# Metadata of the chapter that will be visualized in SpringerLink

Book Title	Information Systems Design and Intelligent Applications		
Series Title			
Chapter Title	Fuzzy Equivalence on Standard and Rough Neutrosophic Sets and Applications to Clustering Analysis		
Copyright Year	2018		
Copyright HolderName	Springer Nature Singapore Pte Ltd.		
Corresponding Author	Family Name Thao		
	Particle		
	Given Name	Nguyen Xuan	
	Prefix		
	Suffix		
	Division		
	Organization	Vietnam National University of Agriculture	
	Address	Hanoi, Vietnam	
	Email	nxthao@vnua.edu.vn	
Author	Family Name	Son	
	Particle		
	Given Name	Le Hoang	
	Prefix		
	Suffix		
	Division		
	Organization	VNU University of Science, Vietnam National University	
	Address	Hanoi, Vietnam	
	Email	sonlh@vnu.edu.vn	
Author	Family Name	Cuong	
	Particle		
	Given Name	Bui Cong	
	Prefix		
	Suffix		
	Division		
	Organization	Institute of Mathematics	
	Address	Hanoi, Vietnam	
	Email	bccuong@math.ac.vn	
Author	Family Name	Ali	
	Particle		
	Given Name	Mumtaz	
	Prefix		
	Suffix		
	Division		
	Organization	University of Southern Queensland, Springfield Campus	
	Address	4300, Queensland, Australia	

	Email	Mumtaz.Ali@usq.edu.au	
Author	Family Name	Lan	
	Particle		
	Given Name	Luong Hong	
	Prefix		
	Suffix		
	Division		
	Organization	Thai Nguyen University of Education	
	Address	Thai Nguyen, Vietnam	
	Email	lanlhbk@gmail.com	
Abstract	In this paper, we propose the concept of fuzzy equivalence on standard neutrosophic sets and rough standard neutrosophic sets. We also provide some formulas for fuzzy equivalence on standard neutrosophic sets and rough standard neutrosophic sets. We also apply these formulas for cluster analysis. Numerical examples are illustrated.		
Keywords (separated by '-')	Fuzzy equivalence - Neutrosophic set - Rough set - Rough neutrosophic set - Fuzzy clustering		

## Fuzzy Equivalence on Standard and Rough Neutrosophic Sets and Applications to Clustering Analysis

Nguyen Xuan Thao<sup>1(⊠)</sup>, Le Hoang Son<sup>2</sup>, Bui Cong Cuong<sup>3</sup>, Mumtaz Ali<sup>4</sup>, and Luong Hong Lan<sup>5</sup>

 <sup>1</sup> Vietnam National University of Agriculture, Hanoi, Vietnam nxthao@vnua.edu.vn
 <sup>2</sup> VNU University of Science, Vietnam National University, Hanoi, Vietnam sonlh@vnu.edu.vn
 <sup>3</sup> Institute of Mathematics, Hanoi, Vietnam bccuong@math.ac.vn
 <sup>4</sup> University of Southern Queensland, Springfield Campus, 4300 Queensland, Australia Mumtaz.Ali@usq.edu.au
 <sup>5</sup> Thai Nguyen University of Education, Thai Nguyen, Vietnam

lanlhbk@gmail.com

**Abstract.** In this paper, we propose the concept of fuzzy equivalence on standard neutrosophic sets and rough standard neutrosophic sets. We also provide some formulas for fuzzy equivalence on standard neutrosophic sets and rough standard neutrosophic sets. We also apply these formulas for cluster analysis. Numerical examples are illustrated.

A01

Keywords: Fuzzy equivalence · Neutrosophic set · Rough set · Rough neutrosophic set · Fuzzy clustering

## 1 Introduction

In 1998, Smarandache introduced neutrosophic set [1]; NS is the generalization of fuzzy set [2] and intuitionistic fuzzy set [3]. Over time, the subclass of the neutrosophic set [4–6] was proposed to capture more advantages in practical applications. In 2014, Bui Cong Cuong introduced the concept of the picture fuzzy set [7]. After that, Son gave the applications of the picture fuzzy set in clustering problems in [8–19]. It is to be noted that the picture fuzzy set was regarded as a standard neutrosophic set.

