

#### **Queensland University of Technology**

Brisbane Australia

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted/accepted for publication in the following source:

Schuster, Lisa, Kubacki, Krzysztof, & Rundle-Thiele, Sharyn (2014)

Understanding carers' intention for their child to walk to school : further application of the theory of reasoned action. In

*2014 International Social Marketing Conference*, 17-18 July 2014, Monash University, Melbourne, VIC.

This file was downloaded from: http://eprints.qut.edu.au/82085/

### © Copyright 2014 [Please consult the author]

**Notice**: Changes introduced as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing and formatting may not be reflected in this document. For a definitive version of this work, please refer to the published source:

# Understanding carers' intentions for their child to walk to school: Further application of the Theory of Reasoned Action<sup>1</sup>

Dr. Lisa Schuster<sup>2</sup>
Social Marketing @ Griffith, Griffith Health Institute, Griffith University
Email: l.schuster@griffith.edu.au

Phone: +61 7 3735 7577

Dr. Krzysztof Kubacki<sup>3</sup>
Social Marketing @ Griffith, Griffith Health Institute, Griffith University
Email: <u>k.kubacki@griffith.edu.au</u>
Phone: +61 7 3735 6498

Professor Sharyn Rundle-Thiele<sup>4</sup>
Social Marketing @ Griffith, Griffith Health Institute, Griffith University
Email: s.rundle-thiele@griffith.edu.au

Phone: +61 7 3735 6446

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Victorian Health Promotion Foundation (VicHealth) funded and supported this research.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Dr Lisa Schuster is a Research Fellow with Social Marketing @ Griffith with an interest in understanding and influencing initial and sustained healthy behaviour change. She has published her research in the European Journal of Marketing, in scholarly books and in international and national peer-reviewed conferences, where she has received two best paper awards.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Dr Krzysztof Kubacki is a Social Marketing Research Practice Fellow (VicHealth) and a Senior Lecturer in Marketing at Griffith University. Weaved throughout his research is an interest in the relationship between social marketing and consumer culture, with his most recent work focusing on alcohol consumption among young people and increasing physical activity among children and adults.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Professor Sharyn Rundle-Thiele leads Social Marketing @ Griffith (<a href="www.griffith.edu.au/social-marketing">www.griffith.edu.au/social-marketing</a>) and is Editor of the *Journal of Social Marketing*. Sharyn's research focuses on behaviour change and is widely published. She currently serves as an advisor on a diverse range of social marketing projects. Her current projects include changing adolescent attitudes towards drinking alcohol (see <a href="http://gameon.rcs.griffith.edu.au/">http://gameon.rcs.griffith.edu.au/</a>) and increasing healthy eating and physical activity to combat obesity. Research partners include VicHealth, SA Health, Department of Health and Aging, Siggins Miller Consulting, Defence Science and Technology Organisation, Queensland Catholic Education Commission and Mater Health Services.

#### Introduction

One quarter of Australian children are overweight or obese (ABS, 2010), putting them at increased risk of physical and psychological health problems (Reilly *et al.*, 2003). Overweight and obesity in childhood tends to persist into adulthood and is associated with premature death and morbidity (Reilly & Kelly, 2011). Increases in Australian children's weight have coincided with declines in active transportation, such as walking, to school (Salmon *et al.*, 2005). Investigating the factors which influence walking to school is therefore important, particularly since walking to school is a low cost and effective means of reducing excess weight (Rosenberg *et al.*, 2006) that can be easily integrated into daily routine (Brophy *et al.*, 2011). While research in this area has expanded (e.g., Brophy *et al.*, 2011; Giles-Corti *et al.*, 2010), it is largely atheoretical (exceptions Napier *et al.*, 2011). This is an important gap from a social marketing perspective given the use of theory lies at the foundation of the framework (NSMC, 2006) and a continued lack of theory use is observed (Luca & Suggs, 2013). The aim of this paper is to empirically examine a widely adopted theory, the deconstructed Theory of Reasoned Action (TRA) (Fishbein & Azjen, 1975), to understand the relative importance of attitude and subjective norms in determining intentions to increase walk to school behaviour.

