a moderate negative skew and positive kurtosis; however, their distributions were acceptable and not subjected to transformations.

DWS Factor Structure

We note that previous research found differences in which model best fit: correlated factors, higher order, or bifactor models. We tested all three. For the correlated factors model, each manifest indicator was regressed onto its hypothesized factor and each factor was correlated. For the higher-order model, the manifest indicator was regressed onto its hypothesized factor and, in turn, these factors were regressed onto a higher order factor. For the bifactor model, manifest indicators were regressed onto their respective hypothesized factor and, simultaneously, on a general factor, and the correlations among factors were fixed to zero, as orthogonally-related to one another.

The correlated factors model produced a good fit: $\chi^2(80) = 124.98$, p = .00, TLI = .96, CFI = .97, RMSEA = .05, 95% CI [.03, .07]. The higher-order model also produced a good fit: $\chi^2(85) = 140.32$, p = .00, TLI = .96., CFI = .97, RMSEA = .06, 95% CI [.04, .07]. The bifactor model produced an excellent fit: $\chi^2(75) = 93.66$, p = .07, TLI = .98, CFI = .99, RMSEA = .04, 95% CI [.00, .06]. All of the models had excellent fit; however, the non-significant χ^2 test result for the bifactor model was suggestive of its superiority over the correlated factor and higher-order factor models. The difference between the correlated factors model and the bifactor model was significant: $\Delta\chi^2(5) = 31.32$, p < .01; similarly, the difference between the higher order model and bifactor model was significant: $\Delta\chi^2(10) = 46.66$, p < .01. Thus, with respect to Hypothesis 1, no model was rejected.

Decent Work and Career-Related Variables

The significant correlation coefficients shown in Table 1 demonstrate the relations among the DWS total score, its subscales, and the criterion variables. Multiple regression analyses, with the DSW subscales simultaneously entered as predictors, revealed significant

models for the criterion variables of Job Satisfaction [R = .44, R^2 = .17, F(5,195) = .92, p = .00], Vigor [R = .41, R^2 = .14, F(5,195) = .7.71, p = .00], Dedication [R = .41, R^2 = .15, F(5,195) = .7.99, p = .00], Absorption [R = .39, R^2 = .13, F(5,195) = 6.86, p = .00], and Withdrawal Intentions [R = .40, R^2 = .14, F(5,195) = 7.39, p = .00]. Coefficients presented in Table 2 reveal Values Congruence to be a consistent predictor of all criterion variables. In addition, Job Satisfaction was predicted by Access to Health Care and Adequate Compensation, Vigor by Safe Conditions, Dedication by Time and Rest, Absorption by Time and Rest, and Withdrawal Intentions by Safe Conditions.

Decent Work, Income, Social Class, and Prestige

The ten categories of gross annual income were correlated with the elements of decent work. Only Time and Rest correlated with Income ($\rho = -.155$, p = .03). We tested for the effects of gross income, social class, and prestige. First, for the sake of interpretation, the 10 gross income categories were recoded into three, lower, middle, and upper: < \$25,000, \$26,000-\$50,000, and \$51,000-\$75,000 as the Lower incomes including and below modal income; \$76,000-\$100,000, and \$101,000-\$125,000 as the Middle income including the median; and \$101,000-\$125,000, \$126,000-\$150,000, \$151,000-\$175,000, \$176,000-\$200,000, and > \$200,000 as the Upper level incomes. Second, only the Working Class and Middle Class subgroups were used, because there were only four cases in the Upper Class category. A three-way MANOVA was used to assess the DWS subscales as dependent variables across the three independent variables: three Income groups, two Social Class groups, and three Prestige levels. A non-significant Box's M = 218.608, p = .130 indicated equivalence of variance across cells. There were no significant main effects for Income [Pillai's trace = .069, F(10,352) = 1.249, p = .259], Social Class [Pillai's trace = .027, F(5,175) = .959, p = .445], and Prestige [Pillai's trace = .065, F(10,352) = 1.181, p = .303], and no interaction effects for income and social class [Pillai's trace = .034, F(10,352) = .607,

p = .808], Income and Prestige [Pillai's trace = .094, F(20,712) = .855, p = .646], Social Class and Prestige [Pillai's trace = .039, F(10,352) = .708 p = .717], and for Income, Social Class, and Prestige [Pillai's trace = .091, F(20,712) = .827 p = .682]. In summary, there were no differences in decent work scores across categories of Income, Social Class, and Prestige.

