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## CHAPTER I

## INTRODUCTION

Background of the study. During the early part of the 1959-1960 school year at South Hadley High School, a considerable smount of interest was aroused by a series of minor automobile accidents, each of which involved a high school student. The attention of the author was drawn to the fact that in every case, the scholastic achievement record of the driver had been noticeably poor up to the time of the mishap and that within a relatively brief period of time following each occurrence, the pupil involved had either dropped out of school altogether or had transforred to another institution. It was mentioned by some teachers that the marks of these students had been very low ever since they had become old enough to drive. One person described them as having been so keenly interested in automobiles that nothing else mattered to them, especially their school work. Another teacher indicated that in her opinion, owming a car was the main reason why certain high school students were falling to measure up to the level of their individual academic capabilities. These assumptions were based upon limited knowledge and observation of the situation without regard to the actual statistical relationships which might exist between the use of an automobile and scholastic success.

Some of the relationships which might exist between car ownership or easy access to the use of motor vehicles and the academic achlevements of high school students, have been investigated, however, by various individuals and agencies (see Chap. II). These studies reveal that where In one community low grades and the habitual use of autos seem to be related, in another communty no such relationship was found. Not one of these studies concluded that ownership or frequent use of cars was the actual sause for the underachievement observed in certain pupils. The investigators recognized that other factors in addition to automobile ownership or usage might have contributed to substandard scholastic records and that the effect of these factors upon any auto-achievement correlations could not be measured within the scope of their particular studies. Considerations possibly contributing to the modification of a student's academic achievement include: part-time employment, study habits, athletics, extra curricular activities, social contacts, family relations, etc. Some investigators have recognized that the socio-economic status of the individual, his family, and the community wherein he resides, all contribute something to the degree of academic success attained by a particular pupil.

In attempting to determine whether or not autos have any effect, detrimental or beneficial, upon the scholastic achievement of high school students, it seems obvious that
one cannot assume that 211 other factors which might reflect an influence on scholastics will remain constant when young people acquire the use of motor vehicles. The problem begins, therefore, with the determination of what relationships actually exist at the particular institution wherein the author has seen the need for such information. If and when certain correlative relationships between school marks, autos, and other contributing factors are determined, only then can further investigations be originated for the purpose of discovering the causational relationships.

Statement of the problem. This study was designed to be a comprehensive analysis of the academic achievement and some of the activity patterns of the Junior and senior Class pupils attending South Hadley H1,gh School, 2 of February 26th, 1950, in relation to their use of automobiles. No attempt was made to discover any cause and effect relationships among the factors considered, 2 s the investigation was Iimited to the determination of the existence of relationships between school marks with the influence of automobiles and with other activity patterns. The fundamental questions which this study has undertaken to answer are:

1. Do students who own or who have the frequent use of automobiles achieve a higher, lower, or relatively equal degree of scholastic success in comparison to students considered to be infrequent drivers or non-drivers.
2. Do the marks of students who own or who have the frequent use of automobiles fluctuate or deviate to any greater or lesser degree than do the marks of the non-driving students, and if so, in what direction.
3. What are some of the activities common to both driving and non-driving pupils which misht have a bearing. upon the degree of their respective academic success. In what ways do the activity patterns iiffer between the two groups.

Significance of the problem. South Hadley is a rapidly growing suburban type community with a correspondingly rapid growth in its school population. The present high school building was first occupied in 1956 with an enrollment of 566 and the expected figure for September of 1960 1s approximately 850. The structure was designed to accommodate 800 students with room for up to 1,000 if conditions make it necessary. With an enrollment of over 800 scholars, rooms such as the Chemistry and Physics Laboratories which should not normally be used for any purpose other than that for which they were designed, will have to be used as homerooms and in certain cases, as lecture rooms for other sciences. These changes will not have a serious offect (up to an enrollment of 1,000 ) upon the total program of the school, but they will have a tendency to disrupt the harmony of a smoothly running operation. Present indications are that enrollment capacity will be
reached within a few short years. Plans for additional classroom space, therefore, are now being considered. With the school population increasing consistantily and with a general tendency for more and more high school pupils to have the use of automobiles, the parking of the cars driven to school by students is likewise going to become a problem which will require its share of careful and considerate planning, The present parking space at South Hadley High School is adequate for the faculty and the students but it will not remain adequate for many years unless additional area is provided or some restriction is placed upon student driving. In either case, there shall be a need in the near future for the promulgation of a sound, intelligent, and far sighted policy with regard to students desiring to drive to school. This pollcy should not be based entirely upon the financial considerations involved In school construction or any other conslderation except the effectiveness in improving the educational process at the high school.

Limitations of the study. Although this study may be of some interest to those educators, agencies, or other persons having a concern for high school students and their problems, it. must be kept in mind that the following limitations exist:

1. The investigation included only those pupils comprising the two upper classes at South Hadley High school.

When the number of cases was broken down into Driver and Non-Driver groups and when they were further divided into quartiles according to class rank, the number of pupijs involved became relatively small.
2. The accuracy with which the stuconts were able to estimate lengths of time in hours and weekly participation frequencies in designated activities, may heve been highly variable.
3. Certain questions, such as indicating the number of hours spent on outside study and the number of evenings spent engaged in social activities, may not have been answered in complete truthfulness. This possibility exists inasmuch as the students were virtually required to complete the questionnaire. Some pupils may have also felt that the information they were furnishing might in some way have a bearing upon their marks or upon their relationships With their peers, their teachers, or the school in some detrimental way.
4. The Burvey took into consideration the achievement record of students enrolled at one particular time (February 26th, 1960). Students having been members of either class who had dropped out or transferred prior to the date of the investigation, were not included except for the determination of class rankings during preceding intervals, for the cases studied.

Definitions of important terms. For full comprehen-
sion of this study, it is necessary thet certain frequently used terms be explained. These terms are:

1. Driver. A student owning and/or having easy access to the frequent use of an automobile.
2. Non-Driver. A student who drives infrequently or not at all.
3. Automobile owner. A pupil having in his possession an automobile, having been given to him or purchased by him and registered in his name for operation in this state. Cars registered in the names of other persons such as parents or guardians, but which are understood to be the possessions of the students, were also placed in this category.
4. A frequent Driver. A student ordinarily having the dally use of an automobile with little parental control.
5. An infrequent Driver. A student having an operator's license, but one having classed himself as heving the use of a car just "sometimes" or "once in awhile".

## CHAPTCR II

REVIEW OF RELALED IJITGRATURE

## CHAPTER II

## REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATUFE

The automobile and its possible effects upon the scholastic achievement of the high school teen-ager is a relatively new topic of concern in our schools. As such, very little journallsm on the subject has appeared professionally. A few articles have appeared, nevertheless, and the writer (of this paper) in correspondence with some of the authors has found that several investigations have been initiated in various parts of the country. Few of them, however, had been conducted in the manner of a typically designed research study. In some instances, the studies amounted to little more than a one day survey.
M. O. Donley, a staff writer for the NEA Journal, in his article on the automobile-student achievement problem, summarized the findings of a study conducted at Madison High School in Rexburg, Idaho. The investigation which had been based upon the four-year averages of the 1959 Senior Class (110 pupils), revealed that no straight A students drove automobiles, but that $15 \%$ of the B students, $41 \%$ of the $C$ students, $71 \%$ of the $D$ students, and $83 \%$ of the failures were drivers. ${ }^{1}$

Donley goes on to say,

[^0]Principals in many parts of the country- from Now Jersey to Colorado, from Wisconsin to Texashave said they believe that grades and driving are definitely and closely correlated.

Often cited by these principals is the student who doesn't finish school because of maintaining a car. ${ }^{2}$

In Artesia. New Mexico, an older study has shown that no such relationship existed. There, $27 \%$ of the A students drove, as did $20 \%$ of the $B$ students, $23 \%$ of the $C$ students, $21 \%$ of the $D$ student $B$, and $23 \%$ of the faslures. 3

A similar contradiction was found to exist at Tenafly (New Jersey) H1gh School where, ". . about $25 \%$ of the top students drive to school more or less regularly." 4 In reference to his investigation, Principal Van Vliet comments, "We concluded that there was no evidence to point that the use of automobiles caused a reduction in academic achievement."5

In still another study, this time at Carlsbad (New Mexico) High School, it was found by Mr. Jere $K$. Feid that socioeconomic level of the pupil seemed to play an important part in what effect an automobile had upon his achievement. Children of parents in the professional class, posed

## 2Ibid.

3Ib1d.
4 Ibid.
5Letter from Eugene H. Van Vliet, Principal, Tenafly Senior H1 हh School, Tenafly, New Jersey, February 10, 1960.
no problem, but the chlldren from famllies of "blue collared" workers generally had to purchase their own cars and support them, the tendency then being low grades and of ten times dropouts. Mr. Reid states,

To sum it up may I suggest that in those homes where a car is a prestige item, ownership of a car tends to bring lower marks in our secondary schools. In homes where this is not always true we cannot see that marks are influenced by ownership. 6

At Mishawaka High School. Mishawake, Indiana, a study involving 119 junior and senior boys who owned automobiles and 80 who did not, was undertaken in an attempt to determine what effect car ownership was having upon their behavior. Following is a sumary of the results:

1. Only one third as many drivers were in the top ranking quartile as were non-drivers.
2. Drivers averaged 44 positions lower in the final class ranking.
3. Drivers had elected non-academic courses nearly two to one over those in the other group.
4. The mean student rating made by their respective teachers favored the non-drivers.
5. Mrivers had almost twice the record of absenteeism, and nearly half the record of perfect attendance.
6. In I.G. tesis, drivers scored $7 \frac{1}{3}$ points lower

[^1]than non-drivers. 7
Smith feels that automobiles ray be responsible for a great deal of adverse behevior in boys, but he claims no cause and effect relationships. In his words, "Generally speaking, automobiles and positive performance are incompatible." 8

One of the most detailed and comprehensive studies undertaken to date on the subject of automoblles and academics, was conducted by The Allstate Insurance Companies of Skokie, Illinois. In co-operation with the administration of the Niles Townsh1p High School, the research poople of Allstate found the following:

1. Car owners made up a large proportion of the lowest quarter of the class. Prior to acquiring autos, these students alreacy had low marks.
2. For those stucents doing well scholastically, the acquisition of a car resulted in serious adverse effects upon their grades.
3. Automobile ownership leads to part-time johs.
4. The better students work week-ends only.
5. Week-day employment influenced marks adversely.
6. The greater the number of hours employed, the

[^2]greater the adverse influence. 9
Existing research on the issue in question is much too inadequate so as to draw from it any general conclusions. The studies discussed in this chapter present findings which are not in general agreement. It is very likely that some of the inconsistancies noted, are attributable to the sum total of the environmental influences germane to the particular locales in which the investigetions were conducted. Because these environmental influences make every school individually distinctive, the specific automobileachievement relationships determined to exist in one community cannot necessarily be predicted to occur in another. The author, therefore, has undertaken to ascertain some of the relationships which may exist at South Hadley High School.

[^3]
## CHAPTER III
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## CHAPTER III

## OUTLINE OF PROCEDURE

The setting. The Tow of South Hadley, Massachusetts, is located on the east side of the Connecticut Fiver, about 14 miles north of Springfield, just across the river from Holyoke. It has an area of 18.3 square miles and according to the state census taken in 1955, it has a population of 11,307. The total value of its taxable property for the year 1959 was $20,900,685.00$ with a tax rate of $\$ 50.00$ per $1,000.00 .1$

The town is basically residential in nature with only a small number of business or industrial concerns, other than the usual retall consumer outlets found in any community of its size. Employment is readily found in the many and diverse types of local and nationally known firms located in easily accessable Holyoke, Springfield, Chicopee, and at Westover Air Force Base in Chicopee Falls.

Advanced education may be had at many nearby institutions such as Mount Holyoke College (in South Hadley). Amherst College, Smith College, Springfiela College, American International College, Westfield Teachers College, the University of Massachusetts, and several other well known sen-

[^4]Lor and junior colleges, together with a number of excellent business schools.

In December of 1959 the public school population of South Hadley was 2,865 , representing a gain of $127 \frac{2}{2}$ \% over the previous 10 year period. ${ }^{2}$ of this total, 162 were tuition students from Granby, a town with a population of about 4,000 but otherwise similar to the characteristics of South Hadley. 3 Children attending other schools out of town included 403 at the Parochial Schools of Holyoke and 74 at other miscellaneous institutions.

The High School itself is a modern single story, brick faced cinder block building, first occupied in September of 1956. The enrollment in December of 1959 was 804 and included a staff of 31 teachers, 2 administrators, and a director of guidance.

The subjects. The subjects of this investigation included 328 out of the 332 members of the Junior and Senior Classes enrolled at South Hadley High School as of the 26th of February, 1960. Statistical data concerning the academic averages and class rank of all former members of the two classes in question, was obtained from school records. Although former students were not the concern of this in-

[^5]
## TABLE 1

Nature of the Cases Studied

|  | Participating | Non-Participating* |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Class | Males Females | Males Females | Total |  |
| Senior | 69 | 69 | 2 | 1 |

*The students included in these figures were unwiling to complete the questionnaire.
vestigation, such information was necessary to compute the true class rank percentiles of the cases studied at various periods during their high school careers.

Accumulation of data. Inasmuch as the investigation was a study involving the nature of a group at a particular time, it was necessary to collect the pertinent information during a relatively short period of time. Further, the group being rather large and the number of questions belng somewhat extensive, it was decided that the use of a questionnaire was the most practical manner of amassing the data.

Qestionnaires were distributed to the students by their respective homeroom teachers. Prior to the execution of the forms, the pupils were instructed as to the impor-
tance and significance of complote and accurate returns. The majortty of the forms were completed on the same day and were returned to the author. A close follow up was conducted to insure that students having been absent or those who had not otherwise completed the questionnaire, did so and returned them as soon as possible. The follow up was continuous in design and at the end of a three week period, all forms with the oxception of four had been properly completed. The four people mentioned were contacted, and either by reason of outright refusal or an indication that the forms would not be completed with any degree of accuracy, they were eliminated from the study, except in the computation of the percentile class rankings of their classmates.

In order to secure complete and accurate information 3.8 to the marks and class ranking of each student, it was necessary to search school records going back to the freshman year of every individual who had over been a member of elther class to which the present 328 study cases belong. Class rankings, based upon the actual numbers enrolled as of the end of each acadomic year and as of the end of the third marking term of the $1959-1960$ school year, were then computed. Class standings as computed by the school admin1stration were not utilized inasmuch as only marks for major academic courses had been considered. Marks for courses such as Gym, Art, Public Speaking, etc., had not
been included in their tabulations. Since the writer felt that these marks should be included in the determination of class standings, the time consuming search of school records was deemed necessary.