#### **Hypotheses**

The TRA successfully predicts behaviour across a number of contexts (Sheppard *et al.*, 1988) and can be used in social marketing interventions to prevent childhood obesity (Waters *et al.*, 2010). The TRA assumes behaviours such as modes of transport to school are volitional and are under the control of individuals who are influenced by significant others. The TRA is a cognitive model which involves a linear progression from attitudes to action via behavioural intentions (Fishbein & Azjen, 1975). Attitude comprises both cognitive (i.e., belief-based) and affective (i.e., emotion-based) components (Verplanken *et al.*, 1998), both of which explain behavioural intentions (e.g., Lawton *et al.*, 2009). The literature (e.g. Cialdini *et al.*, 1990) also supports accounting for descriptive norms (whether significant others are perceived to perform the behaviour) in addition to the injunctive norms (whether important others approve of the behaviour (e.g., Rivis & Sheeran, 2003). Following the structure of the TRA, it is hypothesised:

- H<sub>1</sub>: Cognitive and affective attitude will have a positive effect on intention to increase walk to school behaviour.
- H<sub>2</sub>: Descriptive and injunctive norms will have a positive effect on intention to increase walk to school behaviour.

#### Method

An online survey was used to collect data from 512 Victorian carers (e.g., parents), who are responsible for getting their child to school, given parental control over children's transportation to school (Carver *et al.*, 2010). The link to the online survey was disseminated through multiple channels, including VicHealth's *Walk to School* website, Facebook and Twitter. The survey comprised previously validated scales (Appendix 1) and was pre-tested via an informal expert review with seven social marketing researchers. After data cleaning, including listwise deletion of cases with missing values and the removal of six multivariate outliers, a final sample size of 432 was achieved. Given the data was missing completely at random (Little's MCAR test >.05) listwise deletion of missing data was used since it does not introduce any bias into the parameter estimates (Allison, 2003). The sample comprised mostly employed (61.8%) mothers (86.3%) aged between 35 to 44 years old (55.4%). Fifty percent of the sample reported their child walked to/from school at least once a week, with approximately 20% walking to or from school five days a week. Structural equations modelling (SEM) was conducted to analyse the data using the two-stage approach by

Anderson and Gerbing (1991). ML Bootstrap estimation, with 500 samples and 90% biascorrected confidence intervals, was applied given multivariate non-normality of the data in accordance with the recommendation of Byrne (2001).

#### **Results**

Path estimates ( $\Lambda < .70$ , p > .05), standardised residuals (+/- 1.96) and squared multiple correlations ( $R^2 < .50$ ) were used to identify areas of measurement model strain and three items were removed from the initial model (Appendix 1). The revised measurement model demonstrated good fit to the data:  $\chi^2$  (80, n = 432) = 153.596, Bollen-Stine p < .05;  $\chi^2/df = 1.920$ ; CFI = .991; TLI = .988; RMSEA = .046; and SRMR = .0363. The measures in this revised model exhibited internal consistency reliability ( $\alpha > .70$ ), as well as convergent (CR > .70 and AVEs > .50) and discriminant validity ( $\alpha > .70$ ), as significant ( $\alpha > .70$ ), as well as convergent ( $\alpha > .70$ ), with regards to the structural model, while the  $\alpha > .70$  and  $\alpha > .70$  and discriminant validity ( $\alpha > .70$ ), as significant ( $\alpha > .70$ ), as well as convergent ( $\alpha > .70$ ) and AVEs > .50) and discriminant validity ( $\alpha > .70$ ), as well as convergent ( $\alpha > .70$ ). The revised measurement model ( $\alpha > .70$ ), as well as convergent ( $\alpha > .70$ ), as well as convergent ( $\alpha > .70$ ), as well as convergent ( $\alpha > .70$ ), as well as convergent ( $\alpha > .70$ ), as well as convergent ( $\alpha > .70$ ), as well as convergent ( $\alpha > .70$ ), as well as convergent ( $\alpha > .70$ 

**Table 1.** Standardised Regression Weights of the Structural Model

Predictors	γ	SE	Bias-Corrected 90% CI
Cognitive Attitude	158*	.073	[272,042], p = .031
<b>Emotional Attitude</b>	.133*	.071	[.015, .248], p = .049
Descriptive Norms	.027	.053	[058, .117], p = .596
Injunctive Norms	.395*	.051	[.311, .4721], p = .004

<sup>\*</sup> p < .05, two-tailed.