Discussion

This study provides the first evidence of the measurement properties of DWS in an Australian context. This Australian version of the DWS, which uses items that reflect Australia's universal health care system, demonstrated good fit as a correlated factors model, higher-order model, and a bifactor model; however, the bifactor model was superior in the present dataset. Further evidence of validity is found in the DWS's correlations with measures of job satisfaction, work engagement, and withdrawal intentions. All of the career-related variables were predicted by at least one of the DWS subscales. Values Congruence is particularly interesting, because it predicted all of the career-related variables. As a whole, the present study affirms the DWS's utility in an Australian context.

Job satisfaction is a frequently studied indicator of workers' experiences (Judge et al., 2017). As was the case in other nations' DWS validations studies (Duffy, Blustein, et al., 2019), not all of the DWS subscales predicted job satisfaction. In the present dataset, job satisfaction correlated with all DWS subscales, except Time and Rest; however, only Access to Health Care and Values Congruence emerged as the unique predictors in the regression model. Research shows that job satisfaction has a relatively small association with pay satisfaction. Consistent with meta-analytic research (Judge et al., 2010), a small correlation was found for Adequate Compensation and Job Satisfaction. The regression model verged on statistical significance (p = .05, 95% CI .00, .33). With a larger sample, it is likely that the small effect would be statistically significant. On the basis the international DWS validation studies and previous Australian research (e.g., Dollard & Bailey, 2014), we expected a

predictive relationship between Safe Conditions and Job Satisfaction. Whilst the two variables were significantly correlated, Safe Conditions was not a significant unique predictor of Job Satisfaction in the regression model.

Work engagement is an indicator of workers' perceptions of the qualities of their work and it is affected by the resources of a job and a workplace (Crawford et al., 2010; Lesener et al., 2019; Rattrie et al., 2020). Following PWT, we expected the DWS subscales to predict work engagement and found that Vigor was predicted by Safe Conditions and Values Congruence, Dedication was predicted by Time and Rest and Values Congruence, and Absorption was predicted by Time and Rest and Values Congruence. Safe Conditions' prediction of Vigor converges with Australian research (e.g., Dollard & Bailey, 2014). These findings lend support to the ideas of using the UWES in other research based on the PWT when alternative measures of the potential positive outcomes of decent work are required.

Withdrawal Intentions' prediction by Safe Conditions is consistent with Australian research (Dollard & Bailey, 2014). We also note that there is evidence that job characteristics and recovery are predictors of workers' fatigue and vigor (Bennett, Bakker, & Field, 2018); however, Time and Rest did not predict Withdrawal Intentions. Consistent with Australian research, Safe Conditions significantly predicted Intention to Withdraw. Withdrawal Intention's negative correlations with Job Satisfaction, Vigor, Dedication, and Absorption should be cautiously interpreted, because an intent to quit may not necessarily be the result of disgruntlement with a particular job. Perhaps the wording of the withdrawal intentions scale items, "I am thinking about leaving my current occupation" and "I am actively searching for an alternative to my occupation" should be considered: These self-referent beliefs may be expressed by workers whose current employment is quite satisfactory, but who are, nonetheless, planning to take another job elsewhere for ostensibly positive reasons associated with their career development (e.g., promotion or family relocation).