Organization of the questionnaire. The questionnaires which the students were asked to complete, consisted of four mimeographed pages (see Appendix A). The wording of the questions was kept as simple as possible and in $a l l$ cases, except for name and address and in a few questions Where the response, "Other (please specify)", may have been called for, no uriting was required. The author felt that the use of the restricted, check response type questionnaire was most sultable for the following reasons:

1. Objectivity was desired.
2. Iittle time was made available for its execution.
3. An easily answered questionnaire was necessary.
4. Ease in tabulation was desired because of the large number of individual items to be analyzed.

The information which the questionnaire sought to obtain about each student included:

## 1. Name and address.

2. Type of curriculum and usual study habits.
3. A limited degree of socioeconomic status.
4. Participation in extracurricular activities.
5. Participation in school athletics.
6. Social activity patterns.
7. The operation of a motor vehicle.
8. Part-time employment.

Treatment of the data. All of the information obtained from each individual ouestionnaire was transferred to cards measuring three by five inches and especially designed to accommodate the data. A system of punching out or nicking the edges of the cards at particular places, made their manipulation rather simple in forming groups with varied attributes.

At the same time, information conceming the achievement history of each student was boing secured from school records, and was later added to the cards.

Basically, the pupils were diviced into two groups, "Drivers and Non-Drivers" (see Chapter I, pages 3 and 9). Each of these was furthor broken down into smaller subgroups as was required to treat analytically each of the various areas to be studied and compared. An example of one of the areas which was analyzed, was the participation in school activities by Drivers and by Non-Drivers in relation to their relative degree of academic achievement. To make the necessary comparisons, Drivers were first separated from Non-Drivers. Each of these was subsequently separated according to sex. In turn, sach of theso groups was broken down according to high, low, or average participation in the activity prograin of the school. Finally, all of the divisional groups up to that point were separated
according to the four quartile achievement levels. The results from these manipulations are shown in a series of 10 tables under headings similar to the following:

1. Drivers and Non-Drivers compared on the basis of high, average, or low degree of activity.
2. Male Drivers and Non-Drivers compared on the basis of high, average, or low degree of activity.
3. Female Drivers and Non-Drivers compared on the basis of high, average, or low degree of activity.
4. Drivers and Non-Drivers of a high degree of activity compared on the basis of their respective quartile rankings.
5. Drivers and Non-Drivers of an average degree of activity compared on the basis of their respective quartile rankings.
6. Drivers and Non-Drivers of a low degree of activity compared on the basis of their respective quartile rankings.
7. Drivers and Non-Drivers ranked in the fourth quartile compared on the basis of degree of activity.
8. Drivers and Non-Drivers ranked in the third quartile compared on the basis of degree of activity.
9. Drivers and Non-Drivers ranked in the second quartile compared on the basis of degree of activity.
10. Drivers and Non-Drivers ranked in the first quartile compared on the basis of degree of activity.

CHAPTER IV
GENERAL BACKGROUND AND ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT
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GENERAL BACKGROUND AND ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT

Composition of the Driver and Non-Driver Groups. The 328 students who comprised $99 \%$ of the Junior and Senior Classes at South Hadley High School as of February 26th, 1960, were divided into two permanent group classifications. Students who owned automobiles or who had the frequent use of a car were designated as the Drivers. The second group, known as the Non-Drivers, included the pupils who seldom drove, or drove not at all. Inasmuch as the author believed that boys might differ from girls somewhat, In some of the activity and scholastic patterns to be analyzed, the Driver and Non-Driver groups were further categorized as to sex. It did become an 1 mportant factor when

## TABLE 2

Composition of the Driver and Non-Driver Groups

| Sex | Driver | Non-Driver |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 65 | 80 | Total |
| Female | 37 | 146 | 145 |
| Total | 102 | 226 | 183 |

achievement differences between the sexes were determined, as shall be reported later in this paper.

Backrround characteristics of Drivers and Non-Drivers. In making comparisons of the acadomic achievenent and activity patterns between Drivers and Non-Drivers, the writor reasoned that many contributing variables could not be controlled. The evaluation of what influence an automobile may have on a particular student's marks, cannot be measured when other factors such as a part-time job, a newly discovered social life, a personality conflict with a certain toacher, a foster father, etc., all have something to do with the learning environment. At South Hadley High School, it was not known whether Drivers differed in any way from Non-Drivers. It was suggested and speculated that differences did occur, however, especially in the area of scholastic achievement. This investigation hes undertaken to determine if differences did exist between them, and if so, what they were.

In order to approach this problem in a manner which would make its conclusions more reliable, the author sought to establish if the general backgrounds of all the cases studied were in some ways similar. If it had happened that the two groups were in no way similar to each other, this investigation would have been in vain. This was not the situation at South Hadley High School, however, for it was determined that in several ways, the attributes of one group were icentical to those of the other.

One such similarity is seen in an analysis of the
future plans as expressed by the students making up the Driver and Non-Driver groups. It is readily seen that the am-

## TABLE 3

Future Plans of Drivers and Non-Drivers

|  | Drivers |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number Percent | Number Percent | Number Percent |
| :---: |
| None |

bitions of both groups are much alike. None of the figures In Table 3 show any significant differences between the percentages of either group on a particular indicated preference. It is interesting to note that a greater percentage of Drivers have indicated a military future than have the Non-Drivers, and at the same time, a nearly equal smaller percentage have selected the category of further education.

This tendency toward an incongruity between the groups, is more than likely due to the larger percentage of boys in the driving classification.

The type of curriculum which the students have chosen to purgue was another way in which general background char-

$$
\text { TABLE } 4
$$

Curricular Preferences of Drivers and Non-Drivers

| Curriculum | Drivers |  | Non-Drivers | All |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Number Percent | Number Percent | Number Percent |  |  |  |
| College | 62 | 60.8 | 112 | 49.6 | 174 | 53.1 |
| Commercial | 35 | 34.3 | 92 | 40.7 | 127 | 38.7 |
| General | 5 | 4.9 | 22 | 9.7 | 27 | 8.2 |
| Total | 102 | 100.0 | 226 | 100.0 | 328 | 100.0 |

acteristics of the groups were compared. The allover pattern of curriculum selection by the students is another indication of the upper middle-class socioeconomic structure of the town. Table 4 also reveals that Drivers at fouth Hadley High School were more inclined to have chosen academic courses of study than hed the Non-Drivers. The figures representing college course selectees, are significantly different to the $5 \%$ level of confidence, as computed by the chi square test of significance. These sta-
tistics tend to suggest a negative correlation with data concerning educational ambitions as appearing in mable 3 , on page 26. This inconsistency is probably aue to the fact that many pupils taking commercial subjects have expressed a desire to continue their education.

Drivers and Non-Drivers appear to have come from families quite similar with respect to home ownership back-

| Home Ownership by the Families of Drivers and Non-Drivers |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Status | Drivers |  | Non-Drivers |  | All |  |
|  | Number Percent |  | Number Percent |  | Number Percent |  |
| Own |  | 91.2 | 201 | 89.0 | 293 | 89.3 |
| Rent | 10 | 9.8 | 25 | 11.0 | 35 | 10.7 |
| Total | 102 | 100.0 | 226 | 100.0 | 328 | 100.0 |

ground. This consideration was thought to be of importance in that one might assume that a larger percentege of Drivers would have come from familios owning real estate. The table above indicates that approximately $90 \%$ of both Drivers and Non-Drivers have simjlar backgrounds in this area.

The family employment situation was also thought to be of some significance in establishing the existence of com-
mon background traits. Contrary to what might be expected, both Drivers and Non-Drivers had very similar histories

where the number of their respective parents who normally work, at least on a part-time basis, was concerned.

The statistics as seen in Table 7 (see page 30), have led the writer to conclude that a significantly greater percentage of Drivers have originated in a "two car family" environment than have Non-Drivers. This situation is probably due in part to the student owning his own automobile. It may be due also to the fact that where a second vehicle becomes available to a family, the members of that family are more apt to make use of $1 t$ than they would the car normally driven by the head of the household. This same
reasoning may also explain why so many fewer Drivers had a

## TABLE 7

Automobile Ownership within the Families of Drivers and Non-Drivers

| No. of cars | Drivers |  | Non-Drivers |  | A11 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| None | 0 | 0 | 9 | 4.0 | 9 | 2.7 |
| 1 | 36 | 35.3 | 145 | 64.2 | 181 | 55.2 |
| 2 | 57 | 55.9 | 57 | 25.2 | 114 | 34.8 |
| 3 | 6 | 5.9 | 13 | 5.7 | 19 | 5.8 |
| 4 or more | 3 | 2.9 | 2 | . 9 | 5 | 1.5 |
| Total | 102 | 100.0 | 226 | 100.0 | 328 | 100.0 |

"one car family" environment.
Acadomic achievement of Drivors and Non-Drivers. In order to compare the relative academic success of one group with that of the other, all students were assigned a class rank percentile figure. These percentiles were based on scholastic averages computed cumulatively from the time the subjects entered high school through their third term marks for the 1959-1960 school year. The pupils having been so ranked from the lowest to the highest in achievement, were then assigned as equally as possible to respective quar-
tiles. The pupils having been grouped into quartiles according to their achievement, were then segregated on the basis of their being Drivers or Non-Drivers. From Table 8,

TABLE 8
Distribution of Drivers and Non-Drivers into Class Rank Quartiles

| Quartile | Drivers <br> Number Percent | Non-Drivers <br> Number Percent | All <br> Number Percent |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4th | 24 | 23.5 | 57 | 25.2 | 81 | 24.7 |
| 3rd | 25 | 24.5 | 60 | 26.6 | 85 | 25.9 |
| 2nd | 26 | 25.5 | 54 | 23.9 | 80 | 24.4 |
| lst | 27 | 26.5 | 55 | 24.3 | 82 | 25.0 |
| Total | 102 | 100.0 | 226 | 100.0 | 328 | 100.0 |

it can be seen that the percentage of Drivers tends to increase slightly from the highost to the lowest ranking quartile. The distribution of the Non-Drivers among the quartiles shows a tendency for more than $50 \%$ of them to have ranked in the upper half of the percentile scale. Nevertheless, the table indicates no significant difforences in the relative distribution of either group among the ouartiles. According to this table, as viewed from a statistical standpoint, the achievement level of privers
was equal to that of the Non-Drivers.
When the study cases were separated on the basis of sex, as depicted in Tables 9 and 10 , it was observed that

## TABLE 9

Male Drivers and Non-Drivers Compared on the Basis of Achievement by Quartile Rank

|  | Drivers | Non-Drivers | Nignificance |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Quartile | Number Percent | Number Percent |  |  |  |
| 4th | 11 | 16.9 | 16 | 20.0 | None |
| 3 rd | 16 | 24.6 | 17 | 21.3 | None |
| 2nd | 18 | 27.7 | 18 | 22.5 | None |
| 1st | 20 | 30.8 | 29 | 36.2 | None |
| Total | 65 | 100.0 | 80 | 100.0 |  |

with both Drivers as well as Non-Drivers, the girls have better achlevement records than have the boys. This relationship is consistent with the findings in the state of Connecticut where it was determined that in high schools, girls in general have the highest scholastic averages. ${ }^{1}$ Thus, in viewing the statistics presented in this paper, the different achlevement levels of the two sexes must be
$I_{A}$. S. Northby, "Sex Differences in High School Scholarship," School and Society, LXXXVI, (February 1, 1958), pp. 63-64.
remembered. The figures in Tables 9 and 10 tend to favor

## TABLE 10

Female Drivers and Non-Drivers Compared on the Basis of Achievement by Quartile Rank

the non-driving boys and the driving girls in the aroa of highest achievement. A higher percentage of non-driving boys, however, is seen in the lowest quartile. The test of significance, nevertheless, rejects the hypothesis that any achievement differences exist botween the academic records of Drivers as compared to Non-Drivers when grouped homogeneously according to sex.

## CHAPTER V
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## ELUCTUATION IN SCHOLASTIC AVEPAGES

In addition to the determination as to how well Drivers comparad to Non-Drivers in class rank standing at one particular time. (February 26, 1950), it wes equally as important to compare changes which may have taken place in their relative achievement levels over a period of time. The intention in this phase of the investigation, was to determine the occurrence of any abnormal fluctuation in the achlevement levels of students, after these students had acquired the use of automobiles. This chapter deals specifically with changes in academic averages, and Chaptor VI is concerned with the fluctuation patterns in class standings.

The scholastic mark averages for each of the 328 students were converted from the conventional letter grede system ( $A, B, C$, otc.), to numerical equivalents ranging from 1.0 , representing a failure, to 6.0 which depicted a straight A student. In this manner year end averages for all students were complled. with the academic averages of each student known as of the end of each school year, and as of the end of the third marking term in the present (1959-1960) year, the investigator was able to make observations into the achievement patterns of the pupils. To do this, the writer chose to examine what changes may have
taken place in the averages of Drivers and Non-Drivers dura period of one and three-fifths school years.

Each student was classified as to whether his marks had remained unchanged, had risen, or had declined during the interval between the beginning and the end of the period. Table 11 indicates that the number of Drivers who had

## TABLE 11

Fluctuation in Warks for All Drivers and NonDrivers Compared on the Basis of Change in Academ1c Averages from June of 1958 to February of 1960

| Fluctuation | Drivers <br> Number Percent |  | Non-Drivers <br> Number Percent | Significance |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Increased | 49 | 48.05 | 100 | 44.3 | None |
| No change | 4 | 3.90 | 8 | 3.5 | None |
| Decreased | 49 | 48.05 | 118 | 52.5 | None |
| Total | 102 | 100.0 | 226 | 100.0 |  |

raised their averages, was equal to the number whose marks declined. Over the game period, somewhat less than half of the Non-Drivers had made academ1c gains and slightly more than half saw their averages deteriorate. The tendency shown, though not statistically signipicant, indicatos that a greater percentage of students who acquired the use
of automobiles, raised their averages, than did those without the frequent driving privilege.