Rough set theory [20] is a useful mathematical tool for data mining, especially for redundant and uncertain data [21]. On the first time, rough set is established on equivalence relation. The set of equivalence classes of the universal set, obtained by an equivalence relation, is the basis for the construction of upper and lower approximation of the subset of the universal set. Recently, rough set has been developed into the fuzzy environment and obtained several interesting results [22, 23].

<sup>©</sup> Springer Nature Singapore Pte Ltd. 2018

V. Bhateja et al. (eds.), Information Systems Design and Intelligent

Applications, Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing 672,

https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-7512-4\_82

AQ2

It has been realized that the combination of the neutrosophic set and rough set achieved more uncertainty in the analysis of sophisticated events in real applications [24–26]. Bui Cong Cuong et al. [27] firstly introduced some results of the standard neutrosophic soft theory. Later, Nguyen Xuan Thao et al. [28, 29] proposed the rough picture fuzzy set and the rough standard neutrosophic set which are the results of approximation of the picture fuzzy set and standard neutrosophic set, respectively, with respect to a crisp approximation space. However, the fuzzy equivalence, a basic component in the standard neutrosophic set for the approximation and inference processes, has not been defined yet.

In this paper, we introduce the concept of fuzzy equivalence for the standard neutrosophic set and the rough standard neutrosophic set. Some examples of the fuzzy equivalence for those sets and application on clustering analysis are also given. The rest of the paper is organized as follows: The rough standard neutrosophic set and fuzzy equivalence are recalled in Sect. 3. Sections 3 and 4 propose the concept of fuzzy equivalence for two standard neutrosophic sets. In Sect. 5, we give an application of clustering and Sect. 6 draws the conclusion.

### 2 Preliminary

**Definition 1** [27]. Let *U* be a universal set. A standard neutrosophic set (SNS) A on the U is  $A = \{(u, \mu_A(u), \eta_A(u), \gamma_A(u)) | u \in U\}$ , where  $\mu_A(u)$  is called the "degree of positive membership of *u* in A,"  $\eta_A(u)$  is called the "degree of indeterminate/neutral membership of *u* in A," and  $\gamma_A(u)\gamma_A(u)$  is called the "degree of negative membership of *u* in A," where  $\mu_A(u), \eta_A(u) \gamma_A(u) \in [0, 1]$  satisfy the following condition:

$$0 \le \mu_A(u) + \eta_A(u) + \gamma_A(u) \le 1, \forall u \in U.$$

The family of all standard neutrosophic sets in U is denoted by SNS(U).

**Definition 2** [28, 29]. For a given  $A \in SNS(U)$ , the mappings AQ3  $\overline{RP}, \underline{RP} : SNS(U) \rightarrow SNS(U)$ , in which

$$\overline{RP}(A) = \{ \left( u, \mu_{\overline{RP}(A)}(u), \eta_{\overline{RP}(A)}(u), \gamma_{\overline{RP}(A)}(u) \right) | u \in U \}, \\ \underline{RP}(A) = \{ (u, \mu_{\underline{RP}(A)}(u), \eta_{\underline{RP}(A)}(u), \gamma_{\underline{RP}(A)}(u) | u \in U \},$$

where

$$\mu_{\overline{RP}(A)}(u) = \bigvee_{v \in R_S(u)} \mu_A(v), \eta_{\overline{RP}(A)}(u) = \wedge_{v \in R_S(u)} \eta_A(v), \\ \gamma_{\overline{RP}(A)}(u) = \wedge_{v \in R_S(u)} \gamma_A(v)$$

and

$$\mu_{\underline{RP}(A)}(u) = \wedge_{v \in R_S(u)} \mu_A(u), \ \eta_{\underline{RP}(A)}(u) = \wedge_{v \in R_S(u)} \eta_A(v), \gamma_{RP(A)}(u) = \bigvee_{v \in R_S(u)} \gamma_A(v)$$

are called to the upper and lower standard neutrosophic approximation operators, respectively, and the pair  $RP(A) = (\underline{RP}(A), \overline{RP}(A))$  is referred as the rough standard neutrosophic set of A w.r.t the approximation space (U, R), or A is called roughly defined on the approximation space (U, R). The collection of all rough standard neutrosophic sets defined on the approximation space (U, R) is denoted by RSNS(U).