A notable finding of the present study is that Values Congruence predicted all of the outcome variables. This finding is broadly consistent with those of other nations' DWS validations studies (Duffy, Blustein, et al., 2019) and Australian research into values, organizational commitment (Abbott et al., 2005; Howell et al., 2012; Rosete, 2006), and job satisfaction and intention to stay (Newton & Mazur, 2016). An important methodological point should be made. The wording of the Values Congruence items refers to family and community; accordingly, interpretations of the Values Congruence subscale should be restrained to family and community and not connotatively conflated with other work-related values, such as achievement, status, altruism, comfort, safety (cf. Rounds & Jin, 2013). Recent meta-analytic research affirms a predictive relation between workplace social support and work-to-family conflict and family-to-work conflict (French, Dumani, Allen, & Shockley, 2018). Thus, with respect to the present findings, as sense of congruence may reflect workers' appraisals of their workplaces' supportiveness for family and community life, which has positive effects on job satisfaction (Lapierre et al., 2008; Thompson et al., 2006) and work engagement (Matthews, Mills, Trout, & English, 2014).

The PWT (Duffy et al., 2016) associates job prestige with economic constraints, which are "...defined by limited economic resources (e.g., household income, family wealth) which represent a critical barrier to securing decent work" (p. 133). Several DWS validation studies found evidence for measurement invariance across levels of income and social class (e.g., Dodd et al., 2019; Duffy et al., 2017; Ferreira et al., 2019). We had insufficient numbers of participants to test for invariance, so we tested for mean differences across levels of annual income and prestige and found no significant differences for the DWS subscales. Thus, we conclude that the participants' perceptions of decent work are independent of the objective indicator of income and the subjective indicators of their social class and perceived prestige of their jobs.

Limitations and Future Research

Earlier research into the validation of the DWS (Buyukgoze-Kavas & Autin, 2019; Di Fabio & Kenny, 2019; Dodd et al., 2019; Duffy et al., 2017; Ferreira et al., 2019; Masdonati et al., 2019) used samples with greater number of participants than the sample used in the present study. Their larger sample sizes afforded testing for invariance across demographic variables, such as gender and income. Whilst the present study's sample size was sufficient for establishing the factor structure of the DWS, it was not sufficient for invariance testing. Although multiple analysis of variance did not reveal main and interaction effects for income and prestige, future research using larger and more demographically-diverse Australian samples should settle the question.

The PWT (Duffy et al., 2016) posits economic constraints, marginalization, career adaptability, and volition as predictors of decent work, and economic constraints, social support, critical conscientiousness and proactive personality as moderators of those predictive relations, but PWT does not specify the potential role of negative psychological states or traits as either predictors or moderators. Given the evidence that quality employment positively affects mental health and that poor quality work predicts poorer mental health (Butterworth et al., 2011), and that mental illness negatively affects chances of employment (Frijters, Johnston, & Shields, 2014; Olesen, Butterworth, Leach, Kelaher, & Pirkis, 2013), there is reason to investigate whether mental illness is an antecedent of the experience of decent work and vice versa. Thus, future research should explore the predictive relations between mental illness and the DWS and, moreover, use longitudinal designs to determine the direction of effects, and the potential for a reciprocal effect.

Conclusion

The present research confirms the measurement properties of the DWS in an Australian sample and thereby adds to the international utility of the DWS for research into

the role of decent work in people's lives. The findings of this research are evidence of decent work's positive associations with job satisfaction and work engagement, and negative association with withdrawal intentions. These findings are mostly consistent with tenets of the PWT, which holds decent work as a source of need satisfaction, work fulfilment, and well-being.

References

- Abbott, G. N., White, F. A., & Charles, M. A. (2005). Linking values and organizational commitment: A correlational and experimental investigation in two organizations.

 *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology, 78(4), 531-551.

 doi:10.1348/096317905x26174
- Albrecht, S., Breidahl, E., & Marty, A. (2018). Organizational resources, organizational engagement climate, and employee engagement. *Career Development International*, 23(1), 67-85. doi: 10.1108/CDI-04-2017-0064
- Athanasou, J. A. (2010). Decent work and its implications for careers. *Australian Journal of Career Development*, 19(1), 36-44. Retrieved from http://ezproxy.usq.edu.au/login?url=http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=tru
 e&db=ehh&AN=51596584&site=ehost-live
- Australian Bureau of Statistics. (2019). ABS Stats. Retrieved from https://apps.apple.com/au/app/abs-stats/id521958758
- Australian Government. (2009). Fair Work Act 2009. Canberra, Australia: Australian Government.
- Australian Government. (2019). Fair Work Ombudsman,. Retrieved from https://www.fairwork.gov.au/
- Autin, K. L., Duffy, R. D., Blustein, D. L., Gensmer, N. P., Douglass, R. P., England, J. W., & Allan, B. A. (2019). The development and initial validation of need satisfaction scales within the psychology of working theory. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 66(2), 195-209. doi:10.1037/cou0000323
- 10.1037/cou0000323.supp (Supplemental)