The directional changes which occurred in the averages of boys only, are shown in Table 12. It is notable that

## TABLE 12

Fuctuation in Marks for Male Drivers and NonDrivers Compared on the Basis of Change in Acadeaic Averages from Juno of 1958 to February of 1960

| Fluctuation | Drivers <br> Number | Non-Drivers <br> Nuinber Percent | Significance |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |

more than half the boys in both groups suffered acedemic losses during the year and three-fifths in which their initial and final averages were compared. It would appear that Drivers made fewer gains and greater losses than did the Non-Drivers. Here too, however, the differences between scholastic gains made by the two groups and the losses suffered by both, are statiatically inconsequential. Though a tendency 18 seen, the author must conclude that
differences are not found between the mark fluctuation pattern of male Drivers as compared to the male Non-Drivers. The mark fluctuation patterns of the driving and nondriving girls in the two upper classes at South Hadley High School are shown in Table 13. Therein it can be seen that

TABLE 13
Fluctuation in Marks for Female Drivers and NonDrivers Compared on the Basis of Change in Academic Averages frora June of 1958 to February of 1960

| Fluctuation | Drivers <br> Number Percent |  |  | Drivers <br> Percent | Significance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Increased | 26 | 70.3 | 68 | 46.6 | 1\% level |
| No change |  | 2.7 | 7 | 4.8 | None |
| Decreased | 10 | 27.0 | 71 | 48.6 | 1\% Ievel |
| Total | 37 | 100.0 | 146 | 100.0 |  |

the total number of girls making scholastic gains, is greater than the number suffering losses. This condition supports earlier findings that girls do better than boys. With respect to the differences between the fluctuation patterns of the two groups, high degrees of significance exist. Nearly $24 \%$ more of the driving girls mado academic gains than aia the female Non-Drivers. Likewise, over $21 \%$
fewer Drivers had scholastic losses through the period. From the figures in this table, it can be said that a relationship betweon the acquisition of the frequent driving privilege and a tendency for bettering marizs, definitely exists among the girls in the Classes of 1960 and 1951 at South Hedley H1gh School. This does not imply, however, that one is either the cause or the effect for the other. The relationship exists; why $1 t$ exists, is another problem.

The next step in compering scholestic average changes, is to determine where in the ranking scale these changes take place. To do this, all students having shown an in-

## TABL营 14

Drivers and Non-Drivers having Increased their.
Respoctive Academic Averages from June of 1958 to February of 1960, Compared on the Basis of Present Quertile Fiank

| Quertile | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { Drivers } & \text { Non-Drivers } \\ \text { Number Percent Number Percent } \end{array}$ |  |  |  | Significance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 th | 14 | 28.6 | 25 | 25.0 | None |
| 3 rd | 11 | 22.4 | 27 | 27.0 | None |
| 2nd | 14 | 28.6 | 22 | 22.0 | None |
| 1 st | 10 | 20.4 | 26 | 26.0 | None |
| Total | 49 | 100.0 | 100 | 100.0 |  |

crease in their marks over the period of a year and threefifths, were separated into the various quartiles in which they ranked at the end of the time interval. Table 14 (see page 39) indicates that just over one half of the students, Drivers and Non-Drivers alike, having made gains in their scholastic averages, were those currently ranking in the upper half of tholf respective classes. The figures tend to show that where non-driving students were distributed almost equally among the quartiles, more of the Drivers in the top quartile had improved themselves and some fewer in the lowest quartile had made additional geing.

Table 15 indicates the number of cases where no

## TABLE 15

Drivers and Non-Drivers Having Nade No Changes in Their Respective Academic Averages from June of 1958 to February of 1960, Compared on the Basis of present Quartile Rank

| Quartile | Drivers | Non-Drivers | Number Fercent | Number Percent | Significance |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 4 th | 1 | 25.0 | 3 | 37.5 | None |
| 3 ra | 0 | 0 | 3 | 37.5 | None |
| 2nd | 2 | 50.0 | 1 | 12.5 | None |
| 1 1st | 1 | 25.0 | 1 | 12.5 | None |
| Total | 4 | 100.0 | 8 | 100.0 |  |

scholastic changes occurred, as boing too small to bo of any importance.

It is observed in Table 16 that of those students whose school marks declined, almost twice as many Drivers appear in the last quartile as are seen in the first. The

TABLE 16
Drivers and Non-Drivers Having Decreased Their Respective Academic Averages from June of 1958 to February of 1960, Compared on the Basis of Present Quartile Rank

| Quartile | Drivers |  | Non-Drivers |  | Slgnificance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |  |
| 4 th | 0 | 18.4 | 29 | 24.6 | None |
| 3 ra | 14 | 28.6 | 30 | 25.4 | None |
| 2nd | 10 | 20.4 | 31 | 26.3 | None |
| 1st | 16 | 32.6 | 28 | 23.7 | None |
| Total | 49 | 100.0 | 118 | 100.0 |  |

figures are not significantly different from those of the Non-Drivers, but a trend may be seen which might 1 mply a relationship between low ranking students going even lower, after they have acquired the use of automobiles. The four tables which follow, lllustrate the directional fluctuation of marks within each of the four quartiles.

## TABLE 17

Drivers and Non-Drivers Ranked in the Fourth Quartile Compared on the Basis of Fluctuation of Academic Averages from June of 1958 to February of 1960

|  | Drivers | Non-Drivers | Significance |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Fluctuation | Number Percent | Number Percent |  |  |  |
| Increased | 14 | 58.4 | 25 | 43.9 | None |
| No change | 1 | 4.1 | 3 | 5.2 | None |
| Decreased | 9 | 37.5 | 29 | 50.9 | None |
| Total | 24 | 100.0 | 57 | 100.0 |  |

TABLE 18
Drivers and Non-Drivers Ranked in the Third quartile Compared on the Basis of Fluctuation in Academic Averages from June of 1953 to February of 1950

| Drivers | Non-Drivers | Significance |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
|  | Number Percent | Number Percent |  |  |  |
| Increased | 14 | 53.9 | 22 | 40.8 | None |
| No change | 2 | 7.7 | 1 | 1.8 | None |
| Decreased | 10 | 38.4 | 31 | 57.4 | None |
| Total | 26 | 100.0 | 54 | 100.0 |  |

Drivers and Non-Drivers Ranked in the Second Quartile Compared on the Basis of Fluctuation in Academic Averages from June of 1958 to February of 1960

|  | Drivers | Non-Drivers | Significance |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fluctuation | Number Percent | Number Percent |  |  |  |
| Increased | 11 | 44.0 | 27 | 45.0 | None |
| No change | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5.0 | None |
| Decreased | 14 | 65.0 | 30 | 50.0 | None |
| Total | 25 | 100.0 | 60 | 100.0 |  |

TABLE 20
Drivers and Non-Drivers Ranked in the First quartile Compared on the Easis of Fluctuation in Academic Averages from June of 1958 to February of 1960

|  | Drivers | Non-Drivers | Significance |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Fluctuation | Number Percent | Number Percent |  |  |  |
| Increased | 10 | 37.0 | 26 | 47.2 | None |
| No change | 1 | 3.7 | 1 | 1.8 | None |
| Decreased | 16 | 59.3 | 28 | 51.0 | None |
| Total | 27 | 100.0 | 55 | 100.0 |  |

Summary. The girls at South Hadley High School have shown a definite tendency to improve their scholastic aver-
ages more so than boys. Considerably more girls who became frequent users of automobiles raised their scholastic standings, than did the girls without this driming privilege. In general, students in the top quartile tended to do even better after becoming Drivers, while students (especially boys) in the lowest quartile tended to drop even lower.

## CHAPTER VI

FLUCTUATION IN CLASS RANKINGS
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## FLUCTUATION IN CLASS RANKINGS

The class rank of a student, expressed as a percentile, coupled with his scholastic average, present a much clearer description of his true academic achievement, than do either of these consicerations by themselves. This can be seen when one considers that it is ontirely possible for the average marks of a student to rise, whilo at the same time, owing to greater gains by his classmates, his class rank position may decline. Likewise, the reverse of this is similarly possible. Whereas the previous chapter has dealt with patterns of change in scholastic averages, this chapter is concerned with the fluctuation patterns of driving and non-driving students with respect to changes in their class rank standings.

Felative class standings, based on academic averages at the end of each school year and at the end of the third marking term of the 1959-1960 school year, were comp1led and converted into percentiles (see Appendices $B$ añ $C$ ). Each student was classified as to the direction in which his class rank percentile fluctuated from the point at which it had stood in June of 1958. It is noticeable at the outset, that in comparing the results of Table 21 to those of Table 11 (Chapter V, page 36) that where the directional change pattern of marks is roughly equal in
elther alrection, the fluctuation of clase rank percentiles is more often in a rank deteriorating, rather than in a rank improving direction. In analyzing tilis apparent in-

## pable 21

Fluctuation in Class Rankings of All Drivers and Non-Drivers Compared on the Basis of Percentile Changes from June of 1958 to February of 1960

| Fluctuation | Drivers | Non-Drivers | Significance |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
|  | Number Percent | Number Percent |  |  |  |
| Increased | 40 | 39.2 | 82 | 36.3 | None |
| No change | 4 | 3.9 | 6 | 2.7 | None |
| Decreased | 58 | 56.9 | 138 | 61.0 | None |
| Total | 102 | 100.0 | 226 | 100.0 |  |

congruity, it must be borne in mind that when a class member arops out, the percentile ranking of all those who originally stood above him, decline slightly. Likewise, those who formerly stood below him, have their percentiles raised slightly. Inasmuch as the population of the combined junior and senior classes had declined by some 83 individuals between June of 1958 and February of 1960 , and since the majority of the dropouts had stood in the lower half of thelr respective classes, well over half of the re-
maining puplls suffered automatic decreases in their percentile rankings. In comparing the fluctuation pattern of the Drivers to that of the Non-Drivers, a tendency for auto usere to have made more gaing as woll as fewer logses in class rank standings is readily seen.

Considering the rank changes made by boys alone over the period of a year and threa-fifths, fable 22 indicates

TABLE 22
Fluctuation in Class Rankings of Male Drivers ana Mon-Drivers Compared on the Basis of Percentile Changes from June of 1958 to February of 1960

| Fluctuation | Drivers | Non-Drivers | Significance |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
|  | Number Percent | Number Percent |  |  |  |
| Increased | 21 | 32.3 | 13 | 22.5 | None |
| No change | 3 | 4.6 | 1 | 1.3 | None |
| Decreased | 41 | 63.1 | 61 | 76.2 | $5 \%$ level |
| Total | 65 | 100.0 | 80 | 100.0 |  |

that a significantly smaller percentage of driving boys dropped in percentile standing as compared to the male non car users. The opposite tendency is also soen where class rank increases have been noted.

An analysis of the girls at South Hadley High School
with respect to the directions in which thoir percentile class rankinge deviated during the period in question, may bo drawn from 'reble 23. Though not significantiy differ-

## TABLE 23

Fluctuation in Class Rankings of Female Drivers and Non-Drivers Compared on the Basis of

Percentile Changes from June of 1958 to February of 1950

| Fluctuation Drivers  <br>  Number Porcent Non-Drivers <br> Number Percent   | Significance |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
|  | 19 | 51.4 | 64 | 43.8 | None |
| No change | 1 | 2.7 | 5 | 3.4 | None |
| Decreased | 17 | 45.9 | 77 | 52.8 | None |
| Tote1 | 37 | 100.0 | 146 | 100.0 |  |

ent, again a greater percentage of Drivers mado rank improvements then did the Non-Drivers.

To determine any significant percentile areas where noteworthy changen may have occurred, all of the study cases were classifled as to whether their indiviaual percentiles hed risen, declined, or remained constant since June of 1958. The figures in trable 24 (see page 50) point out that Non-Drivers in the top ouartile had made the greatest number of incividual percentile gains. In the low-
est quartile, the exact reverse is true. These statistics,

TABLE 24
Drivers and Non-Drivers Having Increased Theix
Percentile Rankings from June of 1958 to
February of 1960, Compared on the Basis of Present Quartile Rank

| Quartile | Drivers | Non-Drivers | Significance |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number Percent | Number Percent |  |  |  |
| 3th | 11 | 27.5 | 34 | 41.4 |
| 2nd | 13 | 32.5 | 25 | 30.5 |
| $18 t$ | 9 | 22.5 | 18 | 22.0 |
| Total | 7 | 17.5 | 5 | 6.1 |

though not significantly different where Drivers are compared with Non-Drivers, suggest that Non-Drivers ranking high, out-gain the Drivers. At the same time, Drivers ranking low, out-gain the Non-Drivers. The trends present in this table concerning class rank fluctuation patterns of Drivers in contrast with Non-Drivers, appear to be a complete contradiction to trends found in Table 14 (see Chapter $V$, page 39) which deals with patterns in the fluctuation of academic averages. Inasmuch as none of the statistics in either Table 14 or 24 show any of the Driver-

Nor-Driver pattem differences to be significant, the authon attributes the pregence of theae apposite tondencies to chance.

Secauce of the small number of cases, the figures in

TABLE 25
Drivers and Non-Drivers Having Mede No Changes in Their Percentile Rankings from June of 1958 to Febmuary of 1950, Compared on the Basis of Present Quartile Rank

| Quartile | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { Divers Non-Drivers } \\ \text { Number Percent Number Percent } \end{array}$ |  |  |  | Significance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 th | 1 | 25.0 | 3 | 50.0 | None |
| 3 rd | 0 | 0 | 2 | 33.3 | None |
| 2nd | 2 | 50.0 | 0 | 0 | None |
| 1st | 1 | 25.0 | 1 | 16.7 | None |
| Total | 4 | 100.0 |  | 100.0 |  |

Table 25, above, are of little importance.
The data presented in Table 26 (see page 52) involves the relative positions of those students whose class rankings had deelined. It is notable that with both Drivers and Non-Drivers, gtudents having suffered losges in rank standings tend to be more highly concentrated in the lowest quartile. of those in the top quartile, the percentage
of orivers is greater by $6.2 \%$ of the figure representing

TABL: 26
Drivers and Non-Drivers Having Decreased Their
Percentile Rankings from June of 1958 to
February of 1960, Compared on the Basis of Present Quertile Rank

| Quartile | Drivers <br> Number Percent | Non-Drivers <br> Number Percent | Slgnificance |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4 th | 12 | 20.7 | 20 | 14.5 | None |
| 3 rd | 12 | 20.7 | 33 | 23.9 | None |
| 2nd | 15 | 25.8 | 36 | 25.1 | None |
| 1st | 19 | 32.8 | 49 | 35.5 | None |
| Total | 58 | 100.0 | 138 | 100.0 |  |

the non-driving pupils. None of the percentage figures representing Drivers are sicnificantiy different from those in corresponding quartiles which represent Non-Drivers. There is a tendency, however, which indicates that Drivers In the top quartile are not as apt to improve their class standings as are the non-driving individuals.