#### **Discussion and Conclusion**

In this setting, injunctive norms were the most powerful predictor of carers' intentions to increase the number of times their child walks to/from school. While meta-analyses suggest that subjective norms often exert limited influence on intentions (White *et al.*, 2009) and that descriptive norms are more powerful predictors than injunctive norms (Rivis & Sheeran, 2003), the relative impact of attitude and subjective norms is known to vary across contexts (Fishbein and Azjen, 1975). Both cognitive and affective attitude influenced walk to school intentions, consistent with the health literature (Lawton *et al.*, 2009). Interestingly, however, cognitive attitude exhibited a negative association with intentions. This result suggests that the more positive carers' beliefs are about walking to school, the less likely they are to intend to increase the number of times their child walks to school. This presents an opportunity for further research to investigate this counterintuitive association.

The current study suggests the need to leverage injunctive norms, the strongest predictor of walking intentions in this study to stimulate volitional behaviour. In targeting parents, social marketers need to draw attention to the fact that important others, such as friends and family, approve of children walking to school. It could also be beneficial to emphasise the positive emotions, such as enjoyment, that can be derived from walking to school. More generally, this paper contributes to the social marketing theory and practice by highlighting the need for caution in employing theoretical frameworks in the development of social marketing interventions without first establishing or empirically examining their applicability, and the manner in which they operate, within the behavioural domain of interest. It should be noted, however, that this research did not assess the beliefs underpinning attitude and subjective norms, nor did it examine the influence of perceived behavioural control on walking intentions. Future research is recommended employing alternate methods to improve our understanding of walking behaviours and to extend the downstream focus of this paper.

#### References

- Allison, P. (2003). Missing data techniques for structural equation modelling. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 112(4), 545-557.
- Anderson, J. C., & Gerbing, D. W. (1991). Predicting the performance of measures in a confirmatory factor analysis with a pretest assessment of their substantive validities. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 76, 732-740.
- Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS). (2010). Children who are overweight or obese (No. 1301.0 Year Book Australia, 2009-10). Retrieved from <a href="http://www.abs.gov.au/AUSSTATS/abs@.nsf/Lookup/1301.0Chapter11062009%E2%80%9310">http://www.abs.gov.au/AUSSTATS/abs@.nsf/Lookup/1301.0Chapter11062009%E2%80%9310</a>
- Brophy, S., Cooksey, R., Lyons, R., Thomas, N., Rodgers, S., & Gravenor, M. (2011). Parental factors associated with walking to school and participation in organised activities at age 5: Analysis of the Millennium Cohort Study. *BMC Public Health*, 11, 14-23.
- Byrne, B. M. (2001). Structural equation modelling with AMOS: Basic concepts, applications, and programming. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Carver, A., Timperio, A., Hesketh, K., & Crawford, D. (2010). Are children and adolescents less active if parents restrict their physical activity and active transport due to perceived risk? *Social Science & Medicine*, 70(11), 1799-18095.
- Cialdini, R. B., Reno, R. R., & Kallgren, C. A. (1990). A focus theory of normative conduct: Recycling the concept of norms to reduce littering in public places. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 58(6), 1015–1026.
- Fishbein, M., & Ajzen, I. (1975). *Belief, attitude, intention and behavior: An introduction to theory and research*. Massachusetts: Addision-Wesley Publishing Company Inc.
- Giles-Corti, B., Wood, G., Pikora, T., Learnihan, V., Bulsara, M., Van Niel, S., et al. (2011). School site and the potential to walk to school. The impact of street connectivity and traffic exposure in school neighbourhoods. *Health & Place*, 17, 545-550.
- Lawton, R., Conner, M., & McEachan, R. (2009). Desire or reason: Predicting health behaviours from affective and cognitive attitudes. *Health Psychology*, 28(1), 56-65.
- Luca, N. R. & Suggs, S. (2013) Theory and model use in social marketing interventions, *Journal of Health Communications*, 18(1), 20-40.
- Napier, M., Brown, B., Werner, C., & Gallimore, J. (2011). Walking to school: Community design and child and parent barriers. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 31, 45-51.
- National Social Marketing Centre (NSMC). (2006). Social marketing benchmark criteria. Retrieved from http://www.thensmc.com/sites/default/files/benchmark-criteria-090910.pdf
- Reilly, J. & Kelly, J. (2011). Long-term impact of overweight and obesity in childhood and adolescence on morbidity and premature mortality in adulthood: Systematic review. *International Journal of Obesity*, *35*, 891-898.
- Reilly, J., Methven, E., McDowell, Z., Hacking, B., Alexander, D., Stewart, L., & Kelnar, C. (2003). Health consequences of obesity. *Archives of Disease in Childhood*, 88, 448-752.
- Rivas, A. & Sheeran, P. (2003). Descriptive norms as an additional predictor in the Theory of Planned Behaviour: A meta-analysis. *Current Psychology: Developmental, Learning, Personality, Social, 22*(3), 218-233.
- Rosenberg, D., Sallis, J., Conway, T., Cain, K., & McKenzie, T. (2006). Active transportation to school over 2 years in relation to weight status and physical activity. *Obesity*, *14*(10), 1771-1776.
- Salmon, J., Timperio, A., Cleland, V., & Venn, A. (2005). Trends in children's physical activity and weight status in high and low socio-economic areas of Melbourne, Victoria. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Public Health.* 29(4), 337-341.