- Bailey, T. C., & Dollard, M. F. (2019). *Mental health at work and the corporate climate: Implications for worker health and productivity*. Adelaide, Australia: Asia Pacific Centre for Work Health & Safety.
- Bakker, A. B. (2011). An evidence-based model of work engagement. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 20(4), 265-269. doi:10.1177/0963721411414534
- Bakker, A. B., & Demerouti, E. (2008). Towards a model of work engagement. *Career Development International*, 13(3), 209-223. doi:10.1108/13620430810870476
- Bakker, A. B., & Demerouti, E. (2017). Job demands—resources theory: Taking stock and looking forward. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 22(3), 273-285. doi:10.1037/ocp0000056
- Bennett, A. A., Bakker, A. B., & Field, J. G. (2018). Recovery from work-related effort: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 39(3), 262-275. doi:10.1002/job.2217
- Blau, G. J. (1985). The measurement and prediction of career commitment. *Journal of Occupational Psychology*, 58(4), 277-288. doi:10.1111/j.2044-8325.1985.tb00201.x
- Blustein, D. L., Olle, C., Connors-Kellgren, A., & Diamonti, A. J. (2016). Decent work: A psychological perspective. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 7. doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2016.00407
- Butterworth, P., Leach, L. S., McManus, S., & Stansfeld, S. A. (2013). Common mental disorders, unemployment and psychosocial job quality: Is a poor job better than no job at all? *Psychological Medicine*, *43*(08), 1763-1772. doi:10.1017/S0033291712002577
- Butterworth, P., Leach, L. S., Strazdins, L., Olesen, S. C., Rodgers, B., & Broom, D. H. (2011). The psychosocial quality of work determines whether employment has benefits for mental health: results from a longitudinal national household panel survey. *Occupational and Environmental Medicine*. doi:10.1136/oem.2010.059030

- Buyukgoze-Kavas, A., & Autin, K. L. (2019). Decent work in Turkey: Context, conceptualization, and assessment. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, *112*, 64-76. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvb.2019.01.006
- Cassells, R. (2017). *Happy workers: How satisfied are Australian workers?* Perth, Australia: Curtin Business School.
- Crawford, E. R., LePine, J. A., & Rich, B. L. (2010). Linking job demands and resources to employee engagement and burnout: A theoretical extension and meta-analytic test.

 *Journal of Applied Psychology, 95(5), 834-848. doi:10.1037/a0019364
- De Witte, H., Pienaar, J., & De Cuyper, N. (2016). Review of 30 years of longitudinal studies on the association between job insecurity and health and well-being: Is there causal evidence? *Australian Psychologist*, *51*(1), 18-31. doi:10.1111/ap.12176
- Di Fabio, A., & Kenny, M. E. (2019). Decent work in Italy: Context, conceptualization, and assessment. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 110, 131-143. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvb.2018.10.014
- Dodd, V., Hooley, T., & Burke, C. (2019). Decent work in the UK: Context, conceptualization, and assessment. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 112, 270-281. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvb.2019.04.002
- Dollard, M. F., & Bailey, T. S. (Eds.). (2014). *The Australian workplace barometer*. Samford Valley, Australia: Australian Academic Press.
- Douglass, R. P., Velez, B. L., Conlin, S. E., Duffy, R. D., & England, J. W. (2017).

 Examining the psychology of working theory: Decent work among sexual minorities.