For the cases ranked in the three upper quartiles, differences as shown in Tables 27,28 , and 29 are insignificant and do not seem to follow any pattern which favors one group or the other. (see pages 53 and 54)

## TABLE 27

Drivers and Non-Drivers lanked in the Fourth Quartile Compared on the Bagis of Fluctuation in Fercentile Rankings from June of 1958 to February of 1960

|  | Drivers | Non-Drivers | Significance |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Fluctuation | Number Percent | Number Percent |  |  |  |
| Increased | 11 | 45.8 | 34 | 59.6 | None |
| No change | 1 | 4.2 | 3 | 5.3 | None |
| Decreased | 12 | 50.0 | 20 | 35.1 | None |
| Total | 24 | 100.0 | 57 | 100.0 |  |

## TABLE 28

Drivers and Non-Drivers Ranked in the Third quartile Compared on the Basis of Fluctuation in Percentile Rankings from June of 1958 to February of 1950

| Fluctuation | Drivers | Non-Drivers | Significance |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number Percent | Number Fercent |  |  |  |
| Increased | 13 | 52.0 | 25 | 41.7 | None |
| No change | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3.3 | None |
| Decreased | 12 | 48.0 | 33 | 55.0 | None |
| Total | 25 | 100.0 | 60 | 100.0 |  |

## TABLE 29

> Drivers and Non-Drivers Ranked in the Second quartile Compared on the Easis of Fluctuation in Percentile Rankings from June of 1958 to February of 1960

|  | Drivers | Non-Drivers | Significance |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Fluctuation | Number Percent | Number Percent |  |  |  |
| Increased | 9 | 34.6 | 18 | 33.4 | None |
| No change | 2 | 7.7 | 0 | 0 | None |
| Decreased | 15 | 57.7 | 36 | 66.6 | None |
| Total | 26 | 100.0 | 54 | 100.0 |  |

Of those ranked in the lowest quartile, a significant-

## TABLE 30

Drivers and Non-Drivers Ranked in the First Cuartile Compared on the Basis of Fluctuation in Percentile Rankings from June of 1958 to February of 1960

|  | Drivers |  | Non-Drivers | Significance |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Fluctuation | Number Percent | Number Percent |  |  |  |
| Increased | 7 | 25.9 | 5 | 9.1 | $5 \%$ level |
| No change | 1 | 3.7 | 1 | 1.8 | None |
| Decreased | 19 | 70.4 | 49 | 89.1 | $5 \%$ level |
| Total | 27 | 100.0 | 55 | 100.0 |  |

Iy greater percentage of Drivers are shown to have improved their rank standings than had the students without the driving privilege.

Summary. From June of 1958 to February of 1960, a greater proportion of the boys who had acquired the use of automobiles advanced their individual class rank standings than had the boys without cars. This relationship was particularly noticeable with those in the lowest ranking quartile.

The statistics in this chapter indicate a general trend for students with acquired use of automobiles to make greater individual progress in class rank, than pupils not having the driving privilege.

## CHAPTER VII

TIME DEVOTED TO STUDY

## CHAPTER VII

## TIMT DEVOMED TC SMUDY

Lesson preparation outsice of class is an important part of a student's normal activity. Educatore generally agree that insufficient time devoted to study is a major factor contributing to the inability of certain students to reach scholastic achievement levels commengurate with their individual potentialities. It has been suggested that any activity which tends to reduce the amount of time thet a perticular pupil should devote to the adequate preparation of his homework assignments, may contribute adversely to his academic achievement.

It has been establishod in Chepter IV thet the genoral backeround pattern of the students who heve been classifled an Drivers, is similar to the pattern of those grouped es Non-Drivers. It was further determined in the seme chapter that the rolative achlevement levels of both groupe as of February of 1960, were not significantly aifferent from each other. Had they boen found to be different, this and the next several chapters might have served to suggest some possibilities as to why the groups were dissimiler. Since this is not the situation, these chapters may tend to sugcest why differences in scholastic o.chievement levels were not found.

In the questionnaire, the 328 pupils involved in this

Investigation were asked to indicate as closely as possible, the average number of hours spent each week in doing homework. On the basis of the replies, three categories of time were established- less than 5,6 to 18 , and over 18 hours per week. Table 31 compares 211328 students, first separated into the Driver and Non-Driver groups, and then

## TAELE 31

Study Habits of All Drivers and Non-Drivers Comparod on the Basis of the Number of Hours Devoted Each Week to Homework

| Hours | Drivers | Non-Drivers | Significance |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | Number Percent | Number Percent |  |  |  |
| Over 18 | 16 | 15.7 | 45 | 19.9 | None |
| 6 to 18 | 73 | 71.6 | 156 | 59.0 | None |
| Under 6 | 13 | 12.7 | 25 | 11.1 | None |
| Total | 102 | 100.0 | 226 | 100.0 |  |

a.ccording to the three frequency divisions. Although not statistically significant, a tendency is indicated by this table, that Non-Drivers tend to devote more time to study than do the Drivers.

In Chapter IV of this paper, it was observed that the boys included by this study did not reach an achievement level equal to that accomplished by the girls. This would
seem to suggest that a similar relationship betwoen boys and girls may exist with respect to the amounts of time given over to homework. In analyzing Table 32, it can be

TABLE 32
Study Habits of Wale Drivers and Non-Drivers Compared on the Basis of the Number of Hours Devoted Each Weok to Homework

| Hours | Drivers <br> Number Percent | Non-Drivers <br> Number Fercent | Significance |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Over 18 | 8 | 12.3 | 15 | 18.7 | None |
| 6 to 18 | 46 | 70.8 | 53 | 66.3 | None |
| Under 6 | 11 | 16.9 | 12 | 15.0 | None |
| Total | 65 | 100.0 | 80 | 100.0 |  |

seen that a tendency for boys without the influence of automobiles to devote more time to stuay than boys who drive frequently, may exist. The table also indicates that after a boy has acquired the habitual uss of an automobile, his study habit with respect to time does not necessarily undergo any redical change.

The statistics involving the girls of this investigat tion as presented in Table 33 (see page 60), tend to infer that, girls who are frequent arivers of automobiles, stuas a little more than those without cars. Though not signifi-

## TABLE 33

Study Habits of Female Drivers and Non-Drivers
Compared on the Basis of the Number of Hours Devoted Each Week to Homework

| Hours | Drivers <br> Number Percent |  | Non-Drivers Number Fercent |  | Significance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Over 18 | 8 | 21.6 | 30 | 20.5 | None |
| 6 to 18 | 27 | 73.0 | 103 | 70.6 | None |
| Under 18 | 2 | 5.4 | 13 | 8.9 | None |
| Total |  | 100.0 | 146 | 100.0 |  |

cant, this trend was expected by the author in the 11 ght of the findings in Chapter $V$. There it was determined that girls who became Drivers, improved their marks somewhat more so than girle without cars.

Both Tables 32 and 33 tend to lend agreement to the assumption that girls study more than boys, even though no significant differences were found between the Driver and Non-Driver patterns when separated according to sex.

In comparing the cases having indlcated that they ordinarlly devote more than 18 hours to doing homework each week, Table 34 (see page 6I) gives ovidence to the effect that more than half of them are in the top quarter of thelr respective classes. A higher percentage of Drivers is also noted in the highest quartile as well as the lowest.

Drivers and Non-Drivers Devoting Over Eighteen
Hour's Each Week to Homework Compared
on the Bebis of Guartile Rank

| Guartile | Drivers <br> Number Percent | Non-Drivers <br> Number Percent | Significance |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 4 th | 10 | 62.5 | 25 | 55.6 | None |
| 3 ra | 3 | 18.7 | 10 | 22.2 | None |
| 2nd | 1 | 6.3 | 7 | 15.5 | None |
| 1st | 2 | 12.5 | 3 | 6.7 | None |
| Total | 16 | 100.0 | 45 | 100.0 |  |

## TABLE 35

Drivers and Non-Drivers Devoting Six to Eighteen Hours Each Woek to Homowork Compared on the Basis of Quartile Rank

| Quartile | Drivers |  | Non-Drivers |  | Significance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |  |
| $4 t h$ | 12 | 16.4 | 30 | 19.2 | None |
| 3 rd | 20 | 27.4 | 45 | 28.8 | Nono |
| 2nd | 22. | 30.2 | 38 | 24.4 | ivone |
| $1 s t$ | 19 | 26.0 | 43 | 27.6 | None |
| Total | 73 | 100.0 | 156 | 100.0 |  |

Table 35 (see page 61) compares Drivers and Non-Drivers having study time schedules which ran from 6 to 18 hours per week. It presents evidence that students in both comparitive groups (Drivers and Non-Drivers) were concentrated with a fair amount of uniformity throushout the threo lower cuartiles. A lesser number of cases, which tended to favor Non-Drivers is seen in the top achievement quartile.

In Table 35 which compares the students who devote but little time to outside study, it is seen that they domTABLE 36

Drivers and Non-Drivers Devoting Less Than Six Hours Each Week to Homework Compared on the Basis of Quartile Rank

| Quartile | Drivers <br> Number Percent | Non-Drivers <br> Number Percent | Significance |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Inate the lower quartiles. The differences in student concentrations between Drivers and Non-Drivers, are nowhere in
thls table, great onough to suggest the existence of difforent study habit patterns for indvers as set apart from Non-Drivers.

The four tables which follow, tend to omphesize the conclusions which can be drawn from the previous three. There are additional statistical relationships which may be noticeable, but the relatively small number of cases found in some of the categories, minimize the rellability of even large percentage differences. These tables compare the Drivers and the Non-Drivers who, as of February in 1960, were ranked respectively within the four statistical quart1les.

## TABLE 37

Drivers and Non-Drivers Ranized. in the Fourth
Quartile Compared as to Number of Hours
Devoted Each Week to Homework

| Hours | Drivers | Non-Drivers |  | Significance |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | Number Percent | Number Percent |  |  |  |
| Over 18 | 10 | 41.7 | 25 | 43.9 | None |
| 6 to 18 | 12 | 50.0 | 30 | 52.6 | None |
| Under 6 | 2 | 8.3 | 2 | 3.5 | None |
| Total | 24 | 100.0 | 57 | 100.0 |  |

## TABLE 38

Drivers and Non-Drivers Ranked in the Third Quartile Compared as to Number of Hours Devoted Each Week to Homework

| Hours | Drivers <br> Number Percent | Non-Drivers <br> Number Percent | Significance |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Over 18 | 3 | 12.0 | 10 | 16.7 | None |
| 6 to 18 | 20 | 80.0 | 45 | 75.0 | None |
| Under 6 | 2 | 8.0 | 5 | 8.3 | None |
| Total | 25 | 100.0 | 60 | 100.0 |  |

TABLE 39
Drivers and Non-Drivers Ranked in the Second Quartile Compared as to Number of Hours Devoted Each Week to Homework

|  | Drivers | Non-Drivers | Significance |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Hours | Number Percent | Number Percent |  |  |  |
| Over 18 | 1 | 3.8 | 7 | 13.0 | None |
| 6 to 18 | 22 | 84.7 | 38 | 70.4 | None |
| Under 6 | 3 | 11.5 | 9 | 16.6 | None |
| Total | 26 | 100.0 | 54 | 100.0 |  |

## TABLE 40

Drivers and Non-Drivers Ranked in tho First Quartile Compared as to Number of Hours Devoted Each Week to Homework

|  | Drivers |  | Non-Drivers | Significance |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Hours | Number Percent | Number Percent |  |  |  |
| Over 18 | 2 | 7.4 | 3 | 5.5 | None |
| 6 to 18 | 19 | 70.4 | 43 | 78.2 | None |
| Under 6 | 6. | 22.2 | 9 | 16.3 | None |
| Total | 27 | 100.0 | 55 | 100.0 |  |

With respect to the amounts of time students in the two upper classes at South Hadley High School devoted to homework, definite relationships have been determined. It was found that girls were in the habit of studying longor hours than boys. In general, the number of hours devoted to study tended to increase somewhat in proportion to ascending percentiles in the class rank scales. No variation was found in the study time pattern of Drivers as compared to Non-Drivers, taken as a whole or compared individually by sox.

## CHAFTER VIII

BOCIAL ACTIVITY

## CHAPTER VIII

## SOCIAL ACTIVITY

It is well known by educators that within any one particular high school, different students exhibit wido ranges of sooial aptness. Some pupils find it difficult to perform the simplest of social tasks while others continuously participate in social activities, in and out of school.

In Chapter VII it was determined that in general, the students spending the greatest amount of time in doing homework, attained the highest degree of scholastic achievement. The writer would reason, therefore, that a high degree of social activity, which may tend to reduce study time, may have a detrimental effect on achievement. Further the author reasons that the acquisition of an automobile may tend to increase a student's social activity and in this way have an effect on h1s school marks. This chapter will concern itself with the determination of the social activity pattern of Drivers as compared to that of the NonDrivers.

As to the degree with which Drivers and Non-Drivers participated in social activities, all of the 328 pupils concerned in this study were classified as to whether their normal activity patterns were high (over 5 engagements por week), average ( 2 to 5 engagements per week), or low (less
than 2 social engagements per week). Table 41 indicates that a significantly greater percentage of Drivers were in

TABLE 41
Social Activity of All Drivers and Non-Driverg Compared on the Basis of Frequency of Engagements Por Veek

| Frequency | Drivers <br> Number Percent | Non-Drivers <br> Number Percent | Significance |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Over 5 | 13 | 12.7 | 11 | 4.9 | 5\% level |
| 2 to 5 | 78 | 76.5 | 174 | 77.0 | None |
| Under 2 | 11 | 10.8 | 41 | 18.1 | None |
| Total | 102 | 100.0 | 226 | 100.0 |  |

the custom of seeking social entertainment more often than five times a week, than wore the Non-Drivers, This relationship is understandable, for students having the frequent use of automobiles certainly should have a greater opportunity to pursue more distant and diverse activities, than do individuals without a ready source of transportation.

The sociel activity patterms of girls when considered separately as in Table 42 (seo page 59), do appear to have certain significant differences when comparing Drivers to Non-Drivers. The frequency of social activity by car using

## TABLE 42

## Social Activity of Female Drivers and Non-Drivers Compared on the Basis of Frequency of Engagements Por Week

|  | Drivers | Non-Drivers | Significance |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Frequency | Number Percent | Number Percent |  |  |  |
| Over 5 | 5 | 13.5 | 4 | 2.7 | 1\% Level |
| 2 to 5 | 30 | 81.1 | 119 | 81.5 | None |
| Under 2 | 2 | 5.4 | 23 | 15.8 | $5 \%$ level |
| Total | 37 | 100.0 | 145 | 100.0 |  |

girls is shown to have been considsrably higher than the general pattern exhibited by the young women not having the privilege to drive irequently. Further, in comparing this table with Table 43 on page 70 , it can be seen that girla In general tended to have been socially more active than the boys. It must be remembered, however, that no attempt was made to discover the types of social activites in which the pupils ordinarily participated.