**Definition 3** [21] A mapping  $e : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is a fuzzy equivalence if it satisfies the following conditions:

(e1)  $e(a,b) = e(b,a), \forall a, b \text{ in } [0,1],$ (e2) e(1,0) = 0,(e3)  $e(b,b) = 1, \forall b \text{ in } [0,1],$ (e4) If  $a \le a' \le b' \le b$ , then  $e(a,b) \le e(a',b').$ 

Note that (e4) satisfies iff  $e(a,c) \le \min\{e(a,b), e(b,c)\}$ , for all  $a \le b \le c$  and  $a, b, c \in [0,1]$ .

## **3** Fuzzy Equivalence on Standard Neutrosophic Set

**Definition 4** A mapping  $E : SNS(U) \times SNS(U) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is a fuzzy equivalence if it satisfies the following conditions:

 $\begin{array}{ll} (\text{E1}) \ E(A,B) = E(B,A) \ \text{for all } A,B \in SNS(U), \\ (\text{E2}) \ E(A,B) = 0 \ \text{iff } A = 1_U \ \text{and } B = 0_U, \\ (\text{E3}) \ E(A,A) = 1, \forall A \in SNS(U), \\ (\text{E4}) \quad E(A,C) \leq \min\{E(A,B), E(B,C)\} \ \ \text{for all } \forall A,B,C \in SNS(U) \ \ \text{satisfy} \\ A \subset B \subset C. \end{array}$ 

**Example 1** Let  $U = \{x_1, x_2, ..., x_n\}$  be a universal set and  $A, B \in SNS(U)$ . A mapping  $E : SNS(U) \times SNS(U) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ , where

$$E(A,B) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\min\{\mu_{A}(x_{i}), \mu_{B}(x_{i})\} + \min\{\eta_{A}(x_{i}), \eta_{B}(x_{i})\} + (1 - \max\{\gamma_{A}(x_{i}), \gamma_{B}(x_{i})\})}{2} & \text{iff } A \neq B\\ 1 & \text{iff } A = B \end{cases}$$

is a fuzzy equivalence of A and B.

Indeed, conditions (E1), (E2), (E3), and (E4) are obvious.

**Theorem 1** Let  $U = \{x_1, x_2, ..., x_n\}$  be a universal set and  $A, B \in SNS(U)$ . Let a mapping  $E: PFS(U) \times PFS(U) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is defined by

$$E(A,B) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\mathbf{t}_{1}(\mu_{A}(x_{i}),\mu_{B}(x_{i})) + \mathbf{t}_{2}(\eta_{A}(x_{i}),\eta_{B}(x_{i})) + (1 - \mathbf{S}(\gamma_{A}(x_{i}),\gamma_{B}(x_{i})))}{2} & \text{iff } A \neq B\\ 1 & \text{iff } A = B \end{cases}$$

where  $t_1, t_2$  are t-norm on [0, 1] and s is a t-conorm on [0, 1]; then, E(A, B) is a fuzzy equivalence of A and B.

#### Fuzzy Equivalence on Rough Standard Neutrosophic Set 4

.

Here, we propose a fuzzy equivalence of the rough standard neutrosophic sets. Let  $U = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}, \quad A, B \in SNS(U),$  $RP(A) = (\underline{RPA}, \overline{RPA}),$ RP(B) =and  $(RPB, \overline{RPB})$ . For all  $x_i \in U$ , denote