 Journal of Counseling Psychology, 64(5), 550-559. doi:10.1037/cou0000212
- Duffy, R. D., Allan, B. A., England, J. W., Blustein, D. L., Autin, K. L., Douglass, R. P., . . . Santos, E. J. R. (2017). The development and initial validation of the Decent Work Scale. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*. doi:10.1037/cou0000191

- Duffy, R. D., Blustein, D. L., Allan, B. A., Diemer, M. M., & Cinamon, R. G. (2019).
 Introduction to the special issue: A cross-cultural exploration of decent work. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 103351. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvb.2019.103351
- Duffy, R. D., Blustein, D. L., Diemer, M. A., & Autin, K. L. (2016). The psychology of working theory. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 63(2), 127-148. doi:10.1037/cou0000140
- Duffy, R. D., Kim, H. J., Gensmer, N. P., Raque-Bogdan, T. L., Douglass, R. P., England, J. W., & Buyukgoze-Kavas, A. (2019). Linking decent work with physical and mental health: A psychology of working perspective. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 112, 384-395. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvb.2019.05.002
- Duffy, R. D., Velez, B. L., England, J. W., Autin, K. L., Douglass, R. P., Allan, B. A., & Blustein, D. L. (2018). An examination of the Psychology of Working Theory with racially and ethnically diverse employed adults. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 65(3), 280-293. doi:10.1037/cou0000247
- Fabian, M., & Breunig, R. (2019). Long work hours and job satisfaction: Do overworkers get trapped in bad jobs? *Social Science Quarterly*, 100(5), 1932-1956. doi:10.1111/ssqu.12648
- Ferreira, J. A., Haase, R. F., Santos, E. R., Rabaça, J. A., Figueiredo, L., Hemami, H. G., & Almeida, L. M. (2019). Decent work in Portugal: Context, conceptualization, and assessment. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 112, 77-91. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvb.2019.01.009
- Fiori, M., Bollmann, G., & Rossier, J. (2015). Exploring the path through which career adaptability increases job satisfaction and lowers job stress: The role of affect.

 Journal of Vocational Behavior, 91, 113-121.

 doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jvb.2015.08.010

- French, K. A., Dumani, S., Allen, T. D., & Shockley, K. M. (2018). A meta-analysis of work-family conflict and social support. *Psychological Bulletin*, *144*(3), 284-314. doi:10.1037/bul0000120
- Frijters, P., Johnston, D. W., & Shields, M. A. (2014). The effect of mental health on employment: Evidence from Australian panel data. *Health Economics*, 23(9), 1058-1071. doi:10.1002/hec.3083
- Gilfillan, G. (2019). The extent and causes of the wage growth slowdown in Australia.

 Retrieved from
 - https://www.aph.gov.au/About_Parliament/Parliamentary_Departments/Parliamentary_Library/pubs/rp/rp1819/WageSlowdown#_Toc5694028
- Haar, J. M., Russo, M., Suñe, A., & Ollier-Malaterre, A. (2014). Outcomes of work–life balance on job satisfaction, life satisfaction and mental health: A study across seven cultures. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 85(3), 361-373. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jvb.2014.08.010
- Hicklenton, C., Hine, D. W., & Loi, N. M. (2019). Does green-person-organization fit predict intrinsic need satisfaction and workplace engagement? *Frontiers in Psychology*, 10(2285). doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2019.02285
- Hofmans, J., De Gieter, S., & Pepermans, R. (2013). Individual differences in the relationship between satisfaction with job rewards and job satisfaction. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 82(1), 1-9. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvb.2012.06.007
- Holland, P., Tham, T. L., Sheehan, C., & Cooper, B. (2019). The impact of perceived workload on nurse satisfaction with work-life balance and intention to leave the occupation. *Applied Nursing Research*, 49, 70-76.
 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apnr.2019.06.001