- Sheppard, B. H., Hartwick, J., & Warsaw, P. R. (1988). The theory of reasoned action: A meta-analysis of past research with recommendations for modifications and future research. *Journal of Consumer Research*, *15*(3), 325-343.
- Verplanken, B., Hofstee, G., & Janssen, H. (1998). Accessibility of affective versus cognitive components of attitudes. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 28, 23-35.
- Waters, E., Seidell, J., Swinburn, B., & Uauy, R. (2010). *Preventing childhood obesity: Evidence, policy and practice.* Singapore: Blackwell Publishing Ltd.
- White, K., Smith, J., Terry, D., Greenslade, J., & McKimmie, B. (2009). Social influence in the Theory of Planned Behaviour: The role of descriptive, injunctive and in group norms. *British Journal of Social Psychology*, 48(1), 135-158.

## Appendices

**Appendix 1.** Survey Items and their Sources

Construct	Items				
Intention (adapted from Rundle-Thiele <i>et al.</i> , 2012)	<ol> <li>I intend to increase the number of times the child walks to/from school during the next week.</li> <li>I will increase the number of times the child walks to/from school during the next week.</li> </ol>				
	3. I plan to increase the number of times the child walks to/from school during the next week.  [-3 to 3 anchored at Extremely unlikely and Extremely likely]				
Injunctive Norms (adapted from Perugini & Conner, 2000)	<ol> <li>People who are important to me think the child should/should not walk to/from school.</li> <li>[-3 to 3 anchored at Should not and Should]</li> <li>People who are important to me would disapprove/approve of the child walking to/from school.</li> <li>[-3 to 3 anchored at Disapprove and Approve]</li> <li>People who are important to me want the child to walk to/from school.</li> <li>[-3 to 3 anchored at Strongly disagree and Strongly agree]</li> </ol>				
Descriptive Norms (adapted from Rhodes & Courneya, 2003)	Please choose the most appropriate response for each statement:  [-3 to 3 anchored at Strongly disagree and Strongly agree]  Many of my friends' children walk to/from school  Many of my family members' children walk to/from school*  Many of the children in the neighbourhood walk to/from school  Many children at the child's school walk to/from school				
Cognitive Attitude (adapted from Norman & Conner, 2006; Scott <i>et al.</i> , 2007)	Walking to/from school is [-3 to 3 anchored at]: Unhealthy   Healthy* Unimportant   Important* Harmful   Beneficial Bad   Good Worthless   Valuable				
Affective Attitude (adapted from Norman & Conner, 2006)	Walking to/from school is [-3 to 3 anchored at]: Boring   Exciting Unpleasant   Pleasant Unenjoyable   Enjoyable				

*Note.* \*Not included in revised measurement model.

Appendix 2. Assessment of Reliability and Validity of the Revised Measurement Model

<b>Latent Factors</b>	ά	CR	AVE	DesNorm	Att <sub>Cog</sub>	Att <sub>Emo</sub>	InjNorm
DesNorm	.787	0.791	0.558				
$\mathbf{Att}_{\mathbf{Cog}}$	.968	0.969	0.912	0.008			
$\mathbf{Att}_{\mathbf{Emo}}$	.939	0.942	0.844	0.005	0.613		
InjNorm	.883	0.887	0.725	0.116	0.059	0.051	
Intent	.995	0.996	0.987	0.024	0.002	0.010	0.157

*Note.*  $\acute{a}$  = Cronbach's Alpha coefficient; CR = composite reliability coefficient; AVE = average variance extracted.

DesNorm = Descriptive Norms; InjNorm = Injunctive Norms;  $Att_{Emo}$  = Emotional Attitude;  $Att_{Cog}$  = Cognitive Attitude; Intent = Intention.