The same general tendency as observed with the females, is IIkewise seen in Table 43 (page 70) in the case of the boys. Though the tendency for male Drivers to be the most active socially is shown, the percentage differences are not great enough to be considered significant. The writer was somewhat surprised not to have found statistical dif-

## TASLE 43

Social Activity of Nale Drivers and Non-Drivere Compared on the Basis of Frequency of Engagements Per Weck

| Frequency | Drivers <br> Number Percont | Non-Drivers <br> Number Fercent | Significance |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |

ferences between these patterms. The reason for this lack of variation may involve peer group relations. One might surmise that if in a circle of friends one person acquired an automobile, that any social activity increase on his part may also include the remainder of the group who tagged along as passengers. The author also suggests thet if a peer group contained no element of frequent drivers, someWhat of a leseer degree of activity may be expected.

The studente whose social activity was rated as being high (more then 5 engagements per week), are compared in Table 44 on the next page. There it can be seen that Drivers tend to be found in the upper quartiles, while more of the Non-Drivers appear in the lower classifications. This relationship is reversed, however, where Drivers and Non-

TABLE 44
Divers and Mon-Drivers Participating in over Five Sócial Bngagements Per Week Compared on the Basis of Quartile Pank


TABLE 45
Drivers and Non-Drivers Participating in Two to
Five Social Engagements Per Week Compared on the Basis of Quartile Rank

| Quartile | Drivers | Non-Drivers | Signipicance |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4th | 17 | 21.8 | 39 | 22.4 | None |
| 3rd | 20 | 25.6 | 53 | 30.5 | Nons |
| 2nd | 18 | 23.1 | 43 | 24.7 | None |
| 1st | 23 | 29.5 | 39 | 22.4 | None |
| Total | 78 | 100.0 | 174 | 100.0 |  |

## TABLE 46

Drivers and Non-Drivers Participating in Less Then Two Social ingagements Por Week Compared on the Basis of Quartile Pank

|  | Drivers | Non-Drivere | Suartile | Number Percent Nimber Percent |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 4 th | 4 | 36.35 | 17 | 41.5 | None |
| 3 ra | 1 | 9.10 | 5 | 12.2 | None |
| $2 n d$ | 4 | 35.35 | 8 | 19.5 | None |
| $18 t$ | 2 | 18.20 | 11 | 26.8 | None |
| Totel | 11 | 100.0 | 41 | 100.0 |  |

Drivers of a less active nature, are compared in Tables 45 and 46. In both these tables Non-Drivers tend to rank generally higher than Drjvers.

In Tables $47,48,49$, and 50 , following in the same order, ntudents ranked respectively in each of the four achievement quartiles are compared. Throughout all of these can be seen running the general tendency for the figures representing Drivers to indicate a degree of higher social activity. This higher activity level on the part of the the Drivers, is attributable to the girle included in this invostigation, more so than to the boys.

## TABLE 47

Drivers and Non-Drivers Ranked in the Fourth Quartile Compared on the Basis of Frequency of Sociel ingagements Per Week

| Frequency | Drivers <br> Number Percent | Non-Drivers <br> Number Percent | Significance |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |

TABLE 48
Drivers and Non-Drivers Ranked in the Third Quartile Compared on the Basis of Erequency of Social Rngagements Per feok

| Frequency | Drivers | Non-Drivers | Number Percent | Number Percent | Significance |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Over 5 | 4 | 16.0 | 2 | 3.3 | $5 \%$ Ievel |
| 2 to 5 | 20 | 80.0 | 53 | 88.4 | None |
| Under 2 | 1 | 4.0 | 5 | 8.3 | None |
| Total | 25 | 100.0 | 60 | 100.0 |  |

## TABLE 49

Drivers and Non-Drivers Ranked in the Second Quartile Compared on the Besis of Frequency of Social Engagements Fer Week

| Frequency | Drivers | Non-Drivers | Significance |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Number Percent | Number Percent |  |  |  |  |
| 2 to 5 | 4 | 15.4 | 3 | 5.6 | None |
| Under 2 | 18 | 69.2 | 43 | 79.6 | None |
| Total | 4 | 15.4 | 8 | 14.8 | None |

TABLE 50
Drivers and Non-Drivers Ranked in the First Quartile Compared on the Basis of Frequency of Social Engagements Per Week

Drivers Non-Drivers

| Frequency | Drivers |  | Non-Drivers | Significance |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
|  | Number Percent | Number Percent |  |  |  |
| Over 5 | 2 | 7.4 | 5 | 9.1 | None |
| 2 to 5 | 23 | 85.2 | 39 | 70.9 | None |
| Under 2 | 2 | 7.4 | 11 | 20.0 | None |
| Total | 27 | 100.0 | 55 | 100.0 |  |

Summery. A high degree of social activity was definitely exhivited by the students owning automobiles or having frequent driving privileges. The highost degree of activity was observed to be among the girls classed as Drivers.

Drivers categorized according to the various degrees of social activity tended to rank slightiy higher than NonDrivers. Since the differences were not staさistically significant, the writer assumes that the relative scholastic achievement levels attained by Drivers to be no different from those reached by Non-Drlvers.

## CHAPTER IX

ATHLETIC ACTIVITY

## CHAPPEF IX

## ATHLETIC $\Lambda$ CTIVITY

Beyond the scope of curricular physical education, participation in any of the athletic programs at South Hadley High School involves a transportation problem for many students. All practice sessions are scheduled for after school hours and as a result, students who would otherwise travel by school bus must elther walk home or find their own means of transportation, as none is provided for them. It seemed concelvable to the author that pupils having the use of automobiles may tend to participate somewhat more in school athletics than others who find transportation a problem.

Participation in a high school athletic program may have an effect upon the scholastic achievement of certain students. The feeling of pride, self satisfaction, and team spirit, could be reason for a student to strive for similar rewaras in the classroom. On the other hand, however, they might serve as a substitute for academic success. Here the problem becomes: first, do the frequent drlvers of automobiles tend to become participants in school sports to any more or less of a degree than others, and second, how do the achlevement levels of athletically inclined Drivers compare with the levels of similarly disposed Non-Drivers.

To answer these questions, the members of the junior and senior classes attending South Hadley High School as of Ferbuary in 1950, were first classifled according to degree of participation in the sports program. Three categories were established: participation in an average of one or more sports per year, less than one per year, and no participation at all. Attention here must be given to the fact that outside of physical education, which is an integral part of the curriclum, the emphasis in the athletic program at South Hadley High is directed toward the boys. Girls' sports are encouraged, but certainly not to an equal extent.

Statistics from Table 51 indicate that about one half

## TABLE 51

Athletic Activity of All Drivers and Non-Drivers
Compared on the Basis of the Average Number of School Sports Engaged in Per Year

| Sports | Drivers <br> Number Percent | Non-Drivers <br> Number Percent | Significance |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 or more | 30 | 29.4 | 44 | 19.5 | $5 \%$ level |
| Less than 1 | 23 | 22.6 | 67 | 29.5 | None |
| None | 49 | 48.0 | 115 | 50.9 | None |
| Total | 102 | 100.0 | 226 | 100.0 |  |

of all the students had taken part in school athletics to some extent during their high school careers. (Athletic program meaning varsity, junior varsity, anid intramural competition) of these, a significantly greator percentage of car users engaging in athletics were in the highest frequency category. This tends to support the assumption by the writer that the accuisition of an automobile by a student may be reflected in a positive correlation with a high degree of athletic participation.

The separation of the cases according to sex was somewhat more important in this chapter than in most of the others because of the suspected differences in attitude toward sports by boys as contrasted to girls. Table 52

## TABLE 52

Athletic Activity of Male Drivers and Non-Drivers Compared on the Basis of the Average Number of School Sports Engeged in Per Year

|  | Drivers | Non-Drivers | Significance |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Sports | Number Percent | Number Percent |  |  |  |
| Lor more | 21 | 32.3 | 20 | 25.0 | None |
| Less than 1 | 13 | 20.0 | 30 | 37.5 | $5 \%$ 2evel |
| None | 31 | 47.7 | 30 | 37.5 | None |
| Total | 65 | 100.0 | 80 | 100.0 |  |

surprisingly enough, shows a tendency for driving boys to be less athletically inclined than Non-Drivers. Although a greater percentage of Drivers were in the highest participation category, a significantly greater percentage of the Non-Drivers were classed as lesser degree participants. Table 53 on the other hand, indicates that the girls

## TABLを 53

Athletic Activity of Female Drivers and Non-Drivers Compared on the Basis of the Average Mumber of School Sports Tngaged in Fer Year

|  | Drivers | Non-Drivers | Significance |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sports | Number Percent | Mumber Percent |  |  |  |
| I or more | 9 | 24.3 | 24 | 16.4 | None |
| Less than 1 | 10 | 26.0 | 37 | 25.3 | None |
| None | 18 | 48.7 | 85 | 58.3 | None |
| Total | 37 | 100.0 | 146 | 100.0 |  |

tend to be more interested in athletics when the use of an automobile is available but the statistics are not significant. Nevertheless, it was the girls who had apparently increased the total number of athletically inclined students to the point where significance was found between Drivers and Non-Drivers when not separated according to sex.

The acaderaic etandings of those who had participated to a high degree in the athletic programe at South riadley Hish School are analyzed in Table 54, below.

TABLE 54
Drivers and Non-Drivers Participating in an Average of One or Mors Sports Fer Year Compared on the Basis of Guartile Rank


These figures indicate that a somewhat greater percentage of the irlvers stood in the lowest oualitile than did the Non-Irivers. The reason for this relationship is not known, but the investigetor offers the auggestion that Drivers (especially boys) may have a greater intereet in the combination of autos and athietice than in the comoination of athleties and academica.

As to the statistical differences between Drivers and Non-Drivers in the two remaining categories, Tables 55 and

TABLE 55
Mrivers and Non-mivers Partiespating in an Average of Less Than One Sport Per Year Compared on the Basis of fucrtile Fank

| Quartile | Drivers <br> Number Percent |  | Non- <br> Number | orivers <br> Percent | Significance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4th | 7. | 30.4 | 16 | 23.9 | None |
| 3 ra | 4 | 17.4 | 22 | 32.8 | None |
| 2nd | 6 | 26.1 | 14 | 20.9 | None |
| 1st | 6 | 26.1 | 15 | 22.4 | None |
| Total | 23 | 100.0 |  | 100.0 |  |

TABLE 56
Drivers and Non-Drivers Not Participating in Sports Compared on the Basis of Quartile Rank

Drivers Non-Drivers
Quartile
Number Percent Number Percent

| 4 th | 11 | 22.45 | 26 | 22.6 | None |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 ra | 15 | 30.60 | 29 | 25.2 | None |
| 2nd | 11 | 22.45 | 26 | 22.6 | Ione |
| $18 t$ | 12 | 24.50 | 34 | 29.6 | None |
| Total | 49 | 100.0 | 115 | 100.0 |  |

56 show a fairly even distribution throughout the four quartiles. These figures indicate that students who had participated in an average of less than one sport per year in high school, did not deviate from normal levels of academic achievement whether they were Drivers or Non-Drivers.

Table 57 which compares students ranked in the top

| TABLE 57 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Drivers and Non-Drivers Fanked in the Fourth Quartile Compared on the Basis of the Average Number of School Sports Engaged in Per Year |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sports | Number | vers <br> Percent |  | rlvers <br> Percent | Significance |
| 1 or more | 6 | 25.0 | 15 | 26.3 | None |
| Less than 1 | 7 | 29.2 | 16 | 28.1 | None |
| None | 11 | 45.8 | 26 | 45.6 | None |
| Total |  | 100.0 |  | 100.0 |  |

quartile, shows virtuelly no differences in the participation pattern of either group.

In the third quartile (see Table 58 on page 84), a significantly greater percentage of Non-Drivers were among those having engaged in an average of less than one sport per year. Thus, Drivers who were also athletes, partici-

## TABLE 58

Drivers and Non-Drivers Ranked in the Third Cuartile Compared on the Basis of the

Average Number of school Sports
Engaged in Por Year

|  | Drivers | Non-Drivers | Significance |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Sports | Number Percent | Number Percent |  |  |
| I or more | 6 | 24.0 | 9 | 15.0 |
| Less than 1 | 4 | 16.0 | 22 | 36.7 |
| None | 15 | 60.0 | 29 | 48.3 |

## TAELE 59

Drivers and Non-Drivers Ranked in the Second Euartile Compared on the Easis of the Average Number of School Sporte ringaged in Per Year

|  | Drivers | Non-Drivers | Nignificance |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Sports | Number Percent | Number Fercent |  |  |  |
| 1 or more | 9 | 34.6 | 14 | 25.9 | None |
| Less than 1 | 6 | 23.1 | 14 | 25.9 | None |
| None | 11 | 42.3 | 26 | 48.2 | None |
| Total | 26 | 100.0 | 54 | 100.0 |  |

pated in the sports programs to a higher degree than did the Non-Drivers.

The statistics in Table 59 (see page 84) concerning students in the second quartile, show no significent differences between Drivers and Non-Drivera. In the first quartile, however, there is a tendency for more of the Dri-

TABLE 60
Drivers and Non-Disvers Ranked in the First
Quartile Compared on the Easis of the
Aterage Number of School Sports
Engaged in Per Year
$\qquad$
Drivers Non-Drivera
Sports
Number Percent Number Percent

| Lor more | 9 | 33.3 | 6 | 10.9 | 1\% level |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Less than 1 | 6 | 22.2 | 15 | 27.3 | None |
| None | 12 | 44.5 | 34 | 61.8 | None |
| Totel | 27 | 100.0 | 55 | 100.0 |  |

Vers to have been athletes and for them to have participated more Irequentiy then did the Non-Drivers.

Summaxy. The frequent automobile Drivers attending South Hadley High School, though ewer in number, were gignificantly more active in athietics than were the students not having the frequent driving privilege. Of the students
whose scholastic averages were low and whose activity in school athletice wes high, a sisnificantly greator percentege of the Drivers over the Non-Driverg were included. Significant differences in achievement were not noted among the non athletes or the athletes whose participation in sports was not excessive.

## CHAPTER X

EXTEACURRICULAR ACTIVITY

## CHAPTEF X

## EXTRACURRICULAR ACTIVITY

Making available to the student body a number of different school clubs, organizations, and functions in add1tion to the subject matter courses offered within the scope of the formal curriculum, constitutes another manner through which the taients, interests, and ambitions of the pupils may be stimulated and explored. Although the actual value of any of these activities cannot be accurately measured, they are considered to be sufficiently important by most educators so as to be included in most secondary school programs.

The 11st of extracurricular activities which are offered at South Hadley High School may be found in Appendix A as part of the questionnaire.

As suggested in the other activities of students which have been treated in other chapters of this paper, the participation in an extracurricular program of a school can so overburden a student that a detrimental effect may be seen in his scholastic achievement. It is also possible that the availability of an automobile may effect the attitudes of a particular pupil toward participation in the school activities program.