- Howell, A., Kirk-Brown, A., & Cooper, B. K. (2012). Does congruence between espoused and enacted organizational values predict affective commitment in Australian organizations? *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 23(4), 731-747. doi:10.1080/09585192.2011.561251
- International Labour Office. (2015). Decent work. Retrieved from http://www.ilo.org/global/topics/decent-work/lang--en/index.htm
- International Labour Office. (2017). Decent work and the 2030 agenda for sustainable development. Geneva, Switzerland: ILO.
- Judge, T. A., & Bono, J. E. (2001). Relationship of core self-evaluations traits—self-esteem, generalized self-efficacy, locus of control, and emotional stability—with job satisfaction and job performance: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 86(1), 80-92. doi:10.1037/0021-9010.86.1.80
- Judge, T. A., Locke, E. A., Durham, C. C., & Kluger, A. N. (1998). Dispositional effects on job and life satisfaction: The role of core evaluations. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 83(1), 17-34. doi:10.1037/0021-9010.83.1.17
- Judge, T. A., Piccolo, R. F., Podsakoff, N. P., Shaw, J. C., & Rich, B. L. (2010). The relationship between pay and job satisfaction: A meta-analysis of the literature.
 Journal of Vocational Behavior, 77(2), 157-167.
 doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jvb.2010.04.002
- Judge, T. A., Weiss, H. M., Kammeyer-Mueller, J. D., & Hulin, C. L. (2017). Job attitudes, job satisfaction, and job affect: A century of continuity and of change. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 102(3), 356-374. doi:10.1037/apl0000181
 10.1037/apl0000181.supp (Supplemental)

- Keller, A. C., & Semmer, N. K. (2013). Changes in situational and dispositional factors as predictors of job satisfaction. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 83(1), 88-98. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvb.2013.03.004
- Kifle, T. (2014). Do comparison wages play a major role in determining overall job satisfaction? Evidence from Australia. *Journal of Happiness Studies*, 15(3), 613-638. doi:10.1007/s10902-013-9439-6
- Kifle, T., Kler, P., & Shankar, S. (2019). The underemployment-job satisfaction nexus: A study of part-time employment in australia. *Social Indicators Research*, *143*(1), 233-249. doi:10.1007/s11205-018-1976-2
- Lapierre, L. M., Spector, P. E., Allen, T. D., Poelmans, S., Cooper, C. L., O'Driscoll, M. P., .

 . . Kinnunen, U. (2008). Family-supportive organization perceptions, multiple
 dimensions of work-family conflict, and employee satisfaction: A test of model across
 five samples. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 73(1), 92-106. Retrieved from
 http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/B6WMN-4RVG3NK-1/2/f9994345c19e52bffb3ddc5f12ba59f9
- Lesener, T., Gusy, B., & Wolter, C. (2019). The job demands-resources model: A meta-analytic review of longitudinal studies. *Work & Stress*, 33(1), 76-103. doi:10.1080/02678373.2018.1529065
- Maggiori, C., Johnston, C. S., & Rossier, J. (2016). Contribution of personality, job strain, and occupational self-efficacy to job satisfaction in different occupational contexts. *Journal of Career Development*, 43(3), 244-259. doi:10.1177/0894845315597474
- Masdonati, J., Schreiber, M., Marcionetti, J., & Rossier, J. (2019). Decent work in Switzerland: Context, conceptualization, and assessment. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 110, 12-27. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvb.2018.11.004

- Matthews, R. A., Mills, M. J., Trout, R. C., & English, L. (2014). Family-supportive supervisor behaviors, work engagement, and subjective well-being: A contextually dependent mediated process. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 19(2), 168-181. doi:10.1037/a0036012
- Meyers, L. S., Gamst, G., & Guarine, A. J. (2013). *Applied multivariate research: Design and interpretation* (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE Publications.
- Nam, J. S., & Kim, S. Y. (2019). Decent work in South Korea: Context, conceptualization, and assessment. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 115, 103309. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvb.2019.05.006
- Newton, C. J., & Mazur, A. K. (2016). Value congruence and job-related attitudes in a nonprofit organization: a competing values approach. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 27(10), 1013-1033. doi:10.1080/09585192.2015.1053962
- Olesen, S. C., Butterworth, P., Leach, L. S., Kelaher, M., & Pirkis, J. (2013). Mental health affects future employment as job loss affects mental health: findings from a longitudinal population study. *BMC psychiatry*, *13*(1), 144. doi:10.1186/1471-244X-13-144
- Paul, K. I., & Moser, K. (2009). Unemployment impairs mental health: Meta-analyses.