$$\begin{split} \mu_{EA}(x_i) &= \left| \mu_{\overline{RP}A}(x_i) - \mu_{\underline{RP}A}(x_i) \right|;\\ \eta_{EA}(x_i) &= \left| \eta_{\overline{RP}A}(x_i) - \eta_{\underline{RP}A}(x_i) \right|;\\ \gamma_{EA}(x_i) &= \left| \gamma_{\overline{RP}A}(x_i) - \gamma_{\underline{RP}A}(x_i) \right|;\\ \mu_{AE}(x_i) &= \frac{\left| \mu_{\overline{RP}A}(x_i) + \mu_{\underline{RP}A}(x_i) \right|}{2};\\ \eta_{AE}(x_i) &= \frac{\left| \eta_{\overline{RP}A}(x_i) + \eta_{\underline{RP}A}(x_i) \right|}{2};\\ \gamma_{AE}(x_i) &= \frac{\left| \gamma_{\overline{RP}A}(x_i) + \gamma_{\underline{RP}A}(x_i) \right|}{2}; \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \mu_{E} &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 1 - \frac{\left|\mu_{\overline{RP}A}(x_{i}) - \mu_{\overline{RP}B}(x_{i})\right| + \left|\mu_{\underline{RP}A}(x_{i}) - \mu_{\underline{RP}B}(x_{i})\right| + \left|\mu_{EA}(x_{i}) - \mu_{EB}(x_{i})\right|}{4} \\ - \frac{\left|\mu_{AE}(x_{i}) - \mu_{BE}(x_{i})\right|}{2} \end{array} \right\}; \\ \eta_{E} &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 1 - \frac{\left|\eta_{\overline{RP}A}(x_{i}) - \eta_{\overline{RP}B}(x_{i})\right| + \left|\eta_{\underline{RP}A}(x_{i}) - \eta_{\underline{RP}B}(x_{i})\right| + \left|\eta_{EA}(x_{i}) - \eta_{EB}(x_{i})\right|}{4} \\ - \frac{\left|\eta_{AE}(x_{i}) - \eta_{BE}(x_{i})\right|}{2} \end{array} \right\}; \\ \gamma_{E} &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 1 - \frac{\left|\eta_{\overline{RP}A}(x_{i}) - \eta_{\overline{RP}B}(x_{i})\right| + \left|\gamma_{\underline{RP}A}(x_{i}) - \gamma_{\underline{RP}B}(x_{i})\right| + \left|\gamma_{EA}(x_{i}) - \gamma_{EB}(x_{i})\right|}{4} \\ - \frac{\left|\gamma_{AE}(x_{i}) - \gamma_{\overline{RP}B}(x_{i})\right| + \left|\gamma_{\underline{RP}A}(x_{i}) - \gamma_{\underline{RP}B}(x_{i})\right| + \left|\gamma_{EA}(x_{i}) - \gamma_{EB}(x_{i})\right|}{4} \\ - \frac{\left|\gamma_{AE}(x_{i}) - \gamma_{BE}(x_{i})\right|}{2} \end{array} \right\}. \end{split}$$

**Theorem 2** The mapping  $E: SNS(U) \times SNS(U) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is defined by

$$E(A,B) = \frac{\mu_E + \eta_E + \gamma_E}{3}$$
 is a fuzzy equivalence.

*Proof* We verify the conditions for E(A, B)

(E1) is obvious. (E2)  $A = 1_U; B = 0_U$ . Then,

$$\begin{split} \mu_{EA}(x_i) &= 0, \eta_{EA}(x_i) = 0, \gamma_{EA}(x_i) = 0; \\ \mu_{AE}(x_i) &= 1, \eta_{AE}(x_i) = 0, \eta_{AE}(x_i) = 0; \\ \mu_{EB}(x_i) &= 0, \eta_{EB}(x_i) = 0, \gamma_{EB}(x_i) = 0; \\ \mu_{BE}(x_i) &= 0, \eta_{BE}(x_i) = 0, \eta_{BE}(x_i) = 1; \end{split}$$

So that  $\mu_E = \eta_E = \gamma_E = 0$  and  $E(A, B) = \frac{\mu_E + \eta_E + \gamma_E}{3} = 0$  (E3) is obvious.