To analyze possible relationships in this area, the students were grouped into three categories: first, those

Who averaged three or more different activities per year; second, those who participated in more than one but less than three per year; and third, those who averaged one or less than one extracurricular activity per year.

The distribution of the students among the three categories of participation frequency, was much the same for Drivers as it was for lon-Drivers.

TABLE 61
Extracurricular Activity of All Drivers and Non-Drivers Compared on the Basis of the Avorage Number of Activities Participated in Per Year

|  | Drivers | Non-Drivers | Nignificance |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Activities | Number Fercent | Number Percent | None |  |  |
| 3 or more | 26 | 25.4 | 56 | 24.8 | None |
| 2 | 39 | 38.2 | 89 | 39.4 | None |
| 1 or less | 37 | 36.4 | 81 | 35.8 |  |
| Total | 102 | 100.0 | 226 | 100.0 |  |

Tables 62 and 63 (see page 90) indicate that participation in extracurricular activities was much more pronounced by the girls than by the boys. This situation may have been due in part to the fact that more of the activities at the high school would normally attract girls more so than boys. It may have also been a reflection of a

TABLE 62
Extracurricular Activity of Nals Drivers and Non-nrivers
Compared on the Basis of the Average Number of Activities Participated in Per Year

| Activities | Drivers <br> Number Percent | Non-Drivers <br> Nuber Percent | Sienificance |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 or more | 12 | 18.5 | 6 | 7.1 | $5 \%$ level |
| 2 | 22 | 33.9 | 31 | 38.7 | None |
| 1 or less | 31 | 47.6 | 43 | 53.8 | Nons |
| Total | 65 | 100.0 | 80 | 100.0 |  |

## TABLE 63

Extrecurricular Activity of Pemale Drivers and lon-Drivers
Compared on the Basls of the average Mumber of Activities Participated in Per Yoar

| Drivers | Mon-Drivers | Significance |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 14 | 37.9 | 50 | 34.2 | None |
|  | 17 | 46.0 | 58 | 39.8 | None |
| 1 or less | 6 | 16.1 | 38 | 26.0 | None |
| Total | 37 | 100.0 | 146 | 100.0 |  |

greater interest by the girls in school work. Drivers of both sexes tended to be the most active in extracuricular affairs. The differences between the activity patterns of the female Drivers were negligible while a considerably higher percentage of driving boys had engaged in three or more different activities during each of their high school careers.

The higher degree of participation in the extracurricular activity program at the high school has not meant that they had equally higher achievement records. On the contrary, from Table 64 it seems as though a much greater

## TABLE 64

Drivers and Non-Drivers Participating in Three or Hore Extracurricular Activities Per Year Compared on the Basls of Quartile Rank

percentage of driving boys (see Table 62) and girls were in
the lowest ranking quartile. Nevertheless, a positive correlation between high activity in extracurricular affairs and high scholastic standings in school work is noted for both Drivers and Non-Drivers.

The students having engaged in extracurricular activities to a moderate degree, as shown in table 65, were

## TABLE 65

Drivers and Non-Drivers Participating in More Than One but Less Than Three Extracurricular Activities Per Year Compared on the Basis of Suartile Ra.nk

| Quartile | Drivers <br> Number Percent | Non-Drivers <br> Number Percent | Significance |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 4th | 12 | 30.7 | 23 | 25.8 | None |
| 3rd | 9 | 23.1 | 24 | 27.0 | None |
| 2nd | 9 | 23.1 | 23 | 25.8 | None |
| 1st | 9 | 23.1 | 19 | 21.4 | None |
| Total | 39 | 100.0 | 89 | 100.0 |  |

almost evenly distributed among the quartiles, Drivers and Non-Drivers allike.

The students having participated but little or not at all in the extracurricular activities program made up large proportions of both Drivers and Non-Drivers ranked in the lowest quarter of their respective classes. At the same
time, of those pupils who ranked hlghest in thelr classes, only a small percentage were atudents rated as belngs low in extracurricular activity participation. Table 66 inaicates

TABLE 66
Drivers and Non-Drivers Participating in One or Less Extracurricular Activity Each

Year Compared on the Basis of Quartile Rank

| Quartile | Drivers <br> Number Percent |  | Non- <br> number | Drivers <br> Percent | Bignificance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 th | 3 | 8.1 | 8 | 9.9 | None |
| 3 ra | 10 | 27.0 | 18 | 22.2 | None |
| 2nd | 10 | 27.0 | 20 | 24.7 | None |
| 1 Et | 14 | 37.9 | 35 | 43.2 | None |
| Total | 37 | 100.0 |  | 100.0 |  |

that the frequency patterns of Drivers end Non-Drivers were not appreciably different from each other.

The correlation between high school marks and a high participation in extracurricular activitios is further pictured in the next four tables. The activity patterns of Drivers in the fourth, third, and second quartiles, are noted by rables 67, 68, (see page 94) and 69 (see page 95), to have been little different from the patterns exhibited

TABLE 67
Drivers and Non-Drivers Ranked in the Fourth Quartile Compared on the Basis of the Average Number of Extracurricular Activities

Participated in Per Year

| Dctivities <br> Number Percent | Non-Drivers <br> Number Percent | Significance |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
|  | 9 | 37.5 | 26 | 45.6 | None |
|  | 12 | 50.0 | 23 | 40.4 | None |
| 1 or less | 3 | 12.5 | 8 | 14.0 | None |
| Total | 24 | 100.0 | 57 | 100.0 |  |

TABLE 68
Drivers and Non-Drivers Ranked in the Mhird Quartile Compared on the Basis of the Average Number of Extracurricular Activities Participated in Per Year

| Activities | Drivers | Non-Drivers | Number Percent | Number Percent | Significance |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 or more | 6 | 24.0 | 18 | 30.0 | None |
| 2 | 9 | 36.0 | 24 | 40.0 | None |
| Tor less | 10 | 40.0 | 18 | 30.0 | None |
| Total | 25 | 100.0 | 60 | 100.0 |  |

Drivers and ivon-Drivers rianked in the second quartile Compared on the Basis of the Average Number of Extracurricular Activities Farticipated in Per Year

| Activities | Drivers | Non-Drivers | Number Percent | Number Percent | Significance |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 7 | 26.9 | 11 | 20.4 | None |
|  | 9 | 34.6 | 23 | 42.6 | None |
| 1 or less | 10 | 38.5 | 20 | 37.0 | None |
| Total | 26 | 100.0 | 54 | 100.0 |  |

## by the Non-Drivers.

Table 70 (see page 96) which compares Drivers and NonDrivers who were ranked together in the lowest achlevement quartile, reveals that of the students with low participation frequencies, the Non-Drivers made up the largeet percentage. Here again, as shown earlier in the chapter, is seen evidence to the effect that Drivers who hed engaged in a high degree of extracurricular activity, were more apt to have ranked much lower scholastically than Non-Drivers. Summary. Girls in the two upper classes attending South Hadley High Schood as of February of 1960, were considerably more active in extracurricular affalrs then were the boys. The girls who drove automobiles frequently tended to be more active than female Non-Drivers. The boys who

## TABLE 70

Trivers and ion-Drivers Fianked in the First quartile Comparet on the Basis of the Average Mumber of Extracurricular Activities Participated in Per Year

| Activities | Number | ivers <br> Percent | Non-D <br> Number | rivers <br> Percent | Significance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 or more | 4 | 14.8 | 1 | 1.8 | None |
| 2 | 9 | 33.4 | 19 | 34.6 | None |
| 1 or less | 14 | 51.8 | 35 | 63.5 | 5\% level |
| Total |  | 100.0 | 55 | 100.0 |  |

had frequent use of motor vehicles were significantly more active participents than were the non-driving boys. Taken as a whole, Drivers and Non-Drivers ranked in the three upper quartiles had 1 !!tle differences in their respective achievement level patterns. In the lowest quartile, hovever, male Drivers were signiricantiy more active than were the non-driving boys.

## CHAPPER XI

PART-TIME EMPLOYMENF

## CHAPTER XI

PART-TIME TMPLOYMENT

Many of the students at South Hadley High School seek part-time employment for their after school and week-end hours. Several investigators have reported that part-time Jobs may have detrimental effects upon the academic success of high school students. One of these, a survey conducted by W. D. Diemer, shows that students working 12 hours a week have somewhat lower grades than those working less than this or not at all. He further states that those working over 12 hours weekly studied an average of 20 hours per week whlle those working fewer hourg or not at all, spent almost 28 hours each week in stuaying. 1 The Allstate Insurance Companies' survey indicates that car ownership leads to part-time jobs and that week-day jobs adversely effect grades.?

Neither of these investigations imply a direct cause and effect relationship between jobs, cars, and grades, but their implications do indicate a need for further research in this area.

This chapter deals with part-time employment in two

William D. Jiemer, "Jobs and Students" Grades," School and Society, LXXXVI, (March 15, 1958), p. 139.

The High School Student and the Automobile (Skokie, Illinols: Safety Dept., The Allstate Insurance Cos., January, 1950), p. 21.
parts. The first section is concerned with weak-day jobs and the second with employment on week-ends.

Part-time employment on week-days. The study cases were first categorized as to the number of hours at which they may have been employed. The first group consisted of the students who had ordinarily been working six or more hours per week on school days. The second group was made up of those who worked less then six hours per week and the last group included those not working on school days. When these three groups were compared as to whether they were Drivers or Non-Drivers as shown in Table 71 ,

## TAELE 72

Week-Day Part-T1me Employment of All Drivers and Non-Drivers Compared on the Basis of Hours Engaged Per Woek

|  | Drivers | Non-Drivers | Significance |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hours | Number Percent | Number Percent |  |  |  |
| 6 or over | 34 | 33.3 | 45 | 19.9 | 1\% Ievel |
| Under 6 | 25 | 24.5 | 42 | 18.6 | None |
| None | 43 | 42.2 | 139 | 61.5 | 1\% level |
| Total | 102 | 100.0 | 226 | 100.0 |  |

It was determined that greater percentages of auto users Were employed than were the Non-Drivers. The table also in-
dicates that the percentage is significantly greater where a high number of hours was devoted to part-time jobs. The author tends to think that jobs are needed to support the autos in some cases, and that cars make employment more likely where students have ready transportation to and from their jobs.

In comparing boys and girls in this respect, Table 72 below, and Table 73 on page 101 show that about the game

TABLE 72
Week-Day Part-Time Employment of Male Drivers and Non-Drivers Compared on the Basis of Hours Engaged Per Week

|  | Drivers | Non-Drivers | Significance |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hours | Number Percent | Number Percent |  |  |  |
| or over | 24 | 36.9 | 14 | 17.5 | $1 \%$ level |
| Under 6 | 17 | 26.2 | 16 | 20.0 | None |
| None | 24 | 36.9 | 50 | 62.5 | $1 \%$ level |
| Total | 65 | 100.0 | 80 | 100.0 |  |

percentages of both sexes were unemployed Non-Drivers. The percentage of male Drivers working $s i x$ or more hours per week on week-days was considerably higher than for the NonDrivers. The same relationship is seen with respect to the girls, but the trend is not substantiated by figures which

## TABLE 73

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Week-Day Part-Time Employment of Female Drivers } \\
\text { and Non-Drivers Compared on the Basis } \\
\text { of Hours Engaged Per Week }
\end{gathered}
$$

|  | Drivers | Non-Drivers | Significance |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Hours | Number Percent | Number Percent |  |  |  |
| or over | 10 | 27.9 | 31 | 21.2 | None |
| Under 6 | 8 | 21.6 | 26 | 17.8 | None |
| None | 19 | 51.4 | 89 | 61.0 | None |
| Total | 37 | 100.0 | 146 | 100.0 |  |

are significant. From these two tables, it would seem as though the boys accounted for the up surge in week-day parttime employment by students who had the frequent driving privilege.

As to where these working and non working students ranked in their respective classes, according to Tables 74,75 (zee page 102), and 76 (see page 103), the concentrations of both Drivers and Non-Drivers were distributed almost equally among the four quartiles. Neither can significant differences be seen in the distribution patterns of the two groups. These statistics indicate the lack of any impression which the week-day part-time employment may have made upon the scholastic achievement of either the Drivers or the Non-Drivers.

## TABLE 74

Drivers and Non-Drivers Employed Week-Days for Six or More Hours Per Feek Compared on the Basis of quartile Rank


TABLE 75
Drivers and Non-Drivers Employed Week-Days for Less Than Six Hours Per Week Compared on the Basis of Quartile Rank


## TABLE 76

> Drivers and Non-Drivers Not Employed on Week-Days Compared on the Basis of Guartile Fank

| Quartile | Drivers <br> Number Percent | Non-Drivers <br> Number Percent | Significance |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4th | 11 | 25.6 | 34 | 24.5 | None |
| 3rd | 10 | 23.2 | 42 | 30.2 | None |
| 2nd | 8 | 18.6 | 30 | 21.6 | None |
| 1st | 14 | 32.6 | 33 | 23.7 | None |
| Total | 43 | 100.0 | 139 | 100.0 |  |

Table 77
Drivers and Non-Drivers Ranked in the Fourth Guartile Compared on the Basis of Hours Engaged Per Week in Weelr-May Part-Time Employment

|  | Drivers | Non-Drivers | Nigber Percent | Number Percent | Signifance |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hours | 7 | 29.2 | 12 | 21.0 | None |
| Onder 6 | 6 | 25.0 | 11 | 19.3 | None |
| Uner | 11 | 45.8 | 34 | 59.7 | None |
| None | 24 | 100.0 | 57 | 100.0 |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |

Tables 77 above, 78 and 79 on page 104 , and 80 ana-

## TABLE 78

Drivers and Non-Drivers Ranked in the Third quartile Compared on the Basis of Hours Engaged Per Week in Week-Day Part-Time Employment

|  | Drivers | Non-Drivers | Significance |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Hours | Mumber Percenc Number Percent |  |  |  |  |
| 6 or over | 10 | 40.0 | 8 | 13.3 | $5 \%$ level |
| Under 6 | 5 | 20.0 | 10 | 16.7 | None |
| None | 10 | 40.0 | 42 | 70.0 | 1\% level |
| Total | 25 | 100.0 | 60 | 100.0 |  |

## TABLE 79

Drivers and Non-Drivers Ranked in the second euartile Compared on the Basis of Hours Engaged Per Weok in Week-Day Part-Time Employment

| Hours | Drivers | Non-Drivers | Significance |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Nor over | 10 | 38.4 | 12 | 22.2 | None |
| Under 6 | 8 | 30.8 | 12 | 22.2 | None |
| None | 8 | 30.8 | 30 | 55.6 | $5 \%$ level |
| Total | 26 | 100.0 | 54 | 100.0 |  |

Iyze the Drivers and Non-Drivers grouped according to their respective ouartile rankings. The statistics in Table 77 (see page 103) and Table 80 (below) show no significant

TABLE 80
Drivers and Non-Drivers Ranked in the First quartile Compared on the Besis of Hours Engaged Per feek in Week-Dey Part-Time Employment

|  | Drivers | Non-Drivers | Significance |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Hours | Number Percent | Number Percent |  |  |  |
| Kor over | 7 | 25.9 | 13 | 23.7 | None |
| Under 6 | 6 | 22.2 | 9 | 16.3 | None |
| None | 14 | 51.9 | 33 | 60.0 | None |
| Total | 27 | 100.0 | 55 | 100.0 |  |

differences in the employment patterns of Drivers and NonDrivers. The two midale quartiles were made up of significantly sreater percentages of working Drivers, however. Though the findings in the chapter thus far support the assumption that Drivers tend to seek part-time employment more so than Non-Drivers, it does not follow that any detrimental effect was found with respect to acadenic success, between the achievement petterns of either group. Part-time employment on week-ends. From an oducational point of view, it would be more desirable for those
students who find it necessary or desirable to work parttime, to seok employment on week-ends so as not to interfore with school work. Table 81 indicates that the number

TABLE 81
Week-End Part-Time Employment of All Drivers and Non-Drivers Compared on the Basis of Hours Engrged Per Week

| Hours | Drivers <br> Number Percent | Non-Drivers <br> Number Percent | Significance |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 6 or over | 40 | 39.2 | 51 | 22.5 | 1\% level |
| Under 6 | 19 | 18.6 | 35 | 15.5 | None |
| None | 43 | 42.2 | 140 | 62.0 | 1\% level |
| Total | 102 | 100.0 | 226 | 100.0 |  |

of students employed on week-ends was virtually equal to the number working on week-days (see Table 71 on page 99). This relationship holds for both Drivers and Non-Drivors. Similarly as with week-day jobs, significantly higher percentages of driving students over non driving students had part-time work. Somewhat higher percentages have jobs on week-ends, however.