 Journal of Vocational Behavior, 74(3), 264-282.

 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvb.2009.01.001
- Rattrie, L. T. B., Kittler, M. G., & Paul, K. I. (2020). Culture, burnout, and engagement: A meta-analysis on national cultural values as moderators in JD-R theory. *Applied Psychology: An International Review*, 69(1), 176-220. doi:10.1111/apps.12209

- Ribeiro, M. A., Teixeira, M. A. P., & Ambiel, R. A. M. (2019). Decent work in Brazil:

 Context, conceptualization, and assessment. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 112,

 229-240. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvb.2019.03.006
- Rosete, D. (2006). The impact of organisational values and performance management congruency on satisfaction and commitment. *Asia Pacific Journal of Human Resources*, 44(1), 7-24. doi:10.1177/1038411106061508
- Rounds, J., & Jin, J. (2013). Nature, importance, and assessment of needs and values. In S. D. Brown & R. W. Lent (Eds.), *Career development and counseling putting theory and research to work* (2nd ed. ed., pp. 417-447). Hoboken, NJ: Wiley.
- Safe Work Australia. (2019). Safe Work Australia. In (Vol. 2019).
- Schaufeli, W. B., Bakker, A. B., & Salanova, M. (2006). The measurement of work engagement with a short questionnaire: A cross-national study. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 66(4), 701-716. doi:10.1177/0013164405282471
- Thompson, B. M., Brough, P. A., & Schmidt, H. (2006). Supervisor and subordinate work-family values: Does similarity make a difference? *International Journal of Stress Management*, 13(1), 45-63. doi:10.1037/1072-5245.13.1.45
- Tokar, D. M., & Kaut, K. P. (2018). Predictors of decent work among workers with Chiari malformation: An empirical test of the psychology of working theory. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 106, 126-137. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvb.2018.01.002
- Vignoli, E., Prudhomme, N., Terriot, K., Cohen-Scali, V., Arnoux-Nicolas, C., Bernaud, J.-L., & Lallemand, N. (2019). Decent work in France: Context, conceptualization, and assessment. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 103345.
 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvb.2019.103345

- von Hippel, C., Kalokerinos, E. K., Haanterä, K., & Zacher, H. (2019). Age-based stereotype threat and work outcomes: Stress appraisals and rumination as mediators. *Psychology and Aging*, *34*(1), 68-84. doi:10.1037/pag0000308
- 10.1037/pag0000308.supp (Supplemental)
- Zacher, H., & Yang, J. (2016). Organizational climate for successful aging. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 7(1007). doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2016.01007
- Zadow, A., Dollard, M. F., Parker, L., & Storey, K. (2019). Psychosocial Safety Climate: A review of the evidence. In M. F. Dollard, C. Dormann, & M. Awang Idris (Eds.), Psychosocial Safety Climate: A New Work Stress Theory (pp. 31-75). Cham: Springer International Publishing.

Table 1

DWS Items and Descriptive Statistics

Item	M	SD
Safe Conditions		
I feel emotionally safe interacting with people at work	5.63	1.49
At work, I feel safe from emotional or verbal abuse of any kind	5.10	1.86
I feel physically safe interacting with people at work	6.00	1.29
Access to Healthcare		
I get good healthcare benefits from my country/government ^{Aus}	5.17	1.72
My country/government provides acceptable options for healthcare Aus	5.29	1.59
My job allows me to have a good healthcare plan	4.24	1.80
Adequate Compensation		
I am not properly paid for my work ^r	4.00	2.07
I do not feel I am paid enough based on my qualifications and experience ^r	4.18	2.08
I am rewarded adequately for my work	4.31	1.86
Time and Rest		
I do not have enough time for non-work activities ^r	4.14	1.97
I have no time to rest during the work week ^r	3.87	1.93
I have free time during the work week	4.31	1.86
Values Congruence		
My organisation's values align with my family values	4.85	1.65
The values of my organisation match the values within my community	4.99	1.55
The values of my organisation match my family values	4.80	1.63