(E4) Note that, if  $0 \le a \le b \le c \le 1$ , then  $|a - c| \ge |a - b|$  and  $1 \ge |a - c| \ge |c - b| \ge 0$  so that  $0 \le 1 - |a - c| \le 1 - |a - b| \le 1$  and  $0 \le 1 - |a - c| \le 1 - |a - b| \le 1$ . Because  $A \subseteq B \subseteq C$ , then  $\underline{RPA} \subseteq \underline{RPB}$ ,  $\overline{RPA} \subseteq \overline{RPB}$ , and  $\underline{RPB} \subseteq \underline{RPC}$ ,  $\overline{RPB} \subseteq \overline{RPC}$ . Hence,  $E(A, C) \le \min\{E(A, C), E(B, C)\}$ . Now, let  $U = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ ,  $A, B \in SNS(U)$ , and  $RP(A) = (\underline{RPA}, \overline{RPA})$ ,  $RP(B) = (\underline{RPB}, \overline{RPB})$ . For all  $x_i \in U$ , denote

$$\bar{E}(A,B)(x_i) = \left| \mu_{\overline{RP}A}(x_i) - \mu_{\overline{RP}A}(x_i) \right| + \left| \eta_{\overline{RP}A}(x_i) - \left| \eta_{\overline{RP}B}(x_i) \right| + \left| \gamma_{\overline{RP}A}(x_i) - \gamma_{\overline{RP}B}(x_i) \right|, \\
\underline{E}(A,B)(x_i) = \left| \mu_{\underline{RP}A}(x_i) - \mu_{\underline{RP}B}(x_i) \right| + \left| \eta_{\underline{RP}A}(x_i) - \eta_{\underline{RP}B}(x_i) \right| + \left| \gamma_{\underline{RP}A}(x_i) - \gamma_{\underline{RP}B}(x_i) \right|.$$

**Theorem 3** The mapping  $E : SNS(U) \times SNS(U) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is defined by

$$E(A,B) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ 1 - \frac{\bar{E}(A,B)(x_i) + \underline{E}(A,B)(x_i)}{2} \right]$$

is a fuzzy equivalence.

*Proof* Similar to proof of Theorem 2.

## 5 An Application to Clustering Analysis

**Example 2** Suppose there are three types of products  $D = \{D_1, D_2D_3\}$  and ten regular customers  $U = \{u_1, u_2, ..., u_{10}\}$ . *R* is an equivalence and  $U/R = \{X_1 = \{u_1, u_3, u_9\}$ ;

 $X_2 = \{u_2, u_7, u_{10}\}; X_3 = \{u_4\}; X_4 = \{u_5, u_8\}, X_5 = \{u_{10}\}\}$ . This division can be based on age or income. We consider that each customer evaluates the product by the linguistic labels {Good, Not-Rated, Not-Good}. Thus, each customer is a neutrosophic set on the products set (Table 1). Now, we can look at similar levels of customer groups in order to strategically sell products. Therefore, one can consider the sets of equivalence classes of customers for the three product categories above. In Table 2, we obtain a rough neutrosophic information system, in which for each  $X_i$ , the upper line is  $\overline{RP}X_i$  and the lower line is  $\underline{RP}X_i(i = 1, ..., 5)$ .

U	$D_1$	$D_2$	$D_3$
$u_1$	(0.2, 0.3, 0.5)	(0.15, 0.6, 0.2)	(0.4, 0.05, 0.5)
$u_2$	(0.3, 0.1, 0.5)	(0.3, 0.3, 0.3)	(0.35, 0.1, 0.4)
и3	(0.6, 0, 0.4)	(0.3, 0.05, 0.6)	(0.1, 0.45, 0.4)
$u_4$	(0.15, 0.1, 0.7)	(0.1, 0.05, 0.8)	(0.2, 0.4, 0.3)
и5	(0.05, 0,2, 0.7)	(0.2, 0.4, 0.3)	(0.05, 0.4, 0.5)
<i>u</i> <sub>6</sub>	(0.1, 0.3, 0.5)	(0.2, 0.3, 0.4)	(1, 0, 0)
u7	(0.25, 0.3, 0.4)	(1, 0, 0)	(0.3, 0.3, 0.4)
u <sub>8</sub>	(0.1, 0.6, 0.2)	(0.25, 0.3, 0.4)	(0.4, 0, 0.6)
И9	(0.45, 0,1, 0.45)	(0.25, 0.4, 0.3)	(0.2, 0.5, 0.3)
$u_{10}$	(0.05, 0.05, 0.9)	(0.4, 0.2, 0.3)	(0.05, 0.7, 0.2)