In comparing Tables 82 and 83 (see page 107), it is readily seen that male ârivers make up significantly greator percentages of those employed than male Non-Drivers and

## TABLE 82

> Week- Ind Part-Time Employment of Male Drivers and Non-Drivers Compared on the Basis of Hours Engaged Per Week

|  | Drivers | Non-Drivers | Significance |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hours | Iumber Percent Iumber Percent |  |  |  |
| O or over | 31 | 47.7 | 21 | 26.3 |
| Under 6 | 10 | 15.4 | 7 | 8.7 |
| None | 24 | 36.9 | 52 | 65.0 |
| Total | 65 | 100.0 | 80 | 100.0 |

## TABLE 83

Week-End Part-Time Bmployment of Female Drivers and Non-Drivers Compared on the Besis of Hours Engaged Per Week

| Hours | Drivers |  | Non-Drivers | Significance |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number Percent | Number Percent |  |  |  |  |
| Under 6 | 9 | 24.3 | 30 | 20.5 | None |
| None | 9 | 24.3 | 28 | 19.2 | None |
| Total | 19 | 51.4 | 88 | 60.3 | None |

the figures representing the girls, though not significant, show a trend in the same direction.

The three tables which follow, analyze the driving and non driving students in relation to their respective academic rankings with respect to the amounts of time devoted to jobs on week-ends. It can be seen in Table 84 below, and in Table 85 on page 109, that week-end parttime jobs have apparently not effected the scholastic achievement pattern of the Drivers in relation to the pattern of the Non-Drivers. This same relationship was found to exist in the case of week-day employment of students as shown in tables 74 and 75 on page 102 earlier in this paper.

## TASLE 84

Drivers and Non-Drivers Employed Week- Ends for
Six or More Hours Per week Compared on the Basis of Cuartile Rank

| Quartile | Drivers <br> Number Percent | Non-Drivers <br> Number Percent | Significance |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 th | 8 | 20.0 | 14 | 27.4 | None |
| 3 ra | 9 | 22.5 | 11 | 21.6 | None |
| 2nd | 14 | 35.0 | 11 | 21.6 | None |
| 1 st | 9 | 22.5 | 15 | 29.4 | None |
| Total | 40 | 100.0 | 51 | 100.0 |  |

TABLE 85
Drivers and Non-Drivers Employed Week-Ends for
Less Than Six Hours Per Week Compared on the Basis of Quartile Rank


TABLE 86
Drivers and Non-Drivers Not Employed on
Week-Ends Compared on the
Basis of Quartile Rank

| Quartile | Drivers | Non-Drivers | Nignificance |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4th Porcent | Number Percent |  |  |  |  |
| 3rd | 11 | 25.6 | 35 | 25.0 | None |
| 2nd | 10 | 23.2 | 42 | 30.0 | None |
| 1st | 8 | 18.6 | 30 | 21.4 | None |
| Total | 14 | 32.6 | 33 | 23.6 | None |

In Table 86 (see page 109) the statistics show a similar distribution of pupils among the nuartiles for those not employed on week-ends. It is revealed in this table, however, that the highest percentage of students was made up of pupils classified as Drivers ranked in the lowest quartile.

The tables which follow analyze the Drivers and NonDrivers ranked in each of the four quartiles according to their respective degree of week-end part-time employment. Here also, as with weok-day employment, there are no aignificant differences shown in elther the fourth or the first quartile. Slgnificantly greater percentages of Dri-

## TABLE 87

Drivers and Non-Drivers Ranked in the Fourth Guartile Compared on the Basis of Hours Engaged Per Week in Week-End Part-Time Employment

| Hours | Drivers | Non-Drivers | Significance |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number Percent | Number Percent. |  |  |  |  |
| Onder 6 | 8 | 33.3 | 14 | 24.6 | None |
| None | 5 | 20.8 | 8 | 14.0 | None |
| Total | 11 | 45.9 | 35 | 61.4 | None |

## TABLE 88

> Drivers and Non-Drivers Ranked in the Third Quartile Compared on the Basis of Hours Engaged Fer Week in Week-End Part-Time Employment

|  | Drivers N | Non-Drivers | Significance |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Hours | Number Percent | Number Percent |  |  |  |
| O or over | 9 | 36.0 | 11 | 18.3 | 1\% level |
| Under 6 | 6 | 24.0 | 7 | 11.7 | $5 \%$ level |
| None | 10 | 40.0 | 42 | 70.0 | $1 \%$ level |
| Total | 25 | 100.0 | 60 | 100.0 |  |

## TAELE 89

Drivers and Non-Drivers Ranked in the Second Guartile Compared on the Basis of Hours Engaged Per Week in Week-End Fart-Time Ernployment

|  | Drivers | Non-Drivers | Significance |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hours | Number Percent | Number Percent |  |  |  |
| O or over | 14 | 53.8 | 11 | 20.4 | $1 \%$ level |
| Under 6 | 4 | 15.4 | 13 | 24.1 | None |
| None | 8 | 30.8 | 30 | 55.5 | $5 \%$ level |
| Total | 26 | 100.0 | 54 | 100.0 |  |

## TABLE 90

# Drivers and Non-Drivers Ranked in the First <br> Quartile Compared on the Basis of Hours <br> Engaged Per Weok in Week-End <br> Part-Time Employment 

|  | Drivers | Non-Drivers | Significance |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Hours | Number Percent | Number Percent |  |  |  |
| 6 or over | 9 | 33.4 | 15 | 27.3 | None |
| Under 6 | 4 | 14.8 | 7 | 12.7 | None |
| None | 14 | 51.8 | 33 | 60.0 | INone |
| Total | 27 | 100.0 | 55 | 100.0 |  |

vers ranked in the second and third quartiles had been employed, and here too, the relationship parallels findings In the area of week-day employment.

Summaxy. About one half of the stidents in the junior and senior classes at South Hadley High School as of February, 1960, were employed on a part-time basis. It was further determined that Drivers were far more apt to be holding jobs than Non-Drivers, and that the greatest percentage of these were boys. No statistics were found which could conclusively show that jobs and low grades were correlated either for Drivers or Non-Drivers of either sex. The author concludes that part-time employment, week-day or week-end, Whether by Drivers or Non-Drivers, has not made noticeable effects, detrimental or beneficial, upon the achievement
levels of the students included in this investigation.

## CHAPTER XII

CONCLUSIONS ANI RECOMMENDATIONS

## CHAPTRR XII

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The prime purpose of this investigation was to determine and analyze some of the relationships existing between students at South Hadley High School who have the frequent use of automobiles and those who do not have ready access to cars. The major interest of the study was to determine whether the scholastic achievement of the Driver group was any different from that of the Non-Driverg. Had there been a significant difference between the achievement patterns of the two groups, the writer would have looked to the variations which this study found in some of the usual activities of students which may have contributed to any abnormal deviation in scholastic achievement by the Driver group.

As it turned out, the investigation found no stotistical evidence to support the assumption that autos have a detrimental effect upon the achievement of students attending South Hadley High School. It can also be said that certain usual activities such as school athletics, part-time jobs, social activity, extracurricular activity, etc., some of which were detemained by this study to bo different in pattorn for Drivers as compared to Mon-Drivers, do not have detrimental effects upon the academic achievement of these students.

Conclusions. Conclusions which may be drawn from this study are as follows:

1. There was no significant statistical evidence to indicate that students having the frequent driving privilege attain levels of academic achlevement different from the studenta in the non-driving sroup. In general, pupils with autos who had low acadenic standings, ranked low prior to the acquisition of the use of a car. Where students with poor records were noted to have had excessive athletic and extracurricular tendencies, the Drivers were most likeIy to have been the very pooreat among them.
2. Following the acquisition of the ariving privilege, more Drivers, especially girls, exhibited a tendency to raise their scholastic averages than did the students without this influence.
3. Some of the activities common to both Drivers and Non-Drivers which may have an effect upon the relative academic success of individuals within eithor group were determined to show the following relationships:
a) The influence of the automobile seemed to have no effect upon the amount of time that the students devoted to outside study.
b) Students having the frequent use of automobiles were socially more active than Non-Drivers.
c) Pupils very active in the school athletic programs were generally poorer academic achievers than
others. Drivers so classifled were more highly concentrated in the lowest ranking quartile than were the Non-Drivers. A. smailer proportion of driving boys participated in athletics, but those who did were very active in the program.
a) Boys with the driving privilege were more active in extracurricular affairs than were the boys without cars. Where a high degree of activity was noted, the poorest scholars were more apt to have been Drivers than NonDrivers.
e) Drivers held more part-time jobs than NonDrivers. The holding of a part-time job, whether week-day or week-end, was not correlated with any under achievement on the part of the Drivers.

Recommendations. The situation will shortly confront South Hadley High, when the number of students desiring to arive automobiles to and from the school will far surpass the number of parking spaces presently available to thera. At that time the following question will have to be resolved: shall sufficient additional parking facilities be proviced to meet the needs of the pupils, or shall restrictions limiting the number of students who may drive to school be imposed on them. If the scholastic achievement level of the students is to be used as a criterion in the determination of which pupils shall and shall not be permitted to drive to school, it should be a policy which will allow individual consideration for each driver rather than
an impersonel blanket restriction. The writer recommenas that the followine considerations enter into each case where a driving to school restriction shall be contemplated:

1. Has the academic achlevement level of the student changed markedly since he or she first acquired the use of an automobile. If so, in what direction and to what extent.
2. Has driving to school allowed or shall driving to school permit the student to participste more fully in the school program, or has it beon or shall it be a detriment to this endeavor.
3. Can the privilege of ariving to school be used in any way which will improve the learning situation of the individuel concerned.

The results of this investigation apply to South Hadley High School alono. It is at this timo absolutely necessery for persons or agencies making decisions concerning the automobile and the high school student to undertake a complete analysis of their local situations, before setifing forth a policy which might bo to the detriment of the student welfaro.

## APPENDIX A

THE QUESTIONNAIRE

1. Name

2. Address
(number) (street)

(town)
3. Check your course of study. a. College
b. Commerciaj
c. General
4. What plans do you have for yourself when you first graduate or leave high school?

5. Does your family (people you live with) own the property where you live?
b. Yes
b. No

6. How many automobiles are there in your family?

7. Is your father or male guardian:

8. Is your mother or female guardian:


Check the various years in which you may have participated in any of the following school activities:

Fr. So. Jr. Sr.

| a. Band |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b. Baton Twirling |  |  |  |
| c. Cafeteria Assistant |  |  |  |
| d. Camera Club . |  |  |  |
| e. Cheer Leader | - | - |  |
| f. Chemistry Club |  | - |  |
| g. Class officer |  |  |  |
| h. Debating | - |  |  |
| 1. Democracy Fair |  | - |  |
| j. Dramatics Club | - | - |  |
| k. Driver Education | - | - |  |
| 1. Electronics Club |  | - |  |
| m. French Club | - | - |  |
| n. Future Nurses Club | - |  |  |
| - Future Teachers Club |  | $\pm$ |  |
| p. Glee Club ... | - | - | - |
| 9. Graduation Isher | - |  |  |
| r. History Club | - | $\div$ |  |
| 3. Homeroom Representative | - | - |  |
| t. Latin Club |  | - |  |
| u. Librery Assistant | - | - |  |
| v - Magazine Drive Room Captain | - | - |  |
| w Magazine Drive Class Leader |  | - |  |
| x. Model Congress ............ | - |  |  |
| y. Monogram ................ | - | - |  |
| z. Office Assistant |  | - |  |
| aa. Orchestra |  | - |  |
| bb. Press Bureau |  |  |  |
| cc. Pro Merito |  | , |  |
| dd. Science and Math Club |  | - |  |
| ee. Science Fair |  |  |  |
| ff. Senior Flay ...... |  | $\cdots$ | - |
| gg. Spotlight |  |  |  |
| hh. SAS Member |  |  |  |
| 11. SAS Officer |  |  |  |
| j1. Student Council | - | - |  |
| kk. Yearbook |  |  |  |

10. Indicate the years during which you may have participated in any of the following school sports. This includes competition on the varaity, Junior Varsity, and Intramural levels for both boys and gilrs.

Fr. 30. Jr. 3r.