Note. Scale ranges from 1 = strongly disagree to 7 = strongly agree; Aus = item wording amended to suit the Australian healthcare system; r = score reversed

Table 2

Correlations of Decent Work Scale Total and Subscales, Job Satisfaction, Work Engagement Subscales, and Withdrawal, Descriptive Statistics, and Internal Consistency Coefficient Cronbach α in Parentheses

Variable	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1. Decent Work Total	(.85)										
2. Safe Conditions	.65	(.74)									
3. Access to Health Care	.64	.34	(.78)								
4. Adequate Compensation	.70	.32	.36	(.85)							
5. Time and Rest	.63	.19	.21	.30	(.88)						
6. Values Congruence	.64	.42	.23	.20	.26	(.93)					
7. Job Satisfaction	.39	.31	.29	.27	.11*	.33	(.88)				
8. Vigor	.36	.32	.21	.22	.13*	.33	.65	(.83)			
9. Dedication	.26	.25	.18	.12*	02*	.36	.69	.70	(.87)		
10. Absorption	.19	.22	.16	.09*	10*	.30	.48	.65	.74	(.80)	
11. Withdrawal Intentions	33	34	22	17	09*	32	58	49	50	30	(.86)
M	70.52	16.74	14.71	12.13	12.30	14.64	27.13	4.54	5.20	4.94	2.34
SD	15.03	3.80	4.26	5.28	5.17	4.51	6.16	1.06	1.11	1.13	1.25
Skewness	27	88	66	.01	09	36	-1.29	20	57	56	.47
Kurtosis	.21	.14	08	95	93	54	1.49	.49	.78	.78	98

Note. All correlations are significant p < .05 except for those marked with * are not significant, p > .05

Table 3

Multiple Regression Coefficients for Decent Work Subscales Predicting Job Satisfaction,

Work Engagement, and Withdrawal Intentions

	В	SE	β	t	p	95% CI
Job Satisfaction (R = .44, R^2 = .17)						
Safe Conditions	.19	.12	.12	1.60	.11	05, .43
Access to Health Care	.22	.10	.15	2.16	.03	.02, .43
Adequate Compensation	.17	.08	.14	1.97	.05	.00, .33
Time and Rest	06	.08	05	73	.46	22, .10
Values Congruence	.31	.10	.23	3.15	.00	.12, .51
Vigor (R = $.41$, $R^2 = .14$)						
Safe Conditions	.05	.02	.18	2.33	.02	.01, .09
Access to Health Care	.02	.02	.06	.88	.38	02, .05
Adequate Compensation	.02	.02	.09	1.26	.21	01, .05
Time and Rest	.00	.01	.00	03	.98	03, .03
Values Congruence	.05	.02	.22	3.02	.00	.02, .09
Dedication (R = $.41, R^2 = .15$)						
Safe Conditions	.03	.02	.10	1.30	.20	02, .07
Access to Health Care	.02	.02	.09	1.23	.22	01, .06
Adequate Compensation	.01	.02	.04	.52	.61	02, .04
Time and Rest	03	.02	16	-2.22	.03	06, .00
Values Congruence	.08	.02	.33	4.52	.00	.05, .12
Absorption (R = $.39$, $R^2 = .13$)						
Safe Conditions	.03	.02	.10	1.28	.20	02, .07
Access to Health Care	.03	.02	.10	1.32	.19	01, .06
Adequate Compensation	.01	.02	.04	.48	.63	02, .04
Time and Rest	05	.02	22	-3.14	.00	08,02
Values Congruence	.07	.02	.29	3.85	.00	.04, .11
Withdrawal Intentions (R = $.40$, $R^2 = .14$)						
Safe Conditions	07	.03	21	-2.76	.01	12,02
Access to Health Care	03	.02	10	-1.30	.20	07, .01
Adequate Compensation	01	.02	04	47	.64	04, .03
Time and Rest	.01	.02	.03	.43	.67	03, .04
Values Congruence	06	.02	20	-2.75	.01	10,02