Table 1. A neutrosophic information system

Table 2. A rough neutrosophic information system

	<b>D</b> <sub>1</sub>	<b>D</b> <sub>2</sub>	<b>D</b> <sub>3</sub>
$X_1$	(0.6, 0, 0.4)	(0.3, 0.05, 0.2)	(0.4,0.05,0.3)
	(0.2, 0, 0.5)	(0.15, 0.05, 0.6)	(0.1,0.05,0.5)
$X_2$	(0.3, 0.05, 0.4)	(1, 0, 0)	(0.35, 0.1, 0.2)
	(0.05, 0.05, 0.9)	(0.3, 0.1, 0.3)	(0.05, 0.1, 0.4)
<i>X</i> <sub>3</sub>	(0.15, 0.1, 0.7)	(0.1, 0.05, 0.8)	(0.2, 0.4, 0.3)
	(0.15, 0.1, 0.7)	(0.1, 0.05, 0.8)	(0.2, 0.4, 0.3)
$X_4$	(0.1, 0.2, 0.2)	(0.25, 0.3, 0.3)	(0.4, 0, 0.5)
	(0.05, 0.2, 0.7)	(0.2, 0.3, 0.4)	(0.05, 0, 0.6)
$X_5$	(0.1, 0.3, 0.5)	(0.2, 0.3, 0.4)	(1, 0, 0)
	(0.1, 0.3, 0.5)	(0.2, 0.3, 0.4)	(1, 0, 0)

We calculate the similarity relations on  $\{X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5\}$  (based on Theorem 2) as follows:

$$R_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1.0000 \\ 0.8111 & 1.0000 \\ 0.7708 & 0.7208 & 1.0000 \\ 0.8278 & 0.7750 & 0.7458 & 1.0000 \\ 0.7069 & 0.6347 & 0.7000 & 0.7819 & 1.0000 \end{bmatrix}$$

Use the maximum tree method for fuzzy clustering analysis. Firstly, the Kruskal method is used to draw the largest tree:

$$3 \underset{0.7458}{\longrightarrow} 4 \underset{0.775}{\longrightarrow} 2 \underset{0.7819}{\longrightarrow} 1 \underset{0.8278}{\longrightarrow} 5$$

The tree implies that for  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ , we can classify the  $U/R = \{X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5\}$  by fuzzy equivalence  $E(X_i, X_j) \ge \alpha$ , where  $X_i, X_j \in U/R$  as follows:

$$\begin{split} &+ \text{ If } 0 \leq \alpha \leq 0.7458 \text{ then it has a cluster } \{X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5\}. \\ &+ \text{ If } 0.7458 < \alpha \leq 0.775 \text{ then we have two clusters } \{X_3\}, \{X_1, X_2, X_4, X_5\}. \\ &+ \text{ If } 0.775 < \alpha \leq 0.7819 \text{ then we have three clusters } \{X_3\}, \{X_4\}, \{X_1, X_2, X_5\}. \\ &+ \text{ If } 0.7819 < \alpha \leq 0.8278 \text{ then we have four clusters } \{X_3\}, \{X_4\}, \{X_2\}, \{X_1, X_5\}. \\ &+ \text{ If } 0.8278 < \alpha \leq 1 \text{ then we have five clusters } \{X_3\}, \{X_4\}, \{X_2\}, \{X_1\}, \{X_5\}. \end{split}$$

Now, we calculate the similarity relations on  $\{X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5\}$  (based on Theorem 3) as follows:

	1.0000				1
	0.8792	1.0000 0.8167 0.8563 0.7667			
$R_2 =$	0.8542	0.8167	1.0000		
	0.8813	0.8563	0.85	1.0000	
	0.85	0.7667	0.775	0.8521	1.0000

Use the maximum tree method for fuzzy clustering analysis. Firstly, the Kruskal method is used to draw the largest tree:

$$3 \underset{0.85}{\rightarrow} 4 \underset{0.8521}{\rightarrow} 2 \underset{0.8563}{\rightarrow} 1 \underset{0.8813}{\rightarrow} 5$$

The tree implies that for  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ , we can classify the  $U/R = \{X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5\}$  by fuzzy equivalence  $E(X_i, X_j) \ge \alpha$ , where  $X_i, X_j \in U/R$  as follows:

+ If  $0 \le \alpha \le 0.85$  then it has a cluster  $\{X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5\}$ .