11. How often do you usually seak social entertainment? (this includes dates, parties, going out with the crowd, visiting friends, attending social clubs, YMCA, YWCA, etc.)

|  | Never .............. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Once a month |  |
|  | Once a week |  |
|  | IWIce a week |  |
|  | Three times a week |  |
|  | Tour to five times |  |
|  | a week |  |
|  | six or more a |  |

12. Do you have a license to drfve?
a. Yes
b. No
13. Do you have the use of a car just about whenever you want 1 t?
a. Yes
14. If you answered "No" to the last question, do you have the use of a car some of the time?
15. Do you arive a car to school?

| a. | Never Once in awhile |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | About the time |  |
|  | Most of the time |  |
|  | ivery day |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

17. If you have the use of a car at any time, what part of the expenses of that auto do you pay?

18. About how many hours per week do you spend on your studies, outside of school hours?

19. Do you usually do part-time work on week-days in addition to your school work?

20. If "Yes", about how many hours per week do you work? (Do not include week-end time)

21. Do you usually do part-time work on week-ends?

| b. Yes ........................ - |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |

22. If "Yes", about how many hours per week do you work on week-ends?

| a. | Less than 3 ...... |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| c. | 6 to 9 ................ |  |
| d. | 9 to 12 |  |
| - | 12 to 18 |  |
| f. | More than 18 |  |

## APPENDIX B

MEMBERS OF THE CLASS OF 1961

MEMBERS OF THE CLASS OF 1961 IN THE FOURTH RUARTILE

Class Rank Percentile Male Female Driver Non-Driver

| 1.0 | 99 |  | X |  | X |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2.0 | 99 | X |  |  | X |
| 3.0 | 99 |  | X | X |  |
| 4.0 | 98 |  | X |  | X |
| 5.0 | 98 | X |  |  | X |
| 6.0 | 97 |  | X |  | X |
| 7.0 | 97 |  | X |  | X |
| 8.0 | 96 |  | X |  | X |
| 9.0 | 96 |  | X |  | X |
| 10.0 | 95 |  | X |  | X |
| 11.0 | 95 | X |  |  | X |
| 12.0 | 94 |  | X |  | X |
| 13.0 | 93 |  | X |  | X |
| 14.0 | 93 |  | X |  | X |
| 15.0 | 92 |  | X |  | X |
| 16.5 | 92 |  | X |  | X |
| 15.5 | 92 |  | X | X |  |
| 18.0 | 91 |  | X | X |  |
| 19.0 | 90 |  | X |  | X |
| 20.5 | 90 | X |  | X |  |
| 20.5 | 90 | X |  |  | X |
| 22.0 | 89 |  | X | X |  |
| 23.0 | 88 |  | X |  | X |
| 24.0 | 88 | X |  | X |  |
| 25.0 | 87 | X |  |  | X |
| 26.0 | 87 | X |  |  | X |
| 27.0 | 86 | X |  |  | X |
| 28.5 | 85 |  | X |  | X |
| 28.5 | 85 | X |  |  | X |
| 30.0 | 85 |  | X |  | X |
| 32.0 | 84 | X |  | X |  |
| 32.0 | 84 |  | X |  | X |
| 32.0 | 84 |  | X |  | X |
| 34.0 | 82 | X |  |  | X |
| 35.5 | 82 | X |  |  | X |
| 35.5 | 82 |  | X |  | X |
| 37.0 | 81 | X |  |  | X |
| 38.0 | 80 |  | X |  | $x$ |
| 39.5 | 80 |  | X |  | X |
| 39.5 | 80 |  | X |  | X |
| 41.0 | 79 |  | X |  | X |
| 42.0 | 78 |  | X |  | X |
| 43.0 | 78 |  | X |  | X |
| 44.5 | 77 | X |  | X |  |
| 44.5 46.8 | 77 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{X} \\ & \mathrm{X} \end{aligned}$ |  | X |

MEMBERS OF THE CLASS OF 1961 IN THE FOURTH QUARTILE (contd.)

Class Rank Percentile Male Female Driver Non-Driver

| Totals |
| :--- |

MEMBERS OF THE CLASS OF 1961 IN THE THIRD QUARTILE



MEMBERS OF THE CLASS OF 1961 IN THE SECOND RUARTILE

| 97.5 | 49 |  | X |  | X |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 97.5 | 49 |  | X | X |  |
| 99.0 | 48 |  | X | X |  |
| 100.0 | 48 | X |  |  | X |
| 101.5 | 47 |  | X |  | X |
| 101.5 | 47 |  | X |  | X |
| 103.0 | 46 | X |  |  | X |
| 104.0 | 46 |  | X |  | X |
| 105.0 | 45 |  | X |  | X |
| 106.0 | 45 | X |  | X |  |
| 107.0 | 44 |  | X |  | X |
| 108.0 | 44 |  | X |  | X |
| 109.0 | 43 | X |  |  | X |
| 110.0 | 43 | X |  |  | X |
| 111.0 | 42 | X |  | X |  |
| 112.0 | 42 |  | X |  | X |
| 113.5 | 41 |  | X |  | X |
| 113.5 | 41 | X |  |  | X |
| 115.5 | 40 |  | X |  | X |
| 115.5 | 40 | X |  | X |  |
| 117.0 | 39 |  | X |  | X |

Class Rank Percentile Male Female Driver Non-Driver

| 218.0 | 38 | X |  |  | X |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 119.0 | 38 | X |  |  | X |
| 120.5 | 37 | X |  |  | X |
| 120.5 | 37 |  | X |  | X |
| 122.5 | 36 | X |  |  | X |
| 122.5 | 36 |  | X |  | X |
| 124.0 | 35 |  | X |  | X |
| 125.5 | 35 |  | X |  | X |
| 125.5 | 35 | X |  |  | X |
| 127.5 | 34 | X |  | X |  |
| 127.5 | 34 |  | X |  | X |
| 129.5 | 33 |  | X |  | X |
| 129.5 | 33 |  | X |  | X |
| 131.5 | 32 |  | X |  | X |
| 131.5 | 32 | X |  | X |  |
| 133.0 | 31 | X |  | X |  |
| 134.0 | 30 | X |  |  | X |
| 135.0 | 30 |  | X |  | X |
| 136.0 | 29 |  | X |  | X |
| 138.0 | 28 | X |  |  | X |
| 138.0 | 28 | X |  |  | X |
| 138.0 | 28 | X |  |  | X |
| 140.0 | 27 | X |  |  | X |
| 141.0 | 26 |  | X |  | X |
| 142.5 | 26 |  | X |  | X |
| 142.5 | 26 |  | X |  | X |
| Totals |  | 21 | 26 | 8 | 39 |

MEMBERS OF THE CLASS OF 1961 IN THE PIRST QUARTILE

| 145.5 | 24 |  | $X$ |  | $X$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 145.5 | 24 | $X$ |  |  | $X$ |
| 145.5 | 24 | $X$ |  |  | $X$ |
| 145.5 | 24 |  | $X$ |  | $X$ |
| 149.0 | 22 |  | $X$ |  | $X$ |
| 149.0 | 22 |  | $X$ | $X$ | $X$ |
| 149.0 | 22 | $X$ |  | $X$ |  |
| 151.0 | 21 | $X$ |  | $X$ | $X$ |
| 152.5 | 20 | $X$ | $X$ |  | $X$ |
| 152.5 | 20 |  | $X$ |  | $X$ |

Class Rank Percentile Male Female Driver Non-Driver

| 154.5 | 19 |  | X |  | $x$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 154.5 | 19 | X |  | ? | $?$ |
| 156.0 | 19 | X |  | X |  |
| 157.0 | 18 | X |  |  | X |
| 158.0 | 17 |  | X |  | $x$ |
| 159.0 | 17 | X |  |  | X |
| 160.0 | 16 | X |  |  | X |
| 161.0 | 16 |  | X |  | X |
| 162.0 | 15 |  | X |  | X |
| 163.0 | 15 |  | X |  | X |
| 164.0 | 15 |  | X |  | X |
| 166.0 | 13 | X |  |  | X |
| 166.0 | 13 | X |  |  | X |
| 166.0 | 13 | X |  |  | X |
| 168.0 | 12 | X |  |  | X |
| 169.0 | 12 |  | X |  | X |
| 171.0 | 11 |  | X |  | X |
| 171.0 | 11 | X |  | X |  |
| 171.0 | 11 | X |  |  | X |
| 173.5 | 9 | X |  |  | X |
| 173.5 | 9 | X |  |  | X |
| 176.0 | 8 |  | X |  | X |
| 176.0 | 8 |  | X |  | X |
| 176.0 | 8 |  | X | X |  |
| 178.0 | 7 |  | X |  | X |
| 179.0 | 7 |  | X |  | X |
| 180.0 | 6 | X |  |  | X |
| 181.5 | 5 | X |  |  | $\chi$ |
| 181.5 | 5 |  | X |  | X |
| 183.0 | 4 |  | X | X |  |
| 184.0 | 4 |  | X |  | X |
| 185.0 | 3 |  | X |  | X |
| 186.0 | 3 | X |  | X |  |
| 187.0 | 2 | X |  |  | X |
| 188.0 | 2 |  | \% |  | X |
| 189.0 | 1 | X |  |  | X |
| 190.0 | 1 | X |  | X |  |
| 191.0 | 0 | X |  |  | X |

APPENDIX $C$
MEMBERS OF THE CIASS OF 1960

MEMBERS OF THE CLASS OF 1960 IN THE FOURTH QUARTILE


MEMBERS OF THE CLASS OF 1960 IN THE THIRD QUAFTILE

Class Fank Percentile Male Female Driver Non-Driver

| 36.0 | 74 | X |  | X |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 37.5 | 74 | X |  |  | X |
| 37.5 | 74 |  | X |  | X |
| 40.5 | 72 | X |  | X |  |
| 40.5 | 72 | X |  |  | X |
| 40.5 | 72 |  | X |  | X |
| $40 \cdot 5$ | 72 | X |  |  | X |
| 43.0 | 70 |  | X |  | X |
| 44.0 | 69 |  | X |  | X |
| 45.0 | 68 |  | X | X |  |
| 46.0 | 68 | X |  | X |  |
| 47.0 | 67 | X |  | X |  |
| 48.0 | 66 |  | X | X |  |
| 49.0 | 66 | X |  | X |  |
| 50.0 | 65 |  | X | X |  |
| 52.0 | 63 |  | X | X |  |
| 52.0 | 63 |  | X |  | X |
| 52.0 | 63 |  | X | X |  |
| 54:0 | 62 |  | X |  | X |
| 55.0 | 61 |  | X |  | X. |
| 56.5 | 60 |  | X |  | X. |
| 56.5 | 60 | X |  |  | $\chi$ |
| 58.0 | 59 | X |  |  | X |
| 59.5 | 58 | X |  |  | X |
| 59.5 | 58 | X |  |  | X |
| 61.0 | 57 | X |  |  | X |
| 62.0 | 56 |  | X |  | X |
| 63.0 | 56 | X |  |  | ズ |
| 64.0 | 55 |  | X | X |  |
| 65.5 | 53 |  | X | X |  |
| 65.5 | 53 | X |  |  | X |
| 68.0 | 52 | X |  |  | X |
| 68.0 | 52 |  | X | X |  |
| 68:0 | 52 |  | X |  | X |
| 70.0 | 50 | X |  | x |  |
|  |  | 17 | 18 | 14 | 21 |

## MEMBERS OP THE CLASS OF 1960 IN THE SECOND QUARMILE

Class Fank Percentile Male Ferale Driver Non-Driver

| 72.0 | 49 |  | X |  | X |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 72.0 | 49 |  | X |  | X |
| 72.0 | 49 |  | X | X |  |
| 74.0 | 48 |  | X | ? | $?$ |
| 75.0 | 47 | X |  | X |  |
| 76.0 | 46 |  | X |  | X |
| 77.0 | 46 |  | X | X |  |
| 78.5 | 45 | X |  | X |  |
| 78.5 | 45 | X |  | X |  |
| 80.5 | 43 | X |  | X |  |
| 80.5 | 43 | X |  | X |  |
| 82.0 | 42 |  | X |  | X |
| 83.5 | 41 | X |  |  | X |
| 83.5 | 41 | X |  | X |  |
| 85.0 | 40 | X |  | X |  |
| 86.0 | 39 | X |  |  | X |
| 87.0 | 39 |  | X |  | X |
| 88.0 | 38 | X |  | X |  |
| 89.5 | 37 |  | X | X |  |
| 89.5 | 37 | X |  | X |  |
| 91.0 | 36 |  | X |  | X |
| 92.0 | 35 | X |  | X |  |
| 93.0 | 34 | X |  | X |  |
| 94.0 | 34 |  | X | X |  |
| 95.0 | 33 |  | X |  | X |
| 96.5 | 32 |  | X |  | X |
| 96.5 | 32 | X |  |  | X |
| 98.0 | 31 |  | X |  | X |
| 100.5 | 29 | X |  | X |  |
| 100.5 | 29 |  | X |  | X |
| 100.5 | 29 |  | X |  | X |
| 100.5 | 29 |  | X | X |  |
| 103.0 | 28 |  | X | X |  |
| 104.0 | 27 |  | X |  | X |
|  |  | 15 | 19 | 18 | 15 |

Class Rank Percentile Male Female Driver Non-Driver

| 107.0 | 24 | X |  | X |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 107.0 | 24 | X |  | X |  |
| 107.0 | 24 | X |  |  | X |
| 107.0 | 24 |  | X |  | X |
| 107.0 | 24 |  | X |  | X |
| 110.5 | 23 | X |  | X |  |
| 110.5 | 23 | X |  | X |  |
| 112.5 | 21 |  | X | X |  |
| 112.5 | 21 | X |  | X |  |
| 114.0 | 20 | X |  |  | X |
| 115.5 | 18 | X |  | X |  |
| 115.5 | 18 | X |  | X |  |
| 117.0 | 17 | X |  |  | X |
| 118.0 | 17 | X |  |  | X |
| 119.5 | 16 | X |  |  | X |
| 119.5 | 16 |  | X |  | X |
| 121.0 | 15 | X |  | X |  |
| 122.0 | 14 | X |  |  | X |
| 123.0 | 13 | X |  | X |  |
| 124.0 | 12 | X |  |  | X |
| 125.0 | 12 |  | X | X |  |
| 126.5 | 11 |  | X |  | X |
| 126.5 | 11 | X |  |  | X |
| 128.0 | 10 |  | X |  | X |
| 129.0 | 9 | X |  | X |  |
| 130.5 | 8 | X |  | $?$ | $?$ |
| 130.5 | 8 | X |  |  | X |
| 132.0 | 7 | X |  | X |  |
| 133.0 | 6 |  | X | X |  |
| 134.0 | 5 | X |  |  | X |
| 135.0 | 5 | X |  | X |  |
| 136.0 | 4 | X |  | X |  |
| 137.0 | 3 |  | X |  | X |
| 138.0 | 2 | X |  | $\stackrel{?}{4}$ | ? |
| 139.0 | 2 | X |  | X |  |
| 140.0 | 1 | X |  | X |  |
| 141.0 | 0 |  | X | X |  |
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