+ If  $0.85 < \alpha \le 0.8521$  then we have two clusters  $\{X_3\}, \{X_1, X_2, X_4, X_5\}$ .

+ If  $0.8521 < \alpha \le 0.8563$  then we have three clusters  $\{X_3\}, \{X_4\}, \{X_1, X_2, X_5\}$ .

+ If  $0.8563 < \alpha \le 0.8813$  then we have four clusters  $\{X_3\}, \{X_4\}, \{X_2\}, \{X_1, X_5\}$ .

+ If  $0.8813 < \alpha \le 1 < \alpha \le 1$  then we have five clusters  $\{X_3\}, \{X_4\}, \{X_2\}, \{X_1\}, \{X_5\}$ .

The clustering analysis on the rough standard neutrosophic set is analogously done. We find that the clustering result by Theorems 2 and 3 is giving the same clustering results. But theoretically, computation using Theorem 3 is simpler than Theorem 2.

## 6 Conclusions

We have introduced the preliminary results of the fuzzy equivalences on the standard neutrosophic set and the rough standard neutrosophic set. Using these definitions, we can perform clustering analysis on the datasets of neutrosophic sets.

Further studies regarding this research can be expanded of fuzzy equivalence of topological spaces and metrics. With that, we can also build fuzzy equivalent matrix **AQ4** models for clustering problems for real applications.

Acknowledgements. We acknowledge the seminar series of Prof. Bui Cong Cuong at the Hanoi University of Science and Technology, 2016–2017, for supporting fruitful ideas.

## References

- Smarandache, F.: Neutrosophy. Neutrosophic Probability, Set, and Logic, ProQuest Information & Learning, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA, 105 p., 1998; http://fs.gallup.unm. edu/eBook-neutrosophics6.pdf(last edition online).
- 2. Zadeh, L. A.: Fuzzy Sets. Information and Control 8(3) (1965) 338-353.
- 3. Atanassov, K.: Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets. Fuzzy set and systems 20 (1986) 87-96.
- 4. Wang, H., Smarandache, F., Zhang, Y.Q. et al: Interval NeutrosophicSets and Logic: Theory and Applications in Computing. Hexis, Phoenix, AZ (2005).
- Wang, H., Smarandache, F., Zhang, Y.Q., et al., Single Valued NeutrosophicSets. Multispace and Multistructure 4 (2010) 410–413.
- Ye, J.: A Multi criteria Decision-Making Method Using Aggregation Operators for Simplified Neutrosophic Sets. Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems 26 (2014) 2459–2466.
- Cuong, B.C.: Picture Fuzzy Sets. Journal of Computer Science and Cybernetics 30(4) (2014) 409–420.
- Cuong, B.C., Son, L.H., Chau, H.T.M.: Some Context Fuzzy Clustering Methods for Classification Problems. Proceedings of the 1st International Symposium on Information and Communication Technology (2010) 34–40.
- Son, L.H., Thong, P.H.: Some Novel Hybrid Forecast Methods Based On Picture Fuzzy Clustering for Weather Nowcasting from Satellite Image Sequences. Applied Intelligence 46 (1) (2017) 1–15.
- Son, L.H., Tuan, T.M.: A cooperative semi-supervised fuzzy clustering framework for dental X-ray image segmentation. Expert Systems With Applications 46 (2016) 380–393.
- Son, L.H., Viet, P.V., Hai, P.V.: Picture Inference System: A New Fuzzy Inference System on Picture Fuzzy Set. Applied Intelligence (2017) https://doi.org/10.1007/s10489-016-0856-1.
- Son, L.H.: A Novel Kernel Fuzzy Clustering Algorithm for Geo-Demographic Analysis. Information Sciences 317 (2015) 202–223.
- Son, L.H.: Generalized Picture Distance Measure and Applications to Picture Fuzzy Clustering. Applied Soft Computing 46 (2016) 284–295.

- Son, L.H.: Measuring Analogousness in Picture Fuzzy Sets: From Picture Distance Measures to Picture Association Measures. Fuzzy Optimization and Decision Making (2017) https://doi.org/10.1007/s10700-016-9249-5.
- 15. Son, L.H.: DPFCM: A novel distributed picture fuzzy clustering method on picture fuzzy sets. Expert systems with applications 42 (2015) 51–66.
- Thong, P.H., Son, L.H., Fujita, H.: Interpolative Picture Fuzzy Rules: A Novel Forecast Method for Weather Nowcasting. Proceeding of the 2016 IEEE International Conference on Fuzzy Systems (2016) 86–93.
- Thong, P.H., Son, L.H.: A Novel Automatic Picture Fuzzy Clustering Method Based On Particle Swarm Optimization and Picture Composite Cardinality. Knowledge-Based Systems 109 (2016) 48–60.
- 18. Thong, P.H., Son, L.H.: Picture Fuzzy Clustering for Complex Data. Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence 56 (2016) 121–130.
- 19. Thong, P.H., Son, L.H.: Picture Fuzzy Clustering: A New Computational Intelligence Method. Soft Computing 20(9) (2016) 3544–3562.
- Pawlak, Z.: Rough Sets. International Journal of Computer and Information Sciences 11 (5) (1982) 341–356.
- Fodor, J., Yager, R. R.: Fuzzy Set Theoretic Operations and Quantifers. Fundermentals of Fuzzy Sets. Klwuer (2000).
- Dubois, D., Prade, H.: Rough Fuzzy Sets and Fuzzy Rough Sets. International Journal of General Systems 17 (1990) 191–209.
- Yao, Y.Y: Combination of Rough and Fuzzy Sets Based on α- level sets. Rough sets and Data mining: analysis for imprecise data. Kluwer Academic Publisher, Boston (1997) 301– 321.
- 24. Broumi, S. and Smarandache, F.: Rough neutrosophic sets. Italian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, N.32, (2014) 493–502.
- 25. Broumi, S. and Smarandache, F.: Lower and upper soft interval valued neutrosophic rough approximations of an IVNSS-relation, Sisom& Acoustics, (2014) 8 pages.
- Broumi, S. and Smarandache, F.: Interval–Valued Neutrosophic Soft Rough Set, International Journal of Computational Mathematics. Volume 2015 (2015), Article ID 232919, 13 pages http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2015/232919.
- Cuong, B. C., Phong, P. H. and Smarandache, F.: Standard Neutrosophic Soft Theory: Some First Results. Neutrosophic Sets and Systems 12 (2016) 80–91.
- Thao, N. X., Dinh, N. V.: Rough Picture Fuzzy Set and Picture Fuzzy Topologies. Journal of Science computer and Cybernetics 31 (3) (2015) 245–254.
- 29. Thao, N.X., Cuong. B. C., Smarandache, F.: Rough Standard Neutrosophic Sets: An Application on Standard Neutrosophic Information Systems. International Conference on Communication, Management and Information Technology, in press.

## Author Query Form

Book ID : **449796\_1\_En** Chapter No : **82** 



Please ensure you fill out your response to the queries raised below and return this form along with your corrections.

Dear Author,

During the process of typesetting your chapter, the following queries have arisen. Please check your typeset proof carefully against the queries listed below and mark the necessary changes either directly on the proof/online grid or in the 'Author's response' area provided below

Query Refs.	Details Required	Author's Response
AQ1	Please check and confirm if the author names and initials are correct.	
AQ2	Please check and confirm the edits made in the sentence 'However, the fuzzy equivalencebeen defined yet'.	
AQ3	Please check and confirm the edits made in the sentence 'For a given'.	
AQ4	Please suggest whether the usage of the phrase 'can be expanded of' is OK in the sentence 'Further studies regardingtopological spaces and metrics